

The Global Innovation Index 2011

Accelerating Growth and Development

Soumitra Dutta, INSEAD Editor













The Business School for the World

The Global Innovation Index 2011

Accelerating Growth and Development

Soumitra Dutta, INSEAD Editor









The Global Innovation Index 2011: Accelerating Growth and Development is the result of a collaboration among INSEAD and Knowledge Partners.

FDITOR

Soumitra DUTTA, Roland Berger Professor of Business and Technology, INSEAD, and Academic Director, eLab, INSEAD

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Global Innovation Index, like any innovation project, is a collaborative effort. The valuable contributions of the individuals listed below are gratefully acknowledged. We look forward to the continued input of the broader community of innovation professionals and experts to further improve the GII and to make it more useful for policy making and decision makers in the public/private sectors.

INSEAD

Bruno LANVIN, Executive Director of eLab

Daniela BENAVENTE, Senior Research Fellow, eLab

Shellie KARABELL, Director Media Relations & Knowledge

Sophie BADRE, Associate Director Media Relations

Shilpa DODDA, Research Programmer, eLab **Virginie BONGEOT-MINET**, Centre Coordinator, eLab

OTHER DIRECT COLLABORATORS

Michaela SAISANA, Senior Researcher, Institute for the Protection and Security of the Citizen, Joint Research Centre of the European Commission

Hope STEELE, Editor, Steele Editorial Services **Neil WEINBERG**, Principal, Neil Weinberg Design

KNOWLEDGE PARTNERS

Alcatel-Lucent

Revital MAROM, Head of Market and Consumer Insight

Simon POULTER, Head of Media Relations

Kurt STEINERT, Director of Corporate Communications **Louis WITTERS**, Director, Market and Consumer Insight

Booz and Company

Karim M. SABBAGH, Senior Vice President Richard SHEDIAC, Senior Vice President Barry JARUZELSKI, Vice President

Hatem A. SAMMAN, Director, The Ideation Center

Lisa MITCHELL, Principal **Chadi N. MOUJAES**, Principal **Joanne ALAM**, Senior Associate

Confederation of Indian Industry

Anjan DAS, Executive Director, Technology

Seema GUPTA, Director

Jibak DASGUPTA, Deputy Director

World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO)

Carsten FINK, Chief Economist

Sacha WUNSCH-VINCENT, Senior Economist

The index's methodology and the rankings do not necessarily present the views of WIPO or its Member States. Any remaining errors are the responsibility of the authors and not WIPO.

We are also grateful to the following persons for their help with specific data requests:

Susan Teltscher, Head, and Esperanza Magpantay, Statistician, Market Information and Statistics Division, Telecommunication Development Bureau, International Telecommunication Union (ITU) Karen Treanton, Head of Energy Balances, Prices and Emissions

Section, Energy Statistics Division, International Energy Agency Cornelius Bubenzer, Financial Markets Executive, and Ifigenia Poulka, Data and Applications Specialist, Thomson Reuters

The terms 'country' and 'nation' as used in this report do not in all cases refer to a territorial entity that is a state as understood by international law and practice. The terms cover well-defined, geographically self-contained economic areas that may not be states but for which statistical data are maintained on a separate and independent basis.

© INSEAD 2011. All rights reserved. No part of this publication can be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise without the prior permission of INSEAD.

ISBN: 978-2-9522210-1-6

Printed and bound in France by INSEAD, Fontainebleau.

Table of Contents

Foreword: The World Needs Open Innovation By Ben Verwaayen, Chief Executive Officer, Alcatel-Lucent	٧	Chapter 2: Innovation in Latin America: Recent Insights	65
Foreword: Innovation: Increasingly Global, Increasingly Vital By Shumeet Banerji, Chief Executive Officer, Booz & Company	∨ii	By Lourdes Casanova, INSEAD Strategy Department; Jeff Dayton- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development; Nils Fonstad, INSEAD; and Anna Pietikäinen, Organisation for Econom Co-operation and Development	Olaya
Foreword: Innovation, Developing Markets, and the Role of the Global Innovation Index	ix	Chapter 3: Innovation in India: Affordable Innovations	77
By Chandrajit Banerjee, Director General, Confederation of Indian Industry		By Manisha G. Singh, Council of Scientific and Industrial Research Gambhir, Xpert Media; and Jibak Dasgupta, Confederation of Ind Industry	
Foreword: Why Innovation Is Important By Francis Gurry, Director General, World Intellectual Property Organization	хi	Chapter 4: Making Cities Smart and Sustainable By Kurt W. Steinert, Revital Marom, Philippe Richard, Baspar Veiga, and Louis Witters, Alcatel-Lucent	87
Preface: The Global Innovation Index Is a Collaborative Effort Soumitra Dutta, INSEAD	xiii	Chapter 5: The Global Footprint of Innovation By Barry Jaruzelski, Chadi Moujaes, and Hatem Samman, Booz & Company	97
Advisory Board to the Global Innovation Index	XV	Chapter 6: Accounting for Creativity in Innovation: What We Should Be Measuring and Related Difficulties	107
Rankings		By Sacha Wunsch-Vincent, World Intellectual Property	
Global Innovation Index 2011 Rankings	xviii	Organization	
		Appendices	
Chapters		Appendix I: Country/Economy Profiles	117
Chapter 1: Measuring Innovation Potential and Results: The Best Performing Economies	3	Appendix II: Data Tables	247
By Soumitra Dutta and Daniela Benavente, INSEAD		Appendix III: Sources and Definitions	333
Appendix: Statistical tests on the Global Innovation Index	57	Appendix IV: Technical Notes	347
By Michaela Saisana, European Commission Joint Research Centre		Appendix V: About the Authors	353

The World Needs Open Innovation



Innovation has always been an important element in the relative success of societies—economically, intellectually, and socially. And as we move from a world of independent, lightly linked societies to one of inclusion with a larger, more deeply interconnected global community, innovation is more critical than ever.

What is the role of innovation in transforming a society? How does this transformation happen? It is one thing to have a great idea—it is another to bring it to life. For innovation to thrive you need an ecosystem that can transform an idea into something truly meaningful.

This important work that you have in your hands, the *Global Innovation Index*, explores the transformative power of innovation. Significantly, it identifies the conditions and qualities that allow innovation to thrive, and highlights the role innovation can play in a nation's economic and social development.

But there is another, even deeper question we need to ask ourselves: What is the role of innovation in addressing the great challenges that confront humanity?

We are at a very exciting time in history, a pivotal time, and the global community faces some daunting challenges. The planet is getting hotter. Cities are expanding at an astounding rate, creating a difficult environment for the delivery of basic services such as health care, public safety, and education. At the same time, while the world becomes more connected—there are more cell phones today than there are people—large segments of the global community remain completely cut off from the world of commerce, communication, and information that has become so critical to the establishment of healthy economies and prosperous people.

As importantly, these changes cannot be incremental—the solutions to our most daunting challenges will require bold, creative leaps. These challenges require new thinking, new technology, and new ways of collaborating—an open innovation approach to solving problems that is based on partnerships among industries, companies, national and regional governments, and research organizations and academia.

There are initiatives underway that are applying this model of open innovation to specific global challenges. One example I like to highlight is the GreenTouchTM Consortium, a group that is drawing on the expertise of companies and organizations from all sectors of the information and communication technologies (ICT) industry and academia to dramatically reduce energy consumption in ICT networks, a significant contributor to global climate change. Together, these varied and often competing organizations are working together to pioneer the new technologies on which energy efficient networks of the future will depend. These are not merely incremental improvements, but disruptive technologies that will change the nature of networks forever.

I am convinced that this same model, where commercial concerns and self-interest are set aside for the greater good, can and must be applied to the great challenges of our time, from the management of rapid urbanization (which we address in this report) to connecting the underserved populations of the world and to the establishment of a more sustainable way of life across the board.

The Global Innovation Index is laying the foundation for a global conversation of the role of innovation in addressing these challenges. By bringing together diverse parties to explore how innovation is being applied around the world, and what conditions make for successful innovation, it is making an essential contribution to the promotion of open innovation as a basic operating principal for the global community.

BEN VERWAAYEN Chief Executive Officer Alcatel-Lucent

Innovation: Increasingly Global, Increasingly Vital



Booz & Company is honoured to contribute to *The Global Innovation Index 2011* and to continue to support businesses and governments throughout the world in their pursuit of innovation. In the six years that our firm has published the annual Global Innovation 1000 study, which tracks the companies that spend the most on research and development worldwide, we have gained significant insight into the nature of innovation in terms of the relationship between innovation and performance, the effect of the recession on innovation spending, and ways that innovative companies are consistently able to outperform their peers.

We have also seen that innovation will be one of the most crucial elements in the continuing advancement of businesses and governments worldwide. The world has reached an inflection point in the evolution of innovation: Whereas economic advantage during the Industrial Revolution relied largely on natural resources, national development in the Digital Age depends on smart, ambitious individuals—who can be found anywhere. No single person, society, company, or nation has a monopoly on innovation, information, and knowledge.

That fact is reflected in the increasingly global nature of innovation. Multinational corporations are making large investments in research and development (R&D) outside of their headquarter countries, setting up R&D sites in low-cost emerging countries such as China and India to access global talent and take advantage of their proximity to target markets. As a result, developing countries are benefiting from new products and services that better fit their needs, more job opportunities, new management practices, and access to technology.

Governments and companies alike must continue to push forward in building their capabilities in innovation if they are to capture and sustain competitive advantage in the coming years. Developed economies—many still reeling from the impact of the world's financial crisis that began in 2008—must push forward with innovation strategies in order to stay ahead in critical industries. At the same time, developing economies—many of which managed to weather the storm of the financial crisis—must actively develop an innovation environment

by developing their talent base, introducing or enforcing laws that protect intellectual property, and improving corporate governance.

At Booz & Company, we believe in the transformative nature of innovation. We believe that new ideas can be a catalyst for change at all levels of society. And we believe that institutions—public and private—have a mandate to create environments in which innovation will flourish. In doing so, they are incubating the next stage of the world's economic advancement.

SHUMEET BANERJIChief Executive Officer
Booz & Company

Innovation, Developing Markets, and the Role of the Global Innovation Index



It gives me great pleasure to see the flourishing partnership between the Confederation of Indian Industry (CII) and INSEAD on the Global Innovation Index (GII). This is the third consecutive year of the report, and the inclusion of three other partners—Alcatel-Lucent, Booz & Company, and the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO)—has strengthened and diversified the team. I welcome all the partners to this initiative and hope that together we will be able to enhance our contributions to the GII.

Innovation and developing markets

People have always attempted to fathom the unknown and discover new paths to knowledge. This perpetual journey has recently gained unprecedented momentum. In the last two or three decades the world has seen rapid changes in operational efficiency, thanks to the advent of the computer, the Internet, and mobile devices. To use a cliché, the world has become a global village where distances have ceased to affect human interaction and information exchange.

From big metropolitan areas to remote ones, people are well connected to the global market. This rapid connectivity and information flow has had a great influence on developing regions, where it is reshaping the mindset of people in remote villages and towns. People are not only more educated today than they were a short time ago, but also more informed and increasingly connected to the mainstream market. This phenomenon creates huge challenges and as well as opportunities for existing businesses if they are to survive this massive change. It is here that the importance of 'innovation' becomes evident, and it is why innovation is becoming more and more widely discussed as a way to counter this rapid change in the global order.

As we look around and observe the whole world embracing innovation in a time of economic downturns, shrinking markets, and shortening product lifecycles, we see a clear shift towards the developing regions, which are the new hotbeds of innovation and future markets. Some good efforts have been made to capture these evolving economic conditions by various studies at a global level.

The GII and its importance

The Global Innovation Index (GII) is one such study, conducted by experts from INSEAD and its Knowledge Partners to put into perspective the new trends and practices in innovation across the world. The indexing of countries on innovation parameters will not only showcase the excellence of lead countries but also help in finding the gaps for the laggards.

The outreach of this study, which attempts to include developing regions such as India—a country that is fast transforming itself into an innovation-driven economy—has made it comprehensive. Because CII is the premier industry body of India, it is associated with various innovation activities within industry and society at large; its knowledge of this region complements the GII well. The GII provides insight into the innovation gaps that need be filled, which makes it a readily available guide for national policy makers.

On behalf of CII, I express my satisfaction at being associated with the GII, congratulate its wonderful team, and wish it all success.

CHANDRAJIT BANERJEE

Director General
Confederation of Indian Industry

Why Innovation Is Important



Innovation is a central driver of economic growth, development, and better jobs. It is the key that enables firms to successfully compete in the global marketplace, and the process by which solutions are found to social and economic challenges, from climate change to the fight against deadly diseases. It is the source of improvements to the quality of our everyday life.

The innovation landscape has evolved significantly in recent years. First, shifts are occurring in the geography of innovation. Trends in economic growth and patterns of investment in education and research and development foster a multi-polar innovation landscape. Firms in lower-income countries are no longer only passive adopters of technologies, as enterprises from middle-income economies have emerged on the international innovation scene. The technological gap between middle-and high-income countries has narrowed.

Second, there has been increased recognition of the complexity of the journey from idea to commercial reality, leading to a broadening of our understanding of innovation. Non-technological innovations—such as new organizational forms, new marketing approaches, successful design, and other innovations—are now acknowledged as vital. Innovation capability is also the ability to exploit new and incremental technological combinations. Third, the innovation process today is more open, collaborative, and internationalized than ever.

Importantly, in this setting, innovation-driven growth is no longer the prerogative of high-income countries alone. Opportunities to innovate can be tapped by all.

Why an innovation index?

Innovation is still a blurry concept, despite the policy interest it now garners. It evades clear measurement by national statistical offices, especially as our understanding has broadened and as a wider spectrum of actors—the service sector, public entities, and philanthropies—is recognized. Even less is known about how new products and processes come about in developing countries, how innovation diffuses, and what its impacts are.

To enable countries to benchmark their policies, the Global Innovation Index (GII) provides an integrated metric based on carefully selected and weighted variables. It is the result of several years of improvement, a willingness to use official data where possible, and a desire to weight sub-variables in order not to penalize smaller or lower-income economies.

This undertaking is not without challenges. Developing an innovation index is constrained by data limitations, and there is no clear understanding of which factors interact in specific country settings and how to influence innovation. Many factors—say, the number of science PhDs—may not operate in an identical manner across different countries.

Nonetheless, I believe that having the GII makes an important difference in several ways: It seeks to sharpen the eye of policy makers about the importance of innovation and related policies and puts a spotlight on a topic that is otherwise hard to grasp. It helps to create an environment where innovation factors are under constant re-evaluation, thus becoming a tool to assess relative positions and to refine national innovation policies. And the demands created by the GII are meant to foster the availability of statistical data.

WIPO, through developing a balanced and effective international intellectual property system, contributes to stimulating innovation and economic development. Better understanding the innovation process is thus closely linked to our mission. We are therefore glad to have supported the development of the 2011 GII and I thank INSEAD, GII's Knowledge Partners, and its eminent Advisory Board Members for a fruitful partnership.

I hope readers find the present publication enlightening. Measuring innovation, identifying its main drivers, and fostering adequate policies is a multi-year journey. We at WIPO look forward to taking part in this journey.

FRANCIS GURRY

Director General
World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO)

The Global Innovation Index Is a Collaborative Effort

SOUMITRA DUTTA, INSEAD

As this fourth edition of *The Global Innovation Index (GII)* 2011 goes to the press in the second quarter of 2011, the global economic recovery is strengthening in most parts of the world. With the global economy forecasted to grow at a rate of more than 4% in 2011, innovation is coming into its own as an essential element of resilience as economies aim to sustain their growth while creating new jobs for their citizens.

Since 2007, INSEAD eLab has been producing the GII, recognizing the key role of innovation as a driver of economic growth and prosperity and acknowledging the need for a broad horizontal vision of innovation that is applicable to both developed and emerging economies. A key goal of the GII has been to find metrics and approaches to better capture the richness of innovation in society and go beyond the traditional measures of innovation such as the number of PhDs, research articles produced, research centers created, patents issued, and R&D expenditures.

In 2011, the GII Report underwent major developments. It gathered key players around the project and strengthened the GII as a valuable benchmarking tool to facilitate public-private dialogue, whereby policy makers, business leaders, and other stakeholders can evaluate progress on a continual basis.

As part of this evolution, Alcatel-Lucent, Booz & Company, the Confederation of Indian Industry (CII), and the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO, a specialized agency of the United Nations) joined INSEAD as Knowledge Partners in the elaboration of the GII. These Knowledge Partners share a common belief in the growing importance of innovation for enabling economic growth in both developed and emerging nations. They have provided valuable input to the research underlying the GII, contributed analytical chapters to the GII Report, and will participate actively in the dissemination of results.

In addition, for the 2011 edition, the Joint Research Centre (JRC) of the European Commission performed a thorough robustness and sensitivity analysis of the GII. The JRC has researched extensively on the complexity of composite indicators ranking countries' performances along policy lines. The recommendations from the JRC auditing report are presented in the Report and were taken into account in the computation of the rankings.

Last but certainly not least, an Advisory Board was set up, comprising a select group of international practitioners and experts in the realm of innovation (details on the following page). We are grateful for the time and support provided by the Advisory Board members.

The GII, like any innovation project, is a collaborative effort. There are many others who have made valuable contributions to the success of the project this year—in particular, the support of Sacha Wunsch-Vincent of WIPO; Bruno Lanvin of INSEAD eLab; Anjan Das, Jibak Dasgupta, and Seema Gupta of CII; Chadi Moujaes and Hatem Samman of Booz and Company; and Revital Marom and Kurt Steinert of Alcatel-Lucent is gratefully acknowledged. The excellent research and overall project management of Daniela Benavente for this fourth edition of the GII is also gratefully acknowledged. We look forward to the continued input of the broader community of innovation professionals and experts to further improve the GII and to make it more useful for policy making and decision makers in the public and private sectors.

Advisory Board to the Global Innovation Index

In 2011, an Advisory Board was set up to advise on the research underlying the Global Innovation Index (GII), generate synergies at its development stages, and assist with the dissemination of its messages and results.

The Advisory Board is a select group of leading international practitioners and experts with unique knowledge and skills in the realm of innovation. Its members, while coming from diverse geographical and institutional backgrounds (international organizations, the public sector, non-governmental organizations, business, and academia), participate in their personal capacity.

We are grateful for the time and support provided by the Advisory Board members.

ADVISORY BOARD MEMBERS

Khalid S. Al-Sultan

Rector of King Fahad University for Petroleum & Minerals of Saudi Arabia

Daniele Archibugi

Technology Director at the Italian National Research Council (CNR) and Professor of Innovation at the University of London

Irina Bokova

Director General of the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO)

Leonid Gokhberg

First Vice-Rector of the Higher School of Economics of Russia and Director of the Institute for Statistical Studies and Economics of Knowledge

Rolf-Dieter Heuer

Director General of the European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN)

Rolf Lehming

Director, Science & Engineering Indicators, US National Science Foundation

R. A. Mashelkar

CSIR Bhatnagar Fellow & President, Global Research Alliance, National Chemical Laboratory

Lynn St Amour

President and CEO of the Internet Society

Hamadoun Touré

Secretary General of the United Nations International Telecommunication Union (ITU)

Rankings

THE GLOBAL INNOVATION INDEX 2011

Global Innovation Index rankings

							GII PAST	YEARS	
Country/Economy	Score (0-100)	Rank	Income	Rank	Region	Rank	2010	2009	
witzerland	63.82	1	НІ	1	ECS	1	4	7	
weden	62.12	2	HI	2	ECS	2	2	3	
ingapore	59.64	3	HI	3	EAS	1	7	5	
long Kong (SAR), China	58.80	4	HI	4	EAS	2	3	12	
inland	57.50	5	HI	5	ECS	3	6	13	
)enmark	56.96	6	HI	6	ECS	4	5	8	
nited States of America	56.57	7	HI	7	NAC	1	11	1	
anada	56.33	8	HI	8	NAC	2	12	11	
letherlands	56.31	9	HI	9	ECS	5	8	10	
Inited Kingdom	55.96	10	HI	10	ECS	6	14	4	
celand	55.10	11	HI	11	ECS	7	1	20	
ermany	54.89	12	HI	12	ECS	8	16	2	
reland	54.10	13	HI	13	ECS	9	19	21	
rael	54.03	14	HI	14	MEA	1	23	23	
lew Zealand	53.79	15	HI	15	EAS	3	9	27	
Corea, Rep.	53.68	16	HI	16	EAS	4	20	6	
uxembourg	52.65	17	HI	17	ECS	10	15	17	
orway	52.60	18	HI	18	ECS	11	10	14	
ustria	50.75	19	HI	19	ECS	12	21	15	
apan	50.32	20	HI	20	EAS	5	13	9	
ustralia	49.85	21	HI	21	EAS	6	18	22	
rance	49.25	22	HI	22	ECS	13	22	19	
stonia	49.18	23	HI	23	ECS	14	29	29	
elgium	49.05	24	HI	24	ECS	15	17	18	
lungary	48.12	25	HI	25	ECS	16	36	47	
atar	47.74	26	HI	26	MEA	2	35	24	
zech Republic	47.30	27	HI	27	ECS	17	27	33	
yprus	46.45	28	HI	28	ECS	18	32	45	
hina	46.43	29	LM	1	EAS	7	43	37	
lovenia	45.07	30	HI	29	ECS	19	26	36	
Nalaysia	44.05	31	UM	1	EAS	8	28	25	
pain	43.81	32	HI	30	ECS	20	30	28	
ortugal	42.40	33	HI	31	ECS	21	34	40	
Inited Arab Emirates	41.99	34	HI	32	MEA	3	24	26	
taly	40.69	35	HI	33	ECS	22	38	31	
atvia	39.80	36	HI	34	ECS	23	44	60	
lovak Republic	39.05	37	HI	35	ECS	24	37	35	
hile	38.84	38	UM	2	LCN	1	42	39	
Aoldova, Rep.	38.66	39	LM	2	ECS	25	n/a	116	
ithuania	38.49	40	UM	3	ECS	26	39	42	
ordan	38.43	41	LM	3	MEA	4	58	55	
Bulgaria	38.42	42	UM	4	ECS	27	49	74	
oland	38.02	43	HI	36	ECS	28	47	56	
roatia	37.98	44	HI	37	ECS	29	45	62	
osta Rica	37.91	45	UM	5	LCN	2	41	48	
ahrain	37.80	46	HI	38	MEA	5	40	34	
Frazil	37.75	47	UM	6	LCN	3	68	50	
hailand	37.63	48	LM	4	EAS	9	60	44	
ebanon	37.11	49	UM	7	MEA	6	n/a	n/a	
omania	36.83	50	UM	8	ECS	30	52	69	
liet Nam	36.71	51	LM	5	EAS	10	71	64	
uwait	36.64	52	HI	39	MEA	7	33	30	
Mauritius	36.47	53	UM	9	SSF	1	73	66	
audi Arabia	36.44	54	HI	40	MEA	8	54	32	
erbia	36.31	55	UM	10	ECS	31	101	92	
ussian Federation	35.85	56	UM	11	ECS	32	64	68	
man	35.51	57	HI	41	MEA	9	65	52	
rgentina	35.36	58	UM	12	LCN	4	75	84	
outh Africa	35.22	59	UM	13	SSF	2	51	43	
kraine	35.01	60	LM	6	ECS	33	61	79	
uyana	34.83	61	LM	7	LCN	5	113	103	
ndia	34.52	62	LM	8	SAS	1	56	41	
ireece	34.18	63	HI	42	ECS	34	46	54	

GII PAST VEARS

Global Innovation Index rankings (continued)

							GII PAS	ILAND	
Country/Economy	Score (0-100)	Rank	Income	Rank	Region	Rank	2010	2009	
Uruguay	34.18	64	UM	14	LCN	6	53	80	
Turkey	34.11	65	UM	15	ECS	35	67	51	
Tunisia	33.89	66	LM	9	MEA	10	62	46	
Macedonia	33.47	67	UM	16	ECS	36	77	89	
Mongolia	33.40	68	LM	10	EAS	11	87	105	
Armenia	33.00	69	LM	11	ECS	37	82	104	
Ghana	32.48	70	LI	1	SSF	3	105	n/a	
Colombia	32.32	71	UM	17	LCN	7	90	75	
Trinidad and Tobago	32.17	72	HI	43	LCN	8	55	65	
Georgia	31.87	73	LM	12	ECS	38	84	98	
Paraguay	31.17	74	LM	13	LCN	9	127	118	
Brunei Darussalam	30.93	75	HI	44	EAS	12	48	n/a	
Bosnia & Herzegovina	30.84	76	UM	18	ECS	39	116	n/a	
Panama	30.77	77	UM	19	LCN	10	66	67	
Namibia	30.74	78	UM	20	SSF	4	92	95	
Botswana	30.51	79	UM	21	SSF	5	86	77	
Albania	30.45	80	UM	22	ECS	40	81	121	
Mexico	30.45	81	UM	23	LCN	11	69	61	
Sri Lanka	30.36	82	LM	14	SAS	2	79	58	
Peru	30.34	83	UM	24	LCN	12	88	85	
Kazakhstan	30.32	84	UM	25	ECS	41	63	12	
Kyrgyzstan	29.79	85	LI	2	ECS	42	104	122	
Guatemala	29.33	86	LM	15	LCN	13	95	81	
Egypt	29.21	87	LM	16	MEA	11	74	70	
Azerbaijan	29.17	88	UM	26	ECS	43	57	57	
Kenya	29.15	89	LI	3	SSF	6	83	78	
El Salvador	29.14	90	LM	17	LCN	14	91	00	
Philippines	28.98	91	LM	18	EAS	13	76	63	_
Jamaica Ecuador	28.88	92 93	UM LM	27	LCN LCN	15	70	73	
Ecuador	28.75			19		16	126	107	
Morocco	28.73	94	LM	20	MEA	12	94	82	
ran	28.41	95	UM	28 21	MEA SSF	13 7	n/a	n/a	
Nigeria Pangladoch	28.15 28.05	96 97	LM LI	4	SAS	3	96 120	70 111	
Bangladesh Honduras	27.81	98	LM	22	LCN	17	112	83	
Indonesia	27.78	99	LM	23	EAS	14	72		
Senegal	27.56	100	LM	24	SSF	8	106	90	
Swaziland	27.52	101	LM	25	SSF	9	n/a	n/a	
Venezuela	27.41	101	UM	29	LCN	18	124	101	
Cameroon	26.95	103	LM	26	SSF	10	119	106	
Tanzania	26.88	103	LIVI	5	SSF	11	98	86	
Pakistan	26.75	104	LM	27	SAS	4	103	93	
Uganda	26.37	106	LI	6	SSF	12	108	100	
Mali	26.35	107	LI	7	SSF	13	107	97	
Malawi	25.96	108	LI	8	SSF	14	97	n/a	
Rwanda	25.86	109	LI	9	SSF	15	n/a	n/a	
Nicaragua	25.78	110	LM	28	LCN	19	117	114	
Cambodia	25.46	111	LI	10	EAS	15	102	117	
Bolivia	25.44	112	LM	29	LCN	20	129		
Madagascar	25.41	113	LI	11	SSF	16	125	113	
Zambia	25.27	114	LI	12	SSF	17	111	96	
yrian Arab Republic	24.82	115	LM	30	MEA	14	132	94	
ajikistan	24.50	116	LI	13	ECS	44	115	112	
Côte d'Ivoire	24.08	117	LM	31	SSF	18	89	n/a	
Benin	23.81	118	LI	14	SSF	19	118	99	
Zimbabwe	23.54	119	LI	15	SSF	20	131	126	
Burkina Faso	23.14	120	LI	16	SSF	21	122	115	
Ethiopia	22.88	121	LI	17	SSF	22	123	120	
Niger	21.41	122	LI	18	SSF	23	n/a	n/a	
Yemen	20.72	123	LM	32	MEA	15	n/a	n/a	
Sudan	20.36	124	LM	33	SSF	24	n/a	n/a	
Algeria	19.79	125	UM	30	MEA	16	121	108	

Chapters

Measuring Innovation Potential and Results: The Best Performing Economies

SOUMITRA DUTTA and DANIELA BENAVENTE, INSEAD

The Global Innovation Index (GII) project was launched by INSEAD in 2007 with the simple goal of determining how to find metrics and approaches to better capture the richness of innovation in society and go beyond such traditional measures of innovation as the number of PhDs, the number of research articles produced, the research centres created, the patents issued, and research and development (R&D) expenditures.

There were several motivations for setting this goal. First, innovation is important for driving economic progress and competitiveness—both for developed and developing economies. Many governments are putting innovation at the centre of their growth strategies. Second, there is awareness that the definition of innovation has broadened—it is no longer restricted to R&D laboratories and to published scientific papers. Innovation could be and is more general and horizontal in nature, and includes social innovations and business model innovations as well. Last but not least, recognizing and celebrating innovation in emerging markets is seen as critical for inspiring people—especially the next generation of entrepreneurs and innovators.

However, reaching this goal has not been simple. A serious body of literature (see the next section) has attempted to outline metrics

for innovation over the last several years. The GII builds on these prior approaches and attempts to incorporate new perspectives on both traditional and emerging views of innovation. Many aspects of innovation, such as those in the informal economy, remain hard to identify and harder to measure with objective metrics. The GII innovation model, described in further detail in this chapter, takes several important steps in this direction, but feedback from experts and practitioners allows the model to continue to evolve.

An ambition of the GII has been to maximize the number of economies evaluated in the study. This continues to be a challenge because obtaining timely and relevant metrics on a global basis is often not possible. All available official data from international organizations such as the World Bank, the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), and the International Telecommunications Union (ITU) were considered, although many critical measures of innovation are not covered in the efforts of these organizations. Finally, combining various metrics into a simple measure of innovation for an economy is fraught with statistical and other complexities,1 especially when considering economies that are often vastly different

in size, population, and stage of economic development.

As a sign of the increasing validation and importance of the GII project, four key Knowledge Partners have contributed to the project this year: Alcatel-Lucent, Booz & Company, the Confederation of Indian Industry (CII),2 and the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO, a specialized agency of the United Nations). Each of these partners shares a common vision of the importance of a broader notion of innovation in our world today. The GII project has benefited from the knowledge and input of these partners, and contributions from other public- and private-sector leaders who are interested in understanding and improving innovation in their economies will continue to provide valuable input.

This chapter presents selected findings from a review of innovation literature that has allowed us to refine the theoretical underpinnings of the GII model and guide the revision of pillars and sub-pillars and the selection of indicators. The chapter also includes details on the innovation rankings emerging from the GII project in 2011.

Box 1: Low-tech innovations

Although innovations with low technological content have always existed, the fact that innovations do not necessarily entail a technological component emerges as an important theme in the recent literature on innovation, in sharp contrast with the past (OECD/EC, 2005, p. 17).

According to the OECD classification of innovativeness based on R&D intensity, low-tech industries are those that have an R&D intensity that ranges between 0 and 0.9% (this intensity is greater than 5% for high-tech industries). Since this classification applies exclusively to manufactures (textiles, wood, pulp, etc.), a different taxonomy was proposed by Pavitt in 1984, which included four groups: (1) supplier-dominated firms, (2) scale-intensive firms, (3) specialized suppliers, and (4) science-based firms. Low-tech sectors mainly fall into the first group.

This distinction is crucial, as high-tech industries represent a small proportion of total manufacturing industries, including in developed economies. High-tech industries have represented around 6% and 10% of the value-added of the manufacturing

sector in the European Union at 15 countries (1979–2003) and the United States of America, respectively (1979–2004).

Innovation in low-tech industries has particular characteristics:

- It is more 'market pulled' than 'technology pushed'; demand factors, niche markets, product differentiation, and mature brands are crucial to innovation in low-tech industries.
- Product innovations are not intensive in research and development (R&D), although process innovations have more technological content (cf. investment in equipment and machinery).
- New technologies often spill over (through acquisition) from other industries, so that low-tech firms provide a demand pull for high-tech firms.
- For this absorption of innovation to be effective, a skilled workforce and learning capabilities are required.

Source

Based on Joint Research Centre of the European Commission, 2009.

process, a new marketing method, or a new organizational method in business practices, workplace organization, or external relations.³

The modern evolution of the concept of innovation can be sketched by referring to the different versions of the *Oslo Manual*, which guides statisticians in their recent attempts to measure innovation. In its first editions in 1992 and 1997, the *Manual* focused exclusively on technological innovations

and covered only products and processes. The breakthrough made after 1997 was to expand the sectoral coverage from manufacturing industries to services. The 2005 edition incorporated three crucial developments. First, the 'technological' qualifier was eliminated (see Box 1). Second, innovations in methods were added to the list. Third, for the first time, innovation in the public sector was mentioned as an area deserving further attention.⁵ In 2010, the Ministerial Report on the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Innovation Strategy added that 'consideration [was] being given to extending the methodology to public sector innovation and social innovation so as to correspond to the reality of innovation today'.6

An innovation can be new to the world, or new to a sector or market, or new to an agent. It can also be a disruptive innovation, where the focus is on impact, rather than on novelty. Most studies agree that the commercial introduction of innovations developed elsewhere constitutes an innovation:

Innovation also occurs when a firm introduces a product or process to a country for the first time. It occurs when other firms imitate this pioneering firm. Moreover, it occurs when the initial or follower firms make minor improvements and adaptations to improve a product or production process, leading to productivity improvements. In short, innovation occurs through 'creative imitation'.8

Prior research in innovation: Selected perspectives

Different definitions of innovation have been proposed in the literature. In this report, we embrace a broad definition that has the advantage of being both short and well suited to capture global innovation:

An innovation is the implementation of a new or significantly improved product (good or service), a new

THE GLOBAL INNOVATION INDEX 2011

Innovations are not restricted to the firm, they originate in all agents of society: at the level of the firm, or of an industry; in governmental services or in the public sector; in academia; and in society in general. Innovation activities by these agents are usually complementary: Prototypes might be developed in a university research lab and the final product introduced in the market by a firm, for instance.

A distinction made by Gibbons et al. (1994) has been highly influential in the literature on innovation. These authors label traditional 19th and early 20th century research as 'mode 1': Characterized by a cleavage between academia and society, this type of research is academic, autonomous, self-sustained, investigator-initiated, peerreviewed, and discipline-based in nature. By contrast, 'mode 2' refers to more recent forms of knowledge production, which is centred mostly around the firm where research is increasingly context-driven, problem-focused, application-oriented, and interdisciplinary—task-force teams and tailored processes are created to work on specific projects.10 Other theorists, such as those of the 'Triple Helix of Innovation', have stressed the historical continuities and linkages in the relationship among academia, industry, and government.11

The different legal, institutional, organizational, and governance regimes surrounding innovative activities are of special relevance to their success. These include the political environment, openness to credit, the treatment of investment and trade, the presence of competition laws, the protection of intellectual property rights, tax laws, and the transportation and telecommunications infrastructure.

Box 2: Innovation in emerging markets

Terms such as 'reverse innovation',1 'Gandhian innovation',2 'frugal innovation', 'inclusive innovation', 3 'constraint-based innovation', or even 'poor people's knowledge'4 have all been recently coined to describe the type of innovation by which technological products are customized at low prices and high volumes in and for emerging markets. Examples abound: Tata's Nano car, solar-powered cellular phones, micro-spinning in the textiles industry, and the hand-held electrocardiogram Mac 400 are just a few.

Chapter 3, 'Innovation in India: Affordable Innovations' analyses this and other phenomena in India today. Big multinational corporations such as Microsoft, PepsiCo, IBM, Cisco, Nokia, GE, and Xerox as well as Indian major players such as Tata, Godrej, and Mahindras are shifting their focus towards the rapidly expanding middle-income group of customers by coming up with frugal innovations, keeping in mind the price sensitivity of Indian consumers. A trend of 'reverse innovation' has set in, where an innovation is developed and/or adopted first in the developing world and then deployed in mature markets.

In a recent publication, the World Bank notes the technological divide in developing countries: 'Slow diffusion within countries reflects a nonlinear process... The surprisingly low level of overall technological achievement in countries such as China and India contrasts with popular perceptions, which are based on the relative technological sophistication of some of the two countries' major cities and trading centers.... [t]he same kind of technological diversity observed across countries is visible within countries as well.'5

How to account 'fairly' for these islands of progress is a real conundrum. The same report gives one clue: 'The rise in China's index of diffusion of new technologies is almost double that of India, in part because the more technologically backward regions in China have made progress in closing the gap with the more technologically advanced regions on the coast'.6

Notes

- 1 http://www.vijaygovindarajan.com/2009/10/what_is_reverse_innovation.htm.
- 2 Mashelkar and Prahalad, 2010.
- 3 Mashelkar and Prahalad, 2010.
- 4 Finger, 2004.
- 5 World Bank, 2008, p. 90.
- 6 World Bank, 2008, p. 91.

With technological catch-up and market and business sophistication, innovation acquires a strong regional or sectoral component. Sub-national systems of innovation might develop, for example, around local public research institutions, large dynamic firms, or industry clusters. In addition, good infrastructure, venture capital, and a strong entrepreneurial environment

can influence the innovative performance of regions.¹²

International market linkages foster the development of technological capabilities in developing and least-developed countries because they integrate global value chains through exports, the import of machinery and equipment, transfers of technology, the spill-over effects of foreign direct investment (FDI), and licensing. A recent study

Box 3: Innovation surveys

The Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) and the European Commission have been guiding the collection and interpretation of data on innovation since the first edition of the *Oslo Manual* in 1992, which is now in its third edition (2005).

Innovation surveys started with the European Community Innovation Survey in the early 1990s and are now being conducted in about 50–60 countries worldwide (mostly the European countries but also a number of Latin American, Asian, African, and other countries).

Firm-level innovation surveys seek to identify the characteristics of innovative enterprise activities. After asking firms to answer certain basic questions (industry affiliation, turnover, R&D spending), firms are asked to identify whether they are an 'innovator' and, if yes, they are asked to respond to a number of questions

regarding their innovation characteristics. Firms are also being asked about factors that hamper their ability to innovate. Finally, these surveys aim to assess the effect of innovation on sales, productivity, employment, and other factors.

These innovation surveys are a rich data source for analytical work on innovation. However, a number of problems exist: (1) the guestionnaires are given only to firms, so that innovation outside the business sector is not captured; (2) the quality of responses varies greatly, as one cannot control who is replying to the questionnaire and as respondents have a tendency to overrate their innovative activity; (3) the country coverage is still very limited, because most developing countries—but also some large developed countries—do not conduct these surveys; and (4) survey results across studies are not always comparable.

on the readiness, by 2020, of a set of countries to apply recently developed technologies in bio- and nano-technology, materials, information, and so on shows that a limited technological adaptive capacity may reduce the diffusion of future technologies.¹³

The type of innovation taking place in emerging markets presents its own peculiarities, which are difficult to capture with traditional metrics (see Box 2). The challenge for the research team behind the Global Innovation Index was to find statistics that would gauge the developments and trends of innovation in low-tech industries, in emerging markets, and in business models, while at the same time covering the

traditional sectors of innovation and enabling environments.

Composite indicators for innovation

The previous section surveyed important developments in the conceptualization of innovation and a series of recent issues and trends in the realm of innovation. The literature review allowed us to refine the theoretical underpinnings of the GII and guided the revision of pillars, sub-pillars, and indicators. One general preliminary conclusion in light of this survey was that the GII conceptual framework developed in previous versions was well suited to uncover innovation as it occurs today—it did not require a major

overhaul. Some aspects, however, needed to be strengthened.

A key inspiration behind the GII comes from the literature on total quality management (TQM), which has a long history in benchmarking and data analysis. The first TQM award, the Deming Award, was given in Japan in 1951—this award initially focused on product and process quality. Subsequent versions have evolved into a broader notion of business excellence that looks at the whole business, including enablers and results.

The focus in TQM expanded from a narrow technical on to a much broader concept. Innovation today is expanding its focus in a similar way. The same distinction between enablers and results has been incorporated into the GII, providing the theoretical underpinnings of the conceptual framework. The GII also draws on other composite indicators in its design, although it differs in many respects from a host of other indices on innovation. Some key pieces from prior research are mentioned below.

The Boston Consulting Group/National Association of Manufacturers Index

The BCG/NAM International Innovation Index was built in 2009 to establish a ranking among US states and among countries. The BCG/NAM Index is built on a model comprising two major blocks: Innovation Inputs and Innovation Performance. Innovation Inputs are measured by three aspects: fiscal policies, other policies, and innovation environment. Innovation Performance is measured by R&D results, business performance, and public impact of innovation. The focus of the BCG/NAM Index is on business performance specifically in

THE GLOBAL INNOVATION INDEX 2011

the manufacturing sector, and most of the data used were generated through surveys and interviews. Only one edition (2009) of the BCG/NAM Innovation Index has been published thus far.¹⁴

The European Innovation Scoreboard/ Innovation Union Scoreboard

The European Innovation Scoreboard, renamed the Innovation Union Scoreboard in 2010, has been in existence for nearly a decade. Until 2007, it lacked an underlying model of innovation and focused primarily on the technological sector. Since 2008, it has been modified to include an underlying model comprising three blocks: Enablers (human resources, research systems, and finance/support); Firm Activities (firm investments, linkages/ entrepreneurship, and intellectual assets); and Outputs (innovators and economic effects) and has included a broader set of 25 indicators to measure the above blocks. The focus of the Innovation Union Scoreboard is on the European Union (EU) member states with selective comparisons to a few international reference countries such as the United States of America (US), China, and India.15

The Global Innovation Index of the Economist Intelligence Unit

The Economist Intelligence Unit index ranks 41 countries along a model consisting of Innovation Inputs and Innovation Outputs. Innovation Inputs are measured by direct innovation inputs (six measures, such as education of the workforce) and innovation environment (nine indicators, such as foreign trade and exchange controls). Innovation Outputs are

measured by a single indicator: the sum of patents granted by the European, Japanese, and US Patent Offices (EPO, JPO, and USPTO, respectively).¹⁶

The Global Competitiveness Index (GCI) of the World Economic Forum

The Global Competitiveness Index (GCI) of the World Economic Forum (WEF), while dealing with the theme of competitiveness, includes 12 pillars that overlap on some enabling factors for innovation in the GII. Innovation is a separate pillar within the GCI that includes metrics traditionally attributed to innovation related to R&D, intellectual property protection, and patenting.¹⁷

Statistics on innovation

All efforts at capturing innovation confront the same challenge: Direct official measures that would quantify innovation outputs are frequently not available across many countries. This is particularly true if one considers our broadening notion of innovation, which encapsulates non-technological, softer or local types of innovation (including those in developing countries). Most existing measures also struggle to appropriately capture innovation outputs of a wider spectrum of innovation actors, as mentioned above (e.g., the services sector, public entities, etc.).

In recent years, the generation of data from firm-level innovation surveys (see Box 3) has improved the data situation somewhat. However, there are several unresolved issues with these data. They are generally not available and comparable for more than about 50 countries,

and they still do not provide a good count on innovation outputs per country. Moreover, they target only innovations at the firm level—broader public-sector and social innovations are not included.

Science and technology indicators are not all available internationally, so they provide, at best, information on innovation inputs / throughputs (such as R&D expenditures and the number of scientists in a country), intermediate innovation outputs (such as numbers of scientific publications or patents), or certain forms of technologyrelated commercial activity (such as data on high-technology exports). Rarely do they provide data on the aforementioned innovation itself, and they are often specific to technological and product innovations of research organizations and firms.

A trade-off between precision and country coverage was often made in selecting the indicators to be included in the GII model. The balance was struck in favour of selecting a combination of three to six indicators that would capture the latent dimension within each sub-pillar in the best possible way, with an overall coherence within pillars. The Joint Research Centre, which assisted in the assessment of the conceptual and statistical coherence of the overall structure of the GII, confirmed the soundness of this approach (details in the appendix to this chapter).

A development particularly relevant to the fine-tuning of this year's GII was the release of the OECD Innovation Strategy Report in 2010 along with its accompanying compendium of close to 100 indicators on innovation (see Box 4).

The OECD Innovation Strategy metrics confirmed the continued relevance of traditional

Box 4: OECD Innovation Strategy (2010)

The Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) issued its *Innovation Strategy* report in 2010. This report includes the findings of a three-year multi-disciplinary effort aimed at proposing new perspectives and prospective metrics on innovation, broadly defined as 'the introduction of a new or significantly improved product, process or method'.1

The report is accompanied by a measurement compendium, entitled Measuring Innovation: A New Perspective, which is comprised of 100 innovation indicators grouped by six different topics. Indicators traditionally used to monitor innovation are complemented by indicators from other domains that describe the broader context in which innovation occurs. It includes some experimental indicators that provide insight into new areas of policy interest. An important objective of the report is to highlight measurement

gaps and propose ways to advance the measurement agenda. Although these metrics represent state-of-the-art innovation statistics, most are developed on a prospective basis and/or are provided for only a handful of countries.² For example, the data on investment in fixed and intangible assets as a share of GDP is available only for 16 countries for 2006.³

Source

OECD, 2010a.

Notes

- 1 OECD, 2010a, p. 9.
- 2 Online measurement guide available at http://www.oecd.org/document/22/0,3746, en_41462537_41454856_44979734_1_1_1 1.00.html.
- 3 Includes Machinery and equipment, Software and databases, R&D and other intellectual property products, and Brand equity, firm-specific human capital, and organizational capital.

variables included in earlier editions of the GII. Examples are in the areas of education and R&D, patents, scientific and technical journal publications, and labour productivity.

The OECD compendium was also an inspiration for the inclusion of new variables. The statistics that were incorporated only this year to the GII and that were inspired, to a large extent, by the OECD measurement exercise and other expert publications include: graduate inbound and outbound mobility and gross enrolment ratios, the OECD PISA scores on performance in reading, mathematics and science in elementary education, school life expectancy, percentages of graduates in science and engineering, venture capital, joint venture and strategic alliance deals, total tax rate, software spending, R&D performed and financed by business, and the share of renewables in energy use, to name a few.

In an effort to capture a broader vision of innovation that goes beyond science and technology indicators to accommodate, whenever possible, the type of innovation found in emerging markets, and in view of its holistic approach to innovation, the GII also includes statistics on the enabling environment for innovation (pillars 1, 3, and 4 on institutions, infrastructure, and market conditions for credit, investment, and trade); knowledge absorption and technological catchup (sub-pillar 5.3); and creative outputs (pillar 7). Chapter 6 describes

in detail the particular challenges involved in the measurement of creative industries and copyrightrelated industries.

The Global Innovation Index

The GII is an evolving project, which builds upon previous editions of the Index while incorporating the latest research on the measurement of innovation. This section looks at the GII 2011 framework and considers the indicators that comprise each pillar and sub-pillar.


Conceptual framework

The Global Innovation Index (GII) relies on two sub-indices, the Innovation Input Sub-Index and the Innovation Output Sub-Index, each built around pillars. Five input pillars capture elements of the national economy that enable innovative activities: (1) Institutions, (2) Human capital and research, (3) Infrastructure, (4) Market sophistication, and (5) Business sophistication. Two output pillars capture actual evidence of innovation outputs: (6) Scientific outputs and (7) Creative outputs. Each pillar is divided into sub-pillars and each sub-pillar is composed of individual indicators.

Sub-pillar scores are calculated as the weighted average of individual indicators; pillar scores are calculated as the simple average of the sub-pillar scores (refer to Appendix IV Technical Notes). Four measures are then calculated (see Figure 1):

1. The Innovation Input Sub-Index is the simple average of the first five pillar scores.

Figure 1: Framework of the Global Innovation Index 2011



- 2. The Innovation Output Sub-Index is the simple average of the last two pillar scores.
- The overall GII is the simple average of the Input and Output Sub-Indices.
- 4. The Innovation Efficiency Index is the ratio of the Output Sub-Index over the Input Sub-Index.

Innovation Input Sub-Index

The GII has five enabler pillars: Institutions, Human capital and research, Infrastructure, Market sophistication, and Business sophistication. Enabler pillars define aspects of the environment conducive to innovation within an economy. What follows is a description of each pillar (refer to Appendix III, Sources and Definitions, for further details).

A table is included for each pillar that provides a list of its indicators; their type (composite indicators are identified with an asterisk '*', survey questions with a dagger 't', and the remaining indicators are hard data); their weight (indicators with half weight are identified with the letter 'a'); and the direction of their effect (indicators for which higher values imply worse outcomes are identified with the letter 'b'). The table then provides for each indicator the average values (in their respective units) per income group (World Bank classification) and for

the whole sample of 125 economies retained in the final computation.

Institutions

Nurturing an institutional framework that attracts business and fosters growth by providing good governance and the correct levels of protection and incentives is essential to innovation. The Institutions pillar captures the institutional framework of a country through three sub-pillars (Table 1a).

The political environment subpillar includes three indices that reflect perceptions of the likelihood that a government might be destabilized; the quality of public and civil services, policy formulation, and

Table 1a: Institutions pillar

		1				
	Indicator	High income	Upper-middle income	Lower-middle income	Low income	Mean
1	Institutions					
1.1	Political environment					
1.1.1	Political stability*	72.0	42.3	25.8	29.5	46.5
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	84.0	53.9	36.8	30.1	56.5
1.1.3	Press freedom*b	13.7	29.2	44.9	31.5	28.2
1.2	Regulatory environment					
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	84.6	54.1	39.7	34.6	58.2
1.2.2	Rule of law*	83.0	46.6	32.7	29.5	53.3
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*b	23.6	28.8	31.7	30.8	28.0
1.3	Business environment					
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days ^b	15.9	30.1	26.9	26.4	23.7
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap ^b	5.2	12.8	37.2	63.4	23.9
1.3.3	Tota I tax rate, % profits ^b	37.3	42.2	43.4	42.0	40.8

Note: (*) index, (†) survey question, (a) half weight, (b) higher values indicate worse outcomes.

Table 1b: Human capital and research pillar

	Average value by income group					
	Indicator	High income	Upper-middle income	Lower-middle income	Low income	Mean
2	Human capital & research					
2.1	Education					
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNIa	4.8	4.2	3.7	3.6	4.2
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/capa	21.6	16.5	19.9	19.3	19.7
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	15.6	13.5	11.1	9.4	13.0
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science ^a	496.5	415.4	435.6	324.9	465.3
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary b	11.4	15.0	19.4	25.5	16.5
2.2	Tertiary education					
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	57.6	41.7	24.8	7.9	37.8
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %	9.5	7.2	8.1	8.9	8.6
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %	12.3	12.6	11.0	8.4	11.7
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, % ^a	9.4	3.2	1.9	3.5	6.0
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %a					
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %a	4.0	2.0	1.0	0.4	2.1
2.3	Research & development (R&D)					
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop	4,754.0	1,071.5	667.9	99.8	2,192.6
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP					
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	4.8	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.9

Note: (*) index, (†) survey question, (a) half weight, (b) higher values indicate worse outcomes.

implementation; and perceptions on violations to press freedom.¹⁸

The regulatory environment sub-pillar draws on three World Bank indices aimed at capturing perceptions on the ability of the government to formulate and implement cohesive policies that promote the development of the private sector; at evaluating the extent to which the rule of law prevails (in aspects such as contract enforcement, property rights, the police, and the courts); and at measuring the level of rigidity of employment regulations.

The business environment subpillar expands on three aspects that directly affect private entrepreneurial endeavours: time and cost constraints to starting a business and the total amount of taxes and mandatory contributions borne by businesses (profit or corporate income tax, social contributions and labour taxes paid by the employer, property taxes, etc.).¹⁹

Human capital and research

The level and standard of education and research activity in a country are the prime determinants of the innovation capacity of a nation. This pillar tries to gauge the human capital of countries through three sub-pillars (Table 1b).

The first sub-pillar includes a mix of indicators aimed at capturing achievements at the elementary and secondary education levels. Education expenditure and school life expectancy are good proxies for coverage. Public expenditure per pupil gives a sense of the level of priority given to education by the state. The quality of education is measured through the results to the OECD Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA), which examines 15-yearold students' performances in reading, mathematics, and science, and the pupil-teacher ratio.

Higher education is crucial for economies to move up the value chain beyond simple production processes and products. The subpillar on tertiary education aims at capturing coverage (tertiary enrolment); the priority given to the sectors traditionally associated with innovation (science and engineering); and the inbound and outbound mobility of tertiary students, which plays a crucial role in the exchange of ideas and skills necessary to innovation.

The last sub-pillar, on R&D, measures the level and quality of R&D activities, with indicators on expenditure, researchers (head-counts), and perceptions of the

THE GLOBAL INNOVATION INDEX 2011

quality of scientific and research institutions (a survey question).

Infrastructure

Information and communication technologies (ICT), energy supply, and infrastructure are respectively the nervous system, the circulatory system, and the backbone of any economy (Table 1c). They facilitate the production and exchange of ideas, services, and goods and feed into the innovation system through increased productivity and efficiency, lower transaction costs, and better access to markets.

In the past 50 years, ICT has revolutionized the way people interact, businesses transact, and governments serve. The ICT sub-pillar includes four indices developed by international organizations on ICT access, ICT use, online service by governments, and online participation of citizens (see Box 5).²⁰

The sub-pillar on energy regroups three indicators related to energy supply, efficiency in energy use, and sustainability.²¹ Energy supply is essential to the proper functioning of any economy; however, energy policy is an area that typically goes beyond energy supply to address a series of elements such as the risk of supply-and-demand mismatch, environmental issues, alternative sources of energy, costefficiency, and so on.

The sub-pillar on infrastructure includes a composite indicator on the quality of trade- and transport-related infrastructure (e.g., ports, railroads, roads, and information technology).²² The second variable of this sub-pillar, gross capital formation, consists of outlays on additions to the fixed assets and net inventories of the economy, including land improvements (fences,

Table 1c: Infrastructure pillar

			iverage value i	, income grou	P	
	Indicator	High income	Upper-middle income	Lower-middle income	Low income	Mean
3	Infrastructure					
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)					
3.1.1	ICT access*	7.1	4.1	2.9	1.6	4.5
3.1.2	ICT use*	4.2	1.4	0.6	0.2	2.0
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*a	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.4
3.1.4	E-Participation*a	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.3
3.2	Energy					
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap ^a	9,995.2	3,033.9	. 1,374.9	640.5	5,021.2
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita ^a	9,581.7	2,736.2	995.8	553.7	4,677.4
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq	6.2	6.4	5.7	4.3	6.0
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	11.6	14.8	36.0	68.2	24.4
3.3	General infrastructure					
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*	3.6	2.6	2.4	2.1	2.8
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP	21.0	23.7	24.4	22.0	22.7
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap	(2.1)	(0.0)	0.7	(0.1)	(0.5)

Average value by income group

Note: (*) index, (†) survey question, (a) half weight, (b) higher values indicate worse outcomes.

Table 1d: Market sophistication pillar

	Average value by income group					
	Indicator	High income	Upper-middle income	Lower-middle income	Low income	Mean
4	Market sophistication					
4.1	Credit					
4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*a	6.8	5.7	4.7	6.1	5.9
4.1.2	Depth of credit information*a	4.4	4.7	3.7	1.7	3.9
4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	107.3	49.7	43.5	19.4	59.8
4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP ^a	0.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.5
4.2	Investment					
4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	6.0	5.7	4.7	5.0	5.4
4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP	78.7	47.4	34.5	22.3	55.3
4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP	74.1	13.8	20.0	3.5	39.6
4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$a	104.7	6.1	22.8	30.6	48.8
4.3	Trade & competition					
4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %b	1.8	4.9	6.4	9.2	4.8
4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %a,b	7.2	8.5	13.1	16.6	11.1
4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP	52.4	39.8	45.0	39.9	45.6
4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP	59.6	36.9	36.0	25.8	43.1
4.3.5	Intensity of local competition [†]	5.4	4.6	4.7	4.4	4.9

Note: (*) index, (†) survey question, (a) half weight, (b) higher values indicate worse outcomes.

ditches, drains); plant, machinery, and equipment purchases; and the construction of roads, railways, and the like, including schools, offices, hospitals, private residential dwellings, and commercial and industrial buildings. Ecological concerns are also addressed through the inclusion of a measure on the ecological

biocapacity and footprint reserve or deficit of countries.

Market sophistication

The recent global financial crisis has underscored how crucial the availability of credit, investment funds, and access to international markets are for businesses to prosper. This

THE GLOBAL INNOVATION INDEX 2011

Box 5: Composition of sub-pillar 3.1 on information and communication technologies (ICT)

ICT access indicator 3.1.1

Indicator 3.1.1 is a composite indicator developed by the International Telecommunication Union (ITU). The 'ICT access sub-index', is one component in ITU's ICT Development Index (IDI), together with the 'ICT use sub-index' and the 'ICT skills sub-index' (Measuring the Information Society, ITU, 2010). It is composed of five ICT indicators (20% each):

- 1. fixed telephone lines per 100 inhabitants,
- 2. mobile cellular telephone subscriptions per 100 inhabitants,
- 3. international Internet bandwidth (bit/s) per Internet user,
- 4. proportion of households with a computer, and
- 5. proportion of households with Internet access at home

ICT use indicator 3.1.2

Indicator 3.1.2 is the second component in ITU's IDI. The 'ICT use sub-index' is composed of three ICT indicators (33% each):

- 1. Internet users per 100 inhabitants,
- 2. fixed broadband Internet subscribers per 100 inhabitants, and
- 3. mobile broadband subscriptions per 100 inhabitants.

Government's online service indicator 3.1.3

Indicator 3.1.3 is a composite indicator developed by the United Nations Public Administration Network (UNPAN). The 'Government's online service index' is a component in UNPAN's *E-Government*

Development Index together with two indices on telecommunication infrastructure and human capital.

It is constructed on the basis of the United Nations (UN) e-Government Survey (United Nations E-Government Survey 2010 Leveraging e-Government at a Time of Financial and Economic Crisis, UN Department of Economic and Social Affairs, 2010). The survey covers four stages of government's online service development with points assigned for emerging information services, enhanced information services, transaction services, and a connected approach. In addition, research teams assess each country's national website as well as the websites of the ministries of education, labour, social services, health, and finance for a minimal level of content accessibility (with a citizen-centric approach).

E-participation indicator 3.1.4

Indicator 3.1.4 is a composite indicator, the 'E-participation index', developed by UNPAN on the basis of the UN e-Government Survey. The survey was expanded with questions emphasizing quality in the connected presence stage of e-government. These questions focus on the use of the Internet to facilitate provision of information by governments to citizens ('e-information sharing'), the interaction with stakeholders ('e-consultation'), and the engagement in decision-making processes ('e-decision making').

pillar has three sub-pillars structured around market conditions and the total level of transactions (Table 1d).

The credit sub-pillar includes two indices aimed at measuring the degree to which collateral and bankruptcy laws facilitate lending by protecting the rights of borrowers and lenders, as well as the rules and practices affecting the coverage, scope, and accessibility of credit information. Transactions are given by the total value of domestic credit and, in an attempt to make the model more applicable to emerging markets, a measure on the level of development of microfinance institutions.²³

The investment sub-pillar includes an index measuring the extent of disclosure and of director liability and the ease of shareholder suits. To show whether market size is matched by market dynamism, stock market capitalization is complemented by the value of shares traded. This year, for the first time, hard data on venture capital deals have been included, taking into account a total of 7,937 deals in 81 countries for this variable.²⁴

The last sub-pillar tackles trade and competition. The market conditions for trade are given by two indicators: the average tariff rate weighted by import shares and a measure capturing market access conditions to foreign markets. The sub-pillar then includes the total value of exports and imports as a percentage of GDP. The last indicator is a survey question that reflects on the intensity of competition in the local markets. Efforts made at finding hard data on competition proved unsuccessful.

Business sophistication

The last enabler pillar tries to capture the level of business sophistication to assess how conducive firms are to innovation activity (Table 1e). The Human capital and research pillar (pillar 2) made the case that the accumulation of human capital through education, and particularly higher education and the prioritization of R&D activities, is an indispensable condition for innovation to take place. That logic is taken one step further here with the assertion that businesses foster their productivity, competitiveness, and innovation potential with the employment of highly qualified professionals and technicians.

The first sub-pillar includes four quantitative indicators on knowledge workers: employment in knowledge-intensive services; the availability of formal training at the level of the firm; and the percentage of total gross expenditure of R&D that is either financed or performed by business enterprise.

Innovation linkages and public/private/academic partnerships are essential to innovation. In emerging markets, pockets of wealth have developed around industrial or technological clusters and networks in sharp contrast with the poverty that may prevail in the rest of the territory. The sub-pillar draws on both qualitative and quantitative data regarding business/ university collaboration on R&D, the prevalence of well-developed and deep clusters, collaboration in inventive activities, and the level of gross R&D expenditure financed by abroad. For the first time this year, a measure on the number of deals on joint ventures and strategic alliances is included. It covers a total of 920 joint ventures and 327 strategic alliances announced

Table 1e: Business sophistication pillar

		,	werage value i	y income group	P	
	Indicator	High income	Upper-middle income	Lower-middle income	Low income	Mean
5	Business sophistication					
5.1	Knowledge workers					
5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	36.4	23.5	16.8	7.0	26.1
5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms	42.6	39.3	34.4	31.7	36.8
5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %a					
5.1.4	R&D financed by business, % ^a	49.3	31.1	24.9	15.4	38.5
5.2	Innovation linkages					
5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]	4.5	3.5	3.2	3.2	3.8
5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]	4.1	3.3	3.3	3.1	3.6
5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, % ^a	8.2	8.1	9.3	19.9	9.4
5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$a	26.8	6.2	6.8	9.9	14.1
5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	37.6	22.0	13.2	19.0	25.2
5.3	Knowledge absorption					
5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	1.0	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.5
5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %	13.2	11.0	8.9	7.6	10.9
5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %	39.3	32.5	25.4	21.4	31.4
5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	12.0	3.9	3.6	3.3	6.5

Average value by income group

rago valuo by incomo g

Note: (*) index, (†) survey question, (a) half weight, (b) higher values indicate worse outcomes.

Table 1f: Scientific outputs pillar

	Average value by income group					
	Indicator	High income	Upper-middle income	Lower-middle income	Low income	Mean
6	Scientific outputs					
6.1	Knowledge creation					
6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$	9.8	2.9	4.3	1.6	5.9
6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$	3.6	0.3	0.2	0.0	1.5
6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$a	2.5	1.1	10.6	2.5	4.0
6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	15.3	4.4	3.3	3.7	7.8
6.2	Knowledge impact					
6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %	0.6	2.1	3.1	3.5	2.0
6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs	5.5	2.5	0.7	0.5	3.0
6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP ^a	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4
6.3	Knowledge diffusion					
6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.2
6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %	10.7	4.9	3.3	0.9	6.2
6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %	38.3	26.5	30.2	22.9	31.1
6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	13.5	8	0.3	0.1	5.1

Note: (*) index, (†) survey question, (a) half weight, (b) higher values indicate worse outcomes.

in 2010, with firms headquartered in 95 participating economies.²⁵ In addition, the share of published patent applications filed by residents through the Patent Cooperation Treaty with at least one foreign inventor is included to proxy for international linkages.

In broad terms, pillar 4 on market sophistication makes the case that well-functioning markets contribute to the innovation environment through competitive pressure, efficiency gains, and economies of transaction and by allowing supply to meet demand. Open markets to

Table 1g: Creative outputs pillar

		- 1	Average value by income group				
	Indicator	High income	Upper-middle income	Lower-middle income	Low income	Mean	
7	Creative outputs						
7.1	Creative intangibles						
7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	31.5	47.3	63.2	15.7	40.6	
7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$a	13.9	6.3	4.2	0.1	9.2	
7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]	5.1	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.6	
7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	4.7	4.1	3.9	3.5	4.2	
7.2	Creative goods & services						
7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %a	7.8	4.1	2.4	2.0	5.7	
7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop.a	4.0	0.6	0.9	1.4	2.3	
7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop.a	304.3	89.1	79.1	6.8	164.6	
7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %	2.1	1.5	1.8	0.9	1.7	
7.2.5	Creative services exports. %	6.2	4.7	1.8	1.9	4.1	

Note: (*) index, (†) survey question, (a) half weight, (b) higher values indicate worse outcomes.

foreign trade and investment have the additional effect of exposing domestic firms to best practices around the globe, which is critical to innovation through knowledge absorption and diffusion. The rationale behind sub-pillars 5.3 on knowledge absorption (an enabler) and 6.3 on knowledge diffusion (a result), two sub-pillars designed to be mirror images of each other, is precisely that together they will reveal how good countries are at absorbing and diffusing knowledge.

Sub-pillar 5.3 includes four statistics all linked to sectors with high-tech content or that are key to innovation: royalty and license fees payments as a percentage of GDP; high-tech imports (net of re-imports) as a percentage of total imports; imports of computer, communications, and other services as a percentage of commercial service imports; and net inflows of FDI as a percentage of GDP.

Innovation Output Sub-Index

Innovation outputs are the results of innovative activities within the economy. Although the Output Sub-Index includes only two pillars, it is averaged with the Input Sub-Index in the overall GII. This asymmetry, which is only apparent, serves two purposes: to give innovation results the same weight as innovation enablers, and to redress an unbalance at the level of available statistics.

There are two output pillars: Scientific outputs and Creative outputs.

Scientific outputs

This pillar covers all those variables that are traditionally thought to be the fruits of innovation (Table 1f). The first sub-pillar refers to the creation of knowledge. It includes four indicators that are the result of inventive and innovation activities: patent applications filed by residents both at the national patent office and at the international level through the Patent Cooperation Treaty (see Box 6); utility model applications filed by residents at the national office; and scientific and technical published articles in peer-reviewed journals.

The second sub-pillar, on knowledge impact, includes three statistics representing the impact of innovation activities at the micro and macroeconomic level: increases in labour productivity, the entry density of new firms, and spending on software.

The third sub-pillar, on knowledge diffusion, is the mirror image of the Knowledge absorption subpillar under pillar 5. It includes four statistics all linked to sectors with high-tech content or that are key to innovation: royalty and license fees receipts as a percentage of GDP; high-tech exports (net of re-exports) as a percentage of total exports (net of re-exports); exports of computer, communications, and other services as a percentage of commercial service exports; and net outflows of FDI as a percentage of GDP.

Creative outputs

The last pillar, on creative outputs, has only two sub-pillars (Table 1g). This is essentially the result of the lack of reliable indicators across many countries on all copyrighted-related industries and creativity in general (see Chapter 6, 'Accounting for Creativity in Innovation'). As new and better statistics become available in the coming years, this pillar will be strengthened.

The first sub-pillar on creative intangibles includes statistics on trademark registrations by residents at the national office and under the Madrid System, as well as two survey questions regarding the use of ICT in business and organizational models, new areas that are increasingly linked to innovation in the literature.

The last sub-pillar, on creative goods and services, includes the share of household expenditure in recreation and culture as a proxy for creative activities in a given country. Two UNESCO series for which

THE GLOBAL INNOVATION INDEX 2011

Box 6: Capturing innovation: The patent system

This edition of the Global Innovation Index (GII) relies on two patent-based measures drawn from the WIPO Statistics Database (www.wipo.int/ipstats/en) described below. In addition, statistics on utility models and trademarks are used in different pillars.

The first measure is concerned with the number of patent applications filed by residents at their national patent office (resident applications).

When an inventor decides to protect an invention through the patent system, the first step is to file an application with a patent office. In most cases, applicants tend to file at their national patent office. Data on resident patent applications (2009 or latest available year) capture this patenting activity of residents in a given country. An application is filed with a patent office by an applicant residing in the country in which that office has jurisdiction. For example, a patent application filed with the Japan Patent Office (JPO) by a resident of Japan is considered a resident application for the JPO.

In contrast, patent indicators based on a specific office will introduce a home bias between resident (domestic) and non-resident (foreign) applications because the propensity to patent at the national patent office is considerably higher than the propensity to patent abroad. For example, only

4.4% of total Chinese patent applications in 2008 were filed abroad. Patents submitted to one single patent office are also likely to reflect the trade patterns of that particular country. Moreover, data of one single office will capture only a fraction of world innovation.

In addition, the use of statistics on patent applications—rather than data on patents granted—ensures that innovative performance is captured in a more timely and comprehensive manner. In contrast, data on patent grants reflect inventions that obtain patent protection and that are most likely several years old. This is the result of the lengthy (and increasing) processing and examination periods that are part of the patenting process.

The second patent-based measure presented in this report is the number of patents filed under the WIPO-administered Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT). To complement national data, the second metric provides the number of PCT international applications by residents of a given country in 2010.

National patent office data are frequently criticized on the grounds that there is a lack of international comparability. The use of PCT data to some extent alleviates this criticism

An inventor of a promising technology with international market potential will wish to protect his or her invention in more than one country. In addition to filing patents directly in other jurisdictions, inventors can file an 'international application' through the PCT, which facilitates the acquisition of patent rights in a large number of jurisdictions (142 contracting states) by reducing the requirement to file a separate application in each jurisdiction.

The use of PCT data sheds light on patents that might be most economically valuable, as these are the ones that inventors are likely to patent abroad and for which inventors are willing to incur the extra costs that the process of patenting abroad requires.

This combination of data on national IP filings and filings under the PCT system makes for a strong and timely indicator of inventive activity and innovation with very good country coverage. It better achieves the goal of capturing worldwide innovative activity, with a particular emphasis on inventions in medium- or lower-income economies and inventions that may have a strong international appeal.

Source

Khan and Wunsch-Vincent, 2011; WIPO, 2010.

data are available were included: national feature films produced in a given country, and daily newspapers. Other data series, notably on the publication of books, have been discontinued. Since statistics on creative and copyright-related industries' national output values are not available for a sufficient number of countries, trade figures were incorporated. These are based on the

classifications used by UNCTAD in its *Creative Economy Report*, which includes data on creative goods and services exports.

Discussion of results: The world's top innovators

This year the GII model includes 125 countries that represent 93.2%

of the world's population and 98.0% of the world's GDP (in current US dollars). This section provides a general discussion of the GII and the rankings of the related sub-indices. The emphasis, for each index, is on general trends and includes details of the results for the global leaders and the best performers within each income category (high, upper-middle, lower-middle, and low-income

groups).²⁶ A short discussion of the rankings at the regional level follows, along with some additional information on regional leaders by income group.

Tables 2 through 4 report on the overall GII and the Input and Output Sub-Indices, with regional and income group rankings. Tables 5a through 5g provide the rankings per pillar, with details on subpillar scores. Table 6 shows the top 10 Efficiency Index rankings, and Tables 7a through 7d report on the Efficiency Index by income group. These tables should be read in conjunction with the country/economy profiles (Appendix I) and the data tables (Appendix II). The profiles provide only normalized scores in the [0, 100] range, to facilitate the replicability of results and provide a sense of the scores with greater leverage for each country. The data tables provide both the raw indicator and the normalized score.²⁷

This section includes a brief discussion of some general results, with detailed discussions provided for index and income group leaders (in bold). Each economy has its own strengths and weaknesses, the full details of which are available in the country/economy profiles (Appendix I).

The Global Innovation Index

The overall GII scores provide a composite picture of the state of each country's innovation performance.

Top 10

The top 10 countries in the GII 2001 edition are dominated by Europe, with six countries, and includes two Asian economies and two North

American countries: Switzerland, Sweden, Singapore, Hong Kong (SAR, China), Finland, Denmark, the United States of America (US), Canada, the Netherlands, and the United Kingdom (UK). Leaders in their respective regions are Switzerland (1st), Singapore (3rd), the US (7th), Israel (14th), Chile (38th), Mauritius (53rd), and India (62nd).

Switzerland comes in at top place in the overall GII 2011 rankings (up from position 4th last year) on the basis of its strong position in both the Input and Output Sub-Indices (3rd and 2nd). Although the country does not top any individual pillar, it places within the top 5 in three Input pillars (Institutions at 5th, Market sophistication at 5th, and Business sophistication at 4th) and both Output pillars (Scientific outputs at 2nd and Creative outputs at 3rd). Its many strengths include its top 10 positions on 30 indicators as well as on the following sub-pillars: political (2nd) and regulatory environment (7th), R&D (9th), energy (7th), credit (10th), investment (6th), knowledge workers (2nd), innovation linkages (6th), knowledge creation (4th), knowledge diffusion (10th), and creative intangibles (9th). A high degree of Innovation Efficiency (3rd among high-income countries) allows Switzerland to translate these strengths into visible innovation outputs, with high marks on key output indicators: resident patent filings at the Patent Cooperation Treaty applications (1st), scientific and technical journal articles (2nd), computer software spending (1st), high-tech exports (6th), FDI net outflows (9th), and resident international trademark applications under the Madrid system (1st). It also exhibits high marks on creativity,

at positions 7th, 4th, and 8th on national feature films, daily newspapers, and creative goods exports, respectively.

The runner-up, Sweden, is the only country in the top 10 on all four indices, and the only country in the top 10 in the GII to be among the 10 most efficient innovators (ranked 6th on the Efficiency Index). A knowledge-based economy, this outstanding performance is driven by 1st place on Scientific outputs, with positions in the top 10 on patent filings through the Patent Cooperation Treaty (3rd), scientific and technical journal articles (3rd), computer software spending (4th), royalty and license fees' receipts (3rd), and FDI net outflows (7th). Sweden is ranked 2nd on Creative outputs, placing among the top 10 on four indicators. Its position 5 on the Input side is also one of the best, with top 10 positions on seven of the 15 sub-pillars: Political environment (4th), Education (8th), R&D (4th), ICT (10th), Energy (5th), Investment (10th) and Knowledge workers (6th).

Singapore is at 3rd position overall, moving up significantly from position 7 last year. Singapore shows its strongest performance in the Input Sub-Index, coming in at 1st place on the basis of its strengths in the Institutions (9th), Human capital and research (1st), Infrastructure (9th), Market sophistication (2nd), and Business sophistication (1st) pillars. However, Singapore's Innovation Efficiency Index ranking is low (36th among high-income countries, 94th in the general rankings); this shows up in its relative weak performance in the Output Sub-Index, where it is ranked 17th overall (Scientific outputs at 15th place and Creative outputs at 30th). Singapore is ranked

THE GLOBAL INNOVATION INDEX 2011

40th in patent applications at the national office, 79th in national office trademark applications, and 79th in creative services exports.

Top performers by income group

By income group, from high- to low-income countries, the leaders are Switzerland (1st), Malaysia (31st), China (29th), and Ghana (70th). China, at position 29, is the only developing country to be among the top 30; Malaysia (31st), Chile (38th), Moldova (39th), and Lithuania (40th) make it to the top 40. Among high-income countries, three countries lag behind: Greece reached the median score (63rd), followed by Trinidad and Tobago (72nd) and Brunei Darussalam (75th).

Malaysia tops the overall ranking within the upper-middle-income group at 31st position overall, placing 27th on the Input Sub-Index (1st within its income group) and 35th on the Output one. On the Input side, Malaysia's strengths come from the Market and Business sophistication pillars, where it is ranked 1st on R&D performed and financed by business at 84.9% and 84.7%, respectively, and on the strength of legal rights for credit and the depth of credit information indicators—positions matched with the country's level of domestic credit to the private sector (20th, at 100.8% of GDP). Malaysia also ranks within the top 10 worldwide on the strength of investor protection and market capitalization, as well as on imports and exports of goods and services. Its performances on the other three input pillars are generally weak, except in two particular indicators where it does very well: graduates in science (8th) and engineering (2nd). On the Output

side, Malaysia's leverage is provided by its 19th placement on sub-pillar 6.3, Knowledge diffusion, where it ranks 2nd—after Singapore—on high-tech exports (which represent an impressive 35.4% of total exports), 13th on FDI net outflows, and 23rd on royalty and license fees' receipts.

From the same region, at position 29, China tops the GII rankings among lower-middle-income countries, and is the only country from this income group in the top 30. China exhibits many strengths. It is 1st within its income group on all three main Indices (GII, Input, and Output). On the Input side, it ranks among the top 30 in Market (26th) and Business sophistication (29th). The country places among the top 10 on the Investment subpillar, with the 5th most dynamic stock market (with the total value of stocks traded reaching 179.7% of GDP) and among the top 20 on market capitalization (placing 16th with 100.5% of GDP). China ranks 1st on the percentage of firms offering formal training (at 84.8%), 4th on high-tech imports (at 26.8% of total imports), 6th and 10th on R&D financed and performed by business (at 70.4% and 72.3%, respectively), 7th on the state of cluster development (a survey question), 13th on domestic credit to private sector (127.3% of GDP), and 17th on the intensity of local competition (survey question). On a less positive note, however, China presents important weaknesses on Institutions (98th) and Human capital and research (56th), where the 1st position on the PISA assessment on reading, mathematics and science corresponds to Shanghai only. It achieves, however, relatively good rankings on R&D, placing 24th on gross expenditure on R&D and

36th on the quality of research institutions (a survey question). On the Output Sub-Index, its 14th position overall is well deserved: China gets its leverage from placing within the top 10 on a third of the indicators in pillars 6 and 7 for which data were available, including resident utility model (1st), patent (3rd), and trademark (9th) applications at the national office, growth in labour productivity (3rd position, with an impressive 8.4%), high-tech exports (3rd, with 29.9% of total exports), and creative goods exports (4th, with 5.9% of total exports).

Among low-income countries, Ghana prevails with an overall rank of 70. Ghana's relative strengths lie in its Institutions, Human capital and research, Scientific outputs, and Business sophistication, with scores in the top 40 on freedom of the press (25th), public expenditure per pupil (34th), and percentage of graduates in science (31st); its commendable share of renewables in energy use (with 72.5%, it is ranked 10th globally), and its strength of legal rights for credit (19th) and investor protection (34th). These strong points translate into relatively high scores on venture capital deals (41st), joint venture and strategic alliance deals (41st), and FDI net inflows (20th); they also provide a strong growth in labour productivity (with 4.4%, it is ranked 23rd on this indicator).

The Innovation Output Sub-Index

The Innovation Output Sub-Index variables provide information on elements that are the result of innovation within an economy.

Table 2: Global Innovation Index rankings

						GII PAST YEARS			
ountry/Economy	Score (0-100)	Rank	Income	Rank	Region	Rank	2010	2009	
Switzerland	63.82	1	HI	1	ECS	1	4	7	
weden	62.12	2	HI	2	ECS	2	2	3	
Singapore	59.64	3	HI	3	EAS	1	7	5	
Hong Kong (SAR), China	58.80	4	HI	4	EAS	2	3	12	
Finland	57.50	5	HI	5	ECS	3	6	13	
Denmark	56.96	6	HI	6	ECS	4	5	8	
United States of America	56.57	7	HI	7	NAC	1	11	1	
Canada	56.33	8	HI	8	NAC	2	12	11	
Vetherlands	56.31	9	HI	9	ECS	5	8	10	
Inited Kingdom	55.96	10	HI	10	ECS	6	14	4	
celand	55.10	11	HI	11	ECS	7	1	20	
iermany	54.89	12	HI	12	ECS	8	16	2	
reland	54.10	13	HI	13	ECS	9	19	21	
srael	54.03	14	HI	14	MEA	1	23	23	
lew Zealand	53.79	15	HI	15	EAS	3	9	27	
orea, Rep.	53.68	16	HI	16	EAS	4	20	6	
uxembourg	52.65	17	HI	17	ECS	10	15	17	
lorway	52.60	18	HI	18	ECS	11	10	14	
Austria	50.75	19	HI	19	ECS	12	21	15	
apan	50.32	20	HI	20	EAS	5	13	9	
Australia	49.85	21	HI	21	EAS	6	18	22	
rance	49.25	22	HI	22	ECS	13	22	19	
estonia	49.18	23	HI	23	ECS	14	29	29	
		23	HI	23	ECS	14	17	18	
Belgium	49.05	24 25	HI	24 25	ECS			18 47	
lungary	48.12					16	36		
latar	47.74	26	HI	26	MEA	2	35	24	
zech Republic	47.30	27	HI	27	ECS	17	27	33	
yprus	46.45	28	HI	28	ECS	18	32	45	
hina	46.43	29	LM	1	EAS	7	43	37	
lovenia	45.07	30	HI	29	ECS	19	26	36	
Malaysia	44.05	31	UM	1	EAS	8	28	25	
pain	43.81	32	HI	30	ECS	20	30	28	
ortugal	42.40	33	HI	31	ECS	21	34	40	
Jnited Arab Emirates	41.99	34	HI	32	MEA	3	24	26	
taly	40.69	35	HI	33	ECS	22	38	31	
atvia	39.80	36	HI	34	ECS	23	44	60	
lovak Republic	39.05	37	HI	35	ECS	24	37	35	
hile	38.84	38	UM	2	LCN	1	42	39	
Moldova, Rep.	38.66	39	LM	2	ECS	25	n/a	116	
ithuania	38.49	40	UM	3	ECS	26	39	42	
ordan	38.43	41	LM	3	MEA	4	58	55	
ulgaria	38.42	42	UM	4	ECS	27	49	74	
oland	38.02	43	HI	36	ECS	28	47	56	
roatia	37.98	44	HI	37	ECS	29	45	62	
osta Rica	37.91	44	UM	5	LCN	29	43	48	
osta rica ahrain	37.91	45	HI	38	MEA	5	40	48 34	
razil	37.75	47	UM	6	LCN	3	68	50	
hailand	37.63	48	LM	4	EAS	9	60	44	
ebanon 	37.11	49	UM	7	MEA	6	n/a	n/a	
omania	36.83	50	UM	8	ECS	30	52	69	
iet Nam	36.71	51	LM	5	EAS	10	71	64	
uwait	36.64	52	HI	39	MEA	7	33	30	
lauritius	36.47	53	UM	9	SSF	1	73	66	
audi Arabia	36.44	54	HI	40	MEA	8	54	32	
erbia	36.31	55	UM	10	ECS	31	101	92	
ussian Federation	35.85	56	UM	11	ECS	32	64	68	
man	35.51	57	HI	41	MEA	9	65	52	
rgentina	35.36	58	UM	12	LCN	4	75	84	
outh Africa	35.22	59	UM	13	SSF	2	51	43	
kraine	35.01	60	LM	6	ECS	33	61	79	
uyana	34.83	61	LM	7	LCN	5	113	103	
ndia	34.52	62	LM	8	SAS	1	56		
iuia	34.32	02	LIVI	ŏ	SAS		30	41	

Table 2: Global Innovation Index rankings (continued)

						GII PAST YEARS		
ntry/Economy	Score (0-100)	Rank	Income	Rank	Region	Rank	2010	2009
ıguay	34.18	64	UM	14	LCN	6	53	80
key	34.11	65	UM	15	ECS	35	67	51
sia	33.89	66	LM	9	MEA	10	62	46
cedonia	33.47	67	UM	16	ECS	36	77	89
golia	33.40	68	LM	10	EAS	11	87	105
enia	33.00	69	LM	11	ECS	37	82	104
a	32.48	70	LI	1	SSF	3	105	n/a
mbia	32.32	71	UM	17	LCN	7	90	75
idad and Tobago	32.17	72	HI	43	LCN	8	55	65
rgia	31.87	73	LM	12	ECS	38	84	98
iguay	31.17	74	LM	13	LCN	9	127	118
nei Darussalam	30.93	75	HI	44	EAS	12	48	n/a
nia & Herzegovina	30.84	76	UM	18	ECS	39	116	n/a
ama	30.77	77	UM	19	LCN	10	66	67
nibia	30.74	78	UM	20	SSF	4	92	95
swana	30.51	79	UM	21	SSF	5	86	77
nnia	30.45 30.45	80 81	UM UM	22 23	ECS LCN	40	81 69	121 61
xico Lanka					SAS	11 2	69 79	
	30.36 30.34	82 83	LM UM	14 24	LCN	12	79 88	58 85
u akhstan	30.34	83 84	UM	24 25	ECS	41	63	85 72
gyzstan	29.79	85	LI	25	ECS	41	104	122
emala	29.79	86	LM	15	LCN	13	95	81
temaia ot	29.33	87	LM	16	MEA	11	93 74	76
rbaijan	29.17	88	UM	26	ECS	43	57	57
/a	29.15	89	LI	3	SSF	6	83	78
ılvador	29.14	90	LM	17	LCN	14	91	88
ppines	28.98	91	LM	18	EAS	13	76	63
aica	28.88	92	UM	27	LCN	15	70	73
ıdor	28.75	93	LM	19	LCN	16	126	109
000	28.73	94	LM	20	MEA	12	94	82
	28.41	95	UM	28	MEA	13	n/a	n/a
ria	28.15	96	LM	21	SSF	7	96	70
ladesh	28.05	97	LI	4	SAS	3	120	111
luras	27.81	98	LM	22	LCN	17	112	83
nesia	27.78	99	LM	23	EAS	14	72	49
egal	27.56	100	LM	24	SSF	8	106	90
ziland	27.52	101	LM	25	SSF	9	n/a	n/a
ezuela	27.41	102	UM	29	LCN	18	124	101
eroon	26.95	103	LM	26	SSF	10	119	106
zania	26.88	104	LI	5	SSF	11	98	86
stan	26.75	105	LM	27	SAS	4	103	93
nda	26.37	106	LI	6	SSF	12	108	100
İ	26.35	107	LI	7	SSF	13	107	97
wi	25.96	108	LI	8	SSF	14	97	n/a
nda	25.86	109	LI	9	SSF	15	n/a	n/a
iragua	25.78	110	LM	28	LCN	19	117	114
bodia	25.46	111	LI	10	EAS	15	102	117
via	25.44	112	LM	29	LCN	20	129	123
agascar	25.41	113	LI	11	SSF	16	125	113
oia	25.27	114	LI	12	SSF	17	111	96
n Arab Republic	24.82	115	LM	30	MEA	14	132	94
stan	24.50	116	LI	13	ECS	44	115	112
d'Ivoire	24.08	117	LM	31	SSF	18	89	n/a
in 	23.81	118	LI	14	SSF	19	118	99
oabwe	23.54	119	LI	15	SSF	20	131	126
ina Faso	23.14	120	LI	16 17	SSF	21	122	115
	22.88	121	LI	17 18	SSF SSF	22 23	123 n/a	120 n/a
iopia	74 44							
er	21.41	122	LI					
•	21.41 20.72 20.36	122 123 124	LM LM	32	MEA SSF	15 24	n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a

Table 3: Innovation Input Sub-Index rankings

Country/Economy	Score (0-100)	Rank	Income	Rank	Region	Rank	
Singapore	74.11	1	Н	1	EAS	1	
Hong Kong (SAR), China	69.77	2	HI	2	EAS	2	
Switzerland	66.07	3	HI	3	ECS	1	
Ireland	65.53	4	HI	4	ECS	2	
Sweden	64.85	5	HI	5	ECS	3	
Finland	64.71	6	HI	6	ECS	4	
Denmark	64.57	7	HI	7	ECS	5	
Canada	64.41	8	HI	8	NAC	1	
Luxembourg	63.93	9	HI	9	ECS	6	
United Kingdom	63.66	10	HI	10	ECS	7	
United States of America	62.84	11	HI	11	NAC	2	
Australia	62.81	12	HI	12	EAS	3	
Iceland	62.48	13	HI	13	ECS	8	
Norway	61.15	14	HI	14	ECS	9	
New Zealand	60.97	15	HI	15	EAS	4	
Netherlands	60.42	16	HI	16	ECS	10	
Korea, Rep.	59.43	17	HI	17	EAS	5	
Japan	59.34	18	HI	18	EAS	6	
Austria	59.28	19	HI	19	ECS	11	
Israel	59.12	20	HI	20	MEA	1	
Germany	59.12	20	HI	20	ECS	12	
·	59.04	21	HI	21	ECS	12	
Belgium							_
France	55.61	23	HI	23	ECS	14	
Estonia	54.86	24	HI	24	ECS	15	
United Arab Emirates	54.38	25	HI	25	MEA	2	_
Czech Republic	53.11	26	HI	26	ECS	16	
Malaysia	52.94	27	UM	1	EAS	7	
Bahrain	52.73	28	HI	27	MEA	3	
Spain	52.43	29	HI	28	ECS	17	
Cyprus	52.38	30	HI	29	ECS	18	
Qatar	51.71	31	HI	30	MEA	4	
Slovenia	51.29	32	HI	31	ECS	19	
Hungary	51.04	33	HI	32	ECS	20	
Portugal	50.32	34	HI	33	ECS	21	
Slovak Republic	48.27	35	HI	34	ECS	22	
Chile	48.09	36	UM	2	LCN	1	
Italy	47.88	37	HI	35	ECS	23	
Latvia	47.46	38	HI	36	ECS	24	
Lithuania	47.46	39	UM	3	ECS	25	
South Africa	46.37	40	UM	4	SSF	1	
Poland	46.29	41	HI	37	ECS	26	
Oman	46.23	42	HI	38	MEA	5	
China	46.08	43	LM	1	EAS	8	
Saudi Arabia	45.94	44	HĪ	39	MEA	6	
Croatia	45.00	45	HI	40	ECS	27	
Mauritius	44.79	46	UM	5	SSF	2	
Bulgaria	44.20	47	UM	6	ECS	28	
Thailand	43.33	48	LM	2	EAS	9	
Namibia	43.01	49	UM	7	SSF	3	
Greece	42.48	50	HI	41	ECS	29	
Kuwait	42.44	51	HI	42	MEA	7	
Mongolia	42.31	52	LM	3	EAS	10	
Costa Rica	42.22	53	UM	8	LCN	2	
Bosnia & Herzegovina	42.22	54	UM	9	ECS	30	
Romania	41.80	55	UM	10	ECS	31	
Jordan	41.34	56	LM	4	MEA	8	
Lebanon Trinidad and Tohago	40.88	57	UM	11	MEA LCN	9	
Trinidad and Tobago	40.86	58	HI	43		3	
Russian Federation	40.79	59	UM	12	ECS	32	
Panama	40.73	60	UM	13	LCN	4	
Macedonia	40.37	61	UM	14	ECS	33	
Botswana	40.37	62	UM	15	SSF	4	
Viet Nam	40.09	63	LM	5	EAS	11	

Table 3: Innovation Input Sub-Index rankings (continued)

Country/Economy	Score (0-100)	Rank	Income	Rank	Region	Rank	
Kazakhstan	39.86	64	UM	16	ECS	34	
Ghana	39.84	65	LI	1	SSF	5	
Uruguay	39.69	66	UM	17	LCN	5	
Ukraine	39.59	67	LM	6	ECS	35	
Brazil	39.47	68	UM	18	LCN	6	
Kenya	39.24	69	LI	2	SSF	6	
Brunei Darussalam	39.19	70	HI	44	EAS	12	
Serbia	39.09	71	UM	19	ECS	36	
Peru	39.06	72	UM	20	LCN	7	
Jamaica	38.89	73	UM	21	LCN	8	
Colombia	38.72	74	UM	22	LCN	9	
Guyana	38.70	75	LM	7	LCN	10	
Georgia	38.54	76	LM	8	ECS	37	
Moldova, Rep.	38.40	77	LM	9	ECS	38	
Albania	38.29	78	UM	23	ECS	39	
Tunisia	38.21	79	LM	10	MEA	10	
Turkey	37.96	80	UM	24	ECS	40	
Mexico	37.47	81	UM	25	LCN	11	
Argentina	37.29	82	UM	26	LCN	12	
Azerbaijan	37.21	83	UM	27	ECS	41	
Armenia	37.10	84	LM	11	ECS	42	
Swaziland	36.93	85	LM	12	SSF	7	
Morocco	36.65	86	LM	13	MEA	11	
India	36.47	87	LM	14	SAS	1	
Egypt	35.08	88	LM	15	MEA	12	
Kyrgyzstan	34.93	89	LI	3	ECS	43	
Rwanda	34.73	90	LI	4	SSF	8	
El Salvador	34.60	91	LM	16	LCN	13	
Paraguay	34.45	92	LM	17	LCN	14	
Philippines	34.00	93	LM	18	EAS	13	
Zambia	33.81	94	LI	5	SSF	9	
Indonesia	33.57	95	LM	19	EAS	14	
Sri Lanka	33.20	96	LM	20	SAS	2	
Guatemala	33.18	97	LM	21	LCN	15	
Honduras	33.08	98	LM	22	LCN	16	
Malawi	32.82	99	LI	6	SSF	10	
Ecuador	32.57	100	LM	23	LCN	17	
Algeria	32.07	101	UM	28	MEA	13	
Niger	31.44	102	LI	7	SSF	11	
Cambodia	31.24	103	LI	8	EAS	15	
Madagascar	31.20	104	LI	9	SSF	12	
Nicaragua	31.13	105	LM	24	LCN	18	
Iran	30.91	106	UM	29	MEA	14	
Senegal	30.73	107	LM	25	SSF	13	
Tanzania	30.45	108	LI	10	SSF	14	
Bolivia	30.37	109	LM	26	LCN	19	
Cameroon	30.12	110	LM	27	SSF	15	
Syrian Arab Republic	30.03	111	LM	28	MEA	15	
Uganda	29.86	112	LI	11	SSF	16	
Mali	29.85	113	LI	12	SSF	17	
Bangladesh	29.64	114	LI	13	SAS	3	
Venezuela	29.48	115	UM	30	LCN	20	
Ethiopia	29.29	116	LI	14	SSF	18	
Burkina Faso	29.24	117	LI	15	SSF	19	
Benin	28.26	118	LI	16	SSF	20	
Nigeria	27.72	119	LM	29	SSF	21	
Tajikistan	27.64	120	LI	17	ECS	44	_
Yemen	27.00	121	LM	30	MEA	16	
Zimbabwe	26.82	122	LI	18	SSF	22	
Pakistan	26.57	123	LM	31	SAS	4	
Sudan	26.06	124	LM	32	SSF	23	
Côte d'Ivoire	23.40	125	LM	33	SSF	24	

Table 4: Innovation Output Sub-Index rankings

Country/Economy	Score (0-100)	Rank	Income	Rank	Region	Rank	
Sweden	59.40	1	НІ	1	ECS	1	
Switzerland	58.20	2	HI	2	ECS	2	
Netherlands	52.20	3	HI	3	ECS	3	
Germany	50.74	4	HI	4	ECS	4	
United States of America	50.30	5	HI	5	NAC	1	
Finland	50.29	6	HI	6	ECS	5	
Denmark	49.34	7	HI	7	ECS	6	
	48.94	8	HI	8	MEA	1	
Israel						7	
United Kingdom	48.27	9	HI	9	ECS		
Canada	48.26	10	HI	10	NAC	2	
Korea, Rep.	47.93	11	HI	11	EAS	1	
Hong Kong (SAR), China	47.83	12	HI	12	EAS	2	
Iceland	47.72	13	HI	13	ECS	8	
China	46.77	14	LM	1	EAS	3	
New Zealand	46.61	15	HI	14	EAS	4	
Hungary	45.20	16	HI	15	ECS	9	
Singapore	45.18	17	HI	16	EAS	5	
Norway	44.04	18	HI	17	ECS	10	
Qatar	43.77	19	HI	18	MEA	2	
Estonia	43.50	20	HI	19	ECS	11	
France	42.90	21	HI	20	ECS	12	
Ireland	42.67	22	HI	21	ECS	13	
Austria	42.21	23	HI	22	ECS	14	
Czech Republic	41.49	24	HI	23	ECS	15	
Luxembourg	41.37	25	HI	24	ECS	16	
Japan	41.30	26	HI	25	EAS	6	
Cyprus	40.52	27	HI	26	ECS	17	
Belgium	39.66	28	HI	27	ECS	18	
Moldova, Rep.	38.92	29	LM	2	ECS	19	
Slovenia	38.86	30	HI	28	ECS	20	
Australia	36.89	31	HI	29	EAS	7	
Brazil	36.03	32	UM	1	LCN	1	
Jordan	35.52	33	LM	3	MEA	3	
Spain	35.19	34	HI	30	ECS	21	
Malaysia	35.17	35	UM	2	EAS	8	
Portugal	34.47	36	HI	31	ECS	22	
Costa Rica	33.60	37	UM	3	LCN	2	
Serbia	33.53	38	UM	4	ECS	23	
Italy	33.49	39	HI	32	ECS	24	
Argentina	33.44	40	UM	5	LCN	3	
Lebanon	33.34	41	UM		MEA		
				6		4	_
/iet Nam	33.34	42	LM	4	EAS	9	
Bulgaria	32.64	43	UM	7	ECS	25	
India	32.56	44	LM	5	SAS	1	
Latvia	32.14	45	HI	33	ECS	26	
Thailand Thailand	31.93	46	LM	6	EAS	10	
Romania	31.86	47	UM	8	ECS	27	
Croatia	30.96	48	HI	34	ECS	28	
Guyana	30.95	49	LM	7	LCN	4	
Russian Federation	30.91	50	UM	9	ECS	29	
Kuwait	30.85	51	HI	35	MEA	5	
Jkraine	30.42	52	LM	8	ECS	30	
urkey	30.25	53	UM	10	ECS	31	
ilovak Republic	29.83	54	HI	36	ECS	32	
Poland	29.74	55	HI	37	ECS	33	
		56	HI	38	MEA		
Jnited Arab Emirates	29.61					6	
Chile	29.60	57	UM	11	LCN	5	
unisia	29.57	58	LM	9	MEA	7	
Lithuania	29.52	59	UM	12	ECS	34	
Armenia	28.90	60	LM	10	ECS	35	
Jruguay	28.67	61	UM	13	LCN	6	
Nigeria	28.58	62	LM	11	SSF	1	
Mauritius	28.15	63	UM	14	SSF	2	

Table 4: Innovation Output Sub-Index rankings (continued)

Country/Economy	Score (0-100)	Rank	Income	Rank	Region	Rank	
Paraguay	27.90	64	LM	12	LCN	7	
Sri Lanka	27.53	65	LM	13	SAS	2	
Saudi Arabia	26.94	66	HI	39	MEA	8	
Pakistan	26.94	67	LM	14	SAS	3	
Macedonia	26.57	68	UM	15	ECS	36	
Bangladesh	26.47	69	LI	13 1	SAS	4	
•							
Colombia	25.92	70	UM	16	LCN	8	_
Iran	25.91	71	UM	17	MEA	9	
Greece	25.89	72	HI	40	ECS	37	
Guatemala	25.49	73	LM	15	LCN	9	
Venezuela	25.35	74	UM	18	LCN	10	
Georgia	25.20	75	LM	16	ECS	38	
Ghana	25.12	76	LI	2	SSF	3	
Ecuador	24.94	77	LM	17	LCN	11	
0man	24.79	78	HI	41	MEA	10	
Côte d'Ivoire	24.77	79	LM	18	SSF	4	
Kyrgyzstan	24.65	80	LI	3	ECS	39	
Mongolia	24.49	81	LM	19	EAS	11	
Senegal	24.38	82	LM	20	SSF	5	
South Africa	24.07	83	UM	19	SSF	6	
Philippines	23.96	84	LM	21	EAS	12	
Cameroon	23.79	85	LM	22	SSF	7	
El Salvador	23.79	86	LM	23	LCN	12	
Trinidad and Tobago	23.47	87	HI	42	LCN	13	
-							_
Mexico	23.42	88	UM	20	LCN	14	
Egypt	23.34	89	LM	24	MEA	11	
Tanzania	23.30	90	LI	4	SSF	8	_
Uganda	22.87	91	LI	5	SSF	9	
Bahrain	22.87	92	HI	43	MEA	12	
Mali	22.85	93	LI	6	SSF	10	
Brunei Darussalam	22.68	94	HI	44	EAS	13	
Albania	22.62	95	UM	21	ECS	40	
Honduras	22.53	96	LM	25	LCN	15	
Indonesia	21.99	97	LM	26	EAS	14	
Peru	21.63	98	UM	22	LCN	16	
Tajikistan	21.36	99	LI	7	ECS	41	
Azerbaijan	21.13	100	UM	23	ECS	42	
Panama	20.82	101	UM	24	LCN	17	
Morocco	20.81	102	LM	27	MEA	13	
Kazakhstan	20.77	102	UM	25	ECS	43	
Botswana	20.77	103	UM	26	SSF	11	
Bolivia	20.63	104	LM	28	LCN	18	
			LM	28	LCN	18	
Nicaragua 7:	20.44	106					_
Zimbabwe	20.26	107	LI	8	SSF	12	
Cambodia	19.68	108	LI	9	EAS	15	_
Madagascar	19.63	109	Ш	10	SSF	13	
Syrian Arab Republic	19.61	110	LM	30	MEA	14	
Bosnia & Herzegovina	19.58	111	UM	27	ECS	44	
Benin	19.35	112	LI	11	SSF	14	
Malawi	19.11	113	LI	12	SSF	15	
Kenya	19.05	114	LI	13	SSF	16	
Jamaica	18.87	115	UM	28	LCN	20	
Namibia	18.46	116	UM	29	SSF	17	
Swaziland	18.11	117	LM	31	SSF	18	
Burkina Faso	17.04	118	LI	14	SSF	19	
Rwanda	17.00	119	LI	15	SSF	20	
Zambia	16.73	120	LI	16	SSF	21	
EUTITIU .	16.47	120	LI	17	SSF	22	_=
	10.47	121	LI	17	וככ	22	
Ethiopia		122	1 8.4	วา	CCF	22	
Ethiopia Sudan	14.65	122	LM	32	SSF	23	_=
Ethiopia Sudan Yemen Niger		122 123 124	LM LM LI	32 33 18	SSF MEA SSF	23 15 24	

Table 5a: Institutions pillar

	INSTITUTIONS		Political environment		Regulatory environment		Business environment	
Country/Economy	Score (0–100)	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank
Denmark New Zealand	94.2	1 2	94.2	7 9	96.7	4	91.8	12
New Zealand Canada	94.0 93.3	3	93.6 91.5	11	96.9 96.3	3 6	91.5 92.0	14 11
Hong Kong (SAR), China	92.8	4	88.6	15	96.7	5	93.0	7
Switzerland	92.6	5	96.8	2	94.5	7	86.4	30
reland	91.2	6	90.1	12	93.2	9	90.3	16
Australia	91.0	7	88.7	14	97.8	1	86.5	28
celand	90.6	8	95.1	6	84.3	19	92.3	10
Singapore	90.4	9	80.0	28	97.5	2	93.8	5
Finland	89.2	10	98.3	1	85.5	15	83.7	48
Luxembourg	88.3	11	96.1	3	79.1	27	89.8	18
Norway	88.1	12	95.4	5	82.0	22	86.8	25
Netherlands	87.5	13	92.4	10	84.1	20	85.8	34
Sweden	87.3	14	95.6	4	86.1	14	80.1	63
United States of America	86.5	15	80.3	27	93.7	8	85.5	35
Jnited Kingdom	86.4	16	79.8	29	92.7	10	86.6	27
Austria	85.7	17	94.1	8	88.4	11	74.5	82
Chile	85.2	18	81.3	22	87.8	12	86.3	32
Belgium	84.8	19	86.8	17	86.1	13	81.6	56
Japan	83.8	20	89.2	13	84.4	18	77.8	69
Germany	83.5	21	88.1	16	81.1	23	81.2	59
Cyprus	83.5	22	77.3	34	83.2	21	89.9	17
Qatar	83.5	23	77.4	33	79.5	25	93.4	6
Czech Republic	82.6	24	84.1	18	85.4	16	78.2	68
Mauritius	82.0	25	74.1	38	79.3	26	92.5	8
United Arab Emirates	81.8	26	77.6	32	75.4	32	92.3	9
Estonia	80.8	27	83.2	19	75.3	33	84.1	46
Portugal	80.4	28	82.2	21	73.7	39	85.3	36
Slovenia	80.4	29	82.5	20	69.0	47	89.7	20
Slovak Republic	79.6	30	81.1	23	76.1	31	81.5	58
Hungary	79.3	31	77.9	31	77.8	28	82.1	54
0man	78.7	32	68.1	44	76.6	29	91.6	13
Lithuania	78.0	33	80.5	25	70.9	44	82.7	52
France	77.9	34	80.5	26	74.3	35	78.8	66
Korea, Rep.	77.4	35	73.9	40	73.3	40	85.2	39
Latvia	76.4	36	74.0	39	70.4	46	85.0	42
Poland	76.4	37	80.6	24	74.1	36	74.5	83
Botswana	76.1	38	77.1	35	74.3	34	76.9	75
Kuwait	75.3	39	65.2	50	73.9	37	86.8	26
Bulgaria	74.5	40	67.2	46	68.7	48	87.6	22
Bahrain	74.4	41	51.8	71	76.1	30	95.4	3
Croatia	73.6	42	73.1	41	59.5	65	88.1	21
Macedonia	73.0	43	56.1	62	64.4	55	98.4	1
Namibia	72.6	44	76.2	36	67.4	51	74.4	84
Georgia	72.4	45	50.1	75	71.0	43	96.1	2
Israel	72.1	46	55.7	63	79.7	24	80.8	61
Trinidad and Tobago	71.9	47	66.7	47	70.5	45	78.4	67
Brunei Darussalam	71.2	48	72.2	43	85.0	17	56.3	113
taly	71.1	49	72.3	42	67.5	49	73.6	85
South Africa	71.0	50	66.4	48	61.8	60	84.6	43
Malaysia	70.5	51	57.5	60	71.7	42	82.2	53
Romania Ghana	69.8 69.8	52	64.2	52 49	60.7 59.9	62	84.5 83.4	44 49
	69.5	53 54	66.1 79.3	30	59.9 71.7	64 41	83.4 57.6	112
Jruguay Jamaica	69.5	54 55	79.3 61.2	30 55	63.9	56	57.6 82.7	51
	68.9	56	67.6	55 45	73.7	38	65.5	102
Spain Armenia								
	67.9 67.9	57 58	58.7 75.8	58 37	60.8 64.7	61 54	84.2 63.0	45 106
Costa Rica								106
Greece	67.8	59	63.5	53 92	63.8	57 50	76.1	76
Saudi Arabia Mongolia	67.5	60	39.8		67.4	50	95.2	4
Mongolia	66.3	61	52.5	67	55.6	73	90.8	15
Jordan Albania	65.8 65.2	62 63	53.5 55.5	66 64	66.6 56.3	53 72	77.3 83.9	73 47

Table 5a: Institutions pillar (continued)

	INSTITUTIO	ONS	Political e	nvironment	Regulatory environment		Business environment	
Country/Economy	Score (0–100)	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank
Panama	64.8	64	62.9	54	50.4	89	81.1	60
Zambia	64.3	65	57.0	61	50.8	87	85.1	40
Serbia	63.2	66	51.2	72	52.5	81	85.9	33
El Salvador	63.1	67	60.8	56	54.0	75	74.6	80
Kazakhstan	62.6	68	48.5	76	52.2	82	87.2	23
Turkey	62.1	69	43.5	83	60.5	63	82.1	55
Egypt	61.7	70	41.0	88	58.8	67	85.3	37
Thailand	61.5	71	38.0	97	67.3	52	79.2	65
Moldova, Rep.	61.2	72	47.8	78	48.7	91	87.1	24
Tunisia	61.1	73	47.3	79	58.4	68	77.5	71
Burkina Faso	60.4	74	51.9	70	58.2	69	71.0	90
Rwanda	59.6	75	32.1	111	56.9	70	89.7	19
Mexico								
	58.6	76	44.1	81	51.3	86	80.4	62
Bosnia & Herzegovina	58.6	77	48.0	77	54.1	74	73.6	86
Guyana	58.5	78	52.1	69	47.4	94	75.9	77
Azerbaijan	57.9	79	35.3	106	52.0	83	86.4	31
Morocco	57.6	80	43.8	82	47.5	93	81.5	57
Peru	57.5	81	43.2	84	51.7	85	77.8	70
Tanzania	56.7	82	57.6	59	41.4	105	71.0	89
Colombia	55.7	83	36.3	101	62.3	59	68.7	96
Viet Nam	54.9	84	39.2	96	50.5	88	75.1	78
Senegal	54.7	85	51.1	73	44.0	98	69.1	94
Lebanon	54.3	86	39.3	94	52.5	80	71.0	88
Brazil	54.1	87	64.8	51	52.9	78	44.7	118
Uganda	54.0	88	40.6	89	62.4	58	59.0	110
Mali	54.0	89	50.2	74	49.1	90	62.6	107
Indonesia	53.4	90	44.3	80	45.8	95	70.1	92
Madagascar	53.3	91	39.9	91	34.9	114	85.2	38
Malawi	53.3	92	52.3	68	53.2	77	54.4	116
Sri Lanka	53.2	93	31.6	112	58.9	66	69.1	93
India	52.3	94	42.2	86	56.6	71	58.2	111
Kenya	51.9	95	41.0	87	48.4	92	66.2	100
Ethiopia	51.8	96	31.5	113	37.6	110	86.5	29
Russian Federation	51.8	97	37.9	98	40.3	107	77.3	72
China	51.7	98	32.8	108	53.5	76	68.8	95
Swaziland	51.4	99	37.7	100	51.8	84	64.6	105
Guatemala	51.2	100	43.0	85	45.5	96	65.1	104
Philippines	51.2	101	32.5	110	52.9	79	68.2	97
Argentina	51.1	102	55.2	65	43.2	100	55.0	115
Ukraine	51.0	102	36.2	103	42.3	100	74.6	81
	49.5				42.9			
Kyrgyzstan		104	25.6	118		103	80.1	64
Bangladesh	48.2	105	26.4	117	41.1	106	77.0	74
Honduras	47.7	106	36.0	104	36.5	112	70.5	91
Benin	46.9	107	59.9	57	42.9	102	38.0	122
Pakistan	46.7	108	20.0	121	36.6	111	83.4	50
Syrian Arab Republic	46.3	109	20.1	120	43.8	99	75.0	79
Paraguay	46.2	110	39.9	90	32.4	117	66.2	101
Cameroon	45.9	111	35.9	105	34.3	115	67.6	99
Algeria	45.4	112	32.5	109	35.5	113	68.2	98
Ecuador	42.8	113	37.9	99	25.2	121	65.2	103
Iran	42.7	114	11.6	123	31.4	118	85.1	41
Nigeria	41.6	115	19.5	122	43.0	101	62.2	108
Nicaragua	40.8	116	39.3	93	44.1	97	39.1	121
Niger	40.1	117	36.3	102	32.5	116	51.6	117
Tajikistan	37.3	118	30.6	114	25.1	122	56.3	114
Yemen	36.9	119	9.0	124	39.6	109	62.2	109
Cambodia	36.6	120	34.8	107	39.7	108	35.4	123
Sudan	34.4	121	6.1	125	25.9	120	71.1	87
Côte d'Ivoire	33.3	122	26.5	116	30.9	119	42.4	120
Bolivia	30.2	123	39.2	95	17.0	124	34.3	124
Venezuela	27.8	124	26.6	115	12.5	125	44.3	119
	20		20.0	119				

