

# Industrial Property

Published monthly  
Annual subscription:  
Sw.fr. 155.-  
Each monthly issue:  
Sw.fr. 16.-

25th Year - No. 9  
September 1986

Monthly Review of the  
World Intellectual Property Organization

## Contents

### NOTIFICATIONS

WIPO Convention	
I. Application to Aruba .....	343
II. Accession: Lesotho .....	343
Paris Convention. Application to Aruba .....	343
Madrid Agreement (Marks). Application of the Nice Act (1957) and the Stockholm Act (1967) to Aruba .....	343
Hague Agreement	
I. Application of the Monaco Act (1961) to Aruba .....	343
II. Application of the Stockholm Act (1967) to Aruba .....	344
Nice Agreement. Application of the Geneva Act (1977) to Aruba .....	344
Locarno Agreement. Application to Aruba .....	344
Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT). Application to Aruba .....	344
Strasbourg Agreement. Application to Aruba .....	344

### PLANT VARIETIES

International Convention for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants. Application to Aruba .....	345
--	-----

### WIPO MEETINGS

WIPO Permanent Committee on Patent Information (PCPI)	
I. Working Group on General Information .....	346
II. Working Group on Patent Information for Developing Countries .....	347
III. Working Group on Planning .....	348
IV. Working Group on Special Questions .....	349

### GENERAL STUDIES

Protection of the Circuit Layout of Semiconductor Integrated Circuits in Japan (Z. Kitagawa) .....	351
Patenting Seeds in the United States of America (W. Lesser) .....	360

CALENDAR OF MEETINGS .....	368
----------------------------	-----

### INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY LAWS AND TREATIES

#### Editor's Note

#### CHINA

Implementing Regulations of the Patent Law of the People's Republic of China Approved by the State Council and Promulgated by the Patent Office of the People's Republic of China on January 19, 1985, with Proclamation (No. 3) of the Patent Office of the People's Republic of China (replacement sheet) .....	Text 2-002
---	------------

© WIPO 1986

Any reproduction of official notes or reports, articles and translations of laws or agreements published in this review is authorized only with the prior consent of WIPO.

ISSN 0019-8625

DENMARK

Patents Act (Patents Act No. 479 of December 20, 1967, as last amended by Act No. 153 of April 11, 1984) ..... Text 2-001

SOVIET UNION

Statute on Trademarks (adopted by the USSR State Committee for Inventions and Discoveries on January 8, 1974, and amended by the amendments and additions adopted by the USSR State Committee for Inventions and Discoveries on January 22, 1976, the Decrees of the USSR State Committee for Inventions and Discoveries No. 4(7) of March 22, 1979, and No. 1(15) of July 9, 1982, Order No. 95 of October 12, 1982, and Decree No. 2(5) of April 14, 1983) ..... Text 3-001

## Notifications

### WIPO Convention

#### I. Application to Aruba

The Government of the Netherlands has stated, in its note dated December 23, 1985, that the Convention Establishing the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), signed at Stockholm on July 14, 1967, which had been made applicable to the Netherlands Antilles including the island of Aruba (see WIPO Notification No. 58 dated October 10, 1974\*), applies as of January 1, 1986, as concerns the Kingdom of the Netherlands, to the Netherlands Antilles *and* Aruba.

WIPO Notification No. 136, of August 8, 1986.

#### II. Accession

##### LESOTHO

The Government of Lesotho deposited, on August 18, 1986, its instrument of accession to the WIPO Convention.

Lesotho will belong to Class C for the purpose of establishing its contribution towards the budget of the WIPO Conference.

The said Convention will enter into force, with respect to Lesotho, on November 18, 1986.

WIPO Notification No. 137, of August 18, 1986.

### Paris Convention

#### Application to Aruba

The Government of the Netherlands has stated, in its note dated December 23, 1985, that the Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property, which had been made applicable to the Netherlands Antilles including the island of Aruba (see Paris Notification No. 51 dated October 10, 1974\*\*), applies as of January 1, 1986, as concerns the Kingdom of the Netherlands, to the Netherlands Antilles *and* Aruba.

