The 2019 edition of the Global Innovation Index (GII) focuses on the theme *Creating Healthy Lives—The Future of Medical Innovation*. In the years to come, medical innovations such as artificial intelligence (AI), genomics, and mobile health applications will transform the delivery of healthcare in both developed and emerging nations.

The key questions addressed in this edition of the GII include:

- What is the potential impact of medical innovation on society and economic growth, and what obstacles must be overcome to reach that potential?
- How is the global landscape for research and development (R&D) and medical innovation changing?
- What health challenges do future innovations need to address and what types of breakthroughs are on the horizon?
- What are the main opportunities and obstacles to future medical innovation and what role might new policies play?

Five key messages emerge:

1. High quality and affordable healthcare for all is important for sustainable economic growth and the overall quality of life of citizens. While significant progress has been achieved across many dimensions over the last decades, significant gaps in access to quality healthcare for large parts of the global population remain.

2. Medical innovations are critical for closing the gaps in global healthcare provision. These innovations are happening across multiple dimensions, including core sciences, drug development, care delivery, and organizational and business models. In particular, medical technology related innovations are blossoming, with medical technology patents more numerous and growing at a faster path than pharmaceutical patents for the last decade. However, some challenges need to be overcome—notably, a decline in pharmaceutical R&D productivity and a prolonged process for deploying health innovations due to complex health ecosystems.

3. The convergence of digital and biological technologies is disrupting healthcare and increasing the importance of data integration and management across the healthcare ecosystem. New digital health strategies need to focus on creating data infrastructure and processes for efficient and safe data collection, management, and sharing.

4. Emerging markets have a unique opportunity to leverage medical innovations and invest in new healthcare delivery models to close the healthcare gap with more developed markets. Caution should be taken to ensure that new health innovations, and their related costs, do not exacerbate the health gap between the rich and poor.

5. To maximize the potential for future health innovation, it is important to encourage collaboration across key actors, increase funding from public and private sources, establish and maintain a skilled health workforce, and carefully evaluate the costs and benefits of medical innovations.
The impact of medical innovation—
a high-stakes policy matter

Over the last century, improvements in healthcare have led to a
doubling of life expectancy in both high-income and developing
economies.1 This increase in life expectancy has helped
expand the global workforce, drive economic growth, and
improve the quality of life for many.2

Innovations—on both technological and non-technological
fronts—have contributed to better health and economic
development. Improved hygiene, enhanced public health
planning, the persistent pursuit of R&D in the medical field,
and the increasing role of information technologies have been
key. In particular, the decades after World War II are often
considered the “golden age” of medical innovation. Many
of the tools of modern medicine were developed between
1940 and 1980, including antibiotics, the polio vaccine, heart
procedures, chemotherapy, radiation, and medical devices
such as joint replacements.3

The benefits of improved health via innovation are becoming
accessible to a growing number of people within and across
developed and developing countries. As societies get richer,
wealth buys better health and a higher quality of life, with
more people in low- and middle-income economies having
access to functioning health systems.4

Indeed, over the last decade, global spending on health has
been growing faster than gross domestic product (GDP)—at
roughly double the rate.5 Health spending has been growing
even more rapidly in low- and middle-income countries—close
to 6% on average—than in high-income countries, which
average 4%. In 2018, global healthcare expenditures amounted
to US$7.6 trillion, accounting for around 10% of global GDP
(Figure T-1.1).6 By 2020, estimated global health expenditures
will reach close to US$9 trillion.7

While significant progress in global healthcare has been made
over the last couple of decades, there are major challenges
that remain. A large proportion of the world’s population lacks
access to quality healthcare. Increasing health costs are
also an issue, in particular, out-of-pocket payments by private
households without complete medical insurance.

Medical innovation is expected to contribute to increased
cost-effectiveness in the healthcare sector in the years to
come. It is also key to the realization of the health-related
United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (Box T-1.1).8

Now the logical question for economists and policymakers is
how health innovations will continue to drive well-being and
economic growth in the future.

At a glance, upcoming health innovations and their possible
contributions are impressive. Policy and news reports abundantly
cover much-anticipated innovations in health and medicine
and the resulting improvements that patients will see.