Table 5b: Human capital and research pillar

	HUMAN CAPITAL AND RESEARCH		Education		Tertiary	education	Research and Development		
Country/Economy	Score (0-100)	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	
ingapore	74.7	1	69.5	23	94.4	1	60.2	10	
srael	69.8	2	68.0	30	47.7	16	93.7	1	
Finland	66.5	3	76.9	5	49.0	13	73.5	3	
celand	65.7	4	78.8	4	44.9	18	73.5	2	
Sweden	63.3	5	74.3	8	42.3	25	73.2	4	
Denmark	60.2	6	79.4	1	37.6	40	63.6	8	
Korea, Rep.	59.9	7	64.9	39	56.4	4	58.4	12	
Austria	58.7	8	69.9	19	48.9	14	57.4	15	
Australia	57.8	9	71.3	14	43.2	20	59.0	11	
reland	57.8	10	79.3	2	49.3	10	44.7	26	
Germany	57.5	11	72.5	12	42.4	24	57.8	14	
Norway	57.5	12	76.7	7	40.2	31	55.5	17	
United States of America	57.4	13	66.2	36	35.7	46	70.2	5	
Luxembourg	56.6	14	61.1	48	63.9	3	44.7	25	
New Zealand	56.4	15	76.8	6	42.4	22	50.1	20	
Jnited Kingdom	56.1	16	68.8	27	42.8	21	56.6	16	
Switzerland	55.1	17	62.2	45	39.7	34	63.5	9	
Bahrain	54.0	18	67.9	31	64.4	2	29.7	46	
Canada	53.9	19	65.5	38	38.1	38	58.0	13	
Japan	53.7	20	64.8	40	31.2	60	65.1	7	
France	53.0	21	69.3	25	41.5	26	48.2	22	
Belgium	52.9	22	73.9	10	33.2	52	51.7	19	
Portugal	52.5	23	73.7	11	40.8	30	43.0	29	
United Arab Emirates	52.5	24	56.8	65	48.3	15	52.3	18	
Qatar	52.5	25	52.2	82	37.2	41	68.1	6	
Slovenia	51.3	26	74.3	9	36.8	43	42.9	30	
Estonia	50.5	27	69.8	20	39.1	36	42.6	31	
Czech Republic	49.9	28	66.2	37	40.0	32	43.5	28	
Cyprus	48.6	29	69.6	22	50.5	9	25.8	55	
Hong Kong (SAR), China	48.4	30	59.4	55	53.6	5	32.1	42	
Spain	48.2	31	67.3	33	38.9	37	38.4	36	
Netherlands	47.6	32	70.6	16	28.4	73	43.9	27	
Greece	47.4	33	66.3	35	51.7	7	24.1	62	
Lithuania	47.0	34	67.7	32	39.9	33	33.4	40	
Oman .	45.9	35	52.9	80	36.6	44	48.0	23	
Hungary	45.7	36	70.0	18	28.9	68	38.2	38	
Venezuela	45.6	37	70.1	17	49.1	12	17.6	90	
Russian Federation	45.1	38	62.0	46	43.3	19	30.0	44	
Italy	44.5	39	69.4	24	35.1	49	29.1	47	
Ukraine	44.3	40	70.7	15	37.8	39	24.5	59	
Moldova, Rep.	43.6	41	78.9	3	35.5	47	16.3	94	
Malaysia	43.5	42	55.0	69	49.2	11	26.4	54	
Croatia	43.5	43	64.5	41	36.8	42	29.1	48	
Kenya	43.3	43	46.7	96	34.3	50	48.9	21	
Mauritius	43.2	45	53.5	76	53.3	6	22.8	68	
Mauritius Slovak Republic	43.2	45	61.3	47	53.3 42.4	23	24.8	56	
Latvia	42.8	47	69.7	21	30.5	65	28.3	50	
Poland	42.4	47	68.8	26	30.5	63	27.6	52	
Poland Bosnia & Herzegovina	42.4	48	71.6	13	30.7 41.4	27	12.9	108	
Jordan	42.0	50	63.2	43	39.6	35	21.3	71	
Lebanon	41.4		52.6		46.8	17			
Lebanon Zimbabwe	40.8	51 52	68.7	81 28	46.8	92	23.6 32.1	64 43	
Zimbabwe Saudi Arabia									
	40.4	53	68.6	29	33.9	51	18.9	79	
Serbia Svrjan Arab Popublic	40.3	54	63.9	42	35.2	48 n/a	21.9	69 50	
Syrian Arab Republic	40.2	55	55.9	67	n/a 17.2	n/a	24.5	58	
China	39.9	56	59.9	51	17.3	102	42.3	32	
Tunisia	39.8	57	62.4	44	24.6	82	32.4	41	
lran Bulancia	39.5	58	44.1	104	51.0	8	23.2	66	
Bulgaria	39.2	59	59.8	52	36.3	45	21.5	70	
Ghana	39.0	60	51.8	83	19.2	97	46.1	24	
Morocco	38.0	61	54.8	72	40.9	28	18.3	85	
Namibia	37.9 37.2	62 63	53.9 59.3	74 56	19.4 28.0	96 74	40.2 24.4	34 61	

Table 5b: Human capital and research pillar (continued)

_	HUMAN CAPITAL AN	D RESEARCH	Edu	cation	Tertiary	education	Research and Development	
Country/Economy	Score (0-100)	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank
Botswana	37.0	64	66.6	34	25.7	79	18.6	82
Romania	36.8	65	58.6	58	31.3	58	20.4	75
Frinidad and Tobago	36.7	66	53.5	75	40.9	29	15.6	97
Kuwait	35.8	67	61.1	49	31.8	56	14.5	101
lamaica	35.5	68	58.0	61	25.7	80	23.0	67
Guyana	35.1	69	53.5	77	24.9	81	27.1	53
Uruguay	35.0	70	54.9	71	29.0	67	21.2	73
Chile	34.9	71	50.1	89	30.8	62	23.6	63
Macedonia	34.7	72	54.9	70	31.1	61	18.0	87
Mexico	34.7	73	53.4	78	31.5	57	19.0	78
Kazakhstan	34.6	74	58.2	59	32.3	53	13.2	106
Mongolia	34.1	75	57.0	63	31.9	55	13.4	105
Brazil	33.9	76	54.3	73	19.4	95	27.9	51
						76		
Brunei Darussalam	33.8	77	59.6	54	27.8		14.0	102
Swaziland	33.1	78	59.7	53	16.2	104	23.3	65
Costa Rica	33.1	79	58.2	60	16.5	103	24.5	57
Turkey	32.9	80	49.9	90	27.9	75	20.9	74
Algeria	32.8	81	57.0	64	28.5	72	12.9	107
Albania	32.7	82	49.8	91	23.8	86	24.4	60
Georgia	32.6	83	58.8	57	24.0	84	15.0	98
Panama	32.0	84	49.1	92	29.9	66	16.9	92
Viet Nam	31.7	85	45.1	100	32.1	54	17.8	89
Bolivia	31.2	86	56.7	66	26.2	78	10.8	116
Thailand	31.0	87	48.2	94	26.2	77	18.5	83
Armenia	30.7	88	55.1	68	21.3	94	15.7	96
Yemen	30.7	89	50.3	88	11.2	115	n/a	n/a
Azerbaijan	30.4	90	50.9	87	22.4	90	17.9	88
Colombia	30.0	91	44.9	101	30.5	64	14.6	100
South Africa	30.0	92	57.8	62	3.3	124	28.8	49
Rwanda	29.9	93	46.3	98	8.2	122	35.3	39
Malawi	29.7	94	30.4	118	18.1	101	40.8	33
Kyrgyzstan	29.7	95	51.6	85	28.5	71	8.9	118
Indonesia	29.6	96	46.1	99	24.6	83	18.1	86
Tanzania	29.4	97	28.2	122	21.7	93	38.3	37
Tajikistan	29.4	98	47.6	95	28.6	70	12.0	111
Cameroon	29.2	99	46.3	97	24.0	85	17.5	91
Niger	29.0	100	34.6	114	23.4	88	n/a	n/a
Honduras	28.4	101	60.5	50	14.2	110	10.3	117
Sri Lanka	27.2	102	52.9	79	10.1	118	18.6	80
Ecuador	27.0	103	48.6	93	23.7	87	8.8	120
India	26.9	103	32.3	115	9.5	119	38.8	35
El Salvador	26.8	105	41.2	108 107	31.3	59 99	8.0	121
Senegal Fount	26.7	106	42.0	84	18.7		19.4	77 99
Egypt	26.4	107	51.7		12.6	113	14.8	
Peru Control	25.7	108	43.3	106	21.9	91	11.8	112
Guatemala	25.2	109	44.6	102	18.8	98	12.1	110
Paraguay	24.9	110	51.2	86	18.3	100	5.3	122
Mali	24.9	111	39.3	110	14.0	112	21.3	72
Zambia	24.7	112	44.3	103	15.8	106	13.9	104
Benin	24.5	113	39.5	109	14.2	109	19.7	76
Bangladesh	24.1	114	30.2	120	12.3	114	29.9	45
Madagascar	24.1	115	38.7	111	22.5	89	11.1	114
Philippines	23.7	116	30.8	116	28.7	69	11.5	113
Jganda	22.6	117	43.9	105	10.2	117	13.9	103
Côte d'Ivoire	21.1	118	30.6	117	14.3	108	18.4	84
Burkina Faso	20.6	119	34.7	113	10.6	116	16.4	93
Nicaragua	19.4	120	35.2	112	14.1	111	8.8	119
Cambodia	18.5	121	28.6	121	15.9	105	11.0	115
Nigeria	18.3	122	30.2	119	8.8	121	15.9	95
Ethiopia	16.8	123	22.8	124	15.2	107	12.2	109
Pakistan	14.0	124	14.5	125	8.9	120	18.6	81
Sudan	11.9	125	25.3	123	6.6	123	3.8	123

Table 5c: Infrastructure pillar

	INFRASTRUC	INFRASTRUCTURE		technologies (ICT)	En	iergy	General infrastructure		
Country/Economy	Score (0-100)	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	
Norway	55.5	1	64.6	13	55.2	1	46.8	17	
Hong Kong (SAR), China	53.9	2	70.2	4	42.2	4	49.2	8	
Canada	53.1	3	66.2	11	36.9	6	56.2	5	
Australia	52.3	4	70.3	3	26.9	26	59.7	3	
Sweden	51.7	5	67.4	10	40.3	5	47.3	15	
Korea, Rep.	48.2	6	81.0	1	22.4	54	41.2	30	
Finland	48.0	7	57.1	23	34.5	8	52.3	6	
New Zealand	47.8	8	64.7	12	31.6	12	47.1	16	
Singapore	47.6	9	69.0	5	26.0	31	47.9	13	
Bahrain	46.9	10	58.8	18	24.3	43	57.7	4	
Denmark	45.9	11	68.9	6	30.7	16	38.1	49	
Iceland	45.4	12	51.8	26	52.1	3	32.4	84	
Japan	45.4	13	68.8	7	25.6	36	41.7	27	
United States of America	44.6	14	67.4	9	30.5	18	36.0	63	
Switzerland	44.5	15	57.1	22	35.0	7	41.4	29	
Austria	44.0	16	58.4	21	32.6	9	41.0	32	
Jnited Kingdom	43.6	17	70.6	2	25.7	34	34.6	70	
Netherlands 	43.6	18	68.3	8	23.3	48	39.2	40	
Spain	43.5	19	64.0	14	26.1	30	40.5	36	
Luxembourg	43.3	20	62.1	16	29.8	19	37.9	52	
Germany	43.2	21	63.7	15	25.2	40	40.9	33	
France	43.1	22	61.9	17	25.7	32	41.6	28	
Ireland Balaina	39.5	23	55.5	24	28.9	22	34.1	72	
Belgium	39.5	24	58.6	19	23.1	49	36.8	56	
Israel	38.4	25	54.4	25 20	27.7 19.9	24 65	32.9	83	
Estonia Slovenia	38.0 36.6	26 27	58.5 51.8	27	24.6	42	35.5 33.3	68 78	
Cyprus	36.5	28	46.0	30	22.3	55	41.0	31	
Paraguay	36.3	29	17.2	93	53.3	2	38.5	44	
ltaly	35.9	30	44.7	34	26.1	29	36.9	55	
United Arab Emirates	35.8	31	45.6	32	30.5	17	31.3	90	
Colombia	35.7	32	37.6	43	31.3	14	38.2	46	
China	35.4	33	28.4	59	14.5	92	63.3	2	
Slovak Republic	35.2	34	38.1	42	17.5	77	50.1	7	
Lithuania	35.0	35	47.7	28	17.4	79	40.0	38	
Portugal	34.7	36	45.1	33	25.2	39	33.9	75	
Croatia	34.1	37	47.2	29	19.5	67	35.5	66	
Qatar	33.9	38	34.8	46	26.3	28	40.6	35	
Hungary	33.9	39	45.8	31	17.7	74	38.1	48	
Latvia	33.4	40	40.5	38	23.1	50	36.7	57	
Uruguay	33.4	41	34.1	47	28.5	23	37.6	54	
Greece	32.6	42	44.1	36	25.4	38	28.1	107	
Mongolia	32.4	43	25.5	66	5.7	114	66.0	1	
Czech Republic	32.4	44	41.1	37	19.8	66	36.2	59	
Brazil	32.2	45	30.4	53	23.9	45	42.3	23	
Argentina	31.5	46	32.6	50	20.2	63	41.7	26	
Peru	31.4	47	24.4	69	30.9	15	39.0	42	
Kuwait	31.2	48	30.8	52	31.8	11	31.0	95	
Guyana	31.1	49	13.3	104	n/a	n/a	48.9	9	
Chile	31.1	50	37.4	44	20.4	62	35.5	67	
Panama	30.7	51	28.3	60	25.7	33	38.1	50	
Poland	30.4	52	39.8	39	17.5	76	33.8	76	
Malaysia	30.1	53	44.2	35	12.8	98	33.3	79	
Romania	30.0	54	35.5	45	16.2	81	38.5	43	
Costa Rica	29.6	55	25.5	67	31.5	13	31.8	87	
Viet Nam	29.3	56	22.1	79	18.0	73	47.9	12	
Morocco	29.2	57 50	21.4	81	22.1	56	44.0	19	
Tunisia Bulgaria	29.0 28.9	58 59	27.2 38.5	61 40	22.0	58 89	37.7 33.2	53 80	
Bulgaria Kazakhstan	28.5	60	38.5	40	14.9 9.5	108	42.1	80 24	
kazaknstan Madagascar	28.2	61	33.8 8.8	48 118	9.5 n/a	n/a	42.1 47.5	24 14	
Madagascar Saudi Arabia	27.8	62	30.2	54	n/a 15.0	n/a 88	47.5 38.2	47	
Saudi Arabia India	27.7	63	16.3	94	18.5	72	48.3	11	

Table 5c: Infrastructure pillar (continued)

	INFRASTRUC	TURE	Info & comm. t	echnologies (ICT)	En	iergy	General infrastructure		
Country/Economy	Score (0-100)	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	
Turkey	27.5	64	30.1	55	21.1	61	31.3	91	
Mexico	27.0	65	29.0	57	15.7	85	36.4	58	
Oman	26.7	66	26.3	64	11.9	101	41.9	25	
Sri Lanka	26.6	67	17.3	92	32.2	10	30.3	100	
Philippines	26.5	68	22.3	78	29.4	21	27.7	112	
Ecuador	26.4	69	22.4	77	14.4	94	42.6	21	
Albania	26.4	70	21.3	83	22.0	59	36.0	64	
Bolivia	26.3	71	18.6	89	11.9	102	48.6	10	
Macedonia	26.2	72	32.8	49	14.5	93	31.2	92	
Russian Federation	25.8	73	31.1	51	14.1	95	32.2	85	
Mauritius	25.7	74	24.0	70	n/a	n/a	27.3	114	
Lebanon	25.6	75	23.1	74	11.1	104	42.7	20	
Bangladesh	25.5	76	13.6	103	27.0	25	35.9	65	
-						52			
Nicaragua	25.3	77	18.2	91	22.6		34.9	69	
Thailand	25.0	78	21.3	82	15.6	86	38.1	51	
South Africa	24.8	79	20.3	86	15.8	84	38.2	45	
Senegal	24.6	80	11.1	110	22.1	57	40.6	34	
Indonesia	24.5	81	16.2	95	14.9	90	42.4	22	
Guatemala	24.5	82	23.0	75	25.6	35	24.7	120	
Serbia	24.5	83	26.7	63	13.7	97	33.0	82	
Venezuela	24.4	84	24.8	68	12.4	100	36.0	62	
Namibia	24.4	85	9.3	114	24.8	41	39.0	41	
Honduras	23.9	86	18.7	88	22.6	53	30.6	96	
El Salvador	23.9	87	20.6	84	26.7	27	24.4	121	
Botswana	23.9	88	14.8	98	22.7	51	34.1	73	
Cambodia	23.8	89	11.2	108	29.8	20	30.4	99	
Algeria	23.7	90	13.6	102	11.6	103	45.7	18	
Bosnia & Herzegovina	23.4	91	23.5	73	16.0	82	30.6	98	
Iran	22.9	92	20.4	85	8.0	112	40.3	37	
Ghana	22.9	93	11.5	107	25.5	37	31.6	88	
Armenia	22.9	94	15.3	96	13.8	96	39.4	39	
Zambia	22.6	95	18.3	90	21.2	60	28.4	103	
Jordan	22.6	96	29.4	56	11.1	105	27.4	113	
Kyrgyzstan	22.5	97	21.8	80	14.9	91	31.0	94	
Sudan	22.4	98	11.7	106	23.5	46	31.9	86	
Azerbaijan	22.0	99	22.8	76	12.6	99	30.6	97	
Egypt	21.7	100	25.9	65	10.8	106	28.3	105	
Ukraine	21.5	101	27.1	62	9.2	109	28.2	106	
Kenya	21.4	102	14.3	100	20.1	64	29.7	101	
Moldova, Rep.	21.3	103	24.0	71	6.0	113	34.0	74	
Mali	21.2	103	11.2	109	n/a	n/a	31.1	93	
Cameroon	21.0	105	10.5	111	24.3	44	28.3	104	
Nigeria	21.0	106	9.2	115	17.7	75	36.1	60	
Benin	20.8	107	9.7	112	16.8	80	36.1	61	
Uganda Isansiss	20.8	108	8.0	121	n/a	n/a	33.7	77	
Jamaica	20.6	109	23.8	72	9.9	107	28.1	108	
Niger	20.3	110	7.6	124	n/a	n/a	33.0	81	
Georgia	20.2	111	19.5	87	18.9	71	22.3	123	
Ethiopia	20.0	112	8.5	119	23.4	47	28.0	109	
Côte d'Ivoire	19.7	113	15.2	97	19.0	70	24.8	119	
Pakistan	19.5	114	14.7	99	15.9	83	27.7	111	
Brunei Darussalam	19.4	115	38.3	41	15.5	87	4.4	125	
Tajikistan	19.3	116	9.4	113	19.3	69	29.2	102	
Malawi	18.9	117	6.4	125	n/a	n/a	31.4	89	
Swaziland	18.5	118	11.8	105	n/a	n/a	25.2	118	
Trinidad and Tobago	18.1	119	28.5	58	9.1	110	16.8	124	
Tanzania	17.8	120	8.9	116	19.4	68	25.2	117	
Rwanda	17.6	121	8.3	120	n/a	n/a	26.9	115	
Burkina Faso	17.4	122	8.9	117	n/a	n/a	25.8	116	
Syrian Arab Republic	16.9	123	14.3	101	8.4	111	27.9	110	
Zimbabwe	16.4	124	7.7	123	17.4	78	23.9	122	
						, .			

Table 5d: Market sophistication pillar

	MARKET SOPHI	STICATION	(redit	Inve	stment	Trade and c	ompetition
Country/Economy	Score (0-100)	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank
, ,	87.0	1	78.0		91.4		91.7	2
Hong Kong (SAR), China Singapore	78.7	2	65.1	11 20	78.5	1 2	91.7	1
United Kingdom	74.4	3	96.4	1	74.4	5	52.6	57
United States of America	70.9	4	88.3	4	77.8	3	46.6	83
Switzerland	70.1	5	78.3	10	67.8	6	64.1	15
Ireland	65.3	6	81.7	7	39.2	32	75.1	8
Denmark	64.5	7	89.2	2	44.4	26	59.8	27
South Africa	63.9	8	64.8	21	77.3	4	49.6	68
Canada	63.4	9	68.7	16	67.0	7	54.4	45
Malaysia	62.1	10	58.0	26	52.0	13	76.3	6
Netherlands	61.8	11	71.7	14	43.4	28	70.3	11
Korea, Rep.	61.8	12	66.3	19	62.8	9	56.4	39
Belgium	60.6	13	68.3	17	40.0	31	73.5	10
Germany	59.3	14	85.0	5	34.5	44	58.5	30
Sweden	58.9	15	57.6	27	58.4	10	60.8	24
Australia	58.8	16	71.9	13	54.8	12	49.8	66
Israel	58.6	17	61.7	24	56.0	11	58.0	35
New Zealand	57.9	18	79.3	9	48.3	19	46.3	87
Japan	57.9	19	79.7	8	45.6	23	48.5	75
Iceland	57.9	20	88.3	3	26.8	68	58.4	32
Spain	57.5	21	71.7	14	51.8	14	49.2	71
Luxembourg	57.5	22	35.0	79	46.4	22	91.0	3
Austria	56.5	23	85.0	5	22.2	89	62.2	20
Bahrain	56.1	24	53.2	32	37.9	37	77.1	5
Finland	56.1	25	76.7	12	38.3	34	53.2	53
China	54.1	26	49.1	36	63.6	8	49.7	67
Norway	53.8	27	53.3	31	48.6	18	59.7	28
France	53.8	28	68.3	17	43.5	27	49.5	69
Estonia Saudi Arabia	53.4 52.7	29 30	59.7 48.3	25 41	30.8 47.9	56 20	69.9	13 22
Saudi Arabia United Arab Emirates	52.4	31	51.1				61.8	9
Cyprus	49.5	32	56.1	34 30	31.8 29.7	52 60	74.3 62.8	18
Cyprus Thailand	49.0	33	44.7	48	38.6	33	63.6	17
Czech Republic	47.7	34	46.6	46	26.1	72	70.3	12
Albania	47.5	35	44.6	49	48.7	17	49.2	70
Hungary	47.4	36	42.5	54	24.5	80	75.2	7
Chile	47.4	37	43.7	52	42.5	29	56.0	40
Latvia	47.3	38	62.9	23	25.0	75	54.1	48
Viet Nam	47.0	39	64.3	22	19.2	101	57.5	37
Kuwait	46.9	40	40.7	59	44.5	25	55.4	43
Mongolia	46.6	41	48.9	37	32.3	51	58.5	31
Portugal	46.3	42	56.7	28	31.3	54	51.1	62
Slovak Republic	45.9	43	38.4	66	14.0	116	85.4	4
Jordan .	44.7	44	29.5	94	47.4	21	57.1	38
India	44.6	45	38.2	67	49.7	15	46.1	88
Brunei Darussalam	44.1	46	24.6	103	49.7	16	58.1	34
Azerbaijan	44.1	47	35.5	75	44.7	24	52.2	59
Lithuania	43.9	48	50.7	35	15.7	112	65.4	14
Nicaragua	43.5	49	48.4	40	33.3	47	48.7	73
Bulgaria	43.0	50	52.1	33	19.0	102	57.9	36
Bosnia & Herzegovina	42.9	51	48.8	38	33.3	47	46.7	81
Peru	42.7	52	48.2	42	31.0	55	49.0	72
Italy	42.7	53	56.7	28	25.9	74	45.6	89
Panama	42.5	54	47.7	43	17.2	108	62.6	19
Trinidad and Tobago	42.4	55	33.1	86	33.2	49	60.8	25
Mauritius	42.1	56	44.1	51	28.9	61	53.2	52
Cambodia	41.6	57	39.1	64	35.3	42	50.4	64
Georgia	41.1	58	48.7	39	19.9	99	54.8	44
Poland	40.9	59	39.4	62	27.7	65	55.5	42
	40.3	60	34.7	80	22.2	90	64.0	16
Slovenia								
Slovenia Kyrgyzstan Macedonia	40.2 40.2	61 62	45.5 41.4	47 56	22.3 20.3	88 95	52.8 58.8	56 29

THE GLOBAL INNOVATION INDEX 2011

Table 5d: Market sophistication pillar (continued)

	MARKET SOPHIS	TICATION	Credit		Inves	stment	Trade and competition		
Country/Economy	Score (0-100)	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	
Ukraine	39.6	64	41.2	57	23.1	84	54.3	47	
Vamibia	39.4	65	39.9	61	16.1	111	62.0	21	
Qatar	39.2	66	25.1	99	36.5	39	55.9	41	
.ebanon	39.0	67	35.4	77	28.3	64	53.4	51	
Honduras	38.9	68	44.4	50	20.0	97	52.4	58	
Romania	38.9	69	39.1	63	26.0	73	51.6	61	
Moldova, Rep.	38.6	70	24.0	104	33.6	46	58.3	33	
Guatemala	38.4	71	40.6	60	26.7	70	48.0	76	
Turkey	38.0	72	29.5	95	32.5	50	52.0	60	
Mexico	37.2	73	33.4	85	27.4	66	50.8	63	
Colombia	36.8	74	36.1	74	35.4	41	38.8	109	
Kazakhstan	36.6	75	33.0	88	23.8	82	53.1	54	
Russian Federation	36.4	76	29.1	98	36.2	40	43.8	94	
Paraguay	36.2	77	38.4	65	16.7	109	53.6	50	
Botswana	35.7	78	37.4	70	21.2	94	48.5	74	
Croatia	35.7	79	36.3	72	16.4	110	54.4	46	
Brazil	35.7	80	31.6	90	37.0	38	38.4	112	
Brazii Rwanda	35.7	81	31.0	90	42.0	30	32.5	120	
kwanda Oman	35.3		25.0		19.3				
		82		100		100	61.1	23	
Egypt	35.0	83	33.0	87	29.7	59	42.3	99	
Morocco	34.4	84	38.1	68	22.5	86	42.6	98	
Tunisia	34.3	85	34.5	81	24.1	81	44.2	93	
El Salvador	34.2	86	41.0	58	14.7	113	46.9	80	
Serbia	34.2	87	43.4	53	18.4	103	40.8	103	
Swaziland	33.8	88	35.4	78	13.0	119	52.9	55	
Zambia	33.7	89	36.2	73	17.5	107	47.4	78	
Armenia	33.7	90	42.2	55	14.4	114	44.3	92	
Guyana	33.5	91	21.8	106	18.0	104	60.7	26	
Algeria	33.4	92	17.2	111	35.3	42	47.7	77	
Bolivia	33.4	93	47.2	45	13.2	118	39.8	106	
Costa Rica	32.7	94	35.4	76	9.1	124	53.7	49	
Tajikistan	32.3	95	15.8	115	38.0	35	43.1	95	
Greece	32.3	96	37.7	69	13.8	117	45.4	91	
Indonesia	32.2	97	23.0	105	27.0	67	46.7	82	
Philippines	32.0	98	21.0	108	24.9	76	50.1	65	
Ghana	31.8	99	29.3	96	26.7	69	39.3	108	
Nigeria	31.1	100	21.1	107	24.8	77	47.3	79	
Zimbabwe	30.5	101	15.7	117	34.0	45	41.8	101	
Bangladesh	30.5	102	33.5	84	22.6	85	35.3	114	
Uruguay	30.4	103	33.8	82	14.3	115	43.1	96	
Ecuador	30.4	104	32.0	89	12.6	120	46.5	84	
Jamaica	30.2	105	25.0	101	20.1	96	45.4	90	
Sri Lanka	29.7	106	30.4	92	17.7	106	41.1	102	
Malawi	28.7	107	17.1	112	30.2	57	38.7	111	
	28.3		29.2	97	17.7		38.1		
Argentina Senegal	28.3	108 109	18.5	110	20.0	105 97	46.4	113 85	
Senegai Cameroon			16.0	110			39.5		
	28.1	110			28.7	62		107	
Pakistan	28.1	111	29.9	93	22.5	87	31.8	121	
Madagascar	28.0	112	5.9	125	38.0	35	40.0	105	
Uganda Mari	28.0	113	33.7	83	11.5	123	38.7	110	
Mali	26.9	114	13.8	118	24.7	78	42.3	100	
Tanzania	26.9	115	24.8	102	23.2	83	32.8	118	
Ethiopia	26.8	116	20.4	109	28.7	62	31.2	123	
ran	26.1	117	36.9	71	11.5	122	29.8	125	
Yemen	26.0	118	10.7	121	26.7	70	40.6	104	
Syrian Arab Republic	25.1	119	10.4	123	31.3	53	33.5	116	
Burkina Faso	24.4	120	15.7	116	24.7	78	32.8	117	
Côte d'Ivoire	23.6	121	11.9	119	12.5	121	46.4	86	
Benin	23.3	122	16.8	113	22.0	91	31.3	122	
Niger	22.4	123	10.8	120	22.0	91	34.5	115	
Sudan	21.0	124	10.6	122	22.0	91	30.3	124	
Venezuela	15.5	125	6.8	124	7.1	125	32.5	119	

Table 5e: Business sophistication pillar

	BUSINESS SOPHI	STICATION	Knowled	lge workers	Innovatio	on linkages	Knowledge	absorption
Country/Economy	Score (0-100)	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank
Singapore	79.1	1	87.3	3	68.3		81.7	2
Luxembourg	74.0	2	92.8	ە 1	67.6	1 2	61.7	6
reland	73.8	3	75.9	15	55.6	11	90.0	1
Switzerland	68.0	4	88.3	2	61.5	6	54.3	11
Hong Kong (SAR), China	66.9	5	65.6	29	59.2	8	75.8	3
inland	63.9	6	84.0	5	57.7	9	49.9	14
Sweden	63.1	7	83.9	6	54.1	14	51.4	13
Netherlands	61.6	8	77.3	10	53.5	16	54.1	12
Malaysia	58.5	9	69.0	23	44.9	29	61.6	7
Canada	58.4	10	71.0	20	59.8	7	44.3	26
Denmark	58.1	11	82.6	7	53.3	17	38.2	46
Jnited Kingdom	57.8	12	74.1	17	53.1	18	46.1	23
srael	56.8	13	86.6	4	37.2	41	46.7	21
apan	55.9	14	82.3	8	41.2	35	44.3	27
Jnited States of America	54.8	15	76.1	12	50.5	22	37.8	47
Belgium	54.4	16	80.6	9	50.9	20	31.6	73
Australia	54.1	17	76.0	14	49.6	23	36.7	50
zech Republic	53.0	18	76.0	13	33.5	60	49.6	16
celand	52.8	19	75.8	16	55.6	10	27.0	94
Germany	51.6	20	66.9	25	43.3	30	44.5	25
Estonia	51.6	21	66.9	26	41.8	32	46.0	24
Austria	51.6	22	69.3	21	46.2	25	39.1	41
Norway 	50.8	23	71.4	19	45.5	28	35.6	55
France	50.3	24	72.9	18	38.3	39	39.8	40
Thailand	50.2	25 26	52.7	39 35	41.8 33.5	33	56.1 58.4	10 8
Korea, Rep. Qatar	49.8 49.5	27	57.7 44.9	54	54.2	61 13	76.4 n/a	n/a
Jnited Arab Emirates	49.5	28	69.3	22	63.4	5	15.6	11/4
China	49.3	29	64.8	30	35.8	46	47.3	19
Hungary	48.9	30	46.2	49	31.8	66	68.7	5
New Zealand	48.7	31	66.0	27	43.2	31	36.8	49
Swaziland	48.0	32	57.7	34	16.9	117	69.3	4
Costa Rica	47.9	33	49.2	42	53.8	15	40.7	37
Slovenia	47.8	34	67.2	24	35.3	50	41.0	36
Niger	45.4	35	34.2	80	66.7	3	35.2	58
taly	45.2	36	65.6	28	34.4	55	35.4	57
Russian Federation	44.9	37	64.0	31	27.6	83	43.0	31
Oman	44.7	38	32.6	82	63.8	4	37.6	48
ebanon	44.5	39	60.1	32	26.8	87	46.6	22
Spain	43.9	40	59.7	33	33.6	59	38.5	45
yprus	43.9	41	41.3	60	51.2	19	39.0	42
Bosnia & Herzegovina	43.7	42	77.1	11	31.8	67	22.2	112
South Africa	42.3	43	48.0	46	36.4	44	42.4	32
Chile	41.9	44	55.0	37	35.3	49	35.5	56
Jkraine	41.5	45	45.5	51	31.8	69	47.3	20
Brazil	41.5	46	48.3	45	34.4	56	41.8	34
Poland	41.4	47	56.3	36	25.7	92	42.3	33
Saudi Arabia	41.3	48	42.2	57	46.8	24	35.0	60
Namibia 	40.8	49	39.8	62	50.6	21	32.0	71
Sudan	40.7	50	39.7	63	n/a	n/a	41.7	35
Kenya	39.5	51	44.9	55	45.8	27	27.8	88
amaica	38.9	52	48.7	44	31.8	68	36.2	53
Argentina	38.3	53	41.9	58	29.7	75	43.1	30
roatia	38.2	54	45.4	53	25.2	93	43.9	28
Peru Tavak Danublia	37.9	55	46.6	48	35.4	48	31.7	72
Slovak Republic	37.8	56	49.1	43	32.4	64	32.0	70
Portugal Viot Nam	37.6	57	45.4 26.0	52 98	34.1	57	33.3	63
Viet Nam Latvia	37.5 37.3	58 59	26.0 52.2		37.7 32.7	40	48.8 27.1	18
Latvia Kazakhstan	37.3 37.0	60	38.1	40 69	23.9	62 98	49.0	93 17
Razaknstan Philippines	37.0 36.7	61	38.1 46.7	69 47	30.9	98 70	49.0 32.4	68
Prillippines Ecuador	36.3	62	40.7	56	41.7	34	24.2	108
Ghana	35.7	63	35.3	77	22.3	104	49.7	15

THE GLOBAL INNOVATION INDEX 2011

Table 5e: Business sophistication pillar (continued)

	BUSINESS SOPHI	STICATION	Knowled	ge workers	Innovatio	on linkages	Knowledge absorption		
Country/Economy	Score (0-100)	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	
Cambodia	35.6	64	24.8	103	54.8	12	27.3	92	
Bulgaria	35.4	65	41.5	59	24.1	97	40.6	38	
Colombia	35.4	66	37.4	73	30.2	73	38.5	44	
Suyana	35.3	67	23.5	106	25.7	91	56.7	9	
Trinidad and Tobago	35.3	68	37.8	70	36.4	43	31.6	74	
Venezuela	34.0	69	45.6	50	27.6	84	29.0	81	
Panama	33.7	70	26.8	95	46.2	26	28.1	84	
Romania	33.5	71	35.6	76	21.7	107	43.2	29	
Malawi	33.5	72	54.6	38	24.3	96	21.6	114	
Serbia	33.3	73	38.2	68	22.9	102	39.0	43	
Lithuania	33.3	73	51.6	41	28.1	82	20.2	116	
	32.7	75	34.0	81	36.1	45	28.0		
Kyrgyzstan								86	
Greece	32.3	76	39.0	66	30.4	72	27.7	89	
Jordan	32.3	77	24.0	105	38.4	38	34.4	61	
Bahrain	32.2	78	37.8	71	34.6	53	24.2	107	
Mongolia	32.2	79	36.9	74	24.5	95	35.2	59	
Azerbaijan	31.7	80	22.7	108	32.2	65	40.1	39	
Rwanda	31.2	81	28.5	91	34.8	51	30.4	77	
Ethiopia	31.1	82	31.2	84	38.5	37	23.7	109	
Mauritius	31.0	83	26.8	94	33.9	58	32.4	69	
India	30.8	84	24.4	104	34.8	52	33.2	65	
Bolivia	30.7	85	36.7	75	28.2	81	27.4	91	
Egypt	30.7	86	39.3	64	25.8	90	26.9	95	
Armenia	30.3	87	38.4	67	19.9	111	32.7	67	
Uruguay	30.1	88	29.7	89	32.6	63	28.0	85	
Mexico	29.9	89	37.4	72	26.1	88	26.1	96	
Turkey	29.4	90	41.1	61	21.8	106	25.1	103	
Sri Lanka	29.3	91	30.9	86	34.6	54	22.4	111	
Botswana	29.2	92	32.2	83	22.0	105	33.3	64	
Paraguay	28.6	93	30.6	87	27.2	86	28.0	87	
Indonesia		94	7.0	124	40.8	36	36.7	51	
	28.2								
Macedonia	27.9	95	25.7	100	22.3	103	35.7	54	
Brunei Darussalam	27.4	96	27.8	93	29.2	78	25.4	102	
Moldova, Rep.	27.3	97	39.0	65	14.6	121	28.1	83	
Tunisia	26.9	98	16.7	119	36.6	42	27.4	90	
Nicaragua	26.7	99	27.9	92	19.4	113	32.8	66	
Guatemala	26.6	100	19.9	113	35.6	47	24.5	105	
Nigeria	26.6	101	26.2	97	23.3	100	30.3	78	
Honduras	26.5	102	28.6	90	21.7	108	29.3	80	
Georgia	26.4	103	26.6	96	23.7	99	28.9	82	
Cameroon	26.4	104	26.0	99	16.5	118	36.6	52	
Yemen	25.9	105	30.0	88	n/a	n/a	21.8	113	
Benin	25.7	106	34.6	78	18.2	115	24.4	106	
Algeria	25.1	107	25.1	102	18.8	114	31.5	75	
El Salvador	24.9	108	31.1	85	20.9	110	22.8	110	
Pakistan	24.7	109	18.8	115	29.7	76	25.5	100	
Morocco	24.1	110	20.1	111	21.7	109	30.4	76	
Uganda	23.9	111	16.8	118	29.9	74	24.9	104	
Zambia	23.8	112	16.9	117	28.9	79	25.4	104	
Burkina Faso	23.5	113	25.1	101	28.8	80	16.6	122	
ran /wwait	23.5	114	21.3	110	29.2	77	19.8	117	
Kuwait	23.1	115	23.2	107	27.5	85	18.5	120	
Madagascar	22.4	116	13.9	122	19.7	112	33.7	62	
Mali	22.4	117	22.2	109	26.1	89	18.8	119	
Syrian Arab Republic	21.7	118	34.5	79	14.8	120	15.8	123	
Zimbabwe	21.6	119	n/a	n/a	17.7	116	25.6	98	
Tanzania	21.4	120	20.0	112	24.9	94	19.4	118	
Bangladesh	19.9	121	12.3	123	30.6	71	16.9	121	
Tajikistan	19.9	122	14.4	121	15.6	119	29.6	79	
Albania	19.6	123	19.0	114	14.5	122	25.5	99	
Senegal	19.4	124	14.5	120	23.1	101	20.6	115	
Côte d'Ivoire	19.3	125	18.0	116	14.0	123	25.9	97	

Table 5f: Scientific outputs pillar

	SCIENTIFIC O	UTPUTS	Knowled	lge creation	Knowled	lge impact	Knowledg	e diffusion
Country/Economy	Score (0-100)	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank
Sweden		1			39.3		71.9	4
Switzerland	62.1 62.0	2	75.1 73.4	3	50.8	29 12	61.9	10
Finland	58.5	3	70.9	5	35.6	40	69.1	5
srael	57.5	4	77.4	2	33.1	58	62.0	8
United States of America	57.4	5	60.4	9	52.5	11	59.4	12
Netherlands	53.8	6	55.4	11	39.7	28	66.4	6
Korea, Rep.	53.7	7	80.8	1	26.5	78	53.6	17
Iceland	53.2	8	49.8	13	72.5	2	37.2	38
China	52.7	9	54.2	12	55.1	9	48.6	21
United Kingdom	52.3	10	45.0	14	55.3	8	56.6	15
Ireland	51.2	11	33.7	25	37.7	33	82.2	1
Qatar	50.6	12	1.2	114	100.0	1	n/a	n/a
Japan	49.8	13	69.4	6	21.5	95	58.5	14
Germany	49.8	14	69.2	7	26.6	77	53.6	18
Singapore	48.9	15	32.0	31	36.8	35	78.0	2
New Zealand	47.6	16	64.6	8	54.7	10	23.5	77
Denmark	46.3	17	58.3	10	35.7	38	44.8	25
Hungary	45.5	18	22.4	37	50.3	13	63.9	7
Cyprus	43.7	19	14.9	51	69.7	3	46.3	24
Luxembourg	43.2	20	33.7	24	34.1	52	61.9	9
Canada	42.5	21	38.2	19	46.2	14	43.0	28
France	41.1	22	36.8	21	32.1	63	54.5	16
Kuwait	38.1	23	5.1	76	34.3	50	75.0	3
Hong Kong (SAR), China	38.1	24	5.1	77	57.5	4	51.7	20
Estonia	38.1	25	33.5	26	40.9	22	39.9	32
Norway Belgium	37.4 37.0	26 27	38.1 33.4	20 28	35.1 37.2	45 34	39.1 40.5	35 30
Moldova, Rep.	36.8		43.4	15	40.0	25	27.0	62
Czech Republic	36.2	28 29	25.5	33	46.1	16	37.0	39
Austria	35.4	30	40.8	16	26.6	76	39.0	36
Guyana	34.3	31	7.9	65	n/a	n/a	60.7	11
Slovenia	33.9	32	38.9	17	34.9	47	27.9	60
Australia	33.1	33	34.3	23	39.8	27	25.3	70
Russian Federation	32.9	34	33.4	27	34.5	49	30.7	49
Lebanon	31.0	35	15.1	49	n/a	n/a	46.9	22
Romania	30.7	36	11.7	56	40.1	24	40.4	31
Georgia	30.6	37	32.9	29	36.5	36	22.3	84
Malaysia	30.4	38	8.8	63	30.4	65	52.1	19
Paraguay	30.0	39	1.0	118	n/a	n/a	59.0	13
Ukraine	29.9	40	34.9	22	24.5	84	30.2	52
Armenia	29.7	41	24.7	34	38.6	30	25.8	67
Spain	29.4	42	24.0	35	34.6	48	29.6	55
Costa Rica	28.4	43	3.2	95	40.0	26	42.1	29
Bangladesh	28.2	44	2.3	105	35.8	37	46.6	23
Iran	28.2	45	32.1	30	26.9	74	25.5	68
Italy	27.8	46	27.7	32	23.8	87	31.9	47
Tajikistan	27.7	47	13.8	53	34.2	51	35.1	43
Mongolia	27.4	48	38.7	18	n/a	n/a	16.0	119
Mauritius	27.2	49	2.0	108	57.1	5	22.7	82
Bulgaria	27.2	50	14.9	52	44.4	17	22.4	83
Slovak Republic	26.5	51	13.6	54	38.2	31	27.9	61
Macedonia	26.3	52	7.4	66	42.6	20	29.1	57
Kyrgyzstan	26.1	53	21.7	39	32.6	60	23.8	76
Bahrain	25.6	54	2.9	98	55.3	7	18.5	109
Tanzania Mint North	25.5	55	3.5	88	55.8	6	17.3	114
Viet Nam	25.3	56	2.9	97	40.2	23	32.9	46
Croatia	25.2	57	20.9	40	30.3	66	24.4	74
Brazil	25.2	58	11.4	58	30.5	64	33.6	45
Portugal	24.8	59	16.0	47	32.7	59	25.9	66
India	24.8	60 61	10.4	62 80	24.2 29.6	85 68	39.9	33
Ghana Azerbaijan	24.6 24.4	62	4.9 6.2	80 71	29.6 41.9	21	39.3 25.1	34 72
Sudan	24.2	63	0.4	124	46.2	15	26.1	64

THE GLOBAL INNOVATION INDEX 2011

Table 5f: Scientific outputs pillar (continued)

	SCIENTIFIC OU	TPUTS	Knowled	ge creation	Knowled	lge impact	Knowledge diffusion		
Country/Economy	Score (0-100)	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	
Thailand	23.9	64	8.6	64	27.0	73	36.2	40	
Serbia	23.7	65	20.1	41	15.1	109	35.9	41	
Poland	23.7	66	18.6	43	23.3	91	29.1	56	
Argentina	23.5	67	18.3	44	21.6	93	30.7	50	
.atvia	23.3	68	22.3	38	24.1	86	23.4	79	
Côte d'Ivoire	22.9	69	1.7	113	35.5	41	31.6	48	
Trinidad and Tobago	22.7	70	1.9	110	44.2	18	22.0	87	
Cameroon	22.5	71	5.8	74	28.1	71	33.6	44	
Mali	22.5	72	1.9	109	43.8	19	21.6	89	
Venezuela	22.4	73	2.4	102	29.1	69	35.8	42	
Oman	22.3	74	3.3	93	35.1	46	28.7	58	
Swaziland	22.3	75	1.0	119	n/a	n/a	43.6	27	
Philippines	22.3	76	3.3	92	19.6	102	43.8	26	
Jordan	22.1	77	22.4	36	21.1	98	22.9	81	
Lithuania	21.8	78	11.3	60	33.5	54	20.7	95	
South Africa	21.5	79	13.2	55	32.5	62	18.9	105	
Uruguay Kazakhetan	21.5	80	10.7	61	35.2	44	18.7	107	
Kazakhstan	21.4	81	15.5	48	27.7	72	20.9	93	
Sri Lanka	20.9	82	6.1	72	26.8	75	29.8	54	
Greece	20.6	83	17.6	46	24.5	83	19.6	100	
Syrian Arab Republic	20.5	84	4.2	83	37.9	32	19.5	102	
Chile	20.4	85	11.6	57	25.6	81	23.9	75	
Tunisia	19.5	86	11.3	59	23.7	89	23.5	78	
Morocco	19.5	87	5.8	73	25.6	82	27.0	63	
Brunei Darussalam	19.3	88	1.0	117	n/a	n/a	37.6	37	
Ethiopia	19.1	89	4.8	81	33.8	53	18.7	106	
Turkey	18.9	90	17.9	45	17.7	106	21.0	92	
Ecuador	18.5	91	1.8	112	33.3	57	20.4	96	
Uganda	18.5	92	5.1	79	33.3	56	17.1	116	
Saudi Arabia	18.3	93	2.1	106	35.2	43	17.5	113	
Indonesia	18.3	94	1.0	116	23.2	92	30.5	51	
Yemen	18.2	95	1.0	120	33.4	55	20.2	97	
Albania	18.0	96	0.9	121	35.3	42	17.8	112	
Niger	17.6	97	3.4	90	30.0	67	19.4	103	
Pakistan	17.5	98	3.7	85	23.4	90	25.5	69	
Zambia	17.4	99	3.3	91	29.0	70	19.7	99	
Egypt	17.2	100	7.1	67	23.7	88	20.8	94	
Botswana	16.9	101	3.6	86	n/a	n/a	30.1	53	
Mexico	16.7	102	3.9	84	18.0	105	28.2	59	
Bosnia & Herzegovina	16.7	103	6.7	69	18.4	104	24.9	73	
Senegal	16.0	103	4.6	82	17.3	107	26.0	65	
Kenya	15.8	104	5.3	75	20.1	100	22.1	86	
Madagascar	15.7	105	2.5	100	21.5	94	23.1	80	
madagascar El Salvador	15.6	106	2.5 n/a	n/a	9.2	112	21.9	88	
Benin	15.1	108	5.1	78 101	n/a	n/a	25.2	71	
Cambodia	15.1	109	2.5	101	26.5	79	16.2	118	
Peru	14.5	110	1.1	115	26.0	80	16.3	117	
Burkina Faso	14.3	111	2.5	99	21.4	96	19.1	104	
Guatemala	14.2	112	0.7	123	19.9	101	22.1	85	
Namibia	14.1	113	14.9	50	n/a	n/a	13.4	120	
Colombia	14.1	114	2.3	104	18.6	103	21.4	90	
Bolivia	14.1	115	3.5	87	20.8	99	18.1	111	
Nigeria	13.4	116	2.0	107	21.1	97	17.1	115	
Malawi	13.2	117	6.7	68	32.5	61	0.3	123	
lamaica	12.8	118	6.3	70	13.6	110	18.4	110	
United Arab Emirates	12.6	119	1.8	111	35.7	39	0.3	122	
Nicaragua	11.4	120	3.3	94	n/a	n/a	19.6	101	
Honduras	11.2	121	0.8	122	11.5	111	21.4	91	
Rwanda	8.7	122	3.4	89	4.0	113	18.6	108	
Panama	8.4	123	3.1	96	2.4	114	19.8	98	
Zimbabwe	6.6	124	19.0	42	0.0	115	0.9	121	
Algeria	6.1	125	2.3	103	15.9	108	0.0	124	

Table 5g: Creative outputs pillar

	CDEATINE OUT	DUTC					
Country/Economy	CREATIVE OUT	Rank	Score	ntangibles Rank	Score Score	ds and services Rank	
· · · · ·	Score (0–100)						
Hong Kong (SAR), China	57.6	1	54.8	27	60.4	1	
Sweden	56.7	2	59.3	14	54.1	4	
Switzerland	54.4	3	63.5	9	45.3	11	
Canada	54.0	4	54.2	29	53.9	5	
Denmark Germany	52.4 51.7	5 6	50.7 56.1	43 23	54.1 47.2	3 8	
Norway	50.6	7	50.1	40	50.4	6	
Netherlands	50.6	8	46.7	55	54.5	2	
Austria	49.0	9	58.0	16	40.0	15	
Jordan	48.9	10	70.1	4	27.7	47	
Estonia	48.9	11	55.2	25	42.6	13	
Brazil	46.9	12	56.2	22	37.5	20	
Czech Republic	46.8	13	47.1	54	46.5	10	
United Arab Emirates	46.6	14	71.5	3	21.7	58	
New Zealand	45.6	15	60.1	13	31.1	35	
Hungary	44.9	16	43.2	71	46.5	9	
France	44.7	17	58.0	17	31.4	34	
United Kingdom	44.3	18	49.2	48	39.4	16	
Portugal	44.1	19	54.5	28	33.7	25	
Slovenia	43.8	20	51.4	36	36.2	23	
Nigeria	43.8	21	57.2	19	30.3	37	
Argentina	43.4	22	57.8	18	29.0	42	
Serbia	43.4	23	36.5	96	50.2	7	
United States of America	43.2	24	48.0	51	38.3	18	
Iceland	42.3	25	56.9	21	27.7	48	
Belgium	42.3	26	40.6	83	44.0	12	
Korea, Rep.	42.2	27	58.7	15	25.6	51	
Finland	42.1	28	50.7	44	33.4	26	
Turkey	41.6	29	50.7	42	32.5	29	
Singapore	41.4	30	50.4	45	32.4	30	
Viet Nam	41.3	31	46.0	59	36.7	21	
Moldova, Rep.	41.1	32	55.4	24	26.7	49	
Latvia	41.0	33	49.1	49	32.9	27	
Spain	41.0	34	44.3	67	37.7	19	
China	40.9	35	53.7	30	28.1	45	
Australia	40.6	36	51.1	37	30.2	38	
Israel	40.4	37	51.8	34	28.9	43	
India	40.3	38	51.0	38	29.6	39	
Thailand	39.9	39	50.7	41	29.1	41	
Malaysia	39.9	40	55.2	26	24.7	54	
Tunisia	39.6	41	71.7	2	7.6	93	
Luxembourg	39.5	42	42.8	72	36.2	22	
Italy	39.2	43	39.6	86	38.8	17	
Chile	38.8	44	65.9	8	11.8	80	
Costa Rica	38.8	45	69.5	5	8.0	92	
Bulgaria	38.1	46	51.8	35	24.3	55	
Colombia	37.7	47	49.8	47	25.6	52	
Cyprus	37.4	48	42.7	73	32.1	31	
Lithuania	37.2	49	48.0	52	26.4	50	
Qatar	36.9	50	73.6	1	0.3	123	
Croatia	36.7	51	40.9	79	32.6	28	
Guatemala	36.7	52	63.3	10	10.2	87	
Pakistan	36.3	53	41.0	78	31.7	33	
Poland	35.8	54	36.3	97	35.3	24	
Uruguay	35.8	55	66.6	7	5.0	105	
Lebanon	35.7	56	42.1	76	29.2	40	
Saudi Arabia	35.6	57	68.8	6	2.4	115	
Ireland	34.2	58	40.1	84	28.2	44	
Sri Lanka	34.1	59	47.7	53	20.5	60	
Zimbabwe	33.9	60	25.7	117	42.1	14	
Honduras	33.8	61	57.1	20	10.5	84	
Panama	33.2	62	61.4	12	5.1	104	
Slovak Republic	33.1	63	38.2	91	28.0	46	

Table 5g: Creative outputs pillar (continued)

	CREATIVE OUT	PUTS	Creative i	intangibles	Creative goo		
Country/Economy	Score (0-100)	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	
Romania	33.0	64	35.4	102	30.6	36	
Japan	32.8	65	42.3	74	23.3	57	
Senegal	32.8	66	61.6	11	4.0	109	
El Salvador	31.8	67	52.0	33	11.6	81	
Ecuador	31.4	68	44.3	66	18.4	63	
Greece	31.2	69	30.5	111	31.9	32	
Ukraine	31.0	70	40.6	82	21.4	59	
Mexico	30.1	71	44.2	68	16.0	66	
Egypt	29.5	72	43.9	69	15.1	69	
	29.4	73	46.3	56	12.6	79	
Nicaragua							
Mauritius	29.1	74	39.1	89	19.0	62	
Russian Federation	28.9	75	33.0	107	24.9	53	
Peru	28.8	76	53.2	31	4.4	106	
Venezuela	28.3	77	40.7	80	15.8	68	
Armenia	28.1	78	39.5	87	16.7	65	
Guyana	27.6	79	45.5	60	9.6	88	
Jganda	27.3	80	50.2	46	4.3	108	
Albania	27.3	81	34.6	105	19.9	61	
Oman	27.2	82	52.2	32	2.2	117	
Bolivia	26.9	83	40.7	81	13.1	77	
Macedonia	26.8	84	39.7	85	13.9	72	
South Africa	26.6	85	45.1	62	8.2	91	
Côte d'Ivoire	26.6	86	50.9	39	2.3	116	
Brunei Darussalam	26.0	87	36.0	98	16.0	67	
Paraguay	25.8	88	44.4	65	7.2	94	
ndonesia	25.7	89	48.6	50	2.8	111	
Philippines	25.7	90	41.1	77	10.2	86	
Ghana	25.6	91	42.2	75	9.0	89	
Rwanda	25.3	92	44.7	63	5.9	98	
Cameroon	25.1	93	44.7	64	5.5	100	
Malawi	25.0	94	39.5	88	10.6	82	
lamaica	24.9	95	43.3	70	6.6	96	
Bangladesh	24.7	96	35.8	99	13.6	73	
Botswana	24.4	97	35.7	100	13.2	76	
Cambodia	24.3	98	34.9	104	13.6	74	
Trinidad and Tobago	24.3	99	38.1	93	10.4	85	
Iran	23.6	100	32.6	109	14.7	71	
Benin	23.6	101	46.3	57	0.9	121	
Madagascar	23.6	102	29.9	113	17.2	64	
Kuwait	23.5	103	46.2	58	0.9	120	
Kyrgyzstan	23.3	104	22.4	121	24.1	56	
Mali	23.2	105	45.1	61	1.4	118	
Namibia	22.8	106	38.4	90	7.2	95	
Bosnia & Herzegovina	22.5	107	30.3	112	14.7	70	
	22.3	108	38.1	92	6.5	97	
Kenya Morocco	22.3	108	35.7	101	8.6	90	
Mongolia	21.6	110	38.0	94	5.2	101	
Tanzania	21.1	111	29.3	115	12.8	78	
Bahrain	20.2	112	37.8	95	2.6	113	
Kazakhstan	20.2	113	29.8	114	10.5	83	
Georgia	19.8	114	34.0	106	5.7	99	
Burkina Faso	19.7	115	35.2	103	4.3	107	
Syrian Arab Republic	18.7	116	24.2	119	13.2	75	
Azerbaijan	17.9	117	33.0	108	2.7	112	
Zambia	16.1	118	31.2	110	0.9	119	
Tajikistan	15.0	119	27.0	116	3.0	110	
iwaziland	13.9	120	22.7	120	5.2	102	
Ethiopia	13.8	121	25.2	118	2.4	114	
Yemen	10.7	122	20.8	122	0.6	122	
		123	18.0	123	0.0	125	
Algeria							
Algeria Niger	9.0 5.2	123	n/a	n/a	5.2	103	

Top 10

The top 10 countries in the Innovation Output Sub-Index are Sweden, Switzerland, the Netherlands, Germany, the US, Finland, Denmark, Israel, the UK, and Canada. The Output Sub-Index, like the overall GII, is dominated by Europe (seven countries), and includes two North American countries and Israel, which has a remarkable showing (8th on Output, 14th on the GII, and 1st at the regional level). The best-ranked economies within each region are Sweden (1st), the US (5th), Israel (8th), the Republic of Korea (11th), Brazil (32nd), India (44th), and Nigeria (62nd).

The **Netherlands** comes in 3rd on the Output Sub-Index, a performance driven by marks within the top 10 in international Patent Cooperation Treaty applications by residents, scientific and technical journal articles, total computer software spending, royalty and license fees' receipts, daily newspapers' circulation, and creative services exports. This excellent result allows it to be ranked 9th in the overall GII, despite its 16th place on the Input side.

Germany's position is interesting because it has an excellent performance on the Output Sub-Index (4th), but this does not compensate for its relative weaknesses on the Input side (21st). For the second consecutive year, Germany is not among the top 10 on the GII (12th). While its biggest strengths are in resident patent applications both at the national patent office and at the Patent Cooperation Treaty (ranked 4th and 9th, respectively), its score is due to a good balance, as it is wellpositioned in practically all indicators included in pillars 6 (14th) and 7 (6th).

The United States of America

(US) comes in at position 7 in the GII 2011, moving up from 11th position last year. The US's high position is very commendable when one considers that it is the only large country (in both size and population) in the top 10. The US has a high ranking among all Input pillars (11th) and an even higher ranking among all Output pillars (5th), benefiting from a high level of Innovation Efficiency (9th among high-income countries). The strongest pillars for the US include those of Market sophistication (4th) and Scientific outputs (5th). However, its performance across most of the other pillars is uniformly high (Institutions at position 15, Human capital and research at 13, Infrastructure at 14, Business sophistication at 15, and Creative outputs at 24). The US does have several weaknesses—such as a low number of graduates in engineering (ranking 73rd), a poor share of renewables in energy use (78th), and a deficit of 4.1 ha per capita on ecological footprint and biocapacity (108th). But it also has several key strengths, such as a very low rigidity of employment (1st, or least rigid worldwide), high tertiary enrolment (5th at 82.9%), a share of 2.8% of GDP spent on R&D (7th), the good quality of its scientific research institutions (4th), welldeveloped government online services (2nd), and positive credit and investment environments (ranked 4th and 3rd, respectively). Although measured through qualitative surveys, the US also is very robust in new innovation metrics such as ICT and new business model creation (ranking 9th) and ICT and organizational models creation (2nd).

Top performers by income group

By income group, the top-ranked countries in the Innovation Output Sub-Index are Sweden (1st), Brazil (32nd), China (14th), and Bangladesh (69th). In position 14, China again is the only non-high-income country in the top 30. Moldova, Brazil, Jordan, Malaysia, Costa Rica, Serbia, and Argentina all achieve rankings among the top 40. Highincome countries with weak performances on the Output dimension are Saudi Arabia (66th), Greece (72nd), Oman (78th), Trinidad and Tobago (87th), Bahrain (92nd), and Brunei Darussalam (94th), all of them in the lower half of the rankings.

Brazil achieves a remarkable 32nd position on the Output Sub-Index, topping the Output rankings among middle-income countries. With a poor 68th position on the Input side bringing it down, it still achieves 47th place on the overall GII. Brazil's strengths on the Output side come from a good overall balance. The country attains positions within the top 30 on utility model and trademark applications by residents at the national office (24th and 23rd, respectively), growth rate of labour productivity (26th at 3.9%), computer and communications service exports (15th at 57% of total commercial service exports), and creative service exports (2nd at 20.9%), as well as on the use of ICT on business and organizational models (two survey questions, ranked 23rd and 25th). These results are commendable in the face of Brazil's weaknesses on the Input side (68th), where several indicators are nevertheless within the top 30: gross expenditure on R&D (30th at 1.1% of GDP); share of renewables in energy use (24th with 44.5%); ecological footprint

THE GLOBAL INNOVATION INDEX 2011

and biocapacity (7th with a reserve of 6.1 ha per capita), depth of credit information (25th), market capitalization (23rd), total value of stock traded (27th), firms offering formal training (13th, with 52.9%), and the state of cluster development (30th, a survey question). Brazil is also taking important steps towards technological catch-up and knowledge absorption, particularly in the areas of high-tech imports (19th at 15.7% of total imports) and computer and communications service imports (16th, at 49.4% of total commercial service imports).

Bangladesh, a low-income country with a GDP per capita of 1,416 in purchasing power parity (PPP) dollars, tops the Output rankings among low-income countries, reaching position 69. The country's stronger points on the Output side are its growth rate of labour productivity (29th at 3.8%) and the 71.8% share of computer and communications service exports of total commercial service exports (2nd globally). Bangladesh is yet another case of a country achieving more with less. In effect, its positions on the Input pillars are rather weak, particularly on Business sophistication (121st), Human capital and research (114th), Institutions (105th), and Market sophistication (102nd). Its relatively better ranking on Infrastructure (76th) is leveraged by its positions within the top 40 on efficiency in energy use (where it ranks 4th, at 11.2 PPP\$/kg oil eq.), its share of renewables in energy use (34th), its gross capital formation (38th at 24.4% of GDP), and its ecological footprint and biocapacity (39th, although with a deficit of 0.2 ha per capita).

The Innovation Input Sub-Index

The Innovation Input Sub-Index variables provide information on indicators that measure elements that must be in place to foster innovation in an economy.

Top 10

The top 10 economies on the Innovation Input Sub-Index are Singapore, Hong Kong (SAR, China), Switzerland, Ireland, Sweden, Finland, Denmark, Canada, Luxembourg, and the UK. Regional leaders are Singapore (1st), Switzerland (3rd), Canada (8th), Israel (20th), Chile (36th), South Africa (40th), and India (87th).

Hong Kong (SAR, China) is ranked 4th on the GII and is the runner-up after another Asian economy, Singapore (discussed above), on the Input Sub-Index. Hong Kong (SAR, China) has an interesting profile, as it has positions within the top 5 in all pillars except the two that are traditionally linked to innovation: Human capital and research (30th) and Scientific outputs (24th). These two relatively low rankings mean that it reaches only 27th place on Efficiency among high-income countries (66th overall).

The result for **Ireland** is driven by its excellent marks in Institutions and Market and Business sophistication (ranked 6th, 6th, and 3rd, respectively). Although Ireland presents a good environment and potential for innovation, it lags behind on the Output Sub-Index where it ranks 22nd, attaining positions 13 on the GII, and 83 on the Efficiency Index (32nd among high-income countries).

Finland comes next, placing 6th on Input, 6th on Output, 5th on the GII, and 35th on Efficiency

(12th on the latter among high-income countries). Finland comes in 1st on three indicators: freedom of the press, rule of law; and exports of computer and communications services. Finland is also ranked among the top 10 on five pillars: Institutions, Human capital and research, Infrastructure, Business sophistication, and Scientific outputs; the country's relative weaknesses are on the Market sophistication and Creative outputs pillars.

Top performers by income group

By income group, in descending order of income, the best performers present no surprises. With the exception of Singapore (1st), they are the same countries leading in the overall GII rankings by income group: Malaysia (27th), China (43rd), and Ghana (65th). Among non-high-income countries, Malaysia is the only country in the top 30, followed by Chile, Lithuania, and South Africa in the top 40. Brunei Darussalam, ranked 70th, is the only high-income country in the lower half of the rankings.

Although scores on the Input and Output Sub-Indices might differ by substantial amounts leading to important shifts in rankings from one Sub-Index to the other for particular countries, there is a positive relationship between the two, as shown by Figure 2. The data seem to confirm that efforts made on enabling environments are rewarded with increased innovation outputs.


The Innovation Efficiency Index

While the GII is calculated as the average of the Input and Output

Table 6: Innovation Efficiency Index rankings: Top 10

Rank	Country/Economy	Efficiency Rank	Input Rank	Output Rank	Income	Income Rank	Region	Regional Rank	Population (US\$ millions)	GDP per capita (current PPP\$)	
1	Côte d'Ivoire	1.06	125	79	LM	1	SSF	1	21.6	1,701.2	1
2	Nigeria	1.03	119	62	LM	2	SSF	2	158.3	2,203.3	
3	China	1.02	43	14	LM	3	EAS	1	1,354.1	6,828.0	
4	Pakistan	1.01	123	67	LM	4	SAS	1	184.8	2,608.6	
5	Moldova, Rep.	1.01	77	29	LM	5	ECS	1	3.6	2,854.3	
6	Sweden	0.92	5	1	HI	1	ECS	2	9.3	37,904.6	
7	Brazil	0.91	68	32	UM	1	LCN	1	195.4	10,412.1	
8	Argentina	0.90	82	40	UM	2	LCN	2	40.7	14,538.3	
9	India	0.89	87	44	LM	6	SAS	2	1,214.5	3,270.1	
10	Bangladesh	0.89	114	69	LI	1	SAS	3	164.4	1,416.3	T.

Figure 2: Innovation Output Sub-Index vs. Innovation Input Sub-Index



 $Note: Countries/economies\ are\ classified\ according\ to\ the\ World\ Bank\ Income\ Group\ Classification\ (January\ 2011).$

Sub-Indices, the Innovation Efficiency Index is calculated as the ratio of the Output over the Input Sub-Indices. Although this index is designed to be neutral to the countries' stages of development, as shown by the discussion of the top performers below, valuable insights can be obtained from an analysis

among countries with similar levels of development. Table 6 provides Innovation Efficiency Index rankings for the top 10; Tables 7a through 7d give the scores per income group, together with the Input, Output, and Efficiency rankings. To facilitate comparisons, these tables also include the difference between the

Input and Output rankings, as well as the population and GDP per capita of each country/economy.

Top 10

The top 10 countries in the Innovation Efficiency Index are

Table 7a: Innovation Efficiency Index rankings (high-income countries/economies)

Rank	Country/Economy	Efficiency Score	Efficiency Rank	Input Rank	Output Rank	Difference	Population (US\$ millions)	GDP per capita (current PPP\$)	
1	Sweden	0.92	6	5	1	4	9.3	37,904.6	
2	Hungary	0.89	11	33	16	17	10.0	19,764.3	
3	Switzerland	0.88	12	3	2	1	7.6	45,116.9	
4	Netherlands	0.86	13	16	3	13	16.7	40,714.7	
5	Germany	0.86	15	21	4	17	82.1	36,267.4	
6	Qatar	0.85	18	31	19	12	1.5	91,378.7	
7	Israel	0.83	22	20	8	12	7.3	27,759.2	
8	Korea, Rep.	0.81	25	17	11	6	48.5	27,168.5	
9	United States of America	0.80	26	11	5	6	317.6	45,989.2	
10	Estonia	0.79	31	24	20	4	1.3	19,451.4	
11	Czech Republic	0.78	33	26	24	2	10.4	25,232.0	
12	Finland	0.78	35	6	6	0	5.3	34,719.7	
13	Cyprus	0.77	37	30	27	3	0.9	30,223.4	
14	France	0.77	39	23	21	2	62.6	33,655.5	
15	New Zealand	0.76	46	15	15	0	4.3	29,072.2	
16	Denmark	0.76	47	7	7	0	5.5	36,761.7	
17	Iceland	0.76	48	13	13	0	0.3	37,595.1	
18	United Kingdom	0.76	50	10	9	1	61.9	36,495.8	
19	Slovenia	0.76	51	32	30	2	2.0	27,004.4	
20	Canada	0.75	54	8	10	-2	33.9	37,945.6	
21	Kuwait	0.73	57	51	51	0	3.1	48,631.3	
22	Norway	0.72	59	14	18	-4	4.9	55,672.1	
23	Austria	0.71	60	19	23	-4	8.4	38,363.1	
24	Italy	0.70	63	37	39	-2	60.1	31,908.6	
25	Japan	0.70	64	18	26	-8	127.0	32,452.8	
26	Croatia	0.69	65	45	48	-3	4.4	19,805.4	
27	Hong Kong (SAR), China	0.69	66	2	12	-10	7.1	44,303.8	
28	Portugal	0.69	67	34	36	-2	10.7	24,569.4	
29	Belgium	0.68	71	22	28	-6	10.7	36,249.0	
30	Latvia	0.68	72	38	45	-7	2.2	15,412.8	
31	Spain	0.67	74	29	34	-5	45.3	32,544.8	
32	Ireland	0.65	83	4	22	-18	4.6	41,278.2	
33	Luxembourg	0.65	84	9	25	-16	0.5	83,758.8	
34	Poland	0.64	85	41	55	-14	38.0	19,058.7	
35	Slovak Republic	0.62	92	35	54	-19	5.4	22,356.3	
36	Singapore	0.61	94	1	17	-16	4.8	50,632.8	
37	Greece	0.61	95	50	72	-22	11.2	29,663.4	
38	Australia	0.59	97	12	31	-19	21.5	39,230.7	
39	Saudi Arabia	0.59	98	44	66	-22	26.2	23,395.4	
40	Brunei Darussalam	0.58	101	70	94	-24	0.4	51,204.6	
41	Trinidad and Tobago	0.57	103	58	87	-29	1.3	25,571.7	
42	United Arab Emirates	0.54	109	25	56	-31	4.7	57,743.7	
43	0man	0.54	110	42	78	-36	2.9	25,462.1	
44	Bahrain	0.43	122	28	92	-64	0.8	35,174.1	

Côte d'Ivoire, Nigeria, China, Pakistan, Moldova, Sweden, Brazil, Argentina, India, and Bangladesh. This list includes some of the most densely inhabited countries in the world: China, India, Brazil, Pakistan, Bangladesh, and Nigeria are all among the 10 most populous countries in this year's sample, and

three of them place 1st on Efficiency in their regions.

Three BRIC countries (Brazil, India, and China) are in this select list, with the fourth, the Russian Federation, coming in only at 52nd place. By region, the best performers are Côte d'Ivoire (1st), China (3rd), Pakistan (4th), Moldova (5th),

Brazil (7th), Jordan (16th), and the US (26th). By income group, in descending order of income, leaders are Sweden (6th), Brazil (7th), Côte d'Ivoire (1st), and Bangladesh (10th).

Although **Côte d'Ivoire** tops the Efficiency rankings, its overall performance is poor. The country

THE GLOBAL INNOVATION INDEX 2011

Table 7b: Innovation Efficiency Index rankings (upper-middle-income countries/economies)

Rank	Country/Economy	Efficiency Score	Efficiency Rank	Input Rank	Output Rank	Difference	Population (US\$ millions)	GDP per capita (current PPP\$)	
1	Brazil	0.91	7	68	32	36	195.4	10,412.1	
2	Argentina	0.90	8	82	40	42	40.7	14,538.3	
3	Venezuela	0.86	14	115	74	41	29.0	12,322.9	
4	Serbia	0.86	17	71	38	33	9.9	11,719.2	
5	Iran	0.84	19	106	71	35	75.1	11,558.4	
6	Lebanon	0.82	23	57	41	16	4.3	13,069.7	
7	Turkey	0.80	28	80	53	27	75.7	13,885.0	
8	Costa Rica	0.80	29	53	37	16	4.6	11,105.7	
9	Romania	0.76	49	55	47	8	21.2	14,278.0	
10	Russian Federation	0.76	52	59	50	9	140.4	18,962.6	
11	Bulgaria	0.74	55	47	43	4	7.5	13,332.7	
12	Uruguay	0.72	58	66	61	5	3.4	13,189.1	
13	Colombia	0.67	75	74	70	4	46.3	8,959.2	
14	Malaysia	0.66	77	27	35	-8	27.9	14,012.0	
15	Macedonia	0.66	78	61	68	-7	2.0	10,822.7	
16	Mauritius	0.63	89	46	63	-17	1.3	12,838.4	
17	Mexico	0.62	90	81	88	-7	110.6	14,335.1	
18	Lithuania	0.62	91	39	59	-20	3.3	16,747.1	
19	Chile	0.62	93	36	57	-21	17.1	14,330.7	
20	Albania	0.59	96	78	95	-17	3.2	8,373.0	
21	Azerbaijan	0.57	104	83	100	-17	8.9	9,638.2	
22	Peru	0.55	108	72	98	-26	29.5	8,629.5	
23	Kazakhstan	0.52	112	64	103	-39	15.8	11,509.9	
24	South Africa	0.52	113	40	83	-43	50.5	10,277.8	
25	Botswana	0.51	114	62	104	-42	2.0	13,384.5	
26	Panama	0.51	115	60	101	-41	3.5	13,057.1	
27	Jamaica	0.49	120	73	115	-42	2.7	7,632.6	
28	Bosnia & Herzegovina	0.47	121	54	111	-57	3.8	8,490.6	
29	Namibia	0.43	123	49	116	-67	2.2	6,410.1	
30	Algeria	0.23	125	101	125	-24	35.4	8,172.5	

is ranked a low 117th on the overall GII and last on the Input Sub-Index, coming in among the bottom 25 on all five Input pillars. With such feeble and fragile conditions, its top rank on Efficiency and its 79th position on the Output Sub-Index are praiseworthy indeed. On closer inspection, Côte d'Ivoire presents rather mixed results on the Output side. The main leverage on the Output score comes from some progress on two indicators marked by a year of global crisis: with a small growth in labour productivity of 0.1%, it achieves position 77; and with zero FDI net outflows, it is ranked 91st—a result that is possible because it is compared with countries with high levels of divestment,

such as Belgium (-16.7%) and Bahrain (-8.7%), at the bottom of this indicator. The real strength of Côte d'Ivoire comes from the share of 57.4% of its commercial service exports in computer, communications, and other services (ranked 13th globally). This is coherent with the relatively good scores assigned by the World Economic Forum survey on the use of ICT on business and organizational models, where it ranks 64th and 91th, respectively.

Top performers by income group

Among high-income economies, the Europe and Central Asia region dominates the top 5, while Middle Eastern and North African

countries present mixed results: Qatar and Israel are ranked 6th and 7th, respectively, and Oman and Bahrain are at the bottom. Apart from the Republic of Korea, ranked 8th, East Asian and Pacific countries have rather weak performances (New Zealand comes next at position 15). Twenty-four out of 44 high-income countries have lower rankings on Outputs than on Inputs.

Among upper-middle-income countries, some show a capacity to achieve more innovation outputs from less favourable conditions: Brazil, Argentina, Serbia, and Costa Rica make it to the top 40 on the Output Sub-Index, surmounting lower positions on the Input

Table 7c: Innovation Efficiency Index rankings (lower-middle-income countries/economies)

Rank	Country/Economy	Efficiency Score	Efficiency Rank	Input Rank	Output Rank	Difference	Population (US\$ millions)	GDP per capita (current PPP\$)	
1	Côte d'Ivoire	1.06	1	125	79	46	21.6	1,701.2	
2	Nigeria	1.03	2	119	62	57	158.3	2,203.3	
3	China	1.02	3	43	14	29	1,354.1	6,828.0	
4	Pakistan	1.01	4	123	67	56	184.8	2,608.6	
5	Moldova, Rep.	1.01	5	77	29	48	3.6	2,854.3	
6	India	0.89	9	87	44	43	1,214.5	3,270.1	
7	Jordan	0.86	16	56	33	23	6.5	5,597.0	
8	Viet Nam	0.83	20	63	42	21	89.0	2,953.1	
9	Sri Lanka	0.83	21	96	65	31	20.4	4,771.6	
10	Paraguay	0.81	24	92	64	28	6.5	4,522.5	
11	Guyana	0.80	27	75	49	26	0.8	3,088.2	
12	Senegal	0.79	30	107	82	25	12.9	1,816.6	
13	Cameroon	0.79	32	110	85	25	20.0	2,204.9	
14	Armenia	0.78	34	84	60	24	3.1	5,278.9	
15	Tunisia	0.77	36	79	58	21	10.4	8,272.5	
16	Ukraine	0.77	40	67	52	15	45.4	6,317.8	
17	Guatemala	0.77	41	97	73	24	14.4	4,719.5	
18	Ecuador	0.77	44	100	77	23	13.8	8,267.7	
19	Thailand	0.74	56	48	46	2	68.1	7,995.1	
20	Philippines	0.70	62	93	84	9	93.6	3,541.7	
21	El Salvador	0.68	69	91	86	5	6.2	6,629.3	
22	Honduras	0.68	70	98	96	2	7.6	3,841.6	
23	Bolivia	0.68	73	109	105	4	10.0	4,419.3	
24	Egypt	0.67	76	88	89	-1	84.5	5,672.6	
25	Nicaragua	0.66	79	105	106	-1	5.8	2,641.3	
26	Indonesia	0.65	80	95	97	-2	232.5	4,198.8	
27	Georgia	0.65	81	76	75	1	4.2	4,774.1	
28	Syrian Arab Republic	0.65	82	111	110	1	22.5	4,730.0	
29	Mongolia	0.58	102	52	81	-29	2.7	3,522.3	
30	Morocco	0.57	105	86	102	-16	32.4	4,494.4	
31	Sudan	0.56	107	124	122	2	43.2	2,209.7	
32	Yemen	0.53	111	121	123	-2	24.3	2,469.6	
33	Swaziland	0.49	117	85	117	-32	1.2	4,998.4	

side. While other countries with excellent Input scores lag behind—Malaysia (position 27 on Input, and 35 on Output), Chile (36 and 57), Lithuania (39 and 59), and South Africa (40 and 83)—all four countries in the top 40 on the Input Sub-Index have lower (worse) ranks on Output. In this income group, 17 countries out of 30 have lower rankings on Output than on Input.

The same analysis among lower-middle-income countries leads to encouraging results. Six of the top 10 countries in the Efficiency Index come from this income group: Côte d'Ivoire, Nigeria, China, Pakistan, and Moldova take the first five spots, and India comes in 9th. China, Moldova, and Jordan are among the top 40 on the Output Sub-Index (at positions 14, 29, and 33, respectively). Within this income group, only 7 out of 33 countries have lower Output rankings than Input rankings, with the major differences found in Swaziland (which shows a drop of 32 positions from Input to Output scores), Mongolia (a difference of 29 positions), and Morocco (16 positions). The other four countries have their Input and Output ranks less than two positions apart.

Among low-income countries, Bangladesh takes the lead (and makes it to the top 10 on Efficiency for all countries combined), at positions 114 and 69 on the Input and Output Sub-Indices, respectively. Ten out of 18 countries within this income group have Output scores lower than their Input scores, all of them Sub-Saharan African countries except for Cambodia (-5 positions). The bigger negative differences are found in Kenya (-45), Rwanda (-29), Zambia (-26), Niger (-22), Malawi (-14), and Ghana (-11).

THE GLOBAL INNOVATION INDEX 2011

Table 7d: Innovation Efficiency Index rankings (low-income countries/economies)

Rank	Country/Economy	Efficiency Score	Efficiency Rank	Input Rank	Output Rank	Difference	Population (US\$ millions)	GDP per capita (current PPP\$)	
1	Bangladesh	0.89	10	114	69	45	164.4	1,416.3	
2	Tajikistan	0.77	38	120	99	21	7.1	1,972.1	
3	Uganda	0.77	42	112	91	21	33.8	1,217.2	
4	Mali	0.77	43	113	93	20	13.3	1,185.5	
5	Tanzania	0.77	45	108	90	18	45.0	1,355.7	
6	Zimbabwe	0.76	53	122	107	15	12.6	500.0	
7	Kyrgyzstan	0.71	61	89	80	9	5.6	2,283.3	
8	Benin	0.68	68	118	112	6	9.2	1,507.9	
9	Ghana	0.63	86	65	76	-11	24.3	1,552.4	
10	Cambodia	0.63	87	103	108	-5	15.1	1,915.0	
11	Madagascar	0.63	88	104	109	-5	20.1	1,048.6	
12	Burkina Faso	0.58	99	117	118	-1	16.3	1,186.9	
13	Malawi	0.58	100	99	113	-14	15.7	858.2	
14	Ethiopia	0.56	106	116	121	-5	85.0	934.4	
15	Zambia	0.49	116	94	120	-26	13.3	1,428.6	
16	Rwanda	0.49	118	90	119	-29	10.3	1,069.7	
17	Kenya	0.49	119	69	114	-45	40.9	1,572.6	
18	Niger	0.36	124	102	124	-22	15.9	674.6	

Figure 3 plots the GII scores against the Innovation Efficiency Index scores. The relationship is positive, as expected, implying that more efficient countries achieve better GII scores. The figure also shows that efficiency is not related to the level of economic development of nations, and illustrates the relatively better performance of lower-middle-income countries, which are for the most part located in the bottom quadrant to the right.

Regional rankings

The next section considers the rankings of countries by region. For reasons of space each country profile and region cannot be discussed in detail, but snapshots are provided for the main countries that lead in the rankings.

To put the discussion of rankings in perspective, Figure 4 presents in a bar graph average pillar scores by region. Regions are discussed following the sum of average scores, in descendent order. Regional trends are further discussed in the concluding remarks to this chapter.

North America

Only two North American countries are represented. The US, in 7th position, is discussed among the leaders of the Output Sub-Index.

Canada is in a commendable 8th position in the GII, 10th in Output and 8th in Input. It places among the top 10 on the Institutions, Infrastructure, Market and Business sophistication, and Creative outputs pillars. Canada also has lower, but still performing, positions on the sectors traditionally linked to innovation—Human capital and research (19th) and Scientific outputs (21st).


Europe and Central Asia

A total of 44 economies from Europe and Central Asia are represented in this year's GII report, 19 of which are within the top 30 and only one-Tajikistan-among the bottom 25. The top positions, not surprisingly, correspond to high-income countries (down to regional position 24). Among highincome countries, Poland (43rd), Croatia (44th), and Greece (63rd) lag behind.

The five Nordic countries Sweden (2nd), Finland (5th), Denmark (6th), Iceland (11th), and Norway (18th) have very strong performances globally as well as regionally, where they are within regional top 10 positions on the overall GII, Output, and Input Indices (except Norway, which is ranked 11th on the GII regional rankings).

Within the European Union (EU), among the 15 original EU countries (EU15),28 the Netherlands and the UK are in the top 10, followed

Figure 3: Global Innovation Index vs. Innovation Efficiency Index



Note: Countries/economies are classified according to the World Bank Income Group Classification (January 2011).

by Germany (12th), Ireland (13th), Luxembourg (17th), Austria (19th), and France (22nd). The rest of the EU15 countries—Belgium (24th) and the four Mediterranean countries, Spain (32nd), Portugal (33rd), Italy (35th), and Greece (63rd)—have lost key positions to some of the 12 countries that recently acceded to the EU (the EU12 group).²⁹

The **EU12 group** is led by high-income countries Estonia (23rd), Hungary (25th), the Czech Republic (27th), Cyprus (28th), and Slovenia (30th); in the same high-income group, Latvia (36th), the Slovak Republic (37th), and Poland (43rd) have relatively low scores. Upper-middle-income countries are all in the second quintile: Lithuania (40th), Bulgaria (42nd), and Romania (50th).

Among **non-EU countries** in the region, lower-middle-income Moldova (39th) leads, ahead of high-income Croatia (44th) and upper-middle-income countries Serbia (55th), Russian Federation (56th), Turkey (65th), and Macedonia (67th) in the third quintile; and Bosnia and Herzegovina (76th), Albania (80th), Kazakhstan (84th), and Azerbaijan (88th) in the fourth quintile. The remaining lower-middle-income countries show relatively good performances as well, and all are ranked in the third quintile: Ukraine (60th), Armenia (69th), and Georgia (73rd).


The score of lower-middle-income **Moldova** is admirable. The country places 25th in the regional rankings and 39th in the overall GII, surpassing 14 wealthier countries in its region. Moldova's main assets are on the Output side: it has a dynamic intellectual property system (placing among the top 10 positions on indicators for resident patent, utility model, and trademark applications

at the national office, and on trademark applications through the Madrid System); it also exhibits strong growth in labour productivity (4th at 8.1%) and creative goods exports (5th at 5.8%). With Sweden, it is the only European country ranked in the top 10 on Efficiency (5th).

East Asia and the Pacific

Fifteen economies are represented from East Asia and the Pacific, seven of which are within the top 30 and only one of which—Cambodia—is in the bottom 25. In addition, the top ranked countries among upper- and lower-middle-income countries—Malaysia and China, respectively—come from this region. Figure 4 shows that this region is almost tied with Europe and Central Asia in its innovation performance, even though in terms

Figure 4: Average scores for selected country groups



Note: Countries/economies are classified according to the World Bank Regional Classification (January 2011): EAS = East Asia & Pacific; ECS = Europe & Central Asia; LCN = Latin America & Caribbean; MEA = Middle East & North Africa: NAC = North America: SAS = South Asia: and SSE = Sub-Saharan Africa

of economic development it is much closer to the Middle East and North Africa.³⁰

In East Asia and the Pacific, the first two economies are in the global top 10: Singapore (3rd) and Hong Kong (SAR, China, 4th). Five more are in the top 30: New Zealand (15th), the Republic of Korea (16th), Japan (20th), and Australia (21st)—all high-income countries—and China (29th). Among the regional high-income countries, only Brunei Darussalam lags behind at position 75.

The **Republic of Korea** tops the regional rankings on the Output Sub-Index, where it places 11th worldwide. Like the Netherlands, Germany, and the US, the Republic of Korea is among the most efficient innovators among high-income countries. The country has important strengths across the board, with commendable 1st place positions on

two sub-pillars, ICT and knowledge creation, and on five indicators—gross tertiary enrolment (with an impressive 98.1%), the government's online service index, e-participation, the depth of credit information, and resident patent applications at the national office. It also places among the top 10 positions on three other sub-pillars: tertiary education, investment, and knowledge absorption, achieving positions among the top 10 on an impressive further 14 indicators (refer to the country profile for details). Four of these are Output indicators: resident patent applications through the Patent Cooperation Treaty (6th), resident utility model applications at the national office (5th), high-tech exports (4th with 28.43% of total exports), and the survey question on the use of ICT on business models.

Malaysia, the only upper-middle-income country in the region, tops the income group globally on both the GII and the Input Sub-Index (it is overtaken by Brazil on the Output Sub-Index). Further details about Malaysia can be found in the discussion of the country under the GII section. Among the lower-middle-income group are some of the world's most efficient global innovators: China (1st on all three main indices within its income group, and in the general rankings it is 29th on the GII and 14th on Output), Thailand (48th and 46th), and Viet Nam (51st and 42nd). Mongolia (68th) the Philippines (91st), and Indonesia (99th) lag behind. Within their income groups, middle-income countries Malaysia and China reach the regional top 10 on all four indices.

THE GLOBAL INNOVATION INDEX 2011

The Middle East & North Africa

The GII includes 16 countries from the Middle East and North Africa, of which only two-Israel (14th) and Qatar (26th)—are ranked among the top 30; they are also both highincome countries. Three countries from the region are within the bottom 25: the Syrian Arab Republic, Yemen, and Algeria. This region does not have any low-income countries represented in this year's GII rankings. The other five highincome economies in the region have rather disappointing positions: United Arab Emirates is at 34th place, Bahrain is at 46th, Kuwait at 52nd, Saudi Arabia at 54th, and Oman at 57th.

Israel tops the regional rankings on all three main indices, and is ranked 4th on Efficiency at the regional level. Israel's strength comes from pillar 6, Scientific outputs (where it ranks 4th), with good showings in knowledge creation and knowledge diffusion (2nd and 8th). The country also scores within the top 10 on resident filings at the Patent Cooperation Treaty (4th), scientific and technical journal articles (1st), high-tech exports (8th), and computer and communications service exports (5th).

Three upper-middle-income countries come from this region. Lebanon (regional 6th, overall 49th) and Iran (regional 13th and overall 95th) present weaknesses in both Input and Output indicators, and neither country is among the top 40 overall, while Algeria scores lowest among the countries with sufficient data to be included in the sample.

Jordan, an exception, ranks 4th in the region and 41st overall. The other lower-middle-income countries—Tunisia (regional 10th, overall 66th), Egypt (11th and 87th), and Morocco (12th and 94th)—are all in

the lower half of the GII rankings, with Syrian Arab Republic (14th and 115th) and Yemen (15th and 123rd) among the bottom 25.

The position of **Jordan**, at 41st overall, is notable because it is more than 25 positions ahead of its closest competitor in the same region and income group, Tunisia. Although Jordan is only 8th in the region on Input, it is 3rd on Output. Jordan's leverage comes from Creative outputs, with a strong dynamism at the level of residents' trademark registrations at the national level (where it reached 1st place) and a relatively high level of creative goods exports. Jordan is also among the top 20 on the percentage of graduates in science (ranked 9th overall) and on tertiary inbound mobility (14th), two statistics that reflect the regional attractiveness of its higher education and its relevance to innovation. The country is among the top 20 on market capitalization and stocks traded as well, both of which demonstrate the dynamism of its economy.

Latin America & Caribbean

Twenty countries from Latin America and the Caribbean are included in this year's GII. None of them reach the top 30 on any of the three main indices (GII, Input, and Output), and three are ranked among the bottom 25: Venezuela, Nicaragua, and Bolivia. Trinidad and Tobago, the only high-income country in the region in the sample, is ranked a disappointing 72nd on the GII, 58th on the Input Sub-Index, and 87th on the Output Sub-Index.

Three upper-middle-income countries from Latin America and the Caribbean hold top positions within their income group: Chile

(38th overall and 2nd in its income group after Malaysia), Costa Rica (45th and 5th), and Brazil (47th and 6th). Argentina (58th), Uruguay (64th), and Colombia (71st) are in the third quintile; Panama (77th), Mexico (81st), Peru (83rd), and Jamaica (92nd) in the fourth; and Venezuela (102nd) is down among the bottom 25. Costa Rica is the only country in the region to be among the regional top 3 on the GII and the Input and Output Sub-Indices (ranked 45th, 53rd, and 37th, respectively).

Chile, the second country in terms of GDP per capita after Argentina (with a per capita GDP of PPP \$14,331) at 38th place is the only country in the region to have reached a position within the top 40. Chile's strengths are in its Input Sub-Index (36th), with a commendable 18th position on the Institutions pillar and positions in the top 20 on six indicators: regulatory quality (18th), tax rate (19th at 25% of profits), government online service (18th), market capitalization (11th at 128% of GDP), applied tariff rate (7th at 1.0%), and FDI net inflows (18th at 7.8% of GDP). On the Output side, its strengths are in FDI net outflows (12th at 4.88% of GDP), and resident trademark registrations at the national office (6th). Chile's standing is supported by a good overall balance, because its major weaknesses are precisely in the two areas traditionally linked to innovation: Human capital and research (71st, with a disappointing 89th ranking on elementary education) and Scientific outputs (85th). Chile presents one of the lowest Efficiency Index scores of all the countries in the sample, where it is ranked 16th regionally and 93rd globally.

Among lower-middle-income countries in this region, Guyana (61st) and Paraguay (74th) are in the third quintile; Guatemala (86th), El Salvador (90th), Ecuador (93rd), and Honduras (98th) are in the fourth; and Nicaragua (110th) and Bolivia (112nd) are among the bottom 25.

South Asia

The four countries from South Asia in the sample show mixed results. India, ranked 62 overall, tops the regional rankings; it is followed by Sri Lanka (82), Bangladesh (97), and Pakistan (105). These four countries, however, have their strengths on the Output side. In effect, although they rank between 87th (India) and 123rd (Pakistan) on the Input Sub-Index, they rank between 44th (India) and 69th (Bangladesh) on the Output Sub-Index, with Efficiency Index rankings ranging between 4th (Pakistan) and 21st (Sri Lanka).

After China, India is the second most densely populated country, with 1.2 billion inhabitants; it is also eleventh in GDP, with US\$1,310 billion. A lower-middle-income country, it comes second after Sri Lanka in GDP per capita in PPP dollars in the region. India is ranked 62nd on the GII, 1st in its region, and 8th in its income group—after China, Moldova, Jordan, Thailand, Viet Nam, Ukraine, and Guyana. India comes in at 44th on the Output Sub-Index, within the top 30 on labour productivity growth (21st with 4.5%) and computer and communications services exports (4th globally, with 70.0% of total commercial service exports). It also has positions within the top 40 on two knowledge diffusion indicators: high-tech exports (32nd, at 6.34% of GDP) and FDI net outflows (38th, at 1.08% of GDP). On pillar 6, Creative outputs, it ranks 39th on national feature films produced, 22nd on daily newspapers, 9th on creative goods exports, and 29th on creative services exports. India's position, however, is dragged down by its poor performance on the Input side (ranked 87th): India is in the last quintile on sub-pillars business environment, elementary education, tertiary education, and knowledge workers. But the country has high marks-within the top 40—on R&D (35th); general infrastructure (11th), a result driven by its 9th position on gross capital formation (at 35% of GDP); and investment (15th), a result driven by a deep and dynamic stock market.

Sub-Saharan Africa


A total of 24 countries from Sub-Saharan Africa are included in the rankings, none of which made it to the top 30, and 17 of which are ranked within the bottom 25. In this year's edition, not a single country from this region is classified as high-income. Regional leaders on the GII and the Output, Input, and Efficiency measures are Mauritius, Nigeria, South Africa, and Côte d'Ivoire. While only Mauritius and Nigeria achieve positions within the top 70 on the Output Sub-Index, it is noteworthy that six countries achieve this threshold on the Input Sub-Index: South Africa (40th), Mauritius (46th), Namibia (49th), Botswana (62nd), Ghana (65th), and Kenya (69th).

Among upper-middle-income countries, Mauritius (53rd overall) achieves the top regional spot on the GII, while South Africa (59th overall) is the runner-up, followed far behind by Namibia (regional 4th and overall 78th) and Botswana (5th and 79th).

With a population of 1.2 million and a GDP per capita of 12,838 in PPP dollars, Mauritius is the second least populous country in the region (after Swaziland) and the second wealthiest (after Botswana), so its top regional position is not entirely surprising. This island in the Indian Ocean is the only country in the region with rankings in the upper half of all three main indices. On the Input side, its major strength comes from the Institutions pillar (ranked 25th), where it achieves 8th position on the business environment sub-pillar. Its 6th position on tertiary education is driven essentially by excellent rates of outbound mobility and gross enrolment of tertiary students (ranked 9th and 4th, with 29.6% and 7.7%, respectively). On the Output side, its score is leveraged primarily by its new business density score (11th globally) and by its relatively high level of creative goods exports (ranked 16th, at 3.4% of total goods exports).

South Africa tops the regional Input Sub-Index (40th globally). It is not only the most heavily populated country within this income group, by far, with 50.5 million inhabitants (the other three countries have less than 5.5 million combined), but it is also ranked 3rd in per capita income, with 10,277.8 PPP dollars per capita (Namibia, at PPP\$6,410.1, is the least developed of the four). The Input score is driven essentially by an 8th position (in the global ranking) on market sophistication, the result of positions within the top 10 on the strength of legal rights for credit (7th), depth of credit information (1st), and domestic credit to private sector (9th, with 145.1%). Its achievement on investment protection is one of the stronger globally (10th), leading to deep stock

Figure 5: Average scores by income group and by pillar (0–100)



Note: Countries/economies are classified according to the World Bank Income Group Classification (January 2011).

markets (2nd on market capitalization and 8th on stocks traded, with 246.5% and 119.8% of GDP, respectively). Ranked 83rd on the Output Sub-Index, South Africa achieves placement among the top 40 in only two areas: resident patent applications through the Patent Cooperation Treaty and computer software spending (8th globally, with 0.9% of GDP).

Lower-middle-income countries all have poor performances. These are Nigeria (7th regionally and 96th overall), Senegal (8th and 100th), Swaziland (9th and 101st), Cameroon (10th and 103rd), Côte d'Ivoire (18th and 117th), and Sudan (24th and 124th).

Although **Nigeria**'s position on the GII is rather low (96th), this country obtained the top regional position on the Output Sub-Index, where it is ranked 62nd, and has

the second-best Efficiency Index score globally. Nigeria's leverage is similar to 1st place on the Efficiency raking, Côte d'Ivoire. This is again a country with positions in the bottom 25 on all Input rankings, although it attains relatively high scores on two measures extremely sensitive to the economic recession: growth in labour productivity (41st at 2.55%), and FDI net outflows (80th, but at a level of 0.1%, Nigeria scored 47.5 over 100). Nigeria also obtained relatively high scores from the survey questions on ICT use on business and organizational models (45th and 70th). On the Creative outputs pillar, Nigeria is ranked 5th globally on the production of national feature films per million population.

Low-income countries Ghana (70th) and Kenya (89th) get relatively high scores—both within the

regional top 10-reaching 1st and 3rd place, respectively, in the overall low-income group. Of the remaining countries in this income group and region, Tanzania, Uganda, and Mali fare relatively better on the Output Sub-Index (their ranks are in the fourth quintile); while the scores of Rwanda, Zambia, and Malawi are driven by somewhat better scores on the Input Sub-Index (ranking in the fourth quintile). Of the rest, Madagascar, Côte d'Ivoire, Benin, Zimbabwe, Burkina Faso, Ethiopia, and Niger are in the last quintile (the bottom 25) in all three main indices (GII, Input, and Output).

Conclusions

Innovation is a global phenomenon: it is not only OECD countries that

Table 8: Heatmap for GII top 10 economies and regional and income group averages (1–100)

Country/Economy	II5	Institutions	Human capital and research	Infrastructure	Market sophistication	Business sophistication	Input	Scientific outputs	Creative outputs	Output	Efficiency
Switzerland	63.82	92.60	55.14	44.50	70.08	68.02	66.07	62.00	54.40	58.20	0.88
Sweden	62.12	87.27	63.27	51.66	58.93	63.13	64.85	62.07	56.73	59.40	0.92
Singapore	59.64	90.42	74.69	47.62	78.71	79.11	74.11	48.93	41.42	45.18	0.61
Hong Kong (SAR), China	58.80	92.77	48.38	53.87	87.00	66.85	69.77	38.11	57.56	47.83	0.69
Finland	57.50	89.17	66.46	47.98	56.06	63.87	64.71	58.53	42.06	50.29	0.78
Denmark	56.96	94.24	60.17	45.90	64.48	58.07	64.57	46.26	52.43	49.34	0.76
United States of America	56.57	86.48	57.37	44.63	70.91	54.82	62.84	57.44	43.17	50.30	0.80
Canada	56.33	93.28	53.89	53.13	63.36	58.40	64.41	42.51	54.01	48.26	0.75
Netherlands	56.31	87.46	47.60	43.60	61.81	61.64	60.42	53.81	50.59	52.20	0.86
United Kingdom	55.96	86.37	56.06	43.65	74.44	57.78	63.66	52.27	44.27	48.27	0.76

Regions											
East Asia & Pacific	42.50	69.78	42.44	36.10	54.06	47.34	49.95	33.73	36.37	35.05	0.70
Europe & Central Asia	42.96	74.64	46.47	34.40	47.93	44.06	49.50	34.65	38.04	36.34	0.73
Latin America & Caribbean	31.43	55.69	31.61	28.17	35.51	34.02	37.00	19.00	32.73	25.86	0.70
Middle East & North Africa	34.45	62.81	42.53	27.98	39.93	34.48	41.54	25.46	29.27	27.36	0.65
North America	56.45	89.88	55.63	48.88	67.14	56.61	63.63	49.97	48.59	49.28	0.77
South Asia	29.92	50.08	23.06	24.82	33.23	26.16	31.47	22.88	33.87	28.37	0.91
Sub-Saharan Africa	26.92	54.32	28.65	21.34	31.40	30.19	33.18	18.15	23.16	20.66	0.64

Income levels											
High income	48.08	82.12	52.32	39.98	54.58	50.42	55.88	39.10	41.29	40.19	0.71
Upper-middle income	33.36	63.09	36.60	27.55	38.89	35.80	40.39	21.51	31.16	26.33	0.65
Lower-middle income	30.42	51.96	29.82	24.62	35.45	30.96	34.56	22.66	29.89	26.27	0.76
Low income	25.91	50.70	27.83	20.97	30.63	28.29	31.68	18.43	21.83	20.13	0.64

Note: Darker shadings indicate better performances. Countries/economies are classified according to the World Bank Income Group and Regional Classifications (January 2011).

innovate—innovation leaders are found across the world, as is evident from the presence of European, Asian, and North American economies in the GII top 10. All regions show potential as leading hubs of innovation (all are represented in the upper half of the GII rankings). The worldwide relevance of innovation capabilities and results highlights the need for a global perspective in understanding the

concept and underlying premises of innovation, which this Report has adopted.

Identifying the underlying conditions of a country and comparing performances among peers is the key to a good understanding of the implications of a country's ranking in the GII. This Report attempts to abide by this underlying principle in the analysis of the GII rankings. Countries evaluate

their performances by comparing themselves with other countries within their region, but in this Report we assess results on the basis of the development stages of countries (captured by the World Bank income classifications).

In this light, the results of the GII confirm that average rankings decreasemonotonically withincome levels. On average, high-income countries outpace developing

countries by a wide margin across the board (Figure 5). But some gaps are closing among developing countries in some areas, notably in the Institutions and Creative outputs pillars. In the Scientific outputs pillar, lower-middle-income countries outperform upper-middle-income countries (albeit by only a small margin of 1.15 points).

Upon closer inspection, the heatmap in Table 8 shows that, without exception, all regional and income group averages are greater than 30 on the Innovation Input Sub-Index (scores range between 0 and 100), which is remarkable. In this group of enablers to innovation, the biggest progress has been made on the Institutions pillar, where income group and regional averages are all above 50; and on the Market sophistication pillar (where all averages are above 30). This shows that significant efforts have been made worldwide towards increasing political stability; improving regulatory environments; and enhancing environments for business, credit, investment, trade and competition-all crucial elements for the fulfilment of innovation capabilities. These findings also imply that some laggards are driving the scores down.

Governments have recognized that, in order to take advantage of the potential that innovation represents for their citizens, they must adopt policies that are friendlier towards technological catch-up and the absorption of knowledge so that firms can build global innovation networks and so that they can foster trans-border flows of knowledge and intellectual property. And, in turn, firms in the private sector are doing their part by participating more fully in the financing and execution of R&D projects; by

making venture capital available; and through joint venture and strategic alliance deals, dynamic stock markets, increased employment in knowledge intensive services, and so on.

The main cause for alarm comes from the Human capital and research pillar. Although the average score across countries is 39.1 (this score comes in 3rd, after the Institutions and Market sophistication pillar scores), the gap between high-income and low-income countries is the second biggest (after Institutions), at 24.9 points. A skilled workforce is essential to economic development, and deficits in this area have longstanding effects surmountable only after consistent improvements have been made, and then only after two or three generations. The only indicator from the Human capital and research pillar on which low-income countries do better on average is the percentage of graduates in science.

Looking more closely at the indicators, we see that in 41 of the 80 indicators selected for this year's GII, average scores for high-, upper-middle-, lower-middle, and low-income countries are monotonically decreasing. This decrease implies that, on average, the wealthier the country, the higher its score. But in 39 occasions this is not the pattern (see Tables 1a through 1g, included in the description of each pillar). For three indicators—2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, 4.1.4 Microfinance gross loans, and 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPP\$ per worker (labour productivity)—the order is reversed, which is encouraging. In two other instances, the higher average score corresponds to low-income countries: these are indicators 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, and 5.2.3 R&D

financed by abroad. In six other cases, the mean of the average scores of low- and middle-income countries is higher than the mean of the average of scores for upper- and middle-income countries: 2.1.2 Public expenditure/pupil over GDP per capita, 2.2.2 Graduates in science, 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, 3.3.3 Ecological footprint and biocapacity, 6.1.3 Domestic resident utility model applications, and 7.1.1 Domestic resident trademark applications (the last two are scaled by GDP PPP dollars).

It could be argued that some aspects dictated by the nature of available statistics —the prominence of trade-related variables over output variables and the importance of count variables over value variables—may have the effect of making low- or lower-middle-income economies stand out or of biasing results in favour of small countries. However, among the indicators listed above, these concerns affect only the count statistics on utility model and trademarks applications.

At the regional level, North America is not truly comparable to the other regions. The sample includes only two countries from this region, Canada and the US, which lead on all categories except on Innovation Efficiency. Otherwise, regional comparisons show that Europe and Central Asia lead on the GII, the Output Sub-Index, and four pillars (Institutions, Human capital and Research, and Scientific and Creative outputs), while East Asia and the Pacific lead on the Input Sub-Index and the three remaining pillars (Infrastructure and both Market and Business sophistication).

East Asia and the Pacific and the Middle East and North Africa have similar income structures in the GII sample, and yet, on average, the latter region prevails only on Human capital and research, and by an insignificant margin (less than a point). On all the other pillars, differences range between 7.0 and 14.1 points. Latin America and the Caribbean comes next in terms of overall development, followed by South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa. Although these regions achieve average positions in the GII in accordance with their level of development, the leverage for countries from Latina America and the Caribbean comes from the Input Sub-Index and each of its pillars, while South Asian countries prevail on the Output Sub-Index and pillars.

At the regional level, perhaps lacking in this global picture is Sub-Saharan Africa —a continent where more progress could be made. In the rankings, Sub-Saharan African countries overtake South Asian ones on the Input Sub-Index and on the Institutions, Human capital and research, and Business sophistication pillars. But they lag behind on everything else.

It cannot be claimed, however, that the GII model captures all dimensions of innovation across continents. Analytical chapters included in this year's Report illustrate the richness of innovation, which is difficult to define, much less to encapsulate in a particular metric. Chapter 2 provides insights on innovations taking place across sectors, products, processes, and business models in Latin America.

In this respect, BRIC countries are improving their innovation capacity dramatically: China, Brazil, and, to a minor extent, India have achieved encouraging results, especially on the Output side. Chapter 3 illustrates how these

countries are confronting their development challenges with the example of India, which has pioneered promising avenues in the areas of frugal and inclusive innovation. The rise of innovation in the BRIC countries will change the face not only of innovation but also of global dynamics. Large populations in countries such as India and China will demand a different type of products and services— those that provide high quality but at affordable costs while respecting sustainability in the environment. Companies will have to adjust to these new models of innovation. Western firms are setting up centres of innovation and learning in these BRIC countries now. Other nations will need to learn to treat BRIC countries as sources of knowledge and learning for innovation, not just as threats to domestic industries or competitiveness.

Innovation efficiency is important, and it varies across regions. Some countries demonstrate a strong input performance but fail to translate their enabling capabilities into tangible results and vice versa. Lower-middle-income countries now fare better than those of all other income groups in efficiency. China, Moldova, Jordan, Viet Nam, India, Thailand, Guyana, Ukraine, Tunisia, Armenia, and Nigeria all achieved rankings in the upper half of the Output Sub-Index. Innovation capabilities might progress in waves, with uneven and nonlinear improvements, leading to multiple equilibria. High-income countries, which come next in terms of innovation efficiency (and which are far ahead in Output scores) enjoy a stable position at the top of all main rankings. Upper-middleincome countries seem to be finding difficulties in translating their

favourable enabling conditions into innovation results. Low-income countries frequently have difficulties in taking off, and although their institutional environments show improvements, they lack the human resources and capabilities to achieve their full potential, even taking into consideration that they are beginning at a low level.

More formal analysis, beyond the scope of this Report, is required to explore in depth the linkages and dynamics between development stages and innovation phenomena in depth. However, this Report provides a snapshot from which particular insights can be obtained.

Innovation is a multi-stakeholder effort, with many different roles for the different actors. Governments have a role in setting the right environment and policies. Firms have to improve their innovation readiness and innovation results—they must protect and leverage their intellectual property, increase their investment in R&D, and make better use-through international trade, linkages, and the adoption of ICT-of innovations developed elsewhere. Societies and individual citizens also have to look at different aspects that help them create a broader capacity for innovation. All of these stakeholders must collaborate in order to foster and sustain innovation.

