
TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1

THE CHANGING NATURE OF INNOVATION AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

1.1

| | |
|--|----|
| INNOVATION AS THE DRIVING FORCE BEHIND ECONOMIC GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT | 23 |
|--|----|

1.2

| | |
|-----------------------------------|----|
| THE SHIFTING NATURE OF INNOVATION | 27 |
|-----------------------------------|----|

| | |
|---|----|
| 1.2.1 Globalization of production and demand for innovation | 29 |
| 1.2.2 Increased investment in innovation | 33 |
| 1.2.3 Internationalization of science and innovation | 36 |
| 1.2.4 The importance of non-R&D-based innovation | 42 |
| 1.2.5 Greater collaboration in the process of innovation | 43 |

1.3

| | |
|---------------------------|----|
| SHIFTING IMPORTANCE OF IP | 52 |
|---------------------------|----|

| | |
|--|----|
| 1.3.1 Demand and the changing geography of the IP system | 52 |
| 1.3.2 Increased tradability of IP | 60 |
| 1.3.3 New collaborative mechanisms and IP intermediaries | 66 |
| 1.3.4 Emergence of new IP policies and practices | 67 |

1.4

| | |
|--|----|
| CONCLUSIONS AND DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH | 68 |
|--|----|

| | |
|------------|----|
| REFERENCES | 70 |
|------------|----|

CHAPTER 2

THE ECONOMICS OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY – OLD INSIGHTS AND NEW EVIDENCE

2.1

| | |
|---|-----------|
| UNDERSTANDING IP RIGHTS AND THEIR ROLE IN THE INNOVATION PROCESS | 75 |
| 2.1.1 How IP protection shapes innovation incentives | 77 |
| 2.1.2 Trade-offs in designing IP rights | 80 |
| 2.1.3 How IP protection compares to other innovation policies | 82 |

2.2

| | |
|--|-----------|
| TAKING A CLOSER LOOK AT THE PATENT SYSTEM | 86 |
| 2.2.1 How patent protection affects firm performance | 86 |
| 2.2.2 How patent strategies shift where innovation is cumulative | 89 |
| 2.2.3 How patent rights shape the interplay between competition and innovation | 92 |
| 2.2.4 The role patents play in technology markets and open innovation strategies | 94 |

2.3

| | |
|---|-----------|
| APPRECIATING THE ROLE OF PATENT INSTITUTIONS | 97 |
| 2.3.1 What makes for sound patent institutions | 97 |
| 2.3.2 How patenting trends have challenged patent offices | 98 |
| 2.3.3 The choices patent institutions face | 100 |

2.4

| | |
|---|------------|
| CONCLUSIONS AND DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH | 103 |
| REFERENCES | 105 |

CHAPTER 3

BALANCING COLLABORATION AND COMPETITION

3.1

COLLABORATING TO GENERATE NEW IP 109

- 3.1.1 What the available data says about formal R&D collaboration 110
- 3.1.2 Why firms collaborate for strategic reasons 114
- 3.1.3 How collaboration can improve efficiency 115
- 3.1.4 The complications that arise in joint R&D undertakings 116
- 3.1.5 How collaboration differs in the case of open source software 118

3.2

COLLABORATING TO COMMERCIALIZE EXISTING IP 120

- 3.2.1 Why complementarities require coordination 120
- 3.2.2 How firms collaborate in patent pools 121
- 3.2.3 Why patent pools are emerging in the life sciences 125
- 3.2.4 How firms cooperate to set standards 126

3.3

SAFEGUARDING COMPETITION 129

- 3.3.1 The type of collaborative R&D alliances that may be considered anticompetitive 130
- 3.3.2 How competition rules treat patent pools and standard-setting agreements 131

3.4

CONCLUSIONS AND DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH 132

REFERENCES 134

DATA ANNEX 136

CHAPTER 4

HARNESSING PUBLIC RESEARCH FOR INNOVATION – THE ROLE OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

4.1

| | |
|--|------------|
| THE EVOLVING ROLE OF UNIVERSITIES AND PROs IN NATIONAL INNOVATION SYSTEMS | 140 |
| 4.1.1 Public R&D is key, in particular for basic research | 140 |
| 4.1.2 Public R&D stimulates private R&D and innovation | 141 |
| 4.1.3 Fostering the impact of publicly-funded research on innovation | 143 |

4.2

| | |
|--|------------|
| PUBLIC RESEARCH INSTITUTIONS' IP COMES OF AGE | 144 |
| 4.2.1 Developing policy frameworks for technology transfer | 144 |
| 4.2.2 Measuring the increase in university and PRO patenting | 146 |
| 4.2.3 University and PRO licensing growing but from low levels | 153 |

4.3

| | |
|--|------------|
| ASSESSMENT OF IMPACTS AND CHALLENGES IN HIGH-INCOME COUNTRIES | 156 |
| 4.3.1 Direction of impacts | 156 |
| 4.3.2 Impacts and experiences in high-income countries | 159 |

4.4

| | |
|--|------------|
| IP-BASED TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER AND THE CASE OF LOW- AND MIDDLE-INCOME COUNTRIES | 168 |
| 4.4.1 Impacts of high-income technology transfer legislation on low- and middle-income countries | 169 |
| 4.4.2 Challenges to home-grown technology transfer in low- and middle-income countries | 170 |

4.5

| | |
|--|------------|
| NEW UNIVERSITY POLICIES ACT AS SAFEGUARDS | 172 |
|--|------------|

4.6

| | |
|---|------------|
| CONCLUSIONS AND DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH | 174 |
| REFERENCES | 176 |
| DATA ANNEX | 179 |
| METHODOLOGICAL ANNEX | 181 |
| ACRONYMS | 183 |