

Industrial Property

Published monthly
Annual subscription :
Sw.fr. 140.-
Each monthly issue :
Sw.fr. 14.-

23rd Year - No. 11
November 1984

Monthly Review of the
World Intellectual Property Organization

Contents

NOTIFICATIONS

Paris Convention. Ratification of the Stockholm Act (1967) (with the exception of Articles 1 to 12):
Iceland 363

WIPO MEETINGS

Governing Bodies of WIPO and the Unions Administered by WIPO 363

SPECIAL STUDIES

One Hundredth Anniversary of the Entry into Force of the Paris Convention for the Protection of
Industrial Property 367

Adoption and Ratification by Belgium of the 1883 Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial
Property (R. Raux) 367

Brazil's Ratification of the Paris Convention of 1883 (A.C. Bandeira) 369

The Ratification of the Paris Convention by France and the Reactions Thereto
(J.C. Combaldieu) 374

Italy and the Establishment of the Paris Union for the Protection of Industrial Property
(R. Boros) 379

The Netherlands and the Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property (J.J. Bos) .. 383

Development of Portuguese Industrial Property Legislation in the Light of Portugal's Ratification of
the Paris Convention (J. Mota Maia) 386

The Accession of Switzerland to the Paris Convention: An Historical Insight (P. Braendli and
J.-M. Souche) 391

Prelude to the United Kingdom's Accession to the Paris Convention, March 17, 1884 (I.J.G. Davis
and J. Harrison) 395

Serbia, One of the Founding States of the 1883 Paris Union (M. Bulajić) 399

NEWS FROM INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY OFFICES

Yugoslavia 401

CALENDAR OF MEETINGS 402

INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY LAWS AND TREATIES

Editor's Note

MULTILATERAL TREATIES

Patent Cooperation Treaty (done at Washington on June 19, 1970, amended on October 2, 1979,
and modified on February 3, 1984) (Replacement sheets) Text 2-006

© WIPO 1984

Any reproduction of official notes or reports, articles and translations of laws or agreements published in
this review is authorized only with the prior consent of WIPO.

ISSN 0019-8625

Notifications

Paris Convention

Ratification of the Stockholm Act (1967) (with the exception of Articles 1 to 12)

ICELAND

The Government of Iceland deposited, on September 28, 1984, its instrument of ratification of the Stockholm Act of July 14, 1967, of the Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property of March 20, 1883, with a declaration to the effect that its ratification shall not apply to Articles 1 to 12 of the said Act.

Iceland will belong to Class VII for the purpose of establishing its contribution towards the budget of the Paris Union.

The Stockholm Act (1967) of the said Convention, with the exception of Articles 1 to 12, will enter into force, with respect to Iceland, on December 28, 1984.

Paris Notification No. 112, of September 28, 1984.

WIPO Meetings

Governing Bodies of WIPO and the Unions Administered by WIPO

Fifteenth Series of Meetings
(Geneva, September 24 to 28, 1984)

NOTE*

The Governing Bodies of WIPO and the Unions administered by WIPO held their fifteenth series of meetings in Geneva from September 24 to 28, 1984. The following six Governing Bodies held sessions:

WIPO Coordination Committee, eighteenth session (15th ordinary);

Paris Union Assembly, ninth session (3rd extraordinary);

Paris Union Conference of Representatives, eleventh session (5th extraordinary);

Paris Union Executive Committee, twentieth session (20th ordinary);

Berne Union Executive Committee, twenty-third session (15th ordinary);

PCT (Patent Cooperation Treaty) Union Assembly, twelfth session (8th extraordinary).

Delegations of 77 States participated in the meetings. Eleven intergovernmental organizations and four international non-governmental organizations were represented by observers. The list of participants, including the list of officers, follows this note.

* Prepared by the International Bureau.