It is important—and feasible—to take action. Multiple success stories and best practices world-wide demonstrate ways to advance innovation, and they can be studied and drawn upon. Since innovation can potentially expand everywhere, what can be done to help it thrive in developing economies? This Report offers important avenues for action in this regard. Some 'weak pillars' need strengthening: In more than

one country, a relatively poor performance on pillar 2, Human capital and research, goes hand in hand with low levels of Scientific outputs. Indeed, the highest correlation of the Scientific outputs pillar is with pillar 2. A more formal analysis is required to infer causality, however.

Despite our best efforts, we have only scratched the surface of the challenge of fully capturing the richness of innovation. The first task was to adopt a definition and a model of innovation that would capture innovation efforts in emerging economies. What worked in theory implied a real challenge in terms of data collection, particularly in the areas of innovation linkages and creative outputs.

The computation methodology adopted for the Report, which has the advantages of being transparent and replicable, is extremely sensitive to modelling choices and missing data points. To ensure the credibility of the whole exercise, results were submitted to a statistical audit by the Joint Research Centre of the European Commission. The Audit assessed the robustness of the GII rankings to other modelling techniques, and involved the imputation of missing data, computation of geometric averages instead of arithmetic averages (the former are less compensatory), random weights, and principle components analysis, among others (refer to the appendix to this chapter). Based on the Audit, five countries with unreliable rankings were dropped from the Report. In addition, the Audit confirmed that, for the top 40 and the bottom 14 countries, median ranks after 4,000 runs of Monte Carlo simulations differed from the GII ranks by less than five positions.

We hope that this work makes a small but concrete step forward in

improving our understanding of the broad phenomenon of innovation in firms, governments, and societies. It is linked to some of the ongoing work by other organizations such as the OECD. We intend to work collaboratively with them to enhance our common understanding of this phenomenon.

The challenge is to translate these intellectual ideas and ranks into action—to connect with different stakeholders engaged in innovation in different economies and become part of the evolving dialogue around innovation. Readers are invited to contact INSEAD if they wish to explore some of the insights of the Report in more detail within their own contexts.

Notes

- 1 Archibugi and Coco, 2004.
- 2 The Confederation of Indian Industry has been a partner of the GII since 2009.
- OECD/EC, 2005, also known as the Oslo Manual 2005.
- 4 OECD/EC *Oslo Manual*, 1992, 1997, and 2005 editions.
- 5 OECD/EC, 2005, p. 146.
- 6 OECD, 2010b.
- OECD/EC, 2005, p. 58. Disruptive innovations can, for example, change the structure of the market, create new markets or render existing products obsolete; see Christensen, 1997.
- 8 UNCTAD, 2007, p. 6.
- 9 OECD 2010b, Box 1, p. 1.
- 10 Gibbons et al., 1994.
- 11 Etzkowitz and Leydesdorff, 2000; and Leydesdorff and Etzkowitz, 1996. These authors point out that mode 2 'is the original format of science before its academic institutionalization in the 19th century [...] Mode 2 represents the material base of science, how it actually operates. Mode 1 is a construct, built upon that base in order to justify autonomy for science, especially in an earlier era when it was still a fragile institution and needed all the help it could get'.
- 12 OECD/EC, 2005, p. 38.

- 3 Silberglitt et al., 2006.
- 14 BCG /NAM, 2009.
- 15 EC, 2011.
- 16 EIU, 2009.
- 7 World Economic Forum, 2010.
- 18 The first two are part of the six World Governance Indicators (GII) constructed by the World Bank. The Press Freedom Index is calculated by Reporters Without Borders (it is included in the Voice and Accountability Index, one of the two World Governance Indicators of the World Bank not included in the GII).
- 19 Ease of Doing Business Data Notes, http:// www.doingbusiness.org.
- ITU, 2010. The 2010 edition is based on 2008 statistics. We are grateful to Susan Teltscher, Head, and Esperanza Magpantay, Statistician, Market Information and Statistics Division, Telecommunication Development Bureau, International Telecommunication Union for sharing their data and for a useful discussion regarding the GII framework.
- 21 We are grateful to Karen Treanton, Head of Energy Balances, Prices and Emissions Section of the Energy Statistics Division of the International Energy Agency (IEA) for sharing the IEA series. Electricity consumption per capita is typically used as a proxy for infrastructure, as the power grid, to reach consumers, needs to come directly into houses and businesses. While the International Energy Agency reports on the level of energy use as a percentage of GDP in constant PPP terms, we followed the World Bank in taking the reciprocal of that variable to provide a measure of efficiency in the use of energy resources.
- 22 The surveys are conducted by the World Bank in partnership with academic and international institutions and private companies and individuals engaged in international logistics (refer to Appendix XX Sources and definitions for details). Hard statistics on infrastructure are available, but it was deemed that for the purposes of assessing infrastructure as an enabler to innovation, expert opinion was more appropriate.
- 23 In last year's edition of the GII, the measure included was the average loan balance per borrower (over GNI per capita). It was replaced by the gross loan portfolio over GDP to complement indicator 4.2.1.
- 24 We are grateful to Cornelius Bubenzer, Financial Markets Executive, Thomson Reuters, for his assistance with the extraction of data from the Thomson One database.

- 25 Query on joint ventures / strategic alliances deals announced in 2010 from Thomson Reuters SDC Platinum database. A count variable was created: each participating nation of each company in a deal (n countries per deal) gets, per deal, a score equivalent to 1/n. All country scores add up to 1247, the total number of deals. Of these, only 184 deals had any indication of value (disclosed or estimated), which is why only a count variable has been included in the model. We are grateful to Ifigenia Poulka, Data and Applications Specialist, Thomson Reuters, for her assistance with the extraction of data from the SDC Platinum database.
- Countries are classified according to the World Bank classification. Economies are divided according to 2009 Gross National Income (GNI) per capita, calculated using the World Bank Atlas method. The groups are: low-income, US\$995 or less; lower-middleincome, US\$996 to US\$3,945; upper-middleincome, US\$3,946 to US\$12,195; and highincome, US\$12,196 or more.
- 27 Caution should be exercised in directly comparing ranks across years because the model has evolved since the first GII, as have the variables that are included and particular countries covered.
- The EU15 group includes Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, and the UK. The discussion excludes Nordic countries Denmark, Finland and Sweden.
- 29 The EU12 group includes Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, Cyprus, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Malta, Poland, Romania, the Slovak Republic, and Slovenia. Malta is not included in the Gll 2011 edition.
- 30 Fifteen countries come from East Asia and the Pacific, of which 46.7%, 6.7%, 40.0% and 6.7% are high-, upper-middle, lower-middle and low-income economies. Sixteen countries come from the Middle East and North Africa, with 43.8%, 18.8%, 37.5% and 0.0% coming from these income groups. Latin America and the Caribbean: 20 countries, 5% high income, 55% upper-middle-income, 40% lower-middle-income. South Asia: four countries, 75% lower-middle income, 25% low-income. Sub-Saharan Africa: 24 countries, 16.7% upper-middle income, 25% lower-middle income; and 58.3% low-income.

References

Archibugi, D. and A. Coco. 2004. 'A New Indicator of Technological Capabilities for Developed and Developing Countries'. *World Development* 32 (4): 629–54.

- BCG /NAM (Boston Consulting Group / National Association of Manufacturers). 2009. The Innovation Imperative in Manufacturing: How the United States Can Restore Its Edge. Boston: BCG, available at http://www.bcg.com/documents/file15445.pdf.
- Christ, J. P. 2007. 'Varieties of Systems of Innovation: A Survey of their Evolution in Growth Theory and Economic Geography'. Schriftenreihe des Promotionsschwerpunkts Globalisierung und Beschäftigung, Nr. 25/2007.
- Christensen, C. M. 1997. The Innovator's Dilemma: When New Technologies Cause Great Firms to Fail. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Earl, L. 2003a. 'Innovation and Change in the Public Sector: A Seeming Oxymoron'. Statistics Canada SIEID Working Paper Series No. 2002-01, available at http://www.statcan.gc.ca/bsolc/olc-cel/olc-cel?catno=88F0006XIE2002
- ———. 2003b. Knowledge Management in Practice in Canada, 2001. Ottawa: Statistics Canada.
- EC (European Commission). 2011. Innovation
 Union Scoreboard 2010: The Innovation
 Union's Performance Scoreboard for Research
 and Innovation. 1 February 2011. Report
 prepared by the Maastricht Economic and
 Social Research and Training Centre on
 Innovation and Technology (UNU-MERIT)
 with the contribution of DG JRC G3 of the
 European Commission, available at http://
 ec.europa.eu/research/innovation-union/
 pdf/iu-scoreboard-2010_en.pdf.
- The Economist. 2010. 'A Special Report on Innovation In Emerging Markets: The World Turned Upside Down'. 15 April 2010, available at http://www.economist.com/ node/15879369.
- EIU (Economist Intelligence Unit). 2009. A New Ranking of the World's Most Innovative Countries. An Economist Intelligence Unit report sponsored by Cisco, available at http://graphics.eiu.com/PDF/Cisco_Innovation_Complete.pdf.
- Edquist, C. 2005. 'Systems of Innovation:
 Perspectives and Challenges'. In *The Oxford Handbook of Innovation*, ed. J. Fagerberg,
 D. C. Mowery, and R. R. Nelson. Oxford, UK:
 Oxford University Press, Chapter 7.
- Esteban M., C. Webersik, D. Leary, and D. Thompson-Pomeroy. 2008. Innovation in Responding to Climate Change: Nanotechnology, Ocean Energy and Forestry. Yokohama: United Nations University Institute of Advanced Studies, available at http://www.ias.unu.edu/sub_page.aspx?catlD=111&ddllD=738.
- Etzkowitz, H. and L. Leydesdorff. 2000. The Dynamics of Innovation: From National Systems and "Mode 2" to a Triple Helix of University–Industry–Government Relations'. Research Policy 29 (2000): 109–23.

- Finger, M. J. 2004. 'Poor People's Knowledge: Helping Poor People to Earn from Their Knowledge'. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 3205, February. Washington DC: World Bank.
- Fagerberg, J. 2003. 'Innovation: A Guide to the Literature'. Working Papers on Innovation Studies No. 20031012. Centre for Technology, Innovation and Culture, University of Oslo.
- ———. 2004. 'What Do We Know about Innovation? Lessons from the TEARI Project'. Centre for Technology, Innovation and Culture, University of Oslo TEARI Project, Report No. 1 (2nd Draft, 20 September 2004).
- Gibbons, M., C. Limoges, H. Nowotny, S. Schwartzman, P. Scott, and M. Trow. 1994. The New Production of Knowledge: The Dynamics of Science and Research in Contemporary Societies. London: Sage.
- ITU (International Telecommunication Union). 2009. Measuring the Information Society, 2009 edition. Geneva: ITU, available at http:// www.itu.int/ITU-D/ict/publications/idi/2009/ index.html.
- ——. 2010. Measuring the Information Society 2010. Geneva: ITU, available at http://www. itu.int/ITU-D/ict/publications/idi/2010/index. html.
- Khan, M. and S. Wunsch-Vincent. 2011. 'Capturing Innovation: The Patent System'. In *The Global Information Technology Report 2010–2011*, ed. S. Dutta and I. Mia. Geneva: World Economic Forum. Chapter 1.1, Box 3.
- Leydesdorff, L. and H. Etzkowitz. 1996. Emergence of a Triple Helix of University-Industry-Government Relations', *Science and Public Policy* 23 (5): 279–86.
- Lundvall, B.-A., ed. 1992. *National Systems of Innovation: Towards a Theory of Innovation and Interactive Learning*. London: Pinter
 Publishers.
- Mashelkar, R. A. and C. K. Prahalad. 2010. 'Innovation's Holy Grail: India's Quest for Inclusive Growth: Achieving High Performance through Inclusive Business Models: A Research Report'. Accenture, All India Management Association, available at http://www.accenture.com/SiteCollectionDocuments/PDF/AccentureIndiasQuestforInclusiveGrowth.pdf.
- Milbergs, E. and N. Vonortas. No date. 'Innovation Metrics: Measurement to Insight'. White Paper Prepared for the National Innovation Initiative, 21st Century Innovation Working Group, available at http://www. innovationtools.com/pdf/innovationmetrics-nii.pdf.

- Miller, P. and A. Brankovic. 2010. 'Building a "Creative Culture" for Sustainable Innovation'. *IESE Business School Working Paper* No. 879, September 2010.
- Nelson R. 1993. *National Innovation Systems*. Oxford UK: Oxford University Press.
- OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development). 2010a. *The OECD* Innovation Strategy: Getting a Head Start on Tomorrow. Paris: OECD.
- ——. 2010b. Ministerial Report on the OECD Innovation Strategy: Key Findings. May 2010, available at http://www.oecd.org/ dataoecd/51/28/45326349.pdf.
- OECD and EC (European Commission). 2005.

 Oslo Manual: Guidelines for Collecting and
 Interpreting Innovation Data, 3rd edition.
 Paris: OECD and Eurostat
- OECD and EC/JRC (European Commission, Joint Research Centre). 2008. Handbook on Constructing Composite Indicators: Methodology and User Guide, by Nardo, M. M. Saisana, A. Saltelli and S. Tarantola (EC/JRC), A. Hoffman and E. Giovannini (OECD), OECD publication Code: 302008251E1.
- Pavitt, K. 1984. 'Sectoral Patterns of Technical Change: Towards a Taxonomy and a Theory'. Research Policy 13 (1984): 343–73.
- Potters, L. 2009. 'R&D in Low-Tech Sectors'. JRC Technical Notes: IPTS Working Papers on Corporate R&D and Innovation No. 08/2009. Luxembourg: EC/JRC, available at http:// iri.jrc.ec.europa.eu/papers/08_IPTS_WP_ JRC50919.pdf.
- Romer, P. 1990. 'Endogenous Technological Change'. *The Journal of Political Economy* 98 (5, Part 2): S71–S102.
- Saisana, M. 2011 (in progress). Statistical Tests on the Global Innovation Index (GII): Detailed Report. European Commission Joint Research Centre. Ispra, Italy: EC/JRC.
- Salazar, M. and A. Holbrook. 2004. 'A Debate on Innovation Surveys'. *Science and Public Policy* 31 (4): Developing countries, p. 136.
- Schmidt, J. C. 2008. 'Normativity and Innovation: An Approach to Concepts of Innovation from the Perspective of Philosophy of Technology'. Georgia Tech Ivan Allen College School of Public Policy Working Paper No. 31, available at http://www.spp.gatech.edu/ faculty/workingpapers/wp31.pdf.
- Schumpeter, J. 1934. *The Theory of Economic Development*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

- Shinn, T. 2002. The Triple Helix and New Production of Knowledge: Prepackaged Thinking on Science and Technology'. *Social* Studies of Science 32 (4): 599–614.
- Silberglitt, R., P. S. Anton, D. R. Howell, A. Wong, N. Gassman, B. A. Jackson, E. Landree, S. L. Pfleeger, E. M. Newton, and F. Wu. 2006. The Global Technology Revolution 2020, In-Depth Analyses Bio/Nano/Materials/Information Trends, Drivers, Barriers, and Social Implications. Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation, available at http://www.rand.org/pubs/technical_reports/TR303.
- Solow, R. M. 1956. 'A Contribution to the Theory of Economic Growth'. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 70 (1): 65–94.
- UNCTAD (United Nations Conference on Trade and Development). 2007. The Least Developed Countries Report, 2007: Knowledge, Technological Learning and Innovation for Development. New York and Geneva: United Nations, available at http://www.unctad.org/en/docs/ldc2007_en.pdf.
- ——. 2010. Creative Economy Report 2010. Creative Economy: A Feasible Development Option. UNCTAD, United Nations 2010, available at http://www.unctad.org/en/docs/ ditctab20103_en.pdf.
- UNEP (United Nations Environment Programme), EPO (European Patent Office), and ICTSD (International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development). 2010. Patents and Clean Energy: Bridging the Gap Between Evidence and Policy: Final Report. Presented in Brussels, 30 September 2010, available at http://www.epo.org/news-issues/issues/ clean-energy/study.html.
- United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs. 2010. United Nations E-Government Survey 2010 Leveraging e-Government at a Time of Financial and Economic Crisis. New York: UN, available at http://unpanl.un.org/intradoc/groups/public/documents/un/unpan038851.pdf.
- Von Tunzelmann, N. and V. Acha. 2005. 'Innovation in "Low Tech" Industries'. In *The Oxford Handbook of Innovation*, ed. J. Fagerberg, D. C. Mowery, and R. R. Nelson. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press, Chapter 15.
- WIPO (World Intellectual Property Organization). 2010. World IP Indicators 2010. Geneva: WIPO.
- World Bank. 2006. Enhancing Agricultural Innovation: How to Go Beyond the Strengthening of Research Systems. Washington DC: World Bank.
- ——. 2008. Global Economic Prospects Technology Diffusion in the Developing World 2008.Washington DC: World Bank.

World Economic Forum. 2010. The Global Competitiveness Report 2010–2011. Geneva: World Economic Forum, available at http://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_ GlobalCompetitivenessReport_2010-11.pdf.

Statistical tests on the Global Innovation Index

MICHAELA SAISANA, European Commission Joint Research Centre, Ispra, Italy

The assessment of conceptual and statistical coherence of the Global Innovation Index (GII) and the estimation of the impact of modelling assumptions on a country's performance are necessary steps. They ensure the transparency and reliability of the GII and enable policy makers to derive more accurate and meaningful conclusions, and potentially guide choices on priority setting and policy formulation. Modelling the versatile concepts underlying innovation at a national scale around the globe, as attempted in the GII, raises practical challenges related to the quality of available data and the combination of these into a single number.

The Econometrics and Applied Statistics Unit at the European Commission Joint Research Centre in Ispra (Italy) has experience in assessing composite indicators. It has co-authored, with the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), a Handbook on Constructing Composite Indicators: Methodology and User Guide, whose methodology has been used for the present analysis.

The GII was assessed along two main avenues: the conceptual and statistical coherence of its structure, and the impact of key modelling assumptions on its scores and ranks.

Conceptual and statistical coherence in the GII framework

An earlier version of the GII model was assessed by the JRC in April 2011. Fine-tuning suggestions were made, which were taken into account in the final version of the GII model. In this way, the development of the 2011 GII moved from a one-way design process to an iterative process with the JRC with a view to laying the foundation for a balanced index. This section will consider these refinements and provide an additional assessment of the conceptual/statistical coherence in the final GII model. The entire process followed four steps (see Figure 1):

Step 1: Conceptual consistency

Candidate indicators were selected for their relevance to a specific innovation pillar (based on literature review and expert opinion) and for their timeliness. To represent a fair picture of country differences, indicators were scaled (e.g., by GDP, population, total exports, or other units) as appropriate and where needed.

Step 2: Data checks


The most recently released data were used for each country, with a cut-off at year 2000. Countries were included if data availability was at

least 63% (i.e., 50 out of 80 variables) and at least two of the three sub-pillars in each pillar could be computed (the latter is a flexibility that was granted by the strong correlations between sub-pillars within each pillar found during the JRC Audit of April, which was based on a sample of 98 countries with scores on all sub-pillars). Data values outside the 2.0 interquartile range were checked for reporting errors.2 Potentially problematic indicators that could bias the overall results were identified as those having a skewness (absolute) greater than 2 and kurtosis greater than 3.5,3 and were treated either by winsorisation (where country values distorting the indicator distribution were assigned the next highest value, up to the level where skewness and kurtosis entered within the specified ranges) or by taking the natural logarithm (in case of more than five outliers).

Step 3: Statistical coherence

Few cases of strong collinearity (i.e., Pearson correlation coefficients greater than ~0.92) were spotted.⁴ These involved variables 1.2.1 with 1.2.2, 3.1.1 with 3.1.2, 3.2.1 with 3.2.2, 5.1.3 with 5.1.4, and finally 7.1.3 with 7.1.4. For some indicators, this issue was dealt with by treating the pair as a single indicator (by assigning half weight to each normalized score): this was the case for 3.2.1 and 3.2.2, Electricity output and consumption; and for

Figure 1: Conceptual and statistical coherence in the GII 2011 framework



Source: Saisana, European Commission Joint Research Centre, 2011 (in progress).

5.1.3 and 5.1.4, R&D performed and financed by business. For the others, full weights were kept on theoretical grounds in order to get a proper balance within the respective sub-pillar: this was the case for 1.2.1 and 1.2.2, Regulatory quality and Rule of law; and for 3.1.1 and 3.1.2, ICT access and use. A decision on the eventual treatment of 7.1.3 with 7.1.4, survey questions on ICT

and business/organizational model creation, remains. Nevertheless, no strong collinearity is present at the sub-pillar level, where the average bivariate correlation of all significant correlations is 0.46.

Principal component analysis confirms the presence of a single latent dimension in each pillar for the first six pillars (one component with eigenvalue greater than 0.9) that

captures from 54% (Infrastructure) up to 76% (Institutions) of the total variance in the three underlying sub-pillars. All sub-pillar loadings within a pillar are of the same magnitude, which justifies the use of equal weights during aggregation to pillars. Further, results confirm the expectation that the sub-pillars are more correlated to their own pillar than to any other. This analysis could not be carried out on the Creative outputs pillar because it is composed of only two sub-pillars that are not significantly correlated to each other. Our recommendation on this pillar would be to populate it with additional and relevant variables, if available. This fine-tuning issue had already been spotted in April, and led INSEAD to reduce the number of sub-pillars in the creative outputs pillar from three to two until new and better statistics become available.

The five pillars in the Innovation Input Sub-Index also share a single latent dimension that captures 80% of the total variance. The five loadings are very similar to each other, which suggests that building the Input Sub-Index as a simple average (equal weights) of the five pillars is statistically supported by the data. Again, this analysis could not be carried out on the Innovation Output Sub-Index because it is made of only two pillars - Scientific outputs and Creative outputs, which are both correlated strongly with the Output Sub-Index (Pearson correlation coefficients are 0.90 and 0.83, respectively). This latter implies that the Output Sub-Index is also well balanced in its two pillars.

Lastly, building the GII as the simple average of the Input and Output Sub-Indices is also statistically justifiable, as the Pearson correlation coefficient of either sub-index with the overall GII is 0.90. So far, results show that the conceptual grouping

of sub-pillars into pillars, sub-indices, and an overall GII is statistically coherent, has a balanced structure (i.e., is not dominated by any pillar or sub-pillar), and gives further justification for the use of simple averages at the various levels of aggregation.

Step 4: Qualitative Review

Finally, the GII results, including overall country classification and relative performance in terms of Innovation Input, Output, or Efficiency, were evaluated by INSEAD and the JRC to verify that the overall results are, to a great extent, consistent with current evidence, existing research, or prevailing theory.

Notwithstanding these statistical tests and the positive outcomes on the statistical coherence of the GII structure, it is important to mention that the GII model will continue to evolve as better data, more comprehensive surveys and assessments, and new relevant research studies become available.

Impact of modelling assumptions on the **GII results**

Every country score on the overall GII and its two Innovation Sub-Indices depends on choices that include the composition of the seven-pillar structure, the selected variables, the estimation or not of missing data, the normalization of the variables, the weights assigned to them, and the aggregation method, among other elements. Some of these choices are based on the opinion of experts in the field (e.g., selection of variables and equal weights within pillars), or common practice (e.g., the min-max method to normalize the variables in a 0-to-100 scale), driven by statistical analysis (e.g., treating outliers) or simplicity (e.g.,

Table 1: Uncertainty intervals for the GII weights

GII Sub-Index	Pillar	Reference value for the weight	Distribution assigned for robustness analysis
Innovation Input	Institutions	0.2	U[0.1,0.3]
	Human capital and research	0.2	U[0.1,0.3]
	Infrastructure	0.2	U[0.1,0.3]
	Market sophistication	0.2	U[0.1,0.3]
	Business sophistication	0.2	U[0.1,0.3]
Innovation Output	Scientific outputs	0.5	U[0.4,0.6]
	Creative outputs	0.5	U[0.4,0.6]

Source: Saisana, European Commission Joint Research Centre, 2011 (in progress).


no estimation of missing data). The aim of the robustness analysis is to assess to what extent these choices might impact the GII results. We have dealt with these uncertainties in order to check their simultaneous and joint influence with a view to fully acknowledge their implications. In the present analysis, the data are assumed to be error-free since INSEAD already undertook a double-check control of potential outliers and eventual errors and typos were corrected during this phase (see Step 2 in Figure 1).

The robustness assessment of the GII was based on a combination of a Monte Carlo experiment and a multi-modelling approach. This type of assessment aims to respond to eventual criticism that the country scores associated with aggregate measures are generally not calculated under conditions of certainty, even if they are frequently presented as such.5 The Monte Carlo simulation related to the issue of weighting and comprised 1,000 runs, each corresponding to a different set of weights of the seven pillars, randomly sampled from uniform continuous distributions centred in the reference values. The choice of the range for the weights' variation has been driven by two opposite needs: on the one hand, the need to ensure a wide enough interval to have

meaningful robustness checks; on the other hand, the need to respect the rationale of the GII that the Input Sub-Index (five pillars) and the Output Sub-Index (two pillars) are placed on an equal footing when building the overall GII. Given these considerations, limit values of uncertainty intervals have been defined as shown in Table 1.

The multi-modelling approach involved combinations of the remaining two key assumptions on the 'no imputation' of missing data and the aggregation formula at the pillar level. The GII developing team, for reasons of transparency and replicability, opted not to estimate missing data and instead calculated sub-pillar and pillar scores using only available information for each country. The 'no imputation' choice, which is common in relevant contexts, might discourage countries from reporting low data values.6 To overcome this limitation, we opted to use the hot-deck imputation method within each pillar.7 Regarding the GII assumption on the aggregation function (arithmetic average), and despite the fact that it received statistical support in the previous section, decision-theory practitioners have challenged this type of aggregation because of inherent theoretical inconsistencies and because of the fully compensatory nature, in

Figure 2a: Robustness analysis (GII rank vs. median rank, 90% confidence intervals)



Source: Saisana, European Commission Joint Research Centre, 2011 (in progress). Note: The Spearman rank correlation is 0.991.

which a comparative high advantage of a few variables can compensate a comparative disadvantage of many variables. Hence, we considered the geometric average instead, which is a partially compensatory approach.

Consequently, we tested four models based on the combination of no imputation versus hot-deck, or arithmetic versus geometric average. Combined with the 1,000 simulations per model to account for the uncertainty in the weights at the pillar level, we carried out altogether 4,000 simulations for the GII, and an equal number of simulations for either the Innovation Input or the Innovation Output Sub-Indices.

Uncertainty analysis results


The main results of the robustness analysis are provided in Figure 2, which shows median ranks and intervals computed across the 4,000 Monte Carlo simulations for the overall GII and the two Innovation Sub-Indices. Countries are ordered from best to worst according to their reference rank (black line), the dot being the median rank. Error bars represent, for each country, the 90% interval across all simulations. GII ranks are rather robust: the median rank is close to the reference rank (less than five positions for 75% of the countries). Results for the Input Sub-Index are more robust (75% of the countries shift less than three positions), while the Output Sub-Index is more sensitive to the methodological choices (75% of the countries shift less than six positions). The Output Sub-Index is more sensitive to methodological changes for two reasons: there are only two pillars and they are only moderately associated with each

other (Pearson correlation coefficient: 0.51). However, it cannot be ruled out altogether that the correlation could improve as more data become available, as suggested by theory. The currently observed moderate correlation might be to the result of: (1) the fact that missing values are particularly distorting; (2) the use of count and not value variables; and (3) the use of proxies, which is due to the lack of statistics, particularly on 7.2 (expenditure on recreation and culture, and exports of creative goods and services as proxies for creative outputs).

Sensitivity analysis results


Complementary to the uncertainty analysis, sensitivity analysis has been used to identify which of the modelling assumptions have the highest impact on certain country

Figure 2b: Robustness analysis (Input rank vs. median rank, 90% confidence intervals)




Source: Saisana, European Commission Joint Research Centre, 2011 (in progress). Note: The Spearman rank correlation is 0.996.

Figure 2c: Robustness analysis (Output rank vs. median rank, 90% confidence intervals)



Source: Saisana, European Commission Joint Research Centre, 2011 (in progress). Note: The Spearman rank correlation is 0.984.

Figure 3a: Sensitivity analysis: Impact of modelling choices (GII rankings versus imputation)











Figure 3b: Sensitivity analysis: Impact of modelling choices (Geometric average)







Source: Saisana, European Commission Joint Research Centre, 2011 (in progress).

Note: Rs = Spearman rank correlation; kNN=2, imputation based on Manhattan distance and two (k) nearest neighbours (NN).

T: Appe

ranks. Detailed results are available in the main JRC assessment report, but the primary conclusion is that the impact of the imputation alone is noteworthy for some countries, although this may be moderated when considering a geometric aggregation and a variation in the weights for the pillars. Figure 3 plots the reference GII ranks (and the two Sub-Indices) versus one-at-a-time changes of either the imputation method or the aggregation formula.

These plots show that the most influential assumption is the choice of no imputation versus hot-deck imputation. This is most important for the Output Sub-Index, then for the GII, and least important for the Input Sub-Index. In one case, a country is found to lose 10 positions if a geometric aggregation is applied, or lose 44 positions if hotdeck imputation is applied. If both assumptions are changed (weights remain at the reference values), the impact of the imputation would be moderated. This sensitivity is the result of data availability. Although all countries have data coverage above 70% in the Input variables, 32 countries have data coverage below 65% in the Output variables, which explains the impact of imputation on these countries' ranks and the unreliable efficiency scores (this had already spotted as peculiar during Step 4 of the expert review process, see Figure 1). Sensitivity analysis, by assessing the impact of the modelling choices, has given more transparency to the entire process and can help to appreciate the GII results with respect to the assumptions made during the development phase. Volatile ranks are a worrisome concern primarily for countries with poor data coverage on the Innovation Output Sub-Index, an impact that propagates to the

estimation of the Efficiency Index and the overall GII.

The recommendation for the future would be to apply the 63% criterion for data availability within each of the two Sub-Indices, so as to avoid drawing a better picture for countries with poor data quality on one of the two Sub-Indices, especially the Innovation Output Sub-Index. For this year, drawing upon the analysis made by the JRC, the recommendation is to drop the countries for which indices were found to be unreliable.

Conclusion

The JRC analysis suggests that the conceptualized multi-level structure of the GII is statistically coherent, has a balanced structure (i.e., is not dominated by any pillar or sub-pillar), and has offered statistical justification for the use of simple averages at the various levels of aggregation from the sub-pillars onwards. Country ranks are in most cases fairly robust to methodological assumptions (estimation of missing data, weighting, and aggregation formula). Consequently, together with other fine-tuning suggestions made in the sections above, a key recommendation for future years is to apply the data coverage criterion for countries' inclusion not at the overall GII level, as currently done, but within each of the two Innovation Sub-Indices. The JRC also recommended that this year the GII drop the five countries for which ranks were found to be unreliable. This has been done, so that the GII 2011 considers a total of 125 countries. Furthermore, the 'no imputation' choice for not treating missing values, which is common in relevant contexts, as justified on grounds of transparency and replicability, can

at times have undesirable impact on aggregate scores, with the additional negative side-effect that it may discourage countries from reporting low data values.

Notes

- 1 JRC auditing studies of composite indicators are available at http://composite-indicators. jrc.ec.europa.eu/ (almost all audits were carried upon request of the Index developers).
- The interquartile range is the difference between the upper (75% of values) and the lower (25% of values) quartiles.
- 3 Groeneveld and Meeden (1984) set the criteria for absolute skewness above 1 and kurtosis above 3.5. The skewness criterion was relaxed to account for the small sample (130 countries).
- 4 High collinearity can be problematic when analysing the statistical coherence of a framework and may result in aggregate scores that are dominated by the highly collinear indicators.
- 5 Saisana et al., 2005; Saisana et al., 2011.
- 6 Note that here 'no imputation' is equivalent to replacing missing values with the average of the available data within each sub-pillar.
- 7 The 'hot-deck method' (also termed 'nearest neighbour method') involves substituting missing values for a given country with available data from 'similar' countries, similarity being measured by a certain distance (Little and Rubin, 2002). For the GII, after cross-validation, we selected Manhattan distance and two nearest neighbours.
- 8 Munda, 2008.
- 9 In the geometric average, pillars are multiplied as opposed to summed in the arithmetic average. Pillar weights appear as exponents in the multiplication.

References

Groeneveld, R. A. and G. Meeden. 1984. 'Measuring skewness and kurtosis'. *The Statistician* 33: 391–99.

Little, R. J. A. and D. B. Rubin. 2002. Statistical Analysis with Missing Data, 2nd edition. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

- Munda, G. 2008. Social Multi-Criteria Evaluation for a Sustainable Economy. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.
- OECD/EC JRC (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development / European Commission Joint Research Centre). 2008. Handbook on Constructing Composite Indicators: Methodology and User Guide. Paris: OECD.
- Saisana, M. 2011 (in progress). Statistical Tests on the Global Innovation Index (GII): Detailed Report. European Commission Joint Research Centre. Ispra, Italy: EC/JRC.
- Saisana, M., B. D'Hombres, and A. Saltelli. 2011. 'Rickety Numbers: Volatility of University Rankings and Policy Implications'. *Research Policy* 40: 165–77.
- Saisana, M., A. Saltelli, and S. Tarantola. 2005. 'Uncertainty and Sensitivity Analysis Techniques as Tools for the Analysis and Validation of Composite Indicators'. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society* A 168 (2): 307–23.
- Saltelli, A., M. Ratto, T. Andres, F. Campolongo, J. Cariboni, D. Gatelli, M. Saisana, and S. Tarantola. 2008. *Global Sensitivity Analysis: The Primer*. Chichester, England: John Wiley & Sons.

Innovation in Latin America: Recent Insights

Lourdes Casanova, INSEAD Strategy Department; JEFF DAYTON-JOHNSON, OECD Development Centre; NILS OLAYA FONSTAD, INSEAD eLab; and Anna Pietikäinen, OECD Development Centre

There are many examples of promising policy reforms and flourishing innovations among Latin American governments and businesses. Today, 'innovation' means more than catching up by imitating innovative firms from more developed economies. In several revealing cases, Latin American businesses are redefining global business by developing new business models. Latin America can offer lessons about innovating with scarce resources in volatile and unpredictable environments indeed, innovators in countries leading in research and development (R&D) increasingly face similarly challenging conditions.

However, business leaders and policy makers must do more to encourage productive risk-taking, multiply the success stories, and ensure that micro-level innovation is scaled up into more productive economies at the macro level. Innovation matters for economic growth and social development: it plays a critical role not only in promoting private profits, but also in advancing the frontier of well-being. Recent reforms to innovation policy frameworks in Latin America are promising. These need to be continued and strengthened in order to translate the wealth of innovation in the region into better economic growth and social well-being for all.

In this chapter, we highlight insights from InnovaLatino, a collaboration between INSEAD and the Development Centre of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), funded by the Telefónica Foundation. We conclude this chapter with recommendations for strengthening the contribution of innovation to social and economic development in Latin America.

The case for innovation in Latin America

Today, in Latin America and the Caribbean, innovation—the adoption of new products, production processes, marketing methods, and business models—has risen to the top of the agenda for decision makers in government and business alike. Productivity has lagged in Latin America relative to OECD countries and other emerging economies, and the region's policy makers recognize that investing in and promoting innovation can help to close that gap.1 Innovative practices will also be necessary to make growth cleaner and more environmentally sustainable in the future. There will be a rising need for institutions and policies that support and orient the transition to new growth models.

Enhanced budget transparency, the adoption of fiscal rules, and the wise use of countercyclical macroeconomic policies—all examples of innovative policy making—allowed Latin America to resist the global financial crisis better than many

other regions of the world. But to achieve sustainable growth and development at a rate sufficient to address social needs in the region, structural changes in economic development strategies will be needed. The window of opportunity offered by the rapid recovery from the crisis and fiscal space needs to be seized for more sustainable investment in innovation.

As the Western world struggles to recover from the global financial crisis, new players are emerging in the innovation arena, challenging decades of primacy of a small number of high-income OECD countries. For example, China has dramatically increased both expenditure and employment in R&D. Brazil, India, the Russian Federation, and South Africa are likewise increasing their presence in global science, technology, and innovation. Latin America is both a protagonist in the expansion of global innovation and is challenged by the emergence of new actors such as China and India.

At the same time, decision makers in Latin America face the same challenges as their counterparts in many OECD economies—consolidating existing innovation processes, supporting investment in innovative sectors (such as green technologies), and creating the conditions to bring more players into the innovation game. Although the challenges for Latin America are specific to its

Box 1: The InnovaLatino project


InnovaLatino is a joint project of the OECD Development Centre and INSEAD's eLab, supported by the Telefónica Foundation. The objective of the project is to research innovation dynamics in the public and business sectors in Latin America with the aim both of drawing attention to and learning lessons from innovation experiments underway in the region, and of advocating greater policy attention to innovation in national development strategies.

The findings of the InnovaLatino report are based on original research combining economic and statistical analysis with 50 case studies of innovators throughout the region. In particular, the report includes results of a survey of 1,500 manufacturing firms in eight of the region's countries. This InnovaLatino survey provides recent data on firms' innovation strategies and trends in innovation investment in the context of the global economic crisis.

The project team worked in collaboration with key stakeholders, policy makers, and experts, in particular from the Ibero-American Network of Science and Technology (RICYT), the UN Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), the Inter-American Development Bank (IDB), and the European Commission.

The project website (www. innovalatino.org) provides country-level information and indicators of economic performance, as well as case studies of innovative firms.

Figure 1: Six different innovators based on two dimensions



Source: Casanova and Dayton-Johnson et al., 2011.

context and history and are shaped by the heterogeneity that characterizes production structures across and within its countries, the experiences of other countries can be relevant to the region. By participating in the global debate on how to foster innovation for growth, successes and failures in policy and business practices can be identified and imitated. The objective of the InnovaLatino project (Box 1) is to contribute to and inform these debates.

Who are Latin America's innovators?

InnovaLatino examines four types of innovation, united by the notion of novelty: a new product, a new process, a new way of selling something, and a new way of organizing the workplace. Box 2 provides a Latin American example of each type of innovation. Far from being limited

to products generated by laboratory research, the range of activities embraced by these four types of innovation is remarkably broad.

Innovators can be differentiated along two dimensions, yielding six varieties of innovators (Figure 1). The first dimension is the size of the organization (e.g., the number of full-time equivalents (FTEs) in the organization). Size both enables and constrains how effectively and efficiently an organization engages in innovation activities. It also influences the kinds of resources—such as credit—it can access.

The second dimension distinguishes between organizations driven primarily by maximising profits and those driven by maximising social benefits, such as poverty reduction, health care for the poor, social justice, and improved literacy. Understanding the benefits that an innovator seeks enables analysts to

assess more accurately what critical success factors correlate with different outputs. Taken together, these help define different types of innovators; specific examples of each type of innovator are provided in Box 3.

Measuring Innovation: From the old to the new

Possibly the most frequently cited indicator of innovation performance is public and private R&D investment in innovation as a share of gross domestic product (GDP). Latin American economies are well below the OECD average for R&D expenditure and the regional average is barely above a tenth of the R&D expenditure of Korea (Figure 2). However, some OECD countries (such as Greece, Poland, and Turkey) exhibit R&D investment rates similar to those seen in Latin America.

When interpreting these figures, it must be highlighted that R&D investment measures only a part of the innovation economy. It is necessary for certain kinds of product innovations, and R&D may increase firms' capacities to adapt new technologies more generally. At the same time, differences in economic structures can lead to obvious disparities in levels of R&D. As such, economic sectors with lower R&D intensity for example, natural resource-based sectors such as agriculture, mining, and petroleum extraction—account for a larger share of GDP in Latin America than in OECD countries, and therefore aggregate R&D investment rates in Latin America could be expected to be lower. There are also differences within the region: it is heterogeneous and characterized by the coexistence of different production structures.

Box 2: Latin American examples of different types of innovations

These examples of innovation in Latin America illustrate the various categories of the concept, and demonstrate the range of innovations taking place in the region.

The Variable Specific Impulse Magnetoplasma Rocket (VASIMR), developed by Costa Rican astronaut and physicist Franklin Chang Díaz, is a textbook example of **product innovation** that is new to the world. The VASIMR is an electro-magnetic thruster for spacecraft propulsion that may one day be used for space transport. Chang Díaz has founded a company (Ad Astra), based in the United States of America (US) and Costa Rica, to develop the VASIMR and other advances in rocket propulsion technology.

The Brazilian airplane maker Embraer has built its success on an innovative manufacturing process and organization in which it shares risks with and outsources production to partners in developed economies. Although Brazil had its own supply of excellent engineers in aeronautics, the company initially did not have the resources to invest in the production of airplanes. Hence out of necessity it had to innovate and share risks and returns with partners from developed economies who designed parts of the plane for Embraer in return for a share of the returns generated from the sales. Today, this model of risk sharing has become a globally accepted 'standard' for the aeronautical industry at large.

Havaianas flip-flops, produced by Brazilian footwear and textile company Alpargatas, have become a globally successful brand, thanks to the firm's **marketing innovation.** Going against all expectations and common practice, Alpargatas repositioned the brand from the low end of the market to the high end. During its first 30 years, Havaianas were considered a cheap sandal for low-income consumers in Brazil. During the 1990s, the firm's management radically changed its strategy, investing in advertising and exports to make Havaianas high-end footwear among consumers in Europe and the US. The brand has gone from 44 different models in 1993 to over 6,000 today.

Cinépolis is a good example of business model innovation: The firm has successfully adapted the traditional movie theatre venue into a space where all kinds of entertainment can be enjoyed collectively. After opening its first cinema in Mexico in 1993, Cinépolis today owns 2,320 screens worldwide, making it the largest film distributor and theatre chain in Latin America and the fourth-largest movie distributor in the world. This international firm employs 15,190 people and has a presence in Mexico, Colombia, Costa Rica, Guatemala Panama, Peru, and El Salvador. In June 2010, Cinépolis entered India, and also plans to enter the Chilean and Argentinian markets. In Latin America, Cinépolis introduced the concept of multiplexes with modern equipment that include stadium-sized cinemas equipped with a digital sound systems and enormous screens. This was its main competitive advantage when entering India, one of the biggest film markets in the world. In 2010, Cinépolis reached an agreement with FIFA for exclusive rights to broadcast the football World Cup matches in its cinemas with digital quality.

Box 3: Five different types of innovators in Latin America

Large firms: Since 1984, when Adolfo Grobocopatel founded Los Grobo in Argentina, the company has grown into one of the largest grain producers and agricultural service providers in the world—yet it owns no land, no tractors, and no harvesters. Los Grobo provides logistical and grain storage services to farmers and produces soy, corn, and wheat on a total of 300,000 hectares in Argentina, Brazil, Paraguay, and Uruguay. Los Grobo's innovative business model consists of an information-technology facilitated network of 3,800 small and medium agricultural suppliers. At its headquarters, 100 people provide inputs such as seeds, finance, technical advice, the sale and marketing of crops, and the deployment of technologies such as GPS and agricultural simulation models to help the network of farmers manage soil resources and deal with climate risk. Gustavo Grobocopatel, Adolfo's son and now president of Los Grobo, has explained: 'We are not big . . . but many'. Los Grobo has received significant global recognition and awards.

Corporate social responsibility:

Causas.org is an non-governmental organization (NGO) created in 2005 by Arturo Franco, Vidal Cantu, and Adolfo Franco, whose initial intention was to use the Internet to more efficiently link employees from corporations looking for volunteer opportunities with the organizations offering them. Causas.org has verified, registered, and classified over 9,650 NGOs. Consequently, it has developed into a comprehensive online directory of Mexican civil society. Causas.org gives each civil organization in Mexico a free domain and hosts a simple website where an NGO can communicate its mission and vision and social action, as well as blog, post videos and photographs, and—most importantly—solicit volunteers. Participating NGOs can also administer their own websites. Causas.org provides people looking to volunteer a place on the Web where they can search and compare various NGOs. In the first stage of the programme, Causas.org received financial support from companies such as Axtel, Coca-Cola Femsa, Cinepolis, and Scotiabank. These companies also participated in Causas.org Corporate Volunteering Programme, which generated more than 3,000 social action opportunities for their employees. In 2009, Causas.org was one of the winners of the National Solidarity and Volunteering Awards given by the government of Mexico.

Small and medium-sized firms: In Argentina, Guerra Creativa provides design services by leveraging crowd sourcing in ways not previously seen in concept-todesign processes. If a client wants a new logo or webpage, Guerra Creativa will host a design contest for a fixed period (e.g., 21 days), then will enable the client to evaluate entries (often over 100), to select a winner. Guerra Creativa uses this process to design logos, websites, stationery, and flash and 3D designs. Guerra Creativa also enables designers to interact and learn from each other, hosts exhibitions of their work online, and provides feedback on the designs of others. A section of the site allows users to get exclusive tutorials, with step-by-step instructions for different techniques and advice from their interactive creative director. Currently, the community includes 3,400 designers who have already uploaded more than 11,000 designs and a total membership of 6,000 clients.

Social entrepreneurs: In 1995, Rodrigo Baggio, a former Intel executive, founded the Centre for Digital Inclusion (CDI) based on the concept of helping people to help themselves. CDI Community Centres have three principal objectives: they are self-managed, they are self-sustainable, and they implement the CDI

pedagogy. This unique approach requires that by the end of each four-month course, students will have used technology as the main tool to initiate, plan, implement, and complete a 'social advocacy project' aimed at changing an aspect of their lives. At the same time, CDI provides the teachers with training on the use of computers and pays them higher-than-average salaries (US\$200 per month, which is more than twice the average salary of a teacher in the Brazilian public school system). Currently, there are CDI franchises in 753 schools in Brazil and 100 abroad with 1,036 volunteers, 1,726 educators, and 600,000 people from lowincome communities who have been certified. When CDI mobilized five internal working groups from different disciplines to innovate new solutions for efficient growth, the result was the creation of a new multimedia learning environment, new courses, new services with business plans, revised performance indicators, a new monitoring process, and an online platform for communication and collaboration. With the support of James Wolfensohn, former President of the World Bank and the Wolfensohn Institute, CDI is in the process of expanding to the Middle East and North Africa region, to be followed by India and other parts of Africa. *Time* magazine named Baggio one of '50 Latin American Leaders of the New Millennium'.

Public institutions: Public institutions are also significant innovators. A number of Latin American governments have launched public programmes to address innovation. Colciencias in Colombia, founded in 1995, is a public entity that promotes science, technology, and innovation activities. With a US\$200 million budget, Colciencias funds research in universities, companies, and technical development centres; awards scholarships to doctoral students; and helps set up regional


Box 3 Five different types of innovators in Latin America (continued)

information technology projects. The entity is focused on creating an attractive research environment for scientists in Colombia and has been active in establishing collaboration with research institutions in Europe and the United States of America. Since 2006, 22 technological development centres have been created, 1,161 research groups have received funding from the programme, 1,045 doctoral students have received scholarships, and 203 companies have received funding for scientific innovation activities, most of them co-funded by the firms.

Note

1 Viswanathan, 2010.

Figure 2. R&D expenditure as a share of GDP (percentages)



Source: Main Science and Technology Indicators (2010–12), OECD Statistics; World Bank, World Development Indicators 2007.

Note: All OECD values are for 2008, including the OECD average, except Mexico and Greece (2007). The average for Latin America is computed for the Latin American countries in the graph including Mexico and Chile and using World Bank data.

Other more traditional indicators for the innovation intensity of an economy include patent applications. Again, the gap between OECD (averaging at 4,215 in 2009) and Latin American (44, in the same year) countries is wide; even the top Latin American performers—Brazil and Mexico—are well below the OECD average. In fact, there is a high level of concentration: in 2006, Japan, the United States of America (US), the Republic of Korea, Germany, and China represented 76% of all patent filings.²

The share of high-technology exports as a share of all manufacturing exports can also be taken as a proxy for technological specialization of production structures. Latin American countries are less specialized in high-tech exports than OECD economies: on average, 8%


of Latin American exports are characterized as high-tech, against 14% of OECD exports. However, this indicator does not capture the effective value-added generated in the country, and there are some caveats regarding its interpretation. For example, the case of Costa Rica (39%) is basically explained by Intel's share of activity in the country's relatively small economy; in Mexico (19%), the large number of assembly plants (maquilas) has a similar effect on statistics.³

A fourth commonly accepted measure for innovation, albeit a broader one, is productivity. Changes in productivity at the macroeconomic level are typically measured using the concept of total factor productivity (TFP). If one can quantify all the inputs (types of labour, equipment, infrastructure,

etc.) used to produce a country's GDP in a given year, and there is no change in inputs but an increase in GDP the following year, the difference in growth is attributed to TFP. This corresponds, roughly speaking, to the efficiency with which inputs are combined. At least part of TFP growth can be explained by innovation, which should allow an economy to produce more output from a given quantity of labour and capital. Chile's TFP growth exceeded that of the US over the last half century, and Brazil's nearly matched the US rate (Figure 3). But for many countries in the region, the productivity gap with the US is widening at the same time that other emerging markets are closing their productivity gap with respect to the US.

The statistical evidence provided above characterizes some aspects

Figure 3: Total factor productivity ratio (2005 versus 1960)



Source: Daude, 2010.

Note: For each country, the bar shows the ratio of TFP in 2005 to TFP in 1960. If the ratio is greater than one, TFP has increased over those 45 years; otherwise, it has declined.

of innovation in Latin American economies. By developing a set of additional indicators, the multidimensionality of innovation can be better understood and measured. Prominent examples of new measures for OECD countries are those focusing on investment in intangibles and data from firm innovation surveys, including the percentage of firms that introduce new-to-market products and marketing and organizational processes (to measure innovation at the firm level). The 'tangibles' include machinery, equipment, and structures, while the 'intangibles' cover organizational and human capabilities and software, as well as trademarks and immaterial assets for which customers are ready to pay (such as design). Many of these measures are introduced and explained in the OECD Innovation Strategy, launched in 2010.4 Efforts

are under way to develop and adjust such indicators for Latin American countries as the basis of a better understanding of their innovation performance. INSEAD's Global Innovation Index presented in this report, meanwhile, combines variables used to monitor innovation performance to include those more relevant to emerging economies.

In order to provide fresh insights into different manifestations of innovation in Latin America, the project developed the 2010 InnovaLatino survey. This survey gathered upto-date information on innovation activities from a large number of firms in the region, including information regarding the impact of the 2008–09 economic crisis upon firms' innovation projects. (The scope and methodology of the survey differ from those of national innovation surveys implemented

by national statistical agencies in many of these countries. Therefore the InnovaLatino survey results do not always coincide with those from other surveys.) The survey targeted firms in the manufacturing sector (comprising categories 15-37 of the ISIC Rev. 3 classification),⁵ allowing for uniformity of what is meant by 'innovation' across different firms. As a result of the restriction to manufacturing and the emphasis on larger firms, the initial sample is, by design, not representative of the entire population of firms in the eight countries (Argentina, Brazil, Colombia, Chile, Costa Rica, Mexico, Peru, and Uruguay) covered.

The survey was implemented between November 2009 and January 2010 in eight countries, and post-stratification weights based on firm size and sector of activity were implemented to better reflect the

2: Innovation in Latin America

1.0 0.8 0.6 0.4 Firm 0.2 National ☐ Global 0.0 Overall Argentina Brazil Chile Colombia Costa Rica Mexico Peru Uruguay

Figure 4. Firms introducing product innovations that are new to the world, the market, or the firm

Source: InnovaLatino: Fostering Innovation in Latin America, 2011.

Note: Percentage of manufacturing firms reporting product innovations to the world, the national market, or the firm.

population of firms in each country. These weights were constructed with reference to firm size and innovation-intensity of the firm's sub-sector.

Some results worth highlighting are presented in Figures 4 and 5. The former illustrates that emerging markets introduce a majority of innovations that are new to the market and to the firm, rather than the world. Brazil presents the highest proportion of innovations that are new to the world, at 36%.

The InnovaLatino report presents the results to these and over a dozen questions for eight countries and distinguishes responses between smaller (fewer than 50 employees) and larger (more than 50 employees) firms. The InnovaLatino survey provides a rich perspective on the broad diversity of innovation in

Latin America in the critical manufacturing sector.


Learning from Latin America

Five characteristics of Latin American economies must be kept in mind when seeking to strengthen their innovation capacity. Their analysis may also offer important lessons for countries seeking to strengthen their innovation agenda amidst similar conditions.

1. Innovation in a natural resource—abundant economy. A key challenge for Latin American economies is to define how to promote innovation in the natural resource sectors that currently dominate the economy and, in parallel, how to further develop other sectors that offer higher

productivity gains (diversification). Recently, strategies have been developed with a clear sectoral focus, and the choice of sectors has been pragmatic: to support the strengthening of competitive clusters around natural resources, as well as to simultaneously encourage development of emerging sectors. Firms and sectors are rising to the challenge of boosting innovation in natural resource-intensive sectors; an example of this is provided by EMBRAPA, the Brazilian Agricultural Research Corporation, a public research institute. In the field of policy initiatives, Chile's Development Agency (CORFO) has launched focused programmes to promote process innovations in the mining sector and to introduce new species of fish in the aquaculture

Figure 5. Sources of information used for innovation



Source: InnovaLatino: Fostering Innovation in Latin America, 2011.

sector. Similarly, in Argentina the development of dynamic clusters linked to natural resource–intensive sectors has received public funding (from FONTAR—Fondo Tecnológico Argentino) to execute both individual and associative innovation projects. This has been the case, for example, of the agricultural machinery cluster.

2. Policies to build innovation skills by enhancing formal education and linking universities and the business sector in Latin America and beyond. Human resources are vital to innovation. Successful innovation policy must, accordingly, be grounded in measures to help people acquire (or upgrade) and deploy the skills and creativity they need to innovate. This begins

with formal schooling-starting from early-childhood interventions all the way up to doctorallevel university studies—but also extends to the context in which educational institutions interact with the business sector and the way that information flows among them in the innovation system. The InnovaLatino survey highlights that cooperation with education institutions and firms more generally is increasingly recognized as important. For more than two in five firms (44%), cooperation is very important for the development of their innovation activities, and about the same proportion (41%) actually engage in some form of cooperation. Universities in Latin America such as Tec de Monterrey, in Mexico-can play an important role in this area.

3. Partnering and cluster policies. Given the complexity and high cost of many forms of innovation, businesses increasingly recognize the benefits of partnering. A large share of firms included in the InnovaLatino survey drew upon varied information sources: In addition to information resources internal to the firm, information was received from providers, clients, and other firms. During the last decade, a number of Latin American governments implemented policies to promote clusters for different purposes: fostering SMEs, such as the Arranjo Productivo Local programme carried out by SEBRAE in Brazil; promoting regional development as in the case of the cluster programme of Antioquia, Colombia; or looking for innovative solutions to

challenges faced by a sector or group of companies, as in the case of the Technology Consortia Program implemented by Corfo in Chile.

4. Innovation and green growth. Innovation is centrally important to combating environmental degradation and can be a key factor in making green growth possible through the development and deployment of environmental technologies. Some Latin American governments and firms are already shifting to more green growth models. Latin America is the second-largest biofuel-producing region of the world. Brazil dominates the region's production, producing ethanol from sugarcane, with Colombia a distant second. Brazil's capacity to move into 'second-generation' biofuel production—with net lifecycle greenhouse gas emission reductions—is probably as great as, or greater than, the capacity of any other emerging or developing economy. Examples of green innovation, though perhaps isolated at present, extend well beyond biofuels in Latin America. Grupo Islita, for example, a member of the World Heritage Alliance for Sustainable Tourism, leads a group of Costa Rican enterprises with the common goal of promoting responsible tourism practices that foster cultural authenticity, economic opportunity, and optimum environmental stewardship.

5. Adequate information systems. Among the shortcomings of current innovation indicators, the first, as pointed out above, is that existing measures are ill-suited to monitoring the

innovation economy of middle-income countries such as the majority in Latin America. Frequently cited variables—such as R&D expenditure, patents, scientists in the population, and trademarks— are undoubtedly of great importance, but they focus on technologically oriented, patentable innovations and fail to capture non-technological innovations and new-to-market or new-to-firm innovations. The development of new and more comprehensive indicators as advocated by the OECD Innovation Strategy will help improve innovation measurement and policy assessment.6

Key public policy tools for fostering innovation

With regard to critical success factors for fostering innovation, several Latin American countries have institutionalized good practices that create a better environment for innovation. During the last decade, Chile has created a National Council for Innovation and Competitiveness to ensure that ministries and departments coordinate their actions and take a suitably long-term view of innovation policy. The country is also using the increased revenues from commodity exports to support innovation. Brazil's institutional innovations include the widely praised activities of FINEP, the federal innovation financing agency, which in recent years has created an innovation incubator and venture capital vehicles to promote innovation. Other cases of experimentation can be found throughout the region.

Based on these practices and on the aforementioned key aspects of the region, we recommend leaders from the public and private sectors to consider the following:

Strengthening innovation in Latin America begins with strengthening people—researchers, entrepreneurs, managers, employees, suppliers, and customers of firms. Empowering people to innovate calls for more and better education for all. This involves developing different types of competences: basic literacy skills, occupational skills, and global knowledge economy skills, as well as offering adequate retraining opportunities. As countries pursue these educational goals, they will equip their economies to become better able to absorb, adopt, adapt, and generate new ideas and technologies.

A second group of actors in an innovation system are firms. Businesses are the place where knowledge and ideas are translated into new products, services, and business models. Innovation policy should recognize the diversity of firms in terms of size and sectoral specificities, and should foster actions and instruments suited to the characteristics of the economy. International organizations should also recognize the diversity of countries in terms of their portfolio of industry sectors and the distribution of different types of firms (e.g., their size and whether their primary objective is to gain profit or enhance social well-being). For example, the InnovaLatino survey found that in Argentina, over 50% of participating large firms were conducting projects with foreigners, whereas significantly fewer participating small firms (less than 30%) conducted projects with foreigners. In contrast, in Colombia, significantly more participating small firms (35%) reported conducting projects with foreigners than large firms (18%). In particular, targeted

support to micro, small, and medium-sized enterprises is vital because of their importance for employment generation and also because of their vulnerability to failure in their early years.

Strengthening institutional and infrastructure capacities for scientific research and developing incentives to support the diffusion and application of scientific outcomes to production development are also key elements of success in innovation policies.

A tangible and intangible infrastructure for innovation is crucial. It requires investment and the provision of adequate regulatory frameworks. High-speed broadband connections, in particular, offer an important platform for boosting entrepreneurial activity in many countries of the region, but these are also important for providing basic public services such as health and education to disadvantaged sectors of the population.

As innovation is an inherently risky undertaking that requires long-term financial commitment, public policy must encourage *adequate financing* to enterprises.

Successful innovation policy requires a long-term commitment from legitimate institutions with clear mandates, as well as coordinated action among ministries, agencies, and other levels of government, calling for improved means for designing and implementing *coherent policies*.

In addition to coherence among ministries, actors, and policy domains, innovation policy implies greater coherence between supply- and demand-side policies. The former typically include funding basic research or increasing levels of schooling; the latter include smart

regulations, standards, pricing, consumer education, and tax measures.

Finally, policy measures to unleash and support entrepreneurial creativity in Latin America cannot ignore policies directed toward the *informal sector*. Roughly one out of two workers in the region is part of the informal sector, and in some countries a majority of middle-class households work informally. Effective innovation policies cannot overlook this part of the economy.

Latin American countries—like other emerging economies—illustrate that our conception of innovation can no longer be limited to the activities of laboratories and investment in R&D. The InnovaLatino report's original firm-level indicators and data show that small and large firms in the region are innovating in this broader sense, even as most R&D expenditure in the region is largely publicly financed and quite low by international standards. How can the considerable creativity and innovation reported by firms be translated into better economic and social development? With the new measures and analyses included in the InnovaLatino report, Latin America can be better armed to tackle the challenges posed to lagging productivity and to seize the window of opportunity to launch a 'Latin American decade'.

Notes

- 1 IDB (2010) exhaustively reviews the Latin American productivity gap and the policy measures that might help to close it, including policies to promote more and better innovation. See also the InnovaLatino background paper by Daude (2010), which focuses on the productivity-innovation link in Latin America.
- 2 Patent applications to the European Patent Office; see the OECD Patent Database, 2009.
- World Bank, 2008.

- 4 OECD, 2010a.
- 5 See http://unstats.un.org/unsd/cr/registry/ regcst.asp?Cl=2&Lg=1, accessed by 20 May 2011.
- 6 OECD, 2010a.

References

- Casanova, L. 2009. Global Latinas: Latin America's Emerging Nultinationals. Houndmills, Basingstoke and New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Casanova, L., F. Castellani, J. Dayton-Johnson, S. Dutta, N. Fonstad, and C. Paunov. 2011. InnovaLatino: Fostering Innovation in Latin America. Madrid: INSEAD/OECD; Ariel/ Fundación Telefónica. Available at http:// www.innovalatino.org/.
- Casanova, L., and A. Dumas, A. 2010. 'Corporate Social Responsibility and Latin American Multinationals'. *Universia Business Review, Special Issue Multilatinas* 25 (first quarter 2010)
- Daude, C. 2010. 'Innovation, Productivity and Economic Development in Latin America and the Caribbean'. *DEV Working Papers* No. 288. Paris: OECD Development Centre.
- ECLAC (Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean) (CEPAL)/SEGIB. 2008. Espacios iberoamericanos: La economía del conocimiento. Naciones Unidas, CEPA: Secretaría General Iberoamericana, Santiago de Chile.
- IDB (Inter-American Development Bank). 2010. The Age of Productivity: Transforming Economies from the Bottom Up, ed. Carmen Pages-Serra. Washington DC: Inter-American Development Bank.
- OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development). No date. *OECD Patent Databases*, available at http:// www.oecd.org/document/41/0,3746, en_2649_34451_40813225_1_1_1_1,00. html
- ——. 2010a. The OECD Innovation Strategy: Getting a Head Start on Tomorrow. Paris: OECD.
- ——. 2010b. Latin American Economic Outlook 2011. Paris: OECD.
- United Nations Statistical Databases. No date.

 Detailed structure and explanatory notes:

 ISIC Rev. 3 (International Standard Industrial

 Classification of All Economic Activities, Rev.
 3), available at http://unstats.un.org/unsd/cr/
 registry/regcst.asp?Cl=2&Lg=1.

Viswanathan, R. 2010. 'Agri Process Outsourcing by an Argentine Patel.' *The Financial Express* (India). 1 August 2010.

World Bank. 2008. World Development Indicators. Washington DC: World Bank.

MANISHA G. SINGH, Council of Scientific and Industrial Research; ANURAJ GAMBHIR, Xpert Media; and JIBAK DASGUPTA, Confederation of Indian Industry

The buzzword in the 1990s used to be 'globalization'. In the second decade of the 21st century, the word that has gained sudden prominence, and with reason, is 'innovation'. The President of India has declared 2011–20 to be the 'Decade of Innovation'. From academia to industry, everybody is busy chanting this new mantra as though it were some sort of magic word, the mere pronouncement of which would rid one of all problems.

But what is innovation? 'Innovation' is one of the most commonly used and misused buzzwords in the corporate world and beyond. In simple terms, 'innovation' is the conversion of information into valuable knowledge and ideas and subsequently into a significant benefit that may take the form of new or improved products, processes, or services.1 It is a means to realize the potential of an invention by commercializing it so that the customer is willing to pay for it. A systematic innovation management philosophy follows through the entire process from ideation, analysis, and prioritization to implementation and monitoring.

This leads us to the next question—why this sudden focus on this word now? The answer to this lies in

the realization that the progress that science and technology has made and that has brought prosperity and better standards of living in the West is useful, but predominantly to the urban class in India. The challenges for the Indian rural population are different from those of the West or any other developed nation, and the advances brought by science and technology that work so well in the West do not really apply to the vast majority of people in India.

In effect, more than any other country in the world, India-with a population of 1.15 billion, which keeps growing at 1.5% a year faces scarcity on a grand scale across the board: from water and food to oil and gas and to primary education and basic health care. Because of its inherent environmental and social constraints, India is a place where the need to get more value for less cost has been felt for a long time. This need is often a matter of survival. Increasingly, the scarcity of these necessities has combined with India's mind-boggling diversity (of religions, languages, and cultures), its relative liberty (India is the world's largest democracy and has a rapidly expanding free market economy), and growing connectivity (India is adding over 15 million mobile

telephone subscribers every month) to turn it into a large-scale, living laboratory where a large number of people across the social spectrum are daily coming up with inventions that are both affordable and sustainable. These inventions have relevance not only within the Indian context, but also in other global markets.

In this chapter we assay some recent affordable innovations in India, highlighting commonalities, the role played by key enablers in executing those innovations, and whether they meet the key goals of sustainability and inclusivity.

Innovation in business models

Innovation in India has so far been largely product centred. Not much thought has been applied to innovating business, marketing, and delivery processes that would give superior benefits to consumers.

This focus is now changing. These days, world-class companies such as Microsoft, PepsiCo, IBM, Cisco, Nokia, GE, Xerox, and so on are using India as their research and development (R&D) base to pilot next-generation business models and organizational structures and to develop affordable and sustainable

The authors are grateful to Mr Pradeep Kashyap, Founder and CEO of the Consultancy and Knowledge-based organization on Emerging markets, MART, for sharing his vast experience on innovation in rural markets. The authors also thank Ms Shalini S. Sharma, Head, Higher Education, with the Confederation of Indian Industry (CII) Knowledge and Innovation Initiatives, for taking time out to go through the manuscript and contribute in terms of editing. The authors are grateful to Mr Anjan Das, Executive Director, Technology, CII, for providing the platform and necessary contacts for getting relevant data. Last but not least, the authors thank Mrs Daniela Benavente. INSEAD, for her contributions towards making the piece more structured and readable.

solutions that can then be marketed on a global scale. In doing so, these firms are synergistically integrating their India R&D operations into their global innovation networks. But that is only one part of the story: innovation in India is largely driven by Indian entrepreneurs.

Inclusive innovation to integrate rural communities

With 71% of India's population (742 million people) living in rural areas,2 the majority of Indian innovation efforts are focused on the countryside. There have been projects to boost the livelihood of rural communities, targeted offerings to allow rural enterprises and farmers to enrich their productivity through ICT-enabled techniques that provide useful information at the click of a button. Reverse innovation (a concept addressed later in this chapter) plays an important role, with a sizeable bottom-of-the-pyramid market and grassroots-inspired ideas.

There are major developments in the areas of microfinance that foster uplifting the role of women in rural emerging markets. Tens of thousands of self-help groups—such as those comprising artisans in remote villages—are being enabled with mobile services so that they can market their offerings optimally and obtain an appropriate return on their time and effort.

Project Shakti, co-created by Unilever and MART, and the e-Choupal initiative of the business conglomerate ITC are pioneering examples of innovative delivery and procurement models. In Project Shakti, to effectively increase the reach of fast-moving consumer goods in rural areas, women from existing microfinance groups were hired as the last-mile distributors for Unilever household products and links were established for credit from banks via the microfinance mechanism. Unilever provided a guarantee against default, thus validating the viability of the business model.

Project Shakti began with 50 Shakti Ammas (SAs, or rural female partners); it now has over 45,000 SAs across 12 states. The sales of Unilever products by SAs represent 20% of the company's total rural sales.3 ITC's e-Choupal initiative is aimed at selling agri-products as well as sourcing raw materials. The company established an information technology (IT)-based exchange that provided information on agricultural prices, weather, and so on, gaining trust among farmers. Further, it persuaded the existing agricultural mandi (market) agents to be e-Choupal sanchalaks (operators), thus maintaining and working with existing rural relationships.

Connecting the unconnected has been pushed globally by the GSM Association with programmes such as the Emerging Market Handset development (ultra low cost). Locally the Indian government has been playing a major role in uplifting the 600,000 villages with tools such as the Universal Services Obligation Fund.⁴ Jagdish Sheth, author of the famous book Chindia Rising, has converted the 4 Ps of traditional marketing (product, price, place, promotion) into the 4 As: awareness, accessibility, affordability, and acceptability—all of which are essential for rural market development. Success in the rural market depends on bringing the total cost of ownership down for the package solution offered by the firm that may comprise all or some of the 4 As.5

The National Innovation Foundation (NIF) is leading several initiatives for rural innovations. With the Society for Research and Initiatives for Sustainable Technologies and Institutions (SRISTI) and Grassroots Innovations Augmentation Network (GIAN) programmes,6 NIF has taken grassroots innovations to a new level. This is evident in its centres that display outstanding frugal innovations. NIF has a newsletter, Honey Bee, and it invites visitors who want to learn more about local innovation by providing a rural immersive visit called shodhyatra to spread best practices and learn about locally developed innovative solutions.7

The biggest IT-enabled innovation project in the world is the building of a unique identification (UID) for all Indian citizens. '[The] unique identification project was initially conceived by the Planning Commission as an initiative that would provide identification for each resident across the country and would be used primarily as the basis for efficient delivery of welfare services. It would also act as a tool for effective monitoring of various programs and schemes of the Government.'8 This is poised to bring about a revolution for Aam Aadmi (ordinary people) in India, whose transformation into e-nagrik (e-citizens) will improve the quality of their lives and livelihoods by making services such as e-health, e-banking, and e-learning more accessible.

These improvements are, in turn, advanced by the building of new innovation infrastructure, the promotion of new ideas, the development of human capital, the increasing of commercialization by ideators/inventors, the strengthening of networks and small and

medium-sized enterprise (SME) clusters, and the measuring and indexing of progress in innovation.

The development of clusters

An interesting discussion has centred around how a Silicon Valley-type phenomenon can be replicated in India. It is felt that a direct replication would not be feasible, but the culture of entrepreneurship and open knowledge sharing with collaborative minds can achieve wonders. We see this happening as multiple industry segments, government, and academia are increasingly joining together with a reverse innovation approach to give a whole new meaning to 'GLocal'. Thus a Silicon Valley on a new scale and with a different dimension awaits us.

The 'reverse brain drain' is doing wonders for India; a lot of global talent is returning home to the motherland. The youth in urban India are now more global than ever, and they are quite in tune with new technologies, even ahead of the curve in many cases, as early 'adapters'. Being tech-savvy and up-to-date with the latest and greatest along with high aspirations and thought-provoking ideologies is changing the face of the country. With the youngest population worldwide—over 54% of its population is under the age of 25 (and 45% under 19)—India is poised to witness some game-changing innovations.

Conceiving and launching affordability

One mega-trend we observe in the re-invention of innovation is that of reverse innovation. 'Reverse' or 'frugal innovation' occurs when an innovation is developed and/or adopted

Box 1: The Nano

Looking out of the window of his house one day, Ratan Tata saw a family of five precariously perched on a two-wheeler. That image sowed the seed in his mind of making a car that the middle-class could afford to buy. India is home to over 28 million middle-class households (those with an annual income of US\$5,000–25,000, or US\$25,000–125,000 at purchasing power parity), or 13% of total households in the country. Yet only 9% of them are estimated to own a car. Volume sales of a peoples' car were quite possible.

A team of 500 people was set up to develop such a car with the guiding principle of keeping costs low as well as adhering to regulatory requirements and performance targets such as fuel efficiency and acceleration capacity. Existing templates of cars were looked at and major changes incorporated at the design level. Obtaining the concurrence of suppliers (including international players),

convincing suppliers to set up (co-locate) their manufacturing plants near the Tata Nano production facility, and, finally, securing social and political support were some of the other major challenges faced. Today, the car that resulted from these innovations is selling in five major states and making headway in other states.

That is not all. The innovation cycle has not stopped. A feature-rich version called the 'Europa' is being developed for the export market. The successful development and launch of the Nano has created a new image of India as a hub capable of producing small cars. Several multinational corporations—such as Ford Motors and Renault—along with other Indian business groups such as Bajaj and Mahindra are venturing into developing new small cars. Thus the Nano has helped spawn innovation beyond the house of Tata in the Indian economy and abroad.

Source

IBEF, 2010.

first in the developing world then deployed in mature markets. It is an interesting trend that is bringing a whole new meaning and perspective to innovation, transforming traditional innovation into something new. Indian resourcefulness is embodied in the Hindi word jugaad—to find an effective solution, even if it is makeshift and short-term. This approach, although not innovation in the true sense but rather an inspired adaptation of existing solutions using low-cost technology, is a phenomena that emerging markets such as the BRIIC countries (Brazil, Russia, India, Indonesia, and China) are increasingly exhibiting.

There is also a lot of potential for breakthrough innovations with this approach. Some examples of frugal innovations include GE's US\$1,500 hand-held electrocardiography (ECG) machine; its US\$15,000 PC-based ultrasound machine; the Rs. 3,500 ChotuKool refrigerator from Godrej; Tata's Nano (at US\$2,500, the world's cheapest car) and its Swach (one of the world's most inexpensive and widely available water filtration systems); Ginger budget hotels; and a wide array of products in sectors ranging from the known automobiles, pharmaceuticals, and IT services to lesser-known sectors such as drinking

Box 2: ChotuKool

In India, 8% of households own a refrigerator—a proportion similar to the country's 9% car ownership. The two main reasons for this low rate are the affordability of conventional refrigerators and irregular power supply.

In developing a low-cost alternative to the conventional refrigerator, the company Godrej followed a threestage process that focused on the community first, then the product, and finally the business model. The person in charge of the project involved rural women and built a product that had awareness and acceptability of the target group built in. It runs on a 12-volt DC supply. It reduced irrelevant functions on the basis of community feedback, and made a refrigerator that is cheaper and lighter than the conventional ones. This innovation reduced product parts from 200 to 20, eliminated a freezer, adopted a thermo-electric cooling process instead of using a compressor, and included a laptoptype built-in battery to maintain cooling capacity during power outages. Finally, the distribution drivers were to be NGO partners and not sales employees. Godrej plans to sell about 1 million units of its ChotuKool refrigerators in the next three to four years.

The product has applicability in the local hospitality sector (hotels, restaurants, flower shops, and food stalls in rural areas) and also abroad in areas where affordability or irregular power supply is an issue.

Source

IBEF, 2010.

water, consumer goods, health, education, utilities, public administration, and agricultural machinery. Building from the ground up with a deeply value-driven approach is an essential component of success in innovations in these areas.

Automobile

The Nano—the car priced at US\$2,500 or Rs. 100,000—produced by Tata Motors is already an iconic product. Product design involving over 30 patent applications, a back-mounted engine, and economical sourcing of auto components through co-location with the main Nano plant helped in making the Nano an affordable or people's car (see Box 1).

IT services

Developed by Infosys, a well-known brand of the Indian IT service industry, the Global Delivery Model has put the Indian IT sector on the global map. It is an efficient mix of on-site and offshore services that delivers superior performance at substantially reduced costs.

The penetration of the Internet in India has deepened the use of IT-enabled services domestically as well, and the Internet has become important for marketers within the country. Among the several solutions offered, by the company Ideacts, 'Clinck' has an advertising inventory built into a desktop application refreshed via connectivity to Ideact's servers. The result is the higher click-through rate of 2.5, versus the industry average of 1.0—this translates into more effective advertising and thus higher advertising revenues. The innovators exploited the facts that about 37% of Internet usage in India is routed via cybercafés and

that the bulk of the users are youths. Clinck is targeted at cybercafé operators and includes a free application for managing cybercafé operations such as inventory, accounts, customer relations, and so on.⁹

The IT industry in India is growing at a compound annual growth rate of about 20%. The talent pool fostered by the IT industry is targeting ITES delivered via the Internet. The presence of businessto-consumer (B2C) IT services can be seen in the banking and other financial products, telecommunications, education, health care, utility management, public administration, and entertainment sectors where organizations are embracing IT not only for internal administration but also for management of external relations.

Nonetheless, a vast segment of the country's businesses—its SMEs—has a low IT adoption rate. The reasons include the high cost of IT investment, lack of regular electricity supply, and a lack of awareness of the potential returns. In these conditions, another big Indian IT player, Tata Consultancy Services (TCS), is piloting a service called 'IT-as-a-Service (ITaaS)' as a comprehensive IT solution for SMEs.¹⁰ ITaaS is a customized, low-cost service based on a cloud computing model wherein software, hardware, and maintenance are all offered on one platform as a monthly subscription service. SMEs can reduce their IT investment and have greater IT management control. The productivity benefits will accrue to SMEs, to their employees, to TCS for the success of its initiative, and to the economy as a whole as productivity benefits are realized in the informal sector and as the benefits are distributed across the millions of SMEs employees.11

Water, food, energy

The B2C initiatives target the middle-income, well-educated population. The less educated and the illiterate, comprising the lowincome groups, also stand to benefit as more products are developed for them. Drinking water solutions in India include personal water purifiers at a considerable cost—ranging from one-fourth of average annual per capita income for basic filters to total annual per capita income for reverse osmosis systems—clearly out of reach of low-income households. Tata's Swach is a low-cost solution for drinking water. Combining locally sourced materials with nanosilver particles for the filters helped enhance performance (90% elimination of germs) while reducing cost. Not only does Swach provide convenient and safe access to drinking water, it saves time for rural households that otherwise would have to spend it in procuring drinking water from distant sources. Further, it enables girls to attend school who are otherwise drafted for this task.

Food, being perishable, ends up being wasted in India because of the paucity of storage facilities. Inadequate supply of electricity in rural areas is a challenge for both bulk and personal food storage. Where the electricity grid is present, the supply is irregular. For most rural households in India, owning a refrigerator is both too expensive and ineffective. One of India's premier consumer goods companies, Godrej, set about addressing this problem by adopting a solutions approach. The product that emerged is ChotuKool—a portable, light-weight refrigerator that is both affordable and effective. This enables rural households to cool drinks and store food for some time, saving

both food and time for women (see Box 2).

To address the important issue of power for rural households without connectivity to the grid, the 16-year-old company SELCO has devised a solar power system for household use. They have created photovoltaic solar power systems (PV-SPS) to provide off-grid lighting in rural areas. The system costs about US\$200 and is customized. A basic solution consists of four 7-watt compact fluorescent lights, a photovoltaic cell mounted on the rooftop, and a lead acid battery for storing the electric power generated by the PV cell. For households that find even the relatively small amount of US\$200 to be a barrier to adoption, SELCO helps arrange financing from local banks or microfinance institutions. The lighting solution is a complete package that includes the product, the service, and the financing. The company's annual revenue is about US\$3 million. It has sold, serviced and financed about 100,000 PV solar systems.12

Transport

The lowest rung of the urban transport system in India is the rickshaw, a manually operated tricycle for two passengers. The second rung is the auto-rickshaw (priced at approximately Rs. 80,000 to 100,000), which is a fossil fuel-powered vehicle that contributes to urban pollution. The Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), through its CSIR-800 project, aimed at empowering 800 million Indians via science and technology application, came up with a Soleckshaw (priced at approximately Rs. 25,000 to 30,000). One of the 37 national laboratories of CSIR, the Central Mechanical Engineering Research

Institute (CMERI), conceived and developed the Soleckshaw—a solarelectric pedicab that can also be run using pedals. This pedicab has a zero carbon footprint. It improves the lives of the rickshaw pullers by reducing the physical effort needed for operation and increasing efficiency. It is an effective means of transport for short distances. Widespread use is expected not only as a cheap manual cab but, more importantly, as a feeder transport to mass transport systems (e.g., a metro subway). The Soleckshaw's supporting infrastructure for ecofriendly batteries and convenient charging stations (at metro stations) is a product of a collaboration with other national laboratories, commercial partners for production, and non-governmental organizations with the aim of helping adoption by rickshaw pullers and by commuters.

In another example, tractors designed to be operated by rural women—in the absence of men who might have migrated to cities, leaving their small land-holdings untended and unproductive—is a brain-child of the Indian multinational corporation the Mahindra Group. Effective land use will improve agricultural productivity and rural incomes, and in the process empower rural women.

Health and education

The poor often go into debt because of healthcare expenses, particularly surgical expenses. The Yeshasvini rural health insurance scheme, promoted by the Narayana Hrudayalaya Hospital, addresses this problem specifically for heart care. The access to surgery at a top hospital with a low annual premium of US\$1.5 is socially transformative and represents a big leap in providing access

Box 3: Yeshasvini Surgery Insurance and Narayana Hrudayalaya

Devi Prasad Shetty, heart surgeon and care provider to Mother Teresa, is guided by her philosophy of thinking about affordable health care. He has built his solution around scale, shared risk, and cost-control.

This solution, called 'Yeshasvini Insurance', provides insurance for cardiac surgeries at an annual premium of US\$1.5 per annum. For example, the cost reimbursed per cardiac surgery in Narayana Hrudayalaya, a specialized cardiac hospital, under the Yeshasvini scheme is US\$1,200. The actual cost is US\$3,000, while the benchmark cost for cardiac surgery in India is about US\$5,000. The cost gap between the Yeshasvini insurance charge and the average hospital cost is subsidized by the hospital's premium patients, who are charged more for rooms with better personal amenities. The cost gap between Narayana Hrudayalaya and other hospitals is the result of tight control on construction costs and higher asset utilization (for instance, Narayana Hrudayalaya performs

30 surgeries in a day, twice that of other top cardiac hospitals; it uses computerized tomography scanners, magnetic resonance imaging equipment, and other machines for 14 hours as opposed to the usual 8 hours; and offers discounted rates during late evenings).

The Yeshasvini Insurance Scheme, aimed at covering the rural population for surgical procedures with low premiums and world-class benefits, has helped achieve the required scale. Shared risk through insurance has provided access to critical health care to the under-privileged. Cost control by the hospital and incentives per surgery have reduced the cost per surgery and kept it affordable.

The Narayana Hrudayalaya aims to expand its number of beds from 5,000 to 30,000 within India over the next five years, and is considering setting up healthcare facilities in Malaysia and the Cayman Islands.

Source

IBEF, 2010.

to health care for those at the bottom of the pyramid (see Box 3).

Another example of innovative health care in rural areas is the 'Arogya Parivar', an innovative outreach programme launched by Novartis, which exemplifies a private-sector health service delivery model.¹³ It builds upon existing government schemes and mechanisms to treat diseases such as tuberculosis (TB) in rural India by engaging local youths to cater to the needs of the uneducated and underprivileged (see Box 4).

The National Rural Health Mission (NRHM) is a central government programme that relies on strong central leadership coupled with local committee oversight. Typically in India, central government programmes are implemented via the state governments by local administration. The NRHM breaks ground in the way its implementation is designed. The local committees are hybrid in nature, drawing members from both government and civil society. This organizational innovation reportedly reduces both leakages of funds and resources and

the time needed for implementation. This operational structure is part of a broader pattern of publicprivate partnerships (PPPs) where the private sector provides expertise and executes the project, and the government provides the financing as well as enabling conditions and incentives to achieve the social goals. Such PPPs encompass the buildoperate-transfer model employed by several infrastructure projects constructed by a private company in India.¹⁴

Education got a big boost with the universal primary education programme Sarv Shiksha Abhiyan (SSA) of the Government of India (GOI). Since 2002, the GOI has pushed hard to provide access to primary education for every child between the ages of 6 and 14 years. Along with the right to education, such access is now a right guaranteed by law, not a policy that might be diluted by future central or any state government. Some state governments (e.g., Delhi) are drawing up plans to extend this right to secondary education as well. There are now initiatives to improve the quality of schooling provided so that the access issue is largely achieved. There is also an emphasis on integrating vocational education with secondary education. With quality and employability being addressed, the chances of India realizing its demographic dividend are improving. The SSA is financed by an education cess over and above the income tax. Given the right to education, this cess may well be absorbed by general taxation. The attention paid by taxpayers to this additional tax expenditure leads to greater scrutiny of the programme.15

Measuring value and scaling up: The key link

The above examples are a small subset of a growing number of innovations—developed by grassroots innovators, new companies, corporations, and government and non-government non-profit bodies-that are affordable by middleincome and low-income groups and thus inclusive in nature. These innovations economize on resources and use more local materials and renewable resources than do existing products.

Ideation, both grassroots and organizational, has been bottomup. If formal ideation was impeded by the fear of failure, it received a substantial boost from key interventions (see the following discussion on strengthening the ecosystem), including those from the Department of Science and Technology (DST), a central government body under the Ministry of Science. The ideas generated under these conditions have a bearing on the end-use conditions and build solutions around it, as is evident in the innovations described earlier.

Activity in R&D that leads to breakthroughs is gaining momentum in India. In 2004-05, more than 17,000 applications were filed and about 2,000 patents granted. In 2008-09, more than 36,000 applications were filed and more than 16,000 patents were granted. 16 Over the last few years, the number and quality of incubators, early-stage funders, and venture capitalists have grown. This has helped ideators and inventors present proof-of-concept and secure initial funding.

Although there is reason to applaud the emergence of new ideas and their embodiment in solutions, there is also a need to determine

Box 4: Arogya Parivar

India is home to one-fifth of the tuberculosis (TB) patients of the world—the biggest number in any country. The Government of India launched, in 1997, the World Health Organization (WHO)-recommended Directly Observed Treatment, Short course (DOTS)—an ambitious nationwide programme to treat TB patients efficiently and cost effectively. However, access to doctors and availability of medicines remains a challenge. TB patients have to travel repeatedly to community health centres or district hospitals, and sometimes have to pay for diagnostics because testing equipment is out of order; medicines are also sometimes out of stock.

In 2008, Novartis launched Arogya Parivar, a health services delivery model in rural areas, in partnership with MART. The model created an umbrella network of private health service providers—doctors, chemists, and diagnostic centres already present locally.

Two major issues with treating TB are early detection and completion of treatment. Educated, local rural youth

Source

Kashyap, 2011.

were appointed as health educators to identify patients, motivate them to seek early treatment, accompany them to district health centres, and monitor their medication schedule till they were completely cured. The health educator is an entrepreneur who earns from the sale of medicines to a 30-patient case load.

The value proposition for the patients is that they receive complete treatment at an affordable, fixed price through a dependable network of health service providers. This is a convenient solution for rural patients who delay and often even discontinue treatment because they are unaware of the enormity of the health problem and are not aware of the treatment process—conditions that frequently result in a relapse of the disease.

The model is self-sustaining and scalable. The programme has been launched in five states covering 30,000 villages, and has already treated 12,000 TB patients. The company plans to expand it across 11 states in the next five years.

their commercial and economic success. Innovation starts with the idea, the invention, and the prototype development, but it is incomplete without value generation. However, the time taken from commercial launch to value generation and scale-up is variable. Without value generation—commercial gain (profits, wages), employment and gain in livelihoods, economic value-added, indirect socio-economic benefits (access gained to products and services, time-use savings, quality enhancement), and reduction in polluting emissions—any innovation

is incomplete. The discussion earlier shows that some of the Indian innovations (e.g., Project Shakti) are sustained value generators. For most others in the making (e.g., SELCO India), the value-generated numbers are fairly low and the scale-up stage is not yet reached.

The main gap in Indian innovation is weak value generation and low scale-up. The two are linked in India. First, without scale and the consequent volume of sales, affordable innovations will not achieve large monetary values. Thus scaling up is both necessary and the key link

for affordable innovations to be profitable. Thinking of achieving scale is important from the project inception stage itself, advise entrepreneurs and innovators. One of the prime movers of Project Shakti, MART,17 practises scaling up in devising solutions. MART helps devise effective solutions for the poor in emerging markets by working with the existing physical and social infrastructure on the ground and with the government machinery at the local level. Scale is built in to the solution and tested in the pilot. When successful, the solution is quickly rolled out and can readily be expanded.18

Second, measuring value and monitoring the progress of innovation in India requires metrics along with financial value. Accessibility is a big issue. For that, cost has to be low. With low costs, financial valuation alone will be low. Thus the number of consumers and households served must also be a metric for affordable innovations. Precise measurement of different benefit indicators would help in a more complete valuation of the project and would therefore help in obtaining both funds from commercial and non-profit sources and guidance on the way forward.

Third, scale-up after commercialization is slow. The weakness may lie in the design of commercialization and the delivery model where the solution, the pilot, the commercialization, and the strategy for scaleup appear to be sequential but are not fully integrated from the beginning. Gleaned from learning over two decades of innovating, Pradeep Kashyap, Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of MART, identifies three requirements for scaling up innovations to the point where they are commercially viable: (1) the scaleup must be strategically and operationally built into the innovation

and tested in the pilot; (2) there must be buy-in at the top from each of the key stakeholders—the CEO of the corporation (strategic buyin), the chief minister of the state government/s (social buy-in), and a board member or member of the senior management to head the initiative/venture (operational buy-in); and (3) it must involve the community. For new ventures, private players and now the National Innovation Council are building such networks and promoting exchanges to allow innovators to build capacity for such scaling up and to give a boost to the vibrant innovation environment in India.

Innovation infrastructure initiatives: Strengthening the ecosystem

Jugaad, or doing the job with minimum resources, is part of Indian DNA. Nonetheless, there is little formal ideation and experimentation. Fear of failure and an education system based on rote learning are partly to blame. To aid ideation and promote grassroots, university, and industrial innovation, several initiatives have been proposed. These initiatives increasingly try to provide support through the later stages of the innovation process.

The DST has several schemes and funds to foster innovation in the ecosystem. One such project is the India Innovation Initiative (i3), which is organized in collaboration with the Confederation of Indian Industry (CII) and Agilent Technologies. The objective of the i3 programme is to foster and harness ideas and inventions by grassroots innovators. The i3 has no age-related focus and is open to all. India's premier business school, the Indian Institute of Management,

Ahmedabad (IIM-A), provides incubation support. CII's Yi or Young Indians body provides wider outreach, including support in the commercialization of select innovations.

India's National Innovation Council (NInC) was set up in 2010 to focus exclusively on innovation in every sphere of economic activity. NInC—chaired by the Adviser to the Prime Minister on Public Information Infrastructure & Innovations and with members from the academia, research organizations, and the industry—is devising mechanisms to tap grassroots/industrial/educational/societal innovations and then take the promising ones through to commercialization and/ or scale-up stages.

For university and industrial innovation, the NInC's favoured approach is the development of new networks in the form of university innovation clusters and industry innovation clusters to use existing resources optimally. The purpose is to create cluster innovation centres (CICs) where all stakeholders and innovators are connected in symbiotic relationships based on cooperation and collaboration. The CICs would connect the universities with industry, institutions, and government to share their ideas, develop them, create intellectual property rights, develop new business models, create new markets, and spawn demand-driven collaborative R&D activities and an overall ecosystem subject to organic growth. The CICs would be networked with each other so that ideas could be dynamically shared and resources optimally deployed in order to increase visibility and to spread the knowledge across the ecosystem.

To facilitate the progress of innovations through the pilot stages for various initiatives, the NInC

proposes setting up an Innovation Fund with buy-in from the government and private stakeholders such as key social venture capital funds, mentoring networks, and entrepreneurship groups. The Innovation Fund would provide an overarching umbrella (a fund of funds), within which existing innovation players as well as networks would operate. This extension of the innovation infrastructure would expand the reach of innovative products and services as well as facilitate cooperation and collaboration among various clusters.

Innovation now abounds in India, and it has had some stellar successes. However, there may be significant overlap with respect to managing the innovation process. The NInC's attempt to create networks and foster an active exchange of information is a step towards addressing this issue. Similarly, developing appropriate parameters and measures, measuring these, and monitoring value generation are also necessary steps.¹⁹

The way forward

The Indian innovation ecosystem is acquiring greater granularity. Innovation in India is increasingly becoming local, with end-use conditions considered at the forefront of the process. This increase in local emphasis is reflected in the availability of an increasing array of products and services. Traditional strengths, such as affordable medicines, have been expanded to underserved markets beyond India. Several of the new innovations-such as the Nano car-have global potential. A growing number of these are affordable innovations across several sectors, namely, medicines and health care, drinking water purifiers, automobiles, IT services, cellular phone services, education, e-governance, and so on. The list is expanding to include education and skills. Progress is significant in terms of ideation; development of solutions; proof of concept; and pilot, production, and commercial launch.

India needs to cultivate innovation as a habit (or attitude) so that every single individual is responsible for contributing his or her part. Innovation can manifest in several forms—from operational efficiencies and business model optimizations to product- and service-related novelties. Innovation is as much about execution as it is about creativity. The passion to innovate must eventually originate from the heart, where we can turn our dreams into reality without losing the essence of its unique and emotional selling properties.

An open innovation concept is essential. India needs to prepare itself to work with an open concept in a close collaboration from seeding the idea to rapid prototyping (embracing a philosophy of fail faster to succeed sooner), and partnering with customers (to do early pilots for beta offerings), research organizations, academic institutes, and so on. To genuinely innovate, companies should invest in an array of skunkworks projects, labs, learning centres, institutes, and other venues. These encourage collective experimentation by creative, innovative people.

The interesting new term of 'polycentric innovation' has been conceptualized at Cambridge Judge Business School as an emerging business paradigm.²⁰ This type of innovation designates the global integration of specialized R&D capabilities across multiple regions to co-create novel solutions that no

single region could have completely developed on its own. It encapsulates the synergistic global collaboration formula of $^{\circ}1 + 1 = 11^{\circ}$.

We will see a great deal of emphasis on sustainability and eco/ clean tech-based solutions that will be pillars for the next wave of innovations in emerging markets. The need for sustainable solutions has been felt in developed nations, and such solutions will be more emphatically demanded in emerging markets. A greater number of initiatives in green innovation are shifting to these fast-developing nations. Vendors in the wireless industry, for example, are working on numerous initiatives from eco-friendly power to base-stations to unified offerings similar to Ericsson's Tower Tube design.21 With an increasing focus on reducing its carbon footprint, the market will open its doors to numerous innovative technologies.

Collaboration among stakeholders will be key to taking this forward, a key that is underscored in India where there is a need to form optimal alliances to build powerful propositions and find a win-win for all stakeholders. With R&D centres, innovation hubs, centres of excellence, manufacturing and competence centres being established in India, the country is poised for tremendous growth and for being at the forefront of a new wave of globalization that will make the world even flatter. But there is a great deal of work to be done, and there is also a need to foster a new culture that encourages risk-taking along with enhanced creativity.

India is an emerging hub for conceiving and delivering innovative products and services in a profitable or value-generating manner to the underserved and the poor. As Mahatma Gandhi had said, 'True innovation happens when what you think, what you say, and what you do are in harmony. India seems well on its path to some kind of innovative harmony.

Notes

- 1 OECD and Eurostat, 2005.
- 2 The Mother and Child Health and Education Trust, 2010.
- 3 Kashyap, 2011.
- 4 GOI, Department of Telecommunications, Ministry of Communication & Information Technology.
- 5 Sheth, 2008.
- 'SRISTI'—which means 'creation'—was developed in 1993 to support the activities of the Honey Bee Network, which aims to respect, recognize, and reward creativity at grassroots levels. Based in Ahmedabad, Gujarat, SRISTI is a registered charitable organization that is devoted to empowering knowledge-rich, economically poor people by adding value to their contemporary creativity as well as to their traditional knowledge. See SRISTI, 2001 and http:// www.sristi.org/cms/. GIAN is 'an incubator of grassroots innovations and traditional knowledge.' GIANs have been set up at Ahmedabad and Jaipur to provide incubation support to grassroots innovations and traditional knowledge from West and North India, respectively. See GIAN, available at http://www.gian.org/.
- 7 NIF, 2004.
- 8 GOI, Unique Identification Authority of India, 2011.
- 9 IBEF, 2010.
- 10 IBEF, 2010.
- 11 IBEF, 2010.
- 12 IBEF, 2010.
- 13 See http://www.corporatecitizenship. novartis.com/news/2009-02-12_rmai.shtml.
- 14 GOI, Ministry of Health & Family Welfare.
- 15 GOI, Department of School Education & Literacy.
- 16 GOI, Ministry of Finance, 2011.

- 17 'Established in 1993, MART is a pioneer in the rural domain and over the years has also developed as the Leading Consultancy and Knowledge based organization on Emerging Markets. MART's expertise lies in its understanding of the Base of the Pyramid (BoP) segments, their eco system and behaviour. An understanding that has been built over years of interaction and engagement with rural as well as urban low income communities.' See MART, 2011.
- 18 Kashyap, 2011.
- 19 National Innovation Council.
- 20 Radjou, 2009.
- 21 See http://www.ericsson.com/campaign/ towertube/.

References

- Ericsson. No date. The Ericsson Tower Tube', available at http://www.ericsson.com/ campaign/towertube/.
- GIAN (Grassroot Innovations Augmentation Network). No date. Grassroots Innovations Augmentation Network, available at http:// www.gian.org/ (retrieved 2011).
- GOI (Government of India), Department of School Education & Literacy. No date. Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan: A Programme for Universal Elementary Education, Framework for Implementation, available at http://www. education.nic.in/ssa/ssa_1.asp (retrieved April 2011).
- ——, Department of Telecommunications, Ministry of Communication & Information Technology. No date. *Universal Service Obligation Fund of India*, available at http:// www.usof.gov.in/usof-cms/home.jsp (retrieved April 2011).
- ———, Ministry of Finance. 2011. *Economic Survey* of *India 2010–11*. New Delhi: GOI.
- ——, Ministry of Health & Family Welfare. No date. NRHM Health Management Information System (HMIS) Portal, available at http://nrhm-mis.nic.in/.
- ———, Unique Identification Authority of India. 2011. About UIDAI, available at http://uidai. gov.in/index.php?option=com_content&v iew=article&id=141&Itemid=164 (retrieved 2011).
- IBEF (India Brand Equity Foundation) . 2010.

 Innovations from India: Harbingers of
 Change. Study prepared for IBEF by Zinnov
 Management Consulting.

- Kashyap, P. 2011. CEO of MART. Interview with Manisha G. Singh, April.
- MART. 2011. 'Who We Are' and 'What We Do.'
 MART Innovative Solutions in Emerging
 Markets, available at http://www.martrural.
 com/who_we_are.htm (retrieved April 2011).
- The Mother and Child Health and Education Trust. 2010. Health Education to Villages: Rural-Urban Distribution of Population India and States/Union Territories: 2001, available at http://hetv.org/india/population-2001.htm (retrieved 13 April 2011).
- National Innovation Council. No date. National Innovation Council, available at http://www.innovationcouncil.gov.in/.
- NIF (National Innovation Foundation). 2004. 'In Support of Grassroot Innovations.' National Innovatoin Foundation – India, available at http://www.nif.org.in/.
- Novartis. No date. 'Welcome to Citizenship Novartis', available at http://www. corporatecitizenship.novartis.com/ news/2009-02-12_rmai.shtml.
- OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development) and Eurostat. 2005. Oslo Manual, Guidelines for Collecting and Interpreting Innovation Data, 3rd edition. Paris: OECD Publishing and European Commission.
- Radjou, N. 2009. 'Managing the New Trajectory of Global Innovation', Presentation to the Cambridge Judge Business School, Cambridge, UK.
- Sheth, J. N. 2008. Chindia Rising: How China and India Will Benefit your Business. New Delhi: Tata McGraw-Hill.
- SRISTI (Society for Research and Initiatives for Sustainable Technologies and Institutions). 2001. About SRISTI, available at http://www.sristi.org/cms/about_sristi (retrieved 2011).

Making Cities Smart and Sustainable

KURT STEINERT, REVITAL MAROM, PHILIPPE RICHARD, GASPAR VEIGA, and LOUIS WITTERS, Alcatel-Lucent

Data from the United Nations report *World Urbanization Prospects*, published in 2009, indicate that urban populations will grow by an estimated 2.3 billion over the next 40 years, and as much as 70% of the world's population will live in cities by 2050.¹

This growth is not limited to established urban centres and 'megacities' in developing markets—in fact, small and mid-sized cities in emerging markets have been driving the acceleration of urban growth for some years. Between 1990 and 2000, urbanization in developing regions was characterized by the emergence of new cities that did not exist prior to 1990²—the point being that urbanization is a pervasive trend.

The rapid growth of cities has in many cases been accompanied by the aggravation of many of the challenges associated with urban living—the protection of public safety, traffic and transport management, upkeep of public infrastructures, waste disposal, delivery of basic public services, and so on.

Accompanying this growth in population will be a dramatic shift in demographics. Each year, the percentage of people over the age of 60 increases—by 2050 the number of people over the age of 60 is expected to triple,³ and will outnumber children under 15 for the first time in human history. This general ageing of the population means that the need for healthcare and elder care infrastructures to serve the elderly

will increase markedly. This situation is complicated by the fact that the ability of families to care for ageing members is decreasing.

Technology is required to provide better, safer health care and to help ensure an improved quality of life, particularly as people live longer and the percentage of the population working to support them decreases, largely because of the increasingly broad geographic distribution of many families.

The rapid urbanization of the past half century has been the primary driver of global climate change, and that trend is expected to accelerate as cities expand. This is because the increase in economic activity, industrialization, and consumption associated with cities brings with it a transformation of the physical and natural environment that, for the most part, cannot be undone. This conclusion is based on simple math: Cities consume 75% of the world's energy and produce 80% of its greenhouse gas emissions.4 The vast majority of this comes from the burning of gasoline and diesel fuel for automobiles and trucks, with the remainder committed to the generation of electricity to heat, cool, and light our homes and to run electrical appliances and other technology.

Clearly the question of how best to manage the use of resources in cities and address the needs of a growing and ageing population, all while reducing the urban carbon footprint, are daunting challenges. Making cities smarter has become a necessity. Innovation—particularly in the areas of regulation, private-public partnerships, and technology—will be absolutely critical in this process.

Innovation and smart cities

Innovation—which is broadly defined here as 'the implementation of a new or significantly improved product (good or service), or process, a new marketing method, or a new organizational method in business practices, workplace organization or external relations'5—will in many ways form the foundation for the establishment of smart cities and the realization of a more sustainable approach to growth.


The concept of the smart city is a framework for a particular vision of modern urban development that recognizes the growing importance of information and communication technologies (ICT)—broadly characterized here as 'networks'in driving the economic competitiveness, environmental sustainability, and general liveability of cities. Smart cities by definition address all of the challenges noted above. The concept of smart cities goes beyond the purely technological aspects of urban development. They are typically referred to as 'digital' or 'intelligent' cities, terms that encompass social and environmental dynamics.

A team of researchers from a number of European universities, jointly leading the European Smart Cities project, suggests that smart cities can be defined by measuring relative progress in a number of categories, including smart governance (democratic processes and inclusion), smart people (education), smart environment (environmental sustainability/energy consumption), smart mobility (transportation), smart economy (regional/global competitiveness), and smart living (health care, social services).

Innovation can be applied to the development of smarter cities in all of the above dimensions, in a variety of ways. Examples include:

- Smart governance: Efficiently interconnecting governmental organizations and administrations, eliminating obstacles to communication and collaboration, improving community access to services (first responders, local officials, and service organizations, etc.), improving overall access to governmental services on the part of average city residents, and improving organizational processes to be more efficient.
- Smart people: Increasing inclusion by delivering a more consistent educational experience in both urban and rural areas through the use of e-education solutions (remote learning and collaboration) to help eliminate rural/urban educational disparities.
- Smart environment: Dramatically reducing energy consumption through the application of novel technology innovations while promoting energy conservation and material re-use.

Figure 1: Smart cities/smart services interconnection model



- Smart mobility: Promoting more efficient and intelligent transportation systems—effectively leveraging networks to ensure more efficient movement of vehicles, people, and goods, thus reducing gridlock; and promoting new 'social' attitudes such as car sharing, car pooling, and car-bike combinations.
- Smart economy: Creating business opportunities, providing broadband access for all citizens and businesses, helping maintain population in rural areas by leveraging networks to expand business opportunities outside the city centre, and using electronic means in business processes of all kinds (e.g., e-banking, e-shopping, e-auction).
- Smart living: Access to highquality healthcare services

(including e-health or remote healthcare monitoring), electronic health records management, home automation, smart home and smart building services, and easier access—via the Internet—to social services of all kinds.

Enhancing the urban lifestyle through innovation

The vision of the smart city is based on the notion of leveraging ICT and public-private partnerships to lay the foundation for a range of innovations, both technical and sociopolitical. This foundation requires two primary elements.

• Smart cities public-private partnerships: The establishment of a social, political, and business environment that is supportive of innovative approaches to

THE GLOBAL INNOVATION INDEX 2011

city planning and management, including an open broadband regulatory framework, mechanisms for public-sector intervention, business models to support the required investment, and methodology for encouraging and fostering partnerships that can deliver innovative solutions to the community.

• Smart cities broadband networks: The implementation of an open broadband network that the entire community—organizations, companies, and individuals—can use, independently or through creative collaborations, to develop innovative approaches to particular social challenges and/or to establish new businesses and business models

How technology can help: The smart cities broadband network

Fundamentally, the establishment of a smart city depends on ubiquitous connectivity. Individuals, companies, governmental and non-governmental organizations, educational institutions, healthcare and public safety providers, objects (buildings, sensors, and fixed and mobile devices of all kinds) and utilities—and all the various processes associated with a city—need to be able to interact seamlessly, in real time, to share data and content safely and securely. In the truest sense, the smart cities broadband network is the 'brain' of the smart city. The interconnections among the network and city services are illustrated in Figure 1.

But what does this mean, exactly? Today most urban centres, particularly those in developed markets but increasingly those in high-growth environments as well, are webbed by a latticework of networks of all kinds, from both fixed and mobile broadband access systems to optical transport and metro networks to private business, educational, and governmental networks, all fed by and interacting with the Internet. In some parts of the world suburban and rural areas are also increasingly connected. How is the smart cities broadband network different?

Today's networks lack key elements that would support features that could be described as 'intelligence'. Although networks get more and more sophisticated every day, there is enormous potential that can be tapped by embedding a range of instrumentation into networks and employing more finely tuned management and control capabilities. These enhancements will make them smarter than networks currently available.

Just as importantly, networks can and should become much more efficient so that they are less costly to operate and require less power. What is needed is a network that can deliver the level of bandwidth required by any given service or application at the absolute lowest cost per bit, coupled with the increased intelligence needed to support the rapid creation and delivery of a wide variety of new services quickly and easily. In essence, smart cities need networks with the stability, resiliency, and security profile of telecommunications networks, combined with the software-driven programmability of the Web, so that they are easy to customize in order to address requirements from different strategic government and industry sectors. All this must happen while consuming a small fraction of the energy they consume today.

The first challenge is to move from multiple networks (mobile,

wireline, first responder, private enterprise) to a fully converged network—that is, all services offered from a common infrastructure—that operates using Internet Protocol (IP), the language of the Internet.

The second challenge is to ensure consistent, high-quality broadband connectivity for individuals, businesses, institutions, and governments alike. It is critical that smart cities leverage the range of 'last mile' options (the connection between the network and homes and offices), whether that connection is over fibre, copper (xDSL), or wireless (2G/3G/4G).

The third challenge is to move from multiple service control and management processes to a single, converged network and policy management function. It is vital that there be a methodology to ensure that the services that are absolutely essential get the highest priority in terms of routing and resource allocation.

All of this implies a major transformation that can encompass commercial service provider networks and municipal or regional networks, such as Australia's planned NBN Co. and Singapore's OpenNet. Furthermore, it implies establishing close interconnections with a variety of private or public networks that are currently owned and operated by governments, educational institutions, public utilities, and more.

Ultimately, the vision for the smart city broadband network is a central hub that houses a range of fundamental network capabilities, and that can then be accessed—via 'spokes'—by organizations and institutions that are supporting various public and commercial functions, be they delivery of content (movies, TV, music, games), social services (e-health, educational services),

4: Making Cities Smart and Sustainable

THE GLOBAL INNOVATION INDEX 2011

Figure 2: Conceptual network architecture of smart cities broadband network

Customer Premises	Converged Access Network	Converged Backbone	Community Operation Control Centre
Business & government	Converged wireline access	Multi-service backbone	Command & control centre
Digital home	PMR (TETRA, P25)	(WDM, SDH/SONET, IP/MPLS, Ethernet)	Resource management centre
	LAN/WAN	Microwave Mux	OSS: Network management centre
	Converged RAN		Service providers
			Data centre
			Integrated network management

Note: Converged RAN = converged radio access network: IP/MPLS = Internet protocol/multi-protocol label switching: LAN/WAN = local area network/wide area network: Microwave Mux = microwave multiplexing: OSS = operational support systems; PMR (TETRA, P25) = professional mobile radio (used by police and other first responders); SDH/SONET = synchronous digital hierarchy/synchronous optical networking; WDM = wavelength division multiplexing.

transportation (traffic management, train signalling, parking systems), and so on.

A proposed architecture for the smart cities broadband network is illustrated in Figure 2.

Innovating regulation to drive urban transformation

The shift to smart cities broadband networks creates a range of issues for all stakeholders—industry, government, regulators, and constituents. The issues can be related to the regulatory framework, the need and mechanisms for public-sector intervention, and the business models to support the required investments. Governments—particularly Chief Information Officers for local authorities—are actively exploring the benefits broadband can bring

to a wide array of public services as well as exploiting their use as a driver for economic development.

Governments have understood the need to boost urban economies in a sustainable way and to help foster more favourable economic and technological environments in rural areas. They have reacted by launching a set of broadband stimulus incentives intended to spur innovative projects. These incentives:

- · create a more favourable environment for innovation by enabling the development of new, smarter community services such as e-health, e-government, e-business, and intelligent transport;
- · create a more favourable environment for citizen engagement and inclusion by extending access to information and knowledge

- through e-education and e-learning services so that regional, rural, and remote communities have equal access;
- · increase the use of broadband to improve public safety capabilities for emergency and disaster response both within and across territories: and
- put in place smarter 'infrastructure' to provide accurate, real-time information to fuel the abovementioned services, including capabilities such as closed circuit television systems, smart metres, traffic congestion monitors, and sensors of all kinds.