If history is any guide, one has to avoid unwarranted optimism
as to how fast health innovation arises and how efficiently
it is deployed. Productivity in healthcare R&D has slowed in
some respects.9 Also, traditionally, innovation in health has
diffused more slowly relative to other sectors.10 This is due to
the complex health innovation ecosystem and the seriousness
of the outcomes that healthcare addresses: the life and
well-being of people.11

While there is significant potential for new medical innovations,
several obstacles must be overcome. Though the demand
for innovation is high, there are concerns that the golden years
of medical innovation may be behind us, as measured by
decreases in major medical advances by year,12 drug approvals,13
and research productivity.14

———

BOX T-1.1

Sustainable development goals—innovation, health,
and the United Nations

The United Nations (UN) Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)
are a collection of 17 global goals that seek to make significant
progress on global matters, including health, by 2030.
Specifically, SDG 3 sets global health targets in several areas.
Importantly, it specifies the goal of universal health coverage—
including access to essential healthcare services—and sets
targets to support R&D for vaccines for communicable diseases,
for example.9

To reach the 2030 goals, the UN General Assembly adopted
health-related political declarations.15 The SDGs and the
ensuing declarations recognize the critical role of innovation
and R&D. As a result, SDG Indicators were set up to monitor
innovation and R&D progress—for example, SDG Indicators

9.5.1-2 measure gross domestic R&D expenditure on health
(health GERD) as a percentage of gross domestic product,
and the number of health researchers is measured in full-time
equivalents (FTEs) per million inhabitants.16

In September 2019, the United Nations High-level Political
Forum (HLPF) on Sustainable Development will convene to
review the progress made on the first four-year cycle of the
2030 Agenda. The GII 2019—with up-to-date metrics on the
underlying innovation systems—aims to be a useful guide,
helping policymakers and other stakeholders engage in crafting
coherent policies and implementation strategies to harness
innovation for the achievement of SDG 3.
FIGURE T-1.1

Evolution of healthcare expenditures over time, in US$, and as a share of GDP

Source: Authors based on Xu et al., 2018; WHO data.
Pharmaceutical research is limited by rapidly increasing costs and a decline in major drug approvals over the past decade.\(^\text{18}\) Cost increases are caused by multiple factors, including extensive research requirements, lengthier approval processes, longer development times, higher marketing expenditures, and a concentration of R&D investments in areas where the risk of failure is high.\(^\text{17}\) To develop a drug for Alzheimer’s, the process involves a commitment of nearly 10 years from research to use on patients—plus over 4 years of preclinical discovery and testing (Chapter 6—Eli Lilly and Company).\(^\text{20}\) Diminishing returns on drug innovation may also be reducing incentives to invest in breakthroughs.

While later sections in this chapter point to a possible, recent turnaround in pharma R&D productivity, progress is generally slow with respect to some tenacious health challenges (Chapter 2–Bhaven Sampat). Many acute and chronic conditions have few treatment options beyond marginally mitigating disease progression and/or reducing discomfort resulting from symptoms. For some illnesses, such as cancer, depression, or Alzheimer’s (Chapter 6), innovation has not yet produced breakthrough cures; failure rates and clinical trial setbacks are high.

Scientific advances in life sciences or biotech have often not been matched by a corresponding increase in medical innovation.\(^\text{22}\) Efforts by pharmaceutical firms to overcome the pipeline challenge by buying biotechnology firms have not always produced the desired effect.\(^\text{23}\) Gene development technologies have not created the breakthroughs many might have expected.\(^\text{23}\) Moreover, new health-related research fields such as neuroscience are still in their infancy.

From the innovation diffusion perspective, the speed of adoption of existing medical innovations has been slow too, primarily due to complex interactions between actors in the health ecosystem.\(^\text{24}\) Moving medical innovations “from bench to bedside” is a long process, sometimes extending over several decades. Multiple parties may be involved, such as private and public research actors, including medical technology, pharmaceutical firms, and universities; providers of healthcare, such as physicians and hospitals; patients; and payers, such as medical insurance companies.\(^\text{25}\) Finally, the whole process is constrained by regulatory contexts and incentives, set by government or independent regulators to ensure safety and access.\(^\text{26}\)

The fragmentation of healthcare across different actors—such as payers, insurers, providers, and manufacturers—leads to challenges (Chapter 8–GE Healthcare). The underlying innovation incentives for technology or new process adoption are regularly misaligned. Technologies to decrease the role of particular medical activities—such as minimally invasive surgery—might find lukewarm reception from a particular medical profession, slowing its deployment.\(^\text{27}\) In addition, patients and insurers frequently have differing views as to the acceptable cost of new treatments.\(^\text{28}\) Slow feedback and knowledge flow between the actors can slow collaboration—often due to a lack of communication channels or lack of shared standards on how to exchange data and information across silos. These inefficiencies can lead to wasted time. They can also negatively affect patient outcomes (Chapter 8).\(^\text{29}\)