Paris Notification No. 116, of August 8, 1986.

\* *Industrial Property*, 1974, p. 403.

\*\* *Ibid.*

### Madrid Agreement (Marks)

#### Application of the Nice Act (1957) and the Stockholm Act (1967) to Aruba

The Government of the Netherlands has informed the Director General of WIPO, in its notification dated February 14, 1986, that the Nice Act of June 15, 1957 ("Nice Act (1957)"), and the Stockholm Act of July 14, 1967 ("Stockholm Act (1967)"), of the Madrid Agreement Concerning the International Registration of Marks of April 14, 1891, applies to Aruba.

Pursuant to the provisions of Article 11(7) of the Nice Act (1957), the said Act of the Madrid Agreement is applicable to Aruba as of September 8, 1986. In accordance with Article 14(7) of the Stockholm Act (1967), the said Act of the Madrid Agreement will be applicable to Aruba on November 8, 1986.

Madrid (Marks) Notification No. 37, of August 8, 1986.

### Hague Agreement

#### I. Application of the Monaco Act (1961) to Aruba

The Government of the Netherlands has stated, in its note dated December 27, 1985, which was transmitted by the Federal Department of Foreign Affairs of Switzerland, that the Additional Act of Monaco of November 18, 1961 ("the Monaco Act (1961)") of the Hague Agreement Concerning the International Deposit of Industrial Designs, of November 6, 1925, which had been made applicable to the Netherlands Antilles, including the island of Aruba, applies, as of January 1, 1986, as concerns the Kingdom of the Netherlands, to the Netherlands Antilles *and* Aruba.

The Hague Notification No. 24, of August 8, 1986.

## II. Application of the Stockholm Act (1967) to Aruba

The Government of the Netherlands has informed the Director General of WIPO, in its notification dated February 14, 1986, that the Complementary Act of Stockholm of July 14, 1967 ("Stockholm Act (1967)"), of the Hague Agreement applies to Aruba.

In accordance with the provisions of Article 9(2) of the Stockholm Act (1967), the said Act of the Hague Agreement will enter into force, with respect to Aruba, on November 8, 1986.

The Hague Notification No. 25, of August 8, 1986.

## Nice Agreement

### Application of the Geneva Act (1977) to Aruba

The Government of the Netherlands has informed the Director General of WIPO, in its notification dated February 14, 1986, that the Geneva Act of May 13, 1977 ("Geneva Act (1977)"), of the Nice Agreement Concerning the International Classification of Goods and Services for the Purposes of the Registration of Marks, of June 15, 1957, applies to Aruba.

In accordance with Article 13 of the Geneva Act (1977) of the Nice Agreement, the said Act will be applicable to Aruba on November 8, 1986.

Nice Notification No. 64, of August 8, 1986.

## Locarno Agreement

### Application to Aruba

The Government of the Netherlands has informed the Director General of WIPO, in a notification dated August 4, 1986, that the Locarno Agreement Establishing an International Classification for Industrial Designs, signed at Locarno on October 8, 1968, applies to Aruba.

Pursuant to the provisions of Article 13, the said Agreement will enter into force, with respect to Aruba, on November 8, 1986.

Locarno Notification No. 21, of August 8, 1986.

## Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT)

### Application to Aruba

The Government of the Netherlands has stated, in its note dated December 23, 1985, that the Patent Cooperation Treaty, which had been made applicable to the Netherlands Antilles including the island of Aruba (see PCT Notification No. 26 dated April 19, 1979\*\*\*), applies as of January 1, 1986, as concerns the Kingdom of the Netherlands, to the Netherlands Antilles *and* Aruba.

PCT Notification No. 48, of August 8, 1986.

