

Industrial Property

Monthly Review
of the United International Bureaux for the Protection of Intellectual Property
(BIRPI)

General Index

Third volume — 1964

ORGANISATION MONDIALE DE
LA PROPRIÉTÉ INTELLECTUELLE

OMPI
BIBLIOTHÈQUE



GENEVA
32, chemin des Colombettes
(Place des Nations)

GENERAL INDEX

1964

THIRD VOLUME

Book Review	Pages		Pages
90, 113, 114, 134, 135, 158, 202, 203, 226, 227, 251		Committee of Experts for the International Classification of Goods and Services (Nice Union) (Geneva, November 12 to 14, 1963)	12
Congresses and Meetings		Gabon. Declaration of Membership of the International Union of Paris for the Protection of Industrial Property and of Adhesion to the Lisbon Text of the Convention	23
Congress of the International League against Unfair Competition (Stresa, May 1 to 5, 1963)	102	Rumania. Adhesion to the Convention of Paris for the Protection of Industrial Property (Text of The Hague)	23
IV th Meeting of the Committee of Experts on the International Protection of Type Faces (Geneva, October 7 to 10, 1963)	104	The Former Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland	23
International Chamber of Commerce (Session of May 26, 1964). Resolution	133	Cameroon. Declaration of Membership of the International Union of Paris for the Protection of Industrial Property and of Adhesion to the Lisbon Text of the Convention	66
Inter-American Association of Industrial Property (ASIPI). First General Assembly (Acapulco and Mexico City, April 11 to 16, 1964)	146	Mexico. Adhesion to the Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property (Lisbon Text)	66
International Typographical Association (Cambridge, May 30, 1964). Resolution	148	Norway. Adhesion to the Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property (Lisbon Text)	66
Correspondence		Study Group on Certificates of Authorship (Geneva, January 27 to 30, 1964)	66
Letter from Hungary (Alexander Vida)	124	Niger. Declaration of Membership of the International Union of Paris for the Protection of Industrial Property and of Adhesion to the Lisbon Text of the Convention	118
Letter from Great Britain (Frederick Honig)	148	Trinidad and Tobago. Declaration of Membership of the International Union of Paris for the Protection of Industrial Property and of Adhesion to the Lisbon Text of the Convention	139
Letter from Argentina (B. Salomon)	177	BIRPI Working Party on an Administrative Agreement (Geneva, May 20 to 26, 1964)	139
Letter from Switzerland (Edouard Petitpierre)	197	Mission of a BIRPI Delegation to the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	162
Letter from Belgium (Antoine Braun)	219	Industrial Property Congress at Bogota for Latin America (July 6 to 11, 1964)	164
Letter from France (Paul Mathély)	245, 270	Cuba. Adhesion to the Agreement of Madrid for the Prevention of False or Misleading Indications of Source (Lisbon Text)	187
Official Documents		Luxemburg. Ratification of the Agreement of Madrid concerning the International Registration of Trademarks (Nice Text)	187
INTERNATIONAL UNION			
The Industrial Property Unions in 1963	2		
List of Member States as on January 1, 1964	4		
Cuba. Ratification of the Lisbon Agreement for the Protection of Appellations of Origin and their International Registration	6		
Interunion Coordination Committee. First Session (Geneva, November 27 to 29, 1963)	7		
Committee of Directors of National Industrial Property Offices of Member Countries of the Madrid Union and Meeting of Member Countries of The Hague Union (Geneva, November 25 to 29, 1963)	10		

<i>Czechoslovakia</i> . Agreement of Madrid concerning the International Registration of Trademarks (Nice Text). Supplementary Communication . . .	Pages 187	Committee of National Institutes of Patent Agents (CNIPA). Report on the Draft Convention relating to a European Patent Law	Pages 196
Working Agreement between BIRPI and the United Nations	207	United Nations	
<i>Belgium</i> . Ratification of the Additional Act to the Agreement of The Hague	208	Resolution 1013 (XXXVII) of the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations	211
International Committee of Novelty Examining Patent Offices (First Session, Geneva, October 5 and 6, 1964)	208	Reports of International Organizations	
Interunion Coordination Committee, Second Session (Geneva, September 28 to October 2, 1964)	231	Fifteenth Ordinary Session of the Consultative Assembly of the Council of Europe. Resolution No. 384 (1964). Report on a European Patent Law . . .	39
Conference of Representatives of the International Union for the Protection of Industrial Property (First Session, Geneva, September 30 to October 2, 1964)	233	Note on the Report of the Secretary-General of the United Nations on the Role of Patents in the Transfer of Technology to Under-Developed Countries .	56
Committee of Experts to Study a Model Law for Developing Countries on Inventions and Technical Know-How (Geneva, October 19 to 23, 1964)	235	The United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (Geneva, March 23 to June 16, 1964) .	141
<i>Federal Republic of Germany</i> . Ratification of the Madrid Agreement for the International Registration of Trademarks (Nice Text)	254	Obituary	
<i>German Democratic Republic</i> . Note of the Swiss Government with Annex	254	Jacques Secretan	182
Madrid Agreement for the International Registration of Trademarks (Nice Text). Entry into force	254	News Items	
First Meeting of the Committee of Experts for the International Classification of Industrial Designs (Geneva, October 12-16, 1964)	255	Canadian Inventors	43
CONVENTIONS AND TREATIES		<i>United States of America</i> . Appointment of new Commissioner of Patents	115
Convention on the Unification of Certain Points of Substantive Law on Patents for Invention . . .	13	<i>Portugal</i> . Appointment of new Head of the Industrial Property Office	227
Convention on the Unification of Certain Points of Substantive Law on Patents for Invention. Signature by Ireland	86	Notice concerning The Hague Agreement for the International Deposit of Industrial Designs	227
LEGISLATION		Notice concerning the African and Malagasy Industrial Property Office (OAMPI)	227
<i>A. Countries of the Union</i>		<i>Japan</i> . Appointment of new Director-General of the Patent Office	251
Ireland	188, 211, 238, 261	Calendar of BIRPI Meetings 18, 43, 63, 91, 115, 136, 159, 184, 204, 228, 252, 279	
Italy	18, 47, 118, 170, 219	Meetings of other International Organizations concerned with Intellectual Property	228, 252, 279
Republic of South Africa	15, 24	Statistics	
<i>B. Non-Unionist Countries</i>		General Statistics of Industrial Property for the year 1962. First Supplement. Ireland and Turkey . . .	19
Nil.		General Statistics of Industrial Property for the year 1962. Corrigenda. Ireland, South Africa	64
General Studies		General Statistics of Industrial Property for the year 1962. Second Supplement. Hungary	91
The Regulation of Restraint of Trade in the Industrial Property Laws of EFTA States (Fredrik Neumeyer)	32, 47, 86, 94	General Statistics of industrial property of the Member States of the Paris Union for the year 1963 . . .	276
International Aspects of the Protection of Industrial Designs in the United Kingdom and in New Zealand (J. W. Miles)	100	Miscellaneous	
Intellectual Property and Justice (Alois Troller) . .	119	Vacancy for three Posts in BIRPI	23
		Invitation for Applications for Three Posts in BIRPI	280

Table of Jurisprudence

A. Plan

I. Patents

1. *Basis of rights.*

- (a) Persons entitled to apply for a patent, employees' inventions, moral rights.
- (b) Patentable and non-patentable inventions (novelty, technical progress, inventive step, chemical, pharmaceutical, horticultural products, etc.).

2. *Acquisition of rights.*

- (a) Formalities, examination, amendments in the course of the procedure of grant, communication of files, etc.
- (b) Fees for application; legal representatives.
- (c) Protection at exhibitions.

3. *Scope and maintenance of rights.*

- (a) Interpretation of patents.
- (b) Obligation to work.
- (c) Annual fees.
- (d) Extension.
- (e) Restoration.
- (f) Personal ownership rights.

4. *Change of ownership.*

- (a) Transfer.
- (b) Licences.

5. *Termination of rights.*

Cancellation, expiry, etc.

6. *Civil and penal sanctions.*

Infringement, procedure, power to sue, confiscation, seizure, etc.