It is noteworthy that the slow diffusion of medical innovations is more than a developed versus developing country issue. Many innovations fail to achieve widespread and sustainable use, even in economies with advanced health systems. This is true although many medical innovations are about applying existing technologies from non-medical fields in new ways in the health sector.\(^\text{30}\)

Medical innovations are only slowly gravitating to developing countries; large segments of the population in the developing world remain underserved in terms of access to medical technologies and basic healthcare.\(^\text{31}\) A broader diffusion of existing technologies and practices would pay large dividends (Chapter 2). The development of drugs, vaccines, medical devices, and overall healthcare operations designed for low-resource settings is key (Chapter 11–PATH).\(^\text{32}\) Currently, market forces still result in pharmaceutical R&D targeting diseases that are typical of affluent societies, to the detriment of developing economies.\(^\text{33}\)

Furthermore, while the focus is often on access to medicines, inadequate attention is given to contributions that would ensure the functioning of health systems in developing countries. Investments in innovations aimed at the delivery of healthcare are needed (Chapter 12–Ministry of Health, Egypt and Chapter 13–Narayana Health, India).\(^\text{34}\)

Finally, too much effort is still spent on fixing health problems rather than preventing them in the first place (Chapter 9–iamYiam).\(^\text{35}\) Technological and non-technological medical innovations go a long way to remedy this situation and improve prevention.

### Medical innovations are changing the landscape of health

In the years to come, new technologies are likely to enrich the provision of healthcare at a rapid pace; they will help face some of the new medical challenges outlined in the section above while producing efficiencies and disrupting current ways of delivering healthcare.

This is not only about new technology. Innovation in health system organization—for example, how doctors are consulted, how monitoring is done, how diagnoses are established and shared, and how prevention takes place—is also on the way.\(^\text{36}\)

These evolutions might help fix innovation obstacles in the health system, such as overcoming knowledge silos—created when specific medical actors keep data and information about patients to themselves—or allowing for a better assessment of the true impact of particular medical technologies or pharmaceutical inventions.
Beyond increasing innovation at the corporate- and country-level, the geographical landscape of global medical innovation is changing too.

Historically, the markets for health innovation—as well as the innovation pipelines themselves—have been concentrated in high-income economies, mostly in Europe and North America.37 Today, the most R&D-intensive health industry firms are still in Europe and the United States of America (U.S.): Switzerland, the United Kingdom (U.K.), and the U.S. are the top holders of pharmaceutical patents; the Netherlands and the U.S. lead in medical technology patents; and Switzerland and the U.K. lead in biotech patents.

However, the geography of medical innovation is changing to progressively include emerging economies. The demand for improved health services is growing in these regions, driven by a rising middle class and robust economic growth. This is not only true for large emerging economies such as China and India but also Mexico, Viet Nam, Indonesia, South Africa, Nigeria, and many others.38 The innovation capacity in emerging markets is also growing, with increasing R&D, patents, and investment in these countries (Figures T-1.2 and T-1.3, and Table T-1.1). Accordingly, pharmaceutical companies based in emerging economies have shown strong growth in recent years.39

**A resurgence of health R&D**

After the financial crisis in 2009 and a significant slowdown across sectors, worldwide pharmaceutical R&D plateaued at around US$135 billion for more than five years, including in 2013. Investment in health began a resurgence after 2013, reaching US$177 billion worldwide in 2019.40

Overall, the healthcare sector is one of the most important investors in innovation, second to the information technology (IT) sector. Pharmaceutical, biotech, and medical device firms are among the top global corporate investors in R&D, spending over US$100 billion annually; this represents close to 20% of global annual R&D expenditures by the top 2,500 R&D firms across all sectors.41

Health R&D is also a significant component of total private and public R&D expenditures, ranging from 10 to 12% of average annual R&D expenditures in high- and middle-income economies to about 14% in low-income economies.42 In countries such as the U.K. and the U.S., governments place an even greater focus on R&D, allocating 20 to 25% of all government R&D expenditures on health.43

**Medical technology patents growing faster than pharmaceutical patents**

Patents in pharmaceuticals, biotechnology, and medical technology have been growing strongly year-over-year for the last decade (Figure T-1.2). Medical technology patents grew the fastest at close to 6% per year. This puts medical technologies among the top five fastest-growing technology fields since 2016, with the other four being IT-related fields.44 Consequently, medical technology patents are now as numerous—about 100,000 patents worldwide—as pharmaceutical patents, with biotech at half that volume. Medical technology-related PCT filings are also nearly double the volume of pharmaceutical patents today, reflecting the increased importance of innovation in medical technology relative to pharmaceutical (Figure T-1.3).