## Strasbourg Agreement

### Application to Aruba

The Government of the Netherlands has informed the Director General of WIPO, in its note dated December 23, 1985, that the Strasbourg Agreement Concerning the International Patent Classification which had been made applicable to the Netherlands Antilles including the island of Aruba (see Strasbourg Notification No. 14 dated September 17, 1974\*\*\*\*), applies as of January 1, 1986, as concerns the Kingdom of the Netherlands, to the Netherlands Antilles *and* Aruba.

Strasbourg Notification No. 34, of August 8, 1986.

\*\*\* *Ibid.*, 1979, p. 123.

\*\*\*\* *Ibid.*, 1974, p. 404.

# Plant Varieties

## International Convention for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants

### Application to Aruba

The Government of the Netherlands has informed the Secretary-General of the International Union for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants (UPOV), in its notification dated February 14, 1986, that the International Convention for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants of December 2, 1961, as revised at Geneva on November 10, 1972, and on October 23, 1978, applies to Aruba.

Pursuant to the provisions of Article 36(3)(a), the said International Convention as revised in 1978 will be applicable to Aruba on November 8, 1986.

UPOV Notification No. 34, of August 8, 1986.

# WIPO Meetings

## WIPO Permanent Committee on Patent Information (PCPI)

### I. Working Group on General Information

Ninth Session  
(Geneva, April 14 to 18, 1986)

#### NOTE\*

The Working Group on General Information (hereinafter referred to as "the Working Group") held its ninth session in Geneva from April 14 to 18, 1986.<sup>1</sup>

The following 18 members of the Working Group were represented at the session: Austria, Canada, Czechoslovakia, Denmark, Finland, France, German Democratic Republic, Germany (Federal Republic of), Japan, Netherlands, Norway, Soviet Union, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom, United States of America, European Patent Office (EPO). The Commission of the European Communities (CEC), the International Patent Documentation Center (INPADOC) and the Patent Documentation Group (PDG) were represented by observers. The list of participants follows this Note.

The Working Group agreed upon the final draft of the revised Appendix II to WIPO Standard ST.16 (Code for Different Kinds of Patent Documents), which Appendix gives detailed information for each kind of patent document published by 40 patent offices. The Working Group also discussed what would be an appropriate code for patent documents that essentially contain only translations of the whole or part of patent documents already published under regional or international arrangements. It could, however, not come to a solution. The International Bureau was requested to prepare a discussion paper on the question and to circulate it to the members for comment.

The Working Group finalized a draft recommendation on the structuring of name indexes of patent documents.

The Working Group approved a draft recommendation concerning the form in which priority documents may be filed with patent offices, as well as the question of certifying the authenticity of such documents if filed on microfiche. The International Bureau will invite the patent offices of member States to state clearly their willingness to issue and to receive priority documents in microform.

\* Prepared by the International Bureau.

<sup>1</sup> For a Note on the preceding session, see *Industrial Property*, 1985, p. 352.

The Working Group adopted a draft recommendation concerning coded character sets to be used in the exchange of machine-readable patent data and another draft recommendation concerning the filing of patent applications in optical character recognition (OCR) format.

The Working Group adopted draft amendments to WIPO Standard ST.3, now entitled "Recommended Standard Two-Letter Code for the Representation of Countries, and of Other Entities and International Organizations Issuing or Registering Industrial Property Titles."

#### LIST OF PARTICIPANTS\*\*

##### I. Member States

**Austria:** H. Erber. **Canada:** C. McDermott. **Czechoslovakia:** M. Kopča; M. Fořtová. **Denmark:** I.-L. Frisenberg. **Finland:** R. Laukkarinen. **France:** M. Verderosa. **German Democratic Republic:** H. Konrad; K.P. Wittig. **Germany (Federal Republic of):** E. Derday; H. Rothe. **Japan:** Y. Masuda. **Netherlands:** D. Dogger. **Norway:** P.E. Lillejordet. **Soviet Union:** B.S. Rozov. **Spain:** A. Gómez García. **Sweden:** L. Stolt. **Switzerland:** K. Grünig; K. Aeschlimann. **United Kingdom:** T. Saul. **United States of America:** J.R. Goudeau.