7. *International law in patent matters.*

- (a) International common law. Independence of patents, etc.
- (b) International law under Conventions. Assimilation to nationals, right of priority, multiple priorities.
- (c) Bilateral treaties.
- (d) Special war measures.

8. *Commercial or industrial secrets.*

II. Utility Models

III. Industrial Designs

IV. Trade Marks

1. *Acquisition of rights.*

- (a) Acquisition by use (unregistered marks).

- (b) Acquisition by deposit and registration (formalities, etc.):

Individual marks.
Collective marks.

- (c) Agents' marks; licences to use.

2. *Symbols which may or may not be used as marks.*

- (a) Constitutive elements (lay-out, packaging, bottles, shapes of containers, shapes of products, colours, letters, figures, etc.).
- (b) Generic or qualitative descriptions.
- (c) Family and geographical names.
- (d) Emblems.
- (e) Free marks (Freizeichen).
- (f) Translations (of marks registered or in use).

2 A. *Goods for which a mark may or may not be registered.*

2 B. *Well-known marks, famous marks.*

3. *Scope and maintenance of rights.*

Effects of registration. Obligation to exploit. Renewal.

4. *Change of ownership.*

5. *Termination of rights.*

- (a) Conflicting marks, other than those classified under 2 B above.
- (b) Non-use or usucaption.
- (c) Renunciation and sufferance.

6. *Civil and penal sanctions.*

Infringement, procedure, power to sue, confiscation, seizure, etc.

7. *International law in trade mark matters.*

- (a) International common law. Independence of marks, etc.
- (b) International law under Conventions. Union Convention of Paris (assimilation to nationals, right of priority, "telle quelle" protection). Arrangement of Madrid for the International Registration of Trade Marks.
- (c) Bilateral treaties.
- (d) Special war measures.

8. *Protection of presentation (Ausstattungsschutz).*

V. Commercial or Trade Name

VI. Indications of Source

VII. Unfair Competition

VIII. Legislation against monopolies

B. Decisions published in *Industrial Property* (1964) and classified according to the above plan

I. PATENTS	Pages		Pages
I. Basis of rights			
(a) Persons entitled to apply for a patent, employees' inventions, moral rights.		Any method, no matter how simple, is patentable solely by virtue of its novelty (Paris, Court of Paris, June 24, 1961)	245
<i>France</i> . Invention produced by an employee (Paris, Seine Court of First Instance, May 19, 1962)	248	The replacement in a known machine of one part by another equivalent part is not a patentable invention (Paris, Court of Paris, January 29, 1963)	246
<i>Hungary</i> . Employees' inventions. After the date of the deposit of an application for patent by the authors of an invention, the employer of the inventors declared the invention to be an employees' invention. Procedure (Tribunal of Budapest, 1960)	127	Improvements. An invention is an improvement closely linked with the original invention when it contains and reproduces the essential elements of that invention (Paris, Court of Paris, November 6, 1961; Lyon, Court of Lyon, April 2, 1962; Paris, Court of Paris, March 1, 1963)	246
(b) Patentable and non-patentable inventions (novelty, technical progress, inventive step, chemical, pharmaceutical, horticultural products, etc.).		<i>Great Britain</i> . Application for patent. Patentability? Method of operating jet aircraft so as to reduce noise after take-off. No (London, Appeal Tribunal, June 21, 1963)	148
<i>Belgium</i> . The originality of a combination requires, firstly, a reciprocal functional reaction of the associated elements and, following this, an unforeseen character of the reciprocal action (Brussels, Civil Court, March 19, 1943)	220	<i>Hungary</i> . An action for the annulment of a patent founded upon the fact that the invention was based upon scientific theses and principles was rejected (Budapest, Tribunal of Budapest, 1958)	128
A new combination of known means can result from characteristics claimed in patents when one is of a principal character and the other a matter of improvement (Brussels, Supreme Court, May 2, 1963)	221	Examination of the level of patentability (<i>Erfindungshöhe</i>). Car garage (Budapest, Tribunal of Budapest, 1960)	126
An article of clothing can form the subject of a patent (Brussels, Civil Court, May 17, 1961)	221	Novelty of invention. The applicant asked the Tribunal to recognize his quality as inventor in consideration, among other things, of the fact that, seven years before the deposit, he had submitted a model of the same invention to the Ministry of Agriculture. The Tribunal rejected this request (Budapest, Tribunal of Budapest, 1960)	127
There is a new and patentable combination, and not a simple juxtaposition of known elements, when a new arrangement produces advantages different from the sum of the advantages inherent in the combined elements considered separately, even if only from the point of view of simplicity, strength of construction, or ease and economy of exploitation (Brussels, Civil Court, July 14, 1943)	220	Exploitability of an invention. The construction protected by the German patent was not in accordance with Hungarian regulations on the functioning of lifts, whereas that which was the subject of the application was, and therefore exploitable in Hungary (Budapest, Tribunal of Budapest, 1961)	125
<i>France</i> . A product which is usable in both pharmaceuticals and industry is patentable in its industrial application, provided only that this was specified in the patent (Paris, Civil Court of the Seine, May 9, 1957)	246	Exploitability of an invention. A patent cannot be granted for a process without an examination of the specimens made according to the said process (Budapest, Tribunal of Budapest, 1961)	125
Framework of a tent patentable (Paris, Court of Paris, March 15, 1961)	246	Protection of a process. A process which does not produce new technical results makes a detailed examination of the novelty of an invention purposeless (Budapest, Tribunal of Budapest, 1961)	126
Partial innovation is patentable (Paris, Supreme Court of Appeal, June 12, 1961)	245	Description of invention. A description failing to give examples showing the practical application of the process for which protection is sought does not comply with legal requirements (Budapest, Tribunal of Budapest, 1961)	126
Sleeve of garment patentable (Paris, Court of Paris, June 24, 1961)	246	Patent, innovation proposal for an improvement. A deposit for an innovation proposal, even if it had as its object a solution which was in parallel with the object of the patent, and even if the innovation had been exploited industrially, would not, of itself, be sufficient to constitute anticipation. The realisation of a suggestion of improvement within a State enterprise was not, equivalent to the public exploitation of such suggestion, since the employees of a State enterprise	
Radiator patentable (Paris, Court of Paris, June 19, 1962)	246		
A simplification by elimination patentable (Paris, Court of Paris, November 8, 1962)	246		
Purely scientific discoveries or abstract ideas not patentable, but discoveries or ideas for which a possible industrial use is indicated are patentable (Paris, Civil Court of the Seine, May 9, 1957)	245		
The only two conditions of patentability are novelty and potential industrial use; regardless of the inventive step of the invention or the creative effort entailed (Paris, Court of Paris, March 15, 1961)	245		