Governments alone cannot address or implement all the challenges inherent in designing, deploying, managing, and financing

THE GLOBAL INNOVATION INDEX 2011

such networks, for the simple reason that they typically have neither the knowledge (particularly ICT skills and experience) nor the financial resources to take on these kinds of large-scale, complex projects. The success of smart cities will depend on innovative partnerships among various parties, such as: a trusted technological partner(s) to implement and fund (in part or in whole) the deployment of the network; a combination of federal, regional, and local governmental and regulatory bodies (to drive the establishment of an open broadband regulatory framework);8 and local businesses and civic organizations that can access the network and create the framework necessary for smart cities.

Open broadband networks are a relatively new phenomenon, and regulations concerning these networks are changing at a very rapid pace and in a fairly irregular fashion. As a result, the need to provide more consistent guidance to local authorities has been recognized by many federal and regional governments around the world. National governments are increasingly taking steps to encourage increases in broadband coverage. Among these measures, those directed towards giving local authorities the ability to take an active role in broadband backhaul and access roll-outs have been most prominent.

For instance, in the United States of America, the economic recovery plan pursued by the Obama Administration has encouraged local authorities to engage in the roll-out of broadband networks and has provided funding packages to facilitate this effort. In northern European countries, municipalities and utilities have invested heavily in backhaul and open access networks to increase very high speed coverage

and to foster competition among telecommunications service providers. In a recently launched 'public consultation on state aid for broadband networks', the EU Commission is examining the legal framework of state aid, 9 and whether to allow local authorities to fund—partially or wholly—next-generation fibrebased access networks even in areas where the market already delivers classic broadband services.

In each of the instances noted above, national or regional bodies are creating the regulatory environment and funding stream needed to support the development of smart cities broadband networks, while local government authorities take the lead in developing new services applications and in identifying and realizing broadband coverage and bandwidth needs.

Local communities are considered by many experts in the field to be in the best position to aggregate public services (e-education, e-health, etc.) and to ensure their availability to citizens through the Internet. Local authorities also have a direct interest in broadband coverage to attract enterprises, particularly the small to medium-sized enterprises that are drivers of economic growth.

Innovating business models: Smart cities' public-private partnerships

The ability of smart cities to offer broadband connectivity—and associated smart services—to all city residents is limited by factors that include the cost of the deployment, operation, and maintenance of the network; the availability of wireless spectrum; physical access to homes and office buildings; and more. To

overcome these challenges, innovative approaches are required.

Unsurprisingly, one of the biggest challenges is the financing (capital and operational costs) of the smart cities broadband network. A number of different approaches have been proposed by national and regional governmental bodies and various industry stakeholders. These typically involve sharing some resources-in some kind of public-private partnership arrangement—among various types of service providers, including retail and wholesale telecommunications companies, utilities, large enterprises, and governmental authorities. The primary approaches proposed are:


• Passive infrastructure sharing:

This is the sharing of physical assets among service providers, covering such things as cell phone towers, cell sites, ducts and conduit for optical fibre, electrical power supplies, shelters to house the equipment, and cooling systems. Infrastructure sharing helps to reduce the expense of providing services by minimizing capital and operational costs. This practice is already in fairly widespread use today.

· Active infrastructure sharing:

This is the sharing of the 'active' elements of a network, such as radio access network (RAN)—for wireless base stations and antennas—as well as data transport and backhaul systems and fibre optic lines into homes and businesses. Although active sharing provides the biggest benefits in terms of cost reduction, improved broadband connectivity, and wireless coverage, it is difficult to establish and implement because it requires close integration and

Figure 3: Potential savings from ICT application to energy savings in other sectors



Source: Alcatel-Lucent analysis of GeSI SMART 2020 data.

collaboration among independent companies that are often competitors. Also, regulations, laws against anti-competitive practices, spectrum availability, and network capacity limitations add complexity to the challenge of active sharing.

• Full separation: A central element of many proposed smart city initiatives, full separation involves the establishment of independently operated passive networks and active networks, which then can be accessed by retail service providers (and presumably governmental or quasi-governmental organizations) on a non-discriminatory basis. The passive and active network operators will offer only wholesale services, while operationally separate retail companies and public institutions

will deliver services to households and businesses.

In some cases, national or regional governments have gone so far as to deploy their own network infrastructures—operated on a model similar to that of public utilities—that can be used to deliver a range of public and private services. Others have taken the less radical step of encouraging cooperation through measures such as adopting master plans that can facilitate more widespread broadband access (duct installation in waiting mode, dark fibre roll-out) and mapping available infrastructures in the region.

The environment: Smart cities' sustainability

In today's world, sustainability increasingly means reducing carbon

emissions as well as achieving durable economic growth. Although some may be tempted to portray economy and environment as mutually exclusive tradeoffs, they can, and indeed must, be seen as a single imperative. Growth that is noxious to the environment would be clearly unsustainable, as would environmental initiatives that fail to make economic sense. More than any other industrial sector, ICT industries sit at the intersection of economy and environment, and by extension at the heart of the smart city.

ICT industries hold unique potential in the drive towards sustainable growth, not only as engines for employment and creation of wealth but also as enablers of a low-carbon economy. According to one recent study, 10 the ICT sector can cut greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by as much as 15% (i.e., 7.8 Gtons CO₂e) by 2020—five times the

4: Making Cities Smart and Sustainable

Industrial processes (12%)

Transport optimization (8%)

Other (8%)

7.8 Gtons CO₂e

Smart buildings (21%)

Teleworking (3%)
Video conferencing (2%)

Figure 4: Savings potential: 15% of global emissions (7.8 Gtons CO₂e) in 2020

Source: Alcatel-Lucent analysis of GeSI SMART 2020 data.

sector's own footprint—with collateral economies of up to US\$750 billion. The combined environmental and economic benefit can be achieved through innovative communications applications and solutions in areas as diverse as building design and maintenance, transport and logistics, electricity generation, distribution and consumption, travel substitution, product dematerialization, and innumerable business process streamlining efforts.

These emission improvements are illustrated in Figure 3.

ICT companies can further help organizations from other sectors and individual consumers reduce emissions by increasing energy efficiency, reducing energy use, 'virtualizing' activities that currently require physical resources, and managing other scarce resources. They can also help by providing the information and analysis tools that

support environmentally responsible behaviour.

'With nearly 70% of businesses with revenues of US\$1 billion or more planning to increase spending on energy efficiency and environmental sustainability within the next 12 months, far-reaching opportunities exist for the ICT sector to be a critical element in the drive to lower emissions', notes the International Chamber of Commerce (ICC).¹¹

An analysis of potential emissions savings from smart ICT solutions is found in Figure 4.

Examples of smart ICT innovations and their low-carbon effect

So where are the areas that ICT can offer dramatic energy efficiency improvements? A few particular sector examples are explored below.

- Smart grids: These grids comprise software and hardware tools that enable electricity generators to route power more efficiently, thus reducing peak capacity requirements and enabling real-time, interactive information exchange with customers. Globally, smart grid technologies could reduce carbon emissions by 2.03 Gtons CO₂e, worth €79 billion.
- Smart logistics and transport optimization: After energy, the transport sector is the second-leading source of global GHG emissions. ICT solutions can help reduce transport needs and streamline logistics. For example, ICT solutions can improve logistic networks, making it easier to mix transportation modes and select the most energy-efficient type of transport. They also help

optimize routes and reduce inventory needs, and can encourage more energy-efficient driving. As fuel prices rise, logistics companies will accelerate their adoption of ICT-based energy efficiency solutions, which will have a huge impact on reducing their emissions. Worldwide, GHG emissions savings from smart logistics could total 1.52 Gtons CO₂e by 2020, with energy savings worth €280 billion.

- Smart buildings: Technologies to help make the design, construction, and operation of buildings more efficient, for both existing and new properties, represent an enormous opportunity. ICT-driven solutions include, for example, building management systems that run heating and cooling systems according to occupants' needs or software that switches off all personal computers and monitors after everyone has gone home. Building energy management systems can reduce energy consumption by 5 to 40%. Globally, smart building technologies could eliminate 1.68 Gtons CO₂e of emissions, worth €216 billion.
- E-substitutes: Communications technologies such as teleconferencing are helping greatly reduce GHG emissions from business travel. Research by the University of Bradford and Sustain-IT showed that the use of teleconferencing solutions by BT eliminated 717,494 face-to-face meetings. With each conference eliminating an average total of 267 miles of travel, the report shows that each teleconference economized by at least 55 kg of CO2. Annual net

savings came to at least 53,552 tons of CO2. ICT can also help businesses greatly reduce carbon emissions through dematerialization, which involves replacing material documents such as paper documents or CDs by electronic ones or media, such as Internet-delivered documents and MP3 music files.

Because climate change and the eco-sustainability challenge are too broad for any single organization, it is essential that an open, collaborative, innovative approach prevails. The challenges of driving down energy consumption in ICT and leveraging ICT to advance green advantage are areas around which a variety of research consortia, partnerships, standards bodies, industry groups, and other collaborative efforts have arisen. An example of these efforts is the GreenTouchTM energy efficiency initiative. A global research consortium, GreenTouchTM brings together leaders in industry, academia, and government labs around a shared goal: to make communication networks 1,000 times more energy efficient. By reinventing the network, GreenTouchTM will lay the groundwork for tomorrow's sustainable networks.

To benefit from the extraordinary leverage offered by ICT—beyond developing sustainable networks—government leaders will need to define policies that support the ICT sector's potential as a driver of sustainable growth. Policy makers, regulators, and ICT industry leaders must work together to define the right framework and conditions that will support the ongoing development of innovative ICT solutions. Both as investors modernizing public services and as pioneers and supporters of those innovative initiatives

that require broad collaboration and incentives to succeed, government and public authorities play a crucial role.

All of these ICT innovations, and many others, are the lifeblood of the smart cities. They are absolutely central to their successful implementation.

Conclusion

People around the world are moving to cities in greater and greater numbers, following the natural inclination to improve their economic circumstances. As the population in cities increases—and ages—it gets increasingly difficult for municipal governments to deliver basic services, let alone ensure a high quality of life for city residents. Even more troubling, the increasing concentration of humanity in urban environments is bringing about profound, largely damaging changes to our biosphere and climate. Current rates of growth and resource consumption are fundamentally unsustainable.

The smart city offers a vision of how to resolve some of these vexing challenges by applying ICT to mitigate the impacts of rapid urbanization and the associated follow-on effects. It also presents an opportunity to rethink how we manage growth, both regionally and locally. As importantly, by making cities smarter, we have the opportunity to reduce energy consumption in a truly dramatic way.

The success of smart city initiatives will require the creative application of technology coupled with novel public policy initiatives. It demands levels of collaboration among private and public institutions far deeper than any seen to date. It also requires the extensive

and creative application of innovation in terms of technology, public policy, finance, and governance. Smart cities by definition will involve strong public-private partnerships, engaging the active participation of governments (regional and local), private companies, educational and research institutions, entrepreneurs, and civic organizations.

There is a tremendous opportunity before us, if we act smartly (and quickly). Urbanization cannot continue on its current path. The smart city vision offers an opportunity to chart a more sustainable course and to potentially eliminate some of the inequalities in broadband access that exist today. This is the right time to fashion a more sustainable, inclusive, and economically vibrant approach to urban growth. Let us take advantage of it.

Notes

- 1 United Nations, World Urbanization Prospects, 2009
- 2 Harter et al., 2010.
- 3 United Nations, World Urbanization Prospects, 2009.
- 4 United Nations, *World Urbanization Prospects*, 2009.
- 5 OECD and European Communities, 2005.
- 6 Giffinger et al., 2007.
- 7 Some government initiatives around broadband include the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, H.R, 111th Cong. (2009), Australia's National Broadband Network, the United Kingdom's Digital Britain, Singapore's Next Generation of National Broadband Network, and China's Social and Economic Development Plan – III, 5 March 2006, among others.
- 8 European Commission, 2003.
- 9 European Union, 2009a, 2009b.
- 10 The Climate Group, 2008.
- 11 ICC, 2010.
- 12 Alcatel-Lucent analysis of GeSI SMART 2020

References

- The Climate Group. 2008. SMART 2020: Enabling the Low Carbon Economy in the Information Age. A report by The Climate Group on behalf of the Global eSustainability Initiative (GeSI). Creative Commons, available at http://www.smart2020.org/_assets/files/02_Smart2020Report.pdf.
- European Commisson. 2003. Guidelines on Criteria and Modalities of Implementation of Structural Funds in Support of Electronic Communications. SEC (2003) 895, available at http://ec.europa.eu/regional_policy/consultation/telecom_en.htm.
- European Union. 2009a. 'Information from European Union Institutions and Bodies: Temporary Community Framework for State Aid Measures to Support Access to Finance in the Current Financial and Economic Crisis'. Official Journal of the European Union 22 January 2009, C 15/1, available at http:// ec.europa.eu/competition/state_aid/ legislation/atf_en.pdf.
- ——. 2009b. 'Community Guidelines for the Application of State Aid Rules in Relation to the Rapid Deployment of Broadband Networks', available at http://ec.europa. eu/competition/consultations/2009_ broadband_guidelines/guidelines_en.pdf.
- Giffinger, R. C. Fertner, H. Kramar, R. Kalasek, N.
 Pichler-Milanovic, and E. Meijers. 2007. 'Smart
 Cities: Ranking of European Medium-Sized
 Cities', report produced by www.smart-cities.
 eu.
- Harter, G., J. Sinha, A. Sharma, and Dave, S. 2010. 'Sustainable Urbanization: The Role of ICT in City Development'. Booz & Company Inc., available at http://www.booz.com/media/ uploads/Sustainable_Urbanization.pdf.
- ICC (International Chamber of Commerce). 2010.

 'ICTs and Environmental Sustainability'.

 Discussion paper, 6 October 2010. ICC

 Commission on E-business, IT and Telecoms.
- OECD and European Communities. 2005. Oslo Manual: Guidelines for Collecting and Interpreting Innovation Data, 3rd edition. Paris: OECD and European Communities (Eurostat).
- United Nations. 2009. World Urbanization Prospects: The 2009 version, available at http://esa. un.org/unpd/wup/index.htm.

The Global Footprint of Innovation

BARRY JARUZELSKI, CHADI MOUJAES, and HATEM SAMMAN, Booz & Company

As companies' operations have become steadily more global, so has their approach to innovation. Multinational corporations (MNCs) are making significant investments in research and development (R&D) outside of the countries where they are headquartered, making them important contributors in global innovation, alongside governments and research institutions.

This trend is driven by the need for companies to compete on a global scale, which entails not only reducing R&D costs but also finding local talent who can offer insight into new markets. However, simply going global is not enough. The most successful companies are those that take a coherent approach to innovation by aligning their R&D strategies with their overall strategy and the business environment in which they operate.

Innovation going global

Although the globalization of innovation is not a new phenomenon, it has increased measurably over the past few decades. In 1975, for example, foreign R&D sites represented about 45% of all sites; this number reached 66% by 2005. The 2008 Innovation 1000, a Booz & Company study of the 1,000 companies that spend the most on R&D, shows that 91% of them conduct innovation activities outside their

headquarter countries.² Importantly, the flow of innovation activities is not unidirectional (see Figure 1). In 2007, companies headquartered outside of the United States of America (US) channelled about US\$43 billion dollars into that country.³

This growing trend reflects MNCs' need to compete with fast-growing local and regional enterprises. In doing so, they must understand their global customers and recruit international talent who can channel their ideas and help them gain global market share. To effect such goals, international firms are moving their R&D sites abroad, focusing on three key drivers:⁴

Lower costs

It is hardly news that outsourcing to emerging markets is an effective way to reduce costs, but it remains a key consideration for MNCs. This explains why they have pursued R&D in markets where scientists and engineers, as well as big-ticket items such as leasing and infrastructure, are relatively inexpensive. For example, the average annual wages of Indian and Chinese engineers are approximately US\$6,800 and US\$18,600, respectively, while those of the United Kingdom (UK) and Canada are over US\$60,000. In fact, recent Booz & Company analysis shows that 35% of decisions to move local R&D overseas have been


driven by wage levels and not by productivity.⁵

However, cost advantages in these markets are slowly eroding: In India, for example, the wage rate of high-end service workers grew from 53% of the equivalent rate for US workers in 2005 to 65% in 2008, and is expected to reach 77% in 2012 and 90% in 2020.6 In Malaysia's Kuala Lumpur, a city that is cited as relatively inexpensive and often targeted for R&D because of its technically skilled, English-speaking workforce, overall costs have risen precipitously. Within the next five years, it is expected that Kuala Lumpur will be as costly to live in as London.7 Going forward, therefore, part of the innovation challenge for MNCs will be to find other sources of cost savings in order to remain globally competitive.

Access to talent


As the cost advantage slowly fades, other factors such as access to talent have become more relevant in global R&D. Many MNCs are opening up R&D sites in emerging countries to reap the talents and ideas of skilled scientists and engineers and to seek their specific expertise at the various stages of the innovation value chain, from ideation and research to product development and testing. For example, companies are recruiting more automotive engineers in India and electronics engineers in

Figure 1: A globalized innovation trend



Source: Jaruzelski and Dehoff, 2008.

Figure 2: Access to qualified personnel



Source: Couto et al., 2008.

China as these countries gain specialized skills in these fields. India and China boasted more than 1.3 million university-enrolled engineers and 2.0 million engineering graduates, respectively, in 2007–08— an overwhelming number compared with the 22,000 Canadian engineers in 2008–09 and the 46,000 UK engineering graduates in 2009–10.8 Indeed, access to talented individuals has been cited by the majority of MNCs as the key driver of global innovation-related functions (Figure 2).

Market proximity and insight

Because many emerging markets have witnessed tremendous economic growth and rising demand for products and services, more and more MNCs find it necessary to conduct R&D closer to these markets to gain insight into customers' needs. In the Indian cellular phone market, for example, many consumers are not looking for a standard cellular phone, but would spend money on a phone like those developed by Nokia, among others, to meet local needs: mobile phones fitted with flashlights, multiple phone books, and various languages that cater to low-income Indian consumers who experience frequent electric power cuts and share their mobile phones with relatives and friends.9 The automotive industry is another case in point: The demand for high-quality, low-cost cars is rising in many emerging countries. Therefore, to be successful in these new markets, MNCs need engineers who understand what customers really require in a car and what is extraneous, so that they can build new models from the ground up that are customized for that market. Indeed, what Booz & Company's 2008 Innovation 1000 study has found is that companies from different industries face their own set of challenges in their decision to globalize their R&D footprint (see Box 1).¹⁰

The global innovation dividend

Companies that make intelligent investments in global R&D—with the right mix of low-cost sites, quality talent, and consumer insights—are able to secure a better return on their R&D investments than those that invest their R&D exclusively in their home countries. In particular, of the 184 top spenders that Booz & Company studied in 2008, those that deployed more than 60% of their R&D outside their home countries

Box 1: Global footprint challenges of the top innovative industries

The decision to globalize R&D activities for any company in any industry involves a combination of business strategies designed to respond to specific business needs: cost reduction, attraction of talent, access to markets and market insight, and operational improvement. Companies in the three industries that collectively represent nearly 70% of all global R&D in 2007—auto, computing and electronics (C&E), and health care—face their own set of innovation footprint challenges, revealed by our data and in interviews with key executives (see Figure 1).

Auto: Driven by demand

The auto industry spent 3.7% of sales revenue on its R&D in 2007. Fully 83% of the industry's R&D spending came from companies headquartered in the US, Germany, and Japan. Yet 60% of total R&D spending took place in those three countries, suggesting the importance of emerging auto markets in every auto company around the world. One example is Visteon Corporation, the US auto parts maker. More than 90% of Visteon's revenues once came from Ford. But after 2005, when the company sold a significant portion of its operations back to Ford, its geographic distribution became evenly divided among North America, Europe, and Asia with the balance tilting heavily overseas in recent years. The company's global R&D footprint is even more heavily invested outside the US than its revenue sources would suggest. Of its 18 R&D facilities worldwide in 2007, only three were in the US, whereas nine were in Europe, five were in Asia, and one was in Mexico.

Visteon's strategy has been demand driven, not cost driven, primarily because the facilities and engineers in the emerging markets—such as China, India, Brazil, and the Czech Republic—where an increasing number of cars are being made and sold

and where Visteon's customers, the automotive manufacturers, demand engineering and technical development support for their growing emerging-market base. As Visteon's vice president of corporate strategy Asaf Farashuddin points out: 'In China and India a lot of people who own vehicles, especially the larger vehicles, have chauffeurs, and the owners sit in the back seat. So you have to design audio systems and climate control systems so they can be controlled from the back seat, as well as from the front seat. That's a piece of insight that you pick up only when you're in China and India working with local engineers.'

Computing and electronics: Bright ideas

Unlike the automotive industry, C&E products can be sold in just about any market without significant differentiation. This is why the C&E sector is motivated less by demand and more by the search for talent and new ideas. To that end, companies worldwide are spreading their R&D resources across a wide swath of the globe, in both developed and emerging markets.

Among the 50 C&E companies Booz & Company analysed closely, fully 70% of the sector's R&D spending originates in just the US and Japan, yet only 40% of spending takes place in those two countries. The rest is spread among more than 20 countries. This degree of diversification arose in part as a result of the industry's quest for talented scientists and skilled engineers—wherever they can be found. Consider the research footprint of HP Laboratories, the corporate research arm of Hewlett-Packard Company (HP). Despite its long-time connection to Silicon Valley, HP Labs spends just 20% of its budget in the US; the rest is spread among facilities in the UK, Israel, India, the Russian Federation, China, and Japan.

Local demand does motivate part of the footprint strategy in C&E companies. According to Prith Banerjee, director of HP Labs and senior vice president of research, 'it is difficult for researchers in Palo Alto or Cupertino to imagine the need for a keyboard for India's 23 different languages. So we've moved much of our work on gesture-based keyboards to India, to work on the best user interface for accommodating all those languages, in part because we believe our researchers there are best suited to work on these problems.' 2

Health care: Breaking down barriers

Although our analysis of the health-care industry covers primarily the research side, both research and development are witnessing significant growth as companies look to promote open innovation in pure research, while conducting more and more of their clinical testing and development in low-cost emerging countries (LECs), such as China and India, all over the world.


Despite the sector's high level of investment in R&D—which reached US\$109 billion in 2007, second only to C&E—health care's global research footprint has been significantly less diverse than that of either autos or C&E. Much of the sector's money continues to be spent in the developed world led by the US, where 58% of the health-care companies we analysed were based, at 53% of the industry's total spending. By comparison, China, which is the only LEC among the top 10 locations, has just 3% of total spending in the industry.

When it comes to sending R&D offshore, and especially to LECs, first-mover status belongs to development, not research. We estimate that about 70% of health-care R&D is devoted to development; about two-thirds of the development money is spent on clinical trials and the rest goes to process development, regulatory


Box 1: Global footprint challenges of the top innovative industries (continued)

Figure 1: Global footprints of the top three R&D industries by country, percent of R&D spent

1a: Auto




Mexico	1%
Brazil	1%
Canada	2%
United States of America	30%



Russian Federation	15%
Israel	9%
Spain	4%
Belgium	3%
Sweden	2%
Italy	1%
United Kingdom	1%
France	1%
Germany	1%

1b: Computing and electronics

Canada United States of America	2% 23%
Italy	1%
Finland	1%
Belgium	1%
Russian Federation	2%
Ireland	2%
Sweden	2%
France	3%
Germany	4%
Israel	5%
United Kingdom	6%




Others	5%
Japan	16%
China	13%
India	6%
Korea, Rep.	2%
Malaysia	2%
Chinese Taipei	2%
Singapore	1%
Thailand	1%
Australia	1%

1c: Health care

Singapore	1%
India	1%
Australia	2%
China	3%
Japan	8%

Netherlands	1%
Denmark	1%
Austria	1%
Ireland	2%
Belgium	2%
Italy	2%
Spain	2%
Sweden	3%
Switzerland	4%
Germany	5%
France	6%
United Kingdom	9%





Americas **EMEA** Asia/Pacific

Source: Jaruzelski and Dehoff, 2008.

Note: This figure shows R&D spending, by country and region, for the 50 top spenders on R&D in each industry. For methodology, see Jaruzelski and Dehoff, 2008.

Box 1: Global footprint challenges of the top innovative industries (continued)

filings, and the like. At present, about 15 to 20% of the money spent on clinical work is going to countries outside the US, Europe, and Japan. Meanwhile, the research side has been much slower; in 2007, close to 95% of the money going into drug discovery was spent in the US, Europe, and Japan.

Companies' clinical efforts have moved faster offshore than pure research has because they need access to people willing to participate in clinical trials of new drugs, and they need to perform those trials cost effectively. In addition, access to emerging markets is becoming an important factor for health-care companies choosing where to locate R&D, as these markets become wealthier and their middle classes grow in size. Piracy concerns have also inhibited pure research. Those concerns are waning in significance, however,

as countries such as China and India establish stronger mechanisms for protecting intellectual property.

Furthermore, until recently the skills and capabilities to perform basic healthcare research did not exist outside the West. That, too, is changing as the skills base in other countries improves. Western healthcare companies are beginning to establish collaborative efforts with universities and other entities in emerging markets to take advantage of that improvement. Novartis AG, for instance, recently opened a major R&D facility in Singapore to conduct research on tropical diseases. And Merck & Company Inc. has been working with INBio, a nonprofit group dedicated to maintaining biodiversity in Costa Rica, to gain access to promising natural compounds.

Ultimately, of course, this shift in focus is designed to improve health—to discover new drugs in a calculated number of promising areas of medical research. In that sense, it is not unlike HP's plan to make big bets in a few areas of technology research. The effort to globalize this process will certainly bring more new good ideas to an industry that is actively looking for them.

Notes

- 1 Jaruzelski and Dehoff, 2008.
- 2 Jaruzelski and Dehoff, 2008.

Source

This box is adapted from Jaruzelski and Dehoff, 2008

tended to perform better, over the previous three years, on several performance indicators, including operating margin, total shareholder return, market capitalization growth, and return on assets (Figure 3). The same holds true for other companies in the Booz & Company study that work to ensure that their R&D footprints are more global than their sales footprint—those whose percentage of research and development sources invested overseas is higher than their percentage of sales overseas. Indeed, for such companies, three-year market capitalization growth was 50% higher than for those who underinvest globally.11

However, a global R&D footprint is not, in itself, a guarantee of impressive performance. To succeed, companies must develop R&D strategies that are carefully aligned with their overall corporate strategy and that suit the business environment in which they operate. Then they must execute those strategies through careful management of their farflung R&D empires.

Companies that approach their innovation footprint in such a coherent way tend to perform better on a variety of performance indicators. Our study suggests that those companies with more concentrated and focused global R&D footprints perform 30% better on three-year operating income growth and total shareholder return, and 40% better on three-year market capitalization growth (see Box 2). Because these companies deploy their R&D energies more carefully, they can make better use of resources, manage their R&D networks more effectively, and improve communications and


collaboration. They also take advantage of economies of scale to leverage critical factors such as training, information technology (IT) support, and lab facilities.

Finally, but not surprisingly, companies that invest more than 10% of their total R&D spending in LECs do better, with 25% higher three-year sales growth and up to 67% better on three-year market cap growth. While it is true that companies can save money on labour costs in LECs, the knowledge they acquire by serving these fast-growing markets is equally important.

The globalization of R&D has also benefited the countries that have opened up their economies to R&D investment. China is a case in point: MNCs' entrance into China created jobs, boosted demand and trade, and increased foreign investments.

5: The Global Footprint of Innovation

Figure 3: The performance payoff from global R&D



Source: Jaruzelski and Dehoff, 2008.

Note: 100 is a normalized figure. The average performance of companies in each instance = 100. The bars show relative performance in each area by companies with a global driven footprint.

For example, the influx of foreign capital that began to take shape in 1992, reaching US\$11 billion in that year, jumped to record highs of more than US\$90 billion in 2008. Foreign-funded enterprises' share of total exports reached 55% in the same year, compared with 32% in 1995. Global R&D has also helped Chinese companies such as Huawei innovate and compete internationally, selling state-of-the-art telecommunications equipment around the world.¹²

The coherence premium

Global R&D spenders are more likely to succeed over the long haul if they develop a coherent approach. They must closely align their strategies and their capability sets—a combination of talent, knowledge, team structures, tools, and processes that enables their innovation efforts, creating products and services that can be successfully delivered to their target markets.

Companies that achieve a high level of coherence—those companies that have their innovation strategies and capabilities aligned with their corporate strategy, that focus on the set of capabilities that drives performance in the marketplace, and that excel at the execution of those capabilities—have consistently and significantly outperformed their competitors on several financial measures.13 For example, we found that, when normalized, the profit margins of companies in the top third in terms of coherence were 22% higher, on average, than those of companies in the bottom two-thirds, and that the coherent companies achieved 18% greater market capitalization growth as well (Figure 4).14

Box 2: The 10 most innovative companies

Booz & Company surveyed 450 innovation executives in more than 400 companies across 10 industries and asked them to name the three companies they considered to be the most innovative worldwide. The result was in line with popular perception. Apple topped the group, receiving 79% of the vote, followed by Google with 49% and 3M with 20% (Table 1). Importantly, the results show that success in innovation is determined not by how much you spend on R&D, but by the way you spend it. Apple is a striking example; the company has a long history of bringing innovative and stylish products to the market, from its first Apple personal computer in 1976 to the iPod, the iPhone, and the iPad today. Yet it invests only 3.1% of its revenue in R&D, less than half the average percentage of the C&E industry. Apple's financial performance has been stellar, with recent record revenues in the first quarter of 2011 and, as of 2010, a five-year total shareholder return (TSR) of 63%. Second-place Google's fiveyear TSR is even more impressive, at 102%. With R&D intensity (innovation spending as

a percentage of revenue) at 12%, it is just 1.3% lower than the average of the software and Internet industry as a whole. Third-place 3M has been seen as a highly innovative company for many years, and its five-year TSR of almost 50% shows that it continues to spend R&D money 'coherently'.

Only three of the companies on the 10 most innovative list—Toyota, Microsoft, and Samsung—also appear among the top 10 spenders, reiterating the lack of correlation between R&D spending and innovation results. Booz & Company also compared the overall financial results of the most innovative group with its list of top R&D spenders. The results are clear: The most innovative companies outperformed their industry peers on three different indicators of financial success (Figure 1).

Companies that are perceived to be highly innovative are clearly successful in creating new products and bringing them to market. Some spend more than others to accomplish this goal, but the real winners, financially speaking, are those companies—such as Apple, Google, and 3M—that can

innovate successfully without breaking the

Note

1 To better understand the relationship between innovation strategy and capabilities, we conducted a Web-based survey of more than 450 senior managers and R&D professionals from more than 400 different companies around the globe. The companies participating represented more than US\$150 billion in R&D spending, or 40% of the total Innovation 1000 R&D spending for 2009. Respondents came from all 10 industry sectors: 52% came from North America, 33% from Europe, and 15% from the rest of the world. We asked respondents to evaluate the innovation capabilities they believed were most important across the value chain, as well as their own performance in each of these capabilities. Responses were analysed using a variety of statistical methods to allow us to distinguish the capabilities most important in pursuing each of the three innovation strateaies we defined in our 2007 study. Although company names and responses were kept confidential (unless permission to use them was explicitly given), a large portion of the respondents identified themselves, enabling us to associate their survey answers with their company's performance.

Source

This box is adapted from Jaruzelski and Dehoff, 2010.

Table 1: Top 10 most innovative companies, 2009

		R&D Spend	ing	Sales	Intensity
Survey rank	*	\$US millions	Rank	\$US millions	(spending as % of sales)
1	Apple	\$1,333	81	\$42,905	3.1%
2	Google	\$2,843	44	\$23,651	12.0%
3	3M	\$1,293	84	\$23,123	5.6%
4	GE	\$3,300	35	\$155,777	2.1%
5	Toyota	\$7,822	4	\$204,363	3.8%
6	Microsoft	\$9,010	2	\$58,437	15.4%
7	P&G	\$2,044	58	\$79,029	2.6%
8	IBM	\$5,820	12	\$95,759	6.1%
9	Samsung	\$6,002	10	\$109,541	5.5%
10	Intel	\$5,653	13	\$35,127	16.1%

^{*} The ranks in this column are the result of a 2009 Booz & Company survey of innovation executives, who voted overwhelmingly for Apple, Google, and 3M as the most innovative companies. Votes for the next seven were much more modest.

5: The Global Footprint of Innovation





Figure 4: The coherent innovator's premium



Source: Jaruzelski and Dehoff, 2010.

Note: Industry-normalized scores reflect the average percentile against industry peers. CAGR = compound annual growth rate; EBITDA = earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization.

The future of the global R&D footprint

When multinational companies globalize their R&D in a coherent manner by aligning their strategy and their capabilities, there is much to be gained. The rising number of talented, skilled, and sophisticated researchers and engineers in emerging markets will help develop these markets so that they become more attractive locations for global R&D. Companies seeking new or larger shares of the growing global economic pie will gain from understanding these new markets and developing more products locally if they hope to remain competitive with increasingly sophisticated players at both the global and country levels.

To have a greater chance of success, companies must be selective about the talent in which they want to invest and the markets they want to enter. They must also consider the other challenges they face overseas, such as operational issues in performing R&D. Although the benefits to be gained from global R&D are real, so is the need for companies to develop coherent strategies that can allow them to realize these benefits.

Notes

- 1 Doz et al., 2006.
- 2 Jaruzelski and Dehoff, 2008.
- 3 Jaruzelski and Dehoff, 2008.
- 4 This section is adapted from Jaruzelski and Dehoff, 2008.
- 5 UBS, 2006; Booz & Company analysis.
- 6 Jaruzelski and Dehoff, 2008.
- 7 See, for example, Chamania, Mehta, and Sehgal, 2010.

- 8 Statistics Canada (available at http://www40. statcan.ca/l01/cst01/EDUC62A-eng.htm); UK's Higher Education Statistics Agency Limited (2011); India's Ministry of Human Resources Development, 2007–08; and China's National Bureau of Statistics (2008, available at http://www.stats.gov.cn/english/ statisticaldata/yearlydata/).
- 9 The Economist, 2010.
- 10 Jaruzelski, B. and K. Dehoff, 2008
- 11 Jaruzelski and Dehoff, 2008.
- 12 See, for example, Tse, 2010.
- 13 Jaruzelski, B. and K. Dehoff, 2010. Financial performance was normalized by industry to compare the impact of capability coherence on corporate financial performance both within strategies and across all companies.
- Jaruzelski, B. and K. Dehoff, 2010. Note: These are industry-normalized scores that reflect the average percentile against peers.

UBS. 2006. UBS Prices and Earnings. Zurich: UBS, available at http://www.ubs.com/1/e/wealthmanagement/wealth_management_research/prices_earnings.htm.

References

- Chamania, A., H. Mehta, and V. Sehgal. 2010. 'Five Factors for Finding the Right Site'. *strategy* + *business* 61 (Winter) 2010, available at http://www.strategy-business.com/article/10403?gko=e029a.
- Couto, V., A. Y. Lewin, M. Mani, and V. Sehgal. 2008. 'Offshoring the Brains as Well As the Brawn'. Booz & Company and Duke University Offshoring Research Network, available at http://www.booz.com/media/uploads/ OffshoringtheBrainsasWellastheBrawn.pdf.
- Doz, Y., K. Wilson, S. Veldhoen, T. Goldbrunner, and G. Altman. 2006. 'Innovation: Is Global the Way Forward?' A Joint Study by Booz Allen Hamilton and INSEAD, available at http:// www.boozallen.com/media/file/Innovation_ Is_Global_The_Way_Forward_v2.pdf.
- The Economist. 2010. The World Turned Upside Down'. A Special Report on Innovation in Emerging Markets. 17–23 April 2010, available at http://www.economist.com/ node/15879369.
- Jaruzelski, B. and K. Dehoff. 2008. 'Beyond Borders: The Global Innovation 1000'. strategy + business 53 (Winter) 2008, available at http://www.strategy-business.com/ article/08405?gko=87043.
- 2010. The Global Innovation 1000: How the Top Innovators Keep Winning'. strategy + business 61 (Winter) 2010, available at http:// www.booz.com/media/file/sb61_10408-R. pdf.
- Tse, E. 2010. The China Strategy: Harnessing the Power of the World's Fastest-Growing Economy. New York: Basic Books. Copyright 2010 by Booz & Company Inc.

Accounting for Creativity in Innovation: Measuring Ambitions and Related Challenges

SACHA WUNSCH-VINCENT, World Intellectual Property Organization

The world is entering a phase that demands novel approaches to solving problems of environment, economy, and access to essential goods (food, water) and services (health care). To address the problems, the focus is increasingly on innovation in statistical fora and policy circles. This is a welcome development. Creativity, however, is an essential ingredient in the process of finding solutions and providing new products and services. While this is undeniable, the role of creativity in the process of innovation and how to foster it is still largely ignored in measurement exercises and the innovation policy debate.

Measuring creativity

'Creativity' is variously defined as 'the ability to make or otherwise bring into existence something new, whether a new solution to a problem, a new method or device, or a new artistic object or form', and as 'a mental process involving the discovery of new ideas or concepts, or new associations of the existing ideas or concepts, fuelled by the process of either conscious or unconscious insight.'

According to these definitions, creativity is an important and inseparable factor in the process leading

to inventions or innovations. The interaction between creativity and innovation is particularly crucial at the sub-national level—in particular, in regions and cities—where clusters of talent, creativity, and technology concentrate to produce economic and social value (see also the many rankings of cities that designate those that are considered the 'most liveable').3 At the level of the firm, creativity and managerial talent are an important component in enterprise performance. New measures of well-being and economic performance, too, factor in the importance of culture and an environment that nurtures creativity.4 Finally, there has recently been an increased recognition of the economic contribution of the creative industries themselves. Studies carried out in different countries show their increasing importance in terms of economic growth and employment.5

Yet, although the interaction between creativity and different forms of economic, social, cultural innovations is increasingly acknowledged, it is not well understood. Specifically, the linkages among creativity and culture, innovation, and economic performance are only slowly being untangled. Little attention has been paid to the need to measure culture and creativity or to construct relevant indices that can

shed light on this complex relationship. Furthermore, the topics of innovation, culture, and creativity are still mostly treated separately in policy discussions and in related country rankings. No international index makes a serious attempt to measure culture or creativity across nations.

Issues of perception might be one reason for this relative lack of statistical or economic studies relating to creativity and for the shortage of related data in composite indices. On the one hand, innovation is often equated with factors that seem to matter most: technology, patents, employment, and growth. These have, in turn, garnered significant attention in the economic literature and from policy makers (and thus in the related construction of innovation indices). Creativity, on the other hand, is usually associated with topics such as entertainment, culture and the social sphere. The latter concepts are harder to measure and their contribution to economic growth has traditionally not been at centre stage.

Unlike the underlying Global Innovation Index, notably pillar 7, innovation indices have seldom encapsulated indicators that are traditionally used to proxy creativity. A few examples illustrate:

- Although innovation indices use data on trade in high-technology products, data on the production of and trade in cultural products, in services supplied by creative professions (e.g., architectural services), or in other knowledgeintensive services are not.
- Although innovation indices use data on the number of researchers or the number of scientific publications, no attempt is made to integrate the number of artists or the number of films produced.
- Although innovation indices use patent data, few attempts are made to encapsulate innovations associated with trademarks, industrial designs, or copyrights.

The other reason is that creativity is even harder to define and measure than innovation. Clearly, to capture its broad essence, a statistical definition or measurement approach to creativity would look across the economy and society to identify the different sources and ramifications of creativity. Creativity as defined above will be found in any stream of public and private life, in the economy, in educational systems, in the arts and culture, and elsewhere.

Nonetheless, no internationally recognized statistical definition exists for creativity. While there are various definitions in dictionaries, none would help with the systematic identification of the different forms of creativity or with ways to approach the topic from a measurement point of view. Moreover, one can argue that creativity is a process relying on many ambiguous or unknown inputs and similarly undefined framework conditions.

More effort has been put into measuring culture than measuring

creativity directly, as noted earlier. Yet measuring culture and related (entertainment) products and their consumption alone only remotely captures the broader phenomenon of creativity, and does not indicate what might be needed to spur innovation.

Finally, to empirically capture creativity and culture via an index is a task at least as challenging—if not more—than producing an innovation index for the following reasons:

- There is no clear framework to select and arrange sub-variables to assess how conducive an environment is to creativity.
- Official direct input, output, and framework measures are even less available for creativity and culture than they are for innovation, especially for a broad set of countries. This is the case even if the measures are confined to cultural industries and products alone.

Availability of statistics on culture and creative industries

The ongoing measurement efforts are focused primarily on developing statistics related to culture and copyright, the related content industries, and their outputs and economic contribution.

In particular, efforts of the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), and the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) described below seek to gauge the economic significance of the creative industries and to quantify the number or value of creative outputs. Furthermore, neither in these organizations

nor elsewhere are there any ongoing efforts to create a composite indicator on culture or creativity on an international scale comparable to innovation indices.

- The UNCTAD Creative Economy Report shows data on international trade in creative products (based on a classification applied to UN COMTRADE).⁶ The available data set provides data on the international trade in creative goods, creative services, and the related industries.⁷
- The UNESCO Institute for Statistics (UIS) is responsible for collecting and disseminating culture statistics. It aims to gather data on more than 200 countries and territories through surveys on a range of different subjects, such as feature films, broadcasts, newspapers, and libraries. Usefully, the UIS has also, in recent years, aimed at improving cultural and related statistics, notably by developing a Framework for Cultural Statistics in 2009. The different sectors treated in this framework are cultural and natural heritage; performance and celebration; visual arts and crafts; books and press; audio-visual and interactive media; and design and creative services. Clearly, these statistics relate to cultural outputs such as films. Although coverage is improving, these data are seldom available for recent years for a broad set of countries.
- On a separate track, the measurement of creative (copyright-based) industries and their contribution to economic output have also drawn considerable resources.
 Prominent examples of exercises that measure this contribution are

THE GLOBAL INNOVATION INDEX 2011

the WIPO Project on Measuring the Economic Contribution of the Copyright-based Industries and relevant national projects.8 Results demonstrate the substantive economic contribution of the creative industries in terms of their share in gross domestic product (GDP), their relative growth, and their generation of employment and trade. Currently the WIPO project covers 24 countries and studies are ongoing in another 12 countries (Table 1). The data are not produced on a yearly basis but are largely comparable across countries. They indicate that the contribution of copyright-based industries to GDP and employment is roughly 6% on average in the studied countries. OECD data for the creative economy also show that, in high-income countries, copyright-based industries have been growing at an annual rate more than twice that of the service industries overall, and more than four times that of manufacturing.9

UN classifications have begun to single out creative industries only recently. In particular, new versions of well-known UN classifications, such as the ISIC Rev. 4 classification of economic activities and the COIPOC classification of consumption (formerly known as 'SNA 93' on household expenditure) are moving in that direction, as is the International Labor Organization classification of occupation ISCO-08 on employment.¹⁰

Existing composite creativity indices and their methodologies

To the best of our knowledge, there is no international effort in place for developing a creativity index with

Table 1: The economic contribution of copyright-based industries

Country	Contribution to GDP (%)	Employment (%)
United States of America	11.12	8.49
Singapore	5.80	5.90
Canada	4.50	5.55
Latvia	4.00	4.50
Hungary	7.40	7.20
Philippines	4.92	11.10
Bulgaria	4.54	4.92
Mexico	4.77	11.01
Lebanon	4.75	4.49
Jamaica	4.80	3.03
Russian Federation	6.06	7.30
Romania	5.54	4.17
Croatia	4.42	4.65
Peru	3.60	2.51
Ukraine	3.47	1.91
Korea, Rep.	8.67	4.31
Malaysia	5.80	7.50
Colombia	3.30	5.80
Netherlands	5.90	8.80
Australia	10.30	8.00
Kenya	5.32	3.26
China	6.41	6.50
Slovenia	5.10	6.80
Panama	6.95	6.35
Average	5.73	6.00

Source: Based on internal WIPO database on copyright-based industries. See http://www.wipo.int/ip-development/en/creative_industry/economic_ contribution.html and Dimiter Gantchev (WIPO); WIPO, 2003.

significant, international country coverage. To compensate for this lack, past measurement attempts have relied on existing measures applied to the cultural sector or the creative (copyright-based) industries.

A small number of creativity indices, however, that resemble the work behind innovation indices do exist. Although there is no recognized conceptual framework that would help with the measurement of creativity, all existing creativity-related indices build on certain measurement pillars, depicted in Figure 1:


a broad set of variables for measuring the environment and conditions influencing creativity
 (e.g., human capital, openness and diversity, cultural environment, technology, institutional environment), and

a specific set of measures for creative outputs and industries (e.g., number of creative works, size of creative industries).

Several creativity indices exist and are described below. These may provide data and experience on which further attempts can build, although all of them are one-off exercises that are either limited to one region, to one or a few countries, or that have not yet been carried out (e.g., the European Creativity Index).

 The Creative Community Index, constructed for Silicon Valley, is one of the first explicit creativity indices. It assesses the value of the cultural infrastructure, social connectedness, and cultural participation as well as cultural policies

Figure 1: Pillars of creativity indices



and investment in promoting and sustaining creativity.

- Later, a creativity index was developed for Hong Kong (SAR),
 China, which was commissioned by the government.
- Another was developed for Singapore, which benchmarks Singapore against a set of other countries.
- The creativity index that has received the greatest attention was developed by the academic Richard Florida as part of his book on the 'creative class'. 11 This index at first focused primarily on US regions (more than 350) and Canada, and then later it added some European countries.
- The KEA European Affairs Consultancy contracted by the

European Commission has proposed the construction of a European Creativity Index that will focus heavily on the cultural sector.

 Finally, there is some work on 'Creative City' indices, including one developed by Renmin University of China (Beijing) that is focused on ranking the cities of China.¹²

Apart from many indicators that overlap with those used in innovation indices, the above creativity indices rely heavily on data from the cultural or creative industry sector or their outputs. The most significant creativity indices that currently exist or have been proposed are shown in Table 2.

None of these indices measure creativity in the broad sense. Data on creative products and services and/ or cultural performances and artefacts are often used as direct output measures. As compared with innovation indices, creativity indices place an even greater emphasis on trying to measure the framework environment, often with innovative statistical proxies. They also rely on the greater availability of existing metrics for certain creative outputs (i.e., the products of the creative industries and/or the cultural sector).

Even if one agrees that indicators on cultural output are representative proxies for creativity as a whole, the availability of data is limited. Even simple metrics for creative outputs are frequently not available for all UN countries. A search for international statistics on book production in the respective database of the UIS, for instance, shows that the latest data are available only for 1999 and only for about 50 out of 200 countries. When it comes to

Table 2: Sample of existing international creativity indices

Title	Source	Latest year of data	Sample	Measures
Creative Community Index ¹	Cultural Initiatives Silicon Valley (closed in 2006)	2005	Silicon Valley	The Creative Community Index is largely compiled on the basis of opinion surveys that measure how the arts and culture operate in Silicon Valley, California, and contribute to the business and technological innovation of the place.
Hong Kong Creativity Index ²	University of Hong Kong (commissioned	2004 (one-off exercise)	Hong Kong (SAR), China	The Hong Kong Creativity Index includes 88 indicators.
	by the HKSAR government)			Key areas covered: Economic contribution of creative industries, size of working population engaged in the creative industries, trade value of the creative industries, economic contribution of e-commerce, inventive ability of business sector, innovation activity in terms of applications of patent, and creativity activity (non-economic indicators) in the creative sector and in arts and culture.
J. , J.	Singapore IP Academy	· .	Iceland, Japan, Sweden, United States of America, United Kingdom, Denmark, Australia, Finland, Switzerland, and Singapore	The Singapore Creativity Benchmarking Index comprises 138 quantitative and qualitative indicators.
				Indicators include the availability of resources; the current stock of manpower; the sustainability of these resources; the economic and regulatory system; adaptability to change; tenacity for potential growth and development; and others.
Creativity Index ⁴	Richard Florida (book on creative class)	2002 and 2004	United States of America and Canada (also respective regions), later also 13 European countries.	This Creativity Index is based on the combination of a High-Tech Index, an Innovation Index, a measure of the size of the Creative Class, and the Composite Diversity Index (this last includes sub-indices of a Gay Index, a Bohemian Index, a Talent Index, and a Melting Pot Index).
				The Euro-Creativity Index comprises the Technology Index, the Talent Index, and a Tolerance Index.
Proposal for a European Creativity Index ⁵	KEA European Affairs Consultancy for the European Commission	Proposed in 2008–09, no follow-up since	Europe	The European Creativity Index proposes 32 indicators on the cultural dimension of creativity in five pillars: human capital, technology, the institutional environment, the social environment, and openness and diversity.

¹ CPANDA, 2002; Cultural Initiatives Silicon Valley, 2005. The Creative Community Index is a research initiative that developed quantitative measures of cultural participation and creativity in the Silicon Valley. Surveys were conducted in 2002 and 2005.

Centre for Cultural Policy Research, 2004.

³ IP Academy. 2008.

⁴ Florida, 2002.

⁵ KAE European Affairs, 2009. This briefing note is a summary of key messages of KEA's report 'The Contribution of Culture to Creativity', conducted for the European Commission in 2008–09; the full report can be accessed via KEA's website (http://www.keanet.eu). Details of the index have been provided to the WIPO Economics and Statistics Division by the Head of KEA.

the production of films, more recent data are available for 2006—but again, data for only about 75 out of 200 countries exist. This situation will improve through the new surveys and activities of the UIS (on cinemas, library statistics, broadcasts). Still, it is currently very difficult to even factor in creative outputs or the size of creative industries for measurement or ranking purposes.

Conclusion

Undoubtedly there are a strong links among innovation, culture, and creativity. This chapter has shown, however, that innovation and creativity are usually treated separately. Apart from the Global Innovation Index, almost no other innovation or technology index uses creativity-related measures either as a measurement pillar or as significant measurement variables. In part this is because of the lack of existing, reliable measurement frameworks for creativity and the absence of broadly available data. Even in the case of measuring creative outputs or the size of entertainment or creative industries alone, data are scarce.

Further measurement efforts such as those being conducted at UNESCO, UNCTAD, and WIPO are needed to foster the availability of such data. In addition, the phenomenon of creativity both in the workforce and as fostered in educational systems might need to garner more measurement and analytical attention (including in standardized scholastic aptitude tests such as the OECD's PISA rankings). On this basis, studies could be designed to better apprehend the way culture, creativity, and innovation interact with one another, and what associated policy conclusions could emerge.

Notes

- Encyclopædia Britannica, http://www. britannica.com/EBchecked/topic/142249/ creativity.
- Wikipedia, http://en.wiktionary.org/wiki/ creativity.
- 3 See http://www.economist.com/blogs/ gulliver/2011/02/liveability_ranking and http://www.eiu.com/public/topical_report. aspx?campaignid=Liveability2011.
- 4 Gordon, 2006; Gordon and Beilby-Orrin, 2007; and OECD Project on the International Measurement of Culture, available at http:// www.oecd.org/document/41/0,2340, en_2649_34245_37151785_1_1_1_1,00. html
- 5 See WIPO, 2003 and http://www.wipo.int/ ip-development/en/creative_industry/ economic_contribution.html.
- 5 UNCTAD/UNDP, 2010.
- 7 See the UNCTADstat Database on Creative Economy, available at http://unctadstat. unctad.org/ReportFolders/reportFolders. aspx?sRF_ActivePath=P,10&sRF_ Expanded=,P,10 and http://www.unctad. org/sections/ditc_tab/docs/cer2010_ userstat_en.pdf.
- 8 The WIPO Guide on Surveying the Economic Contribution of the Copyright-based Industries was published in 2003. The publication outlines a methodology for measuring the contribution of copyright activities in economic terms hence providing the basis for undertaking a comparative analysis between countries on the size of their creative sector. Of significance in these exercises are the determination of economic variables/indicators, such as revenue created, employment rates, exports, growth areas and recommendations as to what policy measures are needed to sustain and/or stimulate growth. Studies also demonstrate the linkages and interdependence between economic sectors.
- 9 Gordon and Beilby-Orrin 2007, fn. 4.
- 10 The ILO International Standard Classification of Occupation, ISCO-08, is available at http://www.ilo.org/public/english/bureau/stat/isco/isco08/index.htm. The UN International Standard Industrial Classification of All Economic Activities, ISIC Rev. 4, is available at http://unstats.un.org/unsd/cr/registry/regcst.asp?Cl=27&Lg=1; the UN Classification of Individual Consumption According to Purpose (COICOP) is available at http://unstats.un.org/unsd/cr/registry/regcst.asp?Cl=5.
- 11 See also Florida, 2009, available at http://www.creativeclass.com/creative_ class/2009/04/09/creativity-index/.

Other existing rankings on the most 'liveable' cities such as the ones produced by Mercer Consulting or The Economist greatly rely on culture-related variables to proxy Recreation. See the Mercer, 2010; The Economist, 2011; and FIU. 2011.

References

- Centre for Cultural Policy Research. 2004. A Study on Creativity Index. The University of Hong Kong, commissioned by the Home Affairs Bureau, The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Government, available at http://www.uis.unesco.org/template/pdf/ cscl/Cultdiv/Hui.pdf.
- CPANDA (Cultural Policy & the Arts National Data Archive). 2002. Creative Community Index: Survey of Cultural Organizations. Princeton, New Jersey: CPANDA, available at http:// www.cpanda.org/stage/studies/a00217.
- Cultural Initiatives Silicon Valley. 2002. Creative
 Community Index: Measuring Progress Toward
 a Vibrant Silicon Valley. San Jose, California:
 Cultural Initiatives Silicon Valley, available
 at http://www.fusic.org/documentacio/
 siliconwci creative index.pdf.
- 2005. Creative Community Index: Measuring Progress Toward a Vibrant Silicon Valley. San Jose, California: Cultural Initiatives Silicon Valley, available at http://www.ci-sv.org/pdf/ Index-2005.pdf.
- The Economist. 2011. 'Liveability Ranking: Where the Livin' Is Easiest'. Gulliver blog, 21 February, available at http://www.economist.com/blogs/gulliver/2011/02/liveability_ranking.
- EIU (Economist Intelligence Unit). 2011. The Liveability Ranking and Overview February 2011. EUI, available at http://www.eiu.com/ public/topical_report.aspx?campaignid=Liv eability2011.
- Florida, R. 2002. *The Rise of the Creative Class.* New York: Basic Books.
- ——. 2009. Creativity Index. Creative Class, 9 April 2009, available at http://www.creativeclass. com/creative_class/2009/04/09/creativity-index/.
- Gordon, J. 2006: The Importance of Culture to the Well-Being of Societies.' Paper presented to the OECD/CRELL-JRC Workshop on Measuring Well-being and Societal Progress, Milan, 19–21 June 2006.
- Gordon, J. and H. Beilby-Orrin. 2007. International Measurement of the Economic and Social Importance of Culture. Paris: OECD.
- IP Academy. 2008. 'A Creativity Benchmarking Index: An ASAT Model. Executive Summary'. Singapore: IP Academy, available at http:// www.ipacademy.com.sg/site/ipa_cws/ resource/executive%20summaries/ASAT_ Executive_Summary_Oct%202008.pdf.

THE GLOBAL INNOVATION INDEX 2011

- KEA European Affairs. 2009. Towards a Creativity Index.' Presentation at the Conference *Can Creativity be Measured*? Brussels, 28 May 2009, available at http://ec.europa.eu/education/lifelong-learning-policy/doc/creativity/kern.pdf.
- Mercer. 2010. 'Mercer 2010 Quality of Living Survey Highlights: Global', available at http://www. mercer.com/articles/quality-of-living-surveyreport-2010.
- Project on the International Measurement of Culture. OECD Statistics Directorate, available at http://www.oecd.org/document/41/0,23 40,en_2649_34245_37151785_1_1_1_1,00. html.
- UNCTAD (United Nations Conference on Trade and Development). No date. *UNCTADSTAT Database on Creative Economy*, available at http://unctadstat.unctad.org/ ReportFolders/reportFolders.aspx?sRF_ ActivePath=P,10&sRF_Expanded=,P,10.
- No date. 'User Guide of UNCTADSTAT Database on Creative Economy', available at http://www.unctad.org/sections/ditc_tab/ docs/cer2010_userstat_en.pdf.
- UNCTAD/UNDP (United Nations Conference on Trade and Development and United Nations Development Programme). 2010. Creative Economy: Report 2010. New York: UN, available at http://www.unctad.org/en/docs/ditctab20103_en.pdf.
- WIPO (World Intellectual Property Organization).
 WIPO Program Activities: Economic
 Contribution & Mapping, available at http://
 www.wipo.int/ip-development/en/creative_
 industry/economic_contribution.html.
- ——. 2003. Guide on Surveying the Economic Contribution of the Copyright-based Industries. Geneva: WIPO, available at http://www. wipo.int/copyright/en/publications/pdf/ copyright_pub_893.pdf.

Appendices

Appendix

Country Profiles

Country/Economy Profiles

The following tables provide detailed profiles for each of the 125 economies in the Global Innovation Index 2011. They are constructed around three sections.

Three key indicators at the beginning of each profile are intended to put the economy into context; population in millions, GDP per capita in PPP current international dollars, and GDP in US\$ billions. While coming from different sources, the three series were extracted from the World Bank World Development Indicators database in April 2011.

The next section provides the economy's scores and rankings on the Global Innovation Index (GII), the Innovation Input Sub-Index, the Innovation Output Sub-

Index, and the Innovation Efficiency Index. GII rankings for the 2009 and 2010 editions follow (in the past, scores were normalized in the [1, 7] range and are therefore not readily comparable and not reported).

Scores are normalized in the [0, 100] range, except for the Efficiency Index, for which scores evolve around the number one (this index

is calculated as the ratio between the Output and Input Sub-Indices).

The Innovation Input Sub-Index score is calculated as the simple average of the scores in the first five pillars, while the Innovation Output Sub-

Albania

Asy indicator
Projection inflinted
To great (1971) Counted international 5)

Asy indicator

Asy indica

Index is calculated as the simple average of the last two pillars.

3 The normalized score and the rank for each pillar (identified by its single-digit number), sub-pillar (two-digit number), and indicator (three-digit number) are reported. For example, *indicator 1.3.1*, *Time to start a business*, appears under *sub-pillar 1.3*,

Business environment, which in turn appears under pillar 1, Institutions.

To facilitate the replicability of results, only the normalized values in the [0, 100] range are reported in the country/economy profiles, with

higher values indicating better outcomes. Original values and years are reported, together with these normalized scores, in the data tables (Appendix II).

When data are either not available or too out of date, 'n/a' is used.

The GII includes three types of data. Composite indicators are identified with an asterisk (*); survey questions from the World Economic Forum's Executive Opinion Survey are identified with a dagger (†); and the remaining indicators are all hard data series.

For further details, see Appendix III, Sources and Definitions.

Notes

- World Bank estimates based on various sources.
- World Bank, International Comparison Program database.
- World Bank national accounts data, and OECD National Accounts data files.

Index of Country/Economy Profiles

Country/Economy	Page
Albania	.122
Algeria	.123
Argentina	.124
Armenia	.125
Australia	.126
Austria	.127
Azerbaijan	.128
Bahrain	.129
Bangladesh	.130
Belgium	.131
Benin	.132
Bolivia	. 133
Bosnia and Herzegovina	.134
Botswana	. 135
Brazil	. 136
Brunei Darussalam	.137
Bulgaria	. 138
Burkina Faso	.139
Cambodia	. 140
Cameroon	.141
Canada	.142
Chile	. 143
China	.144
Colombia	. 145
Costa Rica	.146
Côte d'Ivoire	. 147
Croatia	. 148
Cyprus	.149
Czech Republic	. 150
Denmark	.151
Ecuador	. 152
Egypt	. 153
El Salvador	.154
Estonia	. 155
Ethiopia	. 156
Finland	. 157
France	158

Country/Economy	Page
Georgia	159
Germany	160
Ghana	161
Greece	162
Guatemala	163
Guyana	164
Honduras	165
Hong Kong (SAR), China	166
Hungary	167
Iceland	168
India	169
Indonesia	170
Iran	171
Ireland	172
Israel	173
Italy	174
Jamaica	175
Japan	176
Jordan	177
Kazakhstan	178
Kenya	179
Korea, Rep	180
Kuwait	181
Kyrgyzstan	182
Latvia	183
Lebanon	184
Lithuania	185
Luxembourg	186
Macedonia	187
Madagascar	188
Malawi	189
Malaysia	190
Mali	191
Mauritius	192
Mexico	193
Moldova, Rep	194
	105

Morocco196
Namibia197
Netherlands198
New Zealand199
Nicaragua200
Niger201
Nigeria202
Norway 203
Oman204
Pakistan205
Panama206
Paraguay 207
Peru208
Philippines209
Poland210
Portugal211
Qatar212
Romania213
Russian Federation214
Rwanda215
Saudi Arabia216
Senegal217
Serbia218
Singapore219
Slovak Republic220
Slovenia221
South Africa222
Spain223
Sri Lanka224
Sudan225
Swaziland 226
Sweden227
Switzerland228
Syrian Arab Republic229
Tajikistan230
Tanzania231
Thailand232

Country/Economy	Page
Trinidad and Tobago	. 233
Tunisia	. 234
Turkey	. 235
Uganda	. 236
Ukraine	. 237
United Arab Emirates	. 238
United Kingdom	. 239
United States of America	. 240
Uruguay	. 241
Venezuela	. 242
Viet Nam	. 243
Yemen	. 244
Zambia	. 245
Zimbabwe	. 246

Albania

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	47.5	35
Pop	ulation (millions)		3.2	4.1	Credit	44.6	49
GDP per capita, PPP (current international \$)		Q	373.0	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	90.0	7
		0,		4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		12.0	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
				4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	39.7	13
Cla		Score 0–100	Rank	4.2	Investment	48.7	17
	bal Innovation Index			4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	73.0	15
Innov	ation Output Sub-Index	22.6	95	4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	38.3	78	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.6	96	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	0.0	69
	l Innovation Index 2010			4.3	Trade & competition	49.2	70
				4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %	89.7	44
GIODa	l Innovation Index 2009		121	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	65.2	63	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	55.5	64	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	52.9	105
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	19.6	123
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*				-		
1.1.3	Press freedom*	77.3	65	5.1	Knowledge workers	19.0	114
1.2	Regulatory environment	56.3	72	5.1.1 5.1.2	Knowledge-intensive employment, %Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	58.1		5.1.2	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.3	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*						
1.3		83.9	47	5.2	Innovation linkages	14.5	122
1.3.1	Business environment Time to start a business, days			5.2.1 5.2.2	University/industry collaboration [†] State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.1	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.2	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	32.7	82	5.3		25.5	99
2.1	Education	49.8	91	5.3.1	Knowledge absorption Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI	26.5	101	5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, % GDP		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	42.4	90	5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science						
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	81.8	63	6	Scientific outputs	18.0	96
2.2	Tertiary education	23.8	86	6.1	Knowledge creation	0.9	121
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	19.2	81	6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$	n/a	n/a
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	1.2	116
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	35.3	42
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	89.2	5	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %	64.1	12
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	24.4	60	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs	6.5	62
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	17.8	112
2.3.3	Quality research institutions†	24.4	116	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	26.4	70	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
				6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	21.3	83	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	48.1	65
3.1.1	ICT access*ICT use*			-		27.2	01
3.1.2 3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7	Creative outputs	27.3	81
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	34.6	105
				7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	22.0	59	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2 3.2.3	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	56./	49
3.2.3 3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	19.9	61
				7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	36.0	64	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*.			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological lootpillit & blocapacity, Ha/Cap	/ رد	/ U	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	I U.U	50

Algeria

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	33.4	92
Pop	ulation (millions)		35.4	4.1	Credit	17.2	111
-	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	5	3,172.5	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,	•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		140.6	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		C 0 100	D. J.	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Glo	bal Innovation Index	Score 0–100	Rank 125	4.2	Investment	35.3	42
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	53.0	55
Innov	ration Output Sub-Index	7.5	125	4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
Innov	ration Input Sub-Index	32.1	101	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ration Efficiency Index	0.2	125	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	0.0	69
Globa	Il Innovation Index 2010		121	4.3	Trade & competition	47.7	77
Globa	al Innovation Index 2009		108	4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
diobe	in intovation mack 2007		100	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	45.4	112	4.3.4 4.3.5	Exports of goods & services, % GDP Intensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	32.5	109	4.3.3	Intensity local competition:	30.9	0/
1.1.1	Political stability*	12.7	109	5	Business sophistication	25.1	107
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	34.8	92	5.1	Knowledge workers	25.1	102
1.1.3	Press freedom*	49.9	95	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	35.5	113	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	20.5	115	5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	59.0	96	5.2	Innovation linkages	18.8	114
1.3	Business environment	68.2	98	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration†		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days	77.9	82	5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap	89.9	71	5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %	n/a	n/a
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	36.7	121	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$	0.0	73
2	H	22.0	01	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	9.1	64
2	Human capital & research		81	5.3	Knowledge absorption	31.5	75
2.1	Education	57.0	64	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	n/a	n/a
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %	18.5	64
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	44.5	68
2.1.4	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Crientific outputs	6 1	125
	•			6	Scientific outputs	6.1	125
2.2	Tertiary education	28.5	72	6.1	Knowledge creation	2.3	103
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % grossGraduates in science, %			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2 6.1.3	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %						
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	6.9	73	6.2	Knowledge impact Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %	15.9	108
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	12.9	107	6.2.1 6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP						
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	0.0	124
				6.3.1 6.3.2	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3	Infrastructure	23.7	90	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	13.6	102	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*				,,		
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	9.0	123
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7.1	Creative intangibles	18.0	123
3.1.4	E-Participation*	1.4	116	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	5.6	94
3.2	Energy	11.6	103	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models†		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	26.0	122
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.2	Creative goods & services	0.0	125
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %		106	7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %	n/a	n/a
3.3	General infrastructure	45.7	18	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap.	33.9	69	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	n/a	n/a

Argentina

Key	ı indicators			4	Market sophistication	28.3	108
Pop	oulation (millions)		40.7	4.1	Credit	29.2	97
-	P per capita, PPP (current international \$)	14	4,538.3	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	40.0	83
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
ועט	P (US\$ billions)		307.2	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	0.1	/4
Glo	bal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	17.7	105
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	47.0	86
	vation Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
	vation Input Sub-Index			4.2.3 4.2.4	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
Inno	vation Efficiency Index	0.9	8				
Glob	al Innovation Index 2010		75	4.3	Trade & competition	38.1	113
Glob	al Innovation Index 2009		84	4.3.1 4.3.2	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
				4.3.2	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	E1 1	102	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1		51.1	102	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	55.2	65	_			
1.1.1	Political stability*Government effectiveness*			5	Business sophistication	38.3	53
1.1.2	Press freedom*			5.1	Knowledge workers	41.9	58
				5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment Regulatory quality*	43.2	100	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Rule of law*			5.1.3 5.1.4	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*				,		
				5.2	Innovation linkages	29.7	75
1.3 1.3.1	Business environment Time to start a business, days	55.0	115	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†] State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.1	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.2 5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	, ,			5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	37.2	63	5.3	Knowledge absorption	43.1	30
2.1	Education	59.3	56	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI	49.1	52	5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	41.2	89
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary				Calantificant	22.5	67
	•			6	Scientific outputs	23.5	67
2.2	Tertiary education	28.0	74	6.1	Knowledge creation	18.3	44
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % grossGraduates in science, %			6.1.1 6.1.2	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %				Knowledge impact		
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	2.9	89	6.2 6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %	21.6	93
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	24.4	61	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop	11.3	40	6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	30.7	50
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	51.9	43	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
2	Infractructura	21 5	16	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3	Infrastructure	31.5	46	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	32.6	50	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	47.9	69
3.1.1	ICT access* ICT use*			7	Constitute	42.4	22
3.1.2	Government's Online Service*			7	Creative outputs	43.4	22
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	57.8	18
		20.2	63	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2 3.2.1	Energy Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.2 7.1.3	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ ICT & business models†	n/a	n/a 70
3.2.1	Electricity output, kwi/cap			7.1.3	ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.3							
	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.2	Creative goods & services	29.0	42
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %		73	7 7 1			n/2
	Share of renewables in energy use, %		73 26	7.2.1 7.2.2	Recreation & culture consumption, %	n/a	
3.2.4 3.3 3.3.1	Share of renewables in energy use, % General infrastructure	4.3 41.7	26	7.2.1 7.2.2 7.2.3	Recreation & culture consumption, % National feature films/mn pop	n/a 21.5	32
3.3	Share of renewables in energy use, %	4.3 41.7 *43.8 24.9	26 49 67	7.2.2	Recreation & culture consumption, %	n/a 21.5 7.5 4.5	32 49 87

Armenia

	indicators ulation (millions)		3.1	4 4.1	Market sophistication Credit	33.7 <i>42.2</i>	90
-		_		4.1.1 4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		
	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	5	,278.9	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		8.7	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
				4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.2	Investment	14.4	114
Glob	bal Innovation Index	33.0	69	4.2 .1	Strength of investor protection*		
Innov	ation Output Sub-Index	28.9	60	4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	37.1	84	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
	ation Efficiency Index			4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	·			4.3	Trade & competition	44.3	92
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		82	4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		104	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	67.9	57	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
-				4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	41.9	121
1.1	Political environment	58.7	58				
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	30.3	87
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	Knowledge workers	38.4	67
1.1.3	Press freedom*	/0.9	/6	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	44.8	52
1.2	Regulatory environment	60.8	61	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	79.0	47	5.2	Innovation linkages	19.9	111
1.3	Business environment	84.2	45	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration†	29.4	
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days	86.5	59	5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap	97.6	30	5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	68.5	66	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
_				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	0.0	73
2	Human capital & research	30.7	88	5.3	Knowledge absorption	32.7	67
2.1	Education	55.1	68	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	74.4	13
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science						
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	99.6	2	6	Scientific outputs	29.7	41
2.2	Tertiary education	21.3	94	6.1	Knowledge creation	24.7	34
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	29.2	35
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	38.6	30
2.2.6			41	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	15.7	96	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	25.8	67
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	33.3	96	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	n/a	n/a
3	Infrastructure	22.9	94	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %	1.4	76
				6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	15.3	96	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	49.0	47
3.1.1 3.1.2	ICT access*ICT use*			-		20.4	70
3.1.2	Government's Online Service*			7	Creative outputs	28.1	78
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	39.5	87
				7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	13.8	96	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	43.0	98
3.2.3 3.2.4	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	16.7	65
				7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	39.4	39	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, % Creative services exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.5			

Australia

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	58.8	16
Popu	ulation (millions)		21.5	4.1	Credit	71.9	13
	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	39	,230.7	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	90.0	7
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		924.8	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		924.0	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0—100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP		n/a
Glob	oal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	54.8	12
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.2 4.2.3	Market capitalization, % GDP Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
	•			4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	ation Efficiency Index			4.3	Trade & competition	49.8	66
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		18	4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		22	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP	8.2	113
1	Institutions	91.0	7	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	88.7	14	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	78.0	9
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	54.1	17
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			<i>5</i> .1	Knowledge workers	76.0	14
1.1.3	Press freedom*	94.3	17	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	97.8	1	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	68.7	17
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	100.0	1	5.2	Innovation linkages	49.6	23
1.3	Business environment	86.5	28	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2 1.3.3	Cost to start a business, % income/cap Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.3 5.2.4	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	rotar tax rate, % pronts	01.2	90	5.2.4 5.2.5	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$ PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	57.8	9				
2.1	Education	71.3	14	5.3 5.3.1	Knowledge absorption Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	36.7	50
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI	56.7	34	5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	46.3	56
2.1.4 2.1.5	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary				Calantificant	22.1	22
				6	Scientific outputs	33.1	33
2.2 2.2.1	Tertiary education Tertiary enrolment, % gross	43.2	20	6.1	Knowledge creation	34.3	23
2.2.1	Graduates in science, %			6.1.1 6.1.2	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	39.8	27
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	7.9	70	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	59.0	11	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	30.2	25
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	25.3	70
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	/6.1	10	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	9.1	39
3	Infrastructure	52.3	4	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	70.3	3	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1.1	ICT access*			6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	57.6	14
3.1.2	ICT use*	55.4	8	7	Creative outputs	40.6	36
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			<i>7</i> .1	Creative intangibles	51.1	37
3.1.4	E-Participation*	91.4	2	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	26.9	26	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	67.9	22
3.2.3 3.2.4	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	30.2	38
				7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	59.7	3	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*. Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2 3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.4 7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % Creative services exports, %		
ر.د.د	деогодисы гоохринк а вюсараску, па/сар	🔾 /		1.2.3	Creative services exports, /0	JT.Z	17

Austria

Population (millions)	Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	56.5	23
April	Popu	ılation (millions)		8.4	4.1	•	85.0	5
Comparison Section S	-		3.8	363.1		Strength of legal rights for credit*	70.0	37
Some Color Some Color Some Color Some Color Some Color C		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*	100.0	1
Size-Pi-M Bell Size-Pi-M Bell Size-Pi-M Bell Decision Output Sch-Index 9.7 19 4.2 Strength of Investor protection* 4.00 103	GDP	(US\$ DIIIIONS)		381.1		·		
Investment			Ccaro 0 100	Dank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Strength of invasto protection 40,00 103	Glob	oal Innovation Index			4.2			
Institutions								
A		•						
A		'				,		
1		•						
Market access tade restrictiveness*, %,n/a,n/a Assumers	Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		21				
Institutions	Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		15				
Institutions								
Political stability	1	Institutions	85.7	17	4.3.4			
Political stability*	-				4.3.5	Intensity local competition†	80.9	5
1.12 Government effectiveness*				_	-	Dusiness combistisation	F1 6	22
Press freedom*		*				•		
1.2 Regulatory equitory								
1.21 Regulatory quality* 9.79 15 5.13 R&D performed by business, % 83.1 11	12	Regulatory environment	88.4	11				
Sule of law*						9		
1.3 Business environment						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Business environment	1.2.3				5.2	·		
13.1 Time to start a business, days.	1.3	Business environment	74.5	82		3		
Sample S			74.0					
Secondary Seco	1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap	95.9	43	5.2.3			
Human capital & research 58.7 8 5.3 Knowledge absorption 39.1 41	1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	53.4	107	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$	4.0	67
2.1 Education 69.9 19 5.3.5 Noverweige dusorphin 39.1 47 47 2.1.1 Education expenditure, % GNI 59.2 30 53.2 High-tech imports less re-imports, % QDP 33.6 28 2.1.2 Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap 490 11 5.3.3 Computer & comm. service imports, % 49.1 40 2.1.3 School life expectancy, years 66.6 32 5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP 45.6 59 2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths, & science 64.3 29 7 49.1 40 7 49.1 40 40 49.1 40 40.1 80 40 <td>2</td> <td>Harrier conital Quarrant</td> <td>F0.7</td> <td>0</td> <td>5.2.5</td> <td>PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %</td> <td>32.2</td> <td>29</td>	2	Harrier conital Quarrant	F0.7	0	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	32.2	29
Education expenditure, % GNI 592 30 53.32 Flight-tech imports less re-imports, % 28.0 40 40 40 40 40 40 40		•			5.3	Knowledge absorption	39.1	41
2.1.2 Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap					5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	33.6	28
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years 66.6 32 53.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP 45.6 59 PISA scales in reading, maths, & science 64.3 29 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 91.8 32 Caradiates in reading, maths, & science 91.8 32 Caradiates in science, 91.8 32 Caradiates in science, 91.8 32 Caradiates in science, 91.8 33.0 24 Caradiates in engineering, 91.8 57.3 13 Caradiates in engineering, 91.8 57.3 13 Caradiates in science, 91.8 32.0 24 Caradiates in engineering, 91.8 57.3 13 Caradiates in science, 91.8 33.0 24 Caradiates in science, 91.8 33.0 25 Caradiates in science, 91.8 3.0 25 Caradiates in reading maths, & science, 91.8 2.0 25 Caradiates in science, 91.8 3.0 25 Caradiates in reading maths, & science, 91.8 2.0 25 Caradiates in reading maths, & science, 91.8 2.0 25 Caradiates in reading maths, & science, 91.8 2.0 25 Caradiates in reading maths, & science, 91.8 2.0 25 Caradiates in reading maths, & scientific outputs, Who cap 25.8 25 Caradiates in reading maths, & scientific outputs, Who cap 25.8 25 Caradiates in reading maths, & scientific outputs, Who cap 25.8 25 Caradiates in reading maths, & scientific outputs, 91.8 2.0 25 Caradiates in reading maths and scientific outputs, 91.8 2.0 25 Caradi					5.3.2			
PISA scales in reading, maths, & science								
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 91.8 32 6 Scientific outputs 35.4 30 2.2 Tertiary education 48.9 14 6.1 Knowledge creation 40.8 16 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross .55.6 .36 61.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS .43.1 .20 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % .43.0 .24 61.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS .43.1 .20 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % .57.3 .13 61.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPPS .45.3 .12 2.2.4 Tertiary outbound mobility, % .26.2 .56 6.2 Knowledge impact 26.6 76 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound enrolment, % .25.0 .37 62.1 Growth rate of GDP PPPS/worker, % .35.0 .80 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 57.4 15 62.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs .45.7 .72 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. .48.9					5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	45.6	59
2.2 Tertiary education 48.9 14 6.1 Knowledge creation 40.8 16 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross 55.6 56 36 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 43.1 20 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % 43.0 24 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 43.1 20 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % 57313 6.1.3 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 46312 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % 773		9.			6	Scientific outnuts	35.4	30
22.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross 55.6 36 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 43.1 20 22.2 Graduates in science, % 43.0 24 61.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 46.3 12 22.3 Graduates in engineering, % 57.3 13 61.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPPS 17.9 16 2.24 Tertiary inbound mobility, % 77.3 8 61.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPPS 44.3 20 2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 26.2 56 6.2 Knowledge impact 26.6 76 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound enrolment, % 25.0 37 62.1 Growth rate of GDP PPPS/worker, % 35.0 80 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 57.4 15 62.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs 4.5 72 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 48.9 9 62.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 53.8 12 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 54.5 10 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 39.0 36	2.2					•		
2.2.2 Graduates in science, % 43.0 24 61.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 46.3 12 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % 57.3 13 61.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPPS 17.9 16 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % 26.2 56 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 26.2 56 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 25.0 37 6.2 Knowledge impact 26.6 76 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 57.4 15 6.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs 4.5 72 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 48.9 9 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP. 53.8 1.2 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP. 54.5 10 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 39.0 36 2.3.3 Infrastructure 44.0 16 63.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % 28.9 23 3.1 Infrastructure 44.0 16 63.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % 28.9 23								
2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % 57.3 13 6.1.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 17.9 16 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % 77.3 8 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$ 44.3 20 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 26.2 56 6.2 Knowledge impact 26.6 76 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 25.0 37 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, % 35.0 80 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 57.4 15 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs 4.5 72 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 48.9 9 6.23 Computer software spending, % GDP. 53.8 12 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP. 54.5 10 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 39.0 36 3.1 Infrastructure 44.0 16 6.3.2 High-tech exports less receipts, % GDP. 26.4 19 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) <								
2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % .77.3 .8 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPPS .44.3 .20 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % .26.2 .56 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % .25.0 .37 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPPS/worker, % .35.0 .80 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 57.4 15 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs .45. .72 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. .48.9 .9 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP .53.8 .12 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP .54.5 .10 .63.2 Computer software spending, % GDP .53.8 .12 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP .54.5 .10 .63.2 High-tech exports (less receipts, % GDP .26.4 .19 3.1 Infrastructure 44.0 16 .63.3 Computer & comm service exports, % .28.9 .23 3.1.1 ICT access* .76.9 .11 .63.2 High-te	2.2.3					The state of the s		
2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	2.2.4				6.1.4			
2.3. Research & development (R&D) 57.4 15 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs4.572 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop48.9	2.2.5				6.2	Knowledge impact	26.6	76
2.3 Research & development (R&D) 57.4 15 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs	2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	25.0	37				
2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 48.9 9 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 53.8 12 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 54.5 10 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 39.0 36 2.3.3 Quality research institutions† 68.7 19 6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP 26.4 19 3 Infrastructure 44.0 16 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % 28.9 23 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 58.4 21 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 51.3 33 3.1.1 ICT use* 49.4 15 7 Creative outputs 49.0 9 3.1.2 ICT use* 49.4 15 7 Creative outputs 49.0 9 3.1.4 E-Participation* 50.0 22 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 18.2 57 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 40.8 24 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 73.2 20 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 33	2.3	Research & development (R&D)	57.4	15				
2.3.3 Quality research institutions [†]	2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop	48.9	9	6.2.3			
3 Infrastructure 44.0 16 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % GDP 26.4 19 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 58.4 21 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 51.3 33 3.1.1 ICT access* 76.9 12 3.1.2 ICT use* 49.4 15 7 Creative outputs 49.0 9 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 47.6 33 3.1.4 E-Participation* 50.0 22 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 18.2 57 3.2 Energy 32.6 9 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 100.0 33 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 40.8 24 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 73.2 20 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 33.4 18 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 61.6 37 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 43.5 25 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 17.2 37 3.3 General infrastructure 41.0 32 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 54.7 14 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 67.0 21 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 56.9 8 3.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP 25.8 65 7.2 Creative goods exports, % 38.1 15					6.3	Knowledge diffusion	39.0	36
3 Infrastructure 44.0 16 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, %	2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	68.7	19		3		
3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 58.4 21 3.1.1 ICT access* 76.9 12 3.1.2 ICT use* 49.4 15 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 47.6 33 3.1.4 E-Participation* 50.0 22 3.2 Energy 32.6 9 7.12 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 18.2 57 3.2 Electricity output, kWh/capita 33.4 18 3.2.1 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 33.4 18 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 33.4 18 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq 43.5 25 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 17.2 37 3.3 General infrastructure 41.0 32 3.3 General infrastructure 41.0 32 3.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP 25.8 65 3.4 Computer & comm service exports, % 49.3 40 51.3 Sommer & comm service exports, % 49.5 33 33 49.0 Spline to utflows, % GDP 51.3 33 33 33 33 34 57 Creative outputs 49.0 9 51.3 33 7.1 Creative intangibles 58.0 16 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 100.0 33 7.1 Creative intangibles 58.0 16 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 100.0 33 7.1 Creative goods & service strademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 100.0 32 7.1 Creative goods & service strademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 100.0 32 7.1 Creative goods & service strademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 100.0 32 7.1 Creative goods & service strademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 100.0 32 7.1 Creative goods & service strademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 100.0 32 7.1 Creative goods & service strademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 100.0 32 7.1 Creative goods & service strademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 100.0 32 7.1 Creative goods & service strademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 100.0 32 7.1 Creative goods & service strademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 100.0 32 7.1 Creative goods & service strademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 100.0 32 7.1 Creative goods exports, % 38.1 15	3	Infrastructure	44.0	16	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %	28.9	23
3.1.1 ICT access* 76.9 12 3.1.2 ICT use* 49.4 15 7 Creative outputs 49.0 9 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 47.6 33 7.1 Creative intangibles 58.0 16 3.1.4 E-Participation* 50.0 22 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 18.2 57 3.2 Energy 32.6 9 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 100.0 .3 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 40.8 24 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 73.2 20 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 33.4 18 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 61.6 .37 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq 43.5 .25 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 17.2 37 7.2 Creative goods & services 40.0 15 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 67.0 21 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop 56.9 .8 3.3.2								
3.1.2 ICT use* 49.4 15 7 Creative outputs 49.0 9 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 47.6 33 7.1 Creative intangibles 58.0 16 3.1.4 E-Participation* 50.0 22 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 18.2 57 3.2 Energy 32.6 9 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 100.0 .3 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 40.8 .24 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 73.2 .20 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 33.4 18 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 61.6 .37 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 43.5 .25 7.2 Creative goods & services 40.0 15 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 17.2 37 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % 87.4 .2 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 67.0 .21 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop 56.9 .8 3.3					6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	51.3	33
3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 47.6. 33 3.1.4 E-Participation*					7	Creative outputs	40 O	0
3.1.4 E-Participation*						-		9
3.2 Energy 32.6 9 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 100.0						3		
3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap	3 2	Energy	326	q		·		
3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 33.4 18 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 61.6 37 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 43.5 25 7.2 Creative goods & services 40.0 15 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 17.2 37 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % 87.4 2 3.3 General infrastructure 41.0 32 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 54.7 14 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 67.0 21 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 56.9 8 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 25.8 65 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 38.1 15		3,						
3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 43.5. 25 43.5. 25 7.2 Creative goods & services 40.0 15 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 17.2. 37 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % 87.4 2 2 3.3 General infrastructure 41.0 32 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop 54.7 14 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 67.0 21 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop 56.9 8 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 25.8 65 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 38.1 15								
3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 17.2 37 7.2 Creative goods & services 40.0 15 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % 87.4 2 3.3 General infrastructure 41.0 32 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 54.7 14 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 67.0 21 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 56.9 8 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 25.8 65 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 38.1 15								
3.3 General infrastructure 41.0 32 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 54.7 14 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 67.0 21 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 56.9 8 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 25.8 65 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 38.1 15	3.2.4							
3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*67.021 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop	3.3	General infrastructure	41.0	32				
3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP								
	3.3.3				7.2.5			

Azerbaijan

				4	Market sophistication	44.1	47
Popu	ılation (millions)		8.9	4.1	Credit	35.5	<i>75</i>
-	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	9	,638.2	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		
	(US\$ billions)		43.0	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
יועט	(מווווווון למו)		43.0	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
	5	core 0-100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP		
Glob	oal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	44.7	24
Innova	ation Output Sub-Index	21.1	100	4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.2 4.2.3	Market capitalization, % GDP Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
	·			4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	ation Efficiency Index			4.3	Trade & competition	52.2	59
	Innovation Index 2010			4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
Global	Innovation Index 2009		57	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	57.9	79	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	35.3	106	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	45.4	120
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	31.7	80
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	Knowledge workers	22.7	108
1.1.3	Press freedom*	40.4	110	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	52.0	83	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	24.2	57
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	90.0	18	5.2	Innovation linkages	32.2	65
1.3	Business environment	86.4	31	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2 1.3.3	Cost to start a business, % income/cap Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	00.3	07	5.2.4 5.2.5	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$ PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	30.4	90				
2.1	Education	50.9	87	5.3 5.3.1	Knowledge absorption Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	40.1	39
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI	27.8	99	5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, % GDP		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap	16.0	88	5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science					24.4	
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	98.2		6	Scientific outputs	24.4	62
2.2	Tertiary education	22.4	90	6.1	Knowledge creation	6.2	71
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % grossGraduates in science, %			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.2 6.1.3	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	41.9	21
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	9.7	66	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	17.9	88	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop	10.2	44	6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	25.1	72
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	40.6	72	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	22.0	99	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %	0.1	103
3.1		22.8	<i>76</i>	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT) ICT access*			6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	49.4	43
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	17.9	117
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			=	-		
3.1.4	E-Participation*	17.1	65	7.1 7.1.1	Creative intangibles Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	33.0	108
3.2	Energy	12.6	99	7.1.1	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita	9.6	63	7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.2	Creative goods & services	2.7	112
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	0.9	99	7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	30.6	97	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap	33.4	/4	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	2.6	70

Bahrain

Population (millions)	Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	56.1	24
Commerce capita, PPP (current international 5) 35,174.1 4.11 Strength of legal injusts for credit 40.0 .83	Popu	ılation (millions)		0.8	4.1	•	53.2	32
Supplementary Supplementar			34	174 1	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	40.0	83
A comparison lindex			J.		4.1.2	Depth of credit information*	66.7	66
Solicidal Innovation Index	GDP	(US\$ DIIIIONS)		20.6				
Institutions			C 0 100	Danis	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Strength of investor protection*	Glol	nal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	37.9	37
Limonation Impat Sub- Index								
1		•						
As Trade & competition 77,1 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6		•						
Assistant Assi	Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.4	122				
Market access trade restrictiveness*, % 9.24 14 143	Globa	I Innovation Index 2010		40				
Institutions	Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		34				
Institutions								
Political continuement	1	Institutions	74.4	/11		. 9		
Political stability"	-				4.3.5			
1.13 Press freedom*						B 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		70
1.13 Press freedom*		,			5	-	32.2	78
1.2. Regulatory environment 76.1 30 51.2 Firms offering formal training, % firms n/a n								
12.1 Regulatory quality*								
1.22 Rule of law*						3		
1.23 Rigidity of employment* 90.0 18 5.2 Innovation linkages 34.6 53		- , , ,						
1.3 Business environment 95.4 3 5.21 University/industry collaboration* 37.6 8.1 1.3.1 Time to start a business, days 92.3 34 5.22 State of cluster development* 56.4 23 2.3 2.0 2.0 2.3 2.3 8.0 2.3 8.0 2.3 8.0 2.3 8.0 2.3 3.1 Total tax rate, % profits 94.5 6 5.24 JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$ 54.4 1.3 3.2 Education 67.9 31 5.3 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 7.2 7.2 2.1 Education 67.9 31 5.3 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 7.2 7.2 2.1. Education 67.9 31 5.3 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 7.2 7.2 2.1. Education 67.9 31 5.3 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 7.2 7.2 2.1. Education 67.9 31 5.3 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 7.2 7.2 2.1. Education 67.9 3.1 5.3 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 7.2 7.2 2.1. Education 67.9 3.1 5.3 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 7.2 7.2 2.1. Education 67.9 3.1 5.3 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 7.2 7.2 2.1. Public expenditure, % GNL 2.9 9.3 5.3 8.0						,		
13.1 Time to start a business, days	1 3							
Cost to start a business, % income/cap								
Note Continue Co						·		
Human capital & research 54.0 18 5.3 Knowledge absorption 24.2 107	1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	94.5	6	5.2.4			
Education 67.9 31 53.1 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP n/a n/a 21.1 Education expenditure, % GNI 29.0 93 53.2 High-tech imports less re-imports, % 17.6 7.0 7.4				40	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	0.0	73
Education expenditure, % GNI 29.0 93 53.2 High-tech imports less re-imports, % 17.6 7.0 7.0 7.1 7.2		-			5.3	Knowledge absorption	24.2	107
Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap.					5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	n/a	n/a
2.13 School life expectancy, years						-		
PISA scales in reading, maths, & science								
2.2 Tertiary education 64.4 2 6.1 Knowledge creation 2.9 98 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross					5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	41.1	90
2.2 Tertiary education 64.4 2 6.1 Knowledge creation 2.9 98 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross. 52.0 .41 61.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS n/a n/a 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % n/a n/a 61.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS n/a n/a 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % n/a n/a 61.3 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS n/a n/a 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % 100.0 5 61.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPPS n/a n/a 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 50.8 14 6.2 Knowledge impact 55.3 .7 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 673 8 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPPS/worker, % 55.3 .7 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 29.7 46 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs n/a n/a 2.3.1 Research & development (R&D) 9.7	2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	87.4	46	6	Scientific outputs	25.6	54
22.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross 52.0 41 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS n/a n/a 22.2 Graduates in science, % n/a n/a 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 0.5 .76 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % n/a 6.1.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPPS n/a n/a 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % 100.0 5 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPPS .53 .85 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound enrollment, % .673 .8 6.2 Knowledge impact .55.3 .7 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrollment, % .673 .8 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPPS/worker, % .55.3 .7 2.3.1 Research & development (R&D) 29.7 46 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs .7/a .7/a 2.3.2 Gross sexpenditure on R&D, % GDP .n/a .7/a .6.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs .7/a .7/a 2.3.2 Gross tertiary outbound molit	2.2	Tertiary education	64.4	2	6.1	-	2.9	98
2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % n/a n/a 6.1.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPPS n/a n/a 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % 100.0 .5 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPPS 5.3 .85 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound enrolment, % 673 .8 6.2 Knowledge impact 55.3 .7 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 673 .8 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPPS/worker, % .55.3 .19 2.3.1 Research & development (R&D) 29.7 46 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs .n/a .n/a 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. .n/a 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs .n/a .n/a 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. .n/a 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs .n/a .n/a 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP .n/a .n/a 6.2.3 Knowledge diffusion 18.5 109 3.1 Infrastructure 46.9	2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	52.0	41	6.1.1		n/a	n/a
2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % 100.0 .5 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$ 5.3 .85 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % .50.8 .14 6.2 Knowledge impact 55.3 .7 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % .67.3 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, % .55.3 .19 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 29.7 .46 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs					6.1.2			
2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 50.8 14 6.2 Knowledge impact 55.3 7 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 67.3 .8 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, % .55.3 .19 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 29.7 46 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs .n/a .n/a 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. .n/a .n/a 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP .n/a .n/a 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. .n/a .n/a .n/a .0.2 Computer software spending, % GDP .n/a .n/a 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP .n/a .n/a .n/a .n/a .n/a .n/a .n/a								
22.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 67.3		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	5.3	85
Research & development (R&D) 29.7 46 6.2.1 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs. n/a					6.2			
2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million popn/a		,						
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP								
2.3.3 Quality research institutions [†] 29.7 110 3 Infrastructure 46.9 10 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 58.8 18 3.1.1 ICT access* 31.2 ICT use* 33.6 32 31.3 Government's Online Service* 57.1 12 31.4 E-Participation* 57.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 3.2 Energy 3.2 Energy 3.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 3.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 3.3.5 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 57.7 4 3.3.6 Gross capital formation, % GDP 10 6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP. 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % 6.3.2 Computer & comm service exports, % 6.6 A 7.1 Creative outputs, which is a specific exports less re-exports, % 6.3.2 Creative goods with a specific exports less re-exports, % 6.3.2 Creative goods exports, % 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % 6.3.2 Creative exports less re-exports, % 6.3.2 Creative goods exports, % 6.3.2 Creat		· ·						n/a
Solid Royalty & Icense rees receipts, % GDP								
3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 58.8 18 6.3.3 Computer & comm service exports, % 32.8 66 3.1.1 ICT access* 72.6 21 3.1.2 ICT use* 33.6 32 7 Creative outputs 20.2 112 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 73.0 8 7.1 Creative intangibles 37.8 95 3.1.4 E-Participation* 67.1 12 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 8.3 89 3.2 Energy 24.3 43 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 0.0 54 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 80.5 7 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 66.7 39 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 55.9 10 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 57.3 47 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 4.6 110 7.2 Creative goods & services 2.6 113 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infr								
3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 58.8 18 3.1.1 ICT access* 72.6 21 3.1.2 ICT use* 33.6 32 7 Creative outputs 20.2 112 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 73.0 8 7.1 Creative outputs 20.2 112 3.1.4 E-Participation* 67.1 12 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 83 89 3.2 Energy 24.3 43 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 0.0 54 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 80.5 7 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 66.7 39 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 55.9 10 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 57.3 47 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 4.6 110 7.2 Creative goods & services 2.6 113 3.3.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 0.0 111 7.2 Recreation & c	3	Infrastructure	46.9	10		3		
3.1.2 ICT use* 33.6 32 7 Creative outputs 20.2 112 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 73.0 .8 3.1.4 E-Participation* 67.1 .12 3.2 Energy 24.3 43 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$.8.3 .89 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 80.5 .7 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 66.7 .39 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 55.9 .10 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 57.3 .47 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. .4.6 .110 7.2 Creative goods & services 2.6 113 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % .0.0 .111 7.2 Recreation & culture consumption, % .n/a .n/a 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 59.0 .29 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. .n/a .n/a 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP .56.4 .11 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % .2.6 .99	3.1					·		
3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 73.0 8 3.1.4 E-Participation* 67.1 12 3.2 Energy 24.3 43 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 80.5 7 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 55.9 10 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPPS/kg oil eq. 4.6 110 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, 0.0 111 3.3 General infrastructure 57.7 4 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 59.0 29 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 56.4 11 3.3.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP 56.4 11 3.4 Creative intangibles 3.7 Creative intangibles 3.7 Creative intangibles 3.7 Creative intangibles 3.7 Naddrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 0.0 54 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 0.0 54 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 0.0 54 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 57.3 47 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 57.3 47 7.2 Creative goods & services 2.6 113 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % n/a n/a n/a n/a 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0								
3.1.4 E-Participation* 67.1 12 7.1 Creative intangibles 37.8 95 3.2 Energy 24.3 43 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 8.3 89 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 80.5 7 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 66.7 39 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 55.9 10 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 57.3 47 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPPS/kg oil eq. 4.6 110 7.2 Creative goods & services 2.6 113 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 0.0 111 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % n/a n/a 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 59.0 29 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. n/a n/a 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 56.4 11 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 2.6 99					7	Creative outputs	20.2	112
3.2 Energy 24.3 43 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 8.5 89 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap					7.1			
3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap								
3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 55.9 10 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 57.3 .47 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 4.6 110 7.2 Creative goods & services 2.6 113 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 0.0 111 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % .n/a .n/a .n/a 3.3 General infrastructure 57.7 4 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. .n/a .n/a .n/a 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 59.0 29 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. .n/a .n/a 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 56.4 11 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 2.6 .99								
3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq								
3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, %						3		
3.3 General infrastructure 57.7 4 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, %								
3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*59.029 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop	3 3	General infrastructure	577	4				
3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP								
	3.3.3				7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	n/a	n/a

Bangladesh

Rey indicators Population (millions) GDP per capita, PPP (current international \$) GDP (US\$ billions) 89.4 GIODA Innovation Index 28.1 Innovation Output Sub-Index 28.1 Innovation Input Sub-Index 29.6 Innovation Index 29.6 Innovation Index 29.6 Innovation Index 29.6 Innovation Index 29.6 Intersity Indicate and Effectiveness* 1.1 Political environment 1.1.1 Political environment 1.2 Regulatory environment 21.2 Regulatory quality* 23.3 Ingigity of employment* 23.4 Ray Innovation Inlokages 55.1 Ray Investment 4.2 Inv	33.3	
GDP (USS billions) 89.4 4.1.2 Depth of credit information* Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP 4.1.4 Microfinance gross loans, % GDP 4.1.4 Microfinance gross loans, % GDP 4.1.5 Ninovation Index Strength of investor protection* 4.2.1 Strength of investor protection* 4.2.2 Market capitalization, % GDP 4.2.3 Total value of stocks traded, % GDP 4.2.4 Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$ 6lobal Innovation Index 2010 6lobal Innovation Index 2010 6lobal Innovation Index 2009 111 Institutions 48.2 105 1.1 Political environment 26.4 117 1.1.1 Political environment 26.4 117 1.1.1 Political stability* 7.5 119 5 Business sophistication Knowledge workers 16.7 11.8 12.1 Regulatory environment 41.1 106 12.1 Regulatory environment 41.1 106 12.2 Regulatory environment 41.1 106 12.1 Regulatory quality* 23.3 113 25.1 Regulatory quality* 27.8 99 5.1.4 R&D financed by business, % 12.2 Rigidity of employment* 77.0 74 5.2 Innovation linkages 1.3 Time to start a business, days 82.7 70 5.2 State of cluster development† 1.3 I'me to start a business, days 82.7 70 5.2 Human capital & research 24.1 114	33.3	
Score 100 Score 101 Score 102 Score 103 Score 104 114 Strength of investor protection* 1.1.2 Depth of credit information*		
Al.1	34.1	16 85 894169 114 11663100110
Score 0-100 Rank 28.1 97 4.2 Investment 4.2.1 Strength of investor protection* 4.2.2 Market capitalization, % GDP 4.2.2 Market capitalization, % GDP 4.2.3 Total value of stocks traded, % GDP 4.2.4 Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$ 4.3.1 Applied tariff rate weighted mean, % 4.3.3 Imports of goods & services, % GDP 4.3.4 Applied tariff rate weighted mean, % 4.3.3 Imports of goods & services, % GDP 4.3.4 Exports of goods & services, % GDP 4.3.5 Intensity local competition 4.3.5 Intensity local competit	22.6 67.0 2.9 9.1 0.0 35.3 45.4 57.1 12.2 11.5 61.2 19.9 12.3 10.2 14.3	85 19 69 116 100 110
A		1989416911663100110
1	2.9	8941691166310011077
Innovation Input Sub-Index	9.1	41 69 114 116 63 100 110
Innovation Efficiency Index		69 11463100110
Global Innovation Index 2010	35.3 45.4	114 116 63 100 110
Global Innovation Index 2009		116 63 100 110
Assuming the sequence of the	57.112.211.561.261.2	63 100 110 77
1	12.2	100 110 77
1 Institutions 48.2 105 4.3.4 Exports of goods & services, % GDP		110 77
1.1 Political environment 26.4 117 1.1.1 Political stability* .7.5 119 5 Business sophistication 1.1.2 Government effectiveness* .16.7 .118 5.1 Knowledge workers 1.1.3 Press freedom* .55.1 .90 5.1.1 Knowledge workers 1.2 Regulatory environment 41.1 106 5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, % firms 1.2.1 Regulatory quality* .23.3 .113 5.1.3 R&D performed by business, % 1.2.2 Rule of law* .27.8 .99 5.1.4 R&D financed by business, % 1.2.3 Rigidity of employment* .72.0 .67 .67 1.3 Business environment .77.0 .74 .52.1 University/industry collaboration* 1.3.1 Time to start a business, days .82.7 .70 .52.2 State of cluster development* 1.3.3 Total tax rate, % profits .74.0 .98 .52.4 JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$ 2.2.5	19.9 12.310.214.3	77
1.1 Political environment 26.4 117 1.1.1 Political stability*	19.9 12.3 10.214.31/a	
1.1.2 Government effectiveness* 16.7 118 1.1.3 Press freedom* 55.1 90 1.2 Regulatory environment 41.1 106 51.2 Firms offering formal training, % firms 1.2.1 Regulatory quality* 23.3 113 51.3 R&D performed by business, % 1.2.2 Rule of law* 27.8 99 51.4 R&D financed by business, % 1.2.3 Rigidity of employment* 72.0 67 5.2 Innovation linkages 1.3 Business environment 77.0 74 52.1 University/industry collaboration† 1.3.1 Time to start a business, days 82.7 70 52.2 State of cluster development* 1.3.2 Cost to start a business, % income/cap 74.0 98 52.3 R&D financed by abroad, % 1.3.3 Total tax rate, % profits 74.2 47 52.4 JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$ 2.2.5 Human capital & research 24.1 114	12.3 10.2 14.3 n/a	121
1.1.2 Government effectiveness* 16.7 118 1.1.3 Press freedom* 55.1 90 1.2 Regulatory environment 41.1 106 5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, % firms 1.2.1 Regulatory quality* 23.3 113 5.1.3 R&D performed by business, % 1.2.2 Rule of law* 27.8 99 5.1.4 R&D financed by business, % 1.2.3 Rigidity of employment* 72.0 67 1.3 Business environment 77.0 74 5.2.1 University/industry collaboration† 1.3.1 Time to start a business, days 82.7 70 52.2 State of cluster development* 1.3.2 Cost to start a business, % income/cap 74.0 98 52.2 R&D financed by abroad, % 1.3.3 Total tax rate, % profits 74.2 47 52.4 JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$ 2 Human capital & research 24.1 114	10.2 14.3 n/a	
1.1.3 Press freedom* 55.1 90 1.2 Regulatory environment 41.1 106 5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, % firms 1.2.1 Regulatory quality* 23.3 113 5.1.3 R&D performed by business, % 1.2.2 Rule of law* 27.8 99 5.1.4 R&D financed by business, % 1.2.3 Rigidity of employment* 72.0 67 5.2 Innovation linkages 1.3.1 Time to start a business, days 82.7 70 5.2.1 University/industry collaboration† 1.3.2 Cost to start a business, days 82.7 70 5.2.2 State of cluster development† 1.3.3 Total tax rate, % profits 74.0 98 5.2.3 R&D financed by abroad, % 2.3.3 Total tax rate, % profits 74.2 47 5.2.4 JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$ 3.3.3 Total tax rate, % profits 74.2 74 74.2 75.2 PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	10.2 14.3 n/a	123
1.2 Regulatory environment 41.1 106 5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, % firms 1.2.1 Regulatory quality* 23.3 113 5.1.3 R&D performed by business, % 1.2.2 Rule of law* 27.8 99 5.1.4 R&D financed by business, % 1.2.3 Rigidity of employment* 72.0 67 5.2 Innovation linkages 1.3.1 Time to start a business, days 82.7 70 5.2.2 State of cluster development* 1.3.2 Cost to start a business, % income/cap 74.0 98 5.2.3 R&D financed by abroad, % 1.3.3 Total tax rate, % profits 74.0 98 5.2.4 JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$ 2 Human capital & research 24.1 114	14.3 n/a	
1.2.2 Rule of law* 27.8 99 5.1.4 R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3 Rigidity of employment* 72.0 67 1.3 Business environment 77.0 74 1.3.1 Time to start a business, days 82.7 70 1.3.2 Cost to start a business, % income/cap 74.0 98 1.3.3 Total tax rate, % profits 74.2 47 1.3.4 Business environment 77.0 74 5.2 Innovation linkages 5.2.1 University/industry collaboration [†] 52.2 State of cluster development [†] 52.2 State of cluster development [†] 52.3 R&D financed by abroad, % 52.4 JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$ 52.5 PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		n/a
1.3 Business environment 77.0 74 5.2.1 University/industry collaboration†	n/a	n/a
1.3.1 Time to start a business, days	30.6	71
1.3.2 Cost to start a business, % income/cap	28.8	116
1.3.3 Total tax rate, % profits	42.1	60
5.2.5 PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, % Human canital & research 24.1 114		
2 Human canital & research 24.1 114		
2 Human Capital & lescarch 24.1 114 E2 Vnowledge chearation	n/a	n/a
3.5 Knowledge dosorption	16.9	121
2.1 Education 30.2 120 5.3.1 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
5.5.2 High teen imports less te imports, 70		
2.1.2 Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap17.585 5.3.3 Computer & comm. service imports, % 2.1.3 School life expectancy, years23.2113 5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP		
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths, & science	39.0	104
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	28.2	44
2.2 Tertiary education 12.3 114 6.1 Knowledge creation	2.3	105
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross		
2.2.2 Graduates in science, %		
2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, %		
2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, %n/a 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPPS	3.1	102
2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, %98 2.2.6 Control of the state of the sta	35.8	<i>37</i>
2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	51.1	29
2.3 Research & development (R&D) 29.9 45 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs	n/a	n/a
2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million popn/a 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP	5.0	69
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDPn/a 6.3 Knowledge diffusion	46.6	23
2.3.3 Quality research institutions [†] 29.9 109 6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	0.0	89
3 Infrastructure 25.5 76 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
6.3.3 Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 13.6 103 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP	47.3	91
	247	06
313 Government's Online Service* 356 56	24.7	96
3.1.4 F-Participation* 10.0 87 /.1 Creative intangibles	35.8	99
7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$. 3.2 Energy 27.0 25 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP		
3.2 Energy 27.0 25 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPF 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap11		
3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita		
2.2.3 CDD/unit of anarquusa DDD¢ /kg ail ag 60.0 4		
3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, %	13.6	73
3.3 General infrastructure 35.9 65 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*	8.7	49
3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP		
3.3.3 Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap39 7.2.5 Creative services exports, %	n/a 15.7	n/a 55

