


The Global Innovation Index (GII) ranks world economies according to their innovation capabilities.

Consisting of **roughly 80 indicators**, grouped into innovation inputs and outputs, the GII **aims to capture the multi-dimensional facets of innovation**.

Kyrgyzstan ranking in the Global Innovation Index 2023

> Kyrgyzstan ranks 106th among the 132 economies featured in the GII 2023.



Kyrgyzstan ranks
 23rd among the 37
 lower-middle-income
 group economies.



 Kyrgyzstan ranks 8th among the 10 economies in Central and Southern Asia.



> Kyrgyzstan GII Ranking (2020-2023)

The table shows the rankings of Kyrgyzstan over the past four years. Data availability and changes to the GII model framework influence year-on-year comparisons of the GII rankings. The statistical confidence interval for the ranking of Kyrgyzstan in the GII 2023 is between ranks 100 and 108.

	GII Position
2020	94th
2021	98th
2022	94th
2023	106th

Innovation Inputs	Innovation Outputs
88th	107th
81st	119th
85th	108th
94th	112nd

Kyrgyzstan performs worse in innovation outputs than innovation inputs in 2023.

This year Kyrgyzstan ranks 94th in innovation inputs. This position is lower than last year.

Kyrgyzstan ranks 112nd in innovation outputs. This position is lower than last year.




→ Expected vs. observed innovation performance

The bubble chart below shows the relationship between income levels (GDP per capita) and innovation performance (GII score). The trend line gives an indication of the expected innovation performance according to income level. Economies appearing above the trend line are performing better than expected and those below are performing below expectations.



> Relative to GDP, Kyrgyzstan's performance is at expectations for its level of development.






→ Effectively translating innovation investments into innovation outputs

The chart below shows the relationship between innovation inputs and innovation outputs. Economies above the line are effectively translating costly innovation investments into more and higher-quality outputs.



> Kyrgyzstan produces less innovation outputs relative to its level of innovation investments.





→ Overview of Kyrgyzstan's rankings in the seven areas of the GII in 2023

The chart shows the ranking for each of the seven areas that the GII comprises. The strongest areas for Kyrgyzstan are those that rank above the GII (shown in blue) and the weakest are those that rank below.

Highest rankings → 49th Human capital and research 71st Market sophistication 92nd Infrastructure 96th Knowledge and technology outputs • 106th Global Innovation Index 114th Business sophistication 116th Creative outputs ← Lowest rankings 122nd Institutions

> Highest rankings




Kyrgyzstan ranks highest in Human capital and research (49th), Market sophistication (71st), Infrastructure (92nd) and Knowledge and technology outputs (96th).

> Lowest rankings



Kyrgyzstan ranks lowest in Institutions (122nd), Creative outputs (116th) and Business sophistication (114th).

The full WIPO Intellectual Property Statistics profile for Kyrgyzstan can be found on this link.



→ Benchmark of Kyrgyzstan against other country groupings for each of the seven areas of the GII Index

The charts shows the relative position of Kyrgyzstan (blue bar) against other country groupings (grey bars), for each of the seven areas of the GII Index.

> Lower-Middle-Income economies

Kyrgyzstan performs below the lower-middle-income group average in Knowledge and technology outputs, Creative outputs, Business sophistication, Institutions.

> Central And Southern Asia

Kyrgyzstan performs below the regional average in Knowledge and technology outputs, Creative outputs, Business sophistication, Institutions.

Knowledge and technology outputs

Top 10 | Score: 58.96

Central and Southern Asia | Score: 20.48

Lower middle income | Score: 17.21

Kyrgyzstan | Score: 13.87

Creative outputs

Top 10 | 56.09

Central and Southern Asia | 17.93

Lower middle income | 16.35

Kyrgyzstan | 6.98

Business sophistication

Top 10 | 64.39

Central and Southern Asia | 22.96

Lower middle income | 22.71

Kyrgyzstan | 18.53

Market sophistication

Top 10 | 61.93

Kyrgyzstan | 33.62

Central and Southern Asia | 33.20

Lower middle income | 28.01

Human capital and research

Top 10 | 60.28

Kyrgyzstan | 35.49

Central and Southern Asia | 23.87

Lower middle income | 21.73

Infrastructure

Top 10 | 62.83

Kyrgyzstan | 30.86

Central and Southern Asia | 30.45

Lower middle income | 27.83


Institutions

Top 10 | 79.85

Lower middle income | 39.43

Central and Southern Asia | 38.68

Kyrgyzstan | 31.01



→ Innovation strengths and weaknesses in Kyrgyzstan

The table below gives an overview of the indicator strengths and weaknesses of Kyrgyzstan in the GII 2023.