Finally, as evidenced in the 2019 Special Section on Identifying and Ranking the World’s Largest Science and Technology Clusters, medical technology is now the most frequent field of patenting in these top clusters, overtaking pharmaceutical patents for the first time.45

Reflecting the increased spread of innovative capacity, Mexico and India are increasingly specialized in pharmaceutical patents relative to other patents—with India home to some of the top 10 pharmaceutical firms worldwide, such as Sun Pharmaceutical, Lupin, and Dr. Reddy’s.46 In absolute numbers of patents, China is also now the most important pharmaceutical patent origin (Table T-1.1).

As regards patent filings under the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) at WIPO, medical technologies accounted for close to 7% of all applications in 2017 and were the fourth largest technology filing area in 2018, with IT-related fields topping this ranking.47

However, the above figures likely underestimate actual medical innovation activity. Health-related R&D and patenting are taking place in fields and firms as diverse as electrical and mechanical engineering, instruments—in particular, optics and measurement, chemistry, and the IT sector. Patents in the field of artificial intelligence are also forecast to be significant to future health systems.48

Furthermore, a number of the process and organizational innovations that are bound to have a positive influence in the health sector are not captured by R&D and patenting figures in the traditional health sector, as reported in the above data.

**Is a revival of medical research productivity on the horizon?**

While pharmaceutical research productivity might have been slower in past decades, more recently, new health-related patenting and drugs on the market are signaling a possible reversal of the productivity crisis outlined earlier in this chapter.49

Since 2015, the number of drugs in Phase I and II clinical trials has grown substantially.50 The launch of new drugs, such as novel active substances, has increased in the last decade and is expected to continue growing. The drug approval rates at the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the European Medicines Agency (EMA) increased in 2017 and 2018; they are considerably higher today than in prior years.51 The pending lineup of immunotherapies and drugs with the potential to become blockbusters—for diabetes, hepatitis C, and cancer—is trending upward.52

Does this mean the end of the medical research productivity decline? This is hard to answer with certainty. The number of drugs in Phase III clinical trials has yet to reach the high levels seen during the golden times of pharmaceutical innovation; a large percentage of drugs still fail to make the transition from...
FIGURE T-1.2

Patent publications by technology, 1980-2017

FIGURE T-1.3

Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) filings by technology, 2000-2018

## Top 10 in patent publications, 2010-2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biotechnology</th>
<th>Pharmaceuticals</th>
<th>Medical technology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United States of America</td>
<td>126,581</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>92,107</td>
<td>United States of America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>33,818</td>
<td>Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>24,094</td>
<td>Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republic of Korea</td>
<td>21,045</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>15,750</td>
<td>Republic of Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>15,292</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>12,697</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>9,237</td>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>7,942</td>
<td>Italy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Figures show the sum of patent publications from 2010 to 2017 for all economies.

## The fastest growing middle-income economies in health patent publications, 2010-2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economy</th>
<th>Biotechnology</th>
<th>Sum</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Compound growth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>92,107</td>
<td>11,514</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>2,341</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economy</th>
<th>Pharmaceuticals</th>
<th>Sum</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Compound growth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>214,992</td>
<td>26,874</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>2,164</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>1,378</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>1,032</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
<td>11,566</td>
<td>1,446</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economy</th>
<th>Medical technology</th>
<th>Sum</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Compound growth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>115,805</td>
<td>14,476</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>1,934</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>863</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>1,299</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
<td>16,171</td>
<td>2,022</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Economies considered for biotechnology show > 50 average patent publications from 2010 to 2017, and those considered for medical technology and pharmaceuticals show > 100 average patent publications over the period.
Phase II to Phase III. New pharmaceutical cures are harder to come by (Chapter 2). While research expenditures are increasing, the return on drug-related R&D investments continues to be low.

However, innovation is burgeoning in other increasingly health-related sectors, such as medical technologies or IT and software applications. Over the last five years, regulatory agencies such as the FDA have announced record rates of novel medical device approvals for mechanical heart valves, digital health technologies, and 3D printing devices.