Accounts and Activities. The Governing Bodies reviewed and noted with approval reports by the Director General on the financial accounts for 1982 and 1983 and on the activities of WIPO from September 1983 to September 1984. All the delegations that intervened in the discussion expressed satisfaction with the accomplishments of the International Bureau since the 1983 sessions of the Governing Bodies. Several of those delegations also noted that the activities had been carried out in accordance with the approved program, that the activities—particularly those related to development cooperation—had increased in comparison with those of the preceding period and that all activities had been carried out in a highly competent manner, fully meeting the concerns for the efficient management and rational use of resources. In the course of their interventions, a number of delegations described the development cooperation activities undertaken by the International Bureau in their respective countries or to which their governments had contributed financial support and other assistance. Several of those delegations stated that in carrying out those development activities, WIPO was a model of intergovernmental cooperation for development which made it stand out among the specialized agencies of the United Nations system. The delegations of developing countries expressed their thanks to the International Bureau for the development cooperation activities that had been carried out for the benefit of their countries, and they conveyed their appreciation to the governments of those States and institutions that had contributed to the execution of those activities by providing training, sending consultants and furnishing documentation. A number of delegations referred to the session of the WIPO Permanent Committee for Development Cooperation Related to Industrial Property which was held in the week preceding the meetings of the Governing Bodies and, in endorsing the conclusions of that body, pointed to the valuable suggestions made by that Committee for the program of future work in the field of development cooperation. Several delegations expressed satisfaction with the plans concerning the celebration of the centenary of the Berne Union in 1986 and thanked the Government of Switzerland for its generous offer of hosting the celebration in the *Palais fédéral* in Berne. Several delegations expressed the wish that WIPO should, by specific program activities, make a concrete contribution to international cooperation for the promotion of peace, referring to relevant resolutions of the General Assembly of the United Nations.

Director General. The WIPO Coordination Committee decided, upon the proposal of the Delegation of the United States of America, to nominate, unanimously and by acclamation, Dr. Arpad Bogsch for reappointment by the WIPO General Assembly at its session in 1985 for a six-year term as Director General of WIPO. A great number of delegations of States and

the representatives of several international organizations conveyed their congratulations to Dr. Arpad Bogsch on his nomination. The Director General expressed his profound appreciation to all the Delegations for their unanimous decision. In his speech, he made the following statement as to the future tasks of WIPO:

"First of all, WIPO will have to further increase its usefulness for the developing countries. Intellectual property should contribute directly to the realization of the aims of their governments for improving their economic conditions and thus contribute to their self-reliance and competitiveness. This is an objective which is uncontested and crystal clear. The International Bureau will continue to try to be imaginative, dynamic and prompt to respond equally to the wishes of the developing countries in every region of the world.

"Second, WIPO will have to increase its work on the solution of the protection of intellectual property in new fields, both in copyright and industrial property. I mean computer programs, copyright and design piracy, biotechnology, satellite broadcast, cable television, etc. If WIPO does not act fast enough, those matters will escape from the domain of intellectual property and the whole system will diminish in importance. We should not allow that to happen.

"Thirdly, I am convinced that with over 160 independent countries in the world, the securing of protection on the international level must be simplified and made more economical. Otherwise, the patenting of inventions, and the registration of trademarks, in foreign countries, will fall into desuetude. This is why the Patent Cooperation Treaty should be accepted by more countries, and this is why a solution, acceptable worldwide, should be found for the international registration of marks."

Revision of the Paris Convention. The Diplomatic Conference on the Revision of the Paris Convention, at the conclusion, in March 1984, of its fourth session, had recommended to the Paris Union Assembly that it reconvene the Diplomatic Conference as soon as it finds prospects for positive results; the countries participating in the Diplomatic Conference asked the Paris Union Assembly to consider the setting up of a machinery for consultation designed to prepare, on substance, the next session. The Paris Union Assembly decided that the said machinery would consist of consultative meetings of up to 10 representatives of States, including the spokesman, for each Group of countries; China would be invited to participate in such meetings as soon as it becomes a member of the Paris Union; the three spokesmen would, by consensus, agree on the dates, duration, agenda, chairmanship and documentation of the consultative meetings, and any distribution of documents; the International Bureau would provide the

secretariat of the meetings; it would also provide interpretation not only for those meetings but also for any meeting of any Regional Group; the Director General of WIPO would report on any consultative meeting to each ordinary session of the Assembly of the Paris Union and to each extraordinary session of that Assembly convened for the purpose of considering matters relating to the Diplomatic Conference; the competence of the Assembly of the Paris Union, and the competence of the Diplomatic Conference, would not be affected by any conclusions of the consultative meetings; a preparatory meeting between the three spokesmen would take place at the headquarters of WIPO on December 20, 1984; the first consultative meeting would take place within the first six months of 1985.