> Kyrgyzstan's main innovation strengths are **Tertiary inbound mobility**, % (rank 6), **Loans from microfinance institutions**, % **GDP** (rank 9) and **Expenditure on education**, % **GDP** (rank 10).

Strengths Weaknesses


Rank	Code	Indicator name	Rank	Code	Indicator name
6	2.2.3	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	127	5.2.1	University-industry R&D collaboration
9	4.1.3	Loans from microfinance institutions, % GDP	126	3.3.3	ISO 14001 environment/bn PPP\$ GDP
10	2.1.1	Expenditure on education, % GDP	126	6.3.5	ISO 9001 quality/bn PPP\$ GDP
30	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, %	110	6.2.4	High-tech manufacturing, %
30	6.1.1	Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	109	4.3.2	Domestic industry diversification
36	6.1.3	Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP	106	3.2.2	Logistics performance
50	5.2.5	Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP	101	6.1.2	PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP
54	6.3.2	Production and export complexity	74	7.1.3	Global brand value, top 5,000
55	2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	71	2.3.4	QS university ranking, top 3
61	6.3.3	High-tech exports, % total trade	48	6.2.2	Unicorn valuation, % GDP
			40	2.3.3	Global corporate R&D investors, top 3, mn US\$



→ Kyrgyzstan's innovation system


As far as practicable, the plots below present unscaled indicator data.

> Innovation inputs in Kyrgyzstan




2.1.1 Expenditure on education, % GDP

was equal to 6.63% GDP in 2020, up by 1.26 percentage points from the year prior – and equivalent to an indicator rank of 10.




2.3.4 QS university ranking, top 3

was equal to an average score of 0 for the top 3 universities in 2022, equivalent to an indicator rank of 71.




2.2.2 Graduates in science and engineering, %

was equal to 18.33% of total tertiary graduates in 2021, down by 0.84 percentage points from the year prior – and equivalent to an indicator rank of 86.




3.1.1 ICT access

was equal to a score of 8.78 in 2021, up by 4.15% from the year prior – and equivalent to an indicator rank of 70.



2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP


was equal to 0.089% GDP in 2020, down by 0.0014 percentage points from the year prior – and equivalent to an indicator rank of 106.



4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification

was equal to an index score of 0.535 in 2020, up by 23.88% from the year prior – and equivalent to an indicator rank of 109.






5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, %

was equal to 18.06% in 2021, up by 0.21 percentage points from the year prior – and equivalent to an indicator rank of 80.




> Innovation outputs in Kyrgyzstan




6.1.1 Patents by origin

was equal to 0.086 Thousands in 2021, up by 22.86% from the year prior – and equivalent to an indicator rank of 30.




6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP

was equal to 0.07% GDP in 2022, down by 0.0088 percentage points from the year prior – and equivalent to an indicator rank of 96.




6.1.5 Citable documents H-index

was equal to an index value of 116 in 2022, up by 12.62% from the year prior – and equivalent to an indicator rank of 116.




6.2.4 High-tech manufacturing, %

was equal to 1.85% of total manufacturing output in 2020, down by 0.36 percentage points from the year prior – and equivalent to an indicator rank of 110.



6.2.2 Unicorn valuation, % GDP


was equal to 0 % GDP in 2023 – and equivalent to an indicator rank of 48.



6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade


was equal to 0.044% total trade in 2021, up by 0.0023 percentage points from the year prior – and equivalent to an indicator rank of 75.






6.3.2 Production and export complexity

was equal to a score of 0.155 in 2020, up by 342.4% from the year prior – and equivalent to an indicator rank of 54.




