The applicant is hereby notified of the following in respect of the priority claim identified as item (_______) in Box No. VI of the Request Form (PCT/RO/101).

1. **Correction of priority claim.** In accordance with the applicant’s notice received on ______________________________ the following priority claim has been corrected to read as follows:

   - even though the indication of the number of the earlier application is missing.
   - even though the following indication in the priority claim is not the same as the corresponding indication appearing in the priority document:
   - even though the international application has an international filing date which is later than the date on which the priority period expired, but is within two months from that date.

2. **Addition of priority claim.** In accordance with the applicant’s notice received on ______________________________ the following priority claim has been added:

   - even though the indication of the number of the earlier application is missing.
   - even though the following indication in the priority claim is not the same as the corresponding indication appearing in the priority document:
   - even though the international application has an international filing date which is later than the date on which the priority period expired, but is within two months from that date.

3. The priority claim is considered void (Rule 26bis.2(b)) because:

   - at the expiration of the prescribed time limit under Rule 26bis.1(a), the International Bureau had not received a notice from the applicant correcting the priority claim so as to comply with the requirements of Rule 4.10, as notified in the invitation (Form PCT/RO/110 or PCT/IB/316).
   - the applicant’s notice was received after the expiration of the prescribed time limit under Rule 26bis.1(a); and consequently, the priority claim could not be corrected so as to comply with the requirements of Rule 4.10.
   - the applicant’s notice failed to correct the priority claim so as to comply with the requirements of Rule 4.10.

The applicant may, before the technical preparations for international publication have been completed, submit information concerning the priority claim, which the International Bureau will publish together with the international application (Rule 26bis.2(d)).
4. ☐ As a result of the correction and/or addition of priority claim(s) under items 1 and/or 2, or of the priority claim(s) under item 3 being considered void, the (earliest) priority date is:

5. ☐ The priority claim ____________________________ cannot be corrected/added since the applicant’s notice was received on ______________________ that is, after the expiration of the prescribed time limit under Rule 26bis.1(a). However, where the applicant’s notice concerns a correction, in accordance with Rule 26bis.2(c)(i) to (iii), the priority claim(s) indicated in Box V1 of the request will not be considered void.

The applicant may, prior to the expiration of 30 months from the priority date and subject to the payment of a fee, request the International Bureau to publish information concerning the matter. See Rule 26bis.2(e) and the PCT Applicant’s Guide, Annex B2(IB).

6. ☐ In the case where multiple priorities have been claimed, the above item(s) relate to the following priority claim(s):

A copy of this Notification has been sent to the receiving Office and
☐ to the International Searching Authority (where the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority have not yet been issued).
☐ to the Authority(ies) specified for supplementary search (where a request for supplementary search has been submitted and the supplementary international search report has not yet been issued).
☐ to the International Preliminary Examining Authority (where a demand for international preliminary examination has been made and the IPRP (Chapter II) has not yet been issued).
☐ to the designated Offices (in accordance with Rule 93bis).