##### II. Member Organization

**European Patent Office (EPO):** C.J. Jonckheere.

##### III. Observer Organizations

**Commission of the European Communities (CEC):** H. Bank. **International Patent Documentation Center (INPADOC):** G. Quarda. **Patent Documentation Group (PDG):** P. Ochsenbein; S. Hahnemann.

##### IV. Officers

**Chairman:** T. Saul (United Kingdom). **Vice-Chairmen:** E. Derday (Federal Republic of Germany); B. Rozov (Soviet Union). **Secretary:** P. Higham (WIPO).

##### V. International Bureau of WIPO

L.E. Kostikov (*Deputy Director General*); P. Higham (*Head, Patent Information Section, Patent Information and Classification Division*); G. Negoulijev (*Senior Patent Information Officer, Patent Information Section*); V. Tỳč (*Assistant Patent Information Officer, Patent Information Section*).

\*\* A list containing the titles and functions of the participants may be obtained from the International Bureau.

## II. Working Group on Patent Information for Developing Countries

Seventh Session  
(Geneva, June 4 to 6, 1986)

### NOTE\*

The Working Group on Patent Information for Developing Countries (hereinafter referred to as "the Working Group") of the WIPO Permanent Committee on Patent Information (PCPI) held its seventh session in Geneva from June 4 to 6, 1986.<sup>1</sup>

The following 19 members of the Working Group were represented at the session: Brazil, Canada, China, Cuba, Egypt, Finland, France, German Democratic Republic, Germany (Federal Republic of), Ghana, Japan, Philippines, Soviet Union, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom, United States of America, and the European Patent Office (EPO). The International Federation for Documentation (FID) and the International Patent Documentation Center (INPADOC) were represented by observers. The list of participants follows this Note.

The Working Group discussed the present situation under the WIPO Program of Free-of-Charge Patent Information Services, and noted with approval that the contributions to this Program had been further broadened, enabling the International Bureau to satisfy the increasing demand from the side of users in developing countries.

The Working Group also noted analytical surveys prepared by the International Bureau on the replies received to the various questions put forward in the evaluation questionnaires distributed together with the search reports, and agreed that the routine distribution of the evaluation questionnaires presently in use should be discontinued. The International Bureau was requested to prepare a simplified evaluation sheet, a modified form for the submission of search requests, and a specific evaluation form. It was suggested that the specific evaluation form should be sent only when the search request indicated as purpose "to assist in overcoming difficulties in certain steps of a technology already implemented," or "to form a basis for developing research and development activities by identifying the solutions already known to a technological problem."

The Working Group revised the "Guidelines for the Organization of a Patent Information and Documentation Center, with Particular Regard to the Needs of and Conditions in Developing Countries" and asked the International Bureau to finalize the text.

The Working Group discussed the second draft of the "Glossary of Terms and Expressions Used in Patent Information and Documentation" and requested the International Bureau to invite further comments on the said draft and to prepare a draft on the basis of any comments received. The Working Group also requested the International Bureau to take the necessary steps to publish the Glossary also in French and Spanish.

The Working Group noted with approval the revised model curricula on "Training Courses on Patent Information (in general)" and on "Training Courses on International Patent Classification" prepared by the International Bureau.

The Working Group recommended that the task of updating the "List of Periodicals Obtainable Free of Charge or on Very Favorable Conditions by Developing Countries" be included in the PCPI Program for the 1986/1987 biennium.