are required to observe official secrecy, and since the circumstances operating in such an enterprise remove all public character from manufacture (Budapest, Tribunal of Budapest, 1961)	Pages 127	Specification. The invention must be defined in the actual text of the specification. Sketches merely shed additional light on the invention and cannot take the place of the specification (Paris, Court of Paris, December 13, 1961)	Pages 247
An auxiliary means of calculation utilized for diagnostic purposes is not patentable (Budapest, Tribunal of Budapest, 1962)	126	Scope of the patent. An invention should be judged from the specification of the French patent only, no account being taken of changes made when corresponding patents were filed in countries with prior novelty examination, and that the invention's scope should be determined from the complete specification of the patent, the summary being declaratory and not restrictive (Paris, Court of Paris, January 8, 1962)	247
Application for patent returned to the National Office of Inventions. As regards modification of the claims, the need arose to re-examine the question whether the subject of the application did or did not possess the character of an invention, such an examination not being possible without complementary information (Budapest, Tribunal of Budapest, 1962)	126	The patentee must describe exactly the means of execution of the invention (Paris, Court of Paris, February 27, 1962)	247
New proceedings ordered before the National Office of Inventions. The new description of the invention and the new arguments furnished by the applicant had created a situation in which re-examination of the application was called for (Budapest, Tribunal of Budapest, 1962)	126	Specification. An error in the sketch is of no importance, if the wording of the specification is clear (Paris, Court of Paris, January 29, 1963)	247
Public use of the invention had occurred before the application for the patent was lodged (Budapest, Tribunal of Budapest, 1962)	128	<i>Great Britain.</i> Amendment of specification. Amendment not involving enlargement of monopoly claim (London, Chancery Division, April 8, 1963)	150
<i>Switzerland.</i> Technical progress and inventive step. Account should not be taken of an earlier invention unless it has actually exercised influence upon the development of the art. Consequently, if a publication has not been disclosed or has been forgotten, it cannot be taken into account in determining whether the application for a patent at issue constitutes an invention (Lausanne, Federal Court, November 14, 1961)	197	Convention application and failure to file complete specification. Claim to foreign priority date where description of invention confined to cross-reference to foreign application (London, Appeal Tribunal, November 13, 1963)	149
Inventive step. Jurisprudence confirmed. The invention implies a creative idea which goes beyond what was within the reach of a well-informed person skilled in the art. In order to assess the existence of an inventive step, it is necessary to take account of the state of the art, considered in its entirety, as it existed at the time of the first application. Account should be taken of all partial solutions and of all special work which, taken together, constitute the state of the art, and whether a well-informed person, skilled in the art, could, under these conditions, arrive at the invention without undue effort (Lausanne, Federal Court, January 14, 1963)	197	<i>Switzerland.</i> Restoration to earlier condition. The applicant for and the owner of a patent likewise cannot re-acquire an earlier condition if the non-observance of a time limit is due to a fault on the part of their agents. The applicant for and the owner of a patent are likewise subject to the consequences of the faults of employees of their agents, since such employees are, indirectly, their own auxiliaries (Lausanne, Federal Court, May 30, 1961)	198
Technical progress. The success of a new product demonstrates, in general, the existence of sufficient technical progress. On the other hand, it is of small account that certain of its effects have only manifested themselves after the deposit of the application for a patent (Lausanne, Federal Court, January 29, 1963)	197	Restoration to earlier condition. The agent of an applicant is not entitled to apply in his own name for the restoration of his client to an earlier condition (Lausanne, Federal Court, June 13, 1961)	198
		Subsequent amendment of a claim on the date of deposit of an application. Only amendments which do not in any way extend or change the essence of an invention from that covered by the documents initially submitted can be made without affecting the date. If these considerations are not fulfilled, the application must be treated as a new application, and must bear a new date (Lausanne, Federal Court, November 7, 1961)	198
		<i>Hungary.</i> Correction to specification of patent. The owner of a patent asked that the word "more" should be substituted for the words "at least", which, as a result of an error, had slipped into the printed text of the description. The National Office of Inventions refused the request (Budapest, National Office of Inventions, 1962)	127
2. Acquisition of rights		3. Scope and maintenance of rights	
(a) Formalities, examination, amendments in the course of the procedure of grant, communication of files, etc.		(a) Interpretation of patents.	
<i>France.</i> Specification of the invention patented. It is the specification which fixes the scope of the patent and the patent itself is null and void if the specification is insufficient (Paris, Court of Paris, June 24, 1961)	246	<i>France.</i> Scope of the patent. A patent which covered a manufacturing process for stockings also covered in consequence, by virtue of their being new	

	Pages
<i>Great Britain.</i> Infringement. Concurrence of infringement and revocation proceedings. Conflict of jurisprudence between Court and Comptroller (London, Chancery Division, March 29, 1962)	150
Infringement. Application for interim injunction. Patent due to expire within less than six months (London, Court of Appeal, February 19, 1963)	151
Admitted infringement of patent for substance capable of use as food or medicine. Defendants' application for licence pending when plaintiffs' proceedings for interim injunction instituted (London, Chancery Division, March 7, 1963)	151
Restraining former employee from disclosing or using knowledge of manufacturing process obtained in course of employment (London, Chancery Division, March 12, 1963)	153
Practice. Administering interrogatories after setting case down for trial (London, Chancery Division, March 22, 1963)	151
Procedure. Use of invention for services of Crown. Government authorization to "make, use and exercise" patented invention (section 46 of Patents Act). Authorization to import drugs for National Health Service (London, Court of Appeal, October 16, 1963)	151
Procedure. Allegations of infringement of different patents in one and the same action (London, Chancery Division, October 31, 1963)	151
<i>Hungary.</i> Refusal to pay any royalty to the inventor, contending that the product which was manufactured was not identical with that defined in the patent (Budapest, Tribunal of Budapest, 1962)	128

7. International law in patent matters

(b) International law under Conventions. Assimilation to nationals, right of priority, multiple priorities.

<i>France.</i> Right of priority under the Convention. Assignment of right of priority to a person not entitled to protection under the Paris Convention. The assignment of a patent does not carry with it assignment of the right of priority (Valence, Court of First Instance, February 16, 1962)	250
<i>Great Britain.</i> Convention application and failure to file complete specification. Claim to foreign priority date where description of invention confined to cross-reference to foreign application (London, Appeal Tribunal, November 13, 1963)	149
<i>Hungary.</i> The period of priority must always run from the date of the deposit of an application with the National Office of Inventions. The deposit of an innovation application with the Ministry of Construction two months before the date of the publication could not assure priority to the application for patent (Budapest, Tribunal of Budapest, 1961)	125
Unionist priority. The question of priority cannot be separated from the totality of questions forming the subject of the proceedings. It must be resolved simultaneously with the application for patent, especially since the facts established in the course of examination of the novelty of the invention, and those which might be alleged by a possible opponent, could, where appropriate, influence the decision to be taken on the question of priority (Budapest, Tribunal of Budapest, 1961)	127

III. INDUSTRIAL DESIGNS

Pages

<i>Belgium.</i> Protection of Designs. Situation of nationals of a country party to the Paris Convention but not to the Berne Convention (Antwerp, Court of First Instance, February 2, 1963)	222
<i>Hungary.</i> The fact that each of the two designs contained a flexible tube was not sufficient, in itself, to involve nullity of the designs, this feature being merely a matter of construction. Since protection extends to a design in its entirety, there can only be infringement in the case of complete identity (Budapest, Tribunal of Budapest, 1961)	132
Registration conferred protection on a design as regards its external presentation and not upon the technical solution employed in its realization (Budapest, Supreme Court, 1962)	132

IV. TRADEMARKS

I. Acquisition of rights

(a) Acquisition by use (unregistered marks).	
<i>Argentina.</i> Registered trademark. Trademark used "Jonsereds". As the mark had become very well known in Argentina, the Court presumed bad faith on the part of the plaintiffs who are unable to give any plausible explanation of their choice of an exotic sounding name like "Jonsereds" (Buenos Aires, Court of First Instance, December 6, 1962)	178
<i>France.</i> Acquisition of the right to a mark through first use (Paris, Court of Paris, January 31, 1963)	271
<i>Switzerland.</i> Trade name. The law only assimilates trade names to registered marks to the extent that such trade names are "employed as trademarks". The presumption to the right to a trademark cannot result solely from registration in the Register of Commerce (Lausanne, Federal Court, February 13, 1962)	200
(b) Acquisition by deposit and registration (formalities, etc.).	
Individual Marks	
<i>Argentina.</i> Former practice: Refusal to register a trademark on the ground that the applicant was no trader. New practice: If the applicant is acting as a commercial representative, registration is possible (Buenos Aires, Court of Appeal, March 9, 1964)	181
(c) Agents' marks; licences to use.	
<i>Great Britain.</i> Infringement. Application for interim relief on basis of agreement precluding defendant from using mark on other than specified types of goods (London, Chancery Division, February 5, 1963)	153
Licence. Use of mark by permission of owner in the absence of registered user agreement. Mark used on goods manufactured partly by user of mark (London, Chancery Division, April 10, 1963)	152
2. Symbols which may or may not be used as marks	
(a) Constitutive elements (lay-out, packaging, bottles, shapes of containers, shapes of products, colours, letters, figures, etc.).	
<i>France.</i> Considered as valid trademark: The elongated shape of a wafer-biscuit which looked like	