International Registration of Marks. The Paris Union Assembly agreed that a Committee of Experts be convened to discuss ideas suggested by the International Association for the Protection of Industrial Property (AIPPI) concerning possible links between the Madrid Agreement Concerning the International Registration of Marks and the Proposed Regulation of the European Community on the Community Trade Mark, and suggestions for certain provisions in a new variant of the Madrid Agreement.

Preparation of the Draft Agendas of the 1985 Ordinary Sessions of the Governing Bodies. The Governing Bodies approved items for the draft agendas of the 1985 sessions of the WIPO General Assembly and Conference and the Paris Union and Berne Union Assemblies.

PCT Matters. The PCT Union Assembly fixed new amounts, with effect from January 1, 1985, of the fees specified in the Schedule of Fees annexed to the PCT Regulations. It is to be noted that a maximum amount of the designation fee, corresponding to the amount due for 10 designations for which the fee is due, is provided for. It also approved an amendment to the Agreement between the International Bureau of WIPO and the European Patent Organisation, with a view to the European Patent Office acting, once the United States of America has withdrawn its reservation excluding the application of Chapter II of the PCT, as an International Preliminary Examining Authority for international applications filed with the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Finally, the PCT Union Assembly agreed that an international application which is received by telecopier by a receiving Office is to be accorded an international filing date, and that any formal defect, such as the lack of signature or of fitness for reproduction, may be subsequently corrected without affecting the international filing date. It was understood, however, that no receiving Office would be obliged to make telecopier facilities available to applicants.

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS*

I. States

- Algeria**^{1, 2, 4}: D. Hadj-Sadok; H. Touati.
Argentina^{1, 2, 4}: R. Villambrosa; J. Pereira.
Australia^{1, 2, 5, 6}: P.A. Smith.
Austria^{1, 2, 4, 6}: O. Leberl; E. Kubesch.
Belgium^{2, 6}: J.M. Poswick; P. Ceuninck.
Bolivia: I. Paz Claros.
Brazil^{1, 2, 4, 6}: P. Nogueira Batista; A. Gurgel de Alencar; E. Cordeiro; P.R. França; P. Mendes de Carvalho.
Bulgaria^{1, 2, 5}: I. Markova; A. Angelov; R. Atanasova Kazandyewa; G. Sarakinov.
Byelorussian SSR: V. Grekov.
Cameroon^{2, 6}: W. Eyambe.
Canada^{1, 2, 5}: R. Gagnon; D.S. McCracken; P.A. Van Brakel; R. Hornby.
Chile^{1, 5}: J. Bustos; F. Pérez.
China¹: Tang Zhongshun; Deng Shaoxi; Ma Yaoyang.
Colombia¹: H. Charry-Samper; C. Arévalo Yepes.
Congo^{1, 2, 4, 6}: E. Kouloufoua; S. Bayalama.
Costa Rica^{1, 5}: E. Soley Soler; J. Rhenan Segura.
Cuba²: M. Jiménez Aday.
Czechoslovakia^{1, 2, 5}: M. Bělohávek; J. Prošek.
Denmark^{2, 6}: L. Østerborg.
Egypt^{1, 2, 4}: S. Alfarargi; I. Salem; M. Daghash; A.G. Fouad.
Finland^{2, 6}: T. Kivi-Koskinen; E. Wuori; K.M. Ilander.
France^{1, 2, 5, 6}: J.C. Combaldieu; M. Hiance; A. Chopard; L. Nicodème; J.-M. Momal.
Gabon^{2, 6}: P.-M. Dong.
German Democratic Republic^{1, 2, 4}: J. Hemmerling; D. Schack; K.-D. Peters; M. Förster.
Germany (Federal Republic of)^{1, 2, 4, 6}: A. Krieger; I. Koch; J. Schade; C. Wunderlich; B. Bockmair.
Ghana²: A.J.B. McCarthy.
Greece²: A. Cambitsis; A. Souloyanni.
Holy See²: O. Roulet; A. Marelle.
Honduras: J.M. Maldonado Muñoz; A. Ariza; G. Bu.
Hungary^{1, 2, 5, 6}: G. Pusztai; M. Ficsor.
India^{1, 5}: M.M. Singh.
Indonesia²: I. Darsa; S. Sulowardoyo; N. Wisnoemoerti; R. Tanzil; M. Jalaluddin; A. Tobing.
Ireland²: M. Kennedy; B. O'Gorman.
Italy^{1, 2, 5}: G.L. Milesi-Ferretti; G. Aversa; R. Boros.
Ivory Coast^{1, 2, 4}: F.K. Ekra.
Jamaica: K.G.A. Hill; P. Robotham.
Japan^{1, 2, 4, 6}: M. Shiga; H. Sasaki; S. Ono; K. Sakamoto; K. Shimizu.