Process and organizational innovations in healthcare delivery are also taking place due to increased automation and efficiency. These innovations are not necessarily captured by traditional R&D and patenting figures.

Finally, some important but less high-tech—and less measurable—medical innovation is taking place in low- and middle-income countries. Countries in Africa, Central and Eastern Asia, and Latin America have witnessed the novel use of existing technologies—“frugal” or “adapted” medical innovations—with considerable impact in low-resource contexts. For example, clean “delivery kits” contain essential items that allow doctors in low-resource contexts to deliver babies more safely, while many other examples arise in countries such as India.

**Upcoming breakthroughs in medical and health innovation**

Novel ways to improve healthcare, to diagnose health problems, and to cure diseases are imminent (Chapter 4—National Institutes of Health, U.S. and Chapter 7—Dassault Systèmes). Health-related technologies and organizational innovations have the potential to disrupt existing business models, to lower healthcare costs, and to improve overall healthcare efficiency (Chapter 3–ZS Associates and Chapter 5–Tencent, China).

Many of these medical innovations are relevant to developing countries, whether they are technological, such as 3D printing; new tools to diagnose infections, such as malaria, in Brazil (Chapter 14–CNI and SEBRAE); organizational, such as the improved screening for non-communicable diseases in Egypt (Chapter 12); or remote telemedicine applications in Rwanda (Chapter 15–Ministry of Health, Rwanda). While medical breakthroughs and their diffusion are tough to predict, the sections below describe several possible scientific and technological breakthroughs, developments in process, and organizational innovations.

**Identifying promising fields**

The fields of genetics and stem cell research, nanotechnology, biologics, and brain research are promising domains for scientific breakthroughs. Breakthroughs may also come from prevention techniques and cures through new vaccines and immunotherapy, new pain management techniques, and cures for mental diseases. A large number of innovations are pending in the areas of medical devices, medical imaging and diagnostics, precision and personalized medicine, and regenerative medicine.

Organizational and process innovations are also improving healthcare delivery through novel approaches to research and clinical trials and new ways of delivering healthcare. These medical innovations could have a significant impact by helping overcome fragmentation of the healthcare ecosystem across different sectors—payers, insurers, providers, and manufacturers—and improving healthcare efficiency (Figure T-1.4).

**IT and big data are often at the source of these innovations.** New technologies, such as virtual modeling and AI techniques, enable new ways of conducting medical research (Chapter 5), facilitating breakthroughs, and increasing invention efficiency. Many IT-enabled innovations have the potential to affect the delivery of healthcare and mitigate rising health costs (Chapter 14). Supported by the appropriate technology, health can be monitored in real time, conditions tracked remotely, data analyzed and shared, new modes of diagnosis applied, and treatments personalized. Individuals can also have access to their health data for the first time in history.

These technologies have also begun impacting mobile health possibilities, some of which are critical for prevention and health monitoring. The technologies are starting to support a shift from a “react and revive” focus on ill-health to a “predict and prevent” model of wellness (Chapter 3, Chapter 7, Chapter 9, and Chapter 17–Thailand). Examples include telemedicine applications, remote monitoring, portable diagnostics, and the delivery of medicines via drones. The surveillance of public health threats and the availability of data to drive policy and planning are key to optimizing health services in low-resource contexts (Chapter 12, Chapter 13, and Chapter 15).

The novel and better use of health data plays an important role in this context. Through big data analytics, machine learning, and AI, patient harm—and unintended consequences—may be predicted before they occur, and interventions can be provided to caregivers. Integrated data can help overcome silos and support medical professionals and care providers with insights that enable more predictive and efficient care (Chapter 5 and Chapter 8).

The data-driven shifts in health policies and strategies could be a core driver in reordering the relationships among—and processes between—health services providers, medical equipment manufacturers, patients, governments, public research, social security, and financial/insurance companies. In this setup, the patient is at the center of better feedback flows.