Belgium

	indicators ulation (millions)		10.7	4 4.1	Market sophistication Credit	60.6 68.3	13 17
-		2/		4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		
	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	36	5,249.0	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		471.2	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
				4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	n/a	n/a
.		Score 0–100	Rank	4.2	Investment	40.0	31
Gloi	bal Innovation Index	49.0	24	4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
Innov	ation Output Sub-Index	39.7	28	4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	58.4	22	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.7	71	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	64.6	28
	I Innovation Index 2010			4.3	Trade & competition	73.5	10
				4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		18	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP	47.2	16
1	Institutions	84.8	19	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
• 1.1	Political environment	86.8	17	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	81.8	3
1.1.1	Political stability*			-	Durin are combintination	E4.4	10
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5	Business sophistication	54.4	16
1.1.3	Press freedom*			5.1	Knowledge workers	80.6	9
				5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2 1.2.1	Regulatory environment Regulatory quality*	86.1	13	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Rule of law*			5.1.3 5.1.4	R&D performed by business, % R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*				,		
	- , . ,			5.2	Innovation linkages	50.9	20
1.3	Business environment	81.6	56	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration†		
1.3.1 1.3.2	Time to start a business, days Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.3 5.2.4	R&D financed by abroad, % JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
1.5.5	Total tax rate, 70 profits		110	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	52.9	22				
_ 2.1	Education	73.9	10	5.3	Knowledge absorption	31.6	73
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.1 5.3.2	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	71.3	16	5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science						
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	93.2	23	6	Scientific outputs	37.0	27
2.2	Tertiary education	33.2	52	6.1	Knowledge creation	33.4	28
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	64.0	25	6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$	10.6	55
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	54.0	13
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	37.2	34
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	19.5	46	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %	33.0	91
	Research & development (R&D)	51.7	19	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs		
2.3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		16	6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	F2 4	13
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop				compater software spending, 70 dbi	53.4	
2.3.1 2.3.2	Researchers headcount/million pop Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	39.2	15			53.4 40.5	30
2.3.1 2.3.2	Researchers headcount/million pop	39.2	15	6.3 6.3.1	Knowledge diffusion	40.5	30
2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3	Researchers headcount/million pop	39.2 78.9	7	6.3		40.5 67.5	12
2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3	Researchers headcount/million pop	39.2 78.9 39.5	15 7 24	6.3 6.3.1	Knowledge diffusion Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP High-tech exports less re-exports, % Computer & comm service exports, %	40.5 67.5 23.2 71.5	12 27 18
2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 3 3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop	39.278.9 3 9.5 58.6	15 7 24 19	6.3 6.3.1 6.3.2	Knowledge diffusion Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP High-tech exports less re-exports, %	40.5 67.5 23.2 71.5	12 27 18
2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 3 3.1 3.1.1	Researchers headcount/million pop	39.2		6.3 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4	Knowledge diffusion Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP High-tech exports less re-exports, % Computer & comm service exports, % FDI net outflows, % GDP	40.5 67.5 23.2 71.5	12 27 18 119
2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 3 3 .1 3.1.1 3.1.2	Researchers headcount/million pop	39.2 78.9 39.5 58.6 72.8 42.5	15 7 24 20 23	6.3 63.1 63.2 63.3 63.4	Knowledge diffusion Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	40.5 67.571.50.0	12 27 18
2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 3 3 .1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3	Researchers headcount/million pop	39.2 78.9 39.5 58.6 72.8 42.5 62.5	15 7 24 20 23 17	6.3 63.1 63.2 63.3 63.4 7	Knowledge diffusion Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	40.5 67.571.571.50.0	12 18 119 26 83
2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 3 3 .1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4	Researchers headcount/million pop	39.2	15 7 24 20 23 17	6.3 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4 7 7.1.1	Knowledge diffusion Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	40.5 67.5	12 18 119 26 83
2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 3 3 .1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4	Researchers headcount/million pop	39.2	15 7 24 19 20 23 17 18	6.3 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4 7 7.1.1 7.1.2	Knowledge diffusion Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	40.5 67.571.50.0	12 18 119 26 83 77
2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 3 3 .1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3 .2 3.2.1	Researchers headcount/million pop	39.2	15 	6.3 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4 7 7.1.1 7.1.2 7.1.3	Knowledge diffusion Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	40.5 67.5	12 18 119 26 77 54
2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 3 3 .1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3 .2 3.2.1 3.2.2	Researchers headcount/million pop	39.2	15 7 24 20 23 17 18 49 19	6.3 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4 7 7.1 7.1.1 7.1.2 7.1.3 7.1.4	Knowledge diffusion Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	40.5 	12 18 119 26 83 77 54 54
2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 3 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.2 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3	Researchers headcount/million pop	39.2	15	6.3 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4 7 7.1 7.1.1 7.1.2 7.1.3 7.1.4 7.2	Knowledge diffusion Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	40.5 	1218119 26 83 545412
2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 3 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.2 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4	Researchers headcount/million pop	39.2	15 7 24 19 20 23 17 18 49 19 19 19 55	6.3 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4 7 7.1 7.1.1 7.1.2 7.1.3 7.1.4 7.2 7.2.1	Knowledge diffusion Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	40.5 	12271819 26 77544127272727
2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.2 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.3	Researchers headcount/million pop	39.2	15 7 24 19 20 23 17 18 49 19 19 19 55 85	6.3 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4 7 7.1 7.1.1 7.1.2 7.1.3 7.1.4 7.2 7.2.1 7.2.2	Knowledge diffusion Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	40.5 	122718119 26 7754272727272727272727272727
2.3 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 3 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.2 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.3 3.3.1 3.3.3	Researchers headcount/million pop	39.2	15 7 24 19 20 23 17 18 49 19 19 19 55 85	6.3 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4 7 7.1 7.1.1 7.1.2 7.1.3 7.1.4 7.2 7.2.1	Knowledge diffusion Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	40.5	121819 26 775441271218

Benin

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	23.3	122
Popi	ulation (millions)		9.2	4.1	Credit	16.8	113
-	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	1	,507.9	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	30.0	97
	(US\$ billions)		6.7	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
יועט	(מין וווווווווווווווווווווווווווווווווווו		0.7	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
	5	core 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP		
Glol	oal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	22.0	91
Innov	ation Output Sub-Index	19 4	112	4.2.1 4.2.2	Strength of investor protection*		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.3	Market capitalization, % GDP Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
	ation Efficiency Index			4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	•			4.3	Trade & competition	31.3	122
	I Innovation Index 2010			4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		99	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	46.9	107	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	59.9	57	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	63.2	/
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	25.7	106
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	Knowledge workers	34.6	78
1.1.3	Press freedom*	79.9	56	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		n/a
1.2	Regulatory environment	42.9	102	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2 1.2.3	Rule of law* Rigidity of employment*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
	- , , , ,			5.2	Innovation linkages	18.2	115
1.3 1.3.1	Business environment Time to start a business, days	38.0	122	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration†		
1.3.1	Cost to start a business, wincome/cap			5.2.2 5.2.3	State of cluster development [†] R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
_				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	24.5	113	5.3	Knowledge absorption	24.4	106
2.1	Education	39.5	109	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	5.6	88
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/capSchool life expectancy, years			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	41./	84
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	15.1	108
2.2	Tertiary education	14.2	109	6.1	Knowledge creation	5.1	78
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross		108	6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		n/a
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4 2.2.5	Tertiary inbound mobility, % Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	10.2	62
2.2.5	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	n/a	n/a
	Research & development (R&D)	19.7	76	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3 2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.2 6.2.3	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP						
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]			6.3 6.3.1	Knowledge diffusion Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	25.2	71
2	Infine aturn aturns	20.0	107	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3	Infrastructure	20.8	107	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	9.7	112	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	47.1	112
3.1.1 3.1.2	ICT access*ICT use*			7	Creative entrute	22.6	101
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7	Creative outputs	23.6	101
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	46.3	57
3.2	Energy	16.8	80	7.1.1 7.1.2	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.2	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita	0.1	114	7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.2	Creative goods & services	0.9	121
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	3/.4	16	7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	36.1	61	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop	n/a	n/a
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2 3.3.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.4 7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % Creative services exports, %		
د.د.د	деогодісан гоотрінні а віосарасіту, на/сар			7.2.3	стениче эститесь ехропь, 70	1	00

Bolivia

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	33.4	93
Popu	ulation (millions)		10.0	4.1	Credit	47.2	45
-	per capita, PPP (current international \$)		4,419.3	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	10.0	124
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*	100.0	1
GDP	(US\$ billions)		17.3	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		C 0 100	David	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	100.0	2
Glol	oal Innovation Index	Score 0–100 25.4	Rank 112	4.2	Investment	13.2	118
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.7	73	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		129	4.3	Trade & competition	39.8	106
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		123	4.3.1 4.3.2	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
				4.3.2	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	20.2	122	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	30.2	123	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	39.2	<i>95</i>				
1.1.1 1.1.2	Political stability*Government effectiveness*			5	Business sophistication	30.7	85
1.1.2	Press freedom*			5.1	Knowledge workers	36.7	<i>75</i>
				5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment Regulatory quality*	17.0	124	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1 1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.3 5.1.4	R&D performed by business, %R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*				, ,		
				5.2	Innovation linkages	28.2	81
1.3 1.3.1	Business environment Time to start a business, days	34.3	124	5.2.1 5.2.2	University/industry collaboration [†] State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.1	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.2	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	31.2	86	5.3	Knowledge absorption	27.4	91
2.1	Education	56.7	66	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %	24.4	94
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	46.3	57
2.1.4 2.1.5	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Crientific outnuts	14.1	115
				6	Scientific outputs		
2.2 2.2.1	Tertiary education Tertiary enrolment, % gross	26.2	78	6.1 6.1.1	Knowledge creation Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$	3.5	87
2.2.1	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	20.8	99
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	12.5	57	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	10.8	116	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	18.1	111
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	26.3	113	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	26.3	71	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %	0.7	90
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	18.6	89	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1.1	ICT access*			6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	47.3	86
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	26.9	83
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*				Creative intangibles		
3.1.4	E-Participation*	20.0	56	7.1 7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	40.7	81 25
3.2	Energy	11.9	102	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq	21.9	77	7.2	Creative goods & services	13.1	77
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	11.0	50	7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	48.6	10	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop	n/a	n/a
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap	100.0	1	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	n/a	n/a

Bosnia and Herzegovina

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	42.9	51
Popu	ulation (millions)		3.8	4.1	Credit	48.8	38
•	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	8	,490.6	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	50.0	71
		O		4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
UDP	(US\$ billions)		17.0	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	63.5	6
Glob	oal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	33.3	47
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.2 4.2.3	Market capitalization, % GDP Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
	•			4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	ation Efficiency Index			4.3	Trade & competition	46.7	81
	l Innovation Index 2010			4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		n/a	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP	37.4	31
1	Institutions	58.6	77	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	48.0	77	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	45.7	119
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	43.7	42
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	32.4	97	5.1	Knowledge workers	77.1	11
1.1.3	Press freedom*	85.7	43	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	54.1	74	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	67.0	76	5.2	Innovation linkages	31.8	67
1.3	Business environment	73.6	86	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2 1.3.3	Cost to start a business, % income/cap Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.3 5.2.4	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, 70 profits	00.4	14	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$ PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	42.0	49				
2.1	Education	71.6	13	5.3 5.3.1	Knowledge absorption Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	22.2 5.1	112
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI	n/a	n/a	5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	41.7	85
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary				Calantificant	167	102
				6	Scientific outputs	16.7	103
2.2 2.2.1	Tertiary education	41.4	27	6.1	Knowledge creation	6.7	69
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % grossGraduates in science, %			6.1.1 6.1.2	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	18.4	104
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	n/a	n/a	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	12.9	108	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP Quality research institutions [†]			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	24.9	73
2.3.3	Quality research institutions:	32.9	9/	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	23.4	91	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	23.5	73	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1.1	ICT access*			6.3.4	FDI Net outllows, % GDP	47.1	
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	22.5	107
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7.1	Creative intangibles	30.3	112
3.1.4	E-Participation*	4.3	106	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	16.0	82	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	42.7	102
3.2.3 3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	14.7	70
				7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3 3.3.1	General infrastructure Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*	<i>30.6</i>	98	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.3 7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.5	Creative services exports, %		
د.د.د	деогодисы тоокритк се втосараску, па/сар	د.در	/ J	1.2.3	Creative services exports, 70	1.4	01

Botswana

1	indicators		2.0	4	Market sophistication	35.7	78
-	ulation (millions)		2.0	4.1	Credit	37.4	70
GDP	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	13	,384.5	4.1.1 4.1.2	Strength of legal rights for credit* Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		11.8	4.1.2	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
				4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP		
		Score 0-100	Rank				
Glob	oal Innovation Index	30.5	79	4.2 4.2.1	Investment Strength of investor protection*	21.2	94
Innov	ation Output Sub-Index	20.7	104	4.2.1	Market capitalization, % GDP		
Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	40 4	62	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
	ation Efficiency Index			4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	·			4.3	Trade & competition	48.5	74
	l Innovation Index 2010			4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		77	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP	26.6	47
1	Institutions	76.1	38	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	77.1	35	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	60.1	84
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Pusings conhistication	20.2	02
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*				Business sophistication	29.2	92
1.1.3	Press freedom*			5.1	Knowledge workers	32.2	83
1.2	Regulatory environment	74.3	34	5.1.1 5.1.2	Knowledge-intensive employment, % Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.2	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*			5.2	Innovation linkages		
1.3	Business environment	76.9	75	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]	22.0	105
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	90.0	10	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
_				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	37.0	64	5.3	Knowledge absorption	33.3	64
2.1	Education	66.6	34	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	11.0	67
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, yearsPISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	44.9	65
2.1.4	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	16.9	101
					Scientific outputs		
2.2 2.2.1	Tertiary education Tertiary enrolment, % gross	25.7	79	6.1	Knowledge creation	3.6	86
2.2.1	Graduates in science, %			6.1.1 6.1.2	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %	70.4	4	6.2	Knowledge impact	n/a	n/a
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	43.6	16	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	18.6	82	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop	7.1	51	6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	9.9	53	6.3	Knowledge diffusion	30.1	53
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	38.9	76	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
2	Infractivistics	22.0	00	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3	Infrastructure	23.9	88	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	14.8	98	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	47.3	90
3.1.1	ICT access*ICT use*			-		24.4	07
3.1.2	Government's Online Service*			7	Creative outputs	24.4	97
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	35.7	100
				7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2 3.2.1	Energy Electricity output, kWh/cap	22.7	51	7.1.2 7.1.3	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ ICT & business models†		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kwn/cap			7.1.3 7.1.4	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq				3		
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	13.2	76
3.3	General infrastructure	34.1	<i>7</i> 3	7.2.1 7.2.2	Recreation & culture consumption, % National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure			7.2.2 7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.5	Creative services exports, %		

Brazil

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	35.7	80
Popi	ulation (millions)		195.4	4.1	Credit	31.6	90
GDP	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	10	,412.1	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	30.0	97
				4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)	Ι,	,573.4	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		C 0 100	Devil	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	0.8	59
Glol	bal Innovation Index	Score 0–100 37 7	Rank 47	4.2	Investment	37.0	38
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	39.5	68	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.9	7	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	47.2	45
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		68	4.3	Trade & competition	38.4	112
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		50	4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
0.000				4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3 4.3.4	Imports of goods & services, % GDP Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	54.1	87	4.3.4	Intensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	64.8	51	7.5.5	Therisity local competition		
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	41.5	46
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	Knowledge workers	48.3	45
1.1.3	Press freedom*		50	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	52.9	<i>7</i> 8	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	55.2	68	5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	52.6	35
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	54.0	106	5.2	Innovation linkages	34.4	56
1.3	Business environment	44.7	118	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]	54.9	32
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	39.8	120	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
2	Human capital & research	33.9	76	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	8.5	6/
	•			5.3	Knowledge absorption	41.8	34
2.1	Education Education expenditure, % GNI	54.3	73	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1 2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	School life expectancy, years			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	42.8	/5
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	25.2	58
2.2	Tertiary education	19.4	95	6.1	Knowledge creation	11.4	58
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %	13.3	84	6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	18.3	46
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	30.5	64
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	1.0	103	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	27.9	51	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	33.6	45
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	53.1	39	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	32.2	45	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
				6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	30.4	53	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	45.5	117
3.1.1 3.1.2	ICT access*ICT use*			-	Constitution	46.0	12
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7	Creative outputs	46.9	12
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	56.2	22
				7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2 3.2.1	Energy Electricity output, kWh/cap	23.9	45	7.1.2 7.1.3	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kwn/capElectricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.3 7.1.4	ICT & organizational models†		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq						
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	37.5	20
3.3	General infrastructure	42.3	23	7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*			7.2.2 7.2.3	National feature films/mn pop Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.3 7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap.			7.2.5	Creative services exports, %		
	3 1 11,111,77				F		

Brunei Darussalam

	indicators		0.4	4	Market sophistication	44.1	46
-	ulation (millions)		0.4	4.1	Credit	24.6	103
GDP	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	5	1,204.6	4.1.1 4.1.2	Strength of legal rights for credit* Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		11.5	4.1.2	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
	(054 55)			4.1.3	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank		-		
Glob	oal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	49.7	16
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innova	ation Efficiency Index	0.6	101	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	63.0	31
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		48	4.3	Trade & competition	58.1	34
	I Innovation Index 2009			4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %	69.6	87
dioba	i illiovation ilidex 2007		a	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	71.2	48	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	72.2	43	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	66.6	58
1.1.1	Political stability*			E	Pusiness conhistication	27.4	06
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5	Business sophistication		96
1.1.3	Press freedom*			5.1	Knowledge workers	27.8	93
				5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	85.0	17	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	1.5	72
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	100.0	1	5.2	Innovation linkages	29.2	<i>7</i> 8
1.3	Business environment	56.3	113	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]	45.0	54
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days	0.0	123	5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	79.5	28	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	33.8	77	5.3	Knowledge absorption	25.4	102
2.1	Education	59.6	54	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI	37.2	75	5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	59.9	43	5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science	n/a	n/a	3.3	. 5		
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	92.1	29	6	Scientific outputs	19.3	88
2.2	Tertiary education	27.8	76	6.1	Knowledge creation	1.0	117
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.3			
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	n/a	n/a
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	14.0	102	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		11/d
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	37.6	37
2.3.3	Quality research institutions			6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	19.4	115	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	38.3	41	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1.1	ICT access*			6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	47.7	74
3.1.2	ICT access			-	Constitution	26.0	0.7
3.1.2	Government's Online Service*			7	Creative outputs	26.0	87
3.1.3	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	36.0	98
				7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	15.5	87	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models†		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	52.5	57
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.2	Creative goods & services	16.0	67
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	0.0	111	7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
2.2	General infrastructure	4.4	125	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3				7 2 2			
3.3 .1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop	10.0	
	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.3 7.2.4	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop Creative goods exports, %		

Bulgaria

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	43.0	50
Popu	ulation (millions)		7.5	4.1	Credit	52.1	33
•	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	13	,332.7	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	80.0	19
		13	•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		48.7	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	17.1	30
Glob	oal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	19.0	102
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	•			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.3 4.2.4	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	ation Efficiency Index						
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		49	4.3 4.3.1	Trade & competition Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %	57.9	36
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		74	4.3.1	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	74.5	40	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	67.2	46	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	58.9	88
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Pusiness conhistisation	25.4	65
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*				Business sophistication	35.4	65
1.1.3	Press freedom*			5.1	Knowledge workers	41.5	59
1.2	Regulatory environment	68.7	48	5.1.1 5.1.2	Knowledge-intensive employment, % Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.2	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.3	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*				,		
1.3	Business environment	87.6	22	5.2 5.2.1	Innovation linkages University/industry collaboration†	24.1	97
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.1	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	39.2	59	5.3	Knowledge absorption	40.6	38
2.1	Education	59.8	52	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %	45.4	46
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	76.6	10
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary				Caiontife outnuts	27.2	Ε0
				6	Scientific outputs	27.2	50
2.2	Tertiary education	36.3	45	6.1	Knowledge creation	14.9	52
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % grossGraduates in science, %			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2 6.1.3	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %						
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	58.5	10	6.2	Knowledge impact	44.4	
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	21.5	70	6.2.1 6.2.2	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP						
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]			6.3	Knowledge diffusion Povalty & license feet receipts % GDP	22.4	83
2		20.0	F-0	6.3.1 6.3.2	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3	Infrastructure	28.9	59	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	38.5	40	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*						
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	38.1	46
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7.1	Creative intangibles	51.8	35
3.1.4	E-Participation*	30.0	38	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	34.1	21
3.2	Energy	14.9	89	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	52.4	59
3.2.3 3.2.4	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	24.3	55
				7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	33.2	80	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2 3.3.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.4 7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % Creative services exports, %		
ر.ر.	есою усы тоогринга и иосараску, на/сар		00	1.2.3	стенние эститесь ехропь, 70	24.0	۱ د

Burkina Faso

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	24.4	120
Pop	ulation (millions)		16.3	4.1	Credit	15.7	116
GDP	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	1	,186.9	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	30.0	97
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		8.1	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
Uν	(US\$ billions)		0.1	4.1.3 4.1.4	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP Microfinance gross loans, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank				
Glo	bal Innovation Index	23.1	120	4.2	Investment	24.7	<i>7</i> 8
Innov	ration Output Sub-Index	17.0	118	4.2.1 4.2.2	Strength of investor protection* Market capitalization, % GDP		
	ration Input Sub-Index			4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
	ation Efficiency Index			4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	•			4.3	Trade & competition	32.8	117
	Il Innovation Index 2010			4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
Globa	Il Innovation Index 2009		115	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	60.4	74	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	51.9	70	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	4/.6	116
1.1.1	Political stability*	39.6	69	5	Business sophistication	23.5	113
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	Knowledge workers	25.1	101
1.1.3	Press freedom*	84.1	44	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	58.2	69	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	79.0	47	5.2	Innovation linkages	28.8	80
1.3	Business environment	71.0	90	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	64.2	80	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
2	Human capital & research	20.6	119	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		/3
2.1	Education	34.7	113	5.3	Knowledge absorption	16.6	122
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.3 5.3.4	Computer & comm. service imports, %FDI net inflows, % GDP		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			3.3.4	T DI TIEC II IIIOWS, 70 GDF	44.0	00
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	43.5	114	6	Scientific outputs	14.3	111
2.2	Tertiary education	10.6	116	6.1	Knowledge creation	2.5	99
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	3.0	115	6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		94
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$	0.0	86
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	7.2	71
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	21.4	96
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	I./	95	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	16.4	93	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	19.1	104
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	47.4	34	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	17.4	122	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	8.9	117	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1.1	ICT access*			6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	49.0	48
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	19.7	115
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*	15.6	106	<i>7.1</i>	Creative intangibles	35.2	103
3.1.4	E-Participation*	5.7	102	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	n/a	n/a	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita	n/a	n/a	7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.2	Creative goods & services	4.3	107
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	n/a	n/a	7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	25.8	116	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap.	37.6	32	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	2.6	69

Cambodia

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	41.6	57
Popu	ılation (millions)		15.1	4.1	Credit	39.1	64
-	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	1	,915.0	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	'	•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*	0.0	111
GDP	(US\$ billions)		9.9	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		0 100	D. J.	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	100.0	3
Glol	oal Innovation Index	core 0–100	Rank 111	4.2	Investment	35.3	42
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	53.0	55
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	31.2	103	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.6	87	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	0.0	69
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		102	4.3	Trade & competition	50.4	64
Globa	I Innovation Index 2009		117	4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
0.000				4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3 4.3.4	Imports of goods & services, % GDP Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	36.6	120	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	34.8	107	1.5.5	Theristy local competition	7 1. 1	100
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	35.6	64
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	Knowledge workers	24.8	103
1.1.3	Press freedom*	53.6	92	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment		108	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms	54.5	22
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	64.0	82	5.2	Innovation linkages	54.8	12
1.3	Business environment	35.4	123	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration†		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	86.9	13	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
2	Human capital & research	18.5	121	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	n/a	n/a
2.1	Education	28.6	121	5.3	Knowledge absorption	27.3	92
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.3 5.3.4	Computer & comm. service imports, % FDI net inflows, % GDP		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.5.4	TDITIET IIIIOW3, 70 GDI		20
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	46.8	111	6	Scientific outputs	15.1	109
2.2	Tertiary education	15.9	105	6.1	Knowledge creation	2.5	101
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	9.7	95	6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$	n/a	n/a
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$	n/a	n/a
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	2.5	106
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	26.5	79
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	1.5	98	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	11.0	115	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDPQuality research institutions [†]			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	16.2	118
2.3.3	Quality research institutions:	32.2	99	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	23.8	89	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	11.2	108	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1.1	ICT access*			6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	4/.8	/1
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	24.3	98
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*	13.7	110		-		
3.1.4	E-Participation*	11.4	85	7.1 7.1.1	Creative intangibles Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	34.9	104
3.2	Energy	29.8	20	7.1.1	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.2	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq				Creative goods & services	13.6	74
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	42.7	11	7.2 7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	30.4	99	7.2.1	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.5	Creative services exports, %		

Cameroon

Population (millions) 2,204.9 4.1 Credit G.D. 1.14 CoPP per pair, PPP (current international 5) 2,204.9 4.1 Strengthor diegal injusts for credit* G.D. 1.14 Strengthor diegal injusts for credit* G.D. 2.14 G.D.	Кеу	indicators			4	Market sophistication	28.1	110
Comment Comm	Popu	ılation (millions)		20.0	4.1	•	16.0	114
Septimen		7	204 9	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	30.0	97	
Accordance Comparison Com			2	•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*	33.3	95
Commentation Index	GDP	(US\$ DIIIIONS)		22.2				
Investment to floor protection index 27.0			C 0 100	Danil	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	12.9	31
Streegt of invasion protection protection of comparison protection of comparison protection prote	Glol	nal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	28.7	62
Innovation linger Sub- Index 38.1 110 42.3 Total value of stracks traded, % GIP 176								
1		•						
1		•						
Section Comparison Compar	Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.8	32				
1	Globa	I Innovation Index 2010		119		•		
Institutions	Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		106				
Institutions								
Political environment 35.9 105 3.5 105 3.5	1	Institutions	<i>4E</i> 0	111		. 9		
Political stability" 311 81 5	-				4.3.5			
1.13 Press freedom* 532 93 5.1 Knowledge workers 26.0 99					_	B 1 11 11 11		
1.13 Press freedom* 532 93 5.1 Knowledge workers 726.0 69					5	Business sophistication	26.4	104
1.2 Regulatory environment 34.3 115 51.1 Firms offering formal training, % firms 26.0 66 66 66 66 66 67 69 52.1 Firms offering formal training, % firms 26.0 66 66 66 66 67 69 52.2 Innovation linkages 16.5 118 13.3 RBD performed by business, % n/a					5.1			
Regulatory quality*						. , ,		
Public expenditure/pupil, % CDP/cap. 189		- 3 7				9		
1.23 Rigidity of employment* 61.0 90 5.2 Innovation linkages 16.5 118								
1.3 Business environment 67.6 99 5.21 University/industry collaboration* 7.33 7.70 7.50 7.50 7.70 7.50 7.70 7.50 7.50 7.70 7.50 7.70 7.50						•		
1.31 Time to start a business, days. 8.27. 70 5.22 State of cluster development	1 2							
Cost to start a business, % income/cap								
Note Secondary Secondary								
Human capital & research 29.2 99 5.3 Knowledge absorption 36.6 52.2 52.1 Education 46.3 97 5.3.1 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 8.2 7.8 7.2	1.3.3							
Education	_				5.2.5			
2.11 Education 46.3 97 53.1 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 8.2 78 2.1.1 Public expenditure(pupil, % GDP/cap 18.9 81 53.2 High-tech imports less re-imports, % n/a p/a n/a n/a<	2	-	29.2	99	5.3	Knowledge absorption	36.6	52
Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap 18.9						,	8.2	78
School life expectancy, years					5.3.2	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
PISA scales in reading, maths, & science								
Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 78.1					5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	42.4	/9
2.2.2 Tertiary education 24.0 85 6.1 Knowledge creation 5.8 74 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross. .87. .98 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/on GDP PPPS. .n/a. .n/a. 2.2.2 Graduates in science, %		9.			6	Scientific outnuts	22.5	71
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross. .8.7	2.2	Tertiary education	24.0	85	_	-		
2.2.2 Graduates in science, %				98				n/a
2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	2.2.2				6.1.2			
22.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, %								
2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 11.9 59 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, % 39.6 6.6 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 17.5 91 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs					6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	11.0	60
2.3 Research & development (R&D) 17.5 91 6.2.2 Growth rate of GDP PPPS/Worker, % 39.6 60 6.2.2 2.3.1 Researche's headcount/million pop. 0.1 97 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 5.1 67 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP n/a n/a n/a 62.3 2.3.3 Quality research institutions					6.2			
2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop		,						
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP								
3.1 Infrastructure 21.0 10.5 11.1 10.5 11.1 11.3 11.1 11.3 11.1 11.3 11.1 11.3 11.1 11.3 11.1 11.3 11.1 11.3 11.3 11.1 11.3 11.1 11.3 11.1 11.3 11.3 11.3 11.3 11.3 11.3 11.4 E-Participation* 15.7 71 13.2 Electricity output, kWh/cap 1.4 104 13.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 0.9 104 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 0.9 104 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 25.0 67 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure 28.3 104 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 16.6 96 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 3.3.5 44 44.3 42.5 44.3 42.5 44.3 42.5 44.3 42.5 44.3 44.5 42.5 44.5 44.5 44.5 44.5 44.5 44.5 44.5 44.5 44.7 64.5 44.7 64.5					6.2.3		5.1	6/
Solution Service Solution Service Solution Service Solution Solution Service Solution So								
3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 10.5 111 3.1 ICT access* 14.6 118 3.1.2 ICT use* 1.3 111 3.1.4 E-Participation* 15.7 71 3.2 Energy 24.3 44 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap. 1.4 104 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 0.9 104 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 25.0 67 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 46.6 8 3.3 General infrastructure 28.3 104 3.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP 10.5 111 3.1 ICT access* 14.6 5.3 Computer & comm service exports, % 48.3 4.2 5.2 Energy 5.2 2.9 5.2 2.9 5.2 2.9 5.2 2.9 5.2 2.9 5.2 2.9 5.2 2.9 5.2 2.9 5.2 2.9 5.2 2.9 5.2 2.9 5.2 2.9 5.2 2.9 5.2 2.9 5.2 2.9 5.2 2.9 5.2 2.9 5.2 2.9 5.2 2.9 5.2 Creative outputs 44.7 64 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$,						
3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 10.5 111 3.1.1 ICT access* 14.6 118 3.1.2 ICT use* 1.3 111 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 15.2 108 3.1.4 E-Participation* 15.7 71 3.2 Energy 24.3 44 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap. 1.4 104 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 0.9 104 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 25.0 67 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 46.6 8 3.3 General infrastructure 28.3 104 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 27.5 103 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 10.5 11.1 5.2 2 9 5.2 29 5.2 29 5.2 29 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 5.2 2.2 29 7.1 Creative outputs 7.1 Creative intangibles 44.7 64 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a iCT & business models† 34.6 115 7. Creative intangibles 44.7 64 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a iCT & business models† 34.6 115 7.2 Creative goods & services 5.5 100 7.2 Creative goods & services 7.2 100 7.2 Recreation & culture consumption, % 13.3 58 7.2 National feature films/mn pop 4.3 62 7.2 Creative goods exports, % 0.4 113	3	Infrastructure	21.0	105				
3.1.1 ICT access* 14.6 118 3.1.2 ICT use* 1.3 111 7 Creative outputs 25.1 93 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 15.2 108 7.1 Creative intangibles 44.7 64 3.1.4 E-Participation* 15.7 71 71 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	10.5	111				
3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 15.2 108 3.1.4 E-Participation* 15.7 71 3.2 Energy 24.3 44 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap	3.1.1							
3.1.4 E-Participation* 15.7 71 71 Creative intangibles 44.7 64 3.2 Energy 24.3 44 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ /a /a 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap					7	Creative outputs	25.1	93
3.2 Energy 24.3 44 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$n/an/a 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap					7.1	Creative intangibles	44.7	64
3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap	3.1.4	E-Participation*	15./	/ 1	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	n/a	n/a
3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 0.9 104 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models [†] 34.6 115 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 25.0 .67 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 46.6 8 3.3 General infrastructure 28.3 104 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 43. 62 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 27.5 103 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. .n/a .n/a 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 16.6 .96 7.24 Creative goods exports, % 0.4 .113		3,						
3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq								
3.24 Share of renewables in energy use, %					7.1.4	ICT & organizational models ^T	34.6	115
3.3 General infrastructure 28.3 104 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, %								
3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*27.5103 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate popn/a 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP16.696 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, %								
3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP								

Canada

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	63.4	9
Popu	ulation (millions)		33.9	4.1	Credit	68.7	16
-	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	37	,945.6	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	60.0	57
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			4.1.2	Depth of credit information*	100.0	1
GDP	(US\$ billions)	1,	336.1	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		0 100	Dl.	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Glal	oal Innovation Index	56 3	Rank Q	4.2	Investment	67.0	7
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	83.0	5
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	64.4	8	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.7	54	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	97.6	2
Globa	I Innovation Index 2010		12	4.3	Trade & competition	54.4	45
Gloha	l Innovation Index 2009		11	4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
GIODG	Timovaton mack 2007			4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	93.3	3	4.3.4 4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	91.5	11	7.5.5	Therisity local competition	/ 0.5	10
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	58.4	10
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	Knowledge workers	71.0	20
1.1.3	Press freedom*	92.6	20	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	96.3	6	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %	63.7	29
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	55.9	26
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	96.0	8	5.2	Innovation linkages	59.8	7
1.3	Business environment	92.0	11	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]	73.4	7
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	80.1	25	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
2	Human capital & research	53.9	19	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	39.6	25
	-			5.3	Knowledge absorption	44.3	26
2.1 2.1.1	Education Education expenditure, % GNI	65.5	<i>38</i>	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.3 5.3.4	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.5.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	42.2	00
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	42.5	21
2.2	Tertiary education	38.1	38	6.1	Knowledge creation	38.2	19
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %	31.7	53	6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	63.6	9
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	46.2	14
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	25./	33	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %	30.9	96
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	58.0	13	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	51.6	14
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	43.0	28
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	/8.6	8	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	32.3	18
3	Infrastructure	53.1	3	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %	19.7	28
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	66.2	11	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1.1	ICT access*			6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	55.8	19
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	540	1
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			-	Creative outputs	54.0	4
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	54.2	29
3.2	Energy	36.9	6	7.1.1 7.1.2	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap		-	7.1.2 7.1.3	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.3	ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq				y .		
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2 7.2.1	Creative goods & services Recreation & culture consumption, %	53.9	5 18
3.3	General infrastructure	56.2	5	7.2.1 7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*			7.2.2	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.5	Creative services exports, %		

Chile

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	47.4	37
Popu	ılation (millions)		17.1	4.1	Credit	43.7	52
-	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	1/	1,330.7	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	40.0	83
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1-		4.1.2	Depth of credit information*	83.3	25
GDP	(US\$ billions)		163.7	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	10.2	34
Glob	oal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	42.5	29
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.6	93	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	42.0	49
Globa	Innovation Index 2010		42	4.3	Trade & competition	56.0	40
Globa	Innovation Index 2009		39	4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
				4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
	1	05.0	40	4.3.3 4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	85.2	18	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	81.3	22		···		
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	41.9	44
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	Knowledge workers	55.0	37
1.1.3	Press freedom*	88.9	31	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	58.1	38
1.2	Regulatory environment	87.8	12	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	53.8	29
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	82.0	39	5.2	Innovation linkages	35.3	49
1.3	Business environment	86.3	32	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration†		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2 1.3.3	Cost to start a business, % income/cap Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.5.5	Total tax rate, % profits	04.4	19	5.2.4 5.2.5	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$ PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	34.9	71				
2.1	Education	50.1	89	5.3	Knowledge absorption	35.5	56
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.1 5.3.2	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	63.5	37	5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science						
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	60.5	98	6	Scientific outputs	20.4	85
2.2	Tertiary education	30.8	62	6.1	Knowledge creation	11.6	57
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4 2.2.5	Tertiary inbound mobility, % Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	21.6	41
2.2.5	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	25.6	81
				6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3 2.3.1	Research & development (R&D) Researchers headcount/million pop	23.6	63	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		43
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	23.9	75
	,			6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	31.1	50	6.3.2 6.3.3	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	37.4	44	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*	48.4	50	0.5.1	1 Di Net Gatilows, 70 dB1		
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	38.8	44
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7.1	Creative intangibles	65.9	8
3.1.4	E-Participation*	34.3	35	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	63.6	6
3.2	Energy	20.4	62	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	n/a	n/a
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	63.3	31
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	11.8	80
3.2.4				7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	35.5	67	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2 3.3.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, % Creative services exports, %		
د.د.د	Ecological lootpillit & blocapacity, fla/Cap	J4U.U	23	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	4.9	

China

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	54.1	26
Popu	ulation (millions)	1	,354.1	4.1	Credit	49.1	36
-	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	6	,828.0	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	60.0	57
	(US\$ billions)		•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
dur	(נוטווווע גָכט)	4	,985.5	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		core 0-100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP		
Glol	bal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	63.6	8
Innov	ation Output Sub-Index	46.8	14	4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.2 4.2.3	Market capitalization, % GDP Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
	·			4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	ation Efficiency Index			4.3	Trade & competition	49.7	67
	l Innovation Index 2010			4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		37	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	51.7	98	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	32.8	108	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	77.0	17
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	49.3	29
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	Knowledge workers	64.8	30
1.1.3	Press freedom*	10.5	122	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment		76	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	83.0	6
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	69.0	/3	5.2	Innovation linkages	35.8	46
1.3	Business environment	68.8	95	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2 1.3.3	Cost to start a business, % income/cap Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.3 5.2.4	R&D financed by abroad, % JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
1.5.5	Total tax rate, 70 profits		113	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	39.9	56	5.3	Knowledge absorption	47.3	19
2.1	Education	59.9	51	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	42.5	78
2.1.4 2.1.5	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	52.7	9
					Scientific outputs		-
2.2 2.2.1	Tertiary education Tertiary enrolment, % gross	17.3	102	6.1 6.1.1	Knowledge creation Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$	54.2	12
2.2.1	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	22.2	40
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	55.1	9
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	4.3	82	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		3
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	42.3	32	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	23.3	30
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDPQuality research institutions [†]			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	48.6	21
2.3.3	Quality research institutions.			6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	35.4	33	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	28.4	59	6.3.3 6.3.4	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1.1	ICT access*	37.5	66	0.5.4	TDITIET Outilows, 70 dDI	79.0	
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	40.9	35
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7.1	Creative intangibles	53.7	30
3.1.4	E-Participation*	3/.1	33	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	54.7	9
3.2	Energy	14.5	92	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2 3.2.3	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	28.1	45
3.3	General infrastructure	63.3	2	7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*		_	7.2.2 7.2.3	National feature films/mn pop Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.3 7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.5	Creative services exports, %		
	5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		•		I A		

Colombia

	indicators			4	Market sophistication	36.8	74
Popu	ulation (millions)		46.3	4.1	Credit	36.1	74
GDP	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	8	3,959.2	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		234.0	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GD1	(654 billions)		234.0	4.1.3 4.1.4	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP Microfinance gross loans, % GDP		
Glol	bal Innovation Index	Score 0–100	Rank 71	4.2	Investment	35.4	41
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	ration Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
	ration Input Sub-Index			4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ration Efficiency Index	0.7	75	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		90	4.3	Trade & competition	38.8	109
Globa	ıl Innovation Index 2009		75	4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
				4.3.2 4.3.3	Market access trade restrictiveness*, % Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
4	In attacet on a		0.2	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	55.7	83	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	36.3	101		,		
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	35.4	66
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	Knowledge workers	37.4	73
1.1.3	Press freedom*		107	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	39.5	61
1.2	Regulatory environment		59	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	31.8	50
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	90.0	18	5.2	Innovation linkages	30.2	73
1.3	Business environment	68.7	96	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	29.9	122	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
2	Human capital & research	30.0	91	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		52
2.1	Education	44.9	101	5.3	Knowledge absorption	38.5	44
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.3 5.3.4	Computer & comm. service imports, % FDI net inflows, % GDP		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.5.4	T DI TIEL II IIIOWS, 70 GDF	47.1	47
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	52.3	107	6	Scientific outputs	14.1	114
2.2	Tertiary education	30.5	64	6.1	Knowledge creation	2.3	104
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	37.4	60	6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		80
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	3.4	99
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	18.6	103
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	5.1	/9	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %	34.6	83
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	14.6	100	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	7.2	62
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	21.4	90
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	39.0	/3	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	35.7	32	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	37.6	43	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1.1	ICT access*			6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	51.0	34
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	37.7	47
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*				-		
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1 7.1.1	Creative intangibles Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	49.8	47
3.2	Energy	31.3	14	7.1.1 7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.2	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.2	Creative goods & services	25.6	52
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	17.0	38	7.2 7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	38.2	46	7.2.1	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %	21.3	46
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap	45.8	14	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	45.0	15

Costa Rica

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	32.7	94
Popu	ulation (millions)		4.6	4.1	Credit	35.4	76
•	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	11	,105.7	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	50.0	71
			29.2	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
UDP	(US\$ billions)		29.2	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	2.6	51
Glob	oal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	9.1	124
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	·			4.2.2 4.2.3	Market capitalization, % GDP Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.3	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	ation Efficiency Index					53.7	49
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		41	4.3 4.3.1	Trade & competition Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		48	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	67.9	58	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	<i>75.8</i>	37	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	66.8	56
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Pusiness conhistication	47.9	33
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*				Business sophistication		
1.1.3	Press freedom*			5.1	Knowledge workers	49.2	42
1.2	Regulatory environment	64.7	54	5.1.1 5.1.2	Knowledge-intensive employment, % Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.2	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	61.0	90	5.2	Innovation linkages	53.8	15
1.3	Business environment	63.0	106	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.1	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	54.0	106	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
_				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	33.1	79	5.3	Knowledge absorption	40.7	37
2.1	Education	58.2	60	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	22.7	42
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %	37.1	65
2.1.3 2.1.4	School life expectancy, yearsPISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	55.7	30
2.1.4	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Crientific outnuts	28.4	43
	,				Scientific outputs		
2.2 2.2.1	Tertiary education Tertiary enrolment, % gross	16.5	103	6.1	Knowledge creation	3.2	95
2.2.1	Graduates in science, %			6.1.1 6.1.2	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$. PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	40.0	
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	4.8	80	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	24.5	57	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop	5.9	54	6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	6.1	62	6.3	Knowledge diffusion	42.1	29
2.3.3	Quality research institutions†	61.5	30	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
2	Infine atoms atoms	20.6		6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3	Infrastructure	29.6	55	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	25.5	67	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	47.3	85
3.1.1	ICT access* ICT use*			_		20.0	4.5
3.1.2 3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7	Creative outputs	38.8	45
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	69.5	5
				7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Electricity output kWh/cap	31.5	13	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1 3.2.2	Electricity output, kWh/capElectricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.3 7.1.4	ICT & business models [†] ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq						
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	8.0	92
3.3	General infrastructure	31.8	87	7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*			7.2.2 7.2.3	National feature films/mn pop Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.3 7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.5	Creative services exports, %		
	. 5			, .2.3			

Côte d'Ivoire

Кеу	indicators			4	Market sophistication	23.6	121
Popi	ulation (millions)		21.6	4.1	Credit	11.9	119
	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	1	1,701.2	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	30.0	97
		'		4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		23.3	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		C 0 100	Dani	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	3.5	47
Glol	bal Innovation Index	Score 0–100	Rank 117	4.2	Investment	12.5	121
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.3 4.2.4	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	1.1	1				
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		89	4.3	Trade & competition	46.4	86
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		n/a	4.3.1 4.3.2	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	22.2	122	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1		33.3	122	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	26.5	116	_	B 1 11 11 11		40.
1.1.1 1.1.2	Political stability* Government effectiveness*			5	Business sophistication	19.3	125
1.1.2	Press freedom*			5.1	Knowledge workers	18.0	116
				5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2 1.2.1	Regulatory environment Regulatory quality*	30.9	119	5.1.2 5.1.3	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*				,		
1.3	Business environment	42.4	120	5.2 5.2.1	Innovation linkages University/industry collaboration†	14.0	123
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.1	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
_			440	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	21.1	118	5.3	Knowledge absorption	25.9	97
2.1	Education	30.6	117	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	10.3	69
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap School life expectancy, years			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	42.8	74
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	22.9	69
2.2	Tertiary education	14.3	108	6.1	Knowledge creation	1.7	113
2.2.1	Tertiary education Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	3.0	103
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	35.5	41
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	n/a	n/a	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %	35.5	77
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	18.4	84	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP Quality research institutions [†]			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	31.6	48
2.5.5	Quality research institutions		05	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	19.7	113	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	15.2	97	6.3.3 6.3.4	Computer & comm service exports, %FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*		103	0.5.4	FDI Het Outflows, % GDF	47.3	91
3.1.2	ICT use*	1.1	112	7	Creative outputs	26.6	86
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7.1	Creative intangibles	50.9	39
3.1.4	E-Participation*	17.1	65	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	19.0	70	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	n/a	n/a
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	44.0	91
3.2.3 3.2.4	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	2.3	116
				7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	24.8	119	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1 3.3.2	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.3 7.2.4	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.4 7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % Creative services exports, %		
ر.ر.ر	zeologicai lootpiilit & blocapacity, lla/cap	,⊤∪.∠		7.2.3	CICALIVE SELVICES EXPOLES, 70		03

Croatia

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	35.7	79
Pop	ulation (millions)		4.4	4.1	Credit	36.3	72
	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	10	,805.4	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	12		4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		63.0	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	27.3	38
				4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	0.1	70
Gla	s bal Innovation Index	core 0–100	Rank	4.2	Investment	16.4	110
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	40.0	103
Innov	ation Output Sub-Index	31.0	48	4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	45.0	45	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.7	65	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	0.0	69
Globa	Il Innovation Index 2010		45	4.3	Trade & competition	54.4	46
Globa	Il Innovation Index 2009		62	4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
dioba	ii iiiiovatioii iiiacx 2007	••••••	02	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	73.6	42	4.3.4 4.3.5	Exports of goods & services, % GDPIntensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	73.1	41	4.5.5	intensity local competitions	JZ./	100
1.1.1	Political stability*	67.5	37	5	Business sophistication	38.2	54
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	Knowledge workers	45.4	53
1.1.3	Press freedom*	81.5	52	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment		65	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	48.0	38
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	50.0	110	5.2	Innovation linkages	25.2	93
1.3	Business environment	88.1	21	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]	40.7	68
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]	35.5	86
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	76.8	40	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
2	Human capital & research	12.5	43	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	10.8	61
	-			5.3	Knowledge absorption	43.9	28
2.1 2.1.1	Education Education expenditure, % GNI	64.5	41	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.3 5.3.4	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.5.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	50.0	29
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	95.5	11	6	Scientific outputs	25.2	57
2.2	Tertiary education	36.8	42	6.1	Knowledge creation	20.9	40
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %	43.2	34	6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$	12.1	23
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	39.8	29
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	30.3	66
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	28.7	29	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	29.1	48	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs	20.0	36
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	24.4	74
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	50.2	4/	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	6.9	47
3	Infrastructure	34.1	37	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	47.2	29	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1.1	ICT access*			6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	53.1	24
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Croative outputs	36.7	51
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			-	Creative outputs		51
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	40.9	79
3.2	Energy	19.5	67	7.1.1 7.1.2	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.2	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity output, kWh/capita			7.1.3	ICT & business models*		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq				3		
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	32.6	28 16
3.3	General infrastructure	35.5	66	7.2.1 7.2.2	Recreation & culture consumption, % National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*			7.2.2	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.5	Creative services exports, %		
	3 , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				1 7		

Cyprus

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	49.5	32
Popu	ılation (millions)		0.9	4.1	Credit	56.1	30
-	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	30),223.4	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	30	•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		24.9	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
				4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Clak	oal Innovation Index	Score 0–100	Rank	4.2	Investment	29.7	60
GIOE	oai innovation index	40.5	28	4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	50.0	70
Innov	ation Output Sub-Index	40.5	27	4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
Innova	ation Input Sub-Index	52.4	30	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innova	ation Efficiency Index	0.8	37	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	72.0	18
	Innovation Index 2010			4.3	Trade & competition	62.8	18
	Innovation Index 2009			4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %	94.3	12
Globa	i illiovation index 2009		45	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	83.5	22	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	77.3	34	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	/ / .2	16
1.1.1	Political stability*		48	5	Business sophistication	43.9	41
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*				-		
1.1.3	Press freedom*	85.8	41	5.1 5.1.1	Knowledge workers Knowledge-intensive employment, %	41.3	60
1.2	Regulatory environment	83.2	21	5.1.1	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*				Innovation linkages	51.2	19
1.3	Business environment	89.9	17	5.2 5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	78.8	9
2	Human capital & research	48.6	29	5.3	Knowledge absorption	39.0	42
2.1	Education	69.6	22	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	100.0	3
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science					40.7	40
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	43.7	19
2.2	Tertiary education	50.5	9	6.1	Knowledge creation	14.9	51
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, % Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.3 6.1.4	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %					10.0	43
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	69.7	3
				6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3 2.3.1	Research & development (R&D) Researchers headcount/million pop	25.8	55	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		n/a
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	46.3	24
	<u> </u>			6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	36.5	28	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	46.0	30	6.3.3 6.3.4	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1.1	ICT access*	64.7	33	0.5.4	TDITIEL OUTHOWS, 70 GDF	100.0	
3.1.2	ICT use*	30.5	36	7	Creative outputs	37.4	48
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7.1	Creative intangibles	42.7	73
3.1.4	E-Participation*	48.6	24	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	22.3	55	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap	32.9	31	7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]	61.0	56
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita	25.9	30	7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.2	Creative goods & services	32.1	31
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	2.4	88	7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	41.0	31	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap	on/a	n/a	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	7.9	50

Czech Republic

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	47.7	34
Popu	ılation (millions)		10.4	4.1	Credit	46.6	46
-	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	25	,232.0	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	60.0	57
				4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		190.3	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		C 0 100	D. I	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Gloł	oal Innovation Index	Score 0–100 47 3	Rank 27	4.2	Investment	26.1	72
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	53.1	26	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	8	33	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
Globa	Innovation Index 2010		27	4.3	Trade & competition	70.3	12
Globa	I Innovation Index 2009		33	4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
				4.3.2 4.3.3	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
4	In atituation a	02.6	24	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	82.6	24	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	84.1	18		-		
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	53.0	18
1.1.2 1.1.3	Government effectiveness* Press freedom*			5.1	Knowledge workers	76.0	13
				5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	85.4	16	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1 1.2.2	Regulatory quality* Rule of law*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rigidity of employment*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %		19
				5.2	Innovation linkages	33.5	60
1.3	Business environment	<i>78.2</i>	68	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1 1.3.2	Time to start a business, daysCost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.2 5.2.3	State of cluster development [†] R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	,			5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	49.9	28	5.3	Knowledge absorption	49.6	16
2.1	Education	66.2	37	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI	46.7	57	5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	41.8	83
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary				C-itiCtt-	26.2	20
	,			6	Scientific outputs	36.2	29
2.2	Tertiary education	40.0	32	6.1	Knowledge creation	25.5	33
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % grossGraduates in science, %			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.2 6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	46.1	
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	17.7	49	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	43.5	28	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop	32.5	22	6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	37.0	39
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	68.1	20	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
2	Infractructura	22.4	44	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3	Infrastructure	32.4	44	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %	51.6	36
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	41.1	37	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	49.2	45
3.1.1 3.1.2	ICT access*ICT use*			-	Constitution	46.0	12
3.1.2	Government's Online Service*			7	Creative outputs	46.8	13
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	47.1	54
			66	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2 3.2.1	Energy Electricity output, kWh/cap	19.8 40.7		7.1.2 7.1.3	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kwii/cap			7.1.3 7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq						
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	46.5	10
3.3	General infrastructure	36.2	59	7.2.1 7.2.2	Recreation & culture consumption, % National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*.			7.2.2	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.5	Creative services exports, %		
					·		

Denmark

Population (millions) 5.5 4.1 Conference and Conference an	Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	64.5	7
COPP per capita, PPP (current international 5) 36,761.7 399.6 41.1 Strength of legal rights for cealt* 990	Popu	llation (millions)		5.5	4.1	•	89.2	2
1	-		26	761 7				
Signate Sign			30	•	4.1.2			
Comparison Index	GDP	(US\$ billions)		309.6	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	100.0	2
Institutions					4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Strength of investor protection Color Co	Clak	al Impayation Inday			4.2	Investment	44.4	26
Introation hopps (Sub-Index	GIOL	oai innovation index	37.0	0	4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	63.0	27
March Comment Commen	Innov	ation Output Sub-Index	49.3	7	4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP	24.3	30
Content Cont	Innova	ation Input Sub-Index	64.6	7		,		
Associated invariation for 2009	Innova	ation Efficiency Index	0.8	47	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	83.0	10
Institutions	Globa	Innovation Index 2010		5	4.3	Trade & competition	59.8	27
Institutions					4.3.1			
Institutions	diona	Illiovation index 2007		0				
Intensity 1.1 Political environment 94,2 7 1.1 Political stability* 8,5 8,5 1.1 1.1 Convernment effectiveness* 99,5 2 5.1 Knowledge workers 82,6 7 7 1.1 1.2 Political stability* 99,5 2 5.1 Knowledge workers 82,6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7								
1.1 Political stability	1	Institutions	94.2	1				
1.12 Covernment effectiveness* 995 2 5.1 Knowledge workers 82.6 7	1.1	Political environment	94.2	7	4.3.3	intensity local competition	/ 0. 1	Z I
1.12 Covernment effectiveness* 995	1.1.1	Political stability*	85.8	11	5	Business sophistication	58.1	11
1.13 Regulatory environment	1.1.2					-		
1.21 Regulatory environment 96.7 4 51.12 Firms offering formal training, % firms	1.1.3	Press freedom*	97.4	11				
12.1 Regulatory quality" 990	1.2	Regulatory environment	96.7	4				
1.33 Rigidity of employment* 93.0 10 5.2 Innovation linkages 53.3 17	1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	9		
1.3 Business environment 91.8 12 5.2 University/industry collaboration 7.724 8.8 13.1 Time to start a business, days 95.2 13 5.22 State of cluster development 5.96 17 13.2 Cost to start a business, wincome/cap. 1000 1 5.23 R&D financed by abroad % 3.41 2.6 13.3 Total tax rate, % profits 80.1 25 5.24 W/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$ 55.6 11 13.4 Total tax rate, % profits 80.1 25 5.24 W/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$ 55.6 11 14.5 Education 79.4 1 5.31 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 1/0.2 1/0.2 14.6 Education expenditure, % GNL 87.4 3 5.32 High-tech imports less re-imports, % 2.96 3.6 15.2 Public expenditure/pupli, % GDP/cap 594 5 5.34 Stool life expectancy, years 76.6 7 75.3 5.34 FDI net inflows, % GDP 39.8 99 15.5 Pupli-teacher ratio, secondary 93.1 24 6 Scientific outputs 46.3 17 17.6 Pupli-teacher ratio, secondary 93.1 24 6 Scientific outputs 46.3 17 17.6 Pupli-teacher ratio, secondary 93.1 24 6 Scientific outputs 46.3 17 17.6 Pupli-teacher ratio, secondary 93.1 24 6 Scientific outputs 46.3 17 17.7 Partiary enrolment, % gross 795 9 61.1 Domestic resident patent ap/n GDP PPP\$ 47.0 16 17.2 Graduates in science 9. 26.3 56 61.2 PCT resident patent ap/n GDP PPP\$ 8.2 30 18.6 Graduates in science 9. 26.3 56 61.2 PCT resident patent ap/n GDP PPP\$ 8.2 30 18.6 Graduates in science 9. 26.3 56 61.2 PCT resident patent ap/n GDP PPP\$ 8.2 30 18.6 Graduates in science 9. 26.3 56 61.2 PCT resident patent ap/n GDP PPP\$ 8.2 30 18.6 Graduates in science 9. 26.3 56 61.2 PCT resident patent ap/n GDP PPP\$ 8.2 30 18.6 Graduates in science 9. 26.3 61.2 PCT resident patent ap/n GDP PPP\$ 8.2 30 18.6 Graduates 9. 26.3 61.2 PCT resident patent ap/n G	1.2.2				5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	72.1	15
3.3 Business environment	1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	93.0	10	5.2	Innovation linkages	53.3	17
1.3.1 Time to start a business, days	1.3	Business environment	91.8	12		3		8
1.3.3 Total tax rate, % profits	1.3.1	Time to start a business, days	95.2	13	5.2.2			
Human capital & research 60.2 6 5.3 Knowledge absorption 38.2 26 62.1		·			5.2.3			
Human capital & research 60.2 6 5.3 Knowledge absorption 38.2 46	1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	80.1	25		3		
2.1 Education 79.4 1 53.3 Nonweal earlies and seven services and seven services. 38.2 49.2 2.1.1 Education expenditure, % GNI 87.4 3 53.2 High-tech imports less re-imports, % GDP 1.74 1.74 2.1.2 Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap 594 5 53.3 Computer & comm. service imports, % 45.4 47 2.1.2 PSA scales in reading, maths, & science 69.2 19 PISA scales in reading, maths, & science 69.2 19 2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 93.1 24 6 Scientific outputs 46.3 17 2.2.1 Tertiary education 37.6 40 6.1 Knowledge creation 58.3 10 2.2.1 Tertiary eurolment, % gross 79.5 9 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 47.0 16 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % 26.3 56 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 47.0 16 2.2.2 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 38.5 <td< td=""><td>2</td><td>Human capital 0 recearch</td><td>60.2</td><td>6</td><td>5.2.5</td><td>PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %</td><td> 36.5</td><td>26</td></td<>	2	Human capital 0 recearch	60.2	6	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	36.5	26
Education expenditure, % GNI		•			5.3	Knowledge absorption	38.2	46
Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap 594 5 5.33 Computer & comm. service imports, % 45.4 47 47 47 47 47 47 47					5.3.1			
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years 76.6 7 5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP 39.8 99 PISA scales in reading, maths, & science 69.2 19 PISA scales in reading, maths, & science 69.2 19 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 37.6 40 2.2 Tertiary education 37.6 40 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross 79.5 9 61.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 47.0 16 Graduates in science, % 26.3 56 Graduates in science, % 26.3 56 Graduates in engineering, % 38.5 40 Creative outbound mobility, % 9.95 44 Graduates in engineering, % 38.5 40 Creative outbound mobility, % 9.95 44 Gross tertiary outbound mobility, % 18.8 75 Gross tertiary outbound mobility, % 18.8 75 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 55.6 86 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 21.2 44 Gross tertiary outbound en								
PISA scales in reading, maths, & science 692 19 21.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 93.1 24 6 Scientific outputs 46.3 17 22.7 Tertiary education 37.6 40 6.1 Knowledge creation 58.3 10 10 22.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross 795 9 61.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 470 16 22.2 Graduates in science, % 26.3 56 6.12 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 470 16 22.2 Graduates in engineering, % 38.5 40 61.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPPS 8.2 30 30 Tertiary inbound mobility, % 18.8 75 6.2 Knowledge impact 35.7 38 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 21.2 44 62.1 Growth rate of GDP PPPS/worker, % 25.6 103 23.3 Research & development (R&D) 63.6 8 62.2 New businesses/1,000 pp. 15-64 yrs 35.6 19 23.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 55.6 8 6.3 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP m/a n/a n/a 12.3 10 Government for the foliation of the								
Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary					5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	39.8	99
2.2 Tertiary education 37.6 40 6.1 Knowledge creation 58.3 10 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross		9.			6	Scientific outnuts	46 3	17
22.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross 79.5 9 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 47.0 16 22.2 Graduates in science, % 26.3 56 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 77.6 10 22.3 Graduates in engineering, % 38.5 40 6.1.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPPS 8.2 30 22.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % 9.5 44 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPPS 75.3 6 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 18.8 75 6.2 Knowledge impact 35.7 38 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 21.2 44 62.1 Growth rate of GDP PPPS/worker, % 25.6 103 2.3.1 Research & development (R&D) 63.6 8 62.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs. 35.6 19 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 59.9 5 62.3 Computer software spending, % GDP. 56.5 11 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D,	2.2	Tertiary education	37.6	40		-		
22.2 Graduates in science, % 26.3 56 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS .77.6 .10 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % .38.5 .40 6.1.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPPS .8.2 .30 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % .18.8 .75 .6 .61.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPPS .75.3 .6 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % .18.8 .75 .62 Knowledge impact .35.7 .38 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % .21.2 .44 .62.1 Growth rate of GDP PPPS/worker, % .25.6 .103 2.3 Research & development (R&D) .63.6 .8 .62.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs .35.6 .19 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. .59.9 .5 .62.3 Computer software spending, % GDP. .56.5 .11 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP .55.6 .8 .8 .8 .8 .8 .8 .1 .6 .2 .8 .6 .3 .8 .6 .1								
22.3 Graduates in engineering, %						· · ·		
2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 18.8 75 6.2 Knowledge impact 35.7 38 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 21.2 44 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, % 25.6 103 2.3.3 Research & development (R&D) 63.6 8 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs 35.6 19 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 59.9 .5 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 56.5 11 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP .55.6 .8 8 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 56.5 .11 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP .55.6 .8 6.3.3 Knowledge diffusion 44.8 25 2.3.3 Quality research institutions† .75.3 .12 6.3.4 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP .n/a .n/a 3.1 Infrastructure 45.9 11 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % 48.2 43 3.1.1 Info & Comm. technolog	2.2.3							
22.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	2.2.4				6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	75.3	6
2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 21.2 44 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 63.6 8 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs 35.6 19 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 59.9 5 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 55.6 8 2.3.3 Quality research institutions 7.75.3 12 3. Infrastructure 45.9 11 6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP n/a n/a 3. Infrastructure 45.9 11 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % 33.0 22 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 68.9 6 3.1 ICT access* 83.4 8 3.1.2 ICT use* 576. 6 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 67.3 13 3.1.4 E-Participation* 64.3 14 3.1.4 E-Participation* 64.3 14 3.1.5 Electricity output, kWh/cap 34.1 30 3.1.6 Electricity output, kWh/cap 34.1 30 3.1.7 Creative intangibles 50.7 43 3.2.1 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 26.1 29 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 26.1 29 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPPS/kg oil eq 49.6 17 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 12.3 47 3.3.5 Gross capital formation, % GDP 14.9 98 3.6 C.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs 35.6 19 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs 35.6 19 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 56.5 11 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 56.5 11 6.2.4 High-tech exports less re-exports, % 33.0 22 6.3.5 Computer software spending, % GDP 56.5 11 6.3.6 Cap Nowledge diffusion 44.8 25 6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP n/a n/a 6.3.2 Computer software spending, % GDP 14.8 15 7.1 Creative outputs & commservice exports, % 33.0 22 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 14.6 66 7 Creative outputs 52.4 5 7.1 Creative intangibles 50.7 43 7.1	2.2.5				6.2	Knowledge impact	35 <i>7</i>	38
2.3 Research & development (R&D) 63.6 8 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs. 35.6 19 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 59.9 5 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 56.5 11 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 55.6 8 8 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 56.5 11 2.3.2 Quality research institutions† 75.3 12 6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP n/a n/a 3.1 Infrastructure 45.9 11 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % 33.0 22 3.1.1 Infrastructure 45.9 6 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 53.1 23 3.1.1 Infractructure 8.9 6 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 53.1 23 3.1.2 ICT use* 57.6 6 7 Creative outputs 52.4 5 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 67.3 13 7.1 <	2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	21.2	44				
2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 59.9 5 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 56.5 11 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 55.6 8 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 44.8 25 2.3.3 Quality research institutions† 75.3 12 6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP /a /a 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 68.9 6 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, %	2.3	Research & development (R&D)	63.6	8				
2.3.3 Quality research institutions [†]	2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop	59.9	5	6.2.3			
3 Infrastructure 45.9 11 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % GDP					63	Knowledge diffusion	44 8	25
3 Infrastructure 45.9 11 6.3.2 (6.3.3) High-tech exports less re-exports, % (6.3.3) 33.0 (2.2) 22 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 68.9 (6.3.4) 6 (6.3.4) FDI net outflows, % GDP (7.3.1) 23 3.1.1 ICT access* 83.4 (7.3.4) 8 8 3.1.2 ICT use* 57.6 (7.3.1) 6 7 Creative outputs 52.4 (7.3.4) 5 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 67.3 (7.3.1) 13 7.1 (7.1.1) Creative intangibles 50.7 (4.3.1) 43 3.1.4 E-Participation* 64.3 (1.4.1) 7.1 (7.1.1) Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ (14.6.1) 66 3.2 Energy 30.7 (16.1) 7.1.2 (7.2.1) Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ (54.4.1) 12 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/capita (26.1.2) 29 7.1.4 (7.4.4) ICT & business models† (67.5.3.3) 36 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita (26.1.2) 29 7.1.4 (7.4.4) ICT & organizational models† (67.2.2.2) 3.3 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use,	2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	75.3	12		3		
3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 68.9 6 3.1.1 ICT access* 3.1.2 ICT use* 57.6 6 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 64.3 14 5.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 50.7 43 3.1.4 E-Participation* 64.3 14 3.1.5 Electricity output, kWh/cap 3.1.1 ICT & business models† 67.5 36 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 57.6 6 7 Creative outputs 52.4 5 7.1 Creative intangibles 7.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 56.4 12 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 56.4 12 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 56.4 12 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 67.5 36 7.1 Creative goods & services 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 7.2 Creative goods & services 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % 69.0 20 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % 7.2 Creative goods exports, % 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 7.2 Creative goods exports, %	2	Infractructuro	45 0	11				
3.1.1 ICT access* 83.4 8 3.1.2 ICT use* 57.6 6 7 Creative outputs 52.4 5 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 67.3 13 7.1 Creative intangibles 50.7 43 3.1.4 E-Participation* 64.3 14 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 14.6 66 3.2 Energy 30.7 16 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 56.4 12 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 34.1 30 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 67.5 36 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 26.1 29 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 67.2 23 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 49.6 17 7.2 Creative goods & services 54.1 3 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 12.3 47 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % 69.0 20 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 74.8 15 7.2.3 Daily newspape					6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %	48.2	43
3.1.2 ICT use* 57.6 6 7 Creative outputs 52.4 5 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 67.3 13 7.1 Creative intangibles 50.7 43 3.1.4 E-Participation* 64.3 14 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 14.6 66 3.2 Energy 30.7 16 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 56.4 12 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 34.1 30 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 67.5 36 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 26.1 29 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 67.2 23 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq 49.6 17 7.2 Creative goods & services 54.1 3 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 12.3 47 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % 69.0 20 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 74.8 15 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop 70.2 .6 3.3.2					6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	53.1	23
3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 67.3 13 3.1.4 E-Participation* 64.3 14 3.2 Energy 30.7 16 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 34.1 30 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capia 26.1 29 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq 49.6 17 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 12.3 47 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 12.3 47 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 38.1 49 3.2.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 14.9 98 3.2.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP 14.9 98 3.2.4 Creative intangibles 50.7 43 3.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 14.6 66 3.1.2 Creative intangibles 50.7 43 3.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 56.4 12 3.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 56.4 12 3.1.3 ICT & business models† 67.5 36 3.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 67.2 23 3.1.5 Creative goods & services 54.1 3 3.1.6 Vereative goods & services 54.1 3 3.1.7 Creative goods & services 54.1 3 3.2.7 Creative goods & services 54.1 3 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 74.8 15 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 14.9 98 3.3.3 Creative goods exports, % 40.6 13					7	Cuartina autouta	F2 4	-
3.1.4 E-Participation* 64.3 14 7.1 Creative intangibles 50.7 43 3.2 Energy 30.7 16 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 14.6 66 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 34.1 30 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 67.5 36 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 26.1 29 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 67.2 23 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq 49.6 17 7.2 Creative goods & services 54.1 3 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 12.3 47 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % 69.0 20 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 74.8 15 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 70.2 6 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 14.9 98 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 40.6 13						-		_
3.2 Energy 30.7 16 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 5.6.4 12 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 34.1 30 7.1.3 ICT & business models [†] 67.5 36 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 26.1 29 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models [†] 67.2 23 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 49.6 17 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 12.3 47 7.2 Creative goods & services 54.1 3 3.3 General infrastructure 38.1 49 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 50.4 15 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 74.8 15 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 70.2 6 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 14.9 98 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 40.6 13								
3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap	2.2							
3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 26.1 29 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 67.2 23 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 49.6 17 7.2 Creative goods & services 54.1 3 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 12.3 47 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % 69.0 20 3.3 General infrastructure 38.1 49 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 50.4 15 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 74.8 15 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 70.2 6 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 14.9 98 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 40.6 13		37						
3.2.3 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 49.6								
3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, %								
3.3 General infrastructure 38.1 49 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 50.4 15 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 74.8 15 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 70.2 6 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 14.9 98 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 40.6 13	3.2.4							
3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*74.8	3.3	General infrastructure	38.1	49				
3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP								
	3.3.3				7.2.5			

Ecuador

Population (millions) GDP per capita, PPP (current international \$) 8,267.7 GDP (USS billions) Sore 0-100 Rank Global Innovation Index 28.8 93 Innovation Indupt Sub-Index 100bal Innovation Index 24.9 177 Innovation Index 22.8 100 Innovation Index 23.6 100 Innovation Index 24.9 177 Innovation Index 32.6 100 Innovation Index 32.6 Innovation Index Innovation Index Innovation Index Innovation Index Investment Strength of investor protection* Strength of investor protection* Strength of investor protection* Interest and investor protection* Interest and investor protection* Interest and investor protection* Interest and investor protection* Investment Investment Investment Interest and investor protection* Investment Investment Interest and investor protection* Investment Interest and investor protection* Investment Interest and investor protection* Investment Investment Interest and investor protection* Investment Investment Investment Interest and investor protection* Investment Interest and investor protection* Investment Interest and investor protection* Investment Investment Interest and investor protection* Investment Interest and investor protection* Investment Investment Interest and investor protection* Investment Invest		25841810390646981
Substitutions Substitution		25841890646981
Soure 0-100	8.9	841890646981
A	29.1	18 12090646981
Score 0-100 Rank 28.8 93	12.6 40.0 27 1.3 0.0 46.5 73.3 n/a 29.4 31.1 52.2 36.3 42.9 32.3 71.1 25.4	120 103 90 64 69 81 n/a 42 59 108
A	40.0	103 90 64 69 84 174 174 42 59 108
Innovation lutput Sub-Index	27	906469 84
Innovation Input Sub-Index	1.3	64 69 84 n/a 108
Innovation Ifficiency Index		69 84 81n/a4259108
State Computation Comput	46.5 73.3	84 81 1/a 42 59 108
Global Innovation Index 2009	73.3	81 n/a 42 59 108
1 Institutions 42.8 113 4.3.4 Exports of goods & services, % GDP 1.1.1 Political environment 37.9 99 1.1.1 Political stability* 20.8 .98 5 Business sophistication 1.1.2 Government 65.2 121 5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, % firms 1.2.1 Regulatory quality* 6.2 122 5.1.3 R&D performed by business, % 1.2.2 Rule of law* 7.5 120 5.1.4 R&D financed by business, % 1.3.1 Time to start a business, days 47.1 115 5.2.2 State of cluster development* 1.3.1 Time to start a business, % 65.2 103 5.2.1 University/industry collaboration* 1.3.3 Total tax rate, % profits 73.9 50 5.2.4 Jy/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$ 2.2.1 Education 48.6 93 5.3.1 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 2.1.1 Education 48.6 93 5.3.1 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 2.1.2 Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap .70	n/a29.431.152.2	n/a 42 59 108
Institutions	31.1	59
1.1 Political environment 37.9 99 1.1.1 Political stability* 20.8 98 5 Business sophistication S.1 Knowledge workers S.1.1 Knowledge workers S.1.2 Knowledge workers S.1.3 Regulatory environment 25.2 121 S.1.2 Regulatory quality* 6.2 122 S.1.3 R&D performed by business, % S.1.4 R&D financed by business, % S.1.5 R&D financed by business, % S.1.5 R&D financed by business, % S.1.5 Intensity local competition† S.1 Knowledge workers S.1.1 Knowledge workers S.1.1 Knowledge workers S.1.2 Knowledge workers S.1.2 Firms offering formal training, % firms S.1.5 R&D performed by business, % S.1.5 R&D performed by business, % S.1.5 R&D financed by business, % S.1.5 R&D financed by business, % S.1.5 Intensity local competition† S.1 Knowledge workers S.1.1 Knowledge absorption S.1.2 R&D financed by business, % S.1.5 Knowledge absorption S.1.1 R&D financed by business, % S.1.1 S.2.2 State of cluster development† S.2.2 State of cluster development† S.2.2 State of cluster development† S.2.2 JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPPS S.2.5 PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, % S.2.5 PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, % S.2.5 FDI patent filings with foreign inventor, % S.3.1 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP S.3.2 High-tech imports less re-imports, % S.3.3 Computer & comm. service imports, % S.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP FDI net inflows, % GDP FDI net inflows, % GDP Scientific outputs Scientifi	36.3 42.9 71.125.4	108
1.1 Political environment 37.9 99 1.1.1 Political stability* 20.8 .98 1.1.2 Government effectiveness* .21.9 .113 1.1.3 Press freedom* .70.9 .76 1.2 Regulatory environment .25.2 .212 .51.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, % 1.2.1 Regulatory quality* .62. .122 .51.3 R&D performed by business, % 1.2.2 Rule of law* .75. .120 .51.4 R&D financed by business, % 1.2.3 Rigidity of employment* .62.0 .84 .84 .80 financed by business, % 1.3.1 Time to start a business, days. .47.1 .115 .52.2 State of cluster development* 1.3.2 Cost to start a business, days. .47.1 .115 .52.2 State of cluster development* 1.3.3 Total tax rate, % profits. .73.9 .50 .52.4 JJ/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPPS 1.2.1 Education .48.6 .93 .53.1 Royalty & license fees p	36.3 42.9 71.125.4	
1.1.1 Political stability* 20.8 .98 5 Business sophistication 1.1.2 Government effectiveness* .21.9 .113 .113 .70.9 .76 .5.1 Knowledge workers 1.1.3 Press freedom* .70.9 .76 .5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, % .5.1.1 Knowledge op-intensive employment, % .5.1.1 Knowledge op-intensive employment, % .5.1.2 Intensive profermed by business, % .5.2.2 Innovation linkages .5.2.2 Innovation linkages .5.2.2	42.9 32.3 71.1 25.4	62
1.1.2 Government effectiveness* .21.9 .113 1.1.3 Press freedom* .70.9 .76 1.2 Regulatory environment 25.2 121 5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, % firms 1.2.1 Regulatory quality* .62.2 .122 51.3 R&D performed by business, % 1.2.2 Rule of law* .75. .120 51.4 R&D financed by business, % 1.2.3 Rigidity of employment* .62.0 .84 5.2 Innovation linkages 1.3 Business environment .65.2 103 5.2.1 University/industry collaboration† 1.3.1 Time to start a business, days. .47.1 .115 5.2.2 State of cluster development† 1.3.2 Cost to start a business, % income/cap. .74.6 .96 5.2.3 R&D financed by abroad, % 1.3.3 Total tax rate, % profits. .73.9 .50 5.2.4 JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$ 2.1 Education 48.6 93 5.3.1 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 2.1.2 Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap. .70. .118 5.3.2	42.9 32.3 71.1 25.4	02
1.1.3 Press freedom** 70.9 76 1.2 Regulatory environment 25.2 121 51.2 Firms offering formal training, % firms	32.3 71.1 25.4	56
1.2Regulatory environment25.21215.1.2Firms offering formal training, % firms1.2.1Regulatory quality*6.2.1225.1.3R&D performed by business, %1.2.2Rule of law*.7.5.1205.1.4R&D financed by business, %1.2.3Rigidity of employment*.62.0.841.3Business environment.62.1.841.3.1Time to start a business, days.47.1.115.52.2State of cluster development*1.3.2Cost to start a business, % income/cap.74.6.96.96.96.961.3.3Total tax rate, % profits.73.9.50.52.4JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$2Human capital & research27.0103.52.5PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %2.1Education48.693.53.1Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP2.1.1Education expenditure, % GNI.7.0.118.53.2High-tech imports less re-imports, %2.1.2Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap.n/a.53.3Computer & comm. service imports, %2.1.3School life expectancy, years.55.1.572.1.4PISA scales in reading, maths, & science.n/a.n/a2.1.5Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary.62.8.962.2Tertiary education23.7876.1Knowledge creation	71.1 25.4	
1.2.1 Regulatory quality* 6.2 122 5.1.3 R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.3 Rigidity of employment*		
1.3 Business environment 1.3.1 Time to start a business, days	25.2	55
1.3.1 Time to start a business, days	41.7	34
1.3.2 Cost to start a business, % income/cap		
1.3.3 Total tax rate, % profits		
2Human capital & research27.01032.1Education48.6932.1.1Education expenditure, % GNI		
2Human capital & research27.01032.1Education48.6935.3.1Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP2.1.1Education expenditure, % GNI		
2.1 Education 48.6 93 5.3 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1 Education expenditure, % GNI	24.2	108
2.1.2 Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap		
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years		
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary		
2.2 Tertiary education 23.7 87 6.1 Knowledge creation	40.5	0.4
	18.5	91
	7.0	112
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross		
2.2.2 Graduates in science, %		
2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, %		
2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, %	33.3	57
2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %		
2.3 Research & development (R&D) 8.8 120 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop	5.8	66
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP80 6.3 Knowledge diffusion	20.4	96
2.3.3 Quality research institutions [†]		
3 Infrastructure 26.4 69 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, %	1.0	85
6.3.3 Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1.1 ICT access*33.577	47.3	91
3.1.2 ICT use*	31.4	68
313 Government's Online Service* 318 67		
3.1.4 E-Participation*	44.3	66
3.2 Energy 14.4 94 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bit GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap		
3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita		85
3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq	49.0	63
3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, %	49.0 45.0	
3.3 General infrastructure 42.6 21 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop	49.0 45.0 18.4	n/a
3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*34.577 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop	49.0 45.0 18.4 n/a	
3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP	49.0 45.0 18.4 n/a n/a	n/a
3.3.3 Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap39.4 7.2.5 Creative services exports, %	49.045.018.41/a	n/a n/a 97

Egypt

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	35.0	83
Popi	ulation (millions)		84.5	4.1	Credit	33.0	87
-	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	L	5,672.6	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	30.0	97
		-	,	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*	100.0	1
GDP	(US\$ billions)		188.4	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		C 0 100	D I.	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	1.5	55
Glol	bal Innovation Index	Score 0–100	Rank 87	4.2	Investment	29.7	59
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	53.0	55
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	35.1	88	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.7	76	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	32./	61
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		74	4.3	Trade & competition	42.3	99
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		76	4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
				4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
	1 44 4		70	4.3.3 4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	61.7	70	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	41.0	88				
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	30.7	86
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	Knowledge workers	39.3	64
1.1.3	Press freedom*	54.2	91	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	57.3	39
1.2	Regulatory environment	58.8	67	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*			5.2	Innovation linkages	25.8	90
1.3	Business environment	85.3	37	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2 1.3.3	Cost to start a business, % income/cap Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.5.5	Total tax rate, % profits	00.3	/ 3	5.2.4 5.2.5	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$ PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	26.4	107				
2.1	Education	51.7	84	5.3	Knowledge absorption	26.9	95
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.1 5.3.2	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science						
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	75.9	76	6	Scientific outputs	17.2	100
2.2	Tertiary education	12.6	113	6.1	Knowledge creation	7.1	67
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, % Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	13.5	58
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	23.7	88
				6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3 2.3.1	Research & development (R&D) Researchers headcount/million pop	14.8	99	6.2.2 6.2.3	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrsComputer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.1	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP						
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	20.8	94
				6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	21.7	100	6.3.2 6.3.3	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	25.9	65	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*				,,		
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	29.5	72
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7.1	Creative intangibles	43.9	69
3.1.4	E-Participation*	28.6	41	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	n/a	n/a
3.2	Energy	10.8	106	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	50.7	66
3.2.3 3.2.4	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	15.1	69
				7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	28.3	105	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2 3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.4 7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % Creative services exports, %		
ر.ر.ر	ecological lootpilit & blocapacity, Ha/Cap	,JJ./	/ ∠	1.2.3	CICULIVE 3CI VICE3 EAPOILS, 70		02

El Salvador

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	34.2	86
Popu	ılation (millions)		6.2	4.1	Credit	41.0	58
•	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	f	6,629.3	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	50.0	71
		,	21.1	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
UDP	(US\$ billions)		21.1	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	22.8	24
Glob	oal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	14.7	113
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	•			4.2.2 4.2.3	Market capitalization, % GDP Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.3	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	ation Efficiency Index					46.9	
Globa	Innovation Index 2010		91	4.3 4.3.1	Trade & competition Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		80
Globa	Innovation Index 2009		88	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	63.1	67	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	60.8	56	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	70.8	38
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	24.9	108
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*				•		
1.1.3	Press freedom*	83.3	46	5.1	Knowledge workers	31.1	85
1.2	Regulatory environment	54.0	<i>75</i>	5.1.1 5.1.2	Knowledge-intensive employment, % Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.2	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	76.0	56	5.2	,	20.9	110
1.3	Business environment	74.6	80	5.2.1	Innovation linkages University/industry collaboration†		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.1	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
_				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	26.8	105	5.3	Knowledge absorption	22.8	110
2.1	Education	41.2	108	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	44.6	67
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary				Caiantifa autouta	15.6	107
	· ·			6	Scientific outputs	15.6	107
2.2	Tertiary education	31.3	59	6.1	Knowledge creation	n/a	n/a
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % grossGraduates in science, %			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2 6.1.3	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %						
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	5.5	75	6.2	Knowledge impact	9.2	
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	8.0	121	6.2.1 6.2.2	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP						
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]			6.3 6.3.1	Knowledge diffusion Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	21.9	88
_				6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	23.9	87	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	20.6	84	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*						
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	31.8	67
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7.1	Creative intangibles	52.0	33
3.1.4	E-Participation*		9/	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	n/a	n/a
3.2	Energy	26.7	27	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	50.4	69
3.2.3 3.2.4	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	11.6	81
	-			7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	24.4	121	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2 3.3.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.4 7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % Creative services exports, %		
د.د.د	деогодісьі тоотрітії « ріосарасіту, па/сар			1.2.3	Creative services exports, 70		

Estonia

Population (millions)	Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	53.4	29
COPP per capita, PPP (current international 5)	Popu	ılation (millions)		1.3	4.1	•	59.7	25
Some 10 Some	-		10	151 /				
Simple Same		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	19		4.1.2			
Solution	GDP	(US\$ billions)		19.1	4.1.3			
Institutions					4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Security of compact side index	Clal	aal Innovation Indov			4.2	Investment	30.8	56
Monosten Deput Sub-Index	GIOI	oai innovation index	49.2	23	4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	57.0	44
Age Comment	Innov	ation Output Sub-Index	43.5	20	4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP	5.4	82
Contemporaries Cont	Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	54.9	24				
A	Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.8	31	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	88.4	7
1	Globa	I Innovation Index 2010		29	4.3	Trade & competition	69.9	13
Institutions					4.3.1			
Institutions	diona	i illiovation ilidex 2009		23				
Intensity						. 3		
1.1 Political stability	1	Institutions	80.8	27		. 9		
1.12 Covernment effectiveness*	1.1	Political environment	83.2	19	4.3.3	intensity local competition:	/ 4.0	28
1.12 Government effectiveness* 848 25 5.1 Knowledge workers 66.9 26 26 26 26 27 27 28 26 27 28 26 27 28 26 27 28 26 27 28 28 28 28 28 28 28	1.1.1	Political stability*	67.0	38	5	Business sophistication	51.6	21
1.13 Regulatory environment	1.1.2					-		
1.21 Regulatory environment 75.3 33 51.2 Firms offering formal training, % firms 806 5.1	1.1.3	Press freedom*	97.9	9				
12.1 Regulation quality * 919 17 5.13 R&D performed by business, % 5.09 3.8 R&D performed by business, % 5.09 3.8 R&D performed by business, % 3.95 4.3 R&D financed by abroad, by abroad	1.2	Regulatory environment	75.3	33				
1.33 Rigidity of employment*	1.2.1		91.9	17		3		
1.3 Business environment	1.2.2				5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	39.5	43
3.3 Business environment	1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	49.0	112	5.2	Innovation linkages	41.8	32
1.3.1 Time to start a business, days	1.3	Business environment	84.1	46		3		
1.3.3 Total tax rate, % profits	1.3.1	Time to start a business, days	94.2	21	5.2.2			
Seearch South Seearch South Seearch South Seearch South Seearch South Seearch South Seearch Seearc		·			5.2.3			
Human capital & research 50.5 27 5.3 Knowledge absorption 46.0 24 24.5 39 20 53.1 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 24.5 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 3	1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	59.4	97		3		
2.1 Education 69.8 20 53.3 Robuste (license fees payments, % GDP) 245 39 2.1.1 Education expenditure, % GNI 50.1 48 53.2 High-tech imports less re-imports, % 29.7 35 2.1.2 Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap 34.2 46 53.3 Computer & comm. service imports, % 529.7 35 2.1.3 School life expectancy, years. 69.8 22 53.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP 75.5 12 2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths, & science 74.9 12 75.1 75.5 12 2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 94.7 16 6 Scientific outputs 38.1 25 2.2.1 Tertiary education 39.1 36 6.1 Knowledge creation 33.5 26 2.2.2 Tertiary eurolment, % gross 64.8 24 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 19.4 36 2.2.2 Graduates in solence, % 40.0 30 61.2 PCT resident patent ap/	2	Human capital 0 recearch	E0 E	27	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	34.8	27
Education expenditure, % GNI		•			5.3	Knowledge absorption	46.0	24
2.1.2 Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap 34.2 46 53.3 Computer & comm. service imports, % 54.2 30 32.1 35.5 54.0 186 54.2 35.3 55.0 54.0 36.0 54.2 37.5 12 54.2					5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	24.5	39
2.13 School life expectancy, years 698 22 53.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP 75.5 12						9		
PISA scales in reading, maths, & science								
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 94.7 16 6 Scientific outputs 38.1 25 2.2 Tertiary education 39.1 36 6.1 Knowledge creation 33.5 26 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross .64.8 .24 61.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS .19.4 .36 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % .40.0 .30 61.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS .24.5 .26 2.23 Graduates in engineering, % .31.9 .51 .61.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPPS .45.5 .7 2.24 Tertiary outbound mobility, % .42.2 .58 .61.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPPS .51.4 .14 2.25 Tertiary outbound mobility, % .42.4 .17 .62.1 Growth rate of GDP PPPS/worker, % .18.7 .105 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 42.6 .31 .62.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 1564 yrs. .63.1 .nó 2.3 Researchers headcount/million pop.					5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	/5.5	12
2.2 Tertiary education 39.1 36 6.1 Knowledge creation 33.5 26 26 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross		9.			6	Scientific outnuts	38 1	25
22.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross .64.8 .24 61.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS .19.4 .36 22.2 Graduates in science, % .40.0 .30 61.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS .24.5 .26 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % .31.9 .51 .61.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPPS .51.4 .14 2.2.4 Tertiary jubound mobility, % .42 .58 .61.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPPS .51.4 .14 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % .42.4 .17 .62.1 Growth rate of GDP PPPS/worker, % .18.7 .105 2.3 Research & development (R&D) .42.6 .31 .62.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs .63.1 .6 .62.1 Growth rate of GDP PPPS/worker, % .18.7 .105 2.3.1 Researche & development (R&D) .42.6 .31 .62.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs .63.1 .6 .63.2 Nowledge impact .40.9 .22 .63.1 Rowledge diffusion .39.9 .32 .63.2 .63.2 Nowledge diffusion .93.9 </td <td>2.2</td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td>	2.2	,				•		
22.2 Graduates in science, % 40.0 30 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$.24.5 .26 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % .31.9 .51 6.1.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.43.5 .7 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % .31.7 .46 .42 .41 .42 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>								
22.3 Graduates in engineering, % 31.9 51 6.1.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPPS 43.5 .7 22.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % 42 58 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPPS .51.4 .14 22.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 31.7 .46 6.2 Knowledge impact 40.9 22 2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 42.4 17 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPPS/worker, % 18.7 105 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 42.6 31 62.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs 63.1 .6 63.1 66.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs .63.1 .6 63.1 62.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs .63.1 .6 .3 Computer software spending, % GDP. .70.4 .70.2 .63.3 Computer software spending, % GDP. .70.2 .70.2 .63.3 Mrowledge diffusion 39.9 32 .33.1 .8 .0 .63.2 High-tech exports less receipts, % GDP. .71.2 .24 .								
2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % .42 58 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$ 51.4 .14 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % .31.7 .46 6.2 Knowledge impact 40.9 22 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % .42.4 .17 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, % .18.7 .105 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 42.6 31 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs .63.1 .6 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. .39.2 .15 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP .n/a .n/a 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP .26.2 .27 .27 .6.3 Knowledge diffusion .39.9 .32 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP .26.2 .27 .27 .6.3 Knowledge diffusion .39.9 .32 3.1 Infrastructure 38.0 .26 .25 .25 .63.3 Computer software spending, % GDP .n/a .25.8						the state of the s		
2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	4.2	58	6.1.4			
2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	2.2.5				6.2	Knowledge impact	40.9	22
2.3 Research & development (R&D) 42.6 31 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs 63.1 6 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 39.2 .15 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP n/a n/a 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 26.2 .27 27 6.3.1 Knowledge diffusion 39.9 32 2.3.3 Quality research institutions† 62.5 .25 6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP 17.2 .24 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 58.5 20 6.3.4 High-tech exports less re-exports, % .25.8 .26 3.1.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 58.5 20 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 70.5 .6 3.1.2 ICT use* 40.2 .27 7 Creative outputs 48.9 11 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 50.2 .27 7.1 Creative outputs 48.9 11 3.2 Energy 19.9 65 7.	2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	42.4	17				
2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 39.2 .15 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP n/a n/a 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 26.2 .27 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 39.9 32 3.3 Quality research institutions† 62.5 .25 6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP 17.2 .24 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 58.5 20 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % .25.8 .26 3.1.1 ICT access* .75.9 .15 .75.9 .15 .70.5 .6 3.1.2 ICT use* .40.2 .27 .7 Creative outputs 48.9 11 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* .50.2 .27 .7.1 Creative outputs 48.9 11 3.2 Energy .19.9 .65 .7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$.32.1 .24 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap .41.0 .23 .7.1.3 ICT & business models† .69.4 .16 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capi	2.3	Research & development (R&D)	42.6	31				
2.3.3 Quality research institutions† 6.2.5 25 6.3 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop	39.2	15	6.2.3			
Section Sect	2.3.2				63	Knowledge diffusion	39.9	32
3 Infrastructure 38.0 26 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % 25.8 26 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 58.5 20 6.3.3 Computer & comm service exports, % 46.2 46 3.1.1 ICT access* 75.9 15 75.9 15 3.1.2 ICT use* 40.2 27 7 Creative outputs 48.9 11 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 50.2 27 7.1 Creative outputs 48.9 11 3.1.4 E-Participation* 68.6 10 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 32.1 24 3.2 Energy 19.9 65 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 31.6 19 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 41.0 23 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 76.0 12 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 26.6 28 71.4 ICT & organizational models† 69.4 16 3.2.3 <td>2.3.3</td> <td>Quality research institutions[†]</td> <td>62.5</td> <td>25</td> <td></td> <td>3</td> <td></td> <td></td>	2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	62.5	25		3		
3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 58.5 20 3.1.1 ICT access* 75.9 15 3.1.2 ICT use* 40.2 27 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 50.2 27 3.1.4 E-Participation* 68.6 10 3.2 Energy 19.9 65 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap: 41.0 23 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 26.6 28 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 18.6 82 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 7.3 57 3.3 General infrastructure 35.5 68 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 43.8 49 3.2.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 20.9 82 3.4 Computer & comm service exports, % 46.2 46 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 7.0.5 6 7.1 Creative outputs the fill outflows, % GDP 7.0.5 6 7.1 Creative intangibles 7.1 6 7.1 Crea	2	Infractructura	20 0	26				
3.1.1 ICT access* 75.9 15 3.1.2 ICT use* 40.2 27 7 Creative outputs 48.9 11 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 50.2 27 7.1 Creative intangibles 55.2 25 3.1.4 E-Participation* 68.6 10 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 32.1 24 3.2 Energy 19.9 65 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 31.6 19 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 41.0 23 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 76.0 12 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 26.6 28 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 69.4 16 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 18.6 82 7.2 Creative goods & services 42.6 13 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 7.3 57 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % 73.5 12 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 43.8 49 7.2.3 Daily newsp					6.3.3			
3.1.2 ICT use* 40.2 27 7 Creative outputs 48.9 11 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 50.2 27 3.1.4 E-Participation* 68.6 10 3.2 Energy 19.9 65 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 31.6 19 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 41.0 23 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 76.0 12 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 26.6 28 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 69.4 16 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 18.6 82 7.2 Creative goods & services 42.6 13 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 7.3 57 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % 73.5 12 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 43.8 49 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 34.7 14 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 20.9 82 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 33.6 20 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>6.3.4</td> <td>FDI net outflows, % GDP</td> <td>70.5</td> <td>6</td>					6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	70.5	6
3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 50.2 27 3.1.4 E-Participation* 68.6 10 3.2 Energy 19.9 65 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap. 41.0 23 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 26.6 28 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 18.6 82 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 7.3 57 3.3 General infrastructure 35.5 68 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 43.8 49 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 20.9 82 3.4 Creative intangibles 55.2 25 3.7.1 Creative intangibles 55.2 25 3.1.2 Creative intangibles 55.2 25 3.1.3 Lotte business models 1 10 3.1.1 Lotte business models 1 10 3.1.1 Lotte business models 1 10 3.1.2 Creative goods & services 42.6 13 3.2.3 General infrastructure 35.5 68 3.2.4 National feature films/mn pop. 71.9 6 3.3.5 Gross capital formation, % GDP 20.9 82 3.2.6 Creative goods exports, % 33.6 20					-	Constitution	40.0	44
3.1.4 E-Participation* 68.6 10 7.1 Creative intangibles 55.2 25 3.2 Energy 19.9 65 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 31.6 19 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 41.0 23 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 76.0 12 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 26.6 28 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 69.4 16 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq 18.6 82 7.2 Creative goods & services 42.6 13 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 7.3 57 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % 73.5 12 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 43.8 49 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 34.7 14 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 20.9 82 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 33.6 20						-		Ш
3.2 Energy 19.9 65 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 31.6. 19 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap						3		
3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap						·		
3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 26.6 28 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 69.4 16 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq 18.6 82 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 7.3 57 3.3 General infrastructure 35.5 68 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 71.9 6 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 43.8 49 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 34.7 14 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 20.9 82 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 33.6 20		3,						
3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 18.6 82 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 7.3 57 3.3 General infrastructure 35.5 68 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 71.9 6 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 43.8 49 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 34.7 14 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 20.9 82 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 33.6 20								
3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, %								
3.3 General infrastructure 35.5 68 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % 73.5 12 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 43.8 49 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 34.7 14 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 20.9 82 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 33.6 20								
3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*43.849 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop								
3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP								
					7.2.5			

Ethiopia

Кеу	indicators			4	Market sophistication	26.8	116
Popi	ulation (millions)		85.0	4.1	Credit	20.4	109
	per capita, PPP (current international \$)		934.4	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	40.0	83
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		28.5	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	18./	28
Glol	bal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	28.7	62
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.2 4.2.3	Market capitalization, % GDP Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
	ation Efficiency Index			4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	,			4.3	Trade & competition	31.2	123
	l Innovation Index 2010			4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		120	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	51.8	96	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	31.5	113	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	53.6	103
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	31.1	82
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	Knowledge workers	31.2	84
1.1.3	Press freedom*	47.8	101	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	37.6	110	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*			5.2	Innovation linkages	38.5	37
1.3	Business environment	86.5	29	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1 1.3.2	Time to start a business, days Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.3 5.2.4	R&D financed by abroad, % JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	rotal tax rate, 70 promisimum			5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	16.8	123	5.3	Knowledge absorption	23.7	109
2.1	Education	22.8	124	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %	27.8	86
2.1.3 2.1.4	School life expectancy, yearsPISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	39.1	102
2.1.4	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	19.1	89
2.2	Tertiary education	15.2	107	6.1	Knowledge creation	4.8	81
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$	8.7	29
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	6.6	77
2.2.5 2.2.6	Tertiary outbound mobility, %Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	33.8	53
				6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	12.2	109	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	18.7	106
				6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	20.0	112	6.3.2 6.3.3	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	8.5	119	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*						
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	13.8	121
3.1.3 3.1.4	Government's Online Service* E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	25.2	118
				7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2 3.2.1	Energy Electricity output, kWh/cap	23.4	47	7.1.2 7.1.3	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$. ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kwn/capElectricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.3 7.1.4	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq						
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	2.4	114
3.3	General infrastructure	28.0	109	7.2.1 7.2.2	Recreation & culture consumption, % National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %	2.8	96
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap	36.0	47	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	1.9	77

Finland

Population (millions)	Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	56.1	25
COPP per capita, PPP (current international 5) 34,719.7 31.1 Sterograft on Figure 1 Sterograft on Figure 2 Copt of ore cell information* 33.3	Popu	ulation (millions)		5.3	4.1	•		12
Comparison Com	-		3/1	710 7				
Signature State		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•	4.1.2			
Socre-Info Resk	GDP	(US\$ billions)		238.0	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Investment 33,33 34 34 34 34 35 34 34					4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Strength of Investor protections 57.5 42.2 More teach protection 57.5 48.2 More teach part she helder. 64.7 6 42.3 More teach part she helder. 64.8 42.4 More teach part she helder. 64.8 42.3 More teach part she helder. 64.8 43.4	Clal	aal Innovation Indov			4.2	Investment	38.3	34
Immonition floring Sub-Index	GIOI	oal innovation index	3/.3	3	4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	57.0	44
April	Innov	ation Output Sub-Index	50.3	6	4.2.2			
College Coll	Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	64.7	6				
A	Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.8	35	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	80.8	14
1	Globa	I Innovation Index 2010		6	4.3	Trade & competition	53.2	53
Institutions					4.3.1			
Institutions	diona	i illiovation muex 2009		13				
Intensity								
1.1 Political sability	1	Institutions	89.2	10				
1.12 Covernment effectiveness* 990 3 5.1 Knowledge workers 84.0 5	1.1	Political environment	98.3	1	4.3.3	intensity local competition:	08.3	49
1.12 Covernment effectiveness* 990 3 5.1 Knowledge workers 84.0 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	1.1.1	Political stability*	95.8	2	5	Business sophistication	63.9	6
1.32 Press freedom"	1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	99.0	3		-		_
1.21 Regulatory environment	1.1.3	Press freedom*	100.0	1				_
1.21 Regulatory quality*	1.2	Regulatory environment	85.5	15				
1.23 Rigidity of employment* 590. 95 5.2 Innovation linkages 5.7.7 9	1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	97.6	6		3		
1.3 Business environment	1.2.2				5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	80.4	8
3.3 Business environment	1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	59.0	96	5.2	Innovation linkages	57.7	9
13.1 Time to start a business, days	1.3	Business environment	83.7	48		3	77.4	3
1.3.3 Total tax rate, % profits	1.3.1	Time to start a business, days	87.5	54	5.2.2			
Seearch Seea		·			5.2.3			
Human capital & research 66.5 3 5.3 Knowledge absorption 49.9 14	1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	64.5	79		9		
2.1 Education 76.9 5 5.3.1 knowledge dusorphilm 49.99 74.25.2 2.1.1 b Education expenditure, % GNI 63.8 21 5.3.2 b High-tech imports less re-imports, % GDP 28.7 .38 2.1.2 Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap 44.8 .22 5.3.3 computer & comm. service imports, % .82.4 .4 2.1.3 School life expectancy, years .78.1 .6 5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP .35.8 .117 2.1.4 Public expenditure (pupil, % GDP) .48.8 .3 .8 .117 2.1.2 Public expenditure (pupil, % GDP) .48.1 .25 .3 .4 .5 .4	2	Uuman sanital 0 rosaarsh	66 E	2	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	45.0	21
21.1 Education expenditure, % GNI		•			5.3	Knowledge absorption	49.9	14
Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap. 448. 22 5.33 Computer & comm. service imports, % 82.4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 5				_	5.3.1			
2.13 School life expectancy, years 78.1 6 5.34 FDI net inflows, % GDP 35.8 117								
PISA scales in reading, maths, & science 86.8 3 3 3 3 5 5 3 3 5 5								
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 93.2 22 6 Scientific outputs 58.5 3 2.2 Tertiary education 49.0 13 6.1 Knowledge creation 70.9 5 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross .96.3 2 61.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 60.6 .12 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % .47.5 .18 61.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS .00.0 .2 2.2.3 Graduates in science, % .48.1 .25 6.1.3 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS .00.0 .2 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % .48.1 .25 6.1.3 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS .100.0 .2 2.2.1 Tertiary outbound mobility, % .13.3 .33 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPPS .76.7 .5 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound enrolment, % .25.3 .35 6.2 Knowledge impact .35.6 .40 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % .25.3 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>5.3.4</td> <td>FDI net inflows, % GDP</td> <td>35.8</td> <td>11/</td>					5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	35.8	11/
2.2 Tertiary education 49.0 13 6.1 Knowledge creation 70.9 5 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross					6	Scientific outnuts	58.5	3
22.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross .963 .2 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$.60.6 .12 22.2 Graduates in science, % .475 .18 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$.100.0 .2 22.3 Graduates in engineering, % .48.1 .25 .61.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.76.7 .3 22.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % .18.6 .76 .61.3 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$.76.7 .3 22.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % .18.6 .76 .62.2 Knowledge impact .35.6 .40 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % .25.3 .35 .62.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs. .26.2 .28 2.3.1 Researche's headcount/million pop. .76.7 .2 .62.3 Computer software spending, % GDP. .60.3 .9 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP. .710. .3 .3 .8 .8 .8 .1 .8 .8 .1 .9 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2	2.2	· ·				-		_
22.2 Graduates in science, % 47.5 18 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 100.0 2 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % 48.1 25 6.1.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 21.3 13 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % 118.6 76 5 6.2 Knowledge impact 35.6 40 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound enrolment, % 25.3 35 6.2 Knowledge impact 35.6 40 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 73.5 3 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPPS/worker, % 32.6 92 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 76.7 2 62.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 60.3 9 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 71.0 3 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 69.1 5 3.1 Infrastructure 48.0 7 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % 26.9 24 3.1.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 57.1 23 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 51.8 30 <						•		_
22.3 Graduates in engineering, % 48.1 25 6.1.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 21.3 13 22.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % 13.3 33 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$ 76.7 5 22.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 18.6 76 6.2 Knowledge impact 35.6 40 22.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 25.3 35 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, % 32.6 92 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 73.5 3 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 ppo. 15-64 yrs 26.2 22.2 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 76.7 2 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP. 60.3 99 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP. 71.0 3 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 69.1 5 3.1 Infrastructure 48.0 7 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % 26.9 24 3.1.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 57.1 23 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP. 51.8 30						·		
2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % 13.3 33 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$ 76.7 5 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 18.6 .76 6.2 Knowledge impact 35.6 40 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % .25.3 .35 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, % .32.6 .92 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 73.5 3 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs. .26.2 .28 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. .76.7 .2 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP .60.3 .9 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP .71.0 .3 3 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 69.1 5 3.2.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP .71.0 .3 6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP .97.8 .7 3.1 Infrastructure 48.0 7 6.3.3 Computer & comm service exports, % .26.9 .24 3.1.1 Infraccest*						·		
22.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	2.2.4				6.1.4			
2.3.1 Research & development (R&D) 73.5 3 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs. 26.2 28 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 76.7 2 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP. 60.3 9 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP. 71.0 3 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 69.1 5 2.3.3 Quality research institutions 72.8 13 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 69.1 5 2.3.1 Infrastructure 48.0 7 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % 26.9 24 2.3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 57.1 23 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 51.8 30 2.1.1 ICT access* 74.0 19 2.3.2 ICT use* 52.5 11 7 Creative outputs 42.1 28 2.3.3 Government's Online Service* 47.9 31 7.1 Creative intangibles 50.7 44 2.3.4 E-Participation* 41.4 31 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 31.7 18 2.2 Energy 34.5 8 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 31.7 18 2.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 69.7 10 7.1.3 ICT & business models 7.3.9 17 2.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 63.4 7 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models 7.3.2 8 3.3 General infrastructure 52.3 6 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 49.4 3 3.3 General infrastructure 52.3 6 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 49.4 3 3.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP 18.2 92 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 12.6 63	2.2.5				6.2	Knowledge impact	35.6	40
2.3 Research & development (R&D) 73.5 3 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs 26.2 28 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 76.7 2 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 60.3 9 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 71.0 3 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 60.3 9 2.3.3 Quality research institutions† 72.8 13 6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP 97.8 7 3 Infrastructure 48.0 7 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % 26.9 24 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 57.1 23 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 51.8 30 3.1.1 ICT access* 74.0 19 31 7.1 Creative outputs 42.1 28 3.1.2 ICT use* 52.5 .11 7 Creative outputs 42.1 28 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 47.9 31 7.1	2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	25.3	35				
2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop	2.3	Research & development (R&D)	73.5	3				
2.3.3 Quality research institutions †	2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop	76.7	2	6.2.3			
Solution Computer	2.3.2				63	Knowledge diffusion	69 1	5
3 Infrastructure 48.0 7 6.3.2 (6.3.3) High-tech exports less re-exports, %	2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	72.8	13		3		
3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 57.1 23 3.1.1 ICT access* 74.0 19 3.1.2 ICT use* 52.5 11 3.1.4 E-Participation* 41.4 31 3.2 Energy 34.5 8 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 69.7 10 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 63.4 7 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 22.0 76 3.2 Share of renewables in energy use, % 15.0 40 3.3 General infrastructure 52.3 6 3.3 General infrastructure 52.3 6 3.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP 18.2 92 3.4 Creative goods exports, % 100.0 1 3.6 Computer & comm service exports, % 100.0 1 3.6 Computer & comm service exports, % 100.0 1 3.6 Computer & comm service exports, % 100.0 1 3.0 Computer & comm service exports, % 100.0 1 3.0 Computer & comm service exports, % 100.0 1 3.1 Creative outplows, % GDP 51.8 30 3.1 Creative outputs 42.1 28 3.1 Creative intangibles 50.7 44 3.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 14.6 67 3.1 ICT & business models 1 73.9 17 3.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 63.4 7 3.1 ICT & organizational models 1 73.2 8 3.3 General infrastructure 52.3 6 3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 51.8 30 42.1 Creative intangibles 50.7 44 42.1 28 42.1	2	Infractructura	40 N	7				
3.1.1 ICT access*					6.3.3			
3.1.2 ICT use* 52.5 11 7 Creative outputs 42.1 28 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 47.9 31 7.1 Creative intangibles 50.7 44 3.1.4 E-Participation* 41.4 31 7.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 14.6 67 3.2 Energy 34.5 8 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 31.7 18 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 69.7 10 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 73.9 17 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 63.4 7 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 73.2 .8 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 22.0 76 7.2 Creative goods & services 33.4 26 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 15.0 40 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % 78.5 .8 3.3 General infrastructure 52.3 6 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 49.4 16 3.3.1 Quality of trade & t					6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	51.8	30
3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 47.9					-	Constitution	42.1	20
3.1.4 E-Participation* 41.4 31 7.1 Creative intangibles 50.7 44 3.2 Energy 34.5 8 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 31.7 18 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 69.7 10 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 73.9 17 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 63.4 7 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 73.2 .8 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 22.0 76 7.2 Creative goods & services 33.4 26 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 15.0 40 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % 78.5 .8 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 52.3 6 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 49.4 16 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 18.2 92 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 12.6 63						-		
3.2 Energy 34.5 8 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 14.6 6/ 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 69.7 10 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 73.9 17 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 63.4 7 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 73.2 .8 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 22.0 76 7.2 Creative goods & services 33.4 26 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, "								
3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap								
3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 63.4 7 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models [†] 73.2 8 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 22.0 76 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 15.0 40 3.3 General infrastructure 52.3 6 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 49.4 16 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 77.0 8 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 80.4 .3 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 18.2 92 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 12.6 63		3,						
3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 22.076 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, %								
3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, %								
7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, %								
3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*77.0	3 3							
3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP						· ·		

France

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	53.8	28
Popu	ılation (millions)		62.6	4.1	Credit	68.3	17
-	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	33	,655.5	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	70.0	37
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			4.1.2	Depth of credit information*	66.7	66
GDP	(US\$ billions)	2,	649.4	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
	,	0 100	Dl	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Glal	oal Innovation Index	core 0–100	Rank	4.2	Investment	43.5	27
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	53.0	55
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	55.6	23	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.8	39	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	81.1	13
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		22	4.3	Trade & competition	49.5	69
Gloha	l Innovation Index 2009		19	4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
GIODG	THIO VALOUT HIACK 2007	•	17	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	77.9	34	4.3.4 4.3.5	Exports of goods & services, % GDPIntensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	80.5	26	7.5.5	intensity local competition	/ / .∠	
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	50.3	24
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	Knowledge workers	72.9	18
1.1.3	Press freedom*	85.9	40	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	74.3	35	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %	74.2	19
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	59.4	21
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	48.0	113	5.2	Innovation linkages	38.3	39
1.3	Business environment	<i>78.8</i>	66	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]	50.6	41
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]	54.7	26
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	43.0	117	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
2	Human capital & research	53.0	21	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	25./	3/
	-			5.3	Knowledge absorption	39.8	40
2.1 2.1.1	Education Education expenditure, % GNI	69.3	25	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.3 5.3.4	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.5.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	43.3	01
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	41.1	22
2.2	Tertiary education	41.5	26	6.1	Knowledge creation	36.8	21
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %	49.9	21	6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$	0.0	56
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	42.6	23
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	32.1	63
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	14.0	53	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	48.2	22	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs	23.9	30
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	40.7	20
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	54.5	16
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	69./	18	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	47.5	17
3	Infrastructure	43.1	22	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %	55.0	10
		61.9	17	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1 3.1.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT) ICT access*			6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	63.0	11
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	44.7	17
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			-	Creative outputs		17
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	58.0	17
3.2	Energy	25.7	32	7.1.1 7.1.2	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/capita			7.1.3	ICT & business models		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq				y .		
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	31.4	34
3.3	General infrastructure	41.6	28	7.2.1 7.2.2	Recreation & culture consumption, % National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*.			7.2.2	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.5	Creative services exports, %		
					· ·		