* A list containing the titles and functions of the participants may be obtained from the International Bureau.

¹ WIPO Coordination Committee.

² Paris Union Assembly.

³ Paris Union Conference of Representatives.

⁴ Paris Union Executive Committee.

⁵ Berne Union Executive Committee.

⁶ PCT [Patent Cooperation Treaty] Union Assembly.

Kenya²: J.N. King'Arui.
 Lebanon^{1, 3, 4}: I. Kharna; H. Dimachkie.
 Libya²: G. Ferjani.
 Liechtenstein^{2, 6}: R. Marxer.
 Luxembourg^{2, 6}: F. Schlessler.
 Madagascar^{2, 6}: P. Verdoux. J. Velontrasina.
 Malaysia: W.A. Sepwan.
 Mexico^{1, 2, 5}: R. Beltrán Guerrero; F.J. Cruz González; N. Pizarro Macias.
 Monaco^{2, 6}: J. Brunschvig; E. Lindenfeld.
 Mongolia¹: S.-O. Bold.
 Morocco^{1, 2, 5}: A. Kandil; M. Halfaoui.
 Netherlands^{1, 2, 4, 6}: J.J. Bos; J.H. Van Kreveld.
 New Zealand³: B.T. Lineham.
 Norway^{1, 2, 4, 6}: A. Gerhardsen; J. Smith.
 Pakistan: M. Ahmad; R. Mahdi; K. Niaz.
 Panama: J.A. Medrano Valderrama; I. Aizpurúa Pérez.
 Peru: R. Villarán Koechlin; C. Castillo; S. Vegas de Otero.
 Poland^{1, 2, 4}: J. Szomański; D. Januszkiewicz; J. Hajduk.
 Portugal^{1, 2, 4}: F. Reino; J. Mota Maia; A.M. Pereira; R. Morais Serrão; A. Mendonça e Moura.
 Republic of Korea²: S.-J. Hong; J.-U. Chae; T.-C. Choi.
 Romania^{2, 6}: P. Gavrilescu; P. Baloiu.
 Senegal^{1, 2, 5, 6}: A. Sène; S.C. Konate.
 Somalia: M.H. Abhy.
 Soviet Union^{1, 2, 4, 6}: I.S. Nayashkov; V.F. Zubarev; V.E. Trousov; P.E. Dapkounas.
 Spain²: L. Padial Martin; A. Casado Cerviño; G. Porras; M. Pérez del Arco.
 Sudan¹: Y. Ismail; S.Y.A. Mahmoud; Y. Abdelgalil Mahmoud.
 Sri Lanka^{2, 6}: J. Dhanapala; S. Paliakkara.
 Sweden^{2, 6}: G. Borggård; I. Schalin; A.-K. Wegmann.
 Switzerland^{1, 2, 4, 5, 6}: P. Braendli; R. Grossenhacher; R. Dürler; A.-M. Buess; W. Frei.
 Syria²: A. Daoudy; A. Saker; M. Sayadi; F. Salim.
 Thailand: P. Chindasilpa; C. Chutharatkul; T. Petchsuwan; K. Kittisataporn; K. Phutragoon.
 Trinidad and Tobago^{1, 3, 4}: H. Robertson.
 Tunisia^{1, 2, 5}: T. Ben Slama; M. Blanco; H. Boufares.
 Turkey^{1, 2, 5}: H. Gögüs; E. Suphan.
 United Kingdom^{1, 2, 5, 6}: I.J.G. Davis; V. Tarnofsky; A. Sugden; J. Richards.
 United Republic of Tanzania^{1, 2, 4}: E.E.E. Mtango.
 United States of America^{1, 2, 4, 6}: G.J. Mossinghoff; M.K. Kirk; H.J. Winter; L. Schroeder; G. Dempsey.
 Uruguay^{1, 2, 4}: C. Fernández Ballesteros.
 Viet Nam^{1, 2, 4}: Nguyen Thuong, Vu Huy Tan.
 Yugoslavia^{1, 2, 4}: G. Fejić.