7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP

was equal to 110,542.43 Apps/bn PPP\$ GDP in 2022, up by 1672.81% from the year prior – and equivalent to an indicator rank of 81.



6.3.3 High-tech exports

was equal to 87,115,117 USD in 2021, up by 215.21% from the year prior – and equivalent to an indicator rank of 61.



7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000

was equal to 0 bn USD in 2023 – and equivalent to an indicator rank of 74.



GDP, PPP\$ (bn)

39.2

GII 2023 rank

106

GDP per capita, PPP\$

5,771.1

Kyrgyzstan


4.3.3 Domestic market scale, bn PPP\$

Output rank 112	Input rank 94	Income Lower middle	<u>F</u>	Region CSA	Population (mn) 6.6
		S	core / Valu	e Rank	
★ Institutions			31.0	122	Business sophis
1.1 Institutional er	nvironment		18.1	124	♦ 5.1 Knowledge workers
1.1.1 Operational st	ability for businesses*		19.4	123	♦ 5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive
1.1.2 Government e	ffectiveness*		16.7	112	5.1.2 Firms offering form
1.2 Regulatory en	vironment		49.6	99	5.1.3 GERD performed b
1.2.1 Regulatory qu	ality*		27.1	103	5.1.4 GERD financed by
1.2.2 Rule of law*			8.3	123	5.1.5 Females employed
1.2.3 Cost of redun			17.3	71	5.2 Innovation linkages
1.3 Business envir			25.4	110	5.2.1 University-industry
1.3.1 Policies for do	-		25.4	115	5.2.2 State of cluster de
1.3.2 Entrepreneurs	ship policies and culture	e [†]	n/a	n/a	5.2.3 GERD financed by
🙁 Human capi	ital and research		35.5	49	5.2.4 Joint venture/strate 5.2.5 Patent families/bn
2.1 Education			65.3	14	5.3 Knowledge absorp
	n education, % GDP		6 .6	10 •	5.3.1 Intellectual propert
	unding/pupil, secondary	y, % GDP/cap	n/a	n/a	5.3.2 High-tech imports,
2.1.3 School life exp	pectancy, years		13.6	76	5.3.3 ICT services impor
2.1.4 PISA scales in	reading, maths and sci	ience	n/a	n/a	5.3.4 FDI net inflows, %
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher	ratio, secondary		12.4	55 •	5.3.5 Research talent, %
2.2 Tertiary educa	ation		40.6	33	✓ Knowledge and to the second to the s
2.2.1 Tertiary enrol	ment, % gross		53.5	65	✓ Knowledge and t ✓ The state of the
2.2.2 Graduates in	science and engineering	g, %	18.3	86	6.1 Knowledge creation
2.2.3 Tertiary inbou	ınd mobility, %		23.0	6 •	6.1.1 Patents by origin/br
2.3 Research and	development (R&D)		0.5	111	6.1.2 PCT patents by orig
2.3.1 Researchers,	FTE/mn pop.		n/a	n/a	6.1.3 Utility models by or
2.3.2 Gross expend	liture on R&D, % GDP		0 0.1	106	6.1.4 Scientific and tech
	rate R&D investors, top	3, mn US\$	0.0	40 🔾	
2.3.4 QS university	ranking, top 3*		0.0	71 🔾	
🌣 Infrastructu	ire		30.9	92	6.2.1 Labor productivity 6.2.2 Unicorn valuation,
3.1 Information an	d communication tech	nnologies (ICTs)	64.4	78	6.2.3 Software spending
3.1.1 ICT access*			81.8	70	6.2.4 High-tech manufac
3.1.2 ICT use*			69.2	75	6.3 Knowledge diffusion
3.1.3 Government's	online service*		57.7	80	6.3.1 Intellectual propert
3.1.4 E-participatio	n*		48.8	78	6.3.2 Production and exp
3.2 General infras	tructure		13.7	109	6.3.3 High-tech exports,
3.2.1 Electricity out	put, GWh/mn pop.	C	2,340.4	77	6.3.4 ICT services expor
3.2.2 Logistics perf			9.1	106 🔾	6.3.5 ISO 9001 quality/bi
3.2.3 Gross capital			24.2	65	Creative outputs
3.3 Ecological sus			14.5	105	
3.3.1 GDP/unit of er			7.2	95	7.1 Intangible assets
3.3.2 Environmenta	il performance* vironment/bn PPP\$ GDF	0	28.5 0.1	90 126 〇	7.1.1 Intangible asset into 7.1.2 Trademarks by orig
	·				7.1.2 Hademarks by ong
Market soph	ilstication		33.6	71	7.1.4 Industrial designs b
4.1 Credit			26.4	75	7.2 Creative goods and
	artups and scaleups [†]		n/a	n/a	7.2.1 Cultural and creativ
	dit to private sector, % (28.3	100	7.2.2 National feature file
	icrofinance institutions,	% GDP	3.7	9 •	7.2.3 Entertainment and
4.2 Investment			n/a	n/a	7.2.4 Creative goods exp
4.2.1 Market capitalization, % GDP			n/a	n/a	7.3 Online creativity
4.2.2 Venture capital (VC) investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP			n/a	n/a	7.3.1 Generic top-level d
4.2.3 VC recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP			n/a	n/a	7.3.2 Country-code TLD:
4.2.4 VC received, value, % GDP			n/a	n/a 102	7.3.3 GitHub commits/mi
	fication, and market so	care	40.8	102	7.3.4 Mobile app creation
	rate, weighted avg., %		2.3 36.7	64	^
	4.3.2 Domestic industry diversification			109 🔾	~

