
From: claire yasseen
Sent: Tuesday, 11 February 2020 8:25 PM
To: ai2ip
Subject: Survey Comments

Issue1

1) humans as initial inventors. As long as they keep records of the process of their ideas and inventions.including the idea behind the invention etc.and all things relating to that invention even before it gets registered .

AI intelligence shld reveal the humans behind its existence how it came about and also its source s from whence it got its info from to create .

Blueprints/rough sketches/plans etc.

If AI is named as inventor when legal disputes arise will AI be responsive.

Both AI and human shld be named including how and what. As inventions can be improved and most ideas can all have basic idea" in which -that basic idea can improvements be created .

Basic ideas/design/creative works should not take all rights away leaving no room for another to continue on.for example all of us would come up with something to sit on but if ten people all have the same basic idea.who owns then the whole basic idea?

Is it only the one who decides to register it or is anyone free to create without registering it.

Provisions should be made for others to expand on basic ideas.

Should all ideas be rights free- free to share but not copying the exact thing.

Issue3

9(iii)Human touch will be lost.what will happen to authenticity. Individuality

Difference in quality will differ.thus competition would be unfair.

AI should be categorized either as a tool as is a pen or paint used as a medium for certain outcomes.

AI assisted art works versus handdrawn?

AI should declare from whence it generated the source of art.

Issue 4

Disclosure arrangements agreements etc.a separate database be created for access including blueprints

If free to share copy left copy right or creative Commons

Issue5

Yes it is still early as such changes need to be "tested..trial and error for all.

Issue6

What about Intangible Assets that are registered.would that make a distinction.

How will AI obtain incentives for original work.

Issue7

Would AI need permission to use data that is under copyright.

Will special considerations or recommendations be made before works are copyright and to include that AI interference will be provided for.

Would separate incentives be provided for the author by AI?

Should data be copyright or free to use if the owner of it grants permission .

AI has no exclusive rights to autonomous creative works as some of data used is derived from human experiences

There should be a query report centre base for reporting complaints of unauthorized use of data.

Issue8

Deep fakes. If for sharing on social media there being a social media share [button.it](#) will be shared without asking for permission. Unless there be no social media share button will that mean permission is not granted to share.

Unless it is an Intangible Asset.

16(i) Yes there will be unforeseen copyright consequences now that AI is in the picture.

Issue 10

Ideas begat ideas.

Ideas begat from data should be free to be shared, improved on added to etc.

Can certain data's be granted or be given up for free use by AI .provided that the created outcome of it be free to use as a tool or for educational purposes.

Issue11

Original meaning home grown personal individual personalised original works should have a beginning even if a rough sketch or journal kept of the journey of the creative work or the invention idea.

Issue 11

Bridging the gap.

AI should create training methods in its autonomous function. promoting and workshops on AI.

Informative Information on AI technologies- its uses .

A balance be kept that AI not take over the world and control the internet.

How many rights should AI be granted.

Policies should be put in place for protection.

AI technologies need to ne created that don't need to be online or needing data to function.

End of Survey.