II. Intergovernmental Organizations

United Nations (UN): United Nations Development Programme (UNDP): E. Bonev; United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO): A. Amri; General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT): A.T. Otten. African Intellectual Property Organization (OAPI): G. Meye-M'Emane. Benelux Trademark

Office (BBM): P. Rome. Benelux Designs Office (BDDM): P. Rome. Commission of the European Communities (CEC): M.B. Schwab. Council for Mutual Economic Assistance (CMEA): I.V. Cherviakov. European Patent Office (EPO): J.B. Van Benthem; P.G.M. Zwartkruis; G. Kolle. Interim Committee for the Community Patent: H.W. Kunhardt. League of Arab States: M. El May; M. Oreibi; Z. Tlili.

III. Non-Governmental Organizations

European Association of Industries of Branded Products (AIM): G.F. Kunze. International Chamber of Commerce (ICC): J.M.W. Buraas. International Federation of Industrial Property Attorneys (FICPI): K. Raffnsøe. International Federation of Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Associations (IFPMA): D.T. Rossiter.

IV. Officers

WIPO Coordination Committee
Chairman: C. Fernández Ballesteros (Uruguay). *Vice-Chairmen*: I. Markova (Bulgaria); J. Mota Maia (Portugal).
 Paris Union Assembly
Chairman: J.C. Combaldieu (France). *Vice-Chairmen*: I. Nayashkov (Soviet Union); C. Fernández Ballesteros (Uruguay).
 Paris Union Conference of Representatives
Chairman: H. Robertson (Trinidad and Tobago). *Vice-Chairman*: E.E.E. Mtango (United Republic of Tanzania).
 Paris Union Executive Committee
Chairman: M. Daghash (Egypt). *Vice Chairmen*: J. Hemmerling (German Democratic Republic); M. Shiga (Japan).
 Berne Union Executive Committee
Chairman: P.A. Smith (Australia). *Vice-Chairmen*: M. Bělohávek (Czechoslovakia); T. Ben Slama (Tunisia).
 PCT [Patent Cooperation Treaty] Union Assembly
Chairman: I. Marinescu (Romania). *Vice-Chairman*: G. Borggård (Sweden).

V. International Bureau of WIPO

A. Bogsch (*Director General*); K. Pfanner (*Deputy Director General*); M. Porzio (*Deputy Director General*); L.E. Kostikov (*Deputy Director General*); C. Masouyé (*Director, Public Information and Copyright Department*); S. Alikhan (*Director, Developing Countries Division (Copyright)*); L. Baeumer (*Director, Industrial Property Division*); G. Boytha (*Director, Copyright Law Division*); P. Claus (*Director, Patent Information and Classification Division*); F. Curchod (*Director, PCT Division (Patent Cooperation Treaty)*); R. Harben (*Director, Public Information Division*); L. Kadrigamar (*Director, Development Cooperation and External Relations Bureau for Asia and the Pacific*); T.A.J. Keefer (*Director, Administrative Division*); G. Ledakis (*Legal Counsel*); E. Pareja (*Director, Development Cooperation and External Relations Bureau for Latin America and the Caribbean*); I. Thiam (*Director, Development Cooperation and External Relations Bureau for Africa and Western Asia*); B. Davoudi (*Head, Building and Common Services Section*); I. Pike-Wanigasekara (*Senior Assistant, Office of the Director General*); H. Rossier (*Head, Mail and Documents Section*).