a row of straws packed closely side by side (Paris, Court of Paris, May 28, 1962)	Pages 270	against a Netherlands brewery situated at Breda. No final decision yet (Brussels, Court of Appeal, March 15, 1962)	Pages 223
Vertical grooves on the sides of a cake of soap were considered not sufficiently characteristic to constitute a distinctive mark (Aix, Court of Aix, May 7, 1963)	270	Family name. The family name is inalienable and imprescriptible in commercial law; on the other hand, it can acquire patrimonial value and be subject to the obligation, as regards the more recently established trader, to take appropriate steps to avoid any confusion (Brussels, Supreme Court, May 4, 1962)	222
<i>Hungary.</i> Trademarks composed of letters or figures. Refused in Hungary. However, if proof is given of sufficiently long usage, the mark is accepted. This was the case of the trademark "A. B. T. N." (Budapest, Tribunal of Budapest, 1961)	130	A family name common to a large number of families (Brussels, Supreme Court, May 25, 1962)	223
<i>Switzerland.</i> Protection of colours. Basic colours are in the public domain. However, one can protect as a trademark a combination of colours which presents a special figurative aspect. A mark which initially lacks distinctiveness can, by prolonged use, become capable of distinguishing the goods of a given establishment (yellow and red colours for petrol pumps [Shell]) (Lausanne, Tribunal cantonal vaudois, September 12, 1962)	200	Right to a name. "Quaker State" and "Quaker City" (Brussels, Court of Appeal, June 18, 1963)	223
(b) <i>Generic or qualitative descriptions.</i>		<i>France.</i> The geographical name "Rupt de Mad" considered as a valid mark (Paris, Court of Cassation, July 21, 1958)	270
<i>France.</i> The trade name "Baby" was considered a valid mark for children's shoes (Paris, Court of Cassation, January 18, 1960)	270	Deceptive marks: "Hungaria" for sport articles (Orléans, Court of Orléans, November 9, 1960)	270
<i>Great Britain.</i> Registration. Registrability of "Vitasafe" for vitamin preparations. No (London, Assistant-Comptroller, February 20, 1963)	152	— "Geneva" for clocks and watches (Paris, Seine Court of First Instance, January 10, 1962)	270
<i>Hungary.</i> Registration was refused for: "Supernova Ultra" (1959), for sewing machines; "Ultrapan" (1960) for goods of the photographic industry; "Resin fort" (1961) for synthetic resins; "De-Jo" for boxes (1961) (which means in Hungarian "How good it is" (Budapest, National Office of Inventions)	130	Family name used as a mark. The mark "Planta" used for margarine was accepted (the family Kirgener de Planta opposed registration) (Paris, Court of Paris, November 9, 1961)	271
"Telescheck" accepted as a mark (Budapest, National Office of Inventions, 1960)	130	— The mark "Luynes" for a beauty product was not accepted (opposition to registration by the Duke of Luynes) (Paris, Court of Paris, January 24, 1962)	271
The National Office of Inventions refused to protect the following international marks, composed of words which made allusion to the quality of the product: "La Spéciale" (type of beer); "Structarapid" (prefabricated building elements); "Eminence" (perfumes); "Splendid" (watches); "Fantastico" (thread); "Royal" (footwear); "Primo" (thread); "President" (textiles); "L'Impérissable" (prefabricated constructions); "Prinzess" (perfumes); "Gut rasiert" (razor blades); "Sensation" (thread); "Sleck" (textiles); "Osspulvin" (pharmaceutics having a bone content); "Speed" (chewing-gum); "Consul Nylon" (nylon products); "Les plus belles médailles du monde" (medals); "Les plus beaux porte-clefs du monde" (keys) (Budapest, National Office of Inventions, 1962)	131	<i>Switzerland.</i> Geographical name. A mark may contain a geographical designation, provided such designation is not misleading.	
Refusal to register the mark "Brandy Imperio" because of lack of distinctive character (Budapest, National Office of Inventions, 1962)	130	— The mark "Berna" used by an Italian firm refused (Lausanne, Federal Court, February 11, 1963)	198
<i>Switzerland.</i> Mark contrary to public order. The mark "Spiralin", even applied to textile products which are not made of linen, has been regarded as falling outside the scope of this rule (Lausanne, Federal Court, March 22, 1960)	198	— The mark "Florida" registered for beverages (was only accepted in respect of beverages originating in or manufactured from products which came from America) (Lausanne, Federal Court, September 18, 1963)	198
(c) <i>Family and geographical names.</i>		(d) <i>Emblems.</i>	
<i>Belgium.</i> Right to a name. Old-established brewery at Louvain, named "Brasserie et Malterie Breda"		<i>Hungary.</i> Free signs. "Sel de Karlsbad" (Budapest, National Office of Inventions, 1958)	130
		— The mark "Perlon" has, in the course of recent years, lost all distinctive character and has become a free sign (Budapest, Tribunal of Budapest, 1960)	129
		— "Plexiglas" is not considered as a free sign (Budapest, Tribunal of Budapest, 1963)	130
		2A. Goods for which a mark may or may not be registered	
		<i>France.</i> A trademark cannot be validly registered for anything other than products, and particularly, not for a scientific theory (Paris, Court of Paris, November 7, 1961)	271
		Service marks. A mark may not be registered for services (see, however, the new French Trademark Law of December 31, 1964, which provides for the protection of Service marks) (Paris, Court of Paris, November 10, 1961)	271

2 B. Well-known marks, famous marks	Pages		Pages
<i>France</i> . Protection of well-known trademark used for different articles (famous trademark). Decision based on Article 1382 of the Civil Code (Paris, Court of Paris, December 8, 1962)	273	the prices of the periodicals concerned. "Romance" and "Romances Films" (Brussels, Commercial Court, February 2, 1963)	224
4. Change of ownership			
<i>Argentina</i> . The obligations contracted by the party who has transmitted a mark devolve upon the successor (Ex parte "Nilda" / "Nido") (Buenos Aires, Appellate Court, March 29, 1962)	181	<i>Hungary</i> . No danger of confusion between the marks "Tetran" and "Tetra letten"; "Uldument" and "Uldusan" and "Uldusit"; "Tatra" and "Dutra" (Budapest, National Office of Inventions, 1960)	131
<i>France</i> . Assignment of mark. Madrid Agreement (Marks). To claim the international protection instituted by the Madrid Agreement, the applicant must be owner of the trademark in its country of origin. Thus, once the French trademark has been properly assigned, the seller loses all right to request an international registration on the basis of the assigned mark, since he no longer enjoys legal protection in the country of origin, and under Article 6 of the Madrid Agreement, cannot claim international protection (Belfort, Civil Court, February 5, 1958)	272	Examination of marks registered. New practice since January 1, 1962: Examination of the grounds of complete impediment, <i>and</i> relative impediment (resemblance, risk of confusion). Risk of confusion between the following marks: "Biton" and the registered mark "Bidol"; "Cetran" — "Tetran"; "Distopin" — "Distocain"; "Eunomin" — "Ennoktin"; "Extrepar" — "Exhepar"; "Neothyron" — "Rheopyron"; "Noxadron" — "Noxyron"; "Madasol" — "Mavesol"; "Mado" — "Madox"; "Martox" — "Madox"; "Prestil" — "Vestin"; "Protibolin" — "Procytoniu"; "Rotar és Nottol" — "Krotol"; "Siramid" — "Miramid"; "Spyrex" — "Videx"; "S. U. B. E. O." — "Sudeol"; "Synacthen" — "Synapleg"; "Timedil" — "Disedyl"; "Typoplast" — "Citoplast"; "Vitafol" — "Vitacol"; "Wing" — "Zing" (Budapest, National Office of Inventions, 1962)	131
Assignment of mark. Madrid Agreement (Marks). There are no particular rules governing the form of an assignment of a trademark between parties (Belfort, Civil Court, February 5, 1958)	272	<i>Switzerland</i> . Risk of confusion between two titles of periodicals. No danger of confusion between "Quick" and "Blick" (Lausanne, Federal Court, January 11, 1961)	199
Assignment. International Marks. No transfer of a mark entered in the International Register for the benefit of a person who is not entitled to deposit an international mark shall be registered (Paris, Court of Paris, May 8, 1963)	272	Conflict between two marks. The mark "Narok" used in respect of tea and coffee and the mark "Narok" intended for coffee grinders and other household appliances. Cancellation of the second mark (Lausanne, Federal Court, May 9, 1961)	199
5. Termination of rights			
(a) Conflicting marks, other than those classified under 2 B above.			
<i>Argentina</i> . The Court held that, whatever may be the letter, the spirit of the trademark law requires that acts that are contrary to the rules of proper conduct be regarded as invalid. Thus, "it must be admitted that the slavish copy of a foreign mark which enables (the offender) to take advantage of the reputation of the products bearing it, is unlawful (see also <i>Industrial Property</i> , 1962, p. 180) (Buenos Aires, Supreme Court, July 23, 1962)	177	— "Bic" for ball-point pens. The mark "Big-Pen" (for similar goods) refused protection (Lausanne, Federal Court, February 21, 1961)	199
Registered mark. Mark used: "Jonsereds". As the mark had become very well known in Argentina, the Court presumed bad faith on the part of the plaintiffs who were unable to give any plausible explanation of their choice of an exotic sounding name like "Jonsereds" (Buenos Aires, Court of First Instance, May 15, 1962, and December 6, 1962)	178	— "Tobler-o-rum" for chocolate. Protection refused to the mark "Torero-Rum" (similar goods) (Lausanne, Federal Court, August 6, 1962)	199
<i>France</i> . An addition to the trademark reproduced does not nullify the infringement. This argument cannot, however, hold good when the additions cause the trademark to lose its individuality and distinctive character. "Mecano Soudure Paris" could not be taken to be an infringement of "Mécano" (Paris, Court of Paris, October 21, 1961)	272	— "Felina" for underwear for ladies and gentlemen. Protection refused to the mark "Florina, die Feinwäsche für Sie" (similar goods) (Lausanne, Federal Court, December 27, 1962)	199
<i>Belgium</i> . Confusion between titles of two periodicals. Confusion not possible, particularly by reason of differences in side-notes, language, format, and		(b) Non-use or usucaption.	
		<i>France</i> . Principles relating to the acquisition and expiry of the right to a trademark (deposit and usage) (Paris, Court of Paris, June 19, 1963)	271
		(c) Renunciation and sufferance.	
		<i>France</i> . The right to a mark, once it has been properly acquired and preserved, cannot be lost or abandoned other than at the will of its holder; it follows that the general use of the trademark, even if tolerated by the holder, does not entail loss of right (trademark "Cellophane") (Nancy, Court of Nancy, June 12, 1963)	272
		When the trademark has been abandoned by its first holder, it becomes <i>res nullius</i> and may be appropriated afresh by another party (Paris, Court of Paris, June 19, 1963)	271
		Principles relating to the expiry of the right to a mark (deposit, usage) (Paris, Court of Paris, June 19, 1963)	271

