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PCT@WIPO continues to offer a strong, consistent and highly valued service, as reflected in the 2019/2020 User Survey

- 88% of PCT users said they were either “very satisfied” (36%) or “satisfied” (52%) with PCT-related services provided by WIPO
  - while not directly comparable to the previous PCT user surveys (2009, 2015, 2017) because the methodology of measuring user satisfaction was reformulated by WIPO Customer Service and standardized to apply to all WIPO services, the 2019 results were still very much in line with previous results (+/- 2)

- Highest levels of satisfaction were expressed by:
  - large users (more than 200 applications filed—96%)
  - representatives of applicants (88%) (versus applicants—82%)
  - users from Americas and Europe (92%) (versus Asia—80%)
Summary (2)

- Users confirmed high levels of continuing satisfaction with quality of:
  - PCT staff contacts
  - PCT information systems
  - PCT training programs

- Perceived value of PCT continues to be high across all users

- Lower average satisfaction ratings than the overall average from small applicants/individual applicants and users in Asia
Structure of the respondents

**Filing power**
- 1 application: 30
- 2-10 applications: 40
- 11-49 applications: 19
- 50-199 applications: 7
- More than 200 applications: 4

**Experience**
- More than 10 years: 47
- 5 to 9 years: 18
- 3 to 4 years: 13
- 1 to 2 years: 13
- Not experienced at all: 2
- Prefer not to disclose: 7

**Capacity**
- IP representative’s office: 60
- IP department of company: 19
- Not represented applicants: 7
- Represented applicants: 6
- Other: 3
- Prefer not to disclose: 6

**Company’s profile**
- Agent or law firm: 40
- SME: 22
- Large enterprise: 15
- Individual: 15
- University: 2
- Public Research Institution: 1
- Other: 1
- Prefer not to disclose: 3

**Type of the respondents**
- Applicants: 30
- Representatives: 91

**Role in representative companies**
- Patent Attorney: 48
- Paralegal: 22
- Assistant: 13
- Partner / General Manager: 7
- Lawyer: 2
- Finance Representative: 0
- Other: 4
- Would prefer not to disclose: 4

**Role in applicant companies**
- Head or member of Patent Department: 38
- Inventor or owner: 26
- Patent Attorney: 13
- General Manager, Senior Executive, etc.: 4
- Head or member of R&D Department: 3
- Head or member of Legal Department: 2
- Finance Representative: 1
- Other: 8
- Would prefer not to disclose: 6

**UN geoscheme**
- Africa: 11
- Americas: 22
- Asia: 31
- Europe: 44
- Oceania: 4

Basis: 1,847 interviews.

Unweighted data, figures in percent.
### Overall Satisfaction with PCT-Related Services

**n=**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Very satisfied</th>
<th>Satisfied</th>
<th>Neither/Nor</th>
<th>Dissatisfied</th>
<th>Very dissatisfied</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicants*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representatives*</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 application</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-10 applications</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-49 applications</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-199 applications</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 200 applications</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Americas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceania</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Based on the user role in application(s) filed.

*Asked all.

PCT_01
Overall Satisfaction with processing of PCT applications by the IB

All who are dealing with PCT filings.

PCT_02
Usage of ePCT services

All who are dealing with PCT filings.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Very satisfied</th>
<th>Satisfied</th>
<th>Neither/Nor</th>
<th>Dissatisfied</th>
<th>Very dissatisfied</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Using “Actions” in ePCT</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managing application and keeping track of information</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submitting documents via ePCT</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management of rights under ePCT</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening a WIPO account and setting up strong authentication</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PCT_06; All used ePCT services.
Satisfaction with contacting WIPO PCT services

Overall satisfaction with contacting WIPO for PCT Services

Satisfaction with clarity of the contact information available for PCT

By email / On-line contact form

By phone

By letter/fax

PCT 09: All who contacted WIPO regarding PCT services.
Satisfaction with PCT Information provided on the WIPO website

All who have used PCT website.
Satisfaction with the **PCT Applicant's Guide**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Very satisfied</th>
<th>Satisfied</th>
<th>Neither/Nor</th>
<th>Dissatisfied</th>
<th>Very dissatisfied</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consistency of information</td>
<td>1137</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy of information</td>
<td>1136</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarity of information</td>
<td>1147</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relevance of information and assistance</td>
<td>1130</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Combined score:
- Consistency of information: 93
- Accuracy of information: 92
- Clarity of information: 85
- Relevance of information and assistance: 90
Overall satisfaction with PCT Trainings provided by WIPO

All who have used at least one type of the PCT trainings.
### Overall satisfaction with the payment procedure in relation to PCT Applications at WIPO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Very satisfied</th>
<th>Satisfied</th>
<th>Neither/Nor</th>
<th>Dissatisfied</th>
<th>Very dissatisfied</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representatives</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicants</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 application</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-10 applications</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-49 applications</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-199 applications</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 200 applications</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Americas</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceania</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Combined score: 557 for All, 537 for Representatives, 20 for Applicants, 144 for 1 application, 234 for 2-10 applications, 112 for 11-49 applications, 44 for 50-199 applications, 23 for More than 200 applications, 9 for Africa, 122 for Americas, 210 for Asia, 204 for Europe, 12 for Oceania.*

*Asked all involved in payments.*

PCT_FIN_01
Overall agreement: the PCT international phase procedure added value to the processing of application in the national phase.

All who had experience with entering the national phase before national patent office.
The concept of ePCT is great, and the ease of submitting actions is a big positive, but the UI is very clunky in other regards. The process for access rights management in particular is very difficult to work with.

Except for the timing issue, all of the PCT staff that I communicated with were very professional, courteous, resourceful, and knowledgeable.

WIPO website: Sometimes I feel it is targeted more to "anyone" than to an IP professional.

PCT Webinars: It would be helpful to have a calendar of webinars that allows us to plan attendance. Perhaps something that can be loaded into outlook for a number of scheduled webinars in the months or year ahead.

The PCT international phase made entry to the national phase much simpler, as it reduced the number of steps and reduction in the number of documents required to file the national phase. This should be reviewed regularly to make it even simpler for simple applications.
Areas where survey respondent users indicated they would like to see improvement

- Areas for improvement mentioned by respondents in the survey results:
  - ePCT issues:
    - opening a WIPO account and rights management
    - setting up strong authentication
    - technical support for online filing and processing
  - Availability of information on PCT training (seminars and webinars)
  - Availability of payment methods (electronically for all PCT fees)
- Improvements in availability of PCT information on the WIPO website, including more prominent presentation of training resources and interactive means of monitoring and signing up for PCT training events
- Easier access to information on how to contact relevant PCT staff at WIPO