As the same time, as more innovation is geared to enriching the data intensity of medical equipment and processes, it is to be expected that the relative power of those who have the ability to collect, combine, and analyze large data sets will increase relative to that of traditional players in the health and medical arena. This may have important consequences, such as increased inequalities between the haves and the have nots of relevant technologies or a rising reliance on algorithms to make medical decisions, which may generate distrust vis-à-vis the medical profession.
FIGURE T-1.4

Promising fields for medical innovation and technologies

NEW SCIENTIFIC BREAKTHROUGHS, TREATMENTS, AND CURES

- Genetics and stem cell research
  - Single-cell analysis
  - Gene and stem cell therapies
  - Genetic engineering and editing including CRISPR technology
- Nanotechnology
  - Swallowable small devices
- Biologics
  - Development and manufacture of complex biologics
- Brain research, neurology, and neurosurgery
  - Characterization of the brain’s major circuits
  - New brain imagery for mental disorders
  - Migraine treatment
- New generation of vaccines and immunotherapy
  - HIV and universal flu vaccine
  - Cancer vaccine
  - Immunotherapy
  - New vaccine delivery methods
- Pain management
  - Effective, non-addictive medicines for pain management
- Mental health treatments
  - Pre-symptomatic diagnosis and treatment of Alzheimer’s disease and other cognitive declines

NEW MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES

- Medical devices
  - 3D printing
  - Cardiac devices
  - Implants and bionics
- Medical imaging and diagnostics
  - Optical high-definition imaging and virtual anatomic models
  - Biosensors and markers
  - 4D human charting and virtual reality
  - Screening for diseases
- Precision and personalized medicine
  - Computer-assisted surgery
  - Surgical robots
  - Personalized medicine
- Regenerative medicine
  - Tissue engineering
  - Effective bioartificial pancreas

ORGANIZATIONAL AND PROCESS INNOVATIONS

- Novel approaches in healthcare research
  - Software-based modeling to speed up research
  - Artificial intelligence techniques to speed up research and clinical trials
- New ways of delivering healthcare
  - Telemedicine applications
  - Drone delivery of medications
  - Remote monitoring and portable diagnostics
  - Improved data sharing

Opportunities and policy imperatives enabling healthy futures

Business and policy imperatives are key to creating a strong foundation for medical innovation systems—ranging from stable and predictable funding to technology transfer, skills, and regulation.

Ensuring sufficient medical innovation funding

The social returns of medical innovation expenditures far exceed the private returns of R&D. For this reason, government R&D spending is still the primary source of scientific health research worldwide. Health-related R&D in public research institutes is of paramount importance. In fact, many state-of-the-art technologies behind healthcare innovations are initially developed as basic research projects carried out or financed by the public sector (Chapter 10–CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research).

It is thus vital to prioritize public funding—in particular, basic R&D. This holds true in middle- and low-income economies where health R&D expenditures are still relatively low, but also in high-income economies that have faced declining public R&D budgets—notably in health-related public research institutions—in recent years. Discontinuities in public funding for health R&D can lead to brain drain and training gaps for qualified staff, not to mention the obsolescence of equipment (Chapter 14).

Government investment can help set up large funds to advance particular fields of research and to create health research centers or clusters, such as the Thai Center of Excellence for Life Sciences (Chapter 17), the Brazilian SENAI Innovation Institutes (Chapter 14), or the Iranian dedicated science and technology parks (Chapter 16–Iran). More can be done to promote international research collaborations, which play a vital role as basic research ideas are translated into useful medical applications and solutions in the marketplace.

There is also a need for innovative funding approaches—especially in the earliest and riskiest phases of drug discovery research (Chapter 6). Often companies have difficulty funding early stage or strongly disruptive technology. The ability of academic spin-offs to become sustainable ventures is uneven; they remain highly dependent upon venture capitalists, who tend to foster short-term financial growth and whose understanding of healthcare challenges and needs remains incomplete.

Funding for product R&D, outcomes research, and market analyses of uses for health technologies in low-resource settings remain insufficient (Chapter 11). This is not a new consideration and positive developments are on the way.

Entities such as the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation and Gavi—an organization bringing together public and private actors to deliver vaccines to children in low-income countries—contribute significantly to the financing and deployment of medical innovation.

Still, new ideas and incentives are required to address certain health problems, particularly those affecting the least developed countries. R&D for such health innovations should be encouraged, along with special incentives and funding programs to encourage investment in health and medical research (Chapter 2).

Finding solutions to these challenges requires multi-stakeholder consultation and coordination. The WIPO Re:Search public-private consortium, for example, shares valuable intellectual property and expertise with the health research community to promote the development of new drugs, vaccines, and diagnostics for neglected tropical diseases, malaria, and tuberculosis.