6. Civil and penal sanctions		Pages	Pages
Infringement, procedure, power to sue, confiscation, seizure, etc.			
<i>Argentina.</i> Confiscation of property without due compensation is contrary to Article 17 of the Argentine Constitution. Ex parte "Hardtmuth pencils", Budejovice (Czechoslovakia). Nationalization (Buenos Aires, Tribunal of First Instance, July 16, 1960)	178	the mark has been lawfully applied and used abroad and even when the goods which have been put into circulation are identical with his own products and emanate from enterprises which are economically linked with him, if he himself is the only person to have deposited the mark in Switzerland (Lausanne, Federal Court, April 9, 1963)	200
Protection of a slogan. A publicity agent offers slogan to an enterprise, which declares that it is not interested in using slogan. Subsequently, however, the enterprise uses the slogan without paying compensation to the publicity agent. The Court protected the copyright of the publicity agent ("Amor con Armour se paga") (Buenos Aires, Court of First Instance, March 11, 1960, and Court of Appeal, November 18, 1960)	179	(d) Special war measures.	
Opposition to registration of a trademark. A term of one year is provided counting from the day on which the applicant receives notice of the opposition at the Patent Office (Buenos Aires, Appellate Court, October 10, 1963)	180	<i>France.</i> Trademark confiscated under war legislation. Trademarks claimed by nationals of both West and East Germany (Paris, Court of Paris, March 19, 1963)	273
Procedure. Term within which claims concerning the conflict between trademarks and trading styles should become statute-barred (Buenos Aires, Court of Appeal, 1964)	180	Application in the trademark field of the Neuchâtel Agreement for the maintenance or restoration of industrial property rights affected by the second World War (1947) (Paris, Court of Paris, June 19, 1963)	274
<i>Belgium.</i> The claim of the proprietor of a mark, which had been regularly deposited, to prohibit the use of his mark and his trade name in respect of the sale of authentic but damaged wines could not be founded on the basis of the Trademark Law (Brussels, Supreme Court, October 27, 1961)	224	V. COMMERCIAL OR TRADE NAME	
<i>France.</i> The Court considered to be legally justified a decision which, after ordering the cancellation of the mark found to be counterfeit from the register within a certain period, authorized the plaintiff, on expiry of that time limit, to take the place of the defendant in having the mark cancelled (Paris, Supreme Court, January 18, 1960)	272	<i>Belgium.</i> The claim of the proprietor of the mark, which had been regularly deposited, to prohibit the use of his mark and his trade name in respect of the sale of authentic but damaged wines could not be founded on the basis of the Trademark Law (Brussels, Supreme Court, October 27, 1961)	224
Damage to the right to a trademark. Usurpation of a trademark, even if not in the form of the infringement defined in the law (trademark "Société" for Roquefort cheeses) (Toulouse, Court of Toulouse, March 6, 1962)	272	Right to the name. An old-established brewery at Louvain, named Brasserie Malterie "Breda" against a Netherlands Brewery at Breda. No final decision yet (Brussels, Court of Appeal, March 15, 1962)	223
Infringement and counterfeit use of a trademark can be by word of mouth (Orléans, Court of Orléans, April 3, 1962)	272	Family name. The family name is inalienable and imprescriptible, in commercial law, on the other hand, it can acquire patrimonial value, and be subject to the obligation, as regards the more recently established trader, to take appropriate steps to avoid any confusion (Brussels, Supreme Court, May 4, 1962)	222
7. International law in trademark matters		Family name common to a large number of families (Brussels, Supreme Court, May 25, 1962)	223
(a) International Common Law. Independence of marks, etc.		Confusion between the titles of two periodicals. Confusion was not possible, particularly by reason of differences in side-notes, language, format, and the prices of the periodicals concerned. "Romance" and "Romances Films" (Brussels, Commercial Court, February 2, 1963)	224
<i>Switzerland.</i> Trademarks "Concern" and "Philips". A person who, in Switzerland, buys a "Philips" radio or television set expects to receive a product of one of these enterprises. In selling under this mark sets manufactured by the German branch of Philips, the defendant has not run the risk of deceiving the public (Lausanne, Federal Court, October 4, 1960)	200	Right to the name. "Quaker State" and "Quaker City" (Brussels, Court of Appeal, June 18, 1963)	223
Cartels. The proprietor of a registered trademark in Switzerland can demand protection of his right in relation to a foreign mark as soon as such mark appears on the Swiss market (principle of territoriality); his exclusive right exists, even if		<i>France.</i> Protection of the trade name based on application of Article 8 of the Paris Convention (Paris, Court of Paris, June 13, 1961)	274
		<i>Switzerland.</i> Trade names contain territorial designations when they indicate a certain geographical area, even when this is not a juridically defined territory. Territorial designations fall within the scope of the law, even when they refer to geographical areas situated partly or wholly outside Switzerland (Lausanne, Federal Court, October 11, 1960)	201
		Risk of confusion between titles of two periodicals. No danger of confusion between "Quick" and "Blick" (Lausanne, Federal Court, January 11, 1961)	199