### LIST OF PARTICIPANTS\*\*

#### I. Member States

**Brazil:** C. Rosemberg Treiguer. **Canada:** J.H.A. Gariépy. **China:** Xiao-Min Xu. **Cuba:** M. Jiménez Aday. **A. Santos Rivera.** **Egypt:** M. Hilal; W.Z. Kamil. **Finland:** J. Rainesalo. **France:** A. de Pastors. **German Democratic Republic:** K.P. Wittig. **Germany (Federal Republic of):** M. Voegtel. **Ghana:** A.M. Abdullah. **Japan:** Y. Masuda. **Philippines:** L.M. Duka. **Soviet Union:** L. Bandourina. **Spain:** D. Alcaraz Ruano. **Sweden:** K. Bergström. **Switzerland:** E. Caussignac. **United Kingdom:** G.K. Lindsey. **United States of America:** W.S. Lawson; T. Lomont.

#### II. Member Organization

**European Patent Office (EPO):** A.G. Wells.

#### III. Observer Organizations

**International Federation for Documentation (FID):** F.J. Leloux. **International Patent Documentation Center (INPADOC):** G. Quarda.

#### IV. Officers

**Chairman:** L.M. Duka (Philippines). **Vice-Chairmen:** J.H.A. Gariépy (Canada); L. Bandourina (Soviet Union). **Secretary:** R. Blumstengel (WIPO).

#### V. International Bureau of WIPO

**L.E. Kostikov** (*Deputy Director General*); **R. Blumstengel** (*Head, Developing Countries Section (Patent Information)*); **R. Andary** (*Senior Program Officer, Developing Countries Section (Patent Information)*); **N. Yoshikuni** (*Program Officer, Developing Countries Section (Patent Information)*).

\* Prepared by the International Bureau.

<sup>1</sup> For a note on the sixth session, see *Industrial Property*, 1985, p. 322.

\*\* A list containing the titles and functions of the participants may be obtained from the International Bureau.

### III. Working Group on Planning

Seventeenth Session  
(Geneva, June 9 to 13, 1986)

#### NOTE\*

The Working Group on Planning (hereinafter referred to as "the Planning Group") held its seventeenth session in Geneva from June 9 to 13, 1986.<sup>1</sup>

The following 15 members of the Planning Group were represented at the session: Australia, Austria, Brazil, Canada, Finland, France, Germany (Federal Republic of), Japan, Soviet Union, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom, United States of America and the European Patent Office (EPO). The list of participants follows this note.

In respect of new requests and proposals for adding to the PCPI Program for the 1986-1987 biennium, the Planning Group noted a proposal submitted by the Hungarian Office, relating to the addition of periodicals concerning the rapidly expanding technical subject of biotechnology to the list of "minimum documentation" periodicals established under PCT Rule 34.1(b)(iii), and a study prepared by the African Regional Industrial Property Organization (ARIPO) concerning the availability of translations of international applications under the PCT, and agreed to request the International Bureau to put both matters before the PCT/CTC for consideration at its forthcoming ninth session. The Planning Group noted a request submitted by the United Kingdom Patent Office relating to the use of check digits with application and serial numbers and decided to recommend to the Permanent Committee that the task be added to the said Program.

In respect of newly received requests for revision of the IPC, the Planning Group noted, and agreed in general with, the conclusions reached, and recommendations made, by the IPC Committee of Experts in respect of the number of IPC revision projects selected each year and the process of selection, noting in particular that appointing the originator of a revision project as rapporteur for that project would lessen the burden of work in some offices. The Planning Group agreed to recommend to the Permanent Committee that any interested office should be given the opportunity to act as rapporteur for any project it had not originated. The Planning Group noted a letter submitted by the Japanese Patent Office which made proposals for modifying the criteria for selecting IPC revision requests for inclusion in the revision program and agreed that the question of selection of IPC revision projects should receive urgent attention.

The Planning Group discussed a draft questionnaire, prepared by the Australian Patent Office, relating to the use of patent statistics for technological assessment and forecasting, requested the International Bureau, in consultation with the Australian Patent Office, to revise and restructure the said questionnaire to take into account the comments received, and then to issue the said questionnaire.