Building functional medical innovation systems: from “bench to bedside”

Once significant health R&D is financed and carried out, effective medical innovation—and its diffusion—depend on linkages between public and private actors to translate basic research into medical applications. This is often a “giant leap” (Chapter 10).

Businesses and policy actors need to focus on the translation of research into commercially viable applications, which may require initiating public-private collaborations, building a culture of entrepreneurship in public research bodies, stimulating academic spin-offs, and creating business incubators and centers of excellence.

The actors involved in shaping medical innovation need to be reconsidered. Academic healthcare organizations, such as university hospitals, have traditionally been boundary-spanning organizations between care and science. The critical role of hospitals and doctors in future demand-led health innovation is undeniable. In health innovation systems, patients could also have a more central role in leading the direction of innovation. The same is true for insurers. Building on the information they have for individual patients and the impact of particular treatments, insurers could contribute more toward raising awareness, informing patients, and preventing diseases—moving from a payer to a more active health system player.

In sum, hospitals, insurers, patients, and regulators will need to cooperate more to influence the rate and direction of innovation by identifying prioritized needs and redefining modes of financing that incentivize the creation and diffusion of health solutions.

For this to materialize, the various health system actors will have to create and use better channels and to transmit relevant information and feedback. Improving knowledge flows across the different health actors will help. Practically speaking, this will require understanding differing needs and improving shared data infrastructures to overcome significant gaps in intersectoral communication.

More funding instruments need to be made available to fund the stage between prototype and final product. Public-private partnerships can help in this precompetitive stage. Awards to
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particular researchers or research teams to encourage high-risk, high-reward research are promising (Chapter 4), as is launching prize competitions aimed at finding innovative solutions to major health challenges. Other new possibilities include crowdfunding and funding through patient advocacy groups.

Policymakers can also strongly influence the translation and diffusion of research to medical applications through demand-side policies that specify innovation targets and focus areas. Moreover, governments can exert influence on the funding of innovation by influencing prices and reimbursements for health costs and by helping to align the costs and benefits of new technologies and related incentives.

Moving from cure to prevention

Generally, as mirrored in this year’s GII chapters, attention should also gravitate from curing diseases and health conditions to preventing them in the first place. Of course, prevention goes beyond medical research and innovation. Environmental, agricultural, and infrastructure policies with an impact on clean air, clean water, or functioning sewage systems, for example, also have a well-documented impact on overall health and well-being, as well as on the incidence of disease. All too often, however, health-related policies, including those governing R&D, are treated separately—condemning medical research to a perpetual game of catch-up with diseases and conditions that are triggered or aggravated by environmental pollutants. The result is an inefficient use of resources.

Advancing skills and science education

The most important resource for the future of medical research will be having a workforce with the right skill sets (Chapter 4 and Chapter 7). Serious medical staff shortages exist in both developed and emerging markets. In addition, medical staff and researchers will need new sets of skills. The responsible implementation of health innovations requires local healthcare providers who are appropriately trained to use the latest technologies (Chapter 11 and Chapter 13).

To act as a bridge between research and the application of innovation in a real-life context, medical professionals with experience in research, training in the use of new hardware and software, and training in advanced research technologies—such as 3D modeling—are needed (Chapter 7 and the Australian Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, CSIRO, 2017). Workforce planning is required to ensure that professionals and staff are equipped with the appropriate types of skills to put new health technologies into practice.

To ensure better transfer of knowledge, researchers and medical professionals should also move more freely between research and business contexts. Research institutes should be incentivized to employ a higher proportion of experienced industry professionals, while researchers should be encouraged to spend time in industry. These exchanges will also help with the translation of research to applied medical solutions.

Supporting new data infrastructure and regulatory processes

Healthcare stakeholders will require increased health data sharing to increase their efficacy. At the same time, patients will want greater access and control over their health data, along with assurances that their information is safe.

The security and privacy of health information have been confirmed as top priorities, and regulations on personal health data are being progressively harmonized (Chapter 7). Digital health strategies that create strong data infrastructure—as well as new processes for efficient and safe data collection, management, and sharing—will be required. Agreements will also be required to define how to design and operationalize electronic health records and how to create standards and interoperable technologies.

How to harness the promise of big data medical research while respecting the security of data and honoring patient privacy? System security and data security principles need to be established for healthcare institutions (Chapter 5). Otherwise, a lack of data governance could decrease transparency and raise concerns about security and trust (Chapter 4, Chapter 7, and Chapter 12).