Georgia

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	41.1	58
Pop	ulation (millions)		4.2	4.1	Credit	48.7	39
	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	4	1,774.1	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		
			•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*	100.0	1
GDP	(US\$ billions)		10.7	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		C 0 100	Devil	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	48.7	11
Gla	bal Innovation Index	Score 0–100	Rank 73	4.2	Investment	19.9	99
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	67.0	19
Innov	ration Output Sub-Index	25.2	75	4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
Innov	ration Input Sub-Index	38.5	76	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ration Efficiency Index	0.7	81	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	0.0	69
Globa	Il Innovation Index 2010		84	4.3	Trade & competition	54.8	44
Globa	al Innovation Index 2009		QQ	4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
diobo	in intovation mack 2007			4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	72.4	45	4.3.4 4.3.5	Exports of goods & services, % GDPIntensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	50.1	<i>75</i>	4.3.3	intensity local competition:	49.1	113
1.1.1	Political stability*	17.0	103	5	Business sophistication	26.4	103
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	61.9	55	5.1	Knowledge workers	26.6	96
1.1.3	Press freedom*	71.4	75	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	71.0	43	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	70.0	45	5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	93.0	10	5.2	Innovation linkages	23.7	99
1.3	Business environment	96.1	2	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days	98.1	3	5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	94.2	7	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$	0.0	73
2	Human souital O vasaavah	22.6	02	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	25.0	38
2	Human capital & research		83	5.3	Knowledge absorption	28.9	82
2.1	Education	58.8	<i>57</i>	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	9.3	73
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, yearsPISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	62.3	22
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Cciontific outputs	30.6	37
	•			-	Scientific outputs		
2.2 2.2.1	Tertiary education Tertiary enrolment, % gross	24.0	84	6.1	Knowledge creation	32.9	29
2.2.1	Graduates in science, %			6.1.1 6.1.2	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %						
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	28.1	31	6.2 6.2.1	Knowledge impact Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %	36.5	36
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	15.0	98	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP						
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	28.2	111	6.3 6.3.1	Knowledge diffusion Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	22.3	84
,	16.	20.2	444	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3	Infrastructure	20.2	111	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	19.5	87	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	47.2	109
3.1.1	ICT access*						
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	19.8	114
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7.1	Creative intangibles	34.0	106
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	18.9	71	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	43.0	99
3.2.3 3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	5.7	99
	- /			7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	22.3	123	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap.	35.3	5/	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	/./	51

Germany

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	59.3	14
Popu	ulation (millions)		82.1	4.1	Credit	85.0	5
	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	36	,267.4	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	70.0	37
				4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)	٥,	330.0	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Glol	oal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	34.5	44
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	·			4.2.2 4.2.3	Market capitalization, % GDP Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.3	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	ation Efficiency Index						
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		16	4.3 4.3.1	Trade & competition Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %	58.5	30 12
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		2	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	83.5	21	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	88.1	16	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	85.0	1
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Pusinoss conhistication	51.6	20
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*				Business sophistication		
1.1.3	Press freedom*	95.5	16	5.1	Knowledge workers	66.9	25
1.2	Regulatory environment	81.1	23	5.1.1 5.1.2	Knowledge-intensive employment, % Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.2	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*			5.2	Innovation linkages	43.3	30
1.3	Business environment	81.2	59	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	60.9	91	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
_				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	57.5	11	5.3	Knowledge absorption	44.5	25
2.1	Education	72.5	12	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	40.8	94
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Crientific outnuts	49.8	14
					Scientific outputs		
2.2	Tertiary education	42.4	24	6.1	Knowledge creation	69.2	7
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % grossGraduates in science, %			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.2 6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %					26.6	
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	21.3	43	6.2 6.2.1	Knowledge impact Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	57.8	14	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP						
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]			6.3 6.3.1	Knowledge diffusion Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	53.6	18
		42.2	24	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3	Infrastructure	43.2	21	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	63.7	15	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*						
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	51.7	6
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7.1	Creative intangibles	56.1	23
3.1.4	E-Participation*		13	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	18.8	54
3.2	Energy	25.2	40	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models†		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	69.4	15
3.2.3 3.2.4	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	47.2	8
				7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	40.9	33	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2 3.3.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
د.د.د	Ecological lootpillit & blocapacity, Ild/Cdp	0	70	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	08.1	/

Ghana

Population (millions)	Кеу	indicators			4	Market sophistication	31.8	99
Supple Capits Free Continue Capits Capit	Popi	ulation (millions)		24.3	4.1	Credit	29.3	96
Comparison Com			1	.552.4	4.1.1	5 5 5		
Some 0-100 Rank Some				•				
Comment of the firm of the comment	GDP	(n22 pilliouz)		20.2				
Investment 26,7 69 69 69 61 61 62 62 63 64 64 64 64 64 64 64			C 0 100	Dawle	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	6.5	38
Strength of invostor protection*	Glol	hal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	26.7	69
Innovation Input Sub-Index					4.2.1			
Comparison Com		•						
Commontonination index 2000	Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	39.8	65				
A	Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.6	86	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	53.8	41
1	Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		105		•		
Institutions	Globa	I Innovation Index 2009		n/a				
Institutions								
Intensity								
1.1 Political sability	1	Institutions	69.8	53				
1.13 Press freedom"	1.1	Political environment	66.1	49	7.5.5	interisity local competition		00
1.1.2 Government effectiveness* 567	1.1.1	,			5	Business sophistication	35.7	63
1.1.2 Regulatory environment 59.9 64 51.1 Knowledge-intensive employment (% n./a n./					5.1	•	35.3	77
1.21 Regulatory quality*	1.1.3	Press freedom*	91.5	25			n/a	n/a
1.22 Rule of law*	1.2	Regulatory environment	59.9	64	5.1.2	. ,		
1.3 Business environment 83.4 49 5.2 University/inclustry collaboration 55.8 .89	1.2.1				5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.3 Business environment					5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
13.1 Time to start a business, days	1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	73.0	64	5.2	Innovation linkages	22.3	104
Cost to start a business, % income/cap. 84.2 .89 .89 .80	1.3				5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]	35.8	89
13.3 Total tax rate, % profits	1.3.1				5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]	33.4	91
Seearch Seea		·				*		
Human capital & research 39.0 60 5.3 Knowledge absorption 49.7 15	1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	76.6	41		9		
	2	Human canital & recearch	30 U	60	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	0.0	/3
Education expenditure, % GNIL 51.8 43 53.2 High-tech imports less re-imports, % n/a n/a 1.7		-			5.3	,		
Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap 38.3 34 5.3.3 Computer & comm. service imports, % 35.5 5.7 5.7 5.3 5.0 Ilfe expectancy, years 37.6 101 5.34 FDI net inflows, % GDP 63.6 20 20.1 PISA scales in reading, maths, & science 7.4 7.4 7.4 7.2 7.2 8.3 6 Scientific outputs 24.6 61 7.2 7.								
School life expectancy, years		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
PISA scales in reading, maths, & science								
Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 72.9					5.3.4	FDI NET INTIOWS, % GDP	63.6	20
2.2.2 Tertiary education 19.2 97 6.1 Knowledge creation 4.9 80 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross. .83. .100 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS .00. .86 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % .39.3 .31 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS .00. .86 2.2.3 Graduates in science, % .18.9 .74 6.1.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPPS .00. .86 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % .32.4 .43 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPPS .98. .63 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound enrolment, % .35.5 .64 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPPS .98. .63 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % .35.5 .85 6.2 Knowledge impact 29.6 68 2.3.1 Research & development (R&D) 46.1 .24 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs. .5667 2.3.1 Researcher's head-count/million pop. .n/a <td></td> <td>9.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>6</td> <td>Scientific outputs</td> <td>24.6</td> <td>61</td>		9.			6	Scientific outputs	24.6	61
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross. .8.3	2.2	Tertiary education	10 2	97	-	-		
2.2.2 Graduates in science, %						_		
2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % 18.9 .74 6.1.3 Domestic resutility model ap/bn GDP PPPS .n/a .n/a 2.2.4 Tertirary inbound mobility, % .35 .64 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPPS .9.8 .63 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound enrolment, % .35. .85 6.2 Knowledge impact 29.6 .68 2.3 Research & development (R&D) .46.1 .24 .62.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs .56. .67 2.3.1 Researches headcount/million pop. .n/a ./a .62.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs .56. .67 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. .n/a ./a .62.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs .56. .67 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. .n/a ./a .62.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs .56. .60 2.3.1 Infrastructure 2.9 93 .63.2 High-tech exports less receipts, % GDP ./a .n/a 3.1 Infra						·		
22.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, %	2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %	18.9	74	6.1.3			
2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 3.5 85 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, % 53.7 23.2 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 46.1 24 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs. 5.6 67 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. n/a n/a 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP n/a n/a 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP n/a n/a 2.3.3 Quality research institutions 46.1 60 63.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP n/a n/a 6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP n/a n/a 6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP n/a n/a 6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP n/a n/a 6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP n/a n/a 6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP n/a n/a 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % n/a n/a 6.3.3 Computer & comm service exports, % 31.2 69 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 47.3 84 6.3.5 Creative outputs 7 Creative outputs 7.1 Creative intangibles 7.1 Creative goods & services 7.1 Creative goods & services 9.0 89 7.2 Creative goods & services 9.0 89 7.2 Creative goods exports, % n/a 7.2 Recreation & culture consumption, % 25.8 53 7.2 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop n/a 7.2 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop n/a 7.2 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop n/a 7.2 Creative goods exports, % 110 7.2 Creative goods exports, %	2.2.4	*			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	9.8	63
2.3. Research & development (R&D)					6.2	Knowledge impact	29.6	68
2.3 Research & development (R&D) 46.1 24 62.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs	2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	3.5	85				
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	2.3	Research & development (R&D)	46.1	24	6.2.2			
2.3.3 Quality research institutions [†]	2.3.1				6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Solution Secretarial Stitutions Solution Soluti					6.3	Knowledge diffusion	39.3	34
3 Infrastructure 22.9 93 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, %	2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	46.1	60				
3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 11.5 107 3.1.1 ICT access* 20.6 101 3.1.2 ICT use* 2.1 106 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 14.9 109 3.1.4 E-Participation* 8.6 92 3.1. Electricity output, kWh/cap. 1.8 102 3.1. Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 1.0 103 3.2. Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 1.0 103 3.2. GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 30.8 50 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 44.4 10 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure 31.6 88 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 21.4 80 3.3.3 Government's Computer & comm service exports, % 31.2 69 47.3 84 51.1 Donestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	2	Infractructuro	22.0	03	6.3.2			
3.1.1 ICT access*					6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %	31.2	69
3.1.2 ICT use* 2.1 106 7 Creative outputs 25.6 91 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 14.9 109 7.1 Creative intangibles 42.2 75 3.1.4 E-Participation* 86 92 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a n/a 3.2 Energy 25.5 37 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 0.0 54 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap. 1.8 102 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 59.0 61 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 1.0 103 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 46.6 78 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 30.8 50 7.2 Creative goods & services 9.0 89 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 44.4 10 7.2 Recreation & culture consumption, % 25.8 53 3.3 General infrastructure 31.6 88 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. n/a n/a 3.3.1 Quality of trade &					6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	47.3	84
3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 14.9 109 3.1.4 E-Participation* 8.6 92 3.2 Energy 25.5 37 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap. 1.8 102 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 1.0 103 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 30.8 50 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 44.4 10 3.3.3 General infrastructure 31.6 88 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 38.0 68 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 21.4 80 3.4 Creative intangibles 42.2 75 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 0.0. 54 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 0.0. 54 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 59.0 61 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 46.6 78 7.2 Creative goods & services 9.0 89 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % 25.8 53 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. n/a n/a 7.2 Creative goods exports, % 0.7 110					7	Cuarting autouts	25.6	01
3.14 E-Participation* 8.6 92 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a n/a n/a n/a						•		
3.2 Energy 25.5 37 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 0.0. 54 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap. 1.8 102 7.1.3 ICT & business models 59.0 61 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 1.0 103 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models 46.6 78 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 30.8 50 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, 44.4 10 7.2 Creative goods & services 9.0 89 3.3 General infrastructure 31.6 88 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. n/a n/a 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 38.0 68 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. n/a n/a 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 21.4 80 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 0.7 110								
3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap								
3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 1.0 103 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models [†] 46.6 78 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 30.8 50 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, W. 44.4 10 3.3 General infrastructure 31.6 88 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. n/a n/a 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 38.0 68 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. n/a n/a 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 21.4 80 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 0.7 110								
3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq								
3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, %						3		
3.3 General infrastructure 31.6 88 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 25.8 53 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 38.0 68 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. n/a n/a 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 21.4 80 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 0.7 110						_		
3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*38.068 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate popn/a 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP21.480 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, %								
3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP21.480 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, %0.7110								
	3.3.3				7.2.5			

Greece

Population (millions) 11.2		indicators			4	Market sophistication	32.3	96
Depth of credit information	Popu	ulation (millions)		11.2				69
Some on the control of the control	GDP	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	29	9,663.4				
Sine O-100 Resk 34.2 63 4.2 Investment 13.8 Invest	GDP	(US\$ hillions)		329 9				
Some Horizontation Index 13.8 13.2 6.63 13.8	GD1	(057 billions)		327.7		1 ,		
Section Comparison Compar			Score 0–100	Rank				
Strength of investor protections	Glob							117
Innovation Input Sub-Index								
New Notice and Part Section Se		'						
Trade & competition 45.4 4.3		•						
Section Sect	Innova	ation Efficiency Index	0.6	95				
1	Global	l Innovation Index 2010		46		•		91
1.1 Institutions	Global	l Innovation Index 2009		54				
Institutions								
Institution	4	In attack on a	67.0	F 0				
	ı	Institutions	67.8	59				
1.13 Press freedom* 799 56 5.1 Knowledge workers 39.0								
1.13 Press freedom* 7.99 5.6 5.1 Knowledge-workers 39.0 1.2 Regulatory environment 63.8 57 51.2 Firms offering formal training, % firms 1.90 1.2 Regulatory quality* 7.48 39 51.3 8RD performed by business, % 31.7 1.2 Regulatory quality* 7.48 39 51.3 8RD performed by business, % 31.7 1.2 Regulatory quality* 50.0 110 5.2 Innovation linkages 30.4 1.3 Business environment 76.1 76 52.1 University/industry collaboration* 33.8 1.3 Time to start a business, days 8.27 70 52.2 State of cluster development* 34.5 1.3 Cost to start a business, fincome/cap 83.9 90 52.3 8RD financed by abroad, % 66.8 1.3 Total tax rate, % profits 61.9 88 52.4 J//strategic alliance deals/fr GDP PPPS 5.9 2 Human capital & research 47.4 33 53.3 Knowledge absorption 27.7 2 Education 25.4 104 53.2 High-tech imports less re-imports, % 20.6 2 Education expenditure, % GML 25.4 104 53.2 High-tech imports less re-imports, % 20.6 2 Education 25.4 104 53.3 Computer & comm. service imports, % 20.6 2 Education 25.4 104 53.3 Computer & comm. service imports, % 20.6 2 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 98.5 5 6 Scientific outputs 20.6 2 Tertiary education 51.7 7 61.1 Knowledge creation 17.6 2 Tertiary endment, % gross 92.6 3 61.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 3.6 2 Cardioutes in science, % 43.7 21 61.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 3.6 2 Cardioutes in science, % 43.7 21 61.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 3.6 2 Cardioutes in science, % 43.7 21 61.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 3.6 2 Cardioutes in science, % 43.1 61.3					5	Business sophistication	32.3	76
1.1.1					5.1	Knowledge workers	39.0	66
Regulatory quality*	1.1.3	Press treedom^	/9.9	56	5.1.1		64.0	32
Rule of law*	1.2				5.1.2			
1.23 Rigidity of employment* 50.0 110 5.2 Innovation linkages 30.4								
1.3 Business environment 76.1 76 5.2 Innovation linkages 33.4 13.1 Time to start a business, days 82.7 70 5.2 5.21 State of cluster development 34.5 13.2 Cost to start a business, discome/cap 83.9 90 5.23 R8D financed by abroad \(\) 66.8 13.3 Total tax rate, \(\) profits 61.9 88 5.24 N/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$ 5.9 2 Human capital & research 47.4 33 5.3 Knowledge absorption 27.7 2.1 Education 66.3 35 5.3 Knowledge absorption 27.7 2.1 Education expenditure, \(\) GNI. 25.4 104 5.32 High-tech imports less re-imports, \(\) 20.6 2.1.2 Public expenditure/pupil, \(\) GDP/cap 34.0 48 5.33 Computer & comm. service imports, \(\) 30.5 2.1.3 School life expectancy, years 74.5 11 5.34 FDI net inflows, \(\) GDP 38.9 2.1.4 PiSA scales in reading, maths, & science 58.8 36 2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 98.5 5 6 2.2 Tertiary education 51.7 7 6.1 Knowledge creation 17.6 2.2.1 Tertiary enolment, \(\) \(\) gross 92.6 3 6.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 12.9 2.2.2 Graduates in science, \(\) 44.2 31 6.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 3.6 2.2.2 Graduates in engineering, \(\) 44.2 31 6.1 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$ 45.2 2.2.3 Researche & development (R&D) 24.1 62 62.2 Knowledge impact 24.5 2.2.4 Tertiary outbound mobility, \(\) 32.6 42 62.2 New businesse? (1.00) pp. 15-64 yrs 92.2 2.3 Researche & development (R&D) 24.1 62 62.2 New businesse? (1.00) pp. 15-64 yrs 92.2 2.3 Researche headcount/million pop. 22.8 28 62.3 Computer software spending, \(\) GDP 19.7 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 44.1 36 63.4 FDI net outflows, \(\) GDP 19.7 3.2 Electricity consumption, Whyt/capita 25.3 43 71.1 Nomestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 13.6 71.1 Nomesti					5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	36.4	44
1.3.1 Time to start a business, days	1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	50.0	110	5.2	Innovation linkages	30.4	72
Cost to start a business, % income/cap	1.3	Business environment	76.1	76	5.2.1			
Note Computer & Co	1.3.1				5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]	34.5	88
Seesarch 47.4 33 5.2 PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, % 16.9								
2 Human capital & research 47.4 33 5.3 Knowledge absorption 27.7 2.1 Education 66.3 35 5.3.1 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 20.6 2.1.1 Education expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap 34.0 48 5.3.2 High-tech imports less re-imports, % 30.5 2.1.2 Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap 34.0 48 5.3.3 Computer Ser-imports, % 30.5 2.1.3 School life expectancy, years 74.5 11 5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP 30.5 2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths, & science 58.8 36 5 6 Scientific outputs 20.6 2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 98.5 5 6 Scientific outputs 20.6 2.2.1 Tertiary education 51.7 7 6.1 Knowledge creation 17.6 2.2.1 Tertiary encolment, % gross 92.6 3 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 12.9 2.2.1 Graduates in science, %	1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	61.9	88				
Education G6.3 35 5.3.1 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 20.6	2	Human canital 0 vocasych	47.4	22	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	16.9	46
Education expenditure, % GNI	_	-			5.3	Knowledge absorption	27.7	89
Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap. 34.0 48 5.3.3 Computer & comm. service imports, % 30.5 30.5 School life expectancy, years 74.5 11 5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP 38.9.					5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	20.6	47
2.13 School life expectancy, years 74.5 11 53.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP 38.9 2.14 PISA scales in reading, maths, & science 58.8 36 2.15 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 98.5 5 6 Scientific outputs 20.6 2.2 Tertiary education 51.7 7 6.1 Knowledge creation 17.6 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross 92.6 3 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 12.9 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % 43.7 21 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 3.6 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % 44.2 31 6.1.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPPS 0.1 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % 12.7 35 6.1.4 Scientific stechnical articles/bn GDP PPPS 0.1 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 32.6 42 6.2 Knowledge impact 24.5 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 592 9 6.2 Growth rate of GDP PPPS/worker, % 42.3 2.3.1 Research & development (R&D) 24.1 62 6.2 Computer software spending, % GDP 19.7 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 11.3 50 2.3.3 Quality research institutions 38.3 81 6.3 Rowledge diffusion 19.6 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 44.1 36 6.3 Rowledge diffusion 19.6 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 44.1 36 6.3 FDI net outflows, % GDP 49.1 3.1.1 ICT access 37.2 29 7 Creative outputs 31.2 3.1.2 ICT use 37.2 29 7 Creative internal public reservance exports, % 12.0 3.1.3 Government's Online Service 35.6 56 7.1 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPPS 13.6 3.2.2 Electricity output, kWh/cap 25.3 43 7.1.3 ICT & organizational models 38.0 3.2.3 GPUnult of energy use, PPPS/kg oil eq 49.6 16 7.2 National feature films/mn pop 26.5 39.5 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 3.7 76 7.2 National feature films/mn pop 26.5 30.5 31.9 32.5 32.9 32.5 32.9 32.5 33.9 32.5 33.0 33.0 33.0 33.0 33.0 33						-		
PISA scales in reading, maths, & science 58.8 36								
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 98.5 .5 6 Scientific outputs 20.6 2.2 Tertiary education 51.7 7 6.1 Knowledge creation 17.6 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross. 92.6 3 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 12.9 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % 43.7 21 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 3.6 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % 44.2 31 6.1.3 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$.0.1 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % 12.7 35 6.1.4 Scientific outputs 24.5 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 32.6 42 42 6.2 Knowledge impact 24.5 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound enrolment, % 59.2 9 62.1 Growth rate of GDP PPPS/worker, % 42.3 2.3.1 Research & development (R&D) 24.1 62 6.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs 9.2 2.3.1 Research & development					5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	38.9	105
2.2 Tertiary education 51.7 7 6.1 Knowledge creation 17.6 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross 92.6 3 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 12.9 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % 43.7 21 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 3.6 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % 44.2 31 6.1.3 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 0.1 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % 32.6 42 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$ 45.2 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 32.6 42 6.2 Knowledge impact 24.5 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 59.2 9 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, % 42.3 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 24.1 62 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs 9.2 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 22.8 28 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 19.7 2.3.2		9.			6	Scientific outputs	20.6	83
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross .92.6 .3 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$.12.9 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % .43.7 .21 61.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$.3.6 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % .44.2 .31 6.1.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.0.1 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % .32.6 .42 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound enrolment, % .59.2 .9 6.2 Knowledge impact .24.5 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % .59.2 .9 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, % .42.3 2.3 Research & development (R&D) .24.1 .62 62.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs. .92.2 2.3.1 Researches headcount/million pop. .22.8 .28 62.3 Computer software spending, % GDP. .19.7 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP. .11.3 .50 2.3.3 Quality research institutions† .38.3 .81 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 19.6 3.1 Infrastructure 32.6					_	•		
2.2.2 Graduates in science, %								46
2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, %						·		
2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % 12.7 .35 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$.45.2 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 32.6 .42 6.2 Knowledge impact 24.5 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 59.2 .9 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, % .42.3 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 24.1 62 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs .9.2 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 22.8 .28 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP. .19.7 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP. .11.3 .50 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 19.6 2.3.3 Quality research institutions† .38.3 .81 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 19.6 3.1 Infrastructure 32.6 42 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % .15.4 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 44.1 36 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % .12.0 3.1.1 ICT use* .37.2 .29 7 Creative outputs, % G						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 32.6 .42 42 6.2 Knowledge impact 24.5 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 59.2 9 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, % .42.3 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 24.1 62 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs .9.2 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 22.8 .28 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP .19.7 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP .11.3 .50 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 19.6 2.3.3 Quality research institutions† .38.3 .81 6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP 1.9 3.1 Infrastructure .32.6 42 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % .15.4 3.1.1 ICT access* .64.5 .34 3.1.1 ICT access* .64.5 .34 3.1.2 ICT use* .37.2 .29 .7 Creative outputs 31.2 3.1.4								
2.3 Research & development (R&D) 2.4.1 62 2.3.1 Research & development (R&D) 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 2.3.3 Quality research institutions† 3.3.3 Infrastructure 3.4.1 62 3.6.3 Knowledge diffusion 3.7 Creative outputs 3.8 Government's Online Service* 3.8 Government's Online Service* 3.8 Government's Online Service* 3.9 Creative outputs 3.0 Electricity output, kWh/cap 3.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 3.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 3.2 Government's Online Genergy use, PPPS/kg oil eq. 3.2 Government's Online Genergy use, PPPS/kg oil eq. 3.3 General infrastructure 3.4 Government's Online feature films/mn pop. 3.6 Creative films/mn pop. 3.7 Creative goods & services 3.8 General infrastructure 3.9 General infrastructure 3.0 Government's Online outputs 3.1 Creative goods & services 3.1 Creative goods & services 3.1 Creative goods & services 3.1 Creative films/mn pop. 3.2 Creative films/mn pop. 3.3 General infrastructure 3.4 Creative films/mn pop. 3.5 Creative films/mn pop. 3.6 Creative films/mn pop. 3.7 Creative goods & services 3.8 Government's Online output, services 3.9 Creative goods & services 3.1 Creative goods & services 3.1 Creative films/mn pop. 3.2 Creative films/mn pop. 3.3 General infrastructure 3.4 Creative films/mn pop. 3.5 Creative films/mn pop. 3.6 Creative films/mn pop. 3.7 Creative films/mn pop. 3.8 Government's Online films/mn pop. 3.9 Creative films/mn pop. 3.0 Computer software spending, % GDP 4.2 Creative goods & services 3.1 Greative goods & services 3.2 Creative goods & services 3.2 Creative goods & services 3.2 Creative goods & services 3.3 Greative goods & services 3.1 Greative goods & services 3.2 Creative goods & services 3.3 Greative goods & services 3.1 Greative goods & services 3.2 Creative goods & services 3.3 Greative goods & servi								
2.3 Research & development (R&D) 24.1 62 62.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs 9.2 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 22.8 28 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 19.7 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 11.3 50 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 19.6 2.3.3 Quality research institutions† 38.3 81 6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP 1.9 3 Infrastructure 32.6 42 63.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % 15.4 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 44.1 36 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 49.1 3.1.1 ICT access* 64.5 34 51.2 Greative outplows, % GDP 49.1 3.1.2 ICT use* 37.2 29 7 Creative outputs 31.2 3.1.4 E-Participation* 25.7 47 7.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 3.6 3.2.2 Energy 25.4 38 7.1.2 </td <td>2.2.6</td> <td>Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %</td> <td>59.2</td> <td>9</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>83 EA</td>	2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	59.2	9				83 EA
2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 22.8 28 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 19.7 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 11.3 50 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 19.6 2.3.3 Quality research institutions† 38.3 81 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 19.6 3.1 Infrastructure 32.6 42 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % 15.4 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 44.1 36 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 49.1 3.1.1 ICT access* 64.5 .34 FDI net outflows, % GDP 49.1 3.1.2 ICT use* 37.2 .29 7 Creative outputs 31.2 3.1.4 E-Participation* .25.7 .47 .7.1 Creative intangibles 30.5 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap .25.3 .43 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$.68 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/capita .20.5 .39 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† .38.0 3.2.4 Share of renewables i	23	Research & development (R&D)	24.1	62				
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP								
2.3.3 Quality research institutions [†]								
3 Infrastructure 32.6 42 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, %	2.3.3					3		100
3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 44.1 36 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 12.0 3.1.1 ICT access* 64.5 .34 3.1.2 ICT use* .37.2 .29 .7 Creative outputs .31.2 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* .35.6 .56 .56 .7.1 Creative intangibles .30.5 3.1.4 E-Participation* .25.7 .47 .7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$.13.6 3.2 Energy .25.4 .38 .7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$.6.8 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap .25.3 .43 .7.1.3 ICT & business models† .51.7 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita .20.5 .39 .7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† .38.0 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. .49.6 .16 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % .3.7 .76 .72 Creative goods & services .31.9 3.3 General infrastructure .28.1 .107 .72.2 National feature	_							
3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 44.1 36 3.1.1 ICT access* 64.5 34 3.1.2 ICT use* 37.2 29 7 Creative outputs 31.2 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 35.6 56 7.1 Creative intangibles 30.5 3.1.4 E-Participation* 25.7 47 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 13.6 3.2 Energy 25.4 38 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 6.8 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 25.3 43 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 51.7 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 20.5 39 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 38.0 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 49.6 16 7.2 Creative goods & services 31.9 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 3.7 76 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % 71.0 3.3 General infrastructure	3	Infrastructure	32.6	42		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
3.1.1 ICT access* 64.5 .34 3.1.2 ICT use* .37.2 .29 7 Creative outputs 31.2 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* .35.6 .56 .7.1 Creative intangibles .30.5 3.1.4 E-Participation* .25.7 .47 .47 .7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$.13.6 3.2 Energy .25.4 .38 .7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$.68 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap .25.3 .43 .7.1.3 ICT & business models† .51.7 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita .20.5 .39 .7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† .38.0 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. .49.6 .16 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % .3.7 .76 3.3 General infrastructure .28.1 .107 .7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. .26.5	3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	44.1	36				
3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 35.6. 56 3.1.4 E-Participation*. 25.7. 47 3.2 Energy 25.4 38 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 25.3. 43 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita. 20.5. 39 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 49.6. 16 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 3.7 3.7 General infrastructure 28.1 107 3.8 General infrastructure 28.1 107 3.9 Creative intangibles 3.0.5 7.1 Creative intangibles 7.2 Creative intangibles 7.3 Creative intangibles 7.4 Creative intangibles 7.5 Creative intangibles 7.7 Creative intangibl	3.1.1	ICT access*	64.5	34		,		
3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 35.6 56 3.1.4 E-Participation* 25.7 47 3.2 Energy 25.4 38 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 68. 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap. 25.3 43 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 51.7. 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 20.5 39 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 38.0. 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 49.6. 16 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 3.7 76 3.2.4 Recreation & culture consumption, % 71.0. 3.3 General infrastructure 28.1 107 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 26.5.					7	Creative outputs	31.2	69
3.1.4 E-Participation* 25.7 47 3.2 Energy 25.4 38 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 68 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 25.3 43 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 51.7 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 20.5 39 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 38.0 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 49.6 16 7.2 Creative goods & services 31.9 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 3.7 76 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % 71.0 3.3 General infrastructure 28.1 107 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 26.5					7.1	-	30.5	111
3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap. 25.3 43 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 51.7 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 20.5 39 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 38.0 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 49.6 16 7.2 Creative goods & services 31.9 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 3.7 76 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % 71.0 3.3 General infrastructure 28.1 107 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 26.5	3.1.4	E-Participation*	25./	4/	7.1.1	3	13.6	72
3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 20.5 39 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models [†] 38.0 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 49.6 16 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 3.7 76 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % 71.0 7.2.1 National feature films/mn pop. 26.5	3.2	Energy	25.4	38	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	6.8	40
3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 49.6 16 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 3.7 76 7.2 Creative goods & services 31.9 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % 71.0 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 26.5					7.1.3			
3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, %					7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	38.0	111
3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, %					7.2	Creative goods & services	31.9	32
3.3 General infrastructure 28.1 107 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop26.5	3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	3./	/6				
3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 48.5 44 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate non n/a	3.3							
	3.3.1				7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP								
3.3.3 Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap23.2104 7.2.5 Creative services exports, %6.7	3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap	23.2	104	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	6.7	53

Guatemala

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	38.4	71
Pop	ulation (millions)		14.4	4.1	Credit	40.6	60
	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	4	4,719.5	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		37.3	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP		
Glo	bal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	26.7	70
Innov	ration Output Sub-Index	25.5	73	4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	ration Input Sub-Index			4.2.2 4.2.3	Market capitalization, % GDP Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
	•			4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	ation Efficiency Index			4.3	Trade & competition	48.0	76
	Il Innovation Index 2010			4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
Globa	ll Innovation Index 2009		81	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP	17.4	77
1	Institutions	51.2	100	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	43.0	85	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	69.3	45
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	26.6	100
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	Knowledge workers	19.9	113
1.1.3	Press freedom*	78.6	62	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	45.5	96	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	51.0	75	5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	72.0	67	5.2	Innovation linkages	35.6	47
1.3	Business environment	65.1	104	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	08.3	6/	5.2.4 5.2.5	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$ PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	25.2	109				
- 2.1	Education	44.6	102	5.3	Knowledge absorption	24.5	105
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.1 5.3.2	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.2	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science						
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	77.1	74	6	Scientific outputs	14.2	112
2.2	Tertiary education	18.8	98	6.1	Knowledge creation	0.7	123
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, % Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.3 6.1.4	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %						
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %			6.2	Knowledge impact Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %	19.9	101
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	12.1	110	6.2.1 6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.7	92	6.3	Knowledge diffusion	22.1	85
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	35.5	87	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
2	Infractructura	24 5	02	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3	Infrastructure	24.5	82	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %	31.4	68
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	23.0	<i>75</i>	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	47.5	81
3.1.1 3.1.2	ICT access			7	Cuartina autouta	26.7	F 2
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7	Creative outputs	36.7	52
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	63.3	10
3.2	Energy	25.6	35	7.1.1 7.1.2	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.2	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq	39.1	30	7.2	Creative goods & services	10.2	87
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	35.1	17	7 .2 7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	24.7	120	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap.	35.2	58	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	1.1	84

Guyana

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	33.5	91
Popu	ılation (millions)		0.8	4.1	Credit	21.8	106
-	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	3	,088.2	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	40.0	83
		5,		4.1.2	Depth of credit information*	0.0	111
GDP	(US\$ billions)		1.2	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		0.400		4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Glal	oal Innovation Index	core 0–100	Rank 61	4.2	Investment	18.0	104
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	53.0	55
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	38.7	75	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.8	27	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	0.0	69
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		113	4.3	Trade & competition	60.7	26
Gloha	l Innovation Index 2009		103	4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
Globa	THIOTAGON HACK 2007		103	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	58.5	78	4.3.4 4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	52.1	69	7.5.5	intensity local competition	37.7	
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	35.3	67
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	Knowledge workers	23.5	106
1.1.3	Press freedom*	82.4	51	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	47.4	94	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	81.0	43	5.2	Innovation linkages	25.7	91
1.3	Business environment	75.9	77	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]	30.7	110
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]	33.6	90
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	70.3	61	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
2	Human capital & research	35.1	69	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	n/a	n/a
	-			5.3	Knowledge absorption	56.7	9
2.1	Education Education expenditure, % GNI	53.5	77	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	0.00	18
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	34.3	31
2.2	Tertiary education	24.9	81	6.1	Knowledge creation		65
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$	7.2	
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %	22.8	63	6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	7.9	68
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	n/a	n/a
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	29.1	26	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	27.1	53	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs	n/a	n/a
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	60.7	11
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	27.1	112	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	100.0	1
3	Infrastructure	31.1	49	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %	0.0	107
				6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1 3.1.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT) ICT access*	13.3	104	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	n/a	n/a
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	27.6	70
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			=	Creative outputs		79
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	45.5	60
3.2	Energy	n/a	n/a	7.1.1 7.1.2	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.2	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.1	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.3	ICT & business models		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq				y .		
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	9.6	88 n/a
3.3	General infrastructure	48.9	9	7.2.1 7.2.2	Recreation & culture consumption, % National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*		-	7.2.2	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.5	Creative services exports, %		
					· ·		

Honduras

1	indicators		7.6	4	Market sophistication	38.9	68
-	ulation (millions)		7.6	4.1 4.1.1	Credit Strength of legal rights for credit*	44.4	<i>50</i>
GDP	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	3	3,841.6	4.1.1	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		14.3	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
				4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.2	Investment	20.0	97
Glob	oal Innovation Index	27.8	98	4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
Innov	ation Output Sub-Index	22.5	96	4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	33.1	98	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.7	70	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	0.0	69
	I Innovation Index 2010			4.3	Trade & competition	52.4	58
				4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %	84.2	53
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		83	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	47.7	106	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	36.0	104	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	58.1	91
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	26.5	102
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*				•		
1.1.3	Press freedom*	45.9	105	5.1 5.1.1	Knowledge workers Knowledge-intensive employment, %	28.6	90
1.2	Regulatory environment	36.5	112	5.1.1	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	43.0	119	5.2	Innovation linkages	21.7	
1.3	Business environment	70.5	91	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	60.8	92	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
_				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	0.0	73
2	Human capital & research	28.4	101	5.3	Knowledge absorption	29.3	80
2.1	Education	60.5	50	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	13.9	57
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	50.9	42
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	11.2	121
					Scientific outputs		
2.2	Tertiary education Tertiary enrolment, % gross	14.2	110	6.1	Knowledge creation	0.8	122
2.2.1	Graduates in science, %			6.1.1 6.1.2	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	11.5	111
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	3.5	86	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	10.3	117	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop	0.5	88	6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.3	97	6.3	Knowledge diffusion	21.4	91
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	30.2	107	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
2	In functions	22.0	06	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3	Infrastructure	23.9	86	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	18.7	88	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*			_			
3.1.2 3.1.3	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	33.8	61
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	57.1	20
				7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	22.6	53	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/capElectricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	10.5	84
				7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3 3.3.1	General infrastructure Quality of trade & transport infrastructure	30.6 * 32.8	96	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
2.2.1	Quanty of trade & transport infrastructure			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP	216	79	7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %	/1 /	

Hong Kong (SAR), China

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	87.0	1
Popu	llation (millions)		7.1	4.1	Credit	78.0	11
		4.4		4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		
	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	44	1,303.8	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		215.4	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
				4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Clak	al lunavation Indov	Score 0–100	Rank	4.2	Investment	91.4	1
	al Innovation Index			4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	90.0	3
Innova	tion Output Sub-Index	47.8	12	4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP	100.0	1
Innova	ition Input Sub-Index	69.8	2	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innova	ition Efficiency Index	0.7	66	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	59.7	33
Global	Innovation Index 2010		3	4.3	Trade & competition	91.7	2
	Innovation Index 2009			4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
Global	IIIIIOVation index 2007		12	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	92.8	4	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	88.6	15	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	/ 3.0	29
1.1.1	Political stability*	81.6	17	5	Business sophistication	66.9	5
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5 .1	Knowledge workers	65.6	29
1.1.3	Press freedom*	88.6	32	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	96.7	5	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	99.5	2	5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	100.0	1	5.2	Innovation linkages	59.2	8
1.3	Business environment	93.0	7	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		_
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days	95.2	13	5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	85.3	17	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$	95.8	4
2		40.4	20	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	n/a	n/a
2	Human capital & research		30	5.3	Knowledge absorption	<i>75.8</i>	3
2.1	Education	59.4	55	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	72.8	9
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %	100.0	1
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, yearsPISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	100.0	2
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	38.1	24
		53.6	5		•	5.1	77
2.2 2.2.1	Tertiary education Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1 6.1.1	Knowledge creation Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.1	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	57.5	4
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	n/a	n/a	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	32.1	42	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop	22.6	29	6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	51.7	20
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	57.7	33	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
2	In fine at muse turns	F2 0	2	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3	Infrastructure	53.9	2	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	70.2	4	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	100.0	2
3.1.1	ICT access*			_			
3.1.2 3.1.3	ICT use*Government's Online Service*			7	Creative outputs	57.6	1
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	54.8	27
				7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	42.2	4	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & presentational models [†]		
3.2.2 3.2.3	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]		14
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	60.4	1
				7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	49.2	12	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1 3.3.2	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.4 7.2.5	Creative goods exports, %Creative services exports, %		
ر.ر.ر	zeological lootpillit a biocapacity, lia/cap	, I/ U	ı/ CI	1.2.3	CICULIVE SCIVICES EXPORTS, /U	1.**	

Key indicators **Market sophistication** 4 47.4 36 Population (millions) 10.0 42.5 4.1 Credit 411 GDP per capita, PPP (current international \$) 19,764.3 4.1.2 Depth of credit information*.....83.3.....25 GDP (US\$ billions) 129.0 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP......29.5.....34 413 4.1.4 Score 0_100 Rank 4.2 24 5 Global Innovation Index 48.1 25 Strength of investor protection*......43.0......93 4.2.1 4.2.2 Market capitalization, % GDP......8.7.....8.7 Total value of stocks traded, % GDP......11.2......39 4.2.3 Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$.....46.0.....46 4.2.4 75.2 Trade & competition 4.3 Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %......94.3......12 4.3.1 4.3.2 Market access trade restrictiveness*, %......n/a....n/a 433 Exports of goods & services, % GDP......80.1.....9 434 1 Institutions 79.3 31 Intensity local competition[†]......71.3........36 4.3.5 1.1 Political environment 77.9 31 Political stability*......67.9......36 48.9 1.1.1 5 Business sophistication 30 Government effectiveness*......73.8...........36 1.1.2 5.1 49 1.1.3 Press freedom*......92.1......22 5.1.1 Regulatory environment 1.2 77.8 28 5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, % firms......12.6......86 Regulatory quality*......81.9......27 121 5.1.3 R&D performed by business, %......59.3.....59.3 1.2.2 5.1.4 1.2.3 Rigidity of employment*.....54 5.2 Innovation linkages 31.8 Business environment 82.1 University/industry collaboration[†].......55.8...........30 1.3 5.2.1 State of cluster development[†]......36.2.....80 1.3.1 5.2.2 Cost to start a business, % income/cap.....93.6.....93.6.................959 1.3.2 5.2.3 R&D financed by abroad, %......39.0.....22 1.3.3 Total tax rate, % profits.......55.7........... 5.2.4 5.2.5 2 Human capital & research 45.7 36 68.7 5.3 Knowledge absorption 2.1 Education 70.0 18 5.3.1 2.1.1 532 2.1.2 Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap.....43.0.....25 5.3.3 Computer & comm. service imports, %......73.6.....7 School life expectancy, years......67.1.....30 213 FDI net inflows, % GDP......45.1.....45.1 5.3.4 PISA scales in reading, maths, & science......67.8.....24 214 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary......92.7.....92.7 2.1.5 45.5 6 Scientific outputs 18 28.9 2.2 Tertiary education 6.1 Knowledge creation 22.4 37 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$......24.7......32 221 611 222 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$......12.0......30 612 223 613 Tertiary inbound mobility, %......13.6.......32 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$......37.0....31 2.2.4 6.1.4 Tertiary outbound mobility, %.......15.9......84 2.2.5 Knowledge impact 50.3 13 6.2 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %......13.8......54 Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %......42.1...........57 621 Research & development (R&D) 38.2 2.3 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs.....48.7....14 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop.24.9.....26 Computer software spending, % GDP......69.9.....5 6.2.3 2.3.2 63 Knowledge diffusion 63.9 7 2.3.3 Quality research institutions[†]......70.3.....70.3 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP......82.9............10 6.3.1 High-tech exports less re-exports, %.......57.1.....9 6.3.2 3 33.9 39 Infrastructure 6.3.3 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 45.8 31 3.1 FDI net outflows, % GDP53.3.......22 6.3.4 ICT access*......62.1..... 3.1.1 ICT use*.....34.4.....31 312 7 44.9 Creative outputs 16 313 7.1 Creative intanaibles 43.2 3.1.4 E-Participation*......31.4.....36 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$.....12.7.....12.7 711 Energy 17.7 74 Electricity output, kWh/cap.......52 52 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 76.4.....8 3.2 712 3.2.1 713 ICT & business models[†].......55.5...........71 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita15.7......47 3.2.2 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models[†]......44.9......86 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq......31.4.....48 Creative goods & services 46.5 9 7.2 3.2.4 Recreation & culture consumption, %......52.5......34 7.2.1 General infrastructure 38.1 48 3.3 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop......62.9.....10 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*......52.0......37 331 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop......39.6.....12 332 7.2.4

7.2.5

Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap......34.8......62

Hungary

Iceland

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	57.9	20
Popu	ılation (millions)		0.3	4.1	Credit	88.3	3
	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	37	,595.1	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	70.0	37
	(US\$ billions)	37		4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
יועט	(מוטוווען לכט)		12.1	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
	,	core 0-100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP		n/a
Glob	oal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	26.8	68
Innov	ation Output Sub-Index	47.7	13	4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.2 4.2.3	Market capitalization, % GDP Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
	•			4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	ation Efficiency Index			4.3	Trade & competition	58.4	32
	l Innovation Index 2010			4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		20	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	90.6	8	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	95.1	6	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	65.5	63
1.1.1	Political stability*		5	5	Business sophistication	52.8	19
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	93.3	14	5.1	Knowledge workers	75.8	16
1.1.3	Press freedom*	100.0	1	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	84.3	19	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %	64.3	28
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	59.3	22
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	79.0	47	5.2	Innovation linkages	55.6	10
1.3	Business environment	92.3	10	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration†		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	82.0	23	5.2.4 5.2.5	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$ PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	65.7	4				
2.1	Education	78.8	4	5.3	Knowledge absorption	27.0	94
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI		4	5.3.1 5.3.2	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap	44.4	23	5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			_			
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	89.9	39	6	Scientific outputs	53.2	8
2.2	Tertiary education	44.9	18	6.1	Knowledge creation	49.8	13
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % grossGraduates in science, %			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2 6.1.3	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %						
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %			6.2 6.2.1	Knowledge impact Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %	72.5	2
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	73.5	2	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop		_	6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	37.2	38
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	65.9	23	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
2	Infractructura	45.4	12	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3	Infrastructure		12	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1 3.1.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT) ICT access*	<i>51.8</i>	26	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	100.0	4
3.1.1	ICT access			7	Croative outputs	42.2	25
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7	Creative outputs	42.3	25
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	56.9	21
3.2	Energy	52.1	3	7.1.1 7.1.2	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.2 7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.3	ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq				y .		
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	51.1	6	7.2 7.2.1	Creative goods & services Recreation & culture consumption, %	27.7 58.5	48
3.3	General infrastructure	32.4	84	7.2.1	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*	58.3	30	7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP	6.6	116	7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap	n/a	n/a	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	2.7	68

India

Population (millions)		indicators			4	Market sophistication	44.6	45
Special Composition 1,310.2 41.1 Departs of credit information* 66.7 66.7 66.8	Pop	ulation (millions)	•	1,214.5	4.1			
Comparison 1,310.2 41.2 Depth of credit information 6.65 6.6	GDP	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	3	3,270.1				
Simple S	GDP	(IISS hillions)		1 310 2				
Simple	dDI	(נוטוווטן לכט)		1,510.2				
Applied sale links								
Immoration topus shi-bidar	Glo	bal Innovation Index	34.5 .	62				
Interesting Inference 1,000	Innov	ation Output Sub-Index	32.6	44				
A	Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	36.5	87				
Cobal Innovation Index 2010		•			4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	63.0	32
A		·			4.3	Trade & competition	46.1	88
1							69.7	86
Institutions	Globa	I Innovation Index 2009		41	4.3.2			
Intentity 1					4.3.3	. 3		
Political environment	1	Institutions	52.3	94		. 9		
Political stability	1 1			86	4.3.5	Intensity local competition ^T	74.1	27
1.12 Government effectiveness* 5.43 67					5	Rusiness conhistication	30.8	24
1.13	1.1.2					-		
1.22 Regulatory environment 56.6 71 51.2 Firms offering formal training, % firms 14.0 8.5 12.1 Regulatory quality* 4.43 3.6 51.3 R8D performed by business, % 34.9 50 51.1 R8D financed by business, % 34.7 4.	1.1.3	Press freedom*	59.0	87				
Regulatory quality"	1.2	Regulatory environment	56.6	71				
1.22 Rule of law*			44.3	86		5		
1.3 Business environment 58.2 111 5.2 University/industry collaboration* 456.	1.2.2					The state of the s		
1.31 Time to start a business, days	1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	70.0	72	5.2	Innovation linkages	34.8	52
1.31 Time to start a business, days	1.3	Business environment	58.2	111		3		
2								
1.33 Total tax rate, % profits.	1.3.2				5.2.3			
Human capital & research 26.9 104 5.3 Knowledge absorption 33.2 65 Education 32.3 115 5.3.1 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 15.2 5.6 5.2 5.3 1.5 5.3 1.5 5.3 1.5 5.3 1.5 5.3 1.5 5.3 1.5 5.3 1.5 5.3 1.5 5.3 1.5 5.3 1.5 5.3 1.5 5.3 1.5 5.3 1.5 5.3 1.5 5.3 1.5 5.3 1.5 5.3	1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	45.5	114	5.2.4			
2.1 Education 32.3 115 5.3.5 Noverwise dusary lens from the special contents of the properties of the	2	11	26.0	104	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	13.3	56
2.1.1 Education expenditure, % GNI 31.0 .91 53.2 High-tech imports less re-imports, % 25.9 .43 2.1.2 Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap .14.3 .89 53.3 Computer & comm. service imports, % .25.9 .43 2.1.3 School life expectatory, years. .36.8 .103 .53.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP .46.6 .55 2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths, & science. .n/a .n/a .74 .71 .72		•			5.3	Knowledge absorption	33.2	65
2.1.2 Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap 14.3 8.9 5.3.3 Computer & Comm. service imports, %					5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	15.2	56
2.13 School life expectancy, years 36.8 103 5.34 FDI net inflows, % GDP		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			5.3.2	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
PISA scales in reading, maths, & science								
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary .37.5 .115 6 Scientific outputs 24.8 60 2.2 Tertiary education 9.5 119 6.1 Knowledge creation 10.4 62 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross 13.3 .88 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 10.7 .54 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % .n/a .n/a 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS .44 .44 2.2.3 Graduates in science, % .n/a .n/a 6.1.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPPS .10 .44 .44 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % .n/a .n/a 6.1.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPPS .10 .10 .61 .52 .10 .10 .61 .20 .61 .61 .20 .61 .61 .62 .62 .62 .62 .62 .62 .62 .62 .62 .62 .62 .62 .62 .62 .62 .62 .62 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>5.3.4</td> <td>FDI net inflows, % GDP</td> <td>46.6</td> <td>55</td>					5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	46.6	55
2.2 Tertiary education 9.5 119 6.1 Knowledge creation 10.4 62 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross 13.3 88 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 10.7 54 2.2.2 Graduates in science, %					6	Scientific outnuts	24 R	60
22.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross 13.3 88 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$	2.2	,				-		
2.2.2 Graduates in science, % n/a n/a 61.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 44 44 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % n/a								
22.3 Graduates in engineering, %								
2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 10.1 106 6.2 Knowledge impact 24.2 85 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 1.3 99 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, % 544 21 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 38.8 35 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs 0.9 87 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. n/a 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 10.6 50 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 16.0 38 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 39.9 33 2.3.3 Quality research institutions† 61.6 29 6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP 2.0 62 3 Infrastructure 27.7 63 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % 16.9 32 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 16.3 94 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 50.3 38 3.1.1 Info & comm. technologies 1.7 109 7 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>The state of the s</td> <td></td> <td></td>						The state of the s		
2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 1.3 99 6.2 Knowledge impact 24.2 85 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 38.8 35 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs 0.9 87 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. n/a n/a 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 10.6 50 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 16.0 38 2.3.3 Quality research institutions 61.6 29 61.3 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP 2.0 62 3.1 Infrastructure 27.7 63 63.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % 90.3 4 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 16.3 94 6.3 FDI net outflows, % GDP 50.3 38 3.1.1 ICT access* 18.8 108 3.1.2 ICT use* 17.7 109 7 Creative outputs 40.3 38 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 36.8 52 3.1.4 E-Participation* 20.0 56 7.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 2.9 44 3.2 Energy 18.5 72 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a n/a 1.2 3.1.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 3.7 92 7.1.3 ICT & business models 68.0 35 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 2.2 97 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models 62.1 33 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPPS/kg oil eq. 35.3 39 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 17.2 36 3.3 General infrastructure 48.3 11 7.2.2 National feature films/mp opp. 12.7 39 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 47.8 46 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 26.7 22 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 61.1 9 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 53.0 9	2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	16.1	52
2.3.1 Research & development (R&D) 38.8 35 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs	2.2.5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			6.2	Knowledge impact	24 2	85
2.3 Research & development (R&D) 38.8 35 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs 0.9 87 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. n/a n/a 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 10.6 50 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 16.0 38 61.6 29 61.6	2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	1.3	99		· .		
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 16.0 38 2.3.3 Quality research institutions† .61.6 .29 3 Infrastructure 27.7 63 6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP .20 .62 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 16.3 94 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % .90.3 .4 3.1.1 ICT access* 18.8 .108 .108 .17. .109 7 Creative outputs 40.3 38 3.1.2 ICT use* 1.7 .109 7 Creative outputs 40.3 38 3.1.4 E-Participation* 20.0 .56 7.1 Creative intangibles 51.0 38 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 3.7 .92 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$.22.9 .44 3.2.1 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 2.2 .97 .71.4 ICT & business models† 68.0 .35 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 2.2 .97 .71.4 ICT & organizational models† .62.1 .33	2.3	Research & development (R&D)	38.8	35				
2.3.3 Quality research institutions† 61.6. 29 6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP. 2.0. 62 3 Infrastructure 27.7 63 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % 16.9 32 6.3.3 Computer & comm service exports, % 90.3 4 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP. 50.3 38 6.3.5 FDI net outflows, % GDP. 50.3 38 6.3.6 FDI net outflows, % GDP. 50.3 38 6.3.6 FDI net outflows, % GDP. 50.3 38 6.3.7 Creative outputs 6.3 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP. 2.0. 62 6.3 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP. 2.0. 62 6.3 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP. 2.0. 62 6.3 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP. 2.0. 62 6.3 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP. 2.0. 62 6.3 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP. 2.0. 62 6.3 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP. 2.0. 62 6.3 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP. 2.0. 62 6.3 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP. 2.0. 62 6.3 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP. 2.0. 62 6.3 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP. 2.0. 62 6.3 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP. 2.0. 62 6.3 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP. 3.2 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % 90.3 4 6.3.3 Computer & comm service exports, % 90.3 4 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 9P0. 3.3 8 6.3 FDI net outflows, % GDP 9P0. 3.3 8 7.1 Creative outputs 7.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 22.9 44 8 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 22.9 44 8 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n./a. n./a 8 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 68.0 35 8 7.2 Creative goods & services 8 7.2 Recreation & culture consumption, % 9.7 60 8 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % 9.7 60 8 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 12.7 39 8 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 26.7 22 8 8 8.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 26.7 22 8 8 8.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 26.7 22 8 8 8.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 26.7 22 8 8 8 8 Dail newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 26.7 22 8 8 8 Dail newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 26.7 22 8 8 8 Dail newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 26.7 22 8 8 Dail newspapers/1,000	2.3.1				6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	10.6	50
Sample					6.3	Knowledge diffusion	39.9	33
3 Infrastructure 27.7 63 6.3.2 (6.3.3) High-tech exports less re-exports, % (9.3.3) 16.9 (9.3.3) 3.2 (2.3.3) High-tech exports less re-exports, % (9.3.3) 3.2 (2.3.3) A (3.3.3) Computer & comm service exports, % (9.3.3) 4 (3.3.3) 4 (3.4.4) FDI net outflows, % GDP (9.3.3) 3.8 (3.3.3) 3.8 (3.3.3) FDI net outflows, % GDP (9.3.3) 3.8 (3.3.3) 3.8 (3.3.3) FDI net outflows, % GDP (9.3.3) 3.8 (3.3.3) 4 (3.4.4) FDI net outflows, % GDP (9.3.3) 3.8 (3.3.3) 4 (3.4.4) FDI net outflows, % GDP (9.3.3) 3.8 (3.3.3) 4 (3.4.4) FDI net outflows, % GDP (9.3.3) 3.8 (3.3.3) 4 (3.4.4) FDI net outflows, % GDP (9.3.3) 3.8 (3.3.4) 4 (3.4.4) FDI net outflows, % GDP (9.3.3) 3.8 (3.4.4) FDI net outflows, % GDP (9.3.3) 40.3 (3.4.4) 3.8 (3.4.4) FDI net outflows, % GDP (9.3.3) 40.3 (3.4.4) 40.3 (3.4.4) 40.3 (3.4.4) 40.3 (3.4.4) 40.3 (3.4.4) 40.3 (3.4.4) 40.3 (3.4.4) 40.3 (3.4.4) 40.3 (3.4.4)	2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	61.6	29		3		
3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 16.3 94 3.1.1 ICT access* 18.8 108 3.1.2 ICT use* 1.7 109 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 200 56 3.1.4 E-Participation* 200 56 3.1.2 Electricity output, kWh/cap 3.1.3 ICT & business models† 3.1.1 ICT & organizational models† 3.1.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 2.2 97 3.2.1 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 2.2 97 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 3.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 3.5 Share of renewables in energy use, Which is energy use, Which i	2	Infractructure	27.7	63				
3.1.1 ICT access* 18.8 108 3.1.2 ICT use* 1.7 109 7 Creative outputs 40.3 38 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 36.8 52 7.1 Creative intangibles 51.0 38 3.1.4 E-Participation* 20.0 56 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 22.9 44 3.2 Energy 18.5 72 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a n/a 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 3.7 92 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 68.0 35 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 2.2 97 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 62.1 33 3.2.1 Share of renewables in energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 35.3 39 7.2 Creative goods & services 29.6 39 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, Mark of renewables					6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %	90.3	4
3.1.2 ICT use* 1.7 109 7 Creative outputs 40.3 38 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 36.8 52 7.1 Creative intangibles 51.0 38 3.1.4 E-Participation* 20.0 56 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 22.9 44 3.2 Energy 18.5 72 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a n/a 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 3.7 92 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 68.0 35 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 2.2 97 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 62.1 33 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 35.3 39 7.2 Creative goods & services 29.6 39 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, w. 17.2 36 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, w. 97 60 3.3 General infrastructure 48.3 11 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 12.7 39 3.3.1 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>6.3.4</td> <td>FDI net outflows, % GDP</td> <td>50.3</td> <td>38</td>					6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	50.3	38
3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 36.8 52 7.1 Creative intangibles 51.0 38 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 22.9 44 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 22.9 44 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$n/an/a 1.1.2 State of the consumption, kWh/capita 2.2 97 7.1.4 ICT & business models 68.0 35 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models 62.1 33 7.1.2 Creative goods & services 1.1.2 Share of renewables in energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 35.3 39 7.2 Creative goods & services 1.1.2 8.1 Recreation & culture consumption, which is not performed as 1.1 7.2 National feature films/mn pop. 12.7 39 7.2 Recreation & culture consumption, which is not performed as 1.1 7.2 National feature films/mn pop. 12.7 39 7.2 Gross capital formation, which is not performed as 1.1 7.2 Shational feature films/mn pop. 12.7 39 7.2 Recreation & culture consumption, which is not performed as 1.1 7.2 National feature films/mn pop. 12.7 39 7.2 7.2 Recreation & Creative goods exports, which is not performed as 1.1 7.2 8.1 8.1 7.2 8.1 8.1 7.2 8.1 8.1 7.2 8.1 8.1 7.2 8.1 8.1 7.2 8.1 8.1 7.2 8.1 8.1 7.2 8.1 8.1 7.2 8.1 8.1 8.1 7.2 8.1 8.1 8.1 8.1 8.1 8.1 8.1 8.1 8.1 8.1					7	Creative outputs	40.2	20
3.1.4 E-Participation* 20.0 56 7.1 Creative intangibles 51.0 38 3.2 Energy 18.5 72 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 22.9 44 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap. 3.7 92 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a n/a 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 2.2 n/a 1.1.2 ICT & business models† 68.0 35 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita						•		
3.2 Energy 18.5 72 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a n/a 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap								
3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap								
3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita								
3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 35.3 39 39 7.2 Creative goods & services 29.6 39 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 17.2 36 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % 9.7 60 60 3.3 General infrastructure 48.3 11 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 12.7 39 39 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 47.8 46 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 26.7 22 22 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 61.1 9 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 53.0 9								
3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 17.2 36 7.2 Creative goods & services 29.6 39 3.3 General infrastructure 48.3 11 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % 9.7 60 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 47.8 46 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 26.7 22 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 61.1 9 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 53.0 9						9		
3.3 General infrastructure 48.3 11 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 12.7 39 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 47.8 46 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 26.7 22 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 61.1 9 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 53.0 9	3.2.4							
3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*46 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop267	3.3	General infrastructure	48.3	11				
3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP								
	3.3.3				7.2.5			

Indonesia

Population (millions) 232.5 4.1 Credit 23.0 105	Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	32.2	97
1 Comment effectivement 4.5 8.5 1.1 Political environment	Popu	ılation (millions)		232.5	4.1	•	23.0	105
Sept	GDP	ner capita PPP (current international \$)	4	198.8	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	30.0	97
Simple Some 100 Rank			·					
Same-1-10 Rank 1.27 1.07 1.	UDP	(נוטוווום לכט)		340.3		,		
Investment Digitary Sub-lefex 220			Score 0_100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	0.2	66
Strength of investor protection COU	Glob	oal Innovation Index						67
Immunition Proper Sub- Index.								
1		·						
A		•						
A		•						
Market access roade restrictiveness*, %, 700, 51 Institutions 53,4 90 4.34 In Political environment 44,3 80 4.35 Political tenvironment 45,8 95 5.11 Regulatory environment 45,8 95 5.11 Regulatory environment 45,8 95 5.12 Regulatory quality* 42,9 89 5.13 Regulatory quality* 42,9 89 5.13 Regulatory quality* 42,9 89 5.14 Regulatory quality* 40,9 89 5.14 Regula	Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		72		•		
Institutions	Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		49		- · ·		
Intensity Color Competition								
Political sability*	1	Institutions	53.4	90	4.3.4			
Political stability"	-				4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	67.8	51
1.12 Government effectiveness* 4.67 80 5.1 Knowledge workers 7.0 124					_	Pusiness conhistisation	20.2	04
Press freedom*		*				-		
1.21 Regulatory quality" 4.29 89 5.12 Firms offering formal training, % firms 0.0 0.0 0.0						_		
1.21 Regulatory quality*	1 2	Regulatory environment	15 Q	05				
1.23 Rigidity of employment* 60.00 93 5.1 RaD financed by business, % 17.0 62								
1.23 Rigidity of employment* 600. 93 5.2 Innovation linkages 40.8 36 36 36 36 31.3 Business environment 70.1 92 5.2 University/industry collaboration* 5.27 3.6 3.1 3.1 Time to start a business, days. 5.58 111 5.22 State of cluster development* 5.27 3.6 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.4 3.6 91 5.2 3.4 3.6 3.1 3.3 3.								
3.3 Business environment 70.1 92 5.21 University/Industry collaboration 5.27 3.6 3.3 3.0 3.3 3.0 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.2 5.25 5.24 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.3 3								
1.3.1 Time to start a business, days	1 3	Rusiness environment	70 1	92				
1.3.2 Cost to start a business, % income/cap 82.6. 91 5.2.3 R&D financed by abroad, % n/a								
1.3.3 Total tax rate, % profits								
Human capital & research 29.6 96 2.1 Education 24.6 3.9 5.3 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 28.6 28.1 Education expenditure, % GNI 3.9 120 5.3.2 High-tech imports less re-imports, % n/a n/a n/a 12.1 23.2 92 5.3.3 Computer & comm. service imports, % 41.8 61 61 61 62 62 62 62 62	1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	71.9	55	5.2.4			
2.1 Education 46.1 99 5.3.1 Nowledge disosiprition 30.7 30.7 30.7 30.7 30.7 30.7 30.7 30.7	_				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	25.0	38
2.1 Education 46.1 99 53.1 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 28.6 33 2.1.1 Education expenditure, % GNI 3.9 120 53.2 High-tech imports less re-imports, % n/a	2	Human capital & research	29.6	96	5.3	Knowledge absorption	36.7	51
Education expenditure, % GNI 3.9 120 5.3.2 High-tech imports less re-imports, % n/a						,		33
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years					5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %	n/a	n/a
2.15 PLSA scales in reading, maths, & science 23.9 56								
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 86.9 48 6 Scientific outputs 18.3 94 2.2 Tertiary education 24.6 83 6.1 Knowledge creation 1.0 116 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross 23.6 .79 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 2.1 .77 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % .99.4 .73 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS .0.2 .83 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % .51.8 .19 6.1.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPPS .0.2 .39 2.2.4 Tertiary outbound mobility, % .6.1 .113 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPPS .0.4 .122 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound enrolment, % .1.2 .101 6.2 Knowledge impact 23.2 92 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. .1.2 .79 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP .13.5 .44 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP .0.5<					5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	39.6	101
2.2 Tertiary education 24.6 83 6.1 Knowledge creation 1.0 116 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross					6	Cciontific outputs	10 2	04
22.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross					-			
2.2.2 Graduates in science, % 19.4 73 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 0.2 83 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % 51.8 19 6.1.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPPS 2.0 39 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % 6.1 113 6.1.4 Scientific ketchnical articles/bn GDP PPPS 0.4 122 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 6.1 113 6.2 Knowledge impact 23.2 92 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 1.2 101 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPPS/worker, % 50.0 31 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 1.2 79 6.2.3 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs. 1.4 85 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 1.2 79 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 13.5 44 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 0.5 .94 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 30.5 51 3.1 Infrastructure 24.5 81 6.3.2 High-tech exports less receipts, % GDP 0.9						_		
2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, %						·		
2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, %						· · ·		
22.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 1.2 101 6.2 Knowledge impact 23.2 92 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 18.1 86 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs. 1.4. 85 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 1.2 79 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 1.3.5 44 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 0.5 94 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 30.5 51 2.3.3 Quality research institutions 52.6 41 6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP 0.9 69 3 Infrastructure 24.5 81 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % 1.4. 49 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 16.2 95 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 48.8 52 3.1.1 ICT access 3.9 94 7 Creative outputs 25.7 89 3.1.2 ICT use* 3.9 94 7 Creative outputs 25.7 89 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 24.4 93 7.1 Creative intangibles 48.6 50 3.1.4 E-Participation* 12.9 76 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 1.7.2 National feeting outputs 48.6 50 3.1.2 Electricity output, kWh/cap 3.3 95 7.1.3 ICT & business models 5.9.1 6.0 3.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 2.3 95 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models 5.5.8 48 3.2 GDP/unit of energy use, PPPS/kg oil eq 20.7 79 3.2 Greative goods & services 2.8 111 3.3 General infrastructure 42.4 22 7.2.1 National feature films/mn pop. 3.7 67 3.3 Quality research institutions 1.2 101 3.3 General infrastructure 42.4 22 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 1.3 5. 44 3.3 Creative goods exports, % 1.4 n. n/a 3.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP 5.0.6 15 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 1.4 n. n/a 3.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP 5.0.6 15 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 1.4 n. n/a 3.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP 5.0.6 15	2.2.4					The state of the s		
2.3.1 Research & development (R&D) 18.1 86 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs. 1.4	2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %	6.1	113	6.2	Knowledge impact	23.2	92
2.3 Research & development (R&D) 18.1 86 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs. 1.4 85 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 1.2 .79 62.3 Computer software spending, % GDP. 13.5 .44 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP. .0.5 .94 .94 .94 .94 .94 .94 .94 .93 .94 .95 .63.1 .94 .94 .93 .94 .94 .93 .94 <td< td=""><td>2.2.6</td><td>Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %</td><td>1.2</td><td>101</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	1.2	101				
2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop	2.3	Research & development (R&D)	18.1	86				
2.3.3 Quality research institutions [†] 52.6	2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop	1.2	79	6.2.3			
Section Sect					6.3	Knowledge diffusion	30.5	51
3 Infrastructure 24.5 81 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, %	2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	52.6	41		3		
3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 16.2 95 3.1.1 ICT access* 26.0 94 3.1.2 ICT use* 3.9 94 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 24.4 93 3.1.4 E-Participation* 12.9 76 3.2 Energy 14.9 90 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/capita 3.3 95 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 2.3 95 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 20.7 79 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 21.1 30 3.3 General infrastructure 42.4 22 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 38.5 67 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 50.6 15 3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 48.8 52 6.3.3 Computer & comm service exports, % 41.7 49 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 48.8 52 7.1 Creative outputs 7.1 Creative intangibles 48.6 50 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 29.9 28 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a n/a n/a 7.1 Creative intangibles 7.1 Creativ	2	Infractructure	24.5	21	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %	n/a	n/a
3.1.1 ICT access* 26.0 94 3.1.2 ICT use* 3.9 94 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 24.4 93 3.1.4 E-Participation* 12.9 76 3.2 Energy 14.9 90 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap: 3.3 95 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 2.3 95 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 20.7 79 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 21.1 30 3.3 General infrastructure 42.4 22 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 38.5 67 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP. 50.6 15 3.4 Creative outputs 25.7 89 7.1 Creative intangibles 48.6 50 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 29.9 28 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a n/a 1/a 1/a 1/a 1/a 1/a 1/a 1/a 1/a 1/a 1					6.3.3			
3.1.2 ICT use* 3.9 .94 7 Creative outputs 25.7 89 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* .24.4 .93 .71 Creative intangibles .48.6 .50 3.1.4 E-Participation* .12.9 .76 .71.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$.29.9 .28 3.2 Energy .14.9 .90 .71.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$.n/a .n/a .n/a 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/capita .23. .95 .71.3 ICT & business models† .59.1 .60 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita .23. .95 .71.4 ICT & organizational models† .56.8 .48 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. .20.7 .79 .72 Creative goods & services 2.8 .111 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % .21.1 .30 .72.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % .n/a .n/a 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* .38.5 .67 .72.2 National feature films/mn pop. .3.7 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>6.3.4</td><td>FDI net outflows, % GDP</td><td>48.8</td><td>52</td></td<>					6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	48.8	52
3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 24.4 93 3.1.4 E-Participation* 12.9 76 3.2 Energy 14.9 90 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap. 3.3 95 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 2.3 95 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 20.7 79 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 21.1 30 3.3 General infrastructure 42.4 22 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 38.5 67 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP. 50.6 15 3.4 Creative intangibles 48.6 50 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 29.9 28 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a n/a 7.1 Creative intangibles 48.6 50 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$					7	Creative outputs	25.7	90
3.1.4 E-Participation* 12.9 76 3.2 Energy 14.9 90 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 29.9 28 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap. 3.3 95 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 59.1 60 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 2.3 95 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 56.8 48 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 20.7 79 7.2 Creative goods & services 2.8 111 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 21.1 30 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % n/a n/a 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 38.5 .67 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. n/a n/a 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 50.6 .15 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % n/a n/a						-		
3.2 Energy 14.9 90 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a n/a n/a 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap		E-Participation*	12.9	76		3		
3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap	3 2	Energy	14 0	90				
3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 2.3 .95 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models *								
3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 20.7. .79 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, %								
7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, %								
3.3 General infrastructure 42.4 22 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop	3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	21.1	30				
3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*38.5	3.3	General infrastructure	42.4	22				
3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP			*38.5	67				
3.3.3 Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap38.229 7.2.5 Creative services exports, %						Creative goods exports, %	n/a	n/a
	3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap	38.2	29	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	2.4	71

Key indicatorsPopulation (millions)75.1GDP per capita, PPP (current international \$)11,558.4GDP (US\$ billions)331.0Score 0-100RankGlobal Innovation Index28.495

Iran

1

Institutions

Global Innovation Index	Score 0–100 28.4	
Innovation Output Sub-Index	25.9	71
Innovation Input Sub-Index	30.9	106
Innovation Efficiency Index	0.8	19
Global Innovation Index 2010		n/a
Global Innovation Index 2009		n/a

42.7 114

1.1	Political environment	11.6	123
1.1.1	Political stability*	8.5	117
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	26.2	106
1.1.3	Press freedom*	0.0	125
1.2	Regulatory environment	31.4	118
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	3.3	124
1.2.2	Rule of law*	19.8	109
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	71.0	70
1.3	Business environment	85.1	41
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days	93.3	27
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap	96.9	37
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	65.0	76

2	Human capital & research	39.5	58
2.1	Education	44.1	104
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI	42.5	6
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap	31.3	5
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	51.4	6
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science	n/a	n/
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	n/a	n/
2.2	Tertiary education	51.0	8
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	36.9	6
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %	37.9	3
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %	100.0	
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	n/a	n/
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %	7.6	11
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	n/a	n/
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	23.2	60
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop	7.1	5
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP		
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]		
3	Infrastructure	22.9	97

3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	20.4	85
3.1.1	ICT access*	33.6	76
3.1.2	ICT use*	10.7	68
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*	26.7	85
3.1.4	E-Participation*	7.1	97
3.2	Energy	8.0	112
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap	15.4	58
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita	10.1	61
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq	10.9	95
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	0.4	104
3.3	General infrastructure	40.3	37
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*	34.0	81
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP	56.3	12
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap	30.5	87

4	Market sophistication	26.1	117
4.1	Credit	36.9	71
4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		
4.1.2	Depth of credit information*	66.7	66
4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	n/a	n/a
4.2	Investment	11.5	122
4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	0.0	69
4.3	Trade & competition	29.8	125
4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP	8.2	114
4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	53.4	104
5	Business sophistication	23.5	114
5.1	Knowledge workers	21.3	110
5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	16.5	63
5.2	Innovation linkages	29.2	77
5.2.1	University/industry collaboration†		
5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
5.2.4 5.2.5	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$ PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
5.3	Knowledge absorption	19.8	117
5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
5.3.2 5.3.3	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP		
6	Scientific outputs	28.2	45
6.1	Knowledge creation	32.1	30
6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
6.1.3 6.1.4	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
6.2	Knowledge impact	26.9	74
6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
6.2.2 6.2.3	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs Computer software spending, % GDP		
6.3	Knowledge diffusion	25.5	68
6.3.1 6.3.2	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP		
7			
7	Creative outputs	23.6	100
7.1 7.1.1	Creative intangibles Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	32.6	109
7.1.1	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
7.1.2	ICT & business models [†]		
7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]		
7.2	Creative goods & services	14.7	71
7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop	4.2	63
7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %	12.1	66

7.2.5 Creative services exports, %......n/a

Ireland

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	65.3	6
Pop	ulation (millions)		4.6	4.1	Credit	81.7	7
	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	<i>1</i> 1	,278.2	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	80.0	19
				4.1.2	Depth of credit information*	83.3	25
GDP	(US\$ billions)		227.2	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
			D 1	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Glo	bal Innovation Index	Score 0–100	Rank 12	4.2	Investment	39.2	32
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	83.0	5
	ration Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
Innov	ration Input Sub-Index	65.5	4	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ration Efficiency Index	0.7	83	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	88.9	6
Globa	al Innovation Index 2010		19	4.3	Trade & competition	75.1	8
Globa	al Innovation Index 2009		21	4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
diobt	I III TUUTUUT III CA 2007	•••••	2 1	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3 4.3.4	Imports of goods & services, % GDP Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	91.2	6	4.3.4	Intensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	90.1	12	7.5.5	mensity local competition		
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	73.8	3
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	Knowledge workers	75.9	15
1.1.3	Press freedom*	97.9	9	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	93.2	9	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %	76.4	16
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	58.4	23
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	90.0	18	5.2	Innovation linkages	55.6	11
1.3	Business environment	90.3	16	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]	66.2	16
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]	51.2	33
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	82.9	22	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
2	Human capital & research	57.8	10	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	64.3	14
				5.3	Knowledge absorption	90.0	1
2.1	Education Education expenditure, % GNI	<i>79.3</i>	2	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	School life expectancy, years			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	83.8	8
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	51.2	11
2.2	Tertiary education	49.3	10	6.1	Knowledge creation	33.7	25
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %	31.8	52	6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$	n/a	n/a
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	37.6	30
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	37.7	33
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	76.4	7	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	44.7	26	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs	36.4	17
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	57.5	10
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	82.2	1
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	/1.5	16	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	39.5	23	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
				6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %	90.8	3
3.1 3.1.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT) ICT access*	55.5	24	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	77.4	5
3.1.1	ICT access			7	Creative outputs	24.2	ΕO
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7	Creative outputs	34.2	58
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	40.1	84
		28.9		7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2 3.2.1	Energy Electricity output, kWh/cap		22 34	7.1.2 7.1.3	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWn/capElectricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.3 7.1.4	ICT & business models ¹		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq						
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	28.2	44
3.3	General infrastructure	34.1	72	7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*			7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.3 7.2.4	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.4	Creative services exports, %		
2.3.3	g. z. z. z. z. p a siocapacity, na/cap			,	2. 23676 36. 17663 CAPOTO, 70		TO

Israel

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	58.6	17
Pop	ulation (millions)		7.3	4.1	Credit	61.7	24
GDP	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	27	,759.2	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	90.0	7
			•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*	83.3	25
GDP	(US\$ billions)		195.4	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
			D 1	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Glo	bal Innovation Index	Score 0–100	Rank 11	4.2	Investment	56.0	11
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	83.0	5
Innov	vation Output Sub-Index	48.9	8	4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
Innov	ration Input Sub-Index	59.1	20	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ration Efficiency Index	0.8	22	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	100.0	1
Globa	al Innovation Index 2010		23	4.3	Trade & competition	58.0	35
Globa	al Innovation Index 2009		23	4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
diobi	an initiation index 2007		25	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	72.1	46	4.3.4 4.3.5	Exports of goods & services, % GDPIntensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	55.7	63	4.3.3	intensity local competition:	/ 0.∠	20
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	56.8	13
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	82.4	29	5.1	Knowledge workers	86.6	4
1.1.3	Press freedom*	75.4	70	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	79.7	24	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	81.4	28	5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	91.1	3
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	83.0	34	5.2	Innovation linkages	37.2	41
1.3	Business environment	80.8	61	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration†		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days	68.3	98	5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap	96.6	38	5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	77.6	38	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$	48.2	15
		60.0	-	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	9.9	63
2	Human capital & research	69.8	2	5.3	Knowledge absorption	46.7	21
2.1	Education	68.0	30	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	45.3	17
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %	33.8	27
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, yearsPISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	44.4	69
2.1.4	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Crientific outputs	E7 E	1
				6	Scientific outputs	57.5	4
2.2	Tertiary education	47.7	16	6.1	Knowledge creation	77.4	2
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % grossGraduates in science, %			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2 6.1.3	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %						
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	31.3	23	6.2	Knowledge impact	33.1	58
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	93.7	1	6.2.1 6.2.2	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, % New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP						
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	62.0	8
	,			6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	38.4	25	6.3.2 6.3.3	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	54.4	25	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*	72.2	23	0.5.1	1 Di Net Odtilovis, 70 dbi	12.0	
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	40.4	37
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7.1	Creative intangibles	51.8	34
3.1.4	E-Participation*	41.4	31	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	27.7	24	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	n/a	n/a
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models†		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	72.5	9
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.2	Creative goods & services	28.9	43
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	3.0	84	7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	32.9	83	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop	n/a	n/a
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap	20.4	112	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	n/a	n/a

Italy

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	42.7	53
Popi	ulation (millions)		60.1	4.1	Credit	56.7	28
	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	31	,908.6	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	30.0	97
	(US\$ billions)			4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
יועט	(צוטווווע לכט)	Ζ,	.112.8	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP		
Glol	bal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	25.9	74
Innov	ation Output Sub-Index	33.5	39	4.2.1	Strength of investor protection* Market capitalization, % GDP		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.2 4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
				4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	ation Efficiency Index			4.3	Trade & competition	45.6	89
	l Innovation Index 2010			4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		31	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	71.1	49	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	72.3	42	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	61.0	80
1.1.1	Political stability*	64.6	40	5	Business sophistication	45.2	36
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	Knowledge workers	65.6	28
1.1.3	Press freedom*	84.1	44	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	67.5	49	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	77.6		5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %	59.9	32
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	49.4	37
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	62.0	84	5.2	Innovation linkages	34.4	55
1.3	Business environment	73.6	85	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	40.2	119	5.2.4 5.2.5	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$ PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	44.5	39				
2.1	Education	69.4	24	5.3	Knowledge absorption	35.4	<i>57</i>
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI		53	5.3.1 5.3.2	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap	39.9	32	5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science						
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	93.0	25	6	Scientific outputs	27.8	46
2.2	Tertiary education	35.1	49	6.1	Knowledge creation	27.7	32
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.3 6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %						
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	23.8	87
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	29.1	47	6.2.1 6.2.2	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, % New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP						
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	45.9	61	6.3 6.3.1	Knowledge diffusion Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	31.9	47
	1.6	25.0	20	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3	Infrastructure	35.9	30	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	44.7	34	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*			_		20.2	42
3.1.2 3.1.3	ICT use*Government's Online Service*			7	Creative outputs	39.2	43
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	39.6	86
				7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Electricity output I/M/b/cap	26.1	29	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1 3.2.2	Electricity output, kWh/capElectricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.3 7.1.4	ICT & business models [†] ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.2	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq				<u> </u>		
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	38.8	17
	-	36.9	55	7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3 3.3.1	General infrastructure Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*.			7.2.2 7.2.3	National feature films/mn pop Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.3 7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.5	Creative services exports, %		
	5 - F			2.0			

Jamaica

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	30.2	105
Pop	ulation (millions)		2.7	4.1	Credit	25.0	101
GDP	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	-	7,632.6	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	80.0	19
		,	•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		12.1	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Glo	bal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	20.1	96
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	53.0	55
	ration Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
	ration Input Sub-Index			4.2.3 4.2.4	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
Innov	ration Efficiency Index	0.5	120				
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		70	4.3	Trade & competition	45.4	90
Globa	Il Innovation Index 2009		73	4.3.1 4.3.2	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	60.2	EE	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1		69.3	55	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	61.2	55	_			
1.1.1 1.1.2	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	38.9	52
1.1.2	Press freedom*			5.1	Knowledge workers	48.7	44
				5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	63.9	56	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1 1.2.2	Regulatory quality* Rule of law*			5.1.3 5.1.4	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*				•		
		82.7		5.2	Innovation linkages	31.8	68
1.3 1.3.1	Business environment Time to start a business, days		51	5.2.1 5.2.2	University/industry collaboration [†] State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.1	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.2	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	35.5	68	5.3	Knowledge absorption	36.2	53
2.1	Education	58.0	61	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %	44.3	55
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	55.1	31
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Crientific outputs	12.8	110
				6	Scientific outputs		118
2.2 2.2.1	Tertiary education Tertiary enrolment, % gross	25.7	80 70	6.1	Knowledge creation	6.3	70
2.2.1	Graduates in science, %			6.1.1 6.1.2	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %	42.2	28	6.2	Knowledge impact	13.6	110
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	30.3	25	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	23.0	67	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	18.4	110
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	45.3	63	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	20.6	109	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %	0.9	88
	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)			6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1 3.1.1	ICT access*	23.8	72	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	48.7	57
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	24.9	95
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*				•		
3.1.4	E-Participation*	8.6	92	7.1	Creative intangibles Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	43.3	<i>70</i>
3.2	Energy	9.9	107	7.1.1 7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.2	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq	9.7	98	7.2	Creative goods & services	6.6	96
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	7.1	58	7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	28.1	108	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap	31.8	85	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	11.5	41

Japan

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	57.9	19
Pop	ulation (millions)		127.0	4.1	Credit	79.7	8
GDP	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	32	,452.8	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		
	(US\$ billions)			4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
יעט	(מון מווון (מון)	٥,	069.0	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0-100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Glo	bal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	45.6	23
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	•			4.2.2 4.2.3	Market capitalization, % GDP Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.3	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	ation Efficiency Index						
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		13	4.3 4.3.1	Trade & competition Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %	48.5	75
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		9	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	83.8	20	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	89.2	13	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	80.8	6
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Pusinoss conhistication	55.9	14
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*				Business sophistication		
1.1.3	Press freedom*			5.1	Knowledge workers	82.3	8
1.2	Regulatory environment	84.4	18	5.1.1 5.1.2	Knowledge-intensive employment, %Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.2	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*			5.2	,	41.2	35
1.3	Business environment	77.8	69	5.2.1	Innovation linkages University/industry collaboration†		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	60.4	93	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	22.5	43
2	Human capital & research	53.7	20	5.3	Knowledge absorption	44.3	27
2.1	Education	64.8	40	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %	65.3	15
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	36.7	115
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Crientific outnuts	49.8	13
					Scientific outputs		
2.2	Tertiary education Tertiary enrolment, % gross	31.2	60	6.1	Knowledge creation	69.4	6
2.2.1 2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.1 6.1.2	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %				Knowledge impact	21.5	
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	9.0	67	6.2 6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	65.1	7	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	58.5	14
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	72.0	15	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
2	Information	45.4	12	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3	Infrastructure	45.4	13	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	68.8	7	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*			_			
3.1.2	ICT use*Government's Online Service*			7	Creative outputs	32.8	65
3.1.3 3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	42.3	74
				7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	25.6	<i>36</i>	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1 3.2.2	Electricity output, kWh/capElectricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.3	ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.2	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	23.3	<i>57</i>
				7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	41.7	27	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1 3.3.2	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.3 7.2.4	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
5.5.5	g.ca. rootp a biocapacity, na/ cap			1.2.3	C. Cacive Scrivices exports, 70		

Jordan

Global Innovation Index 38.4 41.2 Depth of credit information* Global Innovation Index 38.4 41.2 Investment Innovation Output Sub-Index 35.5 33 4.2.2 Market capitalization, % GDP Innovation Input Sub-Index 0.9 16 Global Innovation Index 2010 58 4.3 Trade & competition Global Innovation Index 2009 55 Market access trade restrictive data of the province of the p	29.5 9 redit* 40.0 8 33.3 9 sector, % GDP 33.9 5 GDP 6.1 47.4 2 on* 43.0 9 56.6 5 % GDP 33.3 1 PPP\$ 66.1 2 57.1 3 mean, % 72.1 8 veness*, % 68.5 9 % GDP 43.0 1 % GDP 38.1 2
GDP per capita, PPP (current international \$) 5,597.0 4.1.1 Strength of legal rights for credit information* GDP (US\$ billions) 25.1 4.1.3 Domestic credit to private set 4.1.4 Microfinance gross loans, % of 4.1.4 Microfinance gross l	33.3
GDP (US\$ billions) 25.1 4.1.2 Depth of credit information* Domestic credit to private set 4.1.4 Microfinance gross loans, % of 4.1.4 Microfinance gross loans	### Acceptor, Wigner of the property of the pr
Global Innovation Index 38.4 41 4.2 Investment 4.2.1 Strength of investor protection output Sub-Index 4.3 55. 33 4.2.2 Market capitalization, % GDP Innovation Input Sub-Index 4.3 56 4.2.3 Total value of stocks traded, Innovation Efficiency Index 6.0.9 16 4.2.4 Venture capital deals/tr GDP Global Innovation Index 2010 58 4.3 Trade & competition 4.3.1 Applied tariff rate weighted Global Innovation Index 2009 55 4.3.2 Market access trade restriction	GDP 6.1 47.4 2 On* 43.0 9 5.6 6.1 33.3 1 PPP\$ 66.1 2 57.1 3 mean, % 72.1 8 veness*, % 68.5 9 GDP 43.0 1 % GDP 38.1 24
Global Innovation Index 38.4 41 4.2 Investment Innovation Output Sub-Index 35.5 33 4.2.2 Market capitalization, % GDP Innovation Input Sub-Index 41.3 56 42.3 Total value of stocks traded, Innovation Efficiency Index 0.9 16 Global Innovation Index 2010 58 4.3 Trade & competition Global Innovation Index 2009 55 4.3.2 Market access trade restrictive	47.4 2 on*
Global Innovation Index38.4414.2InvestmentInnovation Output Sub-Index35.5334.2.2Market capitalization, % GDPInnovation Input Sub-Index41.3564.2.3Total value of stocks traded, value o	on* 43.0
Innovation Output Sub-Index	56.6
Innovation Input Sub-Index	% GDP
Innovation Efficiency Index 0.9 16 4.2.4 Venture capital deals/tr GDP Global Innovation Index 2010 58 4.3 Trade & competition Global Innovation Index 2009 55 4.3.1 Applied tariff rate weighted Market access trade restriction	57.1 3 mean, % 72.1 8 veness*, % 68.5 5 % GDP 43.0 1 % GDP 38.1 2
Global Innovation Index 2009	57.1 3 mean, %
Global Innovation Index 2009	mean, %
Global Innovation Index 2009	/eness*, %68.55 % GDP
4.3.2 Market access trade restrictiv	% GDP1 % GDP38.1
	% GDP38.14
1 Institutions 65.8 62 4.3.4 Exports or goods & services, 4.3.5 Intensity local competition [†] .	694 /
1.1 Political environment 53.5 66	
1.1.1 Political stability*	on 32.3 7
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	24.0 10
113 Press treedom ² 609 85	yment, %n/an/an/
1.2 Regulatory environment 66.6 53 5.1.2 Firms offering formal training	g, % firms24.0
	%n/an/
	n/an/
1.2.3 Rigidity of employment*	38.4 3
	ation [†] 35.69
	t [†] 41.26
	n/an/
	GDP PPP\$1
2 Human capital & research 41.4 50 52.5 PCT patent filings with foreign	gn inventor, %n/an/
3.1 Education 5.3 Knowledge dosorption	34.4 6
5.5.1 Royalty & licerise lees payme	ents, % GDPn/an/
5.5.2 Tilgit teetrimports less le lin	ports, % 13.8
5.5.5 Computer & commit. service i	mports, %12.511
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths, & science	/0.8
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	22.1 7
2.2 Tertiary education 39.6 35 6.1 Knowledge creation	22.4 3
	/bn GDP PPP\$10.95
	GDP PPP\$n/an/
2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, %	p/bn GDP PPP\$n/an/
	/bn GDP PPP\$33.93
2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, %	21.1 9
2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	orker, %42.5
	15–64 yrs5.75.7
	g, % GDP9.19
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	22.9 8
733 Oliality research institutions 347 91	ts, % GDPn/an/
3 Infrastructure 22.6 96 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exposed from the company of the company	ports, %5.55.5
6.3.3 Computer & comm service e	exports, %15.110
3.1.1 ICT access*	48.16
3.1.2 ICT use*	48.9 1
313 Government's Online Service* 533 22	
3.1.4 E-Participation*	70.1
	on GDP PPP\$ 100.0p/bn GDP PPP\$n/an/
	57.16
	†53.15
3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq	
	27.7 4 nption, %n/an/n/
	ppn/an/an/
/inter-	ate popn/an/an/
	27.73
3.3.3 Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap86 7.2.5 Creative services exports, %.	n/an/

Kazakhstan

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	36.6	75
Popu	ılation (millions)		15.8	4.1	Credit	33.0	88
-	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	11	,509.9	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	40.0	83
			115.3	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
UDP	(US\$ billions)		115.5	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	1.6	54
Glob	oal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	23.8	82
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	·			4.2.2 4.2.3	Market capitalization, % GDP Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.3	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	ation Efficiency Index						
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		63	4.3 4.3.1	Trade & competition Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %	53.1	54
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		72	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	62.6	68	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	48.5	76	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	53.7	102
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Pusiness conhistication	37.0	60
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*				Business sophistication		
1.1.3	Press freedom*	27.6	117	5.1	Knowledge workers	38.1	69
1.2	Regulatory environment	52.2	82	5.1.1 5.1.2	Knowledge-intensive employment, % Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.2	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*			5.2	,	23.9	98
1.3	Business environment	87.2	23	5.2.1	Innovation linkages University/industry collaboration†		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.1	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
_				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	15.8	49
2	Human capital & research	34.6	74	5.3	Knowledge absorption	49.0	17
2.1	Education	58.2	59	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %	83.8	3
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	86.9	7
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary				Caiontife outnuts	21.4	01
	•			6	Scientific outputs	21.4	81
2.2	Tertiary education	32.3	53	6.1	Knowledge creation	15.5	48
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % grossGraduates in science, %			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.2 6.1.3	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %						
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	38.8	19	6.2	Knowledge impact	27.7	
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	13.2	106	6.2.1 6.2.2	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP						
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]			6.3	Knowledge diffusion Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	20.9	93
_				6.3.1 6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	28.5	60	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	33.8	48	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*				,		
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	20.2	113
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7.1	Creative intangibles	29.8	114
3.1.4	E-Participation*		19	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	6.8	92
3.2	Energy	9.5	108	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	47.1	77
3.2.3 3.2.4	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	10.5	83
				7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	42.1	24	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2 3.3.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
د.د.د	есоюдісаі тоотрінії « віосарасіту, на/Сар	ان.دد	در	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %		33

Kenya

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	40.1	63
Popu	ılation (millions)		40.9	4.1	Credit	47.5	44
-	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	1	1,572.6	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	100.0	1
	•			4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		29.4	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	49.3	10
Glob	oal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	30.2	58
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.3 4.2.4	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.5	119				
Globa	Innovation Index 2010		83	4.3	Trade & competition	42.7	97
Globa	Innovation Index 2009		78	4.3.1 4.3.2	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	E1 0	OF	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	51.9	95	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	41.0	87	_			
1.1.1 1.1.2	Political stability* Government effectiveness*			5	Business sophistication	39.5	51
1.1.2	Press freedom*			5.1	Knowledge workers	44.9	55
				5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment Regulatory quality*	48.4	92	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1 1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.3 5.1.4	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*				,		
		66.2		5.2	Innovation linkages	45.8	27
1.3 1.3.1	Business environment Time to start a business, days		100	5.2.1 5.2.2	University/industry collaboration [†] State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.1	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.2	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	43.3	44	5.3	Knowledge absorption	27.8	88
2.1	Education	46.7	96	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %	33.9	72
2.1.3	School life expectancy, yearsPISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	37.8	111
2.1.4	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	15.8	105
2.2	Tertiary education	34.3	50		Knowledge creation	5.3	75
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1 6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	12.8	59
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	20.1	100
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	3.4	87	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	48.9	21	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	23.0	31
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	22.1	86
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	48.9	50	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	21.4	102	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	14.3	100	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1.1	ICT access*			6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	4/./	/3
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	22.3	108
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*	23.8	94	<i>7.</i> 1	Creative intangibles	38.1	92
3.1.4	E-Participation*	22.9	51	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	20.1	64	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap	0.9		7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita	0.5	109	7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.2	Creative goods & services	6.5	97
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	51.4	5	7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	29.7	101	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap)35./	52	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	0.3	91

Korea, Rep.