The Planning Group noted a statement by the International Bureau concerning the preparation of inventories of English-language abstracts of Japanese and Soviet patent documents, heard several members of the Planning Group reaffirm the strong interest of their offices in receiving copies of the said inventories, and requested the International Bureau to issue a circular letter inviting offices to express their firm intention to purchase copies of the said inventories.

#### LIST OF PARTICIPANTS\*\*

##### I. Members

Australia: H. Preston. Austria: F. Sohs. Brazil: C.R. Teiguer. Canada: G. Guzzo. Finland: J. Rainesalo. France: A. de Pastors. Germany (Federal Republic of): M. Voegtel; H.J. Fruehauf. Japan: Y. Masuda. Soviet Union: V. Kukolev; A. Korchagin. Spain: A. Gómez Garcia. Sweden: L.G. Björklund; K. Bergström. Switzerland: E. Causignac. United Kingdom: G.K. Lindsey. United States of America: W.S. Lawson; T.F. Lomont.

##### II. Member Organization

European Patent Office (EPO): A. Vandecasteele; C. Jonckheere.

##### III. Officers

Chairman: H. Preston (Australia). Vice-Chairmen: G. Lindsey (United Kingdom); V. Kukolev (Soviet Union). Secretary: P. Higham (WIPO).

##### IV. International Bureau of WIPO

L.E. Kostikov (*Deputy Director General*); B. Hansson (*Head, Patent Classification Section, Patent Information and Classification Division*); P. Higham (*Head, Patent Information Section, Patent Information and Classification Division*); G. Negouliaev (*Senior Patent Information Officer, Patent Information Section*); V. Tšë (*Assistant Patent Information Officer, Patent Information Section*).

\* Prepared by the International Bureau.

<sup>1</sup> For a Note on the sixteenth session, see *Industrial Property*, 1986, p. 30.

\*\* A list containing the titles and functions of the participants may be obtained from the International Bureau.



## IV. Working Group on Special Questions

Ninth Session  
(Geneva, June 9 to 13, 1986)

### NOTE\*

The Working Group on Special Questions (hereinafter referred to as "the Working Group") held its ninth session in Geneva from June 9 to 13, 1986.<sup>1</sup>

The following 15 members of the Working Group were represented at the session: Australia, Austria, Brazil, Canada, Finland, France, Germany (Federal Republic of), Japan, Soviet Union, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom, United States of America, and the European Patent Office (EPO). The International Federation for Documentation (FID) was represented by an observer. The list of participants follows this Note.

In respect of its study of the consistency in the application of the International Patent Classification (IPC), the Working Group agreed that time was not yet ripe for carrying out a study on the consistency in the application of the fourth edition of the IPC, since a sufficient number of documents had not yet been classified according to that edition, and expressed the view that, when adopting the program for the 1988-1989 biennium, the PCPI may wish to consider whether a further consistency study should be carried out, based on patent documents classified according to the fourth edition of the IPC and to be evaluated in the same way as the studies already completed.

The Working Group finalized the redraft of the text of the "Guidelines for the Organization of Search Files Based on the IPC" by agreeing upon wording which gives guidance for reducing the bulkiness of search files.

The Working Group, in its task of monitoring the use of microforms and other mass storage media for patent documents by offices, agreed that the task should be continued. Some conclusions could already be drawn from the information available which seemed to indicate that the use of microforms themselves was well established for the mass storage of patent documents but that the use of optical storage, e.g., video discs, CD ROMs, and of magnetic storage, was still regarded by offices as experimental.

The Working Group noted the progress made in updating the inventory of computerized search systems consisting exclusively, or almost exclusively, of references to patent documents. The Working Group agreed that the said inventory should be maintained as up to date as possible and welcomed a statement by the International Bureau that further information received

before the end of August 1986 would be included in the final inventory containing details of 36 such systems to be published in the 1986 series of updating pages of the *WIPO Handbook on Patent Information and Documentation*.