- When can a trade name include the term "international"? (Lausanne, Federal Court, September 19, 1961) 201
- The protection attaching to the name of a company extends, in principle, to the whole of Switzerland, and is not limited to concurrent enterprises. However, since the risk of confusion must be assessed according to the special circumstances of the case, consideration must, in this respect, be given to the distance separating the headquarters of the parties, the circle of their clientele and the nature of their activities. It is also necessary to take account of the impression left by the trade name upon the memory of a person reading it with the degree of attention customary in such matters (Lausanne, Federal Court, February 13, 1962) 201
- A person who chooses a new trade name or sign must avoid creating any risk of confusion with existing enterprises (Lausanne, Federal Court, February 13, 1962) 201
- Marks / Trade names. The law only assimilates trade names to registered marks to the extent that such trade names are "employed as trademarks". The presumption to the right to a trademark cannot result solely from registration in the Register of Commerce (Lausanne, Federal Court, February 13, 1962) 200
- ### VII. UNFAIR COMPETITION
- Argentina.* The Court held that, whatever may be the letter, the spirit of the trademark law requires that acts contrary to the rules of proper conduct be regarded as invalid. Thus, "it must be admitted that the slavish copy of a foreign mark which enables (the offender) to take advantage of the reputation of the products bearing it, is unlawful (see also *Industrial Property*, 1962, p. 181) (Buenos Aires, Supreme Court, July 23, 1962) 177
- Registered mark. Mark used. "Jonsereds". As the mark had become very well known in Argentina, the Court presumed bad faith on the part of the plaintiffs who were unable to give any plausible explanation of their choice of an exotic sounding name like "Jonsereds" (Buenos Aires, Court of First Instance, December 6, 1962) 178
- Belgium.* A person who leaves the protected territory renounces, by this actual fact, the right to characterize his products by an indication of origin which has become incorrect. "Paris" for perfumery in Belgium (Brussels, Court of Appeal, November 17, 1961) 225
- Publicity must be based on truth. Sale below cost price (Brussels, Commercial Court, October 26, 1961) 226
- The presence of Swiss parts in German watches does not entitle the vendor of the watches to proclaim them as being Swiss watches, nor to use the Swiss Federal Cross in connection with them (Brussels, Court of Appeal, March 26, 1962) 225
- Act contrary to honest trade practices: "the fact of associated manufacturers, possessing a near-monopoly and being sufficiently powerful economically to exercise, by concerted action, an overwhelming influence upon the market, proposing to clients, in addition to a collective reward on a progressive basis, a reward of fidelity, the granting of which would inevitably result in the elimination of all competition (Brussels, Court of Appeal, May 16, 1963) 225
- Genuine whisky mixed with native neutral alcohol is no longer whisky (Brussels, Commercial Court, June 15, 1963) 225
- An appeal action to the judge both as regards acts of unfair competition *stricto sensu*, and those of prohibited competition (Brussels, Court of Appeal, March 13, 1964) 224
- France.* Agreement between two companies to pool their manufacturing methods and to distribute their products at an agreed price constituted an agreement prohibited by Article 59^{bis} of the Decree of August 9, 1953, and since such an agreement was not in the public interest, each of the parties could legally denounce it (Paris, Court of Paris, May 4, 1961) 275
- Great Britain.* Restraining former employee from disclosing or using knowledge of manufacturing processes obtained in course of employment (London, Chancery Division, March 12, 1963) . . . 153
- Unfair competition. Passing off: Application for interlocutory injunction. Isolated trap order (London, Chancery Division, March 19, 1963) . 153
- Use of confidential information in manufacture of goods. Nature of account of profits to be rendered by defendant (London, Chancery Division, November 1 and 16, 1963) 153
- Switzerland.* When confusion arises from the fact that one of the enterprises has modified its activities then, in accordance with the principles of good faith, it is that enterprise which should change its trade name, even if it is of earlier date than that of its competitor (Lausanne, Federal Court, October 20, 1959) 201
- Can imitation of the external appearance of a product which is not covered by a patent constitute an act of unfair competition? A competitor cannot equitably be required to renounce a national presentation in favour of one which is less practical in application, less enduring or more costly (Lausanne, Federal Court, February 3, 1961) . . 202
- Comparative publicity, even between individuals, is not illegal, provided it is objectively exact, does not deceive the public and is not of a disparaging character (Lausanne, Federal Court, May 9, 1961) 201
- ### VIII. LEGISLATION AGAINST MONOPOLIES
- Belgium.* Treaty of Rome. Art. 85 "Self executing"? (Brussels, Court of Appeal, June 1, 1962) . . . 226
- Act contrary to honest trade practices: "the fact of associated manufacturers, possessing a near-monopoly and being sufficiently powerful economically to exercise, by concerted action, an overwhelming influence upon the market, proposing to clients, in addition to a collective reward on a progressive basis, a reward for fidelity the

	Pages		Pages
granting of which would inevitably result in the elimination of all competition" (Brussels, Court of Appeal, May 16, 1963)	225	Agreement between association of suppliers and association of purchasers to accept schedule of standard descriptions of goods (London, Restrictive Practices Court, April 29, 1963)	155
<i>France.</i> An agreement between two companies to pool their products at an agreed price constituted an agreement prohibited by Article 59 ^{bis} of the Decree of August 9, 1953, and since such an agreement was not in the public interest, each of the parties could legally denounce it (Paris, Court of Paris, May 4, 1961)	275	Recommendation to members of trade association to guarantee minimum purchase prices to suppliers (London, Restrictive Practices Court, April 29, 1963)	155
<i>Great Britain.</i> Agreement restricting supply of goods to traders admitted to special register. Qualifications of traders so admitted determined by committee of manufacturers and traders. Protection of public against injury pleaded in justification (London, Restrictive Practices Court, March 15, 1963)	156	Agreements liable to registration. Individual agreements in identical terms. "Conscious parallelism" (London, Court of Appeal, May 27, 1963)	154
Agreement not to sell below fixed minimum prices (Edinburgh, Restrictive Practices Court [Scotland], March 23, 1963)	155	Manufacturers' scheme for payment of levy related to quantities of goods sold and for sharing resulting "profits pool" (London, Chancery Division, July 10, 1963)	154
Agreements subject to registration. An agreement for allocation of order to individual manufacturer. Committee to determine recipient of allocation. Agreement complementary to agreement between Crown and manufacturers as a group (London, Court of Appeal, March 29, 1963)	154	Combination of buyers to ensure fair terms of purchase of imported raw material from foreign preponderant supplier (London, Restrictive Practices Court, July 12, 1963)	156
Jurisdiction of Restrictive Practices Court after removal of restrictions from register on direction of Board of Trade. Powers of Board of Trade to direct removal of restrictions (London, Restrictive Practices Court, April 26, 1963)	158	Voluntary termination of agreement prior to reference to Court. Whether Court still entitled to exercise jurisdiction? Yes (London, House of Lords, December 18, 1963)	157
		Restrictions in building contracts: Use of standard forms of contract and restrictive conditions governing tenders (London, Restrictive Practices Court, April 10, 1964)	157

Chronological Table of Decisions

	Pages		Pages
1917		1959	
London, Chancery Division, June 13	153	Brussels, Supreme Court, April 16	222
		Vienna, Federal Supreme Court, June 16	95
1924		London, Restrictive Practices Court, July 31	156
Brussels, Supreme Court, December 15	223	Liège, Commercial Court, October 12	223
		Lausanne, Federal Court, October 20	201
1926		Buenos Aires, Appellate Court, December 29	182
Leipzig, <i>Reichsgericht</i> , July 2	32		
		1960	
1932		Paris, Supreme Court, January 18	270
Zurich, Commercial Court, January 11	99	Paris, Supreme Court, January 18	272
		Paris, Seine Court of First Instance, February 6	248
1938		Buenos Aires, Court of First Instance, March 11	178
Vienna, Federal Supreme Court, January 11	94	Lausanne, Federal Court, March 22	198
		Paris, Court of Paris, April 6	250
1939		Brussels, Supreme Court, June 2	226
Oslo, Trust Supervisory Council, February 15	49	Lyon, Court of Lyon, June 13	275
Oslo, Trust Supervisory Council, June 29	50	Buenos Aires, Court of First Instance, July 16	178
London, King's Bench Division, December 19	154	Lausanne, Federal Court, October 4	200
		Lausanne, Federal Court, October 11	201
1943		Orléans, Court of Orléans, November 9	270
Brussels, Civil Court, July 14	220	Buenos Aires, Court of Appeal, November 18	179
		Lausanne, Federal Court, December 13	198
1944		Antwerp, Court of First Instance, December 30	222
Buenos Aires, Court of First Instance, November 3	180		
		1961	
1945		Lausanne, Federal Court, January 11	199
Buenos Aires, Court of Appeal, September 28	180	Lausanne, Federal Court, February 3	202
		Lausanne, Federal Court, February 21	199
1946		Paris, Court of Paris, March 15	246
Buenos Aires, Supreme Court, December 30	180	Paris, Court of Paris, March 15	245
		Paris, Supreme Court, March 15	273
1947		London, Restrictive Practices Court, March 24	156
Stockholm, Supreme Court, December 22	36	Paris, Court of Paris, May 4	275
		Lausanne, Federal Court, May 9	199
1955		Lausanne, Federal Court, May 9	201
Lausanne, Federal Tribunal, September 5	99	Brussels, Civil Court, May 17	221
		Lausanne, Federal Court, May 30	198
1956		Brussels, Commercial Court, May 30	223
Brussels, Supreme Court, June 28	221	Paris, Court of Appeal, June 12	245
		Lausanne, Federal Court, June 13	198
1957		Paris, Court of Paris, June 13	274
Paris, Civil Court of the Seine, May 9	246	Paris, Court of Paris, June 24	246
Paris, Civil Court of the Seine, May 9	246	Paris, Court of Paris, June 24	247
Paris, Supreme Court, July 16	249	Bordeaux, Court of Appeal, July 4	248
Paris, Supreme Court, November 19	248	Lausanne, Federal Court, September 19	201
		Paris, Court of Paris, October 21	272
1958		Brussels, Commercial Court, October 26	226
Paris, Court of Paris, February 4	249	Brussels, Supreme Court, October 27	224
Belfort, Civil Court, February 5	272	Brussels, Supreme Court, November 3	221
Paris, Supreme Court, July 21	271	Paris, Court of Paris, November 6	246
		Lausanne, Federal Court, November 7	198
		Paris, Court of Paris, November 7	271
		Paris, Court of Paris, November 9	271

