Intellectual Property and Genetic Resources
Introduction

Genetic resources (GRs) are defined in the Convention on Biological Diversity, 1992 (CBD) as genetic material of plant, animal, microbial or other origin containing functional units of heredity that has actual or potential value. Examples include medicinal plants, agricultural crops and animal breeds. Some GRs are linked to traditional knowledge (TK) and traditional practices through their use and conservation by indigenous peoples and local communities, often over generations, and through their widespread use in modern scientific research. Genetic material, according to the CBD, is any material of plant, animal, microbial or other origin containing functional units of heredity. Due to recent technological advances, genetic material can be described with increasing ease and speed through digital sequence information (DSI). The process by which GR samples are described to be identified or differentiated by their genetics or appearance is referred to as ‘characterization’. GRs are one type of biological resource, which, according to the CBD, include genetic resources, organisms or parts thereof, populations, or any other biotic component of ecosystems with actual or potential use or value for humanity.

GRs themselves, as encountered in nature, are not intellectual property (IP). They are not creations of the human mind and thus cannot be directly protected as IP. However, inventions based on or developed using GRs (and associated TK) are eligible for protection through the IP system, either through a patent or through other IP rights.

GRs are subject to access and benefit-sharing (ABS) regulations, in particular within the international regime on ABS. The international regime is constituted of the CBD, the Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from their Utilization (the Nagoya Protocol), as well as complementary instruments, including the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (the International Treaty), the Bonn Guidelines on Access to Genetic Resources and Fair and Equitable Sharing of the Benefits Arising out of their Utilization and the Pandemic Influenza Preparedness (PIP) Framework of the World Health Organization (WHO).
The management of IP issues in ABS agreements

While WIPO does not address the regulation of ABS of GRs as such, there are IP issues directly associated with GRs, and in considering these issues, WIPO’s work complements the framework provided by the CBD, the Nagoya Protocol, the International Treaty, the PIP Framework and other elements of the international regime on ABS. Within ABS agreements, the specific arrangements made for IP management can influence the overall results of access to GRs. Careful management of IP issues during the negotiation, development and drafting of an ABS agreement can be important in ensuring that an access agreement actually creates benefits and that they are shared equitably, respecting the interests and concerns of the resource providers. WIPO has developed and maintains an online collection of genetic resource agreements, which contains ABS agreements, licensing agreements and related information, with particular emphasis on the IP aspects of such agreements. Based on the online collection, WIPO has also prepared a Guide on Intellectual Property Issues in Access and Benefit-sharing Agreements, which illustrates the practical IP issues that providers and recipients are likely to face when negotiating an agreement, thereby enhancing the information available to GR stakeholders in assessing their IP options.

Intellectual property issues

One of the IP issues related to GRs under discussion in WIPO is the prevention of erroneous patents. Inventions based on or developed using GRs may be patentable. A number of WIPO Member States have adopted policies aimed at the defensive protection of GRs, which is to prevent patents from being granted erroneously for inventions based on or developed using GRs and associated TK that do not fulfill patentability requirements such as novelty, inventiveness or industrial applicability. The defensive protection of GRs can involve the development and implementation of a range of legal and practical mechanisms, such as databases and other information systems on GRs and associated TK to help patent examiners find relevant prior art and avoid the granting of erroneous patents.
**WIPO Diplomatic Conference on GRs and associated TK in 2024**

Since 2010, text-based negotiations on an international legal instrument related to intellectual property, genetic resources and associated TK have been ongoing in the WIPO Intergovernmental Committee on Intellectual Property and Genetic Resources, Traditional Knowledge and Folklore. On July 21, 2022, the WIPO General Assembly decided to convene a diplomatic conference to conclude an International Legal Instrument Relating to Intellectual Property, Genetic Resources and Traditional Knowledge Associated with Genetic Resources, to be held no later than 2024. The Chair’s Text of a Draft International Legal Instrument Relating to Intellectual Property, Genetic Resources and Traditional Knowledge Associated with Genetic Resources (Chair’s Text) will constitute the substantive articles of the Basic Proposal for the Diplomatic Conference.

The instrument envisaged in the Chair’s Text would aim to enhance the efficacy, transparency and quality of the patent system with regard to GRs and associated TK, and prevent patents from being granted erroneously for inventions that are not novel or inventive with regard to GRs and associated TK.

**Patent disclosure requirements**

“Disclosure” is a requirement in patent applications according to which an invention has to be disclosed in a manner sufficiently clear and complete for the invention to be carried out by a person skilled in the art. In the context of GRs and associated TK, “disclosure requirements” refer to provisions that require patent applicants to include as part of the patent application several additional categories of information, such as the source or origin of GRs, as well as evidence of prior informed consent and a benefit-sharing agreement. A number of countries have adopted or are in the process of adopting some form of patent disclosure requirements related to GRs and associated TK. There are currently no common or maximum standards to harmonize such requirements and countries are therefore taking a wide range of approaches for such measures. The WIPO publication
Key Questions on Patent Disclosure Requirements for Genetic Resources and Traditional Knowledge offers practical and empirical information about such requirements for policy makers and other stakeholders.

The Chair’s Text, which constitutes the substantive articles of the Basic Proposal for the Diplomatic Conference, would establish a mandatory patent disclosure requirement. This would require patent applicants to disclose the country of origin of the GRs and/or the indigenous peoples or local community providing the associated TK if the claimed inventions are “materially/directly based on” GRs and/or associated TK. If such information is unknown, the source of the GR or associated TK should be disclosed. If none of the above information is known, the patent applicant should be required to declare so. Patent offices should provide certain guidance, though they would have no obligation to verify the authenticity of the disclosure.

Information systems

The development of information tools and databases in the field of GRs has been identified as one approach to address the problem of erroneous patents. Databases can help increase the likelihood that relevant information about GRs is available to patent-granting authorities for the substantive examination of patent applications, and that this information can be located and accessed, when needed, in the patenting process. GR databases can compile and reference a wide range of information and materials, including, for example, information about GRs, associated TK, known uses of GRs and relevant scientific compilations. WIPO maintains existing databases of patent literature, such as Patentscope, that include sequence listings of GRs, and has developed international standards on how such GRs sequence listings should be described and exchanged within patent information systems.

The Chair’s Text suggests the establishment of information systems (such as databases) of GRs and associated TK, in consultation with relevant stakeholders. The information systems would be accessible to patent offices for the search and examination of patent applications.
Conclusion

Inventions utilizing GRs have constituted a distinctive and unique category of subject matter for IP protection since the emergence of modern biotechnology and modern plant breeding. Technology, as it relates to the living world, is changing rapidly and understanding its legal, policy and scientific implications is becoming a more complex challenge. WIPO, therefore, continues to provide accurate IP information, technical assistance, training and capacity building for GR stakeholders to understand the classical and emerging issues at the interfaces between GRs and IP. Further information is available on the WIPO website.
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