	Score / Value	Rank
Business sophistication	18.5	114
5.1 Knowledge workers 5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, % 5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, % 5.1.3 GERD performed by business, % GDP 5.1.4 GERD financed by business, % 5.1.5 Females employed w/advanced degrees, % 5.2 Innovation linkages 5.2.1 University-industry R&D collaboration† 5.2.2 State of cluster development† 5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad, % GDP 5.2.4 Joint venture/strategic alliance deals/bn PPP\$ GDP 5.2.5 Patent families/bn PPP\$ GDP 5.3 Knowledge absorption 5.3.1 Intellectual property payments, % total trade 5.3.2 High-tech imports, % total trade 5.3.3 ICT services imports, % total trade 5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP	24.6 18.1 41.4 0.0 6.9 11.7 6.8 6.0 21.3 0.0 0.0 0.1 24.2 0.1 8.3 0.5 0.8	80 80 30 • 78 79 66 126 ♦ 127 ○ ♦ 110 80 98 50 • 110 96 62 110 104
5.3.5 Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	n/a
6.1 Knowledge creation 6.1.1 Patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP 6.1.2 PCT patents by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP 6.1.3 Utility models by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP 6.1.4 Scientific and technical articles/bn PPP\$ GDP 6.1.5 Citable documents H-index 6.2 Knowledge impact 6.2.1 Labor productivity growth, % 6.2.2 Unicorn valuation, % GDP 6.2.3 Software spending, % GDP 6.2.4 High-tech manufacturing, % 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 6.3.1 Intellectual property receipts, % total trade 6.3.2 Production and export complexity 6.3.3 High-tech exports, % total trade 6.3.4 ICT services exports, % total trade 6.3.5 ISO 9001 quality/bn PPP\$ GDP	13.9 11.5 2.4 0.0 0.4 n/a 4.1 12.7 -0.0 0.0 1.8 17.4 0.0 55.8 1.9 0.3 0.3	96 75 30 • 101 · 36 • 1/a 116 125 · 96 48 · 96 110 · 86 75 54 • 61 • 112 126 ·
Creative outputs	7.0	116
7.1 Intangible assets 7.1.1 Intangible asset intensity, top 15, % 7.1.2 Trademarks by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP 7.1.3 Global brand value, top 5,000 7.1.4 Industrial designs by origin/bn PPP\$ GDP 7.2 Creative goods and services 7.2.1 Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 15-69 7.2.3 Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % total trade 7.3 Online creativity 7.3.1 Generic top-level domains (TLDs)/th pop. 15-69 7.3.2 Country-code TLDs/th pop. 15-69 7.3.3 GitHub commits/mn pop. 15-69 7.3.4 Mobile app creation/bn PPP\$ GDP	4.5 n/a 14.0 0.0 0.2 1.7 n/a n/a 0.2 17.1 0.2 0.8 7.0 60.4	120