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	61.8	12
Popu	ılation (millions)		48.5	4.1	Credit	66.3	19
-	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	27	,168.5	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	70.0	37
			832.5	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
UDP	(US\$ billions)		032.3	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Glob	oal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	62.8	9
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	·			4.2.2 4.2.3	Market capitalization, % GDP Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.3	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	ation Efficiency Index						39
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		20	4.3 4.3.1	Trade & competition Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %	56.4	
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		6	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	77.4	35	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	73.9	40	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	77.7	12
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Pusinoss conhistication	49.8	26
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*				Business sophistication		
1.1.3	Press freedom*	85.9	39	5.1	Knowledge workers	<i>57.7</i>	35 57
1.2	Regulatory environment	73.3	40	5.1.1 5.1.2	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	75.3 75.2		5.1.2	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	62.0	84	5.2	Innovation linkages	33.5	61
1.3	Business environment	85.2	39	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	79.5	28	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
_			_	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	59.9	7	5.3	Knowledge absorption	58.4	8
2.1	Education	64.9	39	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		_
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %	64.5	17
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	36.5	116
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary				Caiantifa autouta	F2 7	7
	•			6	Scientific outputs	53.7	7
2.2	Tertiary education	56.4	4	6.1	Knowledge creation	80.8	1
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % grossGraduates in science, %			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2 6.1.3	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %						
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	n/a	n/a	6.2	Knowledge impact	26.5	
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	58.4	12	6.2.1 6.2.2	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP						
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]			6.3 6.3.1	Knowledge diffusion Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	53.6	17 16
_		40.0		6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	48.2	6	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	81.0	1	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*				,		
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	42.2	27
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7.1	Creative intangibles	58.7	15
3.1.4	E-Participation*	100.0	I	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	53.0	12
3.2	Energy	22.4	54	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	68.6	19
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.2	Creative goods & services	25.6	51
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %	68.6	21
3.3	General infrastructure	41.2	30	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap.	20.3	113	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	14.2	38

Kuwait

	indicators			4	Market sophistication	46.9	40
Pop	ulation (millions)		3.1	4.1	Credit	40.7	59
GDP	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	48	3,631.3	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		148.0	4.1.2 4.1.3	Depth of credit information* Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
	(CST SIMONS)			4.1.3	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP		
Glo	bal Innovation Index	Score 0–100	Rank 52	4.2	Investment	44.5	25
	ration Output Index			4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	•			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
	ration Input Index			4.2.3 4.2.4	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	ration Efficiency index						
Globa	Il Innovation Index 2010		33	4.3	Trade & competition	55.4	43
Globa	Il Innovation Index 2009		30	4.3.1 4.3.2	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	75.3	39	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
-				4.3.5	Intensity local competition**	66.7	57
1.1 1.1.1	Political environment Political stability*	65.2	50	-	D	22.4	115
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5	Business sophistication		115
1.1.3	Press freedom*			5.1	Knowledge workers	23.2	107
			37	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2 1.2.1	Regulatory environment Regulatory quality*	<i>73.9</i>		5.1.2 5.1.3	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Rule of law*			5.1.3 5.1.4	R&D performed by business, %R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*						
	- , , , ,	86.8	26	5.2	Innovation linkages	27.5	85
1.3 1.3.1	Business environment Time to start a business, days			5.2.1 5.2.2	University/industry collaboration** State of cluster development**		
1.3.1	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	35.8	67	5.3	Knowledge absorption	18.5	120
2.1	Education	61.1	49	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	35.7	118
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary				6: 4:6	20.4	
2.1.5				6	Scientific outputs	38.1	23
2.2	Tertiary education	31.8	56	6.1	Knowledge creation	5.1	76
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2 6.1.3	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.3	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %						
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	34.3	50
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	14.5	101	6.2.1 6.2.2	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP						
2.3.3	Quality research institutions**			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	75.0	3
_				6.3.1 6.3.2	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3	Infrastructure	31.2	48	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	30.8	52	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*				,		
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	23.5	103
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7.1	Creative intangibles	46.2	58
3.1.4	E-Participation*		51	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	n/a	n/a
3.2	Energy	31.8	11	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models**		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models**	46.5	81
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	0.9	120
3.2.4				7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	31.0	95	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap	15.U	116	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	n/a	n/a

Kyrgyzstan

Кеу і	indicators			4	Market sophistication	40.2	61
Popu	llation (millions)		5.6	4.1	Credit	45.5	47
GDP	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	7	,283.3	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	100.0	1
		2	•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		4.6	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		C 0 100	Dawl.	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	70.0	5
Gloh	al Innovation Index	Score 0–100	Rank 85	4.2	Investment	22.3	88
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
Innova	ation Input Sub-Index	34.9	89	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innova	ation Efficiency Index	0.7	61	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	0.0	69
Global	Innovation Index 2010		104	4.3	Trade & competition	52.8	56
Global	Innovation Index 2009		122	4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
				4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3 4.3.4	Imports of goods & services, % GDP Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	49.5	104	4.3.4	Intensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	25.6	118	7.5.5	mensity local competition	171	1 1 /
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	32.7	75
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	Knowledge workers	34.0	81
1.1.3	Press freedom*		116	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		75
1.2	Regulatory environment	42.9	103	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	39.5		5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %	33.4	52
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	42.7	40
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	82.0	39	5.2	Innovation linkages	36.1	45
1.3	Business environment	80.1	64	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]	19.7	122
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	51.7	111	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
2	Human capital & research	29.7	95	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	100.0	1
	-			5.3	Knowledge absorption	28.0	86
2.1 2.1.1	Education	51.6	85	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	School life expectancy, years			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	53.6	55
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	26.1	53
2.2	Tertiary education	28.5	71	6.1	Knowledge creation	21.7	39
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %	29.1	56	6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	34.4	16	6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	4.1	91
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	32.6	60
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	8.8	68	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	8.9	118	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs	9.8	51
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	23.8	76
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	19.6	121	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	22.5	97	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
				6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %	36.6	57
	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	21.8	80	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	47.2	107
3.1		22.7	0.0				
3.1.1	ICT access*			7	Constitution	22.2	104
3.1.1 3.1.2	ICT access*	5.3	87	7	Creative outputs	23.3	104
3.1.1	ICT access* ICT use* Government's Online Service*	5.3 31.8	87 67	7.1	Creative intangibles	22.4	121
3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4	ICT access*	5.3 31.8 42.9	87 67 29	7.1 7.1.1	Creative intangibles Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	22.4 13.4	121 73
3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.2	ICT access*	5.3 31.8 42.9 14.9	87 67 29	7.1 7.1.1 7.1.2	Creative intangibles Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	22.4 13.4	121 73
3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.2 3.2.1	ICT access*	5.3 31.8 42.9 14.9 11.6	87 67 29 91 71	7.1 7.1.1 7.1.2 7.1.3	Creative intangibles Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ ICT & business models [†]	22.4 13.4 0.035.1	121 73 54 119
3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.2 3.2.1 3.2.2	ICT access*	5.331.842.911.6	87 29 91 71	7.1 7.1.1 7.1.2 7.1.3 7.1.4	Creative intangibles Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ ICT & business models†	22.4 13.40.035.1	121 54 119
3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.2 3.2.1	ICT access*	5.331.842.911.6	8729717987	7.1 7.1.1 7.1.2 7.1.3 7.1.4	Creative intangibles Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ ICT & business models† ICT & organizational models† Creative goods & services	22.4 13.4 0.0 35.1 29.9 24.1	121 73 54 119 119
3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.2 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4	ICT access* ICT use* Government's Online Service* E-Participation* Energy Electricity output, kWh/cap Electricity consumption, kWh/capita GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq Share of renewables in energy use, %	5.3	876771797933	7.1 7.1.1 7.1.2 7.1.3 7.1.4 7.2 7.2.1	Creative intangibles Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ ICT & business models [†] ICT & organizational models [†] Creative goods & services Recreation & culture consumption, %	22.4 13.4 0.035.1 29.9 24.1 35	121 73 119 119 56 64
3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.2 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.3	ICT access* ICT use* Government's Online Service* E-Participation* Energy Electricity output, kWh/cap Electricity consumption, kWh/capita GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq Share of renewables in energy use, % General infrastructure	53	8767797179878787	7.1 7.1.1 7.1.2 7.1.3 7.1.4 7.2 7.2.1 7.2.2	Creative intangibles Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ ICT & business models [†] ICT & organizational models [†] Creative goods & services Recreation & culture consumption, %	22.4 13.4 0.035.1 29.9 24.1 35	121 73 54 119 119 66 64
3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.2 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4	ICT access* ICT use* Government's Online Service* E-Participation* Energy Electricity output, kWh/cap Electricity consumption, kWh/capita GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq Share of renewables in energy use, %		87677179873394	7.1 7.1.1 7.1.2 7.1.3 7.1.4 7.2 7.2.1	Creative intangibles Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ ICT & business models [†] ICT & organizational models [†] Creative goods & services Recreation & culture consumption, %	22.4 13.4 0.035.1 29.9 3535	121 54119119 56 6464

38

23

59

68

38

33

Creative goods & services 32.9 27

Recreation & culture consumption, %......73.2.....14

National feature films/mn pop......42

Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop......27.8.....20

Creative goods exports, %......28.1......30

Latvia Key indicators **Market sophistication** 4 47.3 Population (millions) 2.2 62.9 4.1 Credit 411 Strength of legal rights for credit*.....90.0.....99.0 GDP per capita, PPP (current international \$) 15,412.8 4.1.2 Depth of credit information*.....83.3.....25 GDP (US\$ billions) 26.2 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP......39.2.....24 413 Microfinance gross loans, % GDPn/a....n/a 4.1.4 Score 0_100 Rank 4.2 Investment 25.0 Global Innovation Index 39.8 36 Strength of investor protection*......57.0.......44 4.2.1 4.2.2 Market capitalization, % GDP......91 Total value of stocks traded, % GDP.................0.0..........95 4.2.3 Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$......56.1.....57 4.2.4 54.1 48 Trade & competition 4.3 4.3.1 4.3.2 Market access trade restrictiveness*, %......n/a....n/a 433 434 1 Institutions 76.4 36 Intensity local competition[†]......59.9......86 4.3.5 1.1 Political environment 74.0 39 37.3 Political stability*......61.3......44 1.1.1 5 Business sophistication Government effectiveness*......69.5......44 1.1.2 5.1 1.1.3 Press freedom*......91.0.......28 5.1.1 Regulatory environment 1.2 70.4 46 5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, % firms......48.4.....31 Regulatory quality*80.0.......31 121 5.1.3 1.2.2 R&D financed by business, %51 5.1.4 1.2.3 unnovation linkages 32.7 62 University/industry collaboration† 41.0 66 State of cluster development 45.0 66 5.2 Business environment 85.0 1.3 5.2.1 State of cluster development[†]......34.8...........87 1.3.1 5.2.2 1.3.2 5.2.3 R&D financed by abroad, %......81.3......7 1.3.3 524 5.2.5 PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %......14.3.....14.3......52 2 Human capital & research 42.8 47 5.3 Knowledge absorption 27.1 2.1 Education 69.7 21 5.3.1 Education expenditure, % GNI.......62.9......23 2.1.1 532 2.1.2 Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap.....37.7....36 5.3.3 Computer & comm. service imports, %......44.7......54 213 5.3.4 PISA scales in reading, maths, & science......64.2......30 214 2.1.5 6 Scientific outputs 23.3 30.5 65 2.2 Tertiary education 6.1 Knowledge creation 22.3 221 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$......45.7.....17 611 222 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$......10.6......32 612 223 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$n/a....n/a 613 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$......10.5......61 2.2.4 6.1.4 Tertiary outbound mobility, %......24.4............22 2.2.5 Knowledge impact 24.1 6.2 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %......28.2.....30 621 Research & development (R&D) 28.3 50 2.3 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs......18 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop......26.1.....25 Computer software spending, % GDP.....n/a...n/a...n/a 6.2.3 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP......12.1......47 2.3.2 63 Knowledge diffusion 23.4 2.3.3 6.3.1 High-tech exports less re-exports, %......14.0.....39 6.3.2 3 33.4 40 Infrastructure 6.3.3 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 40.5 38 3.1 FDI net outflows, % GDP46.6.......113 6.3.4 ICT access*......59.9...... 3.1.1 39 ICT use*40 312 7 41.0 **Creative outputs** Government's Online Service*.....41.6......40 313 7.1 Creative intanaibles 49.1 3.1.4 E-Participation*........44 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$.....24.5.....36 711 Energy 23.1 50 Electricity output, kWh/cap......70 12.0......70 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 97.5.....4 3.2 712 3.2.1 713 ICT & business models[†]......91

7.1.4

7.2

7.2.1

7.2.2

7.2.3

7.2.4

7.2.5

Electricity consumption, kWh/capita12.9......54

GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq......37.9.....34

Share of renewables in energy use, %......18.9.....35

Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*......47.0....47

Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap......43.2.....15

36.7 57

General infrastructure

3.2.2

3.2.3

3.2.4

3.3

331

332

Lebanon

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	39.0	67
Popu	ulation (millions)		4.3	4.1	Credit	35.4	77
•	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	13	,069.7	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	30.0	97
		13	34.5	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
UDP	(US\$ billions)		34.3	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	0.6	61
Glob	oal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	28.3	64
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	·			4.2.2 4.2.3	Market capitalization, % GDP Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.3	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	ation Efficiency Index						51
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		n/a	4.3 4.3.1	Trade & competition Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %	53.4	
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		n/a	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	54.3	86	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	39.3	94	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	76.3	19
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Pusinoss conhistication	44.5	39
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*				Business sophistication		
1.1.3	Press freedom*	78.3	63	5.1	Knowledge workers	60.1	32
1.2	Regulatory environment	52.5	80	5.1.1 5.1.2	Knowledge-intensive employment, % Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.2	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	75.0	61	5.2	Innovation linkages	26.8	87
1.3	Business environment	71.0	88	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	79.1	31	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
_				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	41.0	51	5.3	Knowledge absorption	46.6	22
2.1	Education	52.6	81	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	96.0	5
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Crientific outnuts	31.0	35
					Scientific outputs		
2.2	Tertiary education Tertiary enrolment, % gross	46.8	17	6.1	Knowledge creation	15.1	49
2.2.1	Graduates in science, %			6.1.1 6.1.2	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$. PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	n/a	
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	45.1	15	6.2 .1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	23.6	64	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP						
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	23.6	117	6.3 6.3.1	Knowledge diffusion Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	46.9	22
2	Information	25.6	75	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3	Infrastructure	25.6	75	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	23.1	74	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*			_			
3.1.2	ICT use* Government's Online Service*			7	Creative outputs	35.7	56
3.1.3 3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	42.1	76
				7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	11.1	104	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2 3.2.3	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	3/./	114
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	29.2	40
				7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	<i>42.7</i>	20	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1 3.3.2	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.3 7.2.4	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.4 7.2.5	Creative goods exports, %		
ر.د.د	деогодина госкрата и отосарасту, па/сар	∠∪. I		1.2.3	Creative services exports, /0		90

Lithuania

Key indicators 4 Market sophisticat	
Population (millions) 3.3 4.1 Credit	50.7 35
	redit*50.07
4.1.2 Depth of credit information	100.0
GDP (US\$ billions) 37.2 4.1.3 Domestic credit to private	ector, % GDP26.339
-	GDPn/an/a
Score 0–100 Rank Clabel Innovation Index 20 F 40 4.2 Investment	15.7 112
Global Innovation Index	on*50.070
Innovation Output Sub-Index	⁹ 4.684
	% GDP80
Innovation Efficiency Index	PPP\$69
Global Innovation Index 2010	65.4 14
Global Innovation Index 2009	mean, %94.312
4.3.2 Market access trade restr	veness*, %n/an/a
124 5 10	% GDP48.31!
1 1115111111111111111111111111111111111	% GDP
1.1 Political environment 80.5 25	02.2
1.1.1 Political stability*	on 33.3 74
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	51.6 4
	yment, %76.620
	g, % firms51.52
	%28.05
	525.056
1.2.3 Rigidity of employment*	28.1 82
y	ation [†] 54.133
	t [†] 30.9100
	54.513
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	GDP PPP\$73
5.2.5 PCT patent filings with fo	gn inventor, %0.07.
2 Human capital & research 47.0 34 5.3 Knowledge absorption	20.2 116
2.1.1 E.L: 1: 0/ CNII 40.0 E0	ents, % GDP9.29.
212 D.H. I. / H.A.CDD/ 201 E7	ports, %9!
5.5.5 Computer & commission	imports, %25.293
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	38.410
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	21.8 78
2.2 Tertiary education 39.9 33 6.1 Knowledge creation	11.3 60
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	o/bn GDP PPP\$10.056
	DP PPP\$52
2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, %	p/bn GDP PPP\$n/an/a
	/bn GDP PPP\$21.442
2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, %	33.5 54
7.6 Gross tertiary outhound enrolment % 34.6 71	orker, %50.030
2.3 Research & development (R&D) 33.4 40 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pc	15–64 yrs17.040
	g, % GDPn/an/a
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	20.7 95
2.3.3 Quality research institutions [†]	ts, % GDP8
	ports, %16.23!
6.3.3 Computer & comm servi	exports, % 17.696
3.1 Into & comm. technologies (ICT) 47.7 28 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 3.1.1 ICT access*	48.853
3.1.2 ICT use*	37.2 49
313 (-overnment's Online Service* 183 70	
3.1.4 E-Participation*	48.0 52 48.0 bn GDP PPP\$40
	on GDP PPP\$40 p/bn GDP PPP\$ 18.230
	69.928
	†65.424
3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq	
	nption, %68.522
	pp3.07
3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*43.052 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000	ate pop20.03
3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP40.526 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, 6	35.418
3.3.3 Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap41 7.2.5 Creative services exports	11.442

Luxembourg

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	57.5	22
Рори	ılation (millions)		0.5	4.1	Credit	35.0	79
GDP	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	83	3,758.8	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		
	(US\$ billions)		52.3	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
UDI	(03) billions)		32.3	4.1.3 4.1.4	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP Microfinance gross loans, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank				
Glob	oal Innovation Index	52.7	17	4.2	Investment	46.4	22
Innova	ation Output Sub-Index	41.4	25	4.2.1 4.2.2	Strength of investor protection* Market capitalization, % GDP		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
	ation Efficiency Index			4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	•			4.3	Trade & competition	91.0	3
	Innovation Index 2010			4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %	94.3	
Globa	I Innovation Index 2009		1/	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	88.3	11	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	96.1	3	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	69.8	41
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	74.0	2
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	Knowledge workers	92.8	1
1.1.3	Press freedom*	95.8	14	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	79.1	27	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms	n/a	n/a
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	89.7	4
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*			5.2	Innovation linkages	67.6	2
1.3	Business environment	89.8	18	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2 1.3.3	Cost to start a business, % income/cap Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.3 5.2.4	R&D financed by abroad, % JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
1.5.5	Total tax rate, 70 pronts			5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	56.6	14			61.7	6
2.1	Education	61.1	48	5.3 5.3.1	Knowledge absorption Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		_
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	100.0	1
2.1.4 2.1.5	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary				Caiantifa autouta	42.2	20
				6	Scientific outputs	43.2	20
2.2 2.2.1	Tertiary education Tertiary enrolment, % gross	<i>63.9</i>	3	6.1	Knowledge creation	33.7	24
2.2.1	Graduates in science, %			6.1.1 6.1.2	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	34.1	52
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	100.0	2	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	44.7	25	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	61.9	9
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	59.5	32	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	43.3	20	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	62.1	16	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*			6.3.4	FDI Net outliows, % GDP	100.0	
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	39.5	42
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7.1	Creative intangibles	42.8	72
3.1.4	E-Participation*	17.1	65	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	29.8	19	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	0.0	54
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	61.0	40
3.2.3 3.2.4	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	36.2	22
				7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	37.9 * 76.5	52	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1 3.3.2	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.3 7.2.4	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
٥.٥.٥	200.0 great 100 tprint & blocapacity, Ha/Cap	, ∠J.J	1 02	1.2.3	Creative services exports, /u		

Macedonia

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	40.2	62
Pop	ulation (millions)		2.0	4.1	Credit	41.4	56
-	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	10	,822.7	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	10	•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*	66.7	66
GDP	(US\$ billions)		9.2	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		C 0 100	D I	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	35.5	15
Glo	bal Innovation Index	Score 0–100	Rank 67	4.2	Investment	20.3	95
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	67.0	19
Innov	ration Output Sub-Index	26.6	68	4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
Innov	ration Input Sub-Index	40.4	61	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ration Efficiency Index	0.7	78	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	0.0	69
Globa	ıl Innovation Index 2010		77	4.3	Trade & competition	58.8	29
Globa	Il Innovation Index 2009		89	4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
diobi	I IIII TUUTUU III III EE			4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	73.0	43	4.3.4 4.3.5	Exports of goods & services, % GDPIntensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	56.1	62	4.3.3	Intensity local competition:	30.4	90
1.1.1	Political stability*	37.3	72	5	Business sophistication	27.9	95
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	50.5	72	5.1	Knowledge workers	25.7	100
1.1.3	Press freedom*	80.5	55	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	64.4	55	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	59.5	61	5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	8.9	66
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	86.0	32	5.2	Innovation linkages	22.3	103
1.3	Business environment	98.4	1	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration†		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days	98.1	3	5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap	98.1	27	5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	99.0	2	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$	0.0	73
2	H	247	72	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	0.0	73
2	Human capital & research		72	5.3	Knowledge absorption	35.7	54
2.1	Education	54.9	70	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	22.5	43
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %	13.8	86
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, yearsPISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	47.4	54
2.1.4	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Coiontific outputs	26.2	52
	·			-	Scientific outputs	26.3	
2.2 2.2.1	Tertiary education Tertiary enrolment, % gross	31.1	61	6.1	Knowledge creation	7.4	66
2.2.1	Graduates in science, %			6.1.1 6.1.2	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %						
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %			6.2 6.2.1	Knowledge impact Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %	42.6	20
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	18.0	87	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP						
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	42.0	66	6.3	Knowledge diffusion	29.1	57
				6.3.1 6.3.2	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDPHigh-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3	Infrastructure	26.2	72	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	32.8	49	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*						
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	26.8	84
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7.1	Creative intangibles	39.7	85
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	25.1	34
3.2	Energy	14.5	93	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	46.3	83
3.2.3 3.2.4	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	13.9	72
				7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	31.2	92	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap)21.5	110	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	n/a	n/a

Madagascar

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	28.0	112
Popu	ulation (millions)		20.1	4.1	Credit	5.9	125
•	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	1	,048.6	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	20.0	121
	(US\$ billions)		9.1	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
Uνγ	(נוטווווע לָכט)		9.1	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	6.2	39
Glob	oal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	38.0	35
Innov	ation Output Sub-Index	19 6	109	4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*Market capitalization, % GDP		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.2 4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
	ation Efficiency Index			4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	•			4.3	Trade & competition	40.0	105
	l Innovation Index 2010			4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
Globa	I Innovation Index 2009		113	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %	25.9	80
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	53.3	91	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	39.9	91	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	55.0	9/
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	22.4	116
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	Knowledge workers	13.9	122
1.1.3	Press freedom*	63.1	82	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	0.0	102
1.2	Regulatory environment	34.9	114	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*Rule of law*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2 1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %		n/a
				5.2	Innovation linkages	19.7	112
1.3 1.3.1	Business environment Time to start a business, days	85.2	38 21	5.2.1 5.2.2	University/industry collaboration [†] State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.1	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
_				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	0.0	73
2	Human capital & research		115	5.3	Knowledge absorption	33.7	62
2.1	Education	38.7	111	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	18.9	49
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	School life expectancy, years			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	03.1	
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	60.1	100	6	Scientific outputs	15.7	106
2.2	Tertiary education	22.5	89	6.1	Knowledge creation	2.5	100
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$	0.3	95
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, % Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.1.4			
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %			6.2	3 ,	21.5	
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	11.1	114	6.2.1 6.2.2	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	23.1	80
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	30.1	108	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	28.2	61	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
				6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1 3.1.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT) ICT access*	8.8 14.7	118	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	47.3	91
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	23.6	102
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*	16.5	105	7.1	Creative intangibles	29.9	113
3.1.4	E-Participation*	5.7	102	7.1 7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	n/a	n/a	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models†	47.0	104
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	37.8	112
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.2	Creative goods & services	17.2	64
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	47.5	14	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1 3.3.2	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.3 7.2.4	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.4 7.2.5	Creative goods exports, %		
	. J			7.2.3			

Malawi

Key indicators 4 Market sophisticat		107
Population (millions) 15.7 4.1 Credit	17.1	112
GDP per capita, PPP (current international \$) 858.2 4.1.1 Strength of legal rights for	or credit*70.0	37
CDD (USC hillians) 4.1.2 Depth of credit informati	on*0.0	
·	e sector, % GDP2.9	
4.1.4 Microfinance gross loans Score 0–100 Rank	, % GDP9.5	35
Global Innovation Index 26.0 109 4.2 Investment	30.2	<i>57</i>
4.2.1 Strength of investor prot	ection*53.0	55
	GDP16.6	
	ed, % GDP	
Innovation Efficiency Index	GDP PPP\$71.0	21
Global Innovation Index 201097 4.3 Trade & competition	38.7	111
(-lohal Innovation Index 7000	ed mean, %70.4	
4.3.2 Market access trade restr	ictiveness*, %42.5	
124 5 12	ces, % GDP9.4 ces, % GDP12.3	
1 1115111111111111111111111111111111111	on [†] 61.0	
1.1 Political environment 52.3 68	717 01.0	
1.1.1 Political stability*	ition 33.5	72
1.1.2 Government effectiveness*	54.6	38
	ployment, %n/a	
1.2 Regulatory environment 53.2 77 5.1.2 Firms offering formal trai	ning, % firms54.6	
	ess, %n/a	n/a
	s, %n/a	n/a
1.2.3 Rigidity of employment*	24.3	96
1.3 Business environment 54.4 116 5.2.1 University/industry collab	ooration [†] 39.9	72
	nent [†] 45.1	
	%n/a	
	s/tr GDP PPP\$0.0	
2 Human capital & research 29.7 94 5.2.5 PCT patent filings with for	reign inventor, %0.0	/3
2.1 Education 3.3 Knowledge dosorption		
3.1.1 Education contact them of CNII 25.4. 0.3	yments, % GDP2.6	
5.5.2 Tight teer imports less to	e-imports, %24.1	
5.5.5 Computer & commit. serv	ice imports, %	
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths, & science	41.3	00
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	13.2	117
2.2 Tertiary education 18.1 101 6.1 Knowledge creation	6.7	68
	t ap/bn GDP PPP\$2.2	
	on GDP PPP\$0.0	
	el ap/bn GDP PPP\$n/a	n/a
	cles/bn GDP PPP\$17.9	49
2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, %	32.5	61
7.6 Gross tertiary outhound enrolment % 1.7 100	5/worker, %64.5	10
	op. 15–64 yrs0.6	88
	ding, % GDPn/a	n/a
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	0.3	123
733 Chiality research institutions 408 /1	eipts, % GDPn/a	n/a
	-exports, %0.5	
6.3.3 Computer & comm servi	ce exports, %0.0	
3.1 Into & comm. technologies (ICT) 6.4 123 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 3.1.1 ICT access*	n/a	n/a
3.1.2 ICT use*	25.0	94
313 Government's Online Service* 16 122		
3.1.4 E-Participation*	39.5	88
	ap/bn GDP PPP\$15.1 rk ap/bn GDP PPP\$n/a	
	54.5	
	dels [†] 48.8	
3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eqn/a 7.2 Creative goods & servi		82
	sumption, %11.0	
	n popn/a	
3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*28.3101 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000	iterate popn/a	
3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP28.354 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, 9	%10.4	70
3.3.3 Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap37.633 7.2.5 Creative services exports	, %n/a	n/a

Malaysia

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	62.1	10
Popu	ulation (millions)		27.9	4.1	Credit	58.0	26
	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	14	,012.0	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	100.0	1
			•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		193.1	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	1.2	57
Glol	bal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	52.0	13
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	•			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.3 4.2.4	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	ation Efficiency Index						
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		28	4.3 4.3.1	Trade & competition Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %	76.3	6
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		25	4.3.1	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	70.5	51	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	57.5	60	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	71.8	35
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Pusiness conhistication	EO E	0
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*				Business sophistication	58.5	9
1.1.3	Press freedom*			5.1	Knowledge workers	69.0	23
1.2	Regulatory environment	71.7	42	5.1.1 5.1.2	Knowledge-intensive employment, % Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	60.0		5.1.2	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*				•	44.9	
1.3	Business environment	82.2	53	5.2 5.2.1	Innovation linkages University/industry collaboration†		29
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	43.5	42	5.3	Knowledge absorption	61.6	7
2.1	Education	55.0	69	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	38.8	106
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary				Calantification	20.4	20
				6	Scientific outputs	30.4	38
2.2	Tertiary education	49.2	11	6.1	Knowledge creation	8.8	63
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.2 6.1.3	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %						
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	30.4	
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	26.4	54	6.2.1 6.2.2	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	52.1	19
_				6.3.1 6.3.2	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3	Infrastructure	30.1	53	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	44.2	35	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*				,		
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	39.9	40
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7.1	Creative intangibles	55.2	26
3.1.4	E-Participation*	65./	13	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	22.2	45
3.2	Energy	12.8	98	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models†		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	70.8	12
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.2	Creative goods & services	24.7	54
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %	41.5	43
3.3	General infrastructure	33.3	79	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap	29.0	91	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	27.5	26

Mali

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	26.9	114
Popu	ılation (millions)		13.3	4.1	Credit	13.8	118
	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	1	1,185.5	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		
			•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		9.0	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
				4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	12.7	32
Clak	al Impayation Inday	Score 0–100	Rank	4.2	Investment	24.7	<i>7</i> 8
	oal Innovation Index			4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	37.0	111
Innov	ation Output Sub-Index	22.9	93	4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	29.9	113	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innova	ation Efficiency Index	0.8	43	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	0.0	69
Globa	Innovation Index 2010		107	4.3	Trade & competition	42.3	100
	Innovation Index 2009			4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
Global	THIOUGION HIGEA 2007	••••••		4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	54.0	89	4.3.4 4.3.5	Exports of goods & services, % GDPIntensity local competition		
1.1	Political environment	50.2	74	7.5.5	intensity local competitions	04.0	70
1.1.1	Political stability*	34.9	74	5	Business sophistication	22.4	117
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	Knowledge workers	22.2	109
1.1.3	Press freedom*	91.5	25	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	49.1	90	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	69.0	73	5.2	Innovation linkages	26.1	89
1.3	Business environment	62.6	107	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration†		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	56.8	102	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
2	Human capital & research	24 9	111	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	0.0	/3
2.1	Education	39.3	110	5.3	Knowledge absorption	18.8	119
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.2 5.3.3	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science	n/a	n/a	5.5.1	1 D111CC 1111OV3, 70 GD1	11.0	
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	60.3	99	6	Scientific outputs	22.5	72
2.2	Tertiary education	14.0	112	6.1	Knowledge creation	1.9	109
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$	n/a	n/a
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	3.8	96
2.2.5 2.2.6	Tertiary outbound mobility, %Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	43.8	19
				6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	21.3	72	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1 2.3.2	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.2	Quality research institutions [†]			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	21.6	89
2.5.5	Quanty research institutions			6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	21.2	104	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	11.2	109	6.3.3 6.3.4	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1.1	ICT access*	18.1	110	0.5.4	T DI TIEL OUTIOWS, 70 GDF	47.3	07
3.1.2	ICT use*	0.5	119	7	Creative outputs	23.2	105
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7.1	Creative intangibles	45.1	61
3.1.4	E-Participation*	11.4	85	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	n/a	n/a	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models†	47.4	103
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	42.8	101
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.2	Creative goods & services	1.4	118
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	n/a	n/a	7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %	n/a	n/a
3.3	General infrastructure	31.1	93	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap	J 39.8	26	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	1.9	/6

Mauritius

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	42.1	56
Popi	ulation (millions)		1.3	4.1	Credit	44.1	51
-	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	12	,838.4	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	50.0	71
		12	•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		8.6	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
				4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Glal	bal Innovation Index	core 0–100	Rank	4.2	Investment	28.9	61
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	77.0	12
Innov	ation Output Sub-Index	28.1	63	4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	44.8	46	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.6	89	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	0.0	69
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		73	4.3	Trade & competition	53.2	52
	l Innovation Index 2009			4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
diona	i illiovation muex 2007			4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	82.0	25	4.3.4 4.3.5	Exports of goods & services, % GDPIntensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	74.1	38	4.3.3	intensity local competition:	0/./	
1.1.1	Political stability*	68.4	35	5	Business sophistication	31.0	83
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	72.9	38	5.1	Knowledge workers	26.8	94
1.1.3	Press freedom*	81.0	54	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	79.3	26	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*		37	5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*	80.2	31	5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	82.0	39	5.2	Innovation linkages	33.9	58
1.3	Business environment	92.5	8	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days	95.2	13	5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %	n/a	n/a
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	85.3	17	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
2	Human capital 0 vaccaveh	42.2	AF	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	n/a	n/a
2	Human capital & research		45	5.3	Knowledge absorption	32.4	69
2.1	Education	53.5	76	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	7.5	80
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/capSchool life expectancy, years			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	48.7	48
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	27.2	49
2.2	Tertiary education	53.3	6	6.1	Knowledge creation	2.0	108
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross		_	6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %	61.2	9	6.2	Knowledge impact	57.1	5
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	100.0	4	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	22.8	68	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop	n/a	n/a	6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	22.7	82
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	38.4	79	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
2	Informations	25.7	74	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3	Infrastructure	25.7	74	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	24.0	70	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	48.5	61
3.1.1	ICT access*			_			
3.1.2	ICT use*Government's Online Service*			7	Creative outputs	29.1	74
3.1.3	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	39.1	89
				7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	n/a	n/a	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/capElectricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.3	ICT & pragarizational models [†]		
3.2.2	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]		52
3.2.3	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	19.0	62
				7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	27.3	114	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1 3.3.2	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.3 7.2.4	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.4 7.2.5	Creative goods exports, %		
	2.1.2 g. ca. 1.2 a printe a procupacity, nor cup			,	E. ESCITE SELFICES EXPORES, 70		

Mexico

Population (millions)	Кеу	indicators			4	Market sophistication	37.2	73
Secretary Secr	Pop	ulation (millions)		110.6	4.1	•	33.4	85
Some					4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	50.0	71
Align: A					4.1.2	Depth of credit information*	100.0	1
Global Innovation Index	GDP	(US\$ billions)		8/4.8	4.1.3			
Communication Communicatio				5.1	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	4.0	46
1 Institutions Sa.6 76 A.3 Exercising the first state destination in the state in the state of th	Gla	aal Innovation Indov			4.2	Investment	27.4	66
Innovation Input Sub Index					4.2.1			
Market access trade restrictiveness**, % 907.	Innovation Output Sub-Index		23.4	88	4.2.2			
Comparison Com	Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	37.5	81				
Assistant content index 2009	Innovation Efficiency Index		0.6	90	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	30.5	63
A	•			69	4.3			63
Institutions								
Institutions	Global Illilovation liidex 2009							
Intensity local competition* 57.9 1.1.2 Political environment 44.1 81 81 1.1.1 Political stability* 22.2 95 5 Business sophistication 29.9 1.1.2 Government effectiveness* 60.5 58 5.1 Knowledge workers 37.4 1.1.3 Press freedom* 49.8 98 5.1.1 Knowledge workers 37.4 1.1.2 Regulatory quality* 610 58 5.1.3 Knowledge workers 37.4 1.1.2 Regulatory quality* 610 58 5.1.3 Robustory quality* 610 58 5.1.3 Regulatory quality* 610 59 5.1.4 Regulatory quality* 610 59 5.1.3 Regulatory quality* 610 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 5						. 9		
	1	Institutions	58.6	76				
1.13 Press freedom* 498	1.1	Political environment	44.1	81	4.3.3	intensity local competition:	37.9	92
1.12 Government effectiveness* .605	1.1.1	Political stability*	22.2	95	5	Business sophistication	29.9	89
1.12 Regulatory environment						-		72
1.21 Regulatory quality* 61.0 58 51.13 Regulatory growth 51.3 86 51.12 Firms offering formal training, % firms 24.8 1.22 Rule of law* 34.0 91 51.14 R&D financed by business, % 55.8 1.23 Rigidity of employment* 59.0 96 52.2 Innovation linkages 26.1 1.3 Business environment 80.4 62 52.1 University/industry collaboration* 45.4 1.3 Time to start a business, days 92.3 34 52.2 State of cluster development* 43.7 1.3 Cost to start a business, % income/cap 90.4 69 52.3 R&D financed by abroad, % 48. 1.3 Total tax rate, % profits 58.5 101 52.4 J/Ntrategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPPS 3.9 2 Human capital & research 34.7 73 52.5 PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, % 10.9 2 Human capital & research 34.7 73 53.1 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 6.7 2 Leducation 53.4 78 53.1 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 6.7 2 Leducation 57.0 47 57 57 57 57 57 2 Leducation 57.0 47 57 57 57 57 57 57 57	1.1.3	Press freedom*	49.8	98				
Rule of law*	1.2	Regulatory environment	51.3	86	5.1.2			
Rigidity of employment* 590	1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	61.0	58	5.1.3			
1.3 Business environment 80.4 62 5.21 University/Industry collaboration 45.4 1.3.1 Time to start a business, days.					5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	53.1	32
Time to start a business, days. 923 34 5.22 State of cluster development* 43.7.	1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	59.0	96	5.2	Innovation linkages	26.1	88
Total tax rate, % profits September	1.3				5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]	45.4	53
Total tax rate, % profits. 585 101 52.4 W/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$ 3.9					5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]	43.7	54
Second S								
Human capital & research 34.7 73 5.3 Knowledge absorption 26.1	1.3.3	lotal tax rate, % profits	58.5	101		9		
2.1 Education	2	Human canital & research	3/17	73	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	10.9	60
Education expenditure, % GNI.		-				,		
Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap 21.6 75 5.33 Computer & comm. service imports, % 0.8								
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
PISA scales in reading, maths, & science								
2.2 Tertiary education 31.5 57 6.1 Knowledge creation 3.9 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross 27.4 71 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 3.4 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % 43.4 23 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 1.7 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % 49.2 24 6.1.3 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 1.7 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % 9.5 108 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$ 7.9 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound enrolment, % 9.5 108 6.2 Knowledge impact 18.0 1 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 2.8 90 6.2.1 Knowledge impact 18.0 1 2.3.1 Research & development (R&D) 19.0 78 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs. 48. 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP. 7.2 58 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 28.2 2.3.3 </td <td>2.1.4</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>3.3.4</td> <td>FDITIET IIIIOWS, % GDF</td> <td>42.9</td> <td>/ 3</td>	2.1.4				3.3.4	FDITIET IIIIOWS, % GDF	42.9	/ 3
2.2 Tertiary education 31.5 57 6.1 Knowledge creation 3.9 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross 27.4 71 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 3.4 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % 43.4 23 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 1.7 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % 49.2 24 6.1.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 1.7 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % n/a n/a 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$ 7.9 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 95 108 6.2 Knowledge impact 18.0 1 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound enrolment, % 2.8 90 6.2 Knowledge impact 18.0 1 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 19.0 78 6.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs 4.8 2.3.1 Researchers head-count/million pop 3.2 66 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 10.5 2.	2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	73.6	79	6	Scientific outputs	16.7	102
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	2.2	Tertiary education	31.5	57	6.1	•	3.9	84
2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, %			27.4	71		3		71
2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	2.2.2	Graduates in science, %	43.4	23	6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$	1.7	54
2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, %	2.2.3				6.1.3			
2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 2.8 90 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 19.0 78 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 3.2 66 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 7.2 58 2.3.3 Quality research institutions 4.6.7 56 3.1 Infrastructure 27.0 65 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 29.0 57 3.1.1 ICT access* 348 69 3.1.2 ICT use* 11.7 65 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 44.1 37 3.1.4 E-Participation* 37.1 33 3.2 Energy 15.7 85 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 12.1 68 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 8.0 70 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq 31.2 49 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use \$\frac{9}{2}\$ \$\frac{6.2.1}{6.2.2} Knowledge impact	2.2.4				6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	7.9	69
2.3 Research & development (R&D) 19.0 78 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 3.2 66 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 7.2 58 2.3.3 Quality research institutions 4.6.7 56 3.1 Infrastructure 27.0 65 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 29.0 57 3.1.1 ICT access* 34.8 69 3.1.2 ICT use* 11.7 65 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 44.1 37 3.1.4 E-Participation* 37.1 33 3.2 Energy 15.7 85 3.2.2 Electricity output, kWh/cap 12.1 68 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 31.2 49 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use % 58 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, % 34.8 8.6 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs. 48. 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 10.5 6.2.3 Knowledge diffusion 28.2 6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP 10.0 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % 45.3 Computer & comm service exports, % 7.8 FDI net outflows, % GDP 49.7 7.1 Creative outputs 30.1 7.1 Creative intangibles 44.2 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a 12.1 68 7.1.3 ICT & business models 1 5.7 6.1 5.7 6.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1					6.2	Knowledge impact	18.0	105
23.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 3.2	2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	2.8	90	6.2.1			
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP					6.2.2			
2.3.3 Quality research institutions [†]		· ·			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	10.5	52
3 Infrastructure 27.0 65 63.2 High-tech exports less receipts, % GDP 10.0 63.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % 45.3 63.3 Computer & comm service exports, % 45.3 63.3 Computer & comm service exports, % 78. 63.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 49.7 63.1 ICT access* 348. 69 83.1 ICT use* 311.7 65 7 Creative outputs 30.1 80.1 63.2 E-Participation* 37.1 33 7.1 33 7.1 20 Domestic rest trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 24.3 85 7.1 Domestic rest trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 24.3 85 7.1 ICT & business models† 57.6 85 7.1 ICT & business models† 57.6 85 7.1 ICT & organizational models† 57.9 9.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 31.2 49 7.2 Creative goods & services 16.0					6.3	Knowledge diffusion	28.2	59
3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 29.0 57 3.1.1 ICT access*	2.3.3	Quality research institutions	46./	56	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	10.0	34
3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 29.0 57 3.1.1 ICT access* 34.8 69 3.1.2 ICT use* 11.7 65 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 44.1 37 3.1.4 E-Participation* 37.1 33 3.2 Energy 15.7 85 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 12.1 68 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 8.0 70 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 31.2 49 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use % 5.8 66 3.3 Computer & comm service exports, % 7.8 63.3 Computer & comm service exports, % 7.8 63.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 49.7 3.6 Computer & comm service exports, % 7.8 63.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 49.7 3.7 Creative outputs 30.1 3.1 Creative intangibles 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 24.3 24.3 24.3 25.3 ICT & business models† 57.6 25.9 26.3 ICT & organizational models† 50.9 26.3 ICT & organizational models† 50.9 27.2 27.2 27.2 27.3 ICT & organizational models† 50.9 27.3 ICT & organizational models† 50.0 27.3 ICT & organizational models† 50.9 27.3 IC	3	Infrastructure	27.0	65	6.3.2	3		
3.1.1 ICT access*						·		
3.1.2 ICT use* 11.7 .65 7 Creative outputs 30.1 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* .44.1 .37 3.1.4 E-Participation* .37.1 .33 3.2 Energy 15.7 85 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$					6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	49./	42
3.1.3 Government's Online Service*					7	Creative outnuts	30 1	71
3.1.4 E-Participation* 37.1 33 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 24.3 24.3 3.2 Energy 15.7 85 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	3.1.3	Government's Online Service*	44.1	37		-		
3.2 Energy 15.7 85 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	3.1.4	E-Participation*	37.1	33				68 37
3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap	3.2	Energy	15.7	85				
3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 8.0 70 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models 5.9.9 5.9 16.0		3,						
3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use % 5.8 66 7.2 Creative goods & services 16.0		Electricity consumption, kWh/capita	8.0	70				
3.24 Share of renewables in energy use % 5.8 66					7)	Creative goods & services	16.0	66
7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption % 41.1	3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	5.8	66	7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3 General infrastructure 36.4 58 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop	3.3	General infrastructure	36.4	58				
3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*48.843 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop	3.3.1							
3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP28.653 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, %19.3								
3.3.3 Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap84 7.2.5 Creative services exports, %4.5	3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap	31.8	84	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	4.5	59

Moldova, Rep.

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	38.6	70
Popu	ılation (millions)		3.6	4.1	Credit	24.0	104
	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	2,854.3		4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		
GDP (US\$ billions)		,		4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
UDP	(מיז אוווטווצ)		5.4	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	12.3	33
Glob	oal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	33.6	46
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
Innovation Output Sub-Index				4.2.2 4.2.3	Market capitalization, % GDP Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innovation Input Sub-Index				4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	ation Efficiency Index						
Global Innovation Index 2010			n/a	4.3 4.3.1	Trade & competition Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %	58.3	33 48
Global Innovation Index 2009			116	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	61.2	72	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	47.8	<i>78</i>	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	55.4	95
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Pusinoss conhistication	27.3	97
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*				Business sophistication		
1.1.3	Press freedom*			5.1	Knowledge workers	39.0	65
1.2	Regulatory environment	48.7	91	5.1.1 5.1.2	Knowledge-intensive employment, % Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*			5.2	•	14.6	121
1.3	Business environment	87.1	24	5.2.1	Innovation linkages University/industry collaboration†		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	78.4	33	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
_				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	43.6	41	5.3	Knowledge absorption	28.1	83
2.1	Education	<i>78.9</i>	3	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	46.0	58
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Crientific outnuts	36.8	28
					Scientific outputs		
2.2 2.2.1	Tertiary education Tertiary enrolment, % gross	35.5	47	6.1	Knowledge creation	43.4	15
2.2.1	Graduates in science, %			6.1.1 6.1.2	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	40.0	
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	54.1	11	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	16.3	94	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop	5.4	58	6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	27.0	62
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	32.8	98	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
2	In Constructions	24.2	100	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3	Infrastructure	21.3	103	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	24.0	71	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*			_			
3.1.2 3.1.3	ICT use*Government's Online Service*			7	Creative outputs	41.1	32
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	55.4	24
	·			7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	6.0	113	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2 3.2.3	Electricity consumption, kWh/capitaGDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	41.9	105
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	26.7	49
				7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	34.0	74	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1 3.3.2	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*. Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.3 7.2.4	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.4 7.2.5	Creative goods exports, %		
ر.د.د	деогодист гоогринг а втосарасту, на/сар	J-T.J	∪ 1	7.2.7	creative services exports, /0	1 0./	

I: Country/Economy Profiles

Mongolia

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	46.6	41
Popu	ulation (millions)		2.7	4.1	Credit	48.9	37
-	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	2	3,522.3	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3		4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		4.2	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	17.2	56
				4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	100.0	1
Clal	oal Innovation Index	Score 0–100	Rank	4.2	Investment	32.3	51
GIOI	oal innovation index	33.4	08	4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	63.0	27
Innov	ation Output Sub-Index	24.5	81	4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP	3.9	85
Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	42.3	52	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.6	102	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	92.1	4
Globa	I Innovation Index 2010		87	4.3	Trade & competition	58.5	31
	I Innovation Index 2009			4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
diona	i illiovation muex 2009		103	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	66.3	61	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	52.5	67	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	58.9	89
1.1.1	Political stability*	55.2	49	5	Business sophistication	32.2	79
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	22.9	112	5.1	Knowledge workers	36.9	74
1.1.3	Press freedom*	79.5	61	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	55.6	<i>7</i> 3	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	40.5	91	5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*	43.4	74	5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	3.3	68
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	83.0	34	5.2	Innovation linkages	24.5	95
1.3	Business environment	90.8	15	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days	88.5	48	5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	86.4	14	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
2	Home a conital O mass and	241	75	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	0.0	73
2	Human capital & research		75	5.3	Knowledge absorption	35.2	59
2.1	Education	57.0	63	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	3.5	94
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap School life expectancy, years			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	100.0	4
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	27.4	48
2.2	Tertiary education	31.9	55		-	38.7	18
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1 6.1.1	Knowledge creation Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.1	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	0.7	79	6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %	33.0	41	6.2	Knowledge impact	n/a	n/a
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	35.9	20	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	13.4	105	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop	5.0	60	6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	16.0	119
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	31.1	104	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
2	Infunctions	22.4	42	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3	Infrastructure	32.4	43	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	25.5	66	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	50.9	35
3.1.1	ICT access*			_		24.6	440
3.1.2 3.1.3	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	21.6	110
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	38.0	94
				7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Electricity output kWh/cap	5.7	114	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1 3.2.2	Electricity output, kWh/capElectricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.3 7.1.4	ICT & business models†		
3.2.2	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq				ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	5.2	101
3.3	General infrastructure	66.0	1	7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure			7.2.2 7.2.3	National feature films/mn pop Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.3 7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.5	Creative services exports, %		94
				7.2.3			

Morocco

Population (millions) 32.4 4.1 Cerefit 30.0 60.00	Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	34.4	84
COPP per capita, PPP (current international 5)	Popu	ılation (millions)		32.4	4.1	•	38.1	68
Sees First Sees			Δ	1911	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	30.0	97
Simple Sant Microfinance gross loans, % CDP Sant New York Sant New Yor		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	7	•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*	83.3	25
Sare-1-19	GDP	(US\$ DIIIIONS)		91.4				
Investigation Investigatio			C 0 100	Da ala	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	8.7	36
Strength of Investor Discretion 1,000 1,	Glob	al Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	22.5	86
Immunition Imput Sub- Index					4.2.1			
A		·						
Second S		•						
Align: Content of the Normal Content of th	Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.6	105				
Market access tade restrictiveness*, %	Globa	I Innovation Index 2010		94				
Institutions	Globa	I Innovation Index 2009		82				
Institutions								
Intention	4	In a titue ti a ma	F7.6	00		. 9		
	-							
1.12 Covernment effectiveness*								
Press freedom*		,			5	Business sophistication	24.1	110
1.2. Regulatory environment					5.1	Knowledge workers	20.1	111
1.21 Regulatron quality" 5.19 73 5.13 RBD performed by business, % 26.0 6.60 5.14 1.22 Rigidity of employment" 400 1.21 5.2 Innovation linkages 21.7 109 1.33 Business environment 81.5 57 5.21 University/industry collaboration 34.9 95 1.33 2.05 to start a business, & m.come/cap 87.7 80 5.23 State of cluster development" 420 6.1 1.32 Cost to start a business, & m.come/cap 87.7 80 5.23 RBD financed by abroad, by the start of cluster development 420 6.1 1.32 1.33 Total tax rate, % profits 67.4 70 5.24 M/Strategic alliance deals/fr GOP PPPS 9.2 5.9 5.23 RBD financed by abroad, by the start of cluster development 420 6.1 5.2 M/Strategic alliance deals/fr GOP PPPS 9.2 5.9 5.3 M/Strategic alliance deals/fr GOP PPPS 9.2 5.9 5.3 M/Strategic alliance deals/fr GOP PPPS 9.2 5.3 M/Strategic alliance deals/fr GOP PPPS 9.3 3.0 5.0 M/Strategic alliance deals/fr GOP PPPS 9.3 3.0 5.0 M/Strategic alliance deals/fr GOP PPPS 9.3 3.0 5.0 M/Strategic alliance deals/fr GOP					5.1.1	. ,		
1.22 Rule of law*						9		
1.23 Rigidity of employment* 40.0 121 5.2 Innovation linkages 21.7 109								
1.3 Business environment 81.5 57 5.2 University/industry collaboration* 34.9 95 1.3.1 Time to star a business, days 89.4 43 5.22 State of cluster development* 42.0 61 1.3.2 Cost to star a business, wincome/cap. 88.7 70 5.24 JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$ 0.0 73 1.3.2 Total tax rate, % profits 67.4 70 5.24 JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$ 0.0 73 1.3.3 Total tax rate, % profits 54.8 72 72 JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$ 0.0 73 2.1 Education 54.8 72 72 JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$ 0.0 73 2.1 Education 54.8 72 73 Knowledge absorption 30.4 76 68 84 2.1 Education 54.8 72 5.31 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 68 8.8 2.1 Education 54.8 72 5.31 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 68 8.8 2.1 Education 54.8 72 5.32 High-tech imports less re-imports, % 193 6.4 2.1 PUB-teacher ratio, secondary 77.1 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 7					5.1.4	,	26.5	54
1.3.1 Time to start a business, days						3		
1.3.2 Cost to start a business, \(\) \\ \(\) \\ \(\) \\ \(\) \\ \(\) \\ \(\) \\ \(\) \\ \(\) \\ \(\) \\ \(\) \\ \(\) \\ \(\) \\ \(\) \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\								
1.3.3 Total tax rate, % profits								
Human capital & research 38.0 61 5.3 Knowledge absorption 30.4 76								
Human capital & research 38.0 61 5.3 Knowledge absorption 30.4 76	1.5.5	rotal tax race, 70 profits						
2.1 Education 54.8 72 53.3 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 30.4 70 2.1.1 Education expenditure, % GNI 58.2 32 53.2 High-tech imports less re-imports, % n/a	2	Human capital & research	38.0	61				
Education expenditure, % GNI 58.2 32 53.2 High-tech imports less re-imports, % n/a n/a n/a	2.1	-		72		,		
2.1.1 Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap	2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI	58.2	32				
2.1.1 School life expectancy, years	2.1.2					- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary .77.1 .75 6 Scientific outputs 19.5 87 2.2 Tertiary education 40.9 28 6.1 Knowledge creation 5.8 73 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross 12.7 89 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS .7.7 .62 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % 100.0 .1 61.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS .7.4 .58 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % 38.7 .39 61.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPPS .7.4 .74 2.2.4 Tertiary outbound mobility, % .5.8 .56 61.4 Scientific at technical articles/bn GDP PPPS .8.3 .67 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % .43.5 .25 62.1 Growth rate of GDP PPPS/worker, % .48.8 .34 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 163.3 .51 62.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs. .99 .49 2.3.1 Research & development (R&D)					5.3.4			
2.2 Tertiary education 40.9 28 6.1 Knowledge creation 5.8 73 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross						6: 4:6	40.5	07
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	•	19.5	8/
2.2.2 Graduates in science, % 100.0 .1 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 1.4 .58 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % .38.7 .39 6.1.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$./a								
2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % 38.7 39 6.1.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a n/a 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % 5.8 56 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$ 8.3 67 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 43.5 25 6.2 Knowledge impact 25.6 82 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 16.3 51 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, % 48.8 .34 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 18.3 85 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs .99 .49 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 6.8 52 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP. 10.5 .51 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP. 12.6 .45 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 27.0 63 3.1 Infrastructure 29.2 57 6.3.2 High-tech exports less receipts, % GDP. .0.3 .76 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT)						·		
2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % 5.8 56 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$ 8.3 67 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 43.5 25 6.2 Knowledge impact 25.6 82 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 16.3 51 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, % 48.8 .34 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 18.3 85 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs 99 .49 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop .6.8 52 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 10.5 .51 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 12.6 .45 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 27.0 63 3.1 Infrastructure 29.2 57 6.3.3 Hogh-tech exports less re-exports, % GDP .0.3 .76 3.1.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 21.4 81 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 48.7 .56 3.1.2 ICT use* 12.6 61 <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>·</td> <td></td> <td></td>		•				·		
2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 43.5 25 6.2 Knowledge impact 25.6 82 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 16.3 51 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, % 48.8 .34 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 18.3 85 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs .99 .49 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. .6.8 52 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 10.5 .51 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 12.6 .45 .45 .6.3 Knowledge diffusion .27.0 .63 2.3.3 Quality research institutions* .35.6 .86 6.3 Knowledge diffusion .27.0 .63 3.1 Infrastructure .29.2 .57 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-ceipts, % GDP .03 .76 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) .21.4 .81 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP .48.7 .56 3.1.1 ICT access* .33.3						, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
22.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 16.3 51 6.2 Now businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs 9.9 49 2.3.1 Research & development (R&D) 18.3 85 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs 9.9 49 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 6.8 52 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 10.5 51 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 12.6 45 2.3.3 Quality research institutions 3.5.6 86 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 27.0 63 2.3.1 Infrastructure 29.2 57 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % GDP 0.3 76 3.1 Infra & comm. technologies (ICT) 21.4 81 6.3 FDI net outflows, % GDP 48.7 56 3.1.1 ICT access 3.3.3 78 3.1.2 ICT use 3.3.3 9.4 7.1 Creative outputs 22.1 109 3.1.3 Government's Online Service 3.2.3 9.9 7.1 Creative intangibles 35.7 101 3.1.4 E-Participation 3.4 9.4 7.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 9.9 3.36 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 3.4 9.4 7.1.3 ICT & business models 46.8 105 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 3.0 92 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models 44.1 88 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPPS/kg oil eq. 60.7 5 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 2.4 89 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % n/a n/a 3.3 General infrastructure 44.0 19 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 4.3 60 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 33.3. 85 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. n/a n/a 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 6.8 5. 86								
2.3 Research & development (R&D) 18.3 85 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs 9.9 49 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 6.8 52 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 10.5 51 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 12.6 45 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 27.0 63 2.3.3 Quality research institutions† 35.6 86 6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP 0.3 76 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 21.4 81 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % n/a n/a n/a 3.1.1 ICT access* 33.3 .78 78 71 10 10 10 48.7 56 3.1.2 ICT use* 12.6 61 7 Creative outputs 22.1 109 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 23.8 .94 7.1 Creative outputs 22.1 109 3.1.4 E-Participation* 12.9 7						3 ,		
2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. .6.8 .52 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP .10.5 .51 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP .12.6 .45 .45 .6.3 Knowledge diffusion .27.0 .63 3.3 Quality research institutions† .35.6 .86 .86 .6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP .0.3 .76 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) .21.4 .81 .6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, %	23	Research & development (R&D)	18 3	85				
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP								
Infrastructure 29.2 57 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, %								
3 Infrastructure 29.2 57 6.3.2 (6.3.3) High-tech exports less re-exports, % (6.3.3) Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 21.4 (6.3.3) 81 6.3.3 (6.3.4) Computer & comm service exports, % (6.3.2)	2.3.3	Quality research institutions†	35.6	86				
3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 21.4 81 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 48.7 56 3.1.1 ICT access* 33.3 78 3.1.2 ICT use* 12.6 61 7 Creative outputs 22.1 109 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 23.8 94 3.1.4 E-Participation* 12.9 76 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 29.4 29 3.2 Energy 22.1 56 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 9.3 36 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 3.4 94 7.1.3 ICT & business models* 46.8 105 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 3.0 92 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models* 44.1 88 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq 60.7 5 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 24 89 3.3.3 General infrastructure 44.0 19 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 4.3 60 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 33.3 85 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. n/a n/a 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 63.5 8 7.2 Creative goods exports, % 32.0 66.7 3.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 12.7 61		1.6	20.2					
3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 21.4 81 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 48.7 56 3.1.1 ICT access* 33.3 78 3.1.2 ICT use* 12.6 61 7 Creative outputs 22.1 109 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 23.8 .94 7.1 Creative intangibles 35.7 101 3.1.4 E-Participation* 12.9 .76 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$.29.4 .29 3.2 Energy 22.1 56 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$.93 .36 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 3.4 .94 7.1.3 ICT & business models† .46.8 .105 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 3.0 .92 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† .44.1 .88 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. .60.7 .5 .5 .7.2 Creative goods & services 8.6 90 3.3.1 <td>3</td> <td></td> <td>29.2</td> <td>5/</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	3		29.2	5/				
3.1.2 ICT use* 12.6 61 7 Creative outputs 22.1 109 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 23.8 .94 3.1.4 E-Participation* 12.9 .76 7.1 Creative intangibles 35.7 101 3.2 Energy 22.1 .56 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$.93 .36 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap. 3.4 .94 7.1.3 ICT & business models† .46.8 .105 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 3.0 .92 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† .44.1 .88 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. .60.7 .5 .5 .7.2 Creative goods & services 8.6 .90 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % .24 .89 .7.2 Creative goods & services 8.6 .90 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* .33.3 .85 .72.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. n/a n/a 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP .63.5 .8 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 23.8. 94 3.1.4 E-Participation*					_			
3.1.4 E-Participation* 12.9 76 7.1 Creative intangibles 35.7 101 3.2 Energy 22.1 56 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 29.4 29 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 3.4 94 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 46.8 105 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 3.0 92 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 44.1 88 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 60.7 5 7.2 Creative goods & services 8.6 90 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 2.4 89 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % .n/a .n/a 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 33.3 85 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. .n/a .n/a 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 63.5 8 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 12.7 61					7	Creative outputs	22.1	109
3.2 Energy 22.1 56 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 9.3 36 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 3.4 94 7.1.3 ICT & business models [†] 46.8 105 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 3.0 92 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models [†] 44.1 88 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 60.7 5 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 24 89 7.2 Creative goods & services 8.6 90 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 33.3 85 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate popn/an/a 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 63.5 8 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 12.7 61					7.1			
3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap								
3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 3.0 92 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models [†] 44.1 88 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 60.7 5 7.2 Creative goods & services 8.6 90 3.3 General infrastructure 44.0 19 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % n.7a n.7a 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 33.3 85 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. n.7a n.7a 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 63.5 8 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 12.7 61								
3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq								
3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, %						J.		
3.3 General infrastructure 44.0 19 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, %								
3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*								
3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP								
					7.2.5	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Namibia

Population (millions)	Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	39.4	65
141 Depth of credit information** 83 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	Pop	ulation (millions)		2.2	4.1	•	39.9	61
Second S	GDP	per capita. PPP (current international \$)	6	.410.1	4.1.1			
A		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· ·	•		•		
Simple	ישט	(טיין אַנווווווון אָנט)		9.3		·		
Investment 16.1 117 116 117 116 117 116 117 116 117 116 117 116 117 116 117 116 117 116 117 116 117 116 117 116 117 116 117 116 117 116 117 116 117 116 117 116 117 11			Score 0-100	Rank		Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	0.1	/5
Servegith of investor protections 18.5 116	Glo	bal Innovation Index						
Institutions						Strength of investor protection*	53.0	55
		•						
Comparison Com		'						
A		,						
1	Globa	Il Innovation Index 2010		92		•		
Institutions	Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		95				
Intensity					4.3.3			
Political anvironment	1	Institutions	72 6	44	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP	41.6	42
Political stability*	-				4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	60.4	83
1.13 Press freedom* 92.6 20 5.1 Knowledge workers 33.8 62					E	Pusiness conhistication	40.0	40
1.1.5 Regulatory environment		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				-		
1.22 Regulatory environment 67.4 51 51.2 Firms offering formal training, % firms .497. 288 Regulatory quality* .538 .71 .51.3 RRD performed by business, % n/a	1.1.3							
1.21 Regulatory quality*	12	Regulatory environment	67.4	51				
1.22 Rule of law*								
1.33 Business environment 74.4 84 5.21 University/inclustry collaboration 398. 73 73.4 74.5 73.1 73.4 74.5 74.4 73.1 73.4 74.5 74.4 73.1 73.4 74.5 74.4 74.5 74.4 74.5								
1,33	1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	87.0	27	5.2	,		
1.3.1 Time to start a business, days 375 120 5.22 State of cluster development* 37.4 76 76 73.1 76 75 75 72 75 75 75 75 75	1.3	Business environment	74.4	84		3		
1.33 Cost to start a business, % income/cap 85.6 86 52.3 R&D financed by abroad, % n/a								
No. State								
Human capital & research 37.9 62 53.8 Knowledge absorption 32.0 71	1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	100.0	1	5.2.4			
Education S3.9 74 S3.1 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 7.6 7.7 7.6 7.9 7.6 7.9 7.6 7.7 7.6 7.7 7.6 7.8 7.6				45	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	100.0	1
2.11 Education 53.9 74 53.1 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 7.6 79 2.1.1 Education expenditure, % GNII	2	Human capital & research	37.9	62	5.3	Knowledge absorption	32.0	71
Education expenditure, % GNI	2.1					,		79
2.13 School life expectancy, years		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			5.3.2			
PISA scales in reading, maths, & science					5.3.3			
Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 57.7 102 6 Scientific outputs 14.1 113					5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	58.6	25
2.2 Tertiary education 19.4 96 6.1 Knowledge creation 14.9 50 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross					6	Scientific outputs	1/1	112
22.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross. 8.6 .99 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a n/a 22.2 Graduates in science, % .00 .93 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$.27.0 .24 22.3 Graduates in engineering, % .00 .93 6.1.3 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$.70 .24 22.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % .40.9 .15 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$.28 .104 22.5 Tertiary outbound enrolment, % .49.2 .13 6.2 Knowledge impact n/a n/a n/a 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % .49.2 .13 6.2 Knowledge impact n/a n/a n/a 2.3.1 Research & development (R&D) 40.2 .34 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs .n/a n/a 2.3.1 Research & development (R&D) 40.2 .74 6.3 Knowledge impact n/a n/a n/a 2.3.1						-		
2.2.2 Graduates in science, % 0.0 93 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 27.0 .24 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % 0.0 .93 6.1.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.n/a .n/a 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % .676 .6 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % .676 .6 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % .49.2 .13 .6.2.1 Knowledge impact n/a n/a n/a 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 40.2 .34 .6.2.1 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs .n/a .n/a 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. .n/a .n/a .6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs .n/a .n/a 2.3.1 Research & development (R&D) 40.2 .74 .6.3 Knowledge impact .n/a .n/a 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP. .n/a .n/a						_		
22.3 Graduates in engineering, %						·		
2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, %						· · · ·		
22.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, %		3 3						
2.3 Research & development (R&D)	2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %	67.6	6	6.2			
2.3 Research & development (R&D) 40.2 34 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs	2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	49.2	13				
2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop	2.3	Research & development (R&D)	40.2	34				
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	2.3.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	n/a	n/a				
Section Sect	2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	n/a	n/a				
3 Infrastructure 24.4 85 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, %	2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	40.2	74		3		
3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 3.1.1 ICT access* 3.2 ICT use* 3.3 Government's Online Service* 3.1 E-Participation* 3.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap. 3.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 3.3 General infrastructure 3.4 Share of renewables in energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 3.5 General infrastructure 3.6 Computer & comm service exports, % 5.0. 116 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP	2	Infrastrustura	24.4	O.F.				
3.1.1 ICT access*					6.3.3			
3.1.2 ICT use* 1.8 108 7 Creative outputs 22.8 106 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 6.7 118 3.1.4 E-Participation* 1.4 116 3.2 Energy 24.8 41 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 0.0 54 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap. 5.1 87 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 47.4 101 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 7.5 72 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 48.5 74 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 56.9 8 7.2 Creative goods & services 7.2 95 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 11.1 49 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 5.9 56 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 17.8 121 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 8.2 46 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 40.8 .24 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 12.1 .65 <					6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	47.2	110
3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 6.7. 118 3.1.4 E-Participation* 1.4. 116 3.2 Energy 24.8 41 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap. 5.1. 87 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 7.1. ICT & business models† 47.4. 101 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 7.5. 72 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 56.9. 8 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 11.1. 49 3.3 General infrastructure 39.0 41 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 17.8. 121 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 40.8. 24 3.3.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP 40.8. 24 3.4 Creative goods exports, % 12.1 65					-		22.0	100
3.14 E-Participation* 1.4 116 7.1 Creative intangibles 38.4 90 3.2 Energy 24.8 41 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 0.0. 54 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap. 5.1 87 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 47.4 101 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 7.5 7.2 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 48.5 74 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 56.9 8 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 11.1 49 3.3 General infrastructure 39.0 41 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 5.9 56 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 17.8 121 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 8.2 46 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 40.8 24 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 12.1 65					/	•	22.8	106
3.2 Energy 24.8 41 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/on GDP PPP\$ 0.0. 54 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap. 5.1 87 7.1.3 ICT & business models 4.7.4 101 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 7.5 7.2 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models 4.8.5 74 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 56.9 8 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, 11.1 49 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % n/a n/a 3.3 General infrastructure 39.0 41 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 5.9 56 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 17.8 121 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 8.2 46 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 40.8 24 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 12.1 65								
3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap								
3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita .75 .72 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models *		3,						
3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq								
3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, %								
3.3 General infrastructure 39.0 41 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, %								
3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*17.8121 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop8.2								
3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP								
	3.3.3				7.2.5			

Netherlands

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	61.8	11
Pop	ulation (millions)		16.7	4.1	Credit	71.7	14
	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	40	,714.7	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	60.0	57
			•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		792.1	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Glo	bal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	43.4	28
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	•			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.3 4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	ation Efficiency Index						
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		8	4.3 4.3.1	Trade & competition Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %	70.3	11
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		10	4.3.1	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	87.5	13	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	92.4	10	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	79.5	8
1.1.1	Political stability*			E	Pusiness conhistisation	61.6	0
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5	Business sophistication	61.6	8
1.1.3	Press freedom*			5.1	Knowledge workers	77.3	10
1.2	Regulatory environment	84.1	20	5.1.1 5.1.2	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.3	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*				,		
1.3	Business environment	85.8	34	5.2	Innovation linkages University/industry collaboration†	53.5	16
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.1 5.2.2	State of cluster development		
1.3.1	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	47.6	32	5.3	Knowledge absorption	54.1	12
2.1	Education	70.6	16	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI	53.2	38	5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap	41.0	29	5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			_			
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	85.4	53	6	Scientific outputs	53.8	6
2.2	Tertiary education	28.4	73	6.1	Knowledge creation	55.4	11
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, % Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	39.7	
	•			6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	43.9	27	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	/4.9	3
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP Quality research institutions [†]			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	66.4	6
2.5.5	Quality research institutions			6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	43.6	18	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	68.3	8	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1.1	ICT access*			6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP		10
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	50.6	8
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*	67.9	12	7.1	Creative intangibles	46.7	55
3.1.4	E-Participation*	60.0	16	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	23.3	48	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.2	Creative goods & services	54.5	2
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	3.0	83	7.2 7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	39.2	40	7.2.1	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*	·81.3	2	7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap.			7.2.5	Creative services exports, %		

I: Country/Economy Profiles

New Zealand

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	57.9	18
Popu	ılation (millions)		4.3	4.1	Credit	79.3	9
	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	20	,072.2	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		1
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		126.7	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
				4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Clal	oal Innovation Index	Score 0–100	Rank	4.2	Investment	48.3	19
GIOI	oai innovation index	55.8	13	4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	97.0	1
Innov	ation Output Sub-Index	46.6	15	4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP	21.5	36
Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	61.0	15	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.8	46	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	68.0	24
Globa	Innovation Index 2010		9	4.3	Trade & competition	46.3	87
	Innovation Index 2009			4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
diona	Tilliovation index 2009		21	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	94.0	2	4.3.4 4.3.5	Exports of goods & services, % GDPIntensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	93.6	9	4.3.3	intensity local competition:	00.2	01
1.1.1	Political stability*	84.9	13	5	Business sophistication	48.7	31
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	97.6	6	5.1	Knowledge workers	66.0	27
1.1.3	Press freedom*	98.4	8	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	96.9	3	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %	50.2	40
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	47.2	39
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	93.0	10	5.2	Innovation linkages	43.2	31
1.3	Business environment	91.5	14	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days	100.0	1	5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	74.9	46	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
2	Human canital & recearch	E6 1	15	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	30.1	31
2	Human capital & research		15	5.3	Knowledge absorption	36.8	49
2.1	Education Education expenditure, % GNI	76.8	6	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1 2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	31.4	121
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	47.6	16
2.2	Tertiary education	42.4	22	6.1	Knowledge creation	64.6	8
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		_
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.	n/a	n/a
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	79.5	4
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	54.7	10
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	16.6	50	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	50.1	20	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs	100.0	3
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	19.4	36
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	23.5	77
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	/ 2. 1	14	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	47.8	8	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	64.7	12	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1.1	ICT access*			6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	45.9	115
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	45.6	15
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*				-		
3.1.4	E-Participation*	77.1	4	7.1 7.1.1	Creative intangibles Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	<i>60.1</i>	13
3.2	Energy	31.6	12	7.1.1	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.2	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models†		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.2	Creative goods & services	31.1	35
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	22.4	28	7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	47.1	16	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap	o60.2	8	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	24.1	32

Nicaragua

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	43.5	49
Popu	ulation (millions)		5.8	4.1	Credit	48.4	40
	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	2	,641.3	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	30.0	97
			•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		6.1	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	100.0	4
Glol	oal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	33.3	47
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	50.0	70
	·			4.2.2 4.2.3	Market capitalization, % GDP Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.3	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	ation Efficiency Index					48.7	73
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		117	4.3 4.3.1	Trade & competition Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		114	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	40.8	116	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	39.3	93	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	48.8	114
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	26.7	99
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	Knowledge workers	27.9	92
1.1.3	Press freedom*	76.4	68	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	44.1	97	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	37.6	97	5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	73.0	64	5.2	Innovation linkages	19.4	113
1.3	Business environment	39.1	121	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2 1.3.3	Cost to start a business, % income/cap Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
1.5.5	Total tax rate, % profits	43.0	113	5.2.4 5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	19.4	120				
2.1	Education	35.2	112	5.3 5.3.1	Knowledge absorption Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	32.8	66
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI	28.2	97	5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	66.4	19
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Crientific outnuts	11 /	120
				6	Scientific outputs	11.4	120
2.2 2.2.1	Tertiary education Tertiary enrolment, % gross	14.1	111	6.1 6.1.1	Knowledge creation Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$	3.3	94
2.2.1	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	n/a	n/a
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	4.3	83	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	8.8	119	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP Quality research institutions [†]			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	19.6	101
2.3.3	Quality rescurer institutions		1 1 7	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	25.3	77	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	18.2	91	6.3.3 6.3.4	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1.1	ICT access*	25.4	95	0.5.4	1 Di Net outilows, 70 dbi		
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	29.4	73
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7.1	Creative intangibles	46.3	56
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	22.6	52	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/capElectricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.3	ICT & propriettional models [†]		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	12.6	79
3.3	General infrastructure	34.9	69	7.2.1 7.2.2	Recreation & culture consumption, % National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*			7.2.2	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP	31.4	45	7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.5	Creative services exports, %		

Niger

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	22.4	123
Popu	lation (millions)		15.9	4.1	Credit	10.8	120
-	per capita, PPP (current international \$)		674.6	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		
				4.1.2	Depth of credit information*	16.7	105
GDP	(US\$ billions)		5.4	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
				4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	2.5	52
Clah	al Innovation Indov	Score 0–100	Rank	4.2	Investment	22.0	91
	al Innovation Index			4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	33.0	113
Innova	ition Output Sub-Index	11.4	124	4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
Innova	ition Input Sub-Index	31.4	102	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innova	ition Efficiency Index	0.4	124	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	0.0	69
Global	Innovation Index 2010		n/a	4.3	Trade & competition	34.5	115
Global	Innovation Index 2009		n/a	4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
diobai	milovation index 2007		u	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	40.1	117	4.3.4 4.3.5	Exports of goods & services, % GDPIntensity local competition		
1.1	Political environment	36.3	102	7.5.5	intensity local competition	I I/ a	11/ a
1.1.1	Political stability*	14.2	107	5	Business sophistication	45.4	35
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	Knowledge workers	34.2	80
1.1.3	Press freedom*	69.9	79	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	32.5	116	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	32.0	123	5.2	Innovation linkages	66.7	3
1.3	Business environment	51.6	117	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration†		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	62.6	85	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
2	Human capital & research	29.0	100	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	100.0	I
2.1	Education	34.6	114	5.3	Knowledge absorption	35.2	58
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.2 5.3.3	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.5.7	TDITICE IIIIOWS, 70 GDT	> 5.2	
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	45.1	112	6	Scientific outputs	17.6	97
2.2	Tertiary education	23.4	88	6.1	Knowledge creation	3.4	90
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	1.0	119	6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$	n/a	n/a
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	6.7	74
2.2.5 2.2.6	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	30.0	67
				6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	n/a	n/a	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.2 2.3.3	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDPQuality research institutions [†]			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	19.4	103
2.5.5	Quality research histotichinis	1 // 0	11/ G	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	20.3	110	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	7.6	124	6.3.3 6.3.4	Computer & comm service exports, %FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*			0.5.4	FDI Net Outflows, % GDP	48.5	00
3.1.2	ICT use*	0.2	122	7	Creative outputs	5.2	124
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			<i>7.1</i>	Creative intangibles	n/a	n/a
3.1.4	E-Participation*	10.0	87	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	n/a	n/a	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models†	n/a	n/a
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.2	Creative goods & services	5.2	103
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	n/a	n/a	7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	33.0	81	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop	n/a	n/a
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap	36./	40	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	4.0	60

Nigeria

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	31.1	100
Popu	ılation (millions)		158.3	4.1	Credit	21.1	107
	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	7	2,203.3	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	80.0	19
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-	173.0	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
Uν	(US\$ billions)		1/3.0	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	0.5	62
Glob	oal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	24.8	77
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.2 4.2.3	Market capitalization, % GDP Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
				4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	ation Efficiency Index			4.3	Trade & competition	47.3	79
	Innovation Index 2010			4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
Globa	Innovation Index 2009		70	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	41.6	115	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	19.5	122	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	66.3	59
1.1.1	Political stability*	4.2		5	Business sophistication	26.6	101
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	Knowledge workers	26.2	97
1.1.3	Press freedom*	45.5	107	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	43.0	101	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*			5.2	Innovation linkages	23.3	100
1.3	Business environment	62.2	108	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration†		
1.3.1 1.3.2	Time to start a business, daysCost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.2 5.2.3	State of cluster development [†] R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.3 5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	18.3	122	5.3	Knowledge absorption	30.3	78
2.1	Education	30.2	119	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/capSchool life expectancy, years			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	50.2	44
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	13.4	116
2.2	Tertiary education	8.8	121	6.1	Knowledge creation	2.0	107
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5 2.2.6	Tertiary outbound mobility, %Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	21.1	
	,			6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3 2.3.1	Research & development (R&D) Researchers headcount/million pop	15.9	95	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	17.1	115
_				6.3.1 6.3.2	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDPHigh-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3	Infrastructure	21.0	106	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	9.2	115	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*			_		42.0	24
3.1.2 3.1.3	ICT use* Government's Online Service*			7	Creative outputs	43.8	21
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	57.2	19
3.2	Energy	17.7	75	7.1.1 7.1.2	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.2	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.2	Creative goods & services	30.3	37
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	50.1	7	7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	36.1	60	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap	56.5	42	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	n/a	n/a

Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop......100.0.....1

Creative goods exports, %......95

Key indicators **Market sophistication** 4 53.8 27 Population (millions) 4.9 4.1 Credit 53.3 411 GDP per capita, PPP (current international \$) 55,672.1 4.1.2 Depth of credit information*......66.7.....66 GDP (US\$ billions) 381.8 Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP......38.2......26 413 Microfinance gross loans, % GDPn/a....n/a 4.1.4 Score 0_100 Rank 4.2 Investment 48.6 Global Innovation Index 52.6 18 Strength of investor protection*......67.0...........19 4.2.1 4.2.2 Market capitalization, % GDP......23.9......31 Total value of stocks traded, % GDP......36.1.....18 4.2.3 Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$.....86.1....8 4.2.4 59.7 Trade & competition 4.3 4.3.1 4.3.2 Market access trade restrictiveness*, %......92.8............13 433 Exports of goods & services, % GDP36.5.....50 434 1 Institutions 88.1 12 Intensity local competition[†]......74.9......25 4.3.5 1.1 Political environment 95.4 5 Political stability*......91.5...... 1.1.1 5 Business sophistication 50.8 23 Government effectiveness*......94.8......11 1.1.2 5.1 1.1.3 Press freedom*......100.0......1 5.1.1 Regulatory environment 1.2 82.0 22 5.1.2 Firms offering formal training, % firms.....n/a....n/a 121 5.1.3 R&D performed by business, %......63.4......30 Rule of law*......98.6.......4 1.2.2 R&D financed by business, %53.2.....53. 5.1.4 1.2.3 Rigidity of employment*......56.0.........105 45.5 5.2 Innovation linkages Business environment 86.8 1.3 25 5.2.1 Time to start a business, days.......94.2......94.2.......... State of cluster development[†]......57.9......21 1.3.1 5.2.2 Cost to start a business, % income/cap......98.6....99. 1.3.2 5.2.3 R&D financed by abroad, %......29.2......33 1.3.3 Total tax rate, % profits......69 JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$......37.2......20 524 PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %...........26.8......34 5.2.5 2 57.5 Human capital & research 12 5.3 Knowledge absorption 35.6 2.1 Education 76.7 5.3.1 Education expenditure, % GNI.......69.0......14 2.1.1 532 2.1.2 Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap.....46.6.....20 5.3.3 Computer & comm. service imports, %......47.8......43 213 FDI net inflows, % GDP......48.5......50 5.3.4 PISA scales in reading, maths, & science......69.6.....17 214 2.1.5 6 Scientific outputs 37.4 26 40.2 31 2.2 Tertiary education 6.1 Knowledge creation 38.1 20 Tertiary enrolment, % gross......74.5......14 221 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$......29.9.....29 611 Graduates in science, %......51 222 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$......36.2......15 612 Graduates in engineering, %......22.0..........66 223 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$n/a....n/a 613 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$......48.2.....15 2.2.4 6.1.4 Tertiary outbound mobility, %......44 2.2.5 Knowledge impact 35.1 45 6.2 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %......49.9.....12 Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %......30.6......97 621 Research & development (R&D) 55.5 17 2.3 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs.....34.9.....20 2.3.1 Computer software spending, % GDP......44.4......17 6.2.3 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP......32.8.....21 2.3.2 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 39.1 2.3.3 Quality research institutions[†]......66.7......66.7 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP......21 6.3.1 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, %......10.0......48 3 55.5 1 Infrastructure 6.3.3 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 64.6 3.1 13 6.3.4 ICT access*......79.1....... 3.1.1 312 7 50.6 **Creative outputs** Government's Online Service*......73.7......7 313 7.1 Creative intanaibles 50.9 3.1.4 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$.....9.0.....9.0 711 Energy 55.2 1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 100.0 2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 28.3......21 3.2 712 3.2.1 713 3.2.2 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models[†]......4 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq......37.2.....35 Creative goods & services 50.4 7.2 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, %......28.3.....21 Recreation & culture consumption, %......81.0......5 7.2.1 46.8 17 3.3 General infrastructure 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop......62.0.....11

7.2.3

724

7.2.5

Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*......80.5.....80.5....

Gross capital formation, % GDP......22.5.........74

Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap.......37.4.....35

331

332

Norway

Oman

Population (millions) 2.9	Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	35.1	82
Source-Incompany Content Conte	Pop	ulation (millions)		2.9	4.1	•	25.0	100
1.12 Depth of credit information* 33.3 33.3 33.3			25	<i>1</i> 62.1				83
Store 0-100 Reak Microfinance gross loans, % GDP 1/2 Investment 19.3 Inv		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	23		4.1.2			
Some -100	GDP	(US\$ billions)		46.1	4.1.3			
Section Sect					4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Immoution thorput Sub-Index	Clai				4.2	Investment	19.3	100
Innovation Input Sub-Index					4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	50.0	70
New Notice of Part Section Sec	Innov	ation Output Sub-Index	24.8	78	4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP	9.8	62
Separation Comparison Com	Innov	ration Input Sub-Index	46.2	42	4.2.3			
Section Sect	Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.5	110	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	0.0	69
Section Sect					4.3	Trade & competition	61.1	23
Institutions						•	83.6	55
Institutions	Globa	Il Innovation Index 2009		52	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %	93.0	12
1.1. Political environment 68.1 44 1.1. Political environment 68.1 44 1.1. Political stability" 7.55 27 2.2 State 7.14 4.0 3.1. Political stability" 7.55 27 3.2 State 7.14 4.0 3.1. Political stability" 7.55 27 4.8 State 7.14 4.0 5.1 Knowledge workers 32.6 5.1 Knowledge workers 32.6 5.1 Knowledge workers 4.50 5.1 Knowledge workers 4.50 6.2 State 7.3 4.1 5.1 Regulatory quality" 7.3 7.3 4.1 5.1 5.1 Regulatory quality 7.3 7.3 4.1 5.1 5.1 Regulatory quality 7.3 7.3 4.1 5.1 5.1 Regulatory quality 7.3 7.3 Regulatory quality 7.3 7.3 Regulatory quality 7.3 7.3 Regulatory quality 7.3 7.3 Regulatory quality 7.3 8.1 State 8.1 8.2 Regulatory quality 7.3 8.3 State 8.1 8.3 Biglity of employment* 8.70 2.7 8.7 State 8.1 8.7 State 8.1 8.7 State 8.1 8.8 State 8.1 8.9 State 8.1 8.0 Financed by business, % 7.4 8.1 Regulatory quality 8.1 8.1 Regulatory quality 8.1 8.1 Regulatory quality 8.1 8.1 Regulatory quality 8.1 8.1 State 8.1 8.1 State 8.1 8.2 State of Luxer development* 5.3 8.3 State 8.1 8.4 State 8.1 8.5 State 8.1 9.5 State 9.1 1.6 State 9.1					4.3.3			
Political stability" 7.755 27 27 27 27 27 27 27	1	Institutions	78.7	32				
Political stability					4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	68.1	50
1.13 Press freedom* 574 89 5.1 Knowledge workers 32.6					E	Pusinger conhictication	44.7	20
1.13 Press freedom* 57.4 89 51.1 Knowledge workers 32.6 1.2 Regulatory environment 76.6 29 51.2 Firms offering formal training, % firms 20.2 1.2 Regulatory quality* 73.3 41 51.3 8RD performed by business, % n/a 1.2 Regulatory quality* 693 38 51.4 8&D financed by business, % n/a 1.2 Rigidity of employment* 87.0 27 5.2 Innovation linkages 63.8 1.2 Rigidity of employment 91.6 13 52.2 University/industry collaboration* 47.9 1.3 Time to start a business, days 894 43 52.2 State of cluster development* 532.2 1.3 Cost to start a business, fincome/cap 97.4 33 52.3 88D financed by abroad, % n/a 1.3 Total tax rate, % profits 87.8 12 52.4 JV/strategic aliance desk/tr GOP PPP5 44.9 2 Human capital & research 45.9 35 5.3 Knowledge absorption 37.6 2 Education 52.9 80 53.1 Royalty & license fees payments, % COP n/a 2 Education expenditure, % GNI 40.6 69 53.2 High-tech imports less re-imports, % 46.8 2 Public expenditure/pupil, % COP/cap 22.0 74 53.3 Computer & comm. service imports, % 46.8 2 Public expenditure/pupil, % COP/cap 22.0 74 53.3 Computer & comm. service imports, % 46.8 2 Public expenditure/pupil, % GOP/cap 22.0 74 53.3 Computer & comm. service imports, % 46.8 2 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 81.5 64 6 Scientific outputs 22.3 2 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 81.5 64 6 Scientific outputs 22.3 2 Tertiary education 36.6 44 6.1 Knowledge creation 3.3 2 Tertiary education 36.6 44 6.1 Knowledge creation 3.3 2 Tertiary education 36.6 44 6.1 Knowledge creation 3.3 2 Tertiary education 36.6 44 6.1 Knowledge creation 3.3 2 Tertiary education 36.6 44 6.1 Knowledge creation 3.3 2 Tertiary promidment, % gross 26.6 72 6.1 Domestic resident patent ap/fon GDP PPP5 n/a 2						-		38
1.21 Regulatory quality* 73.3 41 5.1.3 R&D performed by business, % n/a 1.2.2 Rule of law* 693 38 5.1.4 R&D financed by business, % n/a 1.2.3 R&D financed by business, discovering financed business for law further business for law further business for la								82
Regulatory quality*	1 2	Pogulatory opyironment	76.6	20				
Pulse of law* 693 38 5.14 R&D financed by business, % n/a						3.		
1.23 Rigidity of employment* 87.0 27 5.2 Innovation linkages 63.8 1.3								
1.3 Business environment								
1.3.1 Time to start a business, days								4
Cost to start a business, % income/cap								
Note Computer & Co								
Seesarch	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Human capital & research 45.9 35 Education 52.9 80 5.31 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP n/a 1.1 1.2 1.1 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.3 1	1.5.5	Total tax rate, 70 profits		12				
	2	Human capital & research	45.9	35				
Education expenditure, % GNI	2.1			80				48
Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap. 22.0 74 5.3.3 Computer & comm. service imports, % .468. 2.1.3 2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths, & science								
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years 46.0 81 53.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP 56.5. 2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths, & sciencen/an/a 2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary. 81.564 6 Scientific outputs 22.3 2.1 Tertiary education 36.6 44 6.1 Knowledge creation 3.3 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross 26.672 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPSn/a 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % 62.8 7 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPSn/a 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % 39.3 38 6.1.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPPSn/a 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % 7.6 47 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPPSn/a 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 38.1 34 6.2 Knowledge impact 35.1 Growth rate of GDP PPPS/worker, % 57.1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
PISA scales in reading, maths, & science	2.1.3							
2.2 Tertiary education 36.6 44 6.1 Knowledge creation 3.3 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross 26.6 .72 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS n/a 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % 62.8 .7 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS .0.7 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % 39.3 .38 61.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPPS .0.7 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % .76. .47 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPPS .n/a 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % .38.1 .34 .34 .32 .62.2 Knowledge impact .35.1 .35.1 .35.1 .36 .22.2 .32	2.1.4				5.5.1	T DT TICE II III OVI 3, 70 GDT		20
2.2.2 Tertiary education 36.6 44 6.1 Knowledge creation 3.3 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross. 26.6 .72 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS .07 2.2.2 Graduates in science, %. .62.8 .7 61.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS .07 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, %. .39.3 .38 6.1.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPPS .07 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, %. .76.6 .47 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPPS .58. 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, %. .38.1 .34 6.2 Knowledge impact 35.1 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %. .26.4 .32 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPPS/worker, %. .57.1 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 48.0 23 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs. 13.0 2.3.1 Research sheadcount/million pop. .n/a .n/a 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP. .n/a	2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	81.5	64	6	Scientific outputs	22.3	74
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross 26.6 72 61.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % .62.8 .7 61.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$.0.7 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % .39.3 .38 61.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.0.7 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % .38.1 .34 61.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$.58. 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound enrolment, % .26.4 .32 62.2 Knowledge impact .35.1 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 48.0 .23 62.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs. .13.0 2.3.1 Researches headcount/million pop. n/a n/a 62.3 Computer software spending, % GDP. .n/a 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP. .n/a n/a 62.3 Computer software spending, % GDP. .n/a 3.1 Infrastructure 26.7 66 63.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % .0.2 <tr< td=""><td>2.2</td><td>Tertiary education</td><td>36.6</td><td>44</td><td>6.1</td><td>-</td><td>3.3</td><td>93</td></tr<>	2.2	Tertiary education	36.6	44	6.1	-	3.3	93
2.2.2 Graduates in science, %			26.6	72				n/a
2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % 7.6 .47 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$ 5.8 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 38.1 .34 6.2 Knowledge impact 35.1 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 26.4 .32 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, % .57.1 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 48.0 23 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs 13.0 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. .n/a n/a 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP .n/a 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP .n/a .n/a 6.2.3 Knowledge diffusion 28.7 2.3.3 Quality research institutions† 48.0 .53 6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP .n/a 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 26.3 64 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % .0.2 3.1.1 ICT access* .43.7 .57 3.1.2 ICT use* .90 .77 .7 Creative outputs, % GDP .47.2 3.1.3 <td< td=""><td>2.2.2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>6.1.2</td><td>· · · · · ·</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	2.2.2				6.1.2	· · · · · ·		
2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 38.1 .34 6.2 Knowledge impact 35.1 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 26.4 .32 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, % .57.1 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 48.0 23 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs. 13.0 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. .n/a n/a 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP. .n/a 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP .n/a n/a 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP. .n/a 2.3.3 Quality research institutions† 48.0 .53 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 28.7 2.3.1 Infrastructure 26.7 66 6.3.2 High-tech exports less receipts, % GDP .n/a 3.1.1 Infrastructure 26.7 66 6.3.3 Computer & comm service exports, % .0.2 3.1.1 Infrastructure 26.3 64 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 49.7 3.1.2 ICT use*	2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %	39.3	38	6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$	n/a	n/a
2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	2.2.4				6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	5.8	80
2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	2.2.5				6.2	Knowledge impact	35.1	46
2.3 Research & development (R&D) 48.0 23 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs	2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	26.4	32				
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	2.3	Research & development (R&D)	48.0	23				
2.3.3 Quality research institutions† 48.0 53 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 28.7 3 Infrastructure 26.7 66 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % 0.2 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 26.3 64 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 49.7 3.1.1 ICT access* 43.7 57 3.1.2 ICT use* 90. 77 7 Creative outputs 27.2 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 36.8 52 7.1 Creative intangibles 52.2 3.1.4 E-Participation* 15.7 71 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a 3.2 Energy 11.9 101 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 0.0 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 29.2 38 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 67.2 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 20.5 40 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 63.5 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 11.0 94 7.2 Creative goods & services 2.2 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 0.0 111 7.2 Recreation & culture consumption, % n/a 17.2 Recreation & culture consumption, % n/a 17.2 17.2 Recreation & culture consumption, % n/a 17.2 17.2 National feature films/mn pop 4.1	2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop	n/a	n/a	6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Sample S	2.3.2				63	Knowledge diffusion	28.7	58
3 Infrastructure 26.7 66 63.2 Computer & comm service exports, % .0.2 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 26.3 64 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP .49.7 3.1.1 ICT access* 43.7 57 3.1.2 ICT use* 9.0 .77 7 Creative outputs 27.2 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 36.8 52 7.1 Creative intangibles 52.2 3.1.4 E-Participation* 15.7 .71 7.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$.n/a 3.2 Energy 11.9 101 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 0. 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 29.2 38 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 67.2 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 20.5 40 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 63.5 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 11.0 94 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, M. 0.0	2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	48.0	53		3		
3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 26.3 64 3.1.1 ICT access* 43.7 57 3.1.2 ICT use* 9.0 77 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 36.8 52 3.1.4 E-Participation* 15.7 71 3.2 Energy 11.9 101 3.2 Electricity output, kWh/cap. 29.2 38 3.2.1 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 20.5 40 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 20.5 40 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 11.0 94 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 0.0 111 3.3 General infrastructure 41.9 25 3.4 Computer & comm service exports, % 36.1 63.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 949.7 3.6.1 EPOI net outflows, % GDP 949.7 3.7 Creative outputs 27.2 3.6 Computer & comm service exports, % 36.1 63.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 949.7 3.6 Supplies a computer & comm service exports, % 36.1 63.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 949.7 3.6 Supplies a computer & community service exports, % 36.1 63.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 949.7 3.6 Supplies a computer & comm service exports, % 36.1 63.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 949.7 3.1 FDI net outflows, % GDP 949.7 3.2 Creative intangibles 52.2 3.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 0.0 0 0 0 0 0	2	Infrastructura	26.7					
3.1.1 ICT access*								
3.1.2 ICT use* 9.0 77 7 Creative outputs 27.2 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 36.8 52 7.1 Creative intangibles 52.2 3.1.4 E-Participation* 15.7 71 71.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$					6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	49.7	41
3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 36.8 52 3.1.4 E-Participation* 15.7 71 7.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a 3.2 Energy 11.9 101 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 0. 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap. 29.2 38 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 67.2 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 20.5 40 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 63.5 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 11.0					_			
3.1.4 E-Participation*					7	Creative outputs	27.2	82
3.2 Energy 11.9 101 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 0. 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap					7.1			32
3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap	3.1.4	E-raiticipation		/ 1	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	n/a	n/a
3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 20.5 40 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 63.5 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 11.0 94 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 0.0 111 3.3 General infrastructure 41.9 25 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 4.1								
3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 11.0 94 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 0.0 111 3.3 General infrastructure 41.9 25 7.2 Creative goods & services 2.2 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % n/a 8.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 4.1								
3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, %					7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	63.5	30
3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, %					7.2	Creative goods & services	2.2	117
7.2.2 National reduce hims, him pop					7.2.1		n/a	n/a
224 O 19 C 1 O 1 O 1 O 1 O 1 O 1 O 1 O 1 O 1 O 1								
	3.3.1				7.2.3			
3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP						- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
3.3.3 Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap26.896 7.2.5 Creative services exports, %	3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap.	26.8	96	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	n/a	n/a

Key indicators Population (millions) 184.8 GDP per capita, PPP (current international \$) 2,608.6 GDP (US\$ billions) 162.0

Pakistan

3.1

Global Innovation Index	Score 0–100 26.8	
Innovation Output Sub-Index	26.9	67
Innovation Input Sub-Index	26.6	123
Innovation Efficiency Index	1.0	4
Global Innovation Index 2010		103
Global Innovation Index 2009		93

1	Institutions	46.7	108
1.1	Political environment	20.0	121
1.1.1	Political stability*	0.5	125
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	19.0	115
1.1.3	Press freedom*	40.6	109
1.2	Regulatory environment	36.6	111
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	33.3	104
1.2.2	Rule of law*	19.3	110
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	57.0	102
1.3	Business environment	83.4	50
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days	80.8	77
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap	91.7	66
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	77.7	37

2	Human capital & research	14.0	124
2.1	Education	14.5	125
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI	14.1	114
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap	12.1	93
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	15.4	115
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science	n/a	n/a
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	15.0	118
2.2	Tertiary education	8.9	120
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	6.1	105
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %	n/a	n/a
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %	n/a	n/a
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	n/a	n/a
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %	22.4	67
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	1.2	102
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	18.6	81
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop	2.3	69
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	13.4	42
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	40.2	73
3	Infrastructure	19.5	114

3.1.1	ICT access*	19.6	104
3.1.2	ICT use*	3.5	97
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*	24.8	91
3.1.4	E-Participation*	17.1	65
3.2	Energy	15.9	83
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap	2.8	100
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita	1.7	101
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq	22.5	73
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	23.1	27
3.3	General infrastructure	27.7	111
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*	27.0	106
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP	19.8	86

3.3.3 Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap.......36.4......44

14.7

99

7.2.5

Info & comm. technologies (ICT)

4	Market sophistication	28.1	111
4.1	Credit	29.9	93
4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	60.0	57
4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	1.7	53
4.2	Investment	22.5	87
4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	63.0	27
4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP	7.8	69
4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	0.0	69
4.3	Trade & competition	31.8	121
4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %	55.3	107
4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP	7.2	118
4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	60.5	82
5	Business sophistication	24.7	109
5.1	Knowledge workers	18.8	115
5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	35.2	69
5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms	2.5	89
5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
5.2	Innovation linkages	29.7	76
5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]	39.6	74
5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]	47.1	46
5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %	3.3	66
5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	n/a	n/a
5.3	Knowledge absorption	25.5	100
5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	7.0	82
5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %	14.5	83
5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	42.1	81
6	Scientific outputs	17.5	98
6.1	Knowledge creation	3.7	85
6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$	2.4	75
6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	5.0	89
6.2	Knowledge impact	23.4	90
6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %	53.0	24
6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs	0.2	92
6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	10.4	53
6.3	Knowledge diffusion	25.5	69
6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %	3.6	66
6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	47.2	108
7	Creative outputs	36.3	53
7.1	Creative intangibles	41.0	<i>7</i> 8
7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	15.9	62
7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
7.1.3	ICT & business models†		
7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	52.4	58
7.2	Creative goods & services	31.7	33
7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
7 2 4	Creative goods exports 0/5	72.4	2

Creative services exports, %.......10.1.......45

Panama

Key	inaicators			4	Market sophistication	42.5	54
Pop	ulation (millions)		3.5	4.1	Credit	47.7	43
	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	12	3,057.1	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	60.0	57
		13		4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		24.7	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
				4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	0.9	58
		core 0-100	Rank	4.2	Investment	17.2	108
Glo	bal Innovation Index	30.8	77	4.2 4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
Innov	ration Output Sub-Index	20.8	101	4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
	·			4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.5	115				
Globa	ll Innovation Index 2010		66	4.3	Trade & competition	62.6	19
Globa	ıl Innovation Index 2009		67	4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
0.020				4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	64.8	64	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	62.9	54	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	6/.0	55
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	33.7	70
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*				-		
1.1.3	Press freedom*			5.1	Knowledge workers	26.8	95
				5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2		50.4		5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	0.1	/3
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*		122	5.2	Innovation linkages	46.2	26
1.3	Business environment	81.1	60	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days	92.3	34	5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]	50.0	35
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %	100.0	1
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	58.9	99	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
_				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	n/a	n/a
2	Human capital & research	32.0	84	5.3	Knowledge absorption	28.1	84
2.1	Education	49.1	92	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI	35.7	80	5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap	17.7	84	5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	54.5	61	5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science	17.4	60		,		
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	81.8	62	6	Scientific outputs	8.4	123
2.2	Tertiary education	29.9	66	6.1	Knowledge creation	3.1	96
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross		48	6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.3	Kanada dan imanan	2.4	111
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	2.4	114
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	16.9	92	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2 .3 2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs Computer software spending, % GDP	Z.U	01
2.3.1	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		/
2.3.2	Quality research institutions [†]			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	19.8	98
2.3.3	Quality research institutions.		02	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	30.7	51	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)			6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	ICT access*	28.3	60	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	47.3	91
3.1.1 3.1.2	ICT access			-	Constitution	22.2	- (2
3.1.2	Government's Online Service*			7	Creative outputs	33.2	62
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	61.4	12
	E l'articipation			7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	25.7	33	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita	6.8	74	7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	61.5	39
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq	54.0	10	7.2	Creative goods & services	5.1	104
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	14.8	41	7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	38.1	50	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*	40.8	58	7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.5	Creative services exports, %		

I: Country/Economy Profiles

Paraguay

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	36.2	77
Popu	ılation (millions)		6.5	4.1	Credit	38.4	65
-	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	,	1,522.5	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		
		•	•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		14.2	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
				4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	47.2	12
Clak	aal Innovation Indov	Score 0–100	Rank	4.2	Investment	16.7	109
	oal Innovation Index			4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	57.0	44
Innov	ation Output Sub-Index	27.9	64	4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	34.4	92	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innova	ation Efficiency Index	0.8	24	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	0.0	69
Globa	I Innovation Index 2010		127	4.3	Trade & competition	53.6	50
Global	I Innovation Index 2009		118	4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
dioba	THIOVALION HIGEX 2007		110	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	46.2	110	4.3.4 4.3.5	Exports of goods & services, % GDPIntensity local competition to a service services.		
1.1	Political environment	39.9	90	7.5.5	intensity local competitions	71.0	109
1.1.1	Political stability*	17.5	102	5	Business sophistication	28.6	93
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	Knowledge workers	30.6	87
1.1.3	Press freedom*	82.8	48	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	32.4	117	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	36.7	98	5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	0.0	74
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	44.0	116	5.2	Innovation linkages	27.2	86
1.3	Business environment	66.2	101	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]	27.7	117
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	74.2	47	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
2	Human capital & research	24.9	110	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	n/a	n/a
2.1	Education	51.2	86	5.3	Knowledge absorption	28.0	87
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.3 5.3.4	Computer & comm. service imports, % FDI net inflows, % GDP		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			3.3.4	FDI Het IIIIOWS, % GDF	42.0	02
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	79.0	68	6	Scientific outputs	30.0	39
2.2	Tertiary education	18.3	100	6.1	Knowledge creation	1.0	118
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	28.7	69	6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		n/a
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	1.0	118
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	n/a	n/a
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	3.9	84	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %	n/a	n/a
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	5.3	122	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP Quality research institutions [†]			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	59.0	13
2.3.3	Quality research institutions:	13.0	1 ∠∠	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	36.3	29	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	17.2	93	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1.1	ICT access*			6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	4/.4	82
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	25.8	88
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			<i>7.1</i>	Creative intangibles	44.4	65
3.1.4	E-Participation*	1.4	116	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	53.3	2	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap	46.2	14	7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita	4.1	87	7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	43.3	96
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.2	Creative goods & services	7.2	94
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	100.0	1	7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	38.5	44	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap	68.5	3	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	8.2	49

Peru

Population (millions) 295	Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	42.7	52
180 180	Popu	ulation (millions)		29.5	4.1	Credit	48.2	42
Comparison Com	GDP	per capita. PPP (current international \$)	8	3.629.5	4.1.1			
Simple S								
Sole-1-W	יועט	(כווטווות לכס)		130.3		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Investment			Score 0-100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	54.6	9
Strength of Investor protection 1.16	Glob	oal Innovation Index						
Track Properties Properti						Strength of investor protection*	67.0	19
A		·						
Second Innovation index 2019		•				•		
Agriculturo		,						
Market access tade restrictiveness*, % 877 _ 25	Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		88				
Institutions	Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		85				
Intelligence								
Political stability	1	Institutions	57.5	81	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP	16.1	96
Pollicial stability*	-				4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	64.4	65
1.13 Press freedom*					E	Pusings conhistication	27.0	EE
1.13 Regulatory environment						•		
1.2 Regulatory eurinorment	1.1.3	Press freedom*	68.3	80				
1.2.1 Regulatory quality"	12	Regulatory environment	51.7	85				
Superior						5		
1.23 Rigidity of employment*								
3.3 Business environment	1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	61.0	90	5.2	•		
13.1 Time to start a business, days	1.3	Business environment	77.8	70				
1.3.2 Cost to start a business, % income/cap								
1.3.3 Total tax rate, % profits								
Human capital & research 25.7 108 5.3 Knowledge absorption 31.7 72	1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	69.0	62	5.2.4			
2.1 Education 43.3 106 53.1 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 124 61 2.1.1 Education expenditure, % GNI 20.7 110 53.2 High-tech imports less re-imports, % 26.8 42 2.1.2 Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap 4.7 102 53.3 Computer & comm. service imports, % 36.1 .66 2.1.3 School life expectancy, years 52.5 .65 53.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP .51.5 .40 2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths, & science 17.1 .61 7.7 .6 .7			25.7	400	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	50.0	18
2.1 Education 43.3 106 5.3.1 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 12.4 61 2.1.1 Education expenditure, % GNII. 20.7 110 5.3.2 High-tech imports less re-imports, % 26.8 42 2.1.2 Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap 4.7 102 5.3.2 High-tech imports less re-imports, % 26.8 42 2.1.2 Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap 4.7 102 5.3.2 High-tech imports less re-imports, % 26.8 42 2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths, & science 17.1 61 5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP 51.5 40 2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 77.9 7.7 6 Scientific outputs 14.5 110 2.2.1 Tertiary education 21.9 91 6.1 Knowledge creation 1.1 115 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross 34.8 6.3 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/on GDP PPPS .0 8.9 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % n.74	2	Human capital & research	25.7	108	5.3	Knowledae absorption	31.7	72
2.1.2 Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap						3 ,	12.4	61
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years 52.5 65 53.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP 51.5 40 PISA scales in reading, maths, & science 77.1 61 PISA scales in reading, maths, & science 77.1 61 PISA scales in reading, maths, & science 77.9 72 6 Scientific outputs 14.5 110 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 77.9 72 6 Scientific outputs 14.5 110 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 77.9 72 6 Scientific outputs 14.5 110 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 77.9 72 6 Scientific outputs 14.5 110 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 77.9 72 6 Scientific outputs 14.5 110 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 77.9 72 6 Scientific outputs 14.5 110 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 77.9 72 72 72 73 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 77.9 72 72 73 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 8.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 97.9 97.9 97.0 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary					5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %	26.8	42
PISA scales in reading, maths, & science 17.1 61					5.3.3			
Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 77.9 72 6 Scientific outputs 14.5 110					5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	51.5	40
2.2 Tertiary education 21.9 91 6.1 Knowledge creation 1.1 115 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross .34.8 .63 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS .09 .89 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % .7/a .7/a 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS .04 .81 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % .7/a .7/a 6.1.3 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS .04 .81 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % .7/a .61.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPPS .1.7 .112 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound enrolment, % .6.4 .74 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPPS, .1.7 .112 2.2.5 Researche & development (R&D) 11.8 112 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs .20.7 .33 2.3.1 Researche & development (R&D) 11.8 112 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs .20.7 .33 2.3.1 Researche's beadcount/million pop.					6	Scientific outputs	1/ 5	110
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross .34.8 .63 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS .0.9 .89 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % 7/a .61.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS .0.4 .81 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % 7/a .61.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPPS .1.9 .40 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % 7/a .61.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPPS .1.7 .112 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound enrolment, % .64.4 .74 .61.2 Kineutific & technical articles/bn GDP PPPS,		· ·						
2.2.2 Graduates in science, % n/a 61.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$.0.4 81 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % n/a n/a 61.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 1.9 40 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % 11.5 103 61.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$ 17 112 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 11.5 103 62.2 Knowledge impact 26.0 80 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 64 74 62.1 Growth rate of GDP PPP5/worker, % 40.2 65 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 11.8 112 62.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs 20.7 33 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 13.7 76 62.3 Computer software spending, % GDP. 82.6 61 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP. 25.79 79 70 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81								
2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, %								
2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % n/a n/a 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$ 1.7 112 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 11.5 103 6.2 Knowledge impact 26.0 80 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 6.4 .74 6.21 Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, % 40.2 .65 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 11.8 112 6.22 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs 20.7 .33 2.3.1 Researches headcount/million pop .1.3 .76 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP .82 .61 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP .25 .79 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 16.3 11.7 2.3.2 Gross pexpenditure on R&D, % GDP .25 .79 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 16.3 11.7 2.3.2 Infrastructure 31.4 47 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % .12 .81 3.1 Infrastructure 31.4 69 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>·</td> <td></td> <td></td>						·		
2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 6.4	2.2.4	5 5						
2.3. Research & development (R&D) 11.8 112 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs. 20.7 33 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 1.3 76 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP. 8.2 61 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP. 2.5 79 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 16.3 117 2.3.3 Quality research institutions 1 31.5 102 6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP. 0.2 81 3 Infrastructure 31.4 47 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % 1.2 81 3.1 Infra & comm. technologies (ICT) 24.4 69 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 48.1 63 3.1.1 ICT access* 34.6 71 3.1.2 ICT use* 9.6 74 7 Creative outputs 28.8 76 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 41.0 43 7.1 Creative intangibles 53.2 31 3.1.4 E-Participation* 17.1 65 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 40.2 18 3.2 Energy 30.9 15 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 40.2 18 3.2 Electricity output, kWh/cap 5.8 85 7.1.3 ICT & business models 1 1CT & organizational models 5.5 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 72.9 2 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 14.7 42 7.2 Recreation & culture consumption, % 7/a 7/a 1 7/a 83.3 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 41.5 54 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop 1/a 7/a 1	2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %	11.5	103	6.2	Knowledge impact	26.0	80
2.3 Research & development (R&D) 11.8 112 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs 20.7 33 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 1.3 .76 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 8.2 .61 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 2.5 .79 .6.3 Knowledge diffusion 16.3 117 2.3.3 Quality research institutions† 31.5 .102 6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP 0.2 .81 3 Infrastructure 31.4 47 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % .12.2 .81 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 24.4 69 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP .48.1 .63 3.1.1 ICT access* .96.7 .74 7 Creative outputs 28.8 76 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* .41.0 .43 .7.1 Creative outputs 28.8 76 3.2.2 Energy 30.9 15 7.1.2 Madrid res	2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	6.4	74				
2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 1.3 .76 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 8.2 .61 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 2.5 .79 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 16.3 117 2.3.3 Quality research institutions† 31.5 .102 6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP 0.2 .81 3 Infrastructure 31.4 47 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % .12 .81 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 24.4 69 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP .48.1 .63 3.1.1 ICT access* .34.6 .71 .71 Creative outputs 28.8 76 3.1.2 ICT use* .96. .74 .7 Creative outputs 28.8 76 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* .41.0 .43 .7.1 Creative outputs 28.8 76 3.2 Energy 30.9 15 .7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$.40.2 .18 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap .5.	2.3	Research & development (R&D)	11.8	112				
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP		Researchers headcount/million pop	1.3	76				
Sample	2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	2.5	79	63			
3 Infrastructure 31.4 47 6.3.2 (6.3.3) High-tech exports less re-exports, %	2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	31.5	102		3		
3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 24.4 69 6.3.3 Computer & comm service exports, % 15.5 100 3.1.1 ICT access* 34.6 .71 3.1.2 ICT use* .9.6 .74 7 Creative outputs 28.8 76 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* .41.0 .43 7.1 Creative intangibles 53.2 31 3.1.4 E-Participation* .17.1 .65 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPPS .40.2 .18 3.2 Energy 30.9 15 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPPS .n/a .n/a 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap .5.8 .85 7.1.3 ICT & business models† .62.9 .49 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita .4.2 .85 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† .56.6 .50 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPPS/kg oil eq .72.9 .2 .72.1 Recreation & culture consumption, models† .n/a .n/a	2	Infunction	21.4	47				
3.1.1 ICT access* 34.6 71 3.1.2 ICT use* 9.6 74 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 41.0 43 3.1.4 E-Participation* 17.1 65 3.2 Energy 30.9 15 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 5.8 85 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 4.2 85 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 72.9 2 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 14.7 42 3.3.3 General infrastructure 39.0 42 3.3.4 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 41.5 54 3.3.5 Gross capital formation, % GDP 28.8 50 3.4 Creative outputs 28.8 76 3.7 Creative outputs 5.3.2 31 3.1 Creative intangibles 5.3.2 31 3.1 Creative in								
3.1.2 ICT use* 9.6 74 7 Creative outputs 28.8 76 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 41.0 43 7.1 Creative intangibles 53.2 31 3.1.4 E-Participation* 17.1 65 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 40.2 18 3.2 Energy 30.9 15 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a n/a 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 5.8 85 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 62.9 49 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 42 85 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 56.6 50 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 72.9 2 7.2 Creative goods & services 4.4 106 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 14.7 42 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % n/a n/a 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 41.5 54 7.2					6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	48.1	63
3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 41.0 43 3.1.4 E-Participation* 17.1 65 3.2 Energy 30.9 15 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap. 5.8 85 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 42 85 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 72.9 2 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 14.7 42 3.3.3 General infrastructure 39.0 42 3.3.4 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 41.5 54 3.3.5 Gross capital formation, % GDP 28.8 50 3.4 Creative intangibles 53.2 31 3.7 Creative intangibles 53.2 31 3.1 Creative intangibles 53.2 all creative intangibles in energy intangibles in en					_		20.0	
3.1.4 E-Participation* 17.1 65 7.1 Creative intangibles 53.2 31 3.2 Energy 30.9 15 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$					/	Creative outputs	28.8	/6
3.2 Energy 30.9 15 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 40.2 18 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap								
3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap. 5.8 85 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 62.9 49 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 4.2 85 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 56.6 50 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 72.9 2 7.2 Creative goods & services 4.4 106 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 14.7 42 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % .n/a .n/a .n/a 3.3.1 General infrastructure 39.0 42 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 1.9 72 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 41.5 54 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. .n/a .n/a 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 28.8 50 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 9.1 .74						·		
3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 4.2 85 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 56.6 50 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 72.9 2 7.2 Creative goods & services 4.4 106 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 14.7 42 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % n/a n/a n/a 3.3.1 General infrastructure 39.0 42 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 1.9 72 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 41.5 54 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. n/a n/a 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 28.8 50 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 9.1 .74		3,						
3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 72.9 2 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, %								
3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, %								
3.3 General infrastructure 39.0 42 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, %								
3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*41.554 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop								
3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP50 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, %9.174								
	3.3.3				7.2.4			

Philippines

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	32.0	98
Pop	ulation (millions)		93.6	4.1	Credit	21.0	108
GDP	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	7	3,541.7	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	30.0	97
		-	•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		161.2	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
			D 1	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	4.8	43
Glo	bal Innovation Index	Score 0–100	Rank Q1	4.2	Investment	24.9	76
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	40.0	103
Innov	ration Output Sub-Index	24.0	84	4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
Innov	ration Input Sub-Index	34.0	93	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ration Efficiency Index	0.7	62	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	42.0	50
Globa	Il Innovation Index 2010		76	4.3	Trade & competition	50.1	65
	Il Innovation Index 2009			4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
diona	ii iiiiovatioii iiiuex 2007		03	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	51.2	101	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	32.5	110	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	65.6	62
1.1.1	Political stability*	10.8	113	5	Business sophistication	36.7	61
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	50.0	73	<i>5</i> .1	Knowledge workers	46.7	
1.1.3	Press freedom*	36.5	113	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		47
1.2	Regulatory environment	52.9	79	5.1.1	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.2	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*				•	30.9	
1.3	Business environment	68.2	97	5.2 5.2.1	Innovation linkages University/industry collaboration†		70
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.1	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	23.7	116	5.3	Knowledge absorption	32.4	68
2.1	Education	30.8	116	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI	21.6	109	5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap	8.2	101	5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science						
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	31.5	116	6	Scientific outputs	22.3	76
2.2	Tertiary education	28.7	69	6.1	Knowledge creation	3.3	92
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$	3.2	72
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$	0.6	72
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	1.6	114
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	19.6	102
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	0.5	108	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	11.5	113	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	4.7	70
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	43.8	26
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	31.7	101	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	26.5	68	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
				6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	22.3	78	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	47.9	70
3.1.1	ICT access* ICT use*			-	Cuartina autorita	25.7	00
3.1.2	Government's Online Service*			7	Creative outputs	25.7	90
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	41.1	77
				7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
<i>3.2</i>	Energy	29.4	21	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2 3.2.3	Electricity consumption, kWh/capitaGDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	49.9	/ 1
3.2.3				7.2	Creative goods & services	10.2	86
J.Z.T	Share of renewanies in energy like vs		∠ J	7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %	n/2	n/a
2 2	Share of renewables in energy use, %						
3.3	General infrastructure	27.7	112	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop	9.3	47
3.3.1	General infrastructure Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*	27.7	112 62	7.2.2 7.2.3	National feature films/mn pop Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop	9.3 20.4	47 30
	General infrastructure	27.7 39.3	112 62	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop	9.3 20.4 12.8	47 30 60

Poland

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	40.9	59
Pop	ulation (millions)		38.0	4.1	Credit	39.4	62
	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	10	,058.7	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	90.0	7
				4.1.2	Depth of credit information*	66.7	66
GDP	(US\$ billions)		430.1	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
				4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	0.2	69
Gla	oal Innovation Index	Score 0–100	Rank 42	4.2	Investment	27.7	65
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	60.0	34
Innov	ation Output Sub-Index	29.7	55	4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP	12.5	57
Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	46.3	41	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.6	85	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	34.2	59
	I Innovation Index 2010			4.3	Trade & competition	55.5	42
				4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %	94.3	12
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		56	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %	n/a	n/a
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	76.4	37	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	80.6	24	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	72.9	32
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	41.4	47
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*				•		
1.1.3	Press freedom*			5.1	Knowledge workers	56.3	36
1.2	Regulatory environment	74.1	36	5.1.1 5.1.2	Knowledge-intensive employment, % Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.2	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*				•		
1 2		74.5	83	5.2	Innovation linkages	25.7	92
1.3 1.3.1	Business environment Time to start a business, days			5.2.1 5.2.2	University/industry collaboration [†] State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.1	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.2	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	42.4	48				
2.1	Education •	68.8	26	5.3 5.3.1	Knowledge absorption Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	42.3	33
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI	60.9	24	5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap	36.1	41	5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	66.8	31	5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science						
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	90.7	34	6	Scientific outputs	23.7	66
2.2	Tertiary education	30.7	63	6.1	Knowledge creation	18.6	43
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	70.6	17	6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$.	25.1	30
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %	28.9	49	6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$	3.7	47
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	32.4	34
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	23.3	91
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	13.0	55	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %	38.1	70
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	27.6	52	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs	4.1	74
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	31.9	24
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	29.1	56
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	51.5	44	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	30.4	52	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
				6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %	47.8	44
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	39.8	39	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	50.6	37
3.1.1	ICT access*ICT use*			-		25.0	
3.1.2 3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7	Creative outputs	35.8	54
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	36.3	97
				7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	17.5	<i>76</i>	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2 3.2.3	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	48.1	/5
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	35.3	24
				7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	33.8	76	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2 3.3.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
د.د.د		∠ヺ.U	3∠	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	4J.Z	14

Portugal

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	46.3	42
Pop	ulation (millions)		10.7	4.1	Credit	56.7	28
-	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	24	,569.4	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	30.0	97
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*	83.3	25
GDP	(US\$ billions)		232.9	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		C 0 100	D. J.	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Glo	bal Innovation Index	Score 0–100	Rank 33	4.2	Investment	31.3	54
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	60.0	34
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	50.3	34	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.7	67	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	41./	51
Globa	Il Innovation Index 2010		34	4.3	Trade & competition	51.1	62
Globa	Il Innovation Index 2009		40	4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
				4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
	1 - 22 - 21	00.4	20	4.3.3 4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	80.4	28	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	82.2	21		meensity rocal competition imminimum.		
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	37.6	57
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	Knowledge workers	45.4	52
1.1.3	Press freedom*	86.9	3/	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	45.2	49
1.2		73.7	39	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	55.3	28
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*		102	5.2	Innovation linkages	34.1	57
1.3	Business environment	85.3	36	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2 1.3.3	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	8.00	/ ɔ	5.2.4 5.2.5	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$ PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	52.5	23				
2.1	Education	73.7	11	5.3	Knowledge absorption	33.3	63
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.1 5.3.2	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	70.5	20	5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science						
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	100.0	1	6	Scientific outputs	24.8	59
2.2	Tertiary education	40.8	30	6.1	Knowledge creation	16.0	47
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, % Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	39.8	28
2.2.5	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	32.7	59
				6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3 2.3.1	Research & development (R&D) Researchers headcount/million pop	43.0	29 17	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		Z I
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	25.9	66
	•			6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	34.7	36	6.3.2 6.3.3	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	45.1	33	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*	66.4	31	0.5	. 5		
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	44.1	19
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7.1	Creative intangibles	54.5	28
3.1.4	E-Participation*	27.1	44	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	25.2	39	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	64.6	26
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.2	Creative goods & services	33.7	25
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %	49.7	38
3.3	General infrastructure	33.9	75	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap	J25.4	99	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	31.2	23

Qatar

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	39.2	66
Pop	ulation (millions)		1.5	4.1	Credit	25.1	99
	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	91	,378.7	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	30.0	97
		71	•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*	33.3	95
GDP	(US\$ billions)		98.3	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
			D 1	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Glo	bal Innovation Index	Score 0–100	Rank 26	4.2	Investment	36.5	39
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	50.0	70
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	51.7	31	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ration Efficiency Index	0.8	18	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	0.0	69
Globa	Il Innovation Index 2010		35	4.3	Trade & competition	55.9	41
	Il Innovation Index 2009			4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
dione	ii iiiiovatioii iiidex 2009		24	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	83.5	23	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	77.4	33	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	84.4	2
1.1.1	Political stability*	88.7	9	5	Business sophistication	49.5	27
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	83.8	27		-		54
1.1.3	Press freedom*	59.8	86	5.1 5.1.1	Knowledge workers Knowledge-intensive employment, %	44.9	
1.2	Regulatory environment	79.5	25	5.1.1	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	71.0		5.1.2	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	87.0	27	5.2	Innovation linkages	54.2	
1.3	Business environment	93.4	6	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		13
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days		_	5.2.1	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	52.5	25	5.3	Knowledge absorption	n/a	n/a
2.1	Education	52.2	82	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI	n/a	n/a	5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	n/a	n/a
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science						
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	94.2	1/	6	Scientific outputs	50.6	12
2.2	Tertiary education	37.2	41	6.1	Knowledge creation	1.2	114
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	1.2	117
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	100.0	1
2.2.6		∠ 1.0	42	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	68.1	6	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	n/a	n/a
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	08.1	21	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	n/a	n/a
3	Infrastructure	33.9	38	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %	n/a	n/a
			46	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1 3.1.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT) ICT access*	34.8		6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	n/a	n/a
3.1.2	ICT access			7	Creative outputs	26.0	ΕO
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7	Creative outputs	36.9	50
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	73.6	1
				7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy Electricity output, kWh/cap	26.3	28	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.1 3.2.2	Electricity output, kwn/capElectricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.3 7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq						
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	0.3	123
				7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	40.6	35	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1 3.3.2	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.4 7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % Creative services exports, %		
5.5.5	great to spirit a procupacity, ria/cap			7.2.3	C. Cacife Scrifted Caports, 70	1/ U	1/ U