The Working Group noted the numerous comments that had been received concerning experiences gained in the use of computerized systems useful for the purposes of search and examination. Some members of the Working Group expressed a reservation to this effect that it was still too early to come to any conclusion concerning an evaluation of the effectiveness of computerized search systems, but noted certain problems that had been encountered in the use already made of the systems.

The Working Group discussed the detailed proposal for an advanced IPC seminar to be held in October 1987, prepared by the International Bureau, and agreed on the time schedule for the preparation of the seminar, its title, structure and content.

Finally, the Working Group noted the draft of the handbook on telex interrogation of on-line patent data bases prepared by the International Bureau. It discussed certain difficulties that had arisen, in particular, the difficulties that occur when using telex machines that generate "carriage return" and/or "line feed" characters automatically. It agreed that a revised draft of the said handbook, to include as many examples as possible, should be prepared in good time before its next session.

### LIST OF PARTICIPANTS\*\*

#### I. Members

**Australia:** H. Preston. **Austria:** F. Sohs. **Brazil:** C.R. Treiguer. **Canada:** G. Guzzo. **Finland:** J. Rainesalo. **France:** A. de Pastors. **Germany (Federal Republic of):** M. Voegtel; H.J. Fruehauf. **Japan:** Y. Masuda. **Soviet Union:** V. Kukolev; A. Korchagin. **Spain:** A. Gómez García. **Sweden:** L.G. Björklund; K. Bergström. **Switzerland:** E. Causignac. **United Kingdom:** G.K. Lindsey. **United States of America:** W.S. Lawson; T.F. Lomont.

#### II. Member Organization

**European Patent Office (EPO):** A. Vandecasteele; C. Jonckheere.

#### III. Observer Organization

**International Federation for Documentation (FID):** F. Schweikhardt.

\* Prepared by the International Bureau.

<sup>1</sup> For a Note on the eighth session, see *Industrial Property*, 1986, p. 31.

\*\* A list containing the titles and functions of the participants may be obtained from the International Bureau.

#### IV. Officers

*Chairman:* H. Preston (Australia). *Vice-Chairmen:* G. Lindsey (United Kingdom); V. Kukolev (Soviet Union). *Secretary:* P. Higham (WIPO).

#### V. International Bureau of WIPO

L.E. Kostikov (*Deputy Director General*); B. Hansson (*Head, Patent Classification Section, Patent Information and Classification Division*); P. Higham (*Head, Patent Information Section, Patent Information and Classification Division*); G. Negouliaev (*Senior Patent Information Officer, Patent Information Section*); V. Týč (*Assistant Patent Information Officer, Patent Information Section*).

## General Studies

### **Protection of the Circuit Layout of Semiconductor Integrated Circuits in Japan**

Z. KITAGAWA\*



















**Patenting Seeds in the United States  
of America: What to Expect**

W. LESSER\*

















# Calendar of Meetings

## WIPO Meetings

(Not all WIPO meetings are listed. Dates are subject to possible change.)

### 1986

October 13 to 17 (Geneva) — Permanent Committee on Patent Information (PCPI): Working Group on General Information

November 11 to 14 (Geneva) — Committee of Experts on the International Registration of Marks

November 24 to December 5 (Geneva) — Permanent Committee on Patent Information (PCPI): Working Group on Search Information

December 8 to 12 (Geneva) — Permanent Committee on Patent Information (PCPI): Working Groups on Special Questions and on Planning

## UPOV Meetings

### 1986

November 18 and 19 (Geneva) — Administrative and Legal Committee

November 20 and 21 (Geneva) — Technical Committee

December 1 (Paris) — Consultative Committee

December 2 and 3 (Paris) — Council

## Other Meetings Concerned with Industrial Property

### 1986

October 22 to 24 (Mainz) — Pharmaceutical Trade Marks Group: 33rd Conference

December 1 to 5 (Munich) — European Patent Organisation: Administrative Council