Special Studies

One Hundredth Anniversary of the Entry into Force of the Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property

On March 20, 1883, the Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property was adopted and signed; on July 7, 1884, it came into effect following ratification or accession by 14 member States.

To mark the one hundredth anniversary of the entry into force of the Paris Convention, authors from nine of those States—Belgium, Brazil, France, Italy, the Netherlands, Portugal, Switzerland, the United Kingdom and Yugoslavia (then Serbia)—have contributed studies on the historical conditions surrounding their country's ratification of or accession to the Convention. The studies are presented in alphabetical order according to country.

The studies examine, from both a legal and a political point of view, the circumstances that led each country to choose to become party to the Convention, including in some countries the role played by the interested circles in favoring or opposing the Convention and the reactions of those circles to the new situation created by the establishment of an international system of industrial property, as well as the initial effects of that new system on the protection of industrial property at the national level. What the studies as a whole demonstrate is that attitudes towards the Convention varied among the founding States: in some the road to full acceptance of the Convention's basic principles was smooth and straight, while in others it was full of hazards.

There is no doubt that the studies, besides being interesting reading, make an important contribution to rendering the genesis and early history of the Convention more comprehensible, and demonstrate very ably the environment in which international cooperation in the field of industrial property was initiated.

Adoption and Ratification by Belgium of the 1883 Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property

R. RAUX*

**Brazil's Ratification of the
Paris Convention of 1883**

A.C. BANDEIRA*

**The Ratification of the Paris Convention
by France and the Reactions Thereto**

J.C. COMBALDIEU*

**Italy and the Establishment of the
Paris Union for the Protection of
Industrial Property**

R. BOROS*

**The Netherlands and the Paris Convention
for the Protection of Industrial Property**

J.J. BOS*

**Development of Portuguese Industrial
Property Legislation in the
Light of Portugal's Ratification
of the Paris Convention**

J. MOTA MAIA*

**The Accession of Switzerland to the
Paris Convention: An Historical Insight**

P. BRAENDLI* and J.-M. SOUCHE**

**Prelude to the United Kingdom's
Accession to the Paris Convention,
March 17, 1884**

I.J.G. DAVIS* and J. HARRISON**

**Serbia, One of the Founding States
of the 1883 Paris Union**

M. BULAJIĆ*

News from Industrial Property Offices

YUGOSLAVIA

Director of the Federal Patent Office

We have been informed that Mr. Blagota Žarković has been appointed Director of the Federal Patent Office.

Calendar of Meetings

WIPO Meetings

(Not all WIPO meetings are listed. Dates are subject to possible change.)

1984

- November 26 to December 7 (Geneva) — Permanent Committee on Patent Information (PCPI): Working Group on Search Information
- December 10 to 14 (Paris) — Group of Experts on the Intellectual Property Aspects of the Protection of Folklore at the International Level (convened jointly with Unesco)
- December 17 (Geneva) — Informal Meeting with International Non-Governmental Organizations Essentially Concerned with Industrial Property
- December 17 (Geneva) — Informal Meeting with International Non-Governmental Organizations Essentially Concerned with Copyright and Neighboring Rights