	Pages		Pages
Paris, Court of Paris, November 10	271	London, Chancery Division, February 5	153
Lausanne, Federal Court, November 14	197	Lausanne, Federal Court, February 11	198
Brussels, Court of Brussels, November 17	225	London, Court of Appeal, February 19	191
Paris, Seine Court of First Instance, December 8	249	London, Assistant-Comptroller, February 20	152
Paris, Court of Paris, December 13	247	London, Divisional Court, February 28	149
		Paris, Court of Paris, March 1	246
1962		London, Chancery Division, March 7	151
Paris, Court of Paris, January 4	247	Paris, Supreme Court, March 11	248
Paris, Court of Paris, January 8	247	London, Chancery Division, March 12	153
Paris, Court of Paris, January 9	245	London, Restrictive Practices Court, March 15	156
Paris, Seine Court of First Instance, January 10	270	Paris, Court of Paris, March 16	249
Paris, Court of Paris, January 24	271	London, Chancery Division, March 19	153
Lausanne, Federal Court, February 13	201	Paris, Court of Paris, March 19	273
Valence, Court of First Instance, February 16	250	London, Chancery Division, March 22	151
Paris, Court of Paris, February 27	247	Edinburgh, Restrictive Practices Court (Scotland), March 26	155
Brussels, Court of Appeal, March 1	222	London, Appeal Tribunal, March 28	150
Toulouse, Court of Toulouse, March 6	272	London, Court of Appeal, March 29	154
Brussels, Court of Appeal, March 15	223	London, Chancery Division, April 8	150
Brussels, Court of Appeal, March 26	225	Lausanne, Federal Court, April 9	200
London, Chancery Division, March 29	150	London, Chancery Division, April 10	152
Buenos Aires, Court of Appeal, March 29	181	London, Restrictive Practices Court, April 10	157
Lyon, Court of Lyon, April 2	246	Paris, Court of Paris, April 2	273
Orléans, Court of Orléans, April 3	272	London, Restrictive Practices Court, April 26	158
Brussels, Civil Court, April 17	222	London, Restrictive Practices Court, April 29	155
Brussels, Supreme Court, May 4	222	London, Restrictive Practices Court, April 29	155
Buenos Aires, Court of First Instance, May 15	178	Brussels, Supreme Court, May 2	221
Paris, Seine Court of First Instance, May 19	248	Paris, Court of Appeal, May 2	248
Brussels, Supreme Court, May 25	223	Aix, Court of Aix, May 7	270
Paris, Court of Paris, May 28	271	Paris, Court of Paris, May 8	272
Brussels, Court of Appeal, June 1	226	Brussels, Court of Appeal, May 16	225
Paris, Court of Paris, June 19	246	London, Court of Appeal, May 27	154
Buenos Aires, Supreme Court, July 23	177	Antwerp, Civil Court, May 31	221
Lausanne, Federal Court, August 6	199	Paris, Court of Paris, May 31	249
Lausanne, Tribunal cantonal vaudois, September 12	200	Brussels, Court of Appeal, June 6	221
Paris, Court of Paris, November 8	246	Nancy, Court of Nancy, June 12	272
Paris, Council of State, November 9	250	Brussels, Commercial Court, June 15	225
Brussels, Commercial Court, November 15	224	Brussels, Court of Appeal, June 18	223
Brussels, Commercial Court, November 15	225	Paris, Court of Paris, June 19	271
London, Chancery Division, November 28	149	Paris, Court of Paris, June 19	274
London, Chancery Division, November 30	149	London, Appeal Tribunal, June 21	148
Buenos Aires, Appellate Court, December 6	178	London, Chancery Division, July 8	150
Paris, Seine Court of First Instance, December 7	249	London, Chancery Division, July 10	154
Paris, Court of Paris, December 8	273	London, Restrictive Practices Court, July 12	156
Lausanne, Federal Court, December 27	199	Lausanne, Federal Court, September 18	198
		Buenos Aires, Appellate Court, October 10	180
1963		London, Court of Appeal, October 16	151
Lausanne, Federal Court, January 14	197	London, Chancery Division, October 31	151
Paris, Court of Paris, January 26	275	London, Chancery Division, November 1 and 16	153
Lausanne, Federal Court, January 29	197	London, Appeal Tribunal, November 13	149
Paris, Court of Paris, January 29	246	London, House of Lords, December 18	157
Paris, Court of Paris, January 29	247		
Paris, Court of Paris, January 31	271	1964	
Antwerp, Court of First Instance, February 2	222	Buenos Aires, Court of Appeal, March 9	181
Brussels, Commercial Court, February 2	224	Brussels, Court of Appeal, March 13	224