In addition to data infrastructure, new regulatory processes are needed to overcome the increasing duration and complexity of clinical trials. Breakthroughs in therapy have almost always been coupled with breakthroughs in regulatory standards (Chapter 6). Yet, current regulations and health regulation agencies may not be equipped for health innovation, while current processes may be too cumbersome (Chapter 14). Developing countries, in particular, may not have the capacity to deal with multiple national regulatory regimes (Chapter 11).

Improving cost-benefit assessments of medical innovation

To prioritize and foster the diffusion of research and medical technologies, cost-benefit assessments must be improved.

Going forward, health technology assessments will be increasingly important as a tool to foster industry accountability, cost-efficient solutions, and outcome-oriented innovations in healthcare.

The idea of better assessing health innovation is not new. Sweden and Switzerland, for example, have been at the forefront of health technology assessments for many years. In the U.K., the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence provides evidence-based guidance on metrics, including on new medical technologies. More can be done to spread these approaches to more countries. Better collection, analysis, and sharing of outcomes and cost data—and possibly mandating a better tracking of technology-specific health outcomes—will help in this regard.
Debating risks, social values, and the value of life

New technologies will bring new possibilities but also new risks and uncertainties—some of which will challenge current ethics and societal values (Chapter 4). This is the case for novel approaches in the field of genetic engineering in particular. As in the past, possibilities in the field of medical innovation will entail adaptable oversight and risk management functions, and possibly higher levels of precautionary oversight. To avoid a race to the bottom—in which countries will adopt the lowest-common safety or ethical denominator—international coordination is needed.

The challenges raised by novel approaches are not simply technical issues, but larger questions that will require discussion and agreement on matters at the core of ethics. Decision-making structures must be developed to encapsulate the far-reaching impacts on societal values. Similarly, as costs for new technologies increase exponentially, the potential for further challenges—to equity or access—may grow. Are there limits to the preservation of human life “at any price” and over an increasing life span? What are the limits to the cost of developing a new technology and under what circumstances should these limits be imposed? These questions are beyond the scope of this edition of the GII research; nonetheless, societies around the world will increasingly have to confront them in this nexus between technology and health.

Conclusion

The future of medical innovation, and the role of medical innovation in improving health outcomes going forward, will depend crucially on the policies and institutions created by national and global actors to support research and innovation. There are important issues for policymakers to consider carefully, given the transformative economic, social, and health impact new medical technologies have had historically and the enormous potential value of further health improvements for current and future generations.

Some overarching observations are useful in the particular case of developing countries. While developing countries face many of the same constraints as developed countries, these low-resource contexts may have access to opportunities that developed countries lack. One indicator of this possibility is that some of the more interesting examples of new health technology applications have recently come from developing countries in fields such as telemedicine, real-time diagnostic tools, and even the establishment of electronic health records. In the optimal scenario, developing countries might “leapfrog” their current health systems, due to lower sunk costs related to existing infrastructure and equipment, lower fixed costs from not building overcapacity, and possibly less regulatory constraint. They also have at their disposal technological innovations, alternative operating and financing models, and legal frameworks that were not previously available to developed countries. As a result, new health solutions might be deployed quickly and with immediate impact in developing countries—possibly without the need to proportionately increase healthcare facilities and professionals. The disruption of established health systems in developed countries is more challenging.

Several caveats apply:

First, although leapfrogging implies the closing of a health gap between the rich and the poor, there are risks that costly new health innovations will exacerbate the health gap rather than narrow it. This will require careful monitoring. Diffusion should be encouraged, proper financing made available, public-private partnerships created, and technologies fostered (Chapter 2).

Second, new health innovations aside, the true challenge to developing countries is the lack of minimally functional health systems and not necessarily a need for more R&D or new technologies. The most pervasive unmet need in the developing world is still providing basic and affordable healthcare at scale (Chapter 3). Technology is not always the remedy. The mere availability and training of nurses that can go door-to-door looking for signs of childhood diseases such as diarrhea, malaria, and pneumonia have been shown to have widespread and sustainable impacts in countries such as Mali. Basic but impactful improvements of this kind are not necessarily devoid of technology. Often the contrary is the case: low-tech or adapted technology applications can save more lives than the latest high-tech solutions.

Third, evidence-based decision-making and assessments will be particularly important in developing countries. As new technologies, such as drones for the delivery of medicines, are much discussed, and hyped to some extent, a sober evidence-based look at the true costs and benefits of these innovations will bear great value.
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