NOTES: • indicates a strength; O a weakness; • an income group strength; \diamond an income group weakness; * an index; * a survey question, • indicates that the economy's data are older than the base year; see appendices for details, including the year of the data, at https://www.wipo.int/gii-ranking. Square brackets [] indicate that the data minimum coverage (DMC) requirements were not met at the sub-pillar or pillar level.

39.2 119



→ Data availability

The following tables list indicators that are either missing or outdated for Kyrgyzstan.



> Kyrgyzstan has missing data for fourteen indicators and outdated data for eight indicators.


> Missing data for Kyrgyzstan

Code	Indicator name	Economy Year	Model Year	Source
1.3.2	Entrepreneurship policies and culture	n/a	2022	Global Entrepreneurship Monitor
2.1.2	Government funding/pupil, secondary, % GDP/cap	n/a	2019	UNESCO Institute for Statistics
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths and science	n/a	2018	OECD, PISA
2.3.1	Researchers, FTE/mn pop.	n/a	2021	UNESCO Institute for Statistics; Eurostat; OECD; RICYT
4.1.1	Finance for startups and scaleups	n/a	2022	Global Entrepreneurship Monitor
4.2.1	Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	2020	World Federation of Exchanges; World Bank
4.2.2	Venture capital (VC) investors, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	2022	Refinitiv; International Monetary Fund
4.2.3	VC recipients, deals/bn PPP\$ GDP	n/a	2022	Refinitiv; International Monetary Fund
4.2.4	VC received, value, % GDP	n/a	2022	Refinitiv; International Monetary Fund
5.3.5	Research talent, % in businesses	n/a	2021	UNESCO Institute for Statistics; Eurostat; OECD; RICYT
7.1.1	Intangible asset intensity, top 15, %	n/a	2022	Brand Finance
7.2.1	Cultural and creative services exports, % total trade	n/a	2021	World Trade Organization and United Nations Conference on Trade and Development
7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop. 15-69	n/a	2021	OMDIA; United Nations, World Population Prospects
7.2.3	Entertainment and media market/th pop. 15-69	n/a	2022	PwC, GEMO; United Nations, World Population Prospects; International Monetary Fund



> Outdated data for Kyrgyzstan

Code	Indicator name Economy Model Year Year			Source	
2.1.1	Expenditure on education, % GDP	2020	2021	UNESCO Institute for Statistics	
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	2020	2021	UNESCO Institute for Statistics; Eurostat; OECD; RICYT	
3.2.1	Electricity output, GWh/mn pop.	2020	2021	International Energy Agency	
5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	2021	2022	International Labour Organization	
5.1.3	GERD performed by business, % GDP	2018	2021	UNESCO Institute for Statistics; Eurostat; OECD; RICYT	
5.1.4	GERD financed by business, %	2018	2020	UNESCO Institute for Statistics; Eurostat; OECD; RICYT	
5.1.5	Females employed w/advanced degrees, %	2018	2022	International Labour Organization	
5.2.3	GERD financed by abroad, % GDP	2018	2020	UNESCO Institute for Statistics; Eurostat; OECD; RICYT	



→ About the Global Innovation Index

- The Global Innovation Index (GII) is published by the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), a specialized agency of the United Nations.
- Recognizing that innovation is a key driver of economic development, the GII aims to provide an innovation ranking and rich analysis referencing around 130 economies. Over the last decade, the GII has established itself as both a leading reference on innovation and a "tool for action" for economies that incorporate the GII into their innovation agendas.



The Index is a ranking of the innovation capabilities and results of world economies. It measures innovation based on criteria that include institutions, human capital and research, infrastructure, credit, investment, linkages; the creation, absorption and diffusion of knowledge; and creative outputs.

The GII has two sub-indices: the Innovation Input Sub-Index and the Innovation Output Sub-Index, and seven pillars, each consisting of three sub-pillars.