Index of Parties

	Pages		Pages
Aga Baltic Radio AB	48	French & Sons Ltd. (Thomas)	153
AG für Oelfeuerungen & consorts	201	Frigorifico Armour de la Plata	179
AG für Presseerzeugnisse	199	Fromagerie Bel S. A.	177
Agreement between the British Waste Paper Association and the British Paper and Board Makers Association (Incorporated)	155	Geigy (J. R.) S. A.	149, 151, 197
Agreement between the Members of the British Paper and Board Makers Association (Incorporated)	155	Gennheimer & C ^{ie} (Carl)	201
Aktiengesellschaft Chocolat Tobler	199	Gennheimer & Co. (Gottfr.), Weber-Gennheimer (Max), successeur	201
Arts Ménagers S. A.	200	Gerätebau Ing. Wagner et Roth & Co. AG	197
Asbiton AG	201	Giesbrecht Söhne, Wwe. Alfred	100
Associated Transformer Manufacturers' Agreement	156	Ginzel & Co., Heinz	198
Automatic Telephone & Electric Co., Ltd.'s Application	154	Gottfried	151
Badan	200	Graber	200
Bamfords Limited	150	Gramophone Company Ltd. (The)	200
Bement and Sons	32	Haldimann	199
Bich et consorts	199	Hancock	154
Biorex Laboratories Ltd.	151	Hardtmuth Inc., L. & C.	178
Birmingham Association of Building Trades Employer's Agreement	157	Hardtmuth, L. & C., Entreprise nationale	178
Brasserie Malterie "Breda"	223	Henriksen	151
"Breda" Brasserie Malterie	223	Hilti AG	153
British Basic Slag, Ltd.'s Agreement	154	Hoover Washing Machines Ltd.	182
British Jute Trade Federal Council's Agreements	155	Imperial Chemical Industries Ltd.	198
British Steel Founders' Association's Agreement	158	Interstate Circuit Inc.	32
Brown, Boveri & Co., Aktiengesellschaft	198	Intertype Ltd.	55
Brownie Wireless Co. Ltd.	55	Istituto De Angeli S. p. A.	197
Bûcheron S. A., Au —	200	Istituto Sieroterapico Berna S. r. l.	198
Builders' Supply Co. (Hayes) Limited	150	Ivaldi, Enrique	177
Chocolat Tobler, Aktiengesellschaft	199	Jonsereds Fabriker AB	177
Clark	153	Junod	200, 201
Columbia Gramophone Company Ltd.	200	Kirgener de Planta	271
Compagnie générale d'Electricité	198	Kraft Ltda. S. A., Guillermo	181
Cooperative Union Ltd.	55	Krebs	197
Corsets Silhouette Ltd.	153	Kulzer GmbH	36
Cycles Motors S. A.	180	La Blanca S. A.	180
D. D. S. A. Pharmaceuticals Ltd.	151	Lanz & Co.	199
Decca Record Co. Ltd.	149	Lecouturier, E.	178
De Drie Hoefijzers	223	Lely (C. van der) N. V.	150
Demény Joseph	131	Linoleum Manufacturers' Association's Agreement	154
Diasan S. A.	197	Lowe Argentina S. A.	180
Dr. E. F. Huth	94	Löwe Radio Co. Ltd.	55
Drie Hoefijzers, De	223	Lustrol Argentina S. R. L.	180
Drogueria La Estrella	180	Luynes, Duc de	271
Duval	223	Marconi Wireless Telegraph Co.	55
Electric and Musical Industries Ltd.	150	Marthens & Co. GmbH, Th.	199
Electronic Applications (Commercial) Limited	153	Maxim Nordenfeld	56
Enicar S. A.	197	Metro Goldwyn Mayer A/S	48
Ethyl Corporation	150	Ministry of Health (Grande-Bretagne)	151
Fashion Originators' Guild of America	32	Modecraft S. A.	181
Federal Trade Commission	32	Monney & Forster S. A.	202
Felina GmbH	199	Müller	199
Florida Internationale Fruchtsaftgetränke Gesellschaft GmbH	198	Narok AG	199
Fomento (Sterling) Area Ltd.	151	National Federation of Building Trades Employers	157
		National Harrow Co.	32
		National Sulphuric Acid Association Ltd.'s Agreement	156
		Nestlé Co. Inc., The	181

	Pages		Pages
Newspaper Proprietor's Agreement	157	Rolls-Royce Ltd.	148
Nordenfeld Gun Co.	56	Sandoz AG	198
Oertli, Ing. W., Aktiengesellschaft	201	Shell (Switzerland) S. A.	199
Pearson	153	Staffordshire Motor Tyre Co., Ltd.'s Agreement	156
Parker	149	Stähli & C ^{ie}	202
Peck & Co. Ltd (John)	153	Talleres Tormat S. R. L.	182
Peter Pan Manufacturing Corporation	153	Tallon Limited	151
Pfäffli	202	Telephone Manufacturers' Application	154
Pfizer Corporation	151	Temescal Metallurgical Corporation	149
Philips AG	200	Terrapin Limited	150
Philips Gloeilampenfabrieken	94	Terri-Schokoladen AG	199
Piazza, Antonio Ludovico	181	Tool Metal Manufacturing Co. Ltd.	87
Plastofin AG	199	Torre, Armand	200
Presseerzeugnisse, AG für	199	Torres, Bidoggia & Spinelli S. R. L.	177
Puente & C ^{ia} , Manuel	180	Tungsten Electric Co. Ltd.	86
Quaker State Oil Refining Corporation	223	Tyre Trade Registrar Agreement	156
Radio-Import GmbH	200	Ucan Development Ltd.	153
Refill Improvements (Ri-Co.) Co., Ltd.	151	Unipharma S. A.	197
Rey, M.	178	Valentine	153
Rhind & Sons Ltd. (John)	153	«Vertglas», Genossenschaft der Schweizerischen Glas- grosshändler	100
Rickenbach et consorts	201	Vulcain et Studio S. A., Fabrique de montres	197
Robin Electric Lamp Co. Ltd.	55	Water Tube Boilermakers' Association's Agreement	156
Rocha, Bernardo	179	Whirlpool Corporation	198
Röhm & Haas, S. à r. l.	130	Zelker Ltd. (R.)	153

Index of Book Reviews

Barasch, Eugen A. and Ionascu, Traian. <i>Teoria generală a contractelor economice</i>	Pages 226	Mélas, Victor and Ioannou, Tassos. <i>Copyright and Industrial Property Law Review</i> (yearly review)	Pages 114
Boguslavskii, M. M. <i>Patentnye voprosy v mezh du narodnykh otnosheniakh; Mezhdunarodno-pravovye problemy izobretatelstva</i>	203	Osten, Horst von der —. <i>Die Verkehrsgeltung im Warenzeichen- und Wettbewerbsrecht und ihre Feststellung im Prozess</i>	203
Cavelier, German. <i>Marcas de fábrica y nombres comerciales</i>	158	Pointet, P.-J. <i>La protection de la marque (Proposition en vue de la revision de la loi fédérale sur les marques de fabrique et de commerce)</i>	114
Centro studi e sociale dell'Università internazionale degli studi sociali. <i>La brevettabilità nel settore farmaceutico, aspetti in relazione al MEC</i>	90	Rutz, Aloys. <i>Die Schuldwährung der Ansprüche aus Immaterialgüterrechtsverletzungen</i>	135
David, Heinrich. <i>Kommentar zum schweizerischen Markenschutzgesetz</i>	135	Sebestyén, Pál. <i>Civil Code of the Hungarian People's Republic</i> (translated into French by —)	227
Fabiani, Mario. <i>Abuso di brevetti d'invenzione e norme di disciplina della libertà di concorrenza</i>	227	Sordelli, Luigi. <i>Diritto Industriale</i>	134
Federico, P. J. <i>Historical Patent Statistics, 1791 to 1961</i>	113	Troller, Alois. <i>Immaterialgüterrecht, Patent-, Marken-, Urheber-, Muster- und Modell-Wettbewerbsrecht</i>	202
Galperin, G. I. <i>Osnovy izobretatelskogo i avtorskogo prava v SSSR</i>	203	Troller, Kamen. <i>Das internationale Privatrecht des unlauteren Wettbewerbs</i>	135
International Vine and Wine Office. <i>The Vine and Wine Lexicon</i>	227	Vadimovna, A. T. <i>Nasledovanie v avtorskom i izobretatelskom prave</i>	203
Ioannou, Tassos and Mélas, Victor. <i>Copyright and Industrial Property Law Review</i> (yearly review)	114	Viragh, Zoltán. <i>Zur Frage des Güterzeichens und der "Certification Mark"</i>	134
Ionascu, Traian and Barasch, Eugen A. <i>Teoria generală a contractelor economice</i>	226	Wittmer, Jacques. <i>Garantie et responsabilité contractuelle en droit des brevets d'invention</i>	251
Jaton, Michel. <i>La protection des marques de haute renommée au regard du droit suisse</i>	251	Zeunert, Gerhard. <i>Offenbarung des beanspruchten Erfindungsgedankens und Schutzzumfang des Patentes</i>	203

List of Legislative Texts

<i>Unionist Countries</i>		Pages		Pages
IRELAND. — Industrial Property (Amendment) Rules (No. 176, of July 20, 1964)	188	Decrees concerning the temporary protection of industrial property rights at 17 exhibitions (of February 20, 28, March 2, 3, 16, 27, 31 and April 4, 1964)	118	
Patents Act, 1964 (of June 24, 1964)	188, 211, 238, 261	Decree by the President of the Italian Republic concerning rules for the protection of appellations of origin of must and wine (No. 930, of July 12, 1963)	170	
ITALY. — Decrees concerning the temporary protection of industrial property rights at an exhibition (of December 3, 1963)	18	Decrees concerning the temporary protection of industrial property rights at 6 exhibitions (of April 20, May 4, July 6, 15 and 21, 1964)	219	
Decrees concerning the temporary protection of industrial property rights at 14 exhibitions (of January 10, February 17, 22, 26, March 9, 10, 1964)	47	REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA. — Act to Consolidate and Amend the Law relating to Trade Marks (English text signed by the State President) (Assented to June 21, 1963)	15, 24	