1985

- January 21 to 25 (Geneva) — International Patent Classification (IPC) Union: Committee of Experts
- February 4 to 8 (Geneva) — Permanent Committee for Development Cooperation Related to Copyright and Neighboring Rights
- February 25 to March 1 (Geneva) — Group of Experts on Copyright Protection of Computer Software (convened jointly with Unesco)
- March 4 to 6 (Geneva) — Group of Experts on Unauthorized Private Copying of Recordings, Broadcasts and Printed Matter (convened jointly with Unesco)
- March 11 to 15 (Geneva) — Permanent Committee on Patent Information (PCPI): Working Group on General Information
- March 18 to 22 (Paris) — Group of Experts on Copyright Problems in the Field of Direct Broadcasting Satellites (convened jointly with Unesco)
- April 22 to 26 (Paris) — Joint Unesco-WIPO Consultative Committee on the Access by Developing Countries to Works Protected by Copyright (convened jointly with Unesco)
- May 6 to 17 (Geneva) — Permanent Committee on Patent Information (PCPI): Working Group on Search Information
- June 6 to 14 (Geneva) — Permanent Committee on Patent Information (PCPI): Working Groups on Planning and on Special Questions
- June 17 to 25 (Paris) — Berne Union: Executive Committee (Extraordinary Session) (sitting together, for the discussion of certain items, with the Intergovernmental Committee of the Universal Copyright Convention)
- June 26 to 28 (Paris) — Rome Convention: Intergovernmental Committee (Ordinary Session) (convened jointly with ILO and Unesco)
- September 11 to 13 (Geneva) — Permanent Committee on Patent Information (PCPI): Working Group on Patent Information for Developing Countries
- September 16 to 20 (Geneva) — Permanent Committee on Patent Information (PCPI) and PCT Committee for Technical Cooperation (PCT/CTC)
- September 23 to October 1 (Geneva) — Governing Bodies (WIPO General Assembly, Conference and Coordination Committee; Assemblies of the Paris, Madrid, Hague, Nice, Lisbon, Locarno, IPC, PCT, Budapest, TRT and Berne Unions; Conferences of Representatives of the Paris, Hague, Nice and Berne Unions; Executive Committees of the Paris and Berne Unions; Committee of Directors of the Madrid Union; Council of the Lisbon Union)
- October 7 to 11 (Geneva) — Permanent Committee on Patent Information (PCPI): Working Group on General Information
- November 18 to 22 (Geneva) — Permanent Committee on Patent Information (PCPI): Working Groups on Special Questions and on Planology
- November 25 to December 6 (Geneva) — Permanent Committee on Patent Information (PCPI): Working Group on Search Information

UPOV Meetings

1985

- March 27 and 28 (Geneva) — Administrative and Legal Committee
- March 29 (Geneva) — Consultative Committee
- May 8 to 10 (Wageningen) — Technical Working Party on Automation and Computer Programs
- June 4 to 7 (Hanover) — Technical Working Party for Agricultural Crops, and Subgroup
- June 18 to 21 (Aarslev) — Technical Working Party for Fruit Crops, and Subgroup

June 24 to 27 (Aars and Aarslev) — Technical Working Party for Ornamental Plants and Forest Trees, and Subgroups

July 8 to 12 (Cambridge) — Technical Working Party for Vegetables, and Subgroup

October 14 (Geneva) — Consultative Committee

October 15 and 16 (Geneva) — Meeting with International Organizations

October 17 and 18 (Geneva) — Council

November 12 and 13 (Geneva) — Technical Committee

November 14 and 15 (Geneva) — Administrative and Legal Committee

Other Meetings Concerned with Industrial Property

1984

Center for the International Study of Industrial Property — December 3 to 7 (Strasbourg) — Seminar on the Drafting of European Patent Claims and Notices of Opposition

European Patent Organisation — December 3 to 7 (Munich) — Administrative Council

1985

Center for the International Study of Industrial Property — January 28 to February 1 (Strasbourg) — Seminar on Legal Problems Concerning the European Patent Convention, the Paris Convention, the Patent Cooperation Treaty and the Community Patent Convention

European Patent Organisation — June 10 to 14 and December 4 to 7 (Munich) — Administrative Council

Hungarian Group of the International Association for the Protection of Industrial Property and the Hungarian Association for the Protection of Industrial Property — September 2 to 6 (Budapest) — Sixth International Conference on "New Technical Tendencies and Industrial Property Protection"

International Association for the Protection of Industrial Property — May 13 to 19 (Rio de Janeiro) — Executive Committee

International Federation of Industrial Property Attorneys — June 3 to 7 (Augsburg) — World Congress

Japanese Government — April 18 and 19 (Tokyo) — Celebration and Symposium Commemorating the Centenary of the Japanese Industrial Property System

1986

International Association for the Protection of Industrial Property — June 8 to 13 (London) — XXXIII Congress