Romania

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	38.9	69
Popu	llation (millions)		21.2	4.1	Credit	39.1	63
GDP	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	14	,278.0	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	80.0	19
	(US\$ billions)		161.1	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
Uν	(מוטוווומ לכט)		101.1	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	2.8	50
Glob	oal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	26.0	<i>73</i>
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.3 4.2.4	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	8.0	49		Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
Globa	Innovation Index 2010		52	4.3	Trade & competition	51.6	61
Globa	Innovation Index 2009		69	4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
				4.3.2 4.3.3	Market access trade restrictiveness*, % Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
4	La sata sata sa	60.0		4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	69.8	52	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	64.2	52				
1.1.1	Political stability* Government effectiveness*			5	Business sophistication	33.5	71
1.1.2 1.1.3	Press freedom*			5.1	Knowledge workers	35.6	76
				5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	60.7	62	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2 1.2.3	Rule of law* Rigidity of employment*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	27.2	53
				5.2	Innovation linkages	21.7	107
1.3	Business environment	84.5	44	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration†		
1.3.1 1.3.2	Time to start a business, days Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.3 5.2.4	R&D financed by abroad, % JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
1.5.5	rotal tax rate, 70 promos			5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	36.8	65				
2.1	Education	58.6	58	5.3 5.3.1	Knowledge absorption Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	43.2	29
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI	34.0	84	5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, % GDF		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science						
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	86.6	51	6	Scientific outputs	30.7	36
2.2	Tertiary education	31.3	58	6.1	Knowledge creation	11.7	56
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, % Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.3 6.1.4	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary inbound mobility, %						
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	40.1	24
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	20.4	<i>75</i>	6.2.1 6.2.2	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP						
2.3.3	Quality research institutions†			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	40.4	31
				6.3.1 6.3.2	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3	Infrastructure	30.0	54	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	35.5	45	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*						
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	33.0	64
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7.1	Creative intangibles	35.4	102
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	16.2	81	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models†		
3.2.2 3.2.3	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	43.2	9/
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	30.6	36
				7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	38.5 * 21.2	43	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1 3.3.2	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.3 7.2.4	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.4 7.2.5	Creative goods exports, %		
	. J			7.2.3		17 1 1	

Russian Federation

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	36.4	76
Popu	ılation (millions)		140.4	4.1	Credit	29.1	98
	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	18	3,962.6	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	30.0	97
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			4.1.2	Depth of credit information*	83.3	25
GDP	(US\$ billions)	ı	,231.9	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	0.2	68
Glob	oal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	36.2	40
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.3 4.2.4	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.8	52				
Globa	Innovation Index 2010		64	4.3	Trade & competition	43.8	94
Globa	Innovation Index 2009		68	4.3.1 4.3.2	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	E1 0	07	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1		51.8	97	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	37.9	98	_	6.1		
1.1.1 1.1.2	Political stability* Government effectiveness*			5	Business sophistication	44.9	37
1.1.2	Press freedom*			5.1	Knowledge workers	64.0	31
				5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2 1.2.1	Regulatory environment	40.3	107	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality* Rule of law*			5.1.3 5.1.4	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*				,		
		77.3	72	5.2	Innovation linkages	27.6	83
1.3 1.3.1	Business environment Time to start a business, days			5.2.1 5.2.2	University/industry collaboration [†] State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.1	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
_				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	10.3	62
2	Human capital & research	45.1	38	5.3	Knowledge absorption	43.0	31
2.1	Education	62.0	46	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3 2.1.4	School life expectancy, years PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	48.6	49
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	32.9	34
2.2	Tertiary education	43.3	19		-	33.4	27
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1 6.1.1	Knowledge creation Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	18.8	44
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	34.5	49
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	n/a	n/a	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		17
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	30.0	44	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	20.7	33
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP Quality research institutions [†]	20.8	31	6.3	Knowledge diffusion	30.7	49
2.3.3	Quality research institutions:	48.9	49	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	25.8	73	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	31.1	51	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1.1	ICT access*			6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	57.5	15
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	28.9	75
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7.1	Creative intangibles	33.0	107
3.1.4	E-Participation*	12.9	76	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	14.1	95	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	20.3	27
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models†		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	46.6	80
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.2	Creative goods & services	24.9	53
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %	8.2	61
3.3	General infrastructure	32.2	85	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2 3.3.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, % Creative services exports, %		
د.د.د	Ecological lootpillit & blocapacity, fla/Cap	J4Z.O	17	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	07.9	ŏ

Rwanda Key indicators Population (millions) GDP per capita, PPP (current international \$) GDP (US\$ billions)

10.3

5.1

75

1,069.7

59.6

29.9

17.6

8.3

121

120

7.2.5

93

Global Innovation Index	Score 0–100 25.9	
Innovation Output Sub-Index	17.0	119
Innovation Input Sub-Index	34.7.	90
Innovation Efficiency Index	0.5	118
Global Innovation Index 2010		n/a
Global Innovation Index 2009		n/a

1.1	Political environment	32.1	111
1.1.1	Political stability*	33.5	77
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	48.6	76
1.1.3	Press freedom*	14.3	120
1.2	Regulatory environment	56.9	70
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	41.4	90
1.2.2	Rule of law*	36.3	86
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	93.0	10
1.3	Business environment	89.7	19
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days	98.1	3
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap	93.1	61
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	78.0	36

Human capital & research

1

2

3

3.1

Infrastructure

Info & comm. technologies (ICT)

Institutions

2.1	Education	46.3	98
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI	49.4	51
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap	20.4	79
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	41.6	92
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science	n/a	n/a
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	62.3	97
2.2	Tertiary education	8.2	122
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	4.4	109
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %	n/a	n/a
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %	n/a	n/a
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	80	75
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %	29.8	51
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	1.7	96
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	35.3	39
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop	n/a	n/a
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	35.3	88

3.1.1	ICT access*	13.5	120
3.1.2	ICT use*	1.1	113
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*	17.5	103
3.1.4	E-Participation*	2.9	113
3.2	Energy	n/a	n/a
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap	n/a	n/a
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita	n/a	n/a
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq	n/a	n/a
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	n/a	n/a
3.3	General infrastructure	26.9	115
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*	15.8	122
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP	29.1	48

Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap......35.9.....49

4	Market sophistication	35.3	81
4.1	Credit	31.3	91
4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	80.0	19
4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	5.3	41
4.2	Investment	42.0	30
4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
4.2.3 4.2.4	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	•		
4.3	Trade & competition	32.5	120
4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
4.3.2 4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]		
_			
5	Business sophistication	31.2	81
5.1	Knowledge workers	28.5	91
5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
5.2	Innovation linkages	34.8	51
5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
5.2.3 5.2.4	R&D financed by abroad, %		
5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
5.3	Knowledge absorption Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	30.4	77
5.3.1 5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, % GDP		
5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP		
			422
6	Scientific outputs	8.7	122
6.1	Knowledge creation	3.4	89
6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
6.1.2 6.1.3	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
6.2	Knowledge impact Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %	4.0	113
6.2.1 6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
6.3 6.3.1	Knowledge diffusion Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	18.6	108
6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP		
_			
7	Creative outputs	25.3	92
7.1	Creative intangibles	44.7	63
7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
7.1.2 7.1.3	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
7.1.3 7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]		
7.2	Creative goods & services	5.9	98
7.2.1 7.2.2	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
7.2.2	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		

Saudi Arabia

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	52.7	30
Pop	ulation (millions)		26.2	4.1	Credit	48.3	41
-	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	23	,395.4	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	50.0	71
	(US\$ billions)		•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
יועט	(צוטווווע לָכּט)		369.2	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
	,	core 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP		n/a
Glo	bal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	47.9	20
Innov	ration Output Sub-Index	26.9	66	4.2.1	Strength of investor protection* Market capitalization, % GDP		
	ration Input Sub-Index			4.2.2 4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
	'			4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	ration Efficiency Index			4.3	Trade & competition	61.8	22
	al Innovation Index 2010			4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		32	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	67.5	60	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	39.8	92	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	76.1	22
1.1.1	Political stability*		79	5	Business sophistication	41.3	48
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	51.9	69	5.1	Knowledge workers	42.2	57
1.1.3	Press freedom*	35.0	114	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	67.4	50	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	87.0	2/	5.2	Innovation linkages	46.8	24
1.3	Business environment	95.2	4	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2 1.3.3	Cost to start a business, % income/cap Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.3 5.2.4	R&D financed by abroad, % JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
1.5.5	Total tax rate, 70 profits			5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	40.4	53	5.3	Knowledge absorption	35.0	60
2.1	Education	68.6	29	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	47.8	52
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	18.3	93
					-		
2.2 2.2.1	Tertiary education Tertiary enrolment, % gross	33.9	51	6.1 6.1.1	Knowledge creation Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$	2.1	106
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	2.8	105
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	35.2	43
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	12.1	58	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %	43.9	48
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	18.9	79	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	17.9	37
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP Quality research institutions [†]			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	17.5	113
2.3.3	Quality research institutions			6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	27.8	62	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	30.2	54	6.3.3 6.3.4	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1.1	ICT access*	54.4	44	0.5.1	1211et outilows, 70 d21	10.7	
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	35.6	57
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7.1	Creative intangibles	68.8	6
3.1.4	E-Participation*		8/	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	n/a	n/a
3.2	Energy	15.0	88	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	2.4	115
3.3	General infrastructure	38.2	47	7.2.1 7.2.2	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*			7.2.2 7.2.3	National feature films/mn pop Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.5	Creative services exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap	21.2	111	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	n/a	r

I: Country/Economy Profiles

Senegal

Population (millions) 12.9	Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	28.3	109
COPP per capita, PPP (current international 5) 1.816.6 41.1 Sterograph of legal inglish for creent 30.0 30.0 10.7 10.5	Popu	ulation (millions)		12.9	4.1	•	18.5	110
12.8 1.2	-		1					
Signature Some Color State Color State Color State Color C		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			4.1.2			
Comment of the comm	GDP	(US\$ billions)		12.8	4.1.3	•		
Investment 20,0 97 100					4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	29.7	17
Strength of Investor protection 300, 119 190 1	Clal	aal Innovation Indov			4.2	Investment	20.0	97
More capitalization, % CDP n/a	GIOI	oal innovation index	27.0	100	4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	30.0	119
April	Innov	ation Output Sub-Index	24.4	82	4.2.2			
College Coll	Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	30.7	107				
A Applicat and trace weighted mean % 5.78 1.11	Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.8	30	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	0.0	69
1	Globa	I Innovation Index 2010		106	4.3	Trade & competition	46.4	85
Institutions					4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %	57.8	101
Institutions	diona	i illiovation muex 2009		50				
Intention						. 9		
1.1 Political sability	1	Institutions	54.7	85				
1.12 Covernment effectiveness*	1.1	Political environment	51.1	73	4.3.3	intensity local competition:	08.5	40
1.12 Covernment effectiveness* 41.0 85 5.1 Knowledge workers 14.5 120	1.1.1	Political stability*	38.7	70	5	Business sophistication	19.4	124
1.1.3 Regulatory environment	1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	41.0	85		•		
1.21 Regulatory environment	1.1.3	Press freedom*	73.6	73				
1.21 Regulatron qualityr	1.2	Regulatory environment	44.0	98				
1.23 Rigidity of employment*	1.2.1	Regulatory quality*				3		
1.3 Business environment 69.1 94 5.2 University/industry collaboration* 476	1.2.2				5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
3.3 Business environment	1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	41.0	120	5.2	Innovation linkages	23.1	101
1.3.1 Time to start a business, days	1.3	Business environment	69.1	94		3		
Note Continue Co	1.3.1	Time to start a business, days	93.3	27	5.2.2			
Seearch Seea		·			5.2.3			
Human capital & research 26.7 106 20.6 115	1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	63.1	84		9		
Education 42.0 107 53.1 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 8.2 77 172 174 174 175	2	Uuman sanital 0 rosaarsh	26.7	106	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	0.0	73
Education expenditure, % GNI		•			5.3	Knowledge absorption	20.6	115
Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap. 46.8 19 5.3.3 Computer & comm. service imports, % 26.7 .89					5.3.1			
2.13 School life expectancy, years 192 114 5.34 FDI net inflows, % GDP 42.7 .76								
PISA scales in reading, maths, & science								
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 53.0 106 6 Scientific outputs 16.0 104					5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	42./	/6
2.2 Tertiary education 18.7 99 6.1 Knowledge creation 4.6 82 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross					6	Scientific outnuts	16.0	104
22.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross 7.7 102 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a n/a 22.2 Graduates in science, % n/a n/a 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 0.0 .86 22.3 Graduates in engineering, % n/a 6.1.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a n/a 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % n/a 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$ 9.2 65 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound enrolment, % 12.7 56 6.1 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$ 9.2 65 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 46.7 21 6.2 Knowledge impact 17.3 107 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 12.7 56 62.1 Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, % 35.1 10.7 62.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs 1.7 82 8.3 Nowledge impact 17.3 10.7 62.1 Somptic software spending, % GDP 13.1 45 63.2 <	2.2	,				-		
22.2 Graduates in science, % n/a n/a 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 0.a								
22.3 Graduates in engineering, % n/a n/a 6.1.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPPS n/a n/a 22.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % n/a n/a 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPPS n/a n/a 22.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 46.7						The state of the s		
2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, %						the state of the s		
22.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	2.2.4				6.1.4			
2.3.1 Research & development (R&D) 19.4 77 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs. 1.7 82 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 5.5. 56 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP. 13.1 45 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP. 13. 84 2.3.3 Quality research institutions 51.3 45 3.1 Infrastructure 24.6 80 6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP. 0.2 78 3.1 Infrastructure 24.6 80 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % 1.8 74 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 11.1 110 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP. 50.0 39 3.1.1 ICT access* 20.8 100 3.1.2 ICT use* 3.0 100 7 Creative outputs 32.8 66 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 17.8 102 7.1 Creative intangibles 61.6 11 3.1.4 E-Participation* 1.4 116 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a n/a 3.2 Energy 22.1 57 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a n/a 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 0.9 108 7.1.3 ICT & business models 60.5 42 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 0.5 108 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models 60.5 42 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq 39.6 29 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 26.0 26 3.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP 42.8 22 3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 42.8 22 3.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP 42.8 22 3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 42.8 22 3.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP 42.8 22 3.4 Creative goods exports, % 5.0 85	2.2.5				6.2	Knowledge impact	173	107
2.3 Research & development (R&D) 19.4 77 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs 1.7 82 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 5.5 56 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 13.1 45 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 1.3 .84 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 13.1 .45 2.3.2 Quality research institutions† 51.3 .84 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 26.0 65 3.1 Infrastructure 24.6 80 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % 1.8 .74 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 11.1 110 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 50.0 .39 3.1.1 ICT access* 20.8 100 7 Creative outputs 32.8 66 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 17.8 102 7.1 Creative outputs 32.8 66 3.1.4 E-Participation* 1.4 116 7.1.1 Domestic res	2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	12.7	56				
2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. .5.5 .56 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP .13.1 .45 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP .1.3 .84 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 26.0 65 3.3 Infrastructure 24.6 80 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % 1.8 .74 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 11.1 110 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP .50.0 .39 3.1.1 ICT access* .20.8 .100 .7 Creative outputs 32.8 66 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* .17.8 .102 .7.1 Creative outputs 32.8 66 3.1.4 E-Participation* .14 .116 .11 .10 .11.1 .10 .11 .11 .10 .11 .11 .11 .11 .11 .11 .11 .11 .10 .32 .10 .10 .10 .10 .10 .10 .10 .10 .10 .10 .11 .11 .10 .11 .11 .11<	2.3	Research & development (R&D)	19.4	77				
2.3.3 Quality research institutions †	2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop	5.5	56	6.2.3			
Section Structure 24.6 80 6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP 0.2 78	2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	1.3	84	63	Knowledge diffusion	26.0	65
3 Infrastructure 24.6 80 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % 1.8 74 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 11.1 110 6.3.3 Computer & comm service exports, % 51.9 35 3.1.1 ICT access* 20.8 100 7 Creative outputs 32.8 66 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 17.8 102 7.1 Creative outputs 32.8 66 3.1.4 E-Participation* 1.4 116 7.1 Creative intangibles 61.6 11 3.2.2 Energy 22.1 57 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a n/a 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 0.9 108 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 62.7 50 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 0.5 108 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 60.5 42 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 39.6 29 7.2 Creative goods & services	2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	51.3	45		3		
3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 11.1 110 3.1.1 ICT access* 20.8 100 3.1.2 ICT use* 3.0 100 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 17.8 102 3.1.4 E-Participation* 1.4 116 3.2 Energy 22.1 57 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 0.9 108 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 0.5 108 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 39.6 29 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, 6DP 26.0 26 3.3 Computer & comm service exports, % 51.9 35 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 50.0 39 7 Creative outputs 32.8 66 7.1 Creative intangibles 61.6 11 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$n/a	2	Infractructura	24.6	90				
3.1.1 ICT access*					6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %	51.9	35
3.1.2 ICT use* 3.0 100 7 Creative outputs 32.8 66 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 17.8 102 7.1 Creative intangibles 61.6 11 3.1.4 E-Participation* 1.4 116 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$.n/a .n/a 3.2 Energy 22.1 57 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$.n/a .n/a 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap .09 .108 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 62.7 .50 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita .05 .108 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 60.5 .42 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 39.6 .29 7.2 Creative goods & services 4.0 109 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % .260 .26 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % .n/a .n/a 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 41.0 .57 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. .5.7 .52					6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	50.0	39
3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 17.8					-		22.0	
3.1.4 E-Participation* 1.4 116 7.1 Creative intangibles 61.6 11 3.2 Energy 22.1 57 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a n/a 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 09 108 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 62.7 50 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 05 108 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 60.5 42 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. .39.6 29 7.2 Creative goods & services 4.0 109 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 26 26 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % n/a n/a 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 41.0 57 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 57 52 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 42.8 22 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, %					/	-	32.8	66
3.2 Energy 22.1 57 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$n/an/a 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap								
3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap								
3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita .0.5 .108 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 60.5 .42 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. .39.6 .29 7.2 Creative goods & services 4.0 109 3.3 General infrastructure 40.6 34 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. .n/a .n/a 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 41.0 .57 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. .57 .52 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP .42.8 .22 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % .50 .85		3,						
3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq								
3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 26.0 26 7.2 Creative goods & services 4.0 109 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % n/a n/a n/a 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 41.0 57 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop 57 52 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 42.8 22 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 50 85								
7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, %								
3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*41.057 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop								
3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP						to the second se		
	3.3.3				7.2.4			

Serbia

	indicators			4	Market sophistication	34.2	87
Pop	ulation (millions)		9.9	4.1	Credit	43.4	53
GDF	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	11	,719.2	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		
	(US\$ billions)		43.0	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
ועט	(כווטוווע גָכט)		43.0	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	23.1	22
Glo	bal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	18.4	103
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	ration Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
	ration Input Sub-Index			4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ration Efficiency Index	0.9	17	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	0.0	69
Globa	al Innovation Index 2010		101	4.3	Trade & competition	40.8	103
Globa	al Innovation Index 2009		92	4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
diobi	I IIIIOTALIOII IIIACK 2007		72	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	63.2	66	4.3.4 4.3.5	Exports of goods & services, % GDPIntensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	51.2	72	4.3.3	intensity local competition	40.5	110
1.1.1	Political stability*	28.3	84	5	Business sophistication	33.3	73
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	49.5	74	5.1	Knowledge workers	38.2	68
1.1.3	Press freedom*	75.7	69	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	52.5	81	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*			5.2	Innovation linkages		
1.3	Business environment	85.9	33	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]	22.9	102
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	40.3	54	5.3	Knowledge absorption	39.0	43
2.1	Education	63.9	42	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI	51.1	44	5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap	48.5	13	5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years	57.5	51	5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science						
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	93.2	21	6	Scientific outputs	23.7	65
2.2	Tertiary education	35.2	48	6.1	Knowledge creation	20.1	41
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	50.6	46	6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$	24.1	33
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$	3.1	51
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	40.2	27
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	15.1	109
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	23.0	34	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %	n/a	n/a
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	21.9	69	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	35.9	41
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	48.2	52	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	19.7	22
3	Infrastructure	24.5	83	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %	8.4	49
				6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	26.7	63	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	47.6	78
3.1.1	ICT access* ICT use*			7	Cuantina autorita	42.4	22
3.1.2	Government's Online Service*			7	Creative outputs	43.4	23
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	36.5	96
				7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	13.7	97	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2 3.2.3	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	33.5	11/
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	50.2	7
				7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	33.0	82	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.4 7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % Creative services exports, %		
5.5.5	, narear reason a brocapacity, nareap			1.2.3	Credite services exports, //	/ / ./	т

Singapore Key indicators Population (millions)

Population (millions)	Кеу	indicators			4	Market sophistication	78.7	2
Section Sect	Popu	ılation (millions)		4.8	4.1	Credit	65.1	20
Source-mail	GDP .	ner canita PPP (current international \$)	50	1637.8	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	100.0	1
Size Historian Color Historian Col			30	•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*	66.7	66
Coloral Innovation Index	GDP	(US\$ billions)		182.2	4.1.3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Investment 78.5 2.0					4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Strength of Investor protection* 93.0 2 2 Monter capital desivation protection* 93.0 3 2 2 Monter capital desivation protection* 93.0 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Glok	aal Innovation Indov			4.2	Investment	78.5	2
The mountain filtriesy Index. 14.2 42.4 Venture capital deals/or GDP PPPS 77.0 7.7					4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	93.0	2
March Section Comment Commen	Innov	ation Output Sub-Index	45.2	17	4.2.2			
Table Trace Trac	Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	74.1	1				
Institutions	Innova	ation Efficiency Index	0.6	94	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	71.1	20
Market access trade restrictiveness*, %, 84.2	Globa	Innovation Index 2010		7	4.3	•		
Institutions	Global	Innovation Index 2009		5				
Institutions	0.000							
Intention						. 9		
1.1 Political sability	1	Institutions	90.4	9				
1.12 Government effectiveness* 100.0 1 1.3 Press freedom* 49.8 98 5.1 Nonwiledge workers 87.3 3 3 1.2 Regulatory environment 97.5 2 5.12 Firms offering formal training, % firms 1/4	1.1	Political environment	80.0	28	4.5.5	intensity local competition	/ 4.3	20
1.12 Pers feedom* 498, 98 5.1 Knowledge workers 87.3 3 3 1.2 Pers feedom* 498, 98 5.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, #0.00.0 1 1.2 Regulatory quality* 100.0 1 5.1 Knowledge-intensive employment, #6. 100.0 1 1.2 Rule of law" 92.5 1.6 5.14 R&D fermaced by business, #6. 78.7 1.5 1.6 1.1 Regulatory quality* 100.0 1 5.1 R&D fermaced by business, #6. 78.7 1.5 1.6 1.1 R&D fermaced by business, #6. 70.5 1.6 1.1 R&D fermaced by business, #6. 70.5 1.6 1.1 R&D fermaced by business, #6. 70.5 1.6 1.1	1.1.1				5	Business sophistication	79.1	1
1.12 Regulatory environment						-		3
1.21 Regulatory equality*	1.1.3	Press freedom*	49.8	98				
1.22 Rule of law*	1.2			_				
1.33 Rigidity of employment* 100.0	1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	100.0	1	5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %	78.7	15
1.3 Business environment					5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	70.5	16
Business environment	1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	100.0	1	5.2	Innovation linkages	68.3	1
Cost to start a business, % income/cap	1.3	Business environment	93.8	5	5.2.1	3	74.0	6
1.3.3 Total tax rate, % profits	1.3.1	Time to start a business, days	98.1	3	5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]	68.9	1
Human capital & research					5.2.3			
Human capital & research 74.7 1 5.3	1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	84.0	21				
2.1 Education 69.5 23 5.3.5 Nonwierage duscriptions 60.0 2 2.1.1 Education expenditure, % GNI 28.8 95 53.2 High-tech imports less re-imports, % 95.8 3 2.1.2 Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap n/a n/a 53.3 Computer & comm. service imports, % 55.3 28 2.1.3 School life expectancy, years n/a n/a 53.3 Computer & comm. service imports, % 55.3 28 2.1.3 School life expectancy, years n/a n/a n/a 53.3 Computer & comm. service imports, % 55.3 28 2.1.1 PISA scales in reading, maths, & science 86.6 4 4 1 6.1 Knowledge creation 32.0 31 2.2.1 Tertiary education 94.4 1 6.1 Knowledge creation 32.0 31 2.2.2 Tertiary enrolment, % gross n/a n/a n/a 6.1 9.1 6.1 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 18.0 40	2	Human capital & research	74.7	1	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	72.9	11
Education expenditure, % GNI 28.8 95 53.3 Noyality 8 inclinates receip applients, % GDP 78.0 28.8 95 33.1 Noyality 8 inclinates receip applients, % GDP 78.0 29.5 33.1 19.		-		_	5.3	Knowledge absorption	81.7	2
Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap								
2.13 School life expectancy, years						-		
PISA scales in reading, maths, & science						the state of the s		
2.2 Tertiary education 94.4 1 6.1 Knowledge creation 32.0 31 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross n/a n/a 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 18.0 40 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % n/a n/a 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 32.9 18 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % n/a n/a 6.1.3 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS n/a n/a 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % 94.4 6 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPPS n/a n/a 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % n/a n/a n/a 6.2 Knowledge impact 36.8 35 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % n/a n/a n/a 6.2 Knowledge impact 36.8 35 2.2 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % n/a n/a 6.2 Nowledge impact 36.8 35 3.1 Research & development (R&D) 60.2					5.5.4	FDI NEt INIOWS, % GDP	/ 3. /	
2.2.2 Tertiary education 94.4 1 6.1 Knowledge creation 32.0 31 2.2.1 Tertiary enolment, % gross n/a 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 18.0 40 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % n/a n/a 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 32.9 18 2.2.3 Graduates in science, % n/a n/a 6.1.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPPS 32.9 18 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % n/a n/a 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPPS 45.1 18 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % n/a n/a 6.2.1 Knowledge impact 36.8 35 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % n/a n/a 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs 57.6 9 2.3.1 Research & development (R&D) 60.2 10 62.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs 57.6 9 2.3.1 <t< td=""><td>2.1.5</td><td>Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary</td><td>81.2</td><td>65</td><td>6</td><td>Scientific outputs</td><td>48.9</td><td>15</td></t<>	2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	81.2	65	6	Scientific outputs	48.9	15
22.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross n/a n/a 61.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 18.0	2.2	Tertiary education	94.4	1	6.1	•	32.0	31
2.2.2 Graduates in science, % n/a n/a 61.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 32.9 18 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % n/a n/a 61.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a			n/a	n/a				
2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % n/a n/a 6.1.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a n/a 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % 94.4 6 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$ 45.1 18 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % n/a n/a 6.2 Knowledge impact 36.8 35 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % n/a n/a 6.2 Knowledge impact 36.8 35 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 60.2 10 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, % 12.8 106 2.3 Researchers headcount/million pop. 53.5 7 62.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 43.0 9 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 53.5 7 62.3 Computer software spending, % GDP 43.0 9 2.3.1 Infrastructure 47.6 9 63.2 High tech exports sees receipts, % GDP 98.4 6 3.1 Infra	2.2.2	Graduates in science, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.2			
22.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, %	2.2.3				6.1.3			
22.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %					6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	45.1	18
2.3 Research & development (R&D) 60.2 10 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs					6.2	Knowledge impact	36.8	35
2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop	2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	n/a	n/a	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %	12.8	106
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			6.2.2			
2.3.3 Quality research institutions [†] 75.6. 11 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 78.0 2 3. Infrastructure 47.6 9 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % 100.0 1 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 69.0 5 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 57.0 26 3.1.1 ICT access* 80.2 10 3.1.2 ICT use* 58.1 5 7 Creative outputs 41.4 30 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 68.6 10 7.1 Creative intangibles 50.4 45 3.1.4 E-Participation* 68.6 10 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 10.8 79 3.2 Energy 26.0 31 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 19.6 28 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 44.7 16 7.1.3 ICT & business models 80.5 3 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 34.4 16 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models 7.5.3 5 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq 38.6 31 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 0.0 111 3.3 General infrastructure 47.9 13 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop 30.7 25 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 80.5 3 3.2.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 45.8 20 3.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop 75.1 5 3.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP 45.8 20					6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	43.0	19
Sample S					6.3	Knowledge diffusion	78.0	2
3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 69.0 5 3.1.1 ICT access* 80.2 10 3.1.2 ICT use* 58.1 5 3.1.4 E-Participation* 68.6 10 3.2 Energy 26.0 31 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 44.7 16 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 34.4 16 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 38.6 31 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 0.00 111 3.3 General infrastructure 47.9 13 3.3 General infrastructure 47.9 13 3.4 ICT & comm service exports, % 57.0 26 6.3.3 Computer & comm service exports, % 57.0 26 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP	2.3.3	Quality research institutions'	/ 3.0	1 1	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	98.4	6
3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 69.0 5 3.1.1 ICT access* 80.2 10 3.1.2 ICT use* 58.1 5 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 68.6 10 3.1.4 E-Participation* 68.6 10 3.2 Energy 26.0 31 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 44.7 16 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 34.4 16 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq 38.6 31 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 0.0 111 3.3 General infrastructure 47.9 13 3.4 Gross capital formation, % GDP 45.8 20 3.5 Computer & comm service exports, % 57.0 26 3.1 FDI net outflows, % GDP 56.5 17 3.1 Creative outputs 41.4 30 3.1 Creative outputs 50.4 45 3.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 19.6 28 3.1 ICT & business models 1 80.5 3 3.1 ICT & organizational models 1 75.3 5 3.2 Creative goods & services 32.4 30 3.3 General infrastructure 47.9 13 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 30.7 25 3.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP 45.8 20 3.2 Creative goods exports, % 16.3 54	3	Infrastructure	47.6	9				
3.1.1 ICT access* 80.2 10 3.1.2 ICT use* 58.1 5 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 68.6 10 3.1.4 E-Participation* 68.6 10 3.2 Energy 26.0 31 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap. 44.7 16 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 34.4 16 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 38.6 31 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 0.0 111 3.3 General infrastructure 47.9 13 3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 45.8 20 3.3 Gross capital formation, % GDP 41.4 30 3.5 Creative outputs 41.4 30 3.7 Creative intangibles 50.4 45 3.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 19.6 28 3.1 (CT & business models† 80.5 3 3.1 (CT & organizational models† 75.3 5 3.2 Creative goods & services 32.4 30 3.3 General infrastructure 47.9 13 3.4 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 80.5 3 3.5 Gross capital formation, % GDP 45.8 20 3.6 Creative goods exports, % 16.3 54				_		·		
3.1.2 ICT use* 58.1 5 7 Creative outputs 41.4 30 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 68.6 10 7.1 Creative intangibles 50.4 45 3.1.4 E-Participation* 68.6 10 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 10.8 79 3.2 Energy 26.0 31 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 19.6 28 3.2.1 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 34.4 16 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 80.5 3 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 34.4 16 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 75.3 5 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 38.6 31 7.2 Creative goods & services 32.4 30 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 0.0 111 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % 85.7 3 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 47.9 13 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 30.7 25 3.3.2 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>6.3.4</td> <td>FDI net outflows, % GDP</td> <td>56.5</td> <td>1/</td>					6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	56.5	1/
3.1.3 Government's Online Service*					7	Creative outputs	41 4	30
3.1.4 E-Participation*	3.1.3					-		
3.2 Energy 26.0 31 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 19.6 28 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 44.7 16 7.1.3 ICT & business models [†] 80.5 3 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 34.4 16 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models [†] 75.3 5 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 38.6 31 7.2 Creative goods & services 32.4 30 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % .0.0 .111 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % .85.7 .3 3.3 General infrastructure 47.9 13 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 30.7 .25 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 80.5 .3 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. .75.1 .5 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP .45.8 .20 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % .16.3 .54	3.1.4	E-Participation*	68.6	10				
3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap	3.2	Enerav	26.0	31				
3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 34.4 16 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 75.3 5 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 38.6 31 7.2 Creative goods & services 32.4 30 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 0.0 111 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % 85.7 .3 3.3 General infrastructure 47.9 13 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 30.7 .25 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 80.5 .3 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. .75.1 .5 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 45.8 20 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 16.3 .54		37						
3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 38.6. 31 31.24 Share of renewables in energy use, %		Electricity consumption, kWh/capita	34.4	16				
3.24 Snare of renewables in energy use, %	3.2.3					_		
3.3 General infrastructure 47.9 13 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 30.7 25 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 80.5 3 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 75.1 5 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 45.8 20 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 16.3 .54	3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	0.0	111				
3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*80.5	3.3	General infrastructure	47.9	13				
3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP			*80.5	3				
3.3.3 Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap17.315 7.2.5 Creative services exports, %79	3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP	45.8	20	7.2.4			
	3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap	17.3	115	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	1.5	79

Slovak Republic

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	45.9	43
Popu	ılation (millions)		5.4	4.1	Credit	38.4	66
•	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	22	,356.3	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	90.0	7
		22,	87.6	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
UDP	(US\$ billions)		07.0	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	0.0	/9
Glob	oal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	14.0	116
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	·			4.2.2 4.2.3	Market capitalization, % GDP Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.3	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	ation Efficiency Index						4
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		37	4.3 4.3.1	Trade & competition Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %	85.4	
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		35	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	79.6	30	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	81.1	23	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	73.2	30
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Pusiness conhistication	37.8	56
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*				Business sophistication		
1.1.3	Press freedom*			5.1	Knowledge workers	49.1	43
1.2	Regulatory environment	76.1	31	5.1.1 5.1.2	Knowledge-intensive employment, % Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	82.4		5.1.2	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	78.0	54	5.2	,	32.4	64
1.3	Business environment	81.5	58	5.2.1	Innovation linkages University/industry collaboration†		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	60.3	94	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
_				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	42.8	46	5.3	Knowledge absorption	32.0	70
2.1	Education	61.3	47	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %	48.7	41
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	35.6	119
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Coiontific outnuts	26.5	E1
	•			6	Scientific outputs	26.5	51
2.2	Tertiary education Tertiary enrolment, % gross	42.4	23	6.1	Knowledge creation	13.6	54
2.2.1	Graduates in science, %			6.1.1 6.1.2	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	38.2	
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	80.3	6	6.2 6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	24.8	56	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP						
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	37.6	83	6.3 6.3.1	Knowledge diffusion Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	27.9	61
2	Information	25.2	2.4	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3	Infrastructure	35.2	34	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	38.1	42	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*			_			
3.1.2	ICT use*Government's Online Service*			7	Creative outputs	33.1	63
3.1.3 3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	38.2	91
				7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	17.5	77	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2 3.2.3	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	45.3	84
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	28.0	46
				7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	<i>50.1</i>	7	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1 3.3.2	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*. Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.3 7.2.4	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.4 7.2.5	Creative goods exports, %		
٥.٥.٥	zeo.ogicai iootpiiit a biocapacity, iia/cap			1.2.3	Creative services exports, /0	∠/.∪	

I: Country/Economy Profiles

Slovenia

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	40.3	60
Popi	ulation (millions)		2.0	4.1	Credit	34.7	80
-	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	27	,004.4	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	21	•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		48.5	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	27.7	37
				4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Clai	bal Innovation Index	Score 0–100	Rank	4.2	Investment	22.2	90
GIOI	oai innovation index	45.1	30	4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	67.0	19
Innov	ration Output Sub-Index	38.9	30	4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	51.3	32	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.8	51	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	0.0	69
Globa	Il Innovation Index 2010		26	4.3	Trade & competition	64.0	16
	Il Innovation Index 2009			4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
diona	II IIIIOVALIOII IIIUEX 2009		30	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	80.4	29	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDPIntensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	82.5	20	4.3.5	intensity local competition	69.8	40
1.1.1	Political stability*	77.4	24	5	Business sophistication	47.8	34
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	84.3	26	5.1	Knowledge workers	67.2	24
1.1.3	Press freedom*	85.8	42	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	69.0	47	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	77.1	36	5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*	84.0	26	5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	74.0	12
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	46.0	114	5.2	Innovation linkages	35.3	50
1.3	Business environment	89.7	20	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days	95.2	13	5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	73.8	51	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
2	Human sanital O vasaanda	F1 2	26	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	13.9	54
2	Human capital & research		26	5.3	Knowledge absorption	41.0	36
2.1	Education	74.3	9	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	58.5	10
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap School life expectancy, years			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	30.6	122
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	33.9	32
		36.8	43		•		
2.2 2.2.1	Tertiary education Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1 6.1.1	Knowledge creation Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$	38.9	17
2.2.1	Graduates in science, %			6.1.1	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %	18.2	78	6.2	Knowledge impact	34.9	47
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	22.4	38	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	42.9	30	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop	32.9	21	6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	33.8	19	6.3	Knowledge diffusion	27.9	60
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	61.9	26	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
2	Information	200	27	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3	Infrastructure	36.6	27	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	51.8	27	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	48.2	62
3.1.1	ICT access*			_			
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	43.8	20
3.1.3	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	51.4	36
3.1.4	_			7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	24.6	42	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity consumption kWh/capita			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2 3.2.3	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	51.2	61
3.2.3	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	36.2	23
				7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	33.3	78	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1 3.3.2	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.4 7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % Creative services exports, %		
د.د.د	Ecological lootpillit & blocapacity, Hd/Cdf	J∠/.J	J+	7.2.5	Cieative services exports, 70	১∠.5	∠∠

South Africa

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	63.9	8
Popi	ulation (millions)		50.5	4.1	Credit	64.8	21
GDP	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	10	,277.8	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	90.0	7
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		285.4	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	3.3	48
Glol	bal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	77.3	4
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	ration Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.3 4.2.4	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.5	113				
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		51	4.3	Trade & competition	49.6	68
Globa	Il Innovation Index 2009		43	4.3.1 4.3.2	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	71.0	50	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
-				4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment Political stability*	66.4	48	-	n i live e	42.2	42
1.1.1 1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5	Business sophistication	42.3	43
1.1.3	Press freedom*			5.1	Knowledge workers	48.0	46
		61.8	60	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2 1.2.1	Regulatory environment Regulatory quality*			5.1.2 5.1.3	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Rule of law*			5.1.3	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*				•		
1.3	Business environment	84.6	43	5.2 5.2.1	Innovation linkages University/industry collaboration†	36.4	44
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	78.8	32	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
		20.0	0.0	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	13.9	55
2	Human capital & research	30.0	92	5.3	Knowledge absorption	42.4	32
2.1	Education	57.8	62	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	56.9	12
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap School life expectancy, years			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	43.9	72
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	21.5	79
2.2	Tertiary education	3.3	124	6.1	Knowledge creation	13.2	55
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$	n/a	n/a
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	16.6	50
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	32.5	62
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	0./	104	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	28.8	49	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	61.4	8
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP Quality research institutions [†]			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	18.9	105
2.3.3	Quality research institutions	01.7	20	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	24.8	79	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	20.3	86	6.3.3 6.3.4	Computer & comm service exports, %FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*		86	0.5.4	FDI Het Outflows, % GDF	40.0	39
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	26.6	85
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7.1	Creative intangibles	45.1	62
3.1.4	E-Participation*	18.6	62	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	15.8	84	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models†		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	54.7	53
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	8.2	91
3.2.4				7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	38.2	45	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2 3.3.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.4 7.2.5	Creative goods exports, % Creative services exports, %		
د.د.د	Ecological lootpillit & blocapacity, lld/Cap		/ U	7.2.3	Creative services exports, %	3./	04

Spain

Кеу	indicators			4	Market sophistication	57.5	21
Popu	ılation (millions)		45.3	4.1	Credit	71.7	14
	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	21	,544.8	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	60.0	57
				4.1.2	Depth of credit information*	83.3	25
GDP	(US\$ billions)	1	,460.3	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
				4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Clal	al Immarration Indov	Score 0–100	Rank	4.2	Investment	51.8	14
GIOI	oal Innovation Index	45.8	32	4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	50.0	70
Innov	ation Output Sub-Index	35.2	34	4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP	35.9	20
Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	52.4	29	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.7	74	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	68.6	23
	Innovation Index 2010			4.3	Trade & competition	49.2	71
				4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %	94.3	12
Globa	Innovation Index 2009		28	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP	11.4	103
1	Institutions	68.9	56	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	67.6	45	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	75.1	24
1.1.1	Political environment Political stability*			-	Designation and history	42.0	40
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5	Business sophistication	43.9	40
1.1.3	Press freedom*			5.1	Knowledge workers	59.7	33
				5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	73.7	38	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2 1.2.3	Rule of law* Rigidity of employment*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	53.5	30
				5.2	Innovation linkages	33.6	59
1.3	Business environment	65.5	102	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	52.4	109	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
2	Human capital & research	48.2	31	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	18.4	45
	-			5.3	Knowledge absorption	38.5	45
2.1	Education	67.3	33	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	School life expectancy, years			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	37.6	112
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	29.4	42
					-		
2.2	Tertiary education Tertiary enrolment, % gross	38.9	37	6.1	Knowledge creation	24.0	35
2.2.1 2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.1 6.1.2	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %						
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	34.6	48
		38.4		6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3 2.3.1	Research & development (R&D) Researchers headcount/million pop		36	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	43./	18
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	29.6	55
2.5.5	Quality research institutions			6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	43.5	19	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	64.0	14	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1.1	ICT access*			6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	48.8	55
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	41.0	34
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*	76.5	5		-		
3.1.4	E-Participation*	82.9	3	7.1	Creative intangibles	44.3	67
3.2	Energy	26.1	30	7.1.1 7.1.2	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	∠3.3 15 つ	14 د ج
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.2	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/capita			7.1.3	ICT & organizational models [†]		
	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq				J.		
3.2.3				7.2	Creative goods & services	37.7	19
3.2.3 3.2.4		5.8					.)/
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.2.4 3.3	Share of renewables in energy use, %	40.5	36	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop	46.5	18
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	40.5 *64.5	36 24			46.5 26.5	18

Sri Lanka

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	29.7	106
Popu	ulation (millions)		20.4	4.1	Credit	30.4	92
	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	Δ	,771.6	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	40.0	83
			•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		42.0	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	8.3	3/
Glol	oal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	17.7	106
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.2 4.2.3	Market capitalization, % GDP Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
				4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	ation Efficiency Index			4.3	Trade & competition	41.1	
	l Innovation Index 2010			4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		58	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP	13.2	93
1	Institutions	53.2	93	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	31.6	112	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	70.2	39
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	29.3	91
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	•		
1.1.3	Press freedom*	33.9	115	5.1.1	Knowledge workers Knowledge-intensive employment, %	30.9	86 68
1.2	Regulatory environment	58.9	66	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	43.3	88	5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	22.2	58
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	80.0	45	5.2	Innovation linkages	34.6	54
1.3	Business environment	69.1	93	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	44.1	110	5.2.4 5.2.5	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	27.2	102				
2.1	Education	52.9	79	5.3 5.3.1	Knowledge absorption Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	22.4	111
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI	22.7	108	5.3.1	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science				6: 26	20.0	00
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	20.9	82
2.2	Tertiary education	10.1	118	6.1	Knowledge creation	6.1	72
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % grossGraduates in science, %			6.1.1 6.1.2	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	26.8	
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	10.1	64	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	18.6	80	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	29.8	54
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	51.2	46	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	n/a	n/a
3	Infrastructure	26.6	67	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	17.3	92	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1.1	ICT access*			6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	47.4	83
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	34.1	59
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*	26.0	88	7.1	Creative intangibles	47.7	<i>53</i>
3.1.4	E-Participation*	14.3	74	7.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	32.2	10	7.1.1	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap		101	7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	57.7	46
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.2	Creative goods & services	20.5	60
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	34./	18	7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	30.3	100	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop	n/a	n/a
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap	54./	04	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	5/1	1/d

I: Country/Economy Profiles

Sudan

	indicators lation (millions)		43.2	4 4.1	Market sophistication Credit	21.0 <i>10.6</i>	124
-		-		4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		
	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	2	2,209.7	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		54.7	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP	1.5	113
				4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	0.1	73
Glob	al Innovation Index	Score 0–100 20 4	Rank 174	4.2	Investment	22.0	91
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	tion Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
	tion Input Sub-Index			4.2.3 4.2.4	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
Innova	tion Efficiency Index	0.6	107				
Global	Innovation Index 2010		n/a	4.3 4.3.1	Trade & competition	30.3	124
Global	Innovation Index 2009		n/a	4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	34.4	121	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	6.1	125	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	n/a	n/a
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	40.7	50
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*				•		
1.1.3	Press freedom*	9.8	123	5.1 5.1.1	Knowledge workers Knowledge-intensive employment, %	39.7	63
1.2	Regulatory environment	25.9	120	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*		121	5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	64.0	82	5.2	Innovation linkages	n/a	n/a
1.3	Business environment	71.1	87	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	/3.1	53	5.2.4 5.2.5	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$ PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	11.9	125				
2.1	Education	25.3	123	5.3 5.3.1	Knowledge absorption Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	41.7	35
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI	0.2	121	5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, % GDP		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP		
2.1.4 2.1.5	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary				Calantificantonita	242	(2
				6	Scientific outputs	24.2	63
2.2 2.2.1	Tertiary education Tertiary enrolment, % gross	6.6	123	6.1	Knowledge creation	0.4	124
2.2.1	Graduates in science, %			6.1.1 6.1.2	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	46.2	15
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	0.2	109	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %	46.2	38
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	3.8	123	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDPQuality research institutions [†]			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	26.1	64
2.3.3	Quality research institutions	1 // 0	11/ U	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	22.4	98	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	11.7	106	6.3.3 6.3.4	Computer & comm service exports, %FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*	18.9	107	0.5.4	1 Di Net outilows, 70 dDi		
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	5.1	125
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7.1	Creative intangibles	9.9	124
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	23.5	46	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1 3.2.2	Electricity output, kWh/cap Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†] ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.2	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.1.4	3		
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	0.2	124
	General infrastructure	31.9	86	7.2.1 7.2.2	Recreation & culture consumption, % National feature films/mn pop		
				7.2.2	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3 3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure	^19.5	119		Dally Hewspapers/ Loop merare non		
3.3.1 3.3.2	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		

Swaziland

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	33.8	88
Popu	ulation (millions)		1.2	4.1	Credit	35.4	78
	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	Δ	,998.4	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	60.0	57
	• •		•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		3.0	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		C 0 100	DI-	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	18.2	29
Glol	oal Innovation Index	Score 0–100 27 5	Rank 101	4.2	Investment	13.0	119
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.3 4.2.4	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.5	117				
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		n/a	4.3	Trade & competition	52.9	55
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		n/a	4.3.1 4.3.2	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	51.4	99	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
				4.3.5	Intensity local competition†		
1.1	Political environment Political stability*	<i>37.7</i>	100	-	B. C. Live et	40.0	22
1.1.1 1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5	Business sophistication	48.0	32
1.1.3	Press freedom*			5.1	Knowledge workers	57.7	34
	Dogulatow, on vivo mount	F1 0	0.4	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2 1.2.1	Regulatory environment Regulatory quality*	<i>51.8</i>	84	5.1.2 5.1.3	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Rule of law*			5.1.3 5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*				*		
1.3	Business environment	64.6	105	5.2	Innovation linkages University/industry collaboration [†]	16.9	117
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.1 5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
_				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	0.0	73
2	Human capital & research	33.1	78	5.3	Knowledge absorption	69.3	4
2.1	Education	<i>59.7</i>	53	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3 2.1.4	School life expectancy, yearsPISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	45.2	62
2.1.4	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	22.3	75
		16.2	104		-		
2.2 2.2.1	Tertiary education Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1 6.1.1	Knowledge creation Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$	1.0	119
2.2.1	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %	6.9	51	6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	n/a	n/a
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	33.9	22	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	23.3	65	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs	n/a	n/a
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	43.6	27
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	23.3	118	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	0.2	84
3	Infrastructure	18.5	118	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1		11.8	105	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1.1	ICT access*			6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	4/.9	68
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	13.9	120
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*	n/a	n/a	7.1	Creative intangibles	22.7	120
3.1.4	E-Participation*	n/a	n/a	7.1 7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	n/a	n/a	7.1.1	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	26.7	121
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.2	Creative goods & services	5.2	102
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	n/a	n/a	7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	25.2	118	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap.	35.8	50	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	4.0	61

Sweden

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	58.9	15
Pop	ulation (millions)		9.3	4.1	Credit	57.6	27
-	P per capita, PPP (current international \$)	37	904.6	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			4.1.2	Depth of credit information*	66.7	66
GDF	P (US\$ billions)		406.1	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		C 0. 100	D I	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Glo	bal Innovation Index	Score 0–100	Rank 2	4.2	Investment	58.4	10
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	63.0	27
Inno	vation Output Sub-Index	59.4	1	4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP	43.0	13
Inno	vation Input Sub-Index	64.9	5	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Inno	vation Efficiency Index	0.9	6	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	89.6	5
Globa	al Innovation Index 2010		2	4.3	Trade & competition	60.8	24
	al Innovation Index 2009			4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
diobi	ar innovation muck 2007			4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	87.3	14	4.3.4 4.3.5	Exports of goods & services, % GDPIntensity local competition		
1.1	Political environment	95.6	4	4.3.3	intensity local competition:	01.1	4
1.1.1	Political stability*	88.2	10	5	Business sophistication	63.1	7
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	98.6	4	5.1	Knowledge workers	83.9	6
1.1.3	Press freedom*	100.0	1	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		_
1.2	Regulatory environment	86.1	14	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	96.7	8	5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	62.0	84	5.2	Innovation linkages	54.1	14
1.3	Business environment	80.1	63	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration†		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days	86.5	59	5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap	99.5	6	5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	54.4	105	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$	32.3	25
_		(2.2	-	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	42.6	23
2	Human capital & research	63.3	5	5.3	Knowledge absorption	51.4	13
2.1	Education	74.3	8	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	44.6	19
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3 2.1.4	School life expectancy, yearsPISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	48.0	51
2.1.4	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Crientific outnuts	62.1	1
	·			6	Scientific outputs	62.1	1
2.2	Tertiary education	42.3	25	6.1	Knowledge creation	75.1	3
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % grossGraduates in science, %			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.2 6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %						
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	39.3	29
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	73.2	4	6.2.1 6.2.2	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, % New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP						
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	71.9	4
_			_	6.3.1 6.3.2	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDPHigh-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3	Infrastructure	51.7	5	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	67.4	10	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*				,		
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	56.7	2
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7.1	Creative intangibles	59.3	14
3.1.4	E-Participation*	48.6	24	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	23.2	42
3.2	Energy	40.3	5	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	23.9	24
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models†		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	83.8	1
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.2	Creative goods & services	54.1	4
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %		29	7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	47.3	15	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop	69.8	8
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap	52.5	12	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	45.8	13

Switzerland

Population (millions) 7.6 4.1 Credit GDP per capita, PPP (current international \$) 45,116.9 GDP (US\$ billions) 491.9 41.1 Strength of legal rights for credit' 4.1.2 Depth of credit information* 41.3 Domestic credit to private sector, 4.1.4 Microfinance gross loans, % GDP Global Innovation Index 63.8 1 Innovation Output Sub-Index 58.2 2 4.2.2 Market capitalization, % GDP Innovation Input Sub-Index 66.1 3 4.2.3 Total value of stocks traded, % GI Innovation Efficiency Index 60.9 12 Global Innovation Index 2010 4.3 Trade & competition 4.3.1 Applied tariff rate weighted mean	83.3 25 % GDP 74.9 5
GDP (US\$ billions) 491.9 4.1.2 Depth of credit information*	83.3 25 % GDP 74.9 5
GDP (US\$ billions) 491.9 4.1.2 Depth of credit information*	% GDP74.95
Global Innovation Index 63.8 1 Innovation Output Sub-Index 58.2 2 Investment 4.2.1 Strength of investor protection* Innovation Input Sub-Index 66.1 3 4.2.3 Total value of stocks traded, % GI Innovation Efficiency Index 0.9 12 Global Innovation Index 2010 4 4.3 Trade & competition	n/an/a 67.8 6 119
Global Innovation Index	67.8 6
Global Innovation Index 63.8 1 4.2 Investment Innovation Output Sub-Index 58.2 2 4.2.1 Strength of investor protection*. Innovation Input Sub-Index 66.1 3 42.3 Total value of stocks traded, % GI Innovation Efficiency Index 0.9 12 Global Innovation Index 2010 4 4.3 Trade & competition	30.0119
Innovation Output Sub-Index	
Innovation Input Sub-Index66.134.2.3Total value of stocks traded, % GIInnovation Efficiency Index0.9124.2.4Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPPSGlobal Innovation Index 201044.3Trade & competition	699 7
Innovation Efficiency Index	
Global Innovation Index 2010 4 4.3 Trade & competition	
Global Illiovation index 2010	
	64.1 15
Global Innovation Index 2009	
4.3.3 Imports of goods & services, % G	
1 Institutions 92.6 5 4.3.4 Exports of goods & services, % GI	
4.3.5 Intensity local competition	72.833
1.1 Political environment 96.8 2	40.0
1.1.1 Political stability*	68.0 4
11.3 Press freedom* 100.0 1 5.7 Knowledge workers	88.3 2
5.1.1 Knowledge-intensive employmen	
1.2Regulatory environment94.575.1.2Firms offering formal training, % f1.2.1Regulatory quality*94.8125.1.3R&D performed by business, %	
1.2.1 Regulatory quality* 94.8 12 5.1.3 R&D performed by business, % 1.2.2 Rule of law* 95.8 10 5.1.4 R&D financed by business, %	
1.2.3 Pigidity of amplyment* 03.0 10	
5.2 Innovation linkages	61.5 6
1.3Business environment86.4305.2.1University/industry collaboration1.3.1Time to start a business, days	
1.3.2 Cost to start a business, % income/cap98.423 5.2.2 State of cluster development	
1.3.3 Total tax rate, % profits	
5.2.5 PCT patent filings with foreign in	
2 Human capital & research 55.1 17 5.3 Knowledge absorption	54.3 11
2.1 Education 62.2 45 5.3.1 Royalty & license fees payments.	
2.1.1 Education expenditure, % GNI	
2.1.2 Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap47.8	
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	60.023
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths, & science	(2.0 2
o scientific outputs	62.0 2
2.2 Tertiary education 39.7 34 6.1 Knowledge creation	73.4 4
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	
2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, %	
2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, %57.710 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn (
2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, %	50.8 12
2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %28 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker,	
2.3 Research & development (R&D) 63.5 9 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–6-	
2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop44.312 6.2.3 Computer software spending, %	
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	61.9 10
2.3.3 Quality research institutions [†]	
3 Infrastructure 44.5 15 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports	
6.3.3 Computer & comm service expor	
3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 57.1 22 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 3.1.1 ICT access* 85.0 6	66.69
	E4.4 2
3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 44.4 36	54.4 3
3.1.4 E Participation* 7.1 Creative intangioles	63.5 9
7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/on G	
3.2 Energy 35.0 7 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap	
3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita	
3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use PPP\$/kg oil eg 52.9 12	
3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, %	45.3 11
3.3 General infrastructure 41.4 29 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop	
3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*6 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate p	
3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP21.8	
3.3.3 Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap23.2105 7.2.5 Creative services exports, %	0.097

I: Country/Economy Profiles

Syrian Arab Republic

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	25.1	119
Pop	ulation (millions)		22.5	4.1	Credit	10.4	123
GDP	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	4	1,730.0	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		
	(US\$ billions)		52.2	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
טטר	(מון מווון (מון)		32.2	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	0.5	63
Glo	bal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	31.3	53
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
	ration Input Sub-Index			4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.7	82	4.2.4			09
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		132	4.3	Trade & competition	33.5	116
Globa	ıl Innovation Index 2009		94	4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
				4.3.2 4.3.3	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
4	In attack on a	46.3	100	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	46.3	109	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	20.1	120				
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	21.7	118
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	Knowledge workers	34.5	79
1.1.3	Press freedom*	3.2	124	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	43.8	99	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	80.0	45	5.2	Innovation linkages	14.8	120
1.3	Business environment	75.0	79	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration†		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	00.2	/4	5.2.4 5.2.5	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$ PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	40.2	55				
2.1	Education	55.9	67	5.3	Knowledge absorption	15.8	123
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI		-	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.2 5.3.3	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.5.7	TDITIECTITIOWS, 70 GDI	70	
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	98.8	4	6	Scientific outputs	20.5	84
2.2	Tertiary education	n/a	n/a	6.1	Knowledge creation	4.2	83
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	n/a	n/a	6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$	8.6	61
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	2.3	107
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	37.9	32
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	n/a	n/a	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %	37.9	72
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	24.5	58	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP Quality research institutions [†]			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	19.5	102
2.3.3	Quality research institutions	24.3	115	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	n/a	n/a
3	Infrastructure	16.9	123	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	14.3	101	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1.1	ICT access*			6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	47.3	91
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	18.7	116
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*				-	24.2	
3.1.4	E-Participation*	1.4	116	7.1 7.1.1	Creative intangibles Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		119
3.2	Energy	8.4	111	7.1.1	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.2	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq	16.5	86	7.2	3	13.2	75
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	8.0.	101	7 .2 7.2.1	Creative goods & services Recreation & culture consumption, %		
2.2	General infrastructure	27.9	110	7.2.1	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3							
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure	*36.3	71	7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop	n/a	n/a
	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure Gross capital formation, % GDP Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap	13.0	108	7.2.3 7.2.4	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop Creative goods exports, %		

Tajikistan

Population (millions)	Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	32.3	95
Supplemental Supp	Popu	ulation (millions)		7.1		•		115
Some 1-10			1	972 1	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	30.0	97
A		• • •	'	,				
Some-incompanion Mark Ma	GDP	(נוטווווע לָכט)		5.0		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Investment 38.0 3			Score 0_100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	28./	19
Seeger of Investor protection* 570	Glol							35
Trial value of stacks traded, % GDP								
March Company Compan		·						
Maintain		·						
Applied and frace weighted mean, % 814, Applied and frace weighted mean, % 615, 31, 31, 31, 31, 31, 32, 32, 33, 31, 34,		•			13			95
12						•		
Institutions 37.3 118 43.5	Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		112				
Intensity local competition					4.3.3	. 3		
Political sankinoment 30.6 114 4.3 Intensity local competition 50.2 Intensity local competition 19.9 12.2 Intensity local competition 19.9 19.1 19	1	Institutions	37.3	118				
Political stability*	1.1		30.6		4.3.5	Intensity local competition ^T	50.2	112
1.12 Regulatory environment				104	5	Rusiness sonhistication	19.9	122
1.13 Regulatory environment	1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	12.4	120		-		121
1.22 Regulatory quality* 1.29 1.20 1.21 Regulatory quality* 1.29 1.20 1.21 Regulatory quality* 1.29 1.20 1.21 Regulatory quality* 1.22 1.22 1.22 1.22 Regulatory quality* 1.23 1.23 Regulatory quality* 1.23 1.23 Regulatory quality* 1.24 1.25 1.25 Regulatory quality* 1.25 1.25 1.25 Regulatory quality* 1.25 1.25 1.25 Regulatory quality* 1.25	1.1.3	Press freedom*	63.5	81				
12.2 Rule of law*	1.2					. ,		
1.23 Rigidity of employment* 510 108 5.2 Innovation linkages 15.6 111						The state of the s		
1.3 Business environment 56.3 114 52.1					5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	2.3	70
1.3.1 Time to start a business, days	1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*		108	5.2			119
13.2 Cost to start a business, % income/cap								
1.3.3 Total tax rate, % profits. 22.5 124 52.4 M/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPPS 0.0 7.7								
Second S		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Human capital & research 29.4 98 5.3 Knowledge absorption 29.6 7 2.1 Education expenditure, % GNI 31.3 89 5.3.1 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 1.9 1.0 1	1.5.5	Total tax rate, 70 profits		1 2 1				
2.1 Education 47.6 95 5.3.1 Royalty & Icense fees payments, % GDP 1.9 10 2.1.1 Education expenditure, % GNI. 31.3 89 5.3.2 High-tech imports less re-imports, % GDP 1.9 10 2.1.2 Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap 13.9 90 5.3.2 Computer & cerimports, % 498 3.3 2.1.3 School life expectancy, years 43.0 89 5.3.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP 37.1 11 2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths, & science n/a n/a n/a 17.2 73 6 Scientific outputs 27.7 4 2.2.1 Furtiary education 28.6 70 6.1 Knowledge creation 13.8 5 2.2.1 Tertiary envolment, % gross. 19.7 80 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 4.9 6.0 6.1.3 Domestic resultility model ap/bn GDP PPPS 0.0 8 2.2.2 Gross increases in engineering, % 24.9 60 6.1.3 Domestic resultility model ap/bn GDP PPPS 5.0 8 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 6.5	2	Human capital & research	29.4	98				79
Education expenditure, % GML 313.	2.1	Education	47.6	95				
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years	2.1.1							
PISA scales in reading, maths, & science					5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %	49.8	38
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 77.2 73 6 Scientific outputs 27.7 4 2.2 Tertiary education 28.6 70 6.1 Knowledge creation 13.8 5 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross 19.7 80 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 4.9 6.6 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % 64.5 5 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS .00 .8 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % 24.9 .60 6.1.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPPS .00 .8 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % .6.5 .54 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPPS .50 .8 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % .6.5 .6.4 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPPS .50 .8 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % .7.9 .71 6.2 Knowledge impact 34.2 5 2.3 Researche & development (R&D) 12.0					5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	37.1	114
2.2 Tertiary education 28.6 70 6.1 Knowledge creation 13.8 5 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross 19.7 80 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 4.9 .6 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % 64.5 .5 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$.0.0 .8 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % .24.9 .60 .61.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.7.5 .6.2 .6.1 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$.5.0 .8 2.2.4 Tertiary outbound mobility, % .24.7 .61 .6.2 Knowledge impact .34.2 .5 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound enrolment, % .7.9 .71 .62.2 Knowledge impact .34.2 .5 2.2.5 Researche & development (R&D) 12.0 111 .62.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs. .3.7 .7.7 2.3.1 Researche & development (R&D) 1.0 111 .62.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs. .3.7 .7 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>6</td> <td>Scientific outputs</td> <td>27.7</td> <td>47</td>					6	Scientific outputs	27.7	47
2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross. 19.7 80 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 4.9 6.2 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % 64.5 5 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 0.0 8 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % 24.9 60 6.1.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 76.5 8 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % 6.5 54 61.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$ 5.0 8 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 24.7 61 6.2 Knowledge impact 34.2 5 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 7.9 71 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPPS/worker, % 64.8 2.3.1 Researche & development (R&D) 12.0 111 6.2.2 New businesses/l,000 pop. 15-64 yrs 3.7 7 2.3.1 Researches headcount/million pop. 1.4 75 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP n/a n/a 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 0.8 89 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 35.1 4								
2.2.2 Graduates in science, %		,				3		53
22.3 Graduates in engineering, %						·		
2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, %	2.2.3					·		
22.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %					6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	5.0	88
2.3 Research & development (R&D) 12.0 111 6.2.1 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs. 3.7. 7.7 7.2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 1.4 75 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP					6.2	Knowledge impact	34.2	51
2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop	2.2.6	·	/.9	/1		Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP. 0.8. 89 2.3.3 Quality research institutions† 33.8. 93 Infrastructure								
2.3.3 Quality research institutions [†] 33.8. 93 Infrastructure					6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
19.3 116 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, %					6.3	3		43
3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 9.4 113 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 47.3 9.9 3.1.1 ICT access* 19.0 105 3.1.2 ICT use* 3.2 99 7 Creative outputs 15.0 11 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 8.9 117 3.1.4 E-Participation* 2.9 113 3.2 Energy 19.3 69 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 9.9 8 3.2 Electricity output, kWh/cap. 12.2 67 3.2.1 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 8.6 68 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 8.6 68 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq 14.1 88 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 33.5 19 3.3 General infrastructure 29.2 102 3.3 Government's Online Service* 5.6 5 2.2 3.6 5.5 2.2 3.7 FDI net outflows, % GDP 47.3 9.9 47.3 9.9 7.1 Creative outputs 7.1 Creative intangibles 27.0 11 7.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 9.9 8.8 7.1 ICT & business models* 45.8 10 7.1 ICT & organizational models* 38.9 11 7.2 Creative goods & services 3.0 11 7.2 Recreation & culture consumption, % 7.2 Recreation & culture consumption, % 7.2 National feature films/mn pop. 7.2 National feature films/mn pop. 7.2 Creative goods exports, % 7.2 Creati	2.5.5	Quanty research institutions						
3.1 Into & comm. technologies (ICT) 9.4 113 3.1.1 ICT access* 19.0 105 3.1.2 ICT use* 3.2 99 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 8.9 117 3.1.4 E-Participation* 2.9 113 3.2 Energy 19.3 69 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap. 12.2 67 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 8.6 68 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 14.1 88 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 33.5 19 3.3 General infrastructure 29.2 102 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 25.0 110 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 26.8 60 3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 47.3 95 47.3 99 47.3 99 7 Creative outputs 11.0 7.1 Creative intangibles 27.0 11 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 9.9 8 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 0.0 5.5 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 45.8 10 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 38.9 11 7.2 Creative goods & services 3.0 11 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % 7.2 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % 7.2 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 7.2 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 7.2 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 7.2 7.2.5 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 7.2 7.2.6 Creative goods exports, % 7.2 7.2.7 Creative goods exports, % 7.2 7.2.8 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 7.2 7.2.9 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 7.2 7.2.1 Creative goods exports, % 7.2 7.2.2 Creative goods exports, % 7.2 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 7.2 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 7.2 7.2.5 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 7.2 7.2.6 Creative goods exports, % 7.2 7.2.7 Creative goods exports, % 7.2 7.2.8 Creative goods exports, % 7.2 7.2.9 Creative goods	3	Infrastructure	19.3	116				
3.1.1 ICT access*	3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	9.4	113				
3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 8.9 117 3.1.4 E-Participation* 2.9 113 3.2 Energy 19.3 69 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap. 12.2 67 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 8.6 68 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 14.1 88 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 33.5 19 3.3 General infrastructure 29.2 102 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 25.0 110 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 26.8 60 3.4 Creative output infrastructure* 25.0 110 3.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2	3.1.1				0.5	. 5		
3.1.4 E-Participation*					7	Creative outputs	15.0	119
3.2 Energy 19.3 69 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 0.0 5 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap					7.1	Creative intangibles	27.0	116
3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap								
3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 8.6 68 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models [†] 38.9 11 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 14.1 88 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 33.5 19 3.3 General infrastructure 29.2 102 7.2.1 National feature films/mn pop. n/a n/a 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 25.0 110 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. n/a n/a 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 26.8 60 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % n/a		37						
3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 14.1 88 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 33.5 19 3.3 General infrastructure 29.2 102 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % n/a n/a 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 25.0 110 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. n/a n/a 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 26.8 60 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % n/a n/a								
3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, %								
3.3 General infrastructure 29.2 102 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, %								110
3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 25.0 110 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. n/a n/a 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 26.8 60 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % n/a n/a		-						
3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP								
3.3.3 Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap36.0	3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap	36.0	46	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	3.0	67

THE GLOBAL INNOVATION INDEX 2011

Tanzania

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	26.9	115
Pop	ulation (millions)		45.0	4.1	Credit	24.8	102
	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	1	1,355.7	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	80.0	19
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*	0.0	111
GDP	(US\$ billions)		21.6	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	35.5	14
Glo	bal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	23.2	83
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	ration Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
	ration Input Sub-Index			4.2.3 4.2.4	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.8	45				
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		98	4.3	Trade & competition	32.8	118
Globa	Il Innovation Index 2009		86	4.3.1 4.3.2	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	56.7	82	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
-				4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	55.0	98
1.1	Political environment	57.6	<i>59</i>	_	B. C. Livier	24.4	420
1.1.1 1.1.2	Political stability* Government effectiveness*			5	Business sophistication	21.4	120
1.1.2	Press freedom*			5.1	Knowledge workers	20.0	112
				5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2 1.2.1	Regulatory environment Regulatory quality*	41.4	105	5.1.2 5.1.3	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Rule of law*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1.3	Business environment	71.0	89	5.2	Innovation linkages	24.9	94
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.1 5.2.2	University/industry collaboration [†] State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.1	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
_				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	0.0	73
2	Human capital & research	29.4	97	5.3	Knowledge absorption	19.4	118
2.1	Education	28.2	122	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, yearsPISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	44.1	70
2.1.4	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	25.5	55
	•			_	Scientific outputs		
2.2 2.2.1	Tertiary education Tertiary enrolment, % gross	21.7	93	6.1 6.1.1	Knowledge creation Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$	3.5	88
2.2.1	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	55.8	6
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	n/a	n/a	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	38.3	<i>37</i>	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	17.3	114
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	38.3	80	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	17.8	120	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	8.9	116	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1.1	ICT access*			6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	47.3	91
3.1.2	ICT use*	0.6	118	7	Creative outputs	21.1	111
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			<i>7.1</i>	Creative intangibles	29.3	115
3.1.4	E-Participation*	4.3	106	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	19.4	68	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models†	45.4	111
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	42.0	103
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.2	Creative goods & services	12.8	78
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %	n/a	n/a
3.3	General infrastructure	25.2	117	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure	*25.0	110	7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2 3.3.3	Gross capital formation, % GDP Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, % Creative services exports, %		
د.د.د	Ecological Iootphilit & Diocapacity, Na/Cap	۵/.۱		7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	4.0	

Thailand

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	49.0	33
Popu	ılation (millions)		68.1	4.1	Credit	44.7	48
	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	-	7,995.1	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	40.0	83
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,		4.1.2	Depth of credit information*	83.3	25
GDP	(US\$ billions)		263.8	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	0.0	78
Glob	oal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	38.6	33
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.3 4.2.4	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.7	56				
Globa	Innovation Index 2010		60	4.3	Trade & competition	63.6	17
Globa	Innovation Index 2009		44	4.3.1 4.3.2	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
				4.3.2	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	61.5	71	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1				4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	72.0	34
1.1	Political environment	38.0	97	_	B. C. Liver of	50.3	2.5
1.1.1 1.1.2	Political stability*Government effectiveness*			5	Business sophistication	50.2	25
1.1.2	Press freedom*			5.1	Knowledge workers	52.7	39
				5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2 1.2.1	Regulatory environment Regulatory quality*	67.3	52	5.1.2 5.1.3	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Rule of law*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*				,		
1.3	Business environment	79.2	65	5.2	Innovation linkages University/industry collaboration†	41.8	33
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.1 5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
_				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	51.7	17
2	Human capital & research	31.0	87	5.3	Knowledge absorption	56.1	10
2.1	Education	48.2	94	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	82.7	7
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap School life expectancy, years			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	43.9	71
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	23.9	64
2.2	Tertiary education	26.2	77	6.1	Knowledge creation	8.6	64
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	9.3	64
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	27.0	73
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	5.2	/8	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	18.5	83	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	47.1	15
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP Quality research institutions [†]			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	36.2	40
2.3.3	Quality research institutions			6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	25.0	78	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	21.3	82	6.3.3 6.3.4	Computer & comm service exports, %FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*	34.1	73	0.5.4	1 Di Het Outilows, 70 dD1	21.7	
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	39.9	39
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7.1	Creative intangibles	50.7	41
3.1.4	E-Participation*	8.6	92	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	30.5	27
3.2	Energy	15.6	86	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	n/a	n/a
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models†		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	58.4	45
3.2.3 3.2.4	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	29.1	41
				7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	38.1	51	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1 3.3.2	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.3 7.2.4	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.4	Creative services exports, %		
				1.2.3		17 U	ı, u

Trinidad and Tobago

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	42.4	55
Pop	ulation (millions)		1.3	4.1	Credit	33.1	86
GDP	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	25	5,571.7	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		
	(US\$ billions)		21.2	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
ועט	(כוסוווומ לכס)		21.2	4.1.3 4.1.4	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP Microfinance gross loans, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank				
Glo	bal Innovation Index	32.2	72	4.2	Investment	33.2	49
Innov	ration Output Sub-Index	23.5	87	4.2.1 4.2.2	Strength of investor protection* Market capitalization, % GDP		
	ration Input Sub-Index			4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
	ation Efficiency Index			4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	·			4.3	Trade & competition	60.8	25
	Il Innovation Index 2010			4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
Globa	Il Innovation Index 2009		65	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	71.9	47	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	66.7	47	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	69.3	44
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	35.3	68
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	64.3	51	5.1	Knowledge workers	<i>37.8</i>	70
1.1.3	Press freedom*	91.0	28	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	70.5	45	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	69.5	46	5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	93.0	10	5.2	Innovation linkages	36.4	43
1.3	Business environment	78.4	67	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]	41.7	61
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	/6.2	42	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
2	Human capital & research	36.7	66	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
- 2.1	Education	53.5	<i>75</i>	5.3	Knowledge absorption	31.6	74
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.1 5.3.2	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science						
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	84.6	55	6	Scientific outputs	22.7	70
2.2	Tertiary education	40.9	29	6.1	Knowledge creation	1.9	110
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4 2.2.5	Tertiary inbound mobility, % Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		83
2.2.5	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	44.2	18
2.2.0				6.2.1			17
2.2	December 0, development (D0 D)	15.0	07		Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	15.6	97	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs	n/a	n/a
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop	3.5	65	6.2.2 6.2.3	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs Computer software spending, % GDP	n/a n/a	n/a n/a
	Researchers headcount/million popGross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	3.5 0.7	65 91	6.2.2 6.2.3 6.3	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs Computer software spending, % GDP Knowledge diffusion	n/a n/a 22.0	n/a n/a 87
2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3	Researchers headcount/million pop		65 91 65	6.2.2 6.2.3 6.3 6.3.1	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs	n/a n/a 22.0 n/a	n/a n/a 87 n/a
2.3.1 2.3.2	Researchers headcount/million popGross expenditure on R&D, % GDP	3.5 0.7	65 91	6.2.2 6.2.3 6.3 6.3.1 6.3.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs	n/a n/a 22.0 n/a n/a	n/a n/a 87 n/a 102
2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3	Researchers headcount/million pop		65 91 65	6.2.2 6.2.3 6.3 6.3.1	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs	n/a 22.0 n/a n/a 0.1 10.9	n/a n/a 87 n/a 102 110
2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 3 3.1 3.1.1	Researchers headcount/million pop	3.5	65 91 65 119 58 49	6.2.2 6.2.3 6.3 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs	n/a 22.0 n/a n/a 0.1 10.9	n/a n/a 87 n/a 102 110
2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 3 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2	Researchers headcount/million pop		65 91 65 119 58 49	6.2.2 6.2.3 6.3 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs	n/a 22.0 n/a n/a 0.1 10.9	n/a n/a 87 n/a 102 110
2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 3 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3	Researchers headcount/million pop	3.5	65 65 65 65 49 59 61	6.2.2 6.2.3 6.3 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		n/a 87n/a10211021 99
2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 3 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4	Researchers headcount/million pop	3.5	65 65 65 65 49 59 61	6.2.2 6.2.3 6.3 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs	n/an/an/an/an/a	n/a 87n/a10211021 99 9382
2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 3 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.2	Researchers headcount/million pop	3.5	65916565	6.2.2 6.2.3 6.3 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4 7 7.1.1 7.1.1	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs	n/an/an/a	n/a 87n/a 87n/a 1021021 99 9382n/a
2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 3 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.2 3.2.1	Researchers headcount/million pop	3.5	65916565	6.2.2 6.2.3 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4 7 7.1.1 7.1.1 7.1.2 7.1.3	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		n/a 87n/a10211021 99 9382n/a
2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 3 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.2 3.2.1 3.2.1	Researchers headcount/million pop	3.5	65	6.2.2 6.2.3 6.3 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4 7 7.1.1 7.1.1	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		n/a 87n/a10211021 99 9382n/a
2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.2 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3	Researchers headcount/million pop	3.5	65	6.2.2 6.2.3 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4 7 7.1.1 7.1.1 7.1.2 7.1.3	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		n/a 87n/a10211021 99 9382n/a
2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.2 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4	Researchers headcount/million pop	3.5	65	6.2.2 6.2.3 6.3 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4 7 7.1.1 7.1.2 7.1.3 7.1.4	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		n/a 8710221 99 9382n/a
2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 3 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.2 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.3	Researchers headcount/million pop	3.5	65 91 65 119 49 59 61 76 76 36 35 115 107	6.2.2 6.2.3 6.3.3 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4 7 7.1.1 7.1.2 7.1.3 7.1.4 7.2 7.2.1	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		n/an/an/an/an/a102212182676767
2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.2 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4	Researchers headcount/million pop	3.5	65	6.2.2 6.2.3 6.3.3 6.3.1 6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4 7 7.1.1 7.1.2 7.1.3 7.1.4 7.2 7.2.1	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		n/an/an/an/an/a102212182676767

Tunisia

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	34.3	85
Popu	ulation (millions)		10.4	4.1	Credit	34.5	81
-	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	2	,272.5	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	30.0	97
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	U		4.1.2	Depth of credit information*	83.3	25
GDP	(US\$ billions)		39.6	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		0 100	Dl.	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	1.4	56
Glal	oal Innovation Index	33 Q	Rank 66	4.2	Investment	24.1	81
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	53.0	55
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	38.2	79	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.8	36	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	41.2	53
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		62	4.3	Trade & competition	44.2	93
Gloha	l Innovation Index 2009		46	4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
dioba	Timovator mack 2007			4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	61.1	73	4.3.4 4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	47.3	79	ч.э.э	intensity local competitions	/ J.Z	
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	26.9	98
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	Knowledge workers	16.7	119
1.1.3	Press freedom*	23.3	118	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	58.4	68	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %	17.0	68
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	16.3	64
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	60.0	93	5.2	Innovation linkages	36.6	42
1.3	Business environment	77.5	71	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]	51.3	38
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]	43.3	56
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	46.0	112	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
2	Human capital & research	39.8	57	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	33.3	28
	-			5.3	Knowledge absorption	27.4	90
2.1 2.1.1	Education Education expenditure, % GNI	62.4	44	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	School life expectancy, years			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	53.2	30
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	19.5	86
2.2	Tertiary education	24.6	82	6.1	Knowledge creation	11.3	59
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	28.2	36
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	23.7	89
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	22.4	39	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	32.4	41	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs	9.6	52
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	14.7	42
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	23.5	<i>7</i> 8
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	55./	35	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	8.5	43
3	Infrastructure	29.0	58	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %	13.8	40
	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	27.2	61	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1 3.1.1	ICT access*			6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	47.8	72
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	20.6	/11
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			-	Creative outputs	39.6	41
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	71.7	2
3.2	Energy	22.0	58	7.1.1 7.1.2	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.2	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/capita			7.1.3	ICT & business models*		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq				<u> </u>		
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	7.6	93
3.3	General infrastructure	<i>37.7</i>	53	7.2.1 7.2.2	Recreation & culture consumption, % National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*.			7.2.2	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.5	Creative services exports, %		

I: Country/Economy Profiles

Turkey

Кеу	indicators			4	Market sophistication	38.0	72
Popi	ulation (millions)		75.7	4.1	Credit	29.5	95
-	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	13	,885.0	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	13	•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*	83.3	25
GDP	(US\$ billions)		614.6	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		C 0 100	D. J.	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	0.0	76
Glol	bal Innovation Index	Score 0–100	Rank 65	4.2	Investment	32.5	50
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	57.0	44
Innov	ation Output Sub-Index	30.3	53	4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	38.0	80	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.8	28	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	40.2	54
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		67	4.3	Trade & competition	52.0	60
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		51	4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
dioba	i illiovation mack 2007		5 1	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	62.1	69	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	43.5	83	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	/ / ./	13
1.1.1	Political stability*	18.9	100	5	Business sophistication	29.4	90
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	63.8	52	5.1	Knowledge workers	41.1	61
1.1.3	Press freedom*	47.9	100	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	60.5	63	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	58.6	63	5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	65.0	79	5.2	Innovation linkages	21.8	106
1.3	Business environment	82.1	55	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	64.6	78	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
		22.0	00	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	3.5	72
2	Human capital & research	32.9	80	5.3	Knowledge absorption	25.1	103
2.1	Education	49.9	90	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	11.7	65
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %	23.4	96
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	41.6	87
2.1.4 2.1.5	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary				Calantification	10.0	00
				6	Scientific outputs	18.9	90
2.2	Tertiary education	27.9	<i>75</i>	6.1	Knowledge creation	17.9	45
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.2 6.1.3	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %						
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	17.7	106
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	20.9	74	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.2 6.2.3	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrsComputer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.1	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP						
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	21.0	92
	,			6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	27.5	64	6.3.2 6.3.3	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	30.1	55	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*	46.6	52	0.5.4	TETTICE Oddilows, 70 del		
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	41.6	29
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7.1	Creative intangibles	50.7	42
3.1.4	E-Participation*	21.4	53	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	21.1	61	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	36.2	17
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models†		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models†	53.1	54
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.2	Creative goods & services	32.5	29
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	6.5	60	7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	31.3	91	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop	n/a	n/a
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap	32.4	81	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	33.5	21

Uganda

Population (millions) 33.8 4.1 Credit 33.7 83	Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	28.0	113
Comment of the comm	Popu	ılation (millions)		33.8	4.1	•	33.7	83
Sear Serior Sear Sear Sear Sear Sear Sear Sear Sear	GDP	per capita. PPP (current international \$)	1	.217.2	4.1.1			
Simple S				•				
Content Cont	UDP	(צוטוווות לכח)		10.0		,		
Institutions			Score 0-100	Rank				
Market capitalization % GOP	Glob	oal Innovation Index						
Innovation Input Salv-Index 199 112 423 Total value of stacks traded, % GIP 0.0 0.6 0.6	Innov	ation Output Sub-Index	22.9	91				
Authorstite Color		·						
A		•						
A Applied tariff rate weighted mean, % 633		•			43			
Market access trade restrictiveness*, %, 239, 81						•		
1.1 Institutions	Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		100	4.3.2	- · ·		
1.1 Political environment					4.3.3			
Political environment	1	Institutions	54.0	88				
Political stability*	1.1		40.6		4.3.5	Intensity local competition ^T	64.5	64
1.12 Covernment effectiveness* 338 94				105	5	Rusiness sonhistication	23.9	111
1.13 Regulatory environment	1.1.2	Government effectiveness*	33.8	94		-		
1.2 Regulatory environment 6.24 58 5.12 Firms offering formal training, % firms 37.8 34 467 82 5.13 RRD performed by business (%	1.1.3	Press freedom*	73.0	74				
1.21 Regulatory quality" 467 82 5.13 R&D performed by business, % 8.9 74	1.2	Regulatory environment	62.4	58				
1.33 Rigidity of employment* 100.0			46.7		5.1.3	The state of the s		
1.3 Business environment 59.0 110 5.2.1 University/industry collaboration 40.0 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 7					5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	8.6	67
1.3.1 Time to start a business, days	1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	100.0	1	5.2			
13.2 Cost to start a business, % income/cap 26.4 117 5.23 R&D financed by abroad, % 10.00 2.7								
1.3.3 Total tax rate, % profits								
Second Description Second								
Human capital & research 22.6 117 5.3 Knowledge absorption 24.9 104 105	1.5.5	Total tax race, 70 profits				9		
2.11 Education 43.9 105 53.1 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 3.7 92 2.1.1 Public expenditure, % GNI 28.2 _98 _53.2 High-tech imports less re-imports, % _24.1 _49 2.1.2 Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/rap _98 _96 53.3 Computer & comms service imports, % _198 _101 2.1.3 School life expectancy, years _394 _96 53.3 FDI net inflows, % GDP _52.0 _39 1.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths, & science _n/a _n/a _161 Knowledge creation _52.0 _39 2.2 Tertiary education 10.2 117 6.1 Knowledge creation _51.7 _79 2.2.1 Tertiary education 10.2 117 6.1 More states of the patent ap/bn GDP PPPS _11. _88 2.2.1 Graduates in science, % _85 _84 6.12 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS _10. _61. _61. _61. _61. _61. _61. _61. <td>2</td> <td>Human capital & research</td> <td>22.6</td> <td>117</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	2	Human capital & research	22.6	117				
Education expenditure, % GN 282 98 53.2 High-tech imports less re-imports, % 241 49 49 49 49 49 49 49	2.1	Education	43.9	105				
2.1.3 School life expectancy, years 39.4 96 53.4 FDI net inflows, % GDP 52.0 39 2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths, & science n/a n/a 2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 73.3 81 2.2 Tertiary education 10.2 117 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross 3.7 111 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 1.1 88 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % 8.5 84 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 1.1 88 2.2.3 Graduates in science, % 8.5 84 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 1.4 88 2.2.4 Tertiary outbound mobility, % n/a n/a 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$ 1.4 1 5/2 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 21.3 69 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 0.5 106 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 13.9 103 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 13.9 103 2.3 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 7.5 5.7 2.3 Quality research institutions 34.0 92 3.1 Infrastructure 3.2 Energy 3.1 Infrastructure 3.2 Energy 3.3 Infrastructure 3.4 E-Participation* 3.5 Government's Online Service* 3.6 GDP/unit of energy use, Whi/capita n/a n/a 7.1 ICT & business models 5.9 8.0 3.1 Government's Online Service 4.3 108 3.2 Energy 3.3 Government's Online Service 4.3 108 3.3 General infrastructure 3.3 General infrastructure 3.3 General infrastructure 3.4 Energy 3.5 Infrastructure 3.6 Creative outputs 3.7 Creative outputs 3.8 Recreation & culture consumption, Whi/capita n/a n/a 7.1 ICT & business models 5.0 9. 68 3.1 Recreation & culture consumption, Whi/capita n/a n/a 7.1 ICT & business models 4.3 5.69 3.2 GDP/unit of energy use, PPPS/kg oil eq. n/a n/a 7.1 7.2 National feature films/mn pop. n/a n/a 1.4 3.3 General infrastructure 3.3. 77 3.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. n/a n/a 1.3 3.3 General infrastructure 3.3. 77 3.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. n/a n/a 1.3 3.3 General infrastructure 3.2 4.4 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 4.3 8.8								
2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths, & science n/a n/a 2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 73.3 81 6 Scientific outputs 18.5 92 2.2 Tertiary education 10.2 117 6.1 Knowledge creation 5.1 79 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross 3.7 111 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 1.1 88 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % 8.5 .84 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 1.0 .86 2.2.3 Graduates in science, % .85 .84 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS .0 .86 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % .713 .69 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPPS .74 .74 .74 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % .213 .69 6.2 Knowledge impact 33.3 56 3.1 Research & development (R&D) 13.9 103 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pp. 15-64 yrs .56					5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %	19.8	101
2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary 73.3 81 6 Scientific outputs 18.5 92 2.2 Tertiary education 10.2 117 6.1 Knowledge creation 5.1 79 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross 3.7 111 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 1.1 88 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % 8.5 84 61.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 0.0 88 2.2.3 Graduates in science, % 17.6 .75 6.13 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPPS /a /a /a 2.2.4 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 21.3					5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	52.0	39
2.2 Tertiary education 10.2 117 6.1 Knowledge creation 5.1 79 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross		_			6	Scientific outputs	18 5	92
22.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross		•			_	-		
2.2.2 Graduates in science, % 8.5 84 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$.00 .86 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % 17.6 .75 6.1.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPPS .n/a						•		
2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, %								
2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 21.3 69 6.2 Knowledge impact 33.3 56 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % .0.5 .106 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPPS/worker, % .61.1 .13 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 13.9 103 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs .5.6 .66 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. .0.1 .96 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP .n/a .n/a 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP .7.5 .57 .6.3 Knowledge diffusion 17.1 116 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP .7.5 .57 .6.3 Knowledge diffusion 17.1 116 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP .7.5 .57 .6.3 Knowledge diffusion 17.1 116 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP .7.1 .6.3 Knowledge diffusion 17.1 116 3.1 Infrastructure 20.8 108 .6.3.2	2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %	17.6	75	6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.	n/a	n/a
2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 0.5 106 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, % 6.1.1 1.3 2.3 Research & development (R&D) 13.9 103 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs. 5.6 66 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 0.1 96 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP n/a n/a 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 7.5 57 2.3.3 Quality research institutions 34.0 92 6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP 2.5 59 3 Infrastructure 20.8 108 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % 0.9 89 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 8.0 121 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 4.7.3 91 3.1.1 ICT access 12.4 123 3.1.2 ICT use 2.9 102 7 Creative outputs 27.3 80 3.1.3 Government's Online Service 10.2 114 7.1 Creative intangibles 50.2 46 3.2 Energy n/a n/a n/a 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a n/a 1.3 3.1 Electricity consumption, kWh/capia n/a n/a 7.1.2 ICT & organizational models 5.6.9 6.8 3.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capia n/a n/a 1.3 3.2 Gopylunit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. n/a n/a 1.7 3.3 General infrastructure 33.7 77 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. n/a n/a 1.8 3.3 General infrastructure 33.8 83 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. n/a n/a 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 32.2 44 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 1.3 80		*			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	14.1	57
2.3. Research & development (R&D) 13.9 103 6.2.1 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs. 5.6. 6.6 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. 0.1. 96 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP					6.2	Knowledge impact	33.3	56
2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. .0.1 96 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP n/a n/a </td <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>6.2.1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		•			6.2.1			
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
2.3.3 Quality research institutions†					6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
3 Infrastructure 20.8 108 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 3.1.1 ICT access* 3.1.2 ICT use* 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 3.1.4 E-Participation* 3.1.5 Electricity output, kWh/capita 3.1.1 Electricity output, kWh/capita 3.1.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 3.1.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 3.3.5 General infrastructure 33.7 77 3.3.6 Gross capital formation, % GDP 3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 3.3 Infrastructure 33.4 Noyalty & license fees receipts, % GDP 3.5 High-tech exports less re-exports, % 3.6 Share of renewables in energy use, % 3.7 Computer & comm service exports, % 3.7 FDI net outflows, % GDP 47.3 PDI net outflows, % GDP 47.3 Dalide a pout service exports, % 47.4 Creative goods exports, % 48.5 PDI net outflows, % GDP 47.5 PDI net outflows, % GDP 47.1 Creative outputs 47.5 PDI net outflows, % GDP 47.1 Creative outputs						3		
3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 8.0 121 6.3.3 Computer & comm service exports, % 17.5 .97 3.1.1 ICT access* 12.4 123 3.1.2 ICT use* 2.9 102 7 Creative outputs 27.3 80 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 10.2 114 7.1 Creative outputs 27.3 80 3.1.4 E-Participation* 7.1 .97 7 Creative intangibles 50.2 46 3.2 Energy n/a n/a 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a n/a 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap. n/a n/a 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a n/a 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita n/a n/a 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 43.5 .95 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. n/a n/a 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 43.5 .95 3.2.4 Share of		•						
3.1 Into & comm. technologies (ICT) 8.0 121 3.1.1 ICT access* 12.4 123 3.1.2 ICT use* 2.9 102 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 10.2 114 3.1.4 E-Participation* 7.1 Creative outputs 27.3 80 3.1.4 E-Participation* 7.1 97 Creative intangibles 50.2 46 3.2 Energy n/a n/a 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a n/a 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap n/a n/a 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 56.9 68 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita n/a n/a 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 43.5 95 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq n/a n/a 7.2 Creative goods & services 4.3 108 3.3.4 General infrastructure 33.7 77 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop n/a n/a 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 33.8 83<	3	Infrastructure	20.8	108				
3.1.1 ICT access*	3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	8.0	121				
3.1.3 Government's Online Service*						,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
3.1.4 E-Participation* 7.1 97 7.1 Creative intangibles 50.2 46 3.2 Energy n/a n/a 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a n/a 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap n/a 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a n/a 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita n/a n/a 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 56.9 .68 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq n/a n/a 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 43.5 .95 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, n/a n/a 7.2 Creative goods & services 4.3 108 3.3.1 General infrastructure 33.7 77 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. n/a n/a 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 33.8 83 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. n/a n/a 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 32.2 44 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 4.3 .88					7	Creative outputs	27.3	80
3.2 Energy n/a n/a 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/on GDP PPP\$n/an/a 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/capn/an/a 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/on GDP PPP\$n/an/a 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capitan/a 7.1.3 ICT & business models [†]					7.1			
3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap								
3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita n/a 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models [†] 43.5 95 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. n/a n/a 7.2 Creative goods & services 4.3 108 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a 3.3 General infrastructure 33.7 77 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. n/a n/a 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 33.8 83 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. n/a n/a 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 32.2 44 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 4.3 88								
3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq								
3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, %								
3.3 General infrastructure 33.7 77 7.2.2 National feature films/mn popn/a n/an/a 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 33.8								
3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*	3.3							
3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP								
3.3.3 Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap35.160 7.2.5 Creative services exports, %						Creative goods exports, %	4.3	88
·	3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap	35.1	60	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	n/a	n/a

I: Country/Economy Profiles

Ukraine

Ke	y indicators			4	Market sophistication	39.6	64
Po	pulation (millions)		45.4	4.1	Credit	41.2	57
	OP per capita, PPP (current international \$)	f	5,317.8	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		
	• •		•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GL	P (US\$ billions)		113.5	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		C 0 100	Devil	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	3.1	49
GI	obal Innovation Index	Score 0–100	Rank 60	4.2	Investment	23.1	84
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	47.0	86
	ovation Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
Inn	ovation Input Sub-Index	39.6	67	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Inn	ovation Efficiency Index	0.8	40	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	55.9	38
Glo	bal Innovation Index 2010		61	4.3	Trade & competition	54.3	47
Glo	bal Innovation Index 2009		79	4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
				4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3 4.3.4	Imports of goods & services, % GDP Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	51.0	103	4.3.4	Intensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	36.2	103	7.5.5	interisity local competition	5 1.7	110
1.1.1	Political stability*	34.4	75	5	Business sophistication	41.5	45
1.1.2				5.1	Knowledge workers	45.5	51
1.1.3	Press freedom*	50.5	94	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %	61.1	
1.2	Regulatory environment	42.3	104	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %	65.2	25
1.2.2				5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	35.4	46
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	69.0	73	5.2	Innovation linkages	31.8	69
1.3	Business environment	74.6	81	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]	41.1	65
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	,			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	53.4	10/	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
2	Human capital & research	44.3	40	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	24.4	42
	-	70.7	40 15	5.3	Knowledge absorption	47.3	20
2.1 2.1.1	Education Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1				5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.3				5.3.3 5.3.4	Computer & comm. service imports, %FDI net inflows, % GDP		
2.1.4				5.5.4	FDI Net Innows, % GDP	54.1	33
2.1.5				6	Scientific outputs	29.9	40
2.2	Tertiary education	37.8	39	6.1	Knowledge creation	34.9	22
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross		6	6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2				6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	5 5,			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$	100.0	2
2.2.4				6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	16.3	51
2.2.5				6.2	Knowledge impact	24.5	84
2.2.6	•	11.2	60	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	24.5	59	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	23.4	29
2.3.2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	30.2	52
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	43.5	64	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	13.2	29
3	Infrastructure	21.5	101	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %	n/a	n/a
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	27.1	62	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1.1	ICT access*			6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	47.7	75
3.1.2				7	Creative outputs	31.0	70
3.1.3					-		
3.1.4	E-Participation*	25.7	47	7.1 7.1.1	Creative intangibles Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	40.6	82
3.2	Energy	9.2	109	7.1.1 7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.2	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2				7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq	8.5	100	7.2	Creative goods & services	21.4	59
3.2.4		0.0	100				
3.3	Share of renewables in energy use, %	0.8		/ / 1	Recreation & culture consumption %	322	
	Share of renewables in energy use, % General infrastructure	0.8 28.2	106	7.2.1 7.2.2	Recreation & culture consumption, % National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1		28.2	106		National feature films/mn pop	1.0	76
	General infrastructure Quality of trade & transport infrastructure	28.2 *36.0	106 72 97	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop	1.0 23.7 9.0	76 26 75

United Arab Emirates

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	52.4	31
Popu	ulation (millions)		4.7	4.1	Credit	51.1	34
•	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	57	,743.7	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	40.0	83
			230.3	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
UDP	(US\$ billions)		230.3	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Glob	oal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	31.8	52
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	·			4.2.2 4.2.3	Market capitalization, % GDP Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.3	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	ation Efficiency Index					74.3	9
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		24	4.3 4.3.1	Trade & competition Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		26	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	81.8	26	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	77.6	32	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	77.8	11
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Pusinoss conhistication	49.5	28
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*				Business sophistication		
1.1.3	Press freedom*			5.1	Knowledge workers	69.3	22
1.2	Regulatory environment	75.4	32	5.1.1 5.1.2	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	68.6		5.1.2	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	93.0	10	5.2	Innovation linkages	63.4	5
1.3	Business environment	92.3	9	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	95.4	4	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
_				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	52.5	24	5.3	Knowledge absorption	15.6	124
2.1	Education	56.8	65	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	n/a	n/a
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Coiontific outnuts	12.6	110
	,			6	Scientific outputs	12.6	119
2.2	Tertiary education	48.3	15	6.1	Knowledge creation	1.8	111
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % grossGraduates in science, %			6.1.1 6.1.2	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	35.7	
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	29.1	27	6.2 .1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	52.3	18	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP						
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	52.3	42	6.3 6.3.1	Knowledge diffusion Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	0.3 n/a	122 n/a
2	Information	25.0	24	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3	Infrastructure	35.8	31	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	45.6	32	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*			_			
3.1.2 3.1.3	ICT use* Government's Online Service*			7	Creative outputs	46.6	14
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	71.5	3
				7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2	Energy	30.5	17	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2 3.2.3	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	6/.9	21
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	21.7	58
				7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	31.3	90	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1 3.3.2	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.3 7.2.4	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.4 7.2.5	Creative goods exports, %		
ر.ر.ر	деогодисы гоокрипк а втосараску, па/сар		112	1.2.3	Creative services exports, /0	ıı/a	ı ı/ a

United Kingdom

Кеу	indicators			4	Market sophistication	74.4	3
Popu	llation (millions)		61.9	4.1	Credit	96.4	1
GDP	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	36	,495.8	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		
	(US\$ billions)	2	174.5	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
dDi	(037 billions)	۷,	17 7.3	4.1.3 4.1.4	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP Microfinance gross loans, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank		-		
Glob	oal Innovation Index	56.0	10	4.2	Investment	74.4	5
Innova	ation Output Sub-Index	48.3	9	4.2.1	Strength of investor protection* Market capitalization, % GDP		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.2 4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
	·			4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	ation Efficiency Index					52.6	57
Global	Innovation Index 2010		14	4.3 4.3.1	Trade & competition Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
Global	Innovation Index 2009		4	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	86.4	16	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP	20.6	84
1.1	Political environment	79.8	29	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	80.6	7
1.1.1	Political stability*			-	Dusiness conhictiontics	F7 0	12
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5	Business sophistication	57.8	12
1.1.3	Press freedom*			5.1	Knowledge workers	74.1	17
1.2	Regulatory environment	92.7	10	5.1.1 5.1.2	Knowledge-intensive employment, % Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*			5.2	Innovation linkages	53.1	18
1.3	Business environment	86.6	27	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days		48	5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap	99.5	7	5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %	61.8	9
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	71.9	55	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
2	Human capital 0 receases	FC 1	16	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	30.7	30
2	Human capital & research	56.1	16	5.3	Knowledge absorption	46.1	23
2.1	Education	68.8	27	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	50.3	43
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	52.3	10
2.2	Tertiary education	42.8	21	6.1	Knowledge creation	45.0	14
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross		33	6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$	29.0	21
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	61.6	11
2.2.5 2.2.6	Tertiary outbound mobility, % Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	55.3	8
				6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	56.6	16	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	69.1	6
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	56.6	15
				6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	43.6	17	6.3.2 6.3.3	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	70.6	2	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*	82.3	9	0.5.1	1 Di Net Oddiows, 70 dbi	52.0	20
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	44.3	18
	Government's Online Service*			7.1	Creative intangibles	49.2	48
3.1.3			4	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	10.0	83
3.1.4	E-Participation*				Bornestie ies traderriant ap, bir dbi i i i ş		
3.1.4 3.2	E-Participation*	25.7	34	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	12.7	
3.1.4 3.2 3.2.1	E-Participation* Energy Electricity output, kWh/cap	25.7 30.9	34 35	7.1.2 7.1.3	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ ICT & business models†	12.7 80.3	5
3.1.4 3.2 3.2.1 3.2.2	E-Participation* Energy Electricity output, kWh/cap Electricity consumption, kWh/capita	25.7 30.9 23.5	34 35	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ ICT & business models† ICT & organizational models†	12.7 80.3	5
3.1.4 3.2 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3	E-Participation* Energy Electricity output, kWh/cap Electricity consumption, kWh/capita GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq	25.7 30.9 23.5 47.7	34 35 36	7.1.2 7.1.3 7.1.4 7.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ ICT & business models [†] ICT & organizational models [†] Creative goods & services	12.7 80.3 75.5 39.4	5 3 16
3.1.4 3.2 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4	E-Participation* Energy Electricity output, kWh/cap Electricity consumption, kWh/capita GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq Share of renewables in energy use, %	25.7	34 35 36 19	7.1.2 7.1.3 7.1.4 7.2 7.2.1	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ ICT & business models [†] ICT & organizational models [†] Creative goods & services Recreation & culture consumption, %	12.7 80.3 75.5 39.4 80.4	5 3 16 6
3.1.4 3.2 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.3	E-Participation* Energy Electricity output, kWh/cap Electricity consumption, kWh/capita GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq Share of renewables in energy use, % General infrastructure	25.7 30.9 23.5 47.7 2.0 34.6	34 35361992	7.1.2 7.1.3 7.1.4 7.2 7.2.1 7.2.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ ICT & business models [†]	12.7 80.3 75.5 39.4 80.4 23.6	5 3 16 6
3.1.4 3.2 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4	E-Participation* Energy Electricity output, kWh/cap Electricity consumption, kWh/capita GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq Share of renewables in energy use, %	25.7 30.9 23.5 47.7 20 34.6 *73.8	34 35 36 19 92 70 16	7.1.2 7.1.3 7.1.4 7.2 7.2.1	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ ICT & business models [†] ICT & organizational models [†] Creative goods & services Recreation & culture consumption, %	12.7 80.3 75.5 39.4 80.4 23.6 54.5	5 3 16 6 6

United States of America

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	70.9	4
Popu	ulation (millions)		317.6	4.1	Credit	88.3	4
-	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	45	,989.2	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	80.0	19
				4.1.2	Depth of credit information*	100.0	1
GDP	(US\$ billions)	14	,119.0	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
				4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Glal	oal Innovation Index	Score 0–100	Rank 7	4.2	Investment	77.8	3
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*	83.0	5
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	62.8	11	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.8	26	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	93.2	3
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		11	4.3	Trade & competition	46.6	83
Globa	I Innovation Index 2009		1	4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
dioba	Timovator maca 2007			4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3 4.3.4	Imports of goods & services, % GDP Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	86.5	15	4.3.4	Intensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	80.3	27	7.5.5	Therisity local competition	/ / . ¬ ¬	
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	54.8	15
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	Knowledge workers	76.1	12
1.1.3	Press freedom*	92.9	19	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	93.7	8	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*	89.5		5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %	85.5	8
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	79.3	10
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	100.0	1	5.2	Innovation linkages	50.5	22
1.3	Business environment	85.5	35	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]	79.8	1
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]	63.4	10
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	62.3	87	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
2	Human capital & research	57.4	13	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	44.9	22
	•			5.3	Knowledge absorption	<i>37</i> .8	47
2.1	Education Education expenditure, % GNI	66.2	36	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	39.9	98
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	57.4	5
2.2	Tertiary education	35.7	46	6.1	Knowledge creation	60.4	9
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %	19.6	73	6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.	n/a	n/a
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	43.2	22
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	52.5	11
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	n/a	n/a	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	70.2	5	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs	n/a	n/a
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	64.8	7
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	59.4	12
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	82.5	4	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	85.1	9
3	Infrastructure	44.6	14	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %	39.4	18
		67.4	9	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1 3.1.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT) ICT access*			6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	52.6	27
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	43.2	24
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			-	Creative outputs		24
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	48.0	51
3.2	Energy	30.5	18	7.1.1 7.1.2	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.2	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kww/cap			7.1.3	ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq						
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	38.3	18
3.3	General infrastructure	36.0	63	7.2.1 7.2.2	Recreation & culture consumption, % National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*			7.2.2	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP	7.5	115	7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.5	Creative services exports, %		

Uruguay

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	30.4	103
Popi	ulation (millions)		3.4	4.1	Credit	33.8	82
-	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	13	,189.1	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	50.0	71
		.5	31.5	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		31.3	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0-100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	0.1	/2
Glol	bal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	14.3	115
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.3 4.2.4	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.7	58				
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		53	4.3	Trade & competition	43.1	96
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		80	4.3.1 4.3.2	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	69.5	54	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
				4.3.5	Intensity local competition time		
1.1	Political environment Political stability*	<i>79.3</i>	<i>30</i>	-	B. C. Live et	20.4	00
1.1.1 1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5	Business sophistication	30.1	88
1.1.3	Press freedom*			5.1	Knowledge workers	29.7	89
	Pagulatory environment	71 7	41	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2 1.2.1	Regulatory environment Regulatory quality*	71.7		5.1.2 5.1.3	Firms offering formal training, % firmsR&D performed by business, %		
1.2.1	Rule of law*			5.1.3	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*				,		
1.3	Business environment	57.6	112	5.2 5.2.1	Innovation linkages University/industry collaboration†	32.6	63
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days		–	5.2.1	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	67.1	71	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
		25.0	70	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	n/a	n/a
2	Human capital & research	35.0	70	5.3	Knowledge absorption	28.0	85
2.1	Education	54.9	71	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	6.7	85
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/capSchool life expectancy, years			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	53.1	37
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	21.5	80
2.2	Tertiary education	29.0	67	6.1	Knowledge creation	10.7	61
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.	12.3	22
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	16.1	53
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	35.2	44
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	10.8	61	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	21.2	73	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	6.1	64
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP Quality research institutions [†]			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	18.7	107
2.3.3	Quality research institutions	40.2	50	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	33.4	41	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	34.1	47	6.3.3 6.3.4	Computer & comm service exports, %FDI net outflows, % GDP		
3.1.1	ICT access*	47.6	51	0.5.4	TDTTICE Outflows, 70 dDI	772	09
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	35.8	55
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7.1	Creative intangibles	66.6	7
3.1.4	E-Participation*	25./	4/	7.1.1	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	68.8	4
3.2	Energy	28.5	23	7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	n/a	n/a
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.3	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]	64.0	28
3.2.3 3.2.4	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2	Creative goods & services	5.0	105
				7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	37.6	54	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1 3.3.2	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.3 7.2.4	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap.			7.2.4 7.2.5	Creative goods exports, %		
5.5.5				1.2.3	C. COC. VC SCI VICCS CAPOTO, 70	0. 1	

Venezuela

Key i	indicators			4	Market sophistication	15.5	125
Popu	lation (millions)		29.0	4.1	Credit	6.8	124
	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	17	2,322.9	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		
	(US\$ billions)		•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
יועט	(כנוטווומ לכט)		326.1	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP		64
Glob	al Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	7.1	125
Innova	tion Output Sub-Index	25.4	74	4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	tion Input Sub-Index			4.2.2 4.2.3	Market capitalization, % GDP Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
	tion Efficiency Index			4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	•			4.3	Trade & competition	32.5	119
	Innovation Index 2010			4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
Global	Innovation Index 2009		101	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	27.8	124	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	26.6	115	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	36.5	122
1.1.1	Political stability*		112	5	Business sophistication	34.0	69
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	Knowledge workers	45.6	50
1.1.3	Press freedom*	49.9	95	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment		125	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms	46.9	32
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	31.0	124	5.2	Innovation linkages	27.6	84
1.3	Business environment	44.3	119	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration†		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2 1.3.3	Cost to start a business, % income/cap Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits		103	5.2.4 5.2.5	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$ PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	45.6	37				
2.1	Education	70.1	17	5.3 5.3.1	Knowledge absorption	29.0	81
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI	35.7	81	5.3.2	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap	n/a	n/a	5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, years			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science					22.4	70
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary		8	6	Scientific outputs	22.4	73
2.2	Tertiary education	49.1	12	6.1	Knowledge creation	2.4	102
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % grossGraduates in science, %			6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2 2.2.3	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2 6.1.3	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %					29.1	
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	n/a	n/a	6.2 6.2.1	Knowledge impact Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		69
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	17.6	90	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop	1.6	73	6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	35.8	42
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	33.7	94	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP		
3	Infrastructure	24.4	84	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
				6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1 3.1.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT) ICT access*	24.8	68	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	48.9	51
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	28.3	77
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*				-		
3.1.4	E-Participation*	14.3	74	7.1 7.1.1	Creative intangibles Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	40.7	80
3.2	Energy	12.4	100	7.1.1 7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.2	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita	12.8	55	7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.2	Creative goods & services	15.8	68
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	7.7	55	7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	36.0	62	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop		
0.0							
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop	22.5	28
	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* Gross capital formation, % GDP Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap	34.8	35	7.2.3 7.2.4 7.2.5	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop	0.2	117

I: Country/Economy Profiles

Viet Nam

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	47.0	39
Popu	ulation (millions)		89.0	4.1	Credit	64.3	22
	per capita, PPP (current international \$)		2,953.1	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*		
		4	•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		90.1	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
				4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	57.4	8
Glob	oal Innovation Index	Score 0–100 36.7	Rank 51	4.2	Investment	19.2	101
				4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP		
Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	40.1	63	4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.8	20	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		71	4.3	Trade & competition	57.5	37
Globa	l Innovation Index 2009		64	4.3.1 4.3.2	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
				4.3.2	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	E4 0	0.4	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP	65.5	17
1	Institutions	54.9	84	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]		
1.1	Political environment	39.2	96	_			
1.1.1 1.1.2	Political stability* Government effectiveness*			5	Business sophistication	37.5	58
1.1.2	Press freedom*			5.1	Knowledge workers	26.0	98
				5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2 1.2.1	Regulatory environment Regulatory quality*	<i>50.5</i>	100	5.1.2 5.1.3	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Rule of law*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*				,		
1.3	Business environment	75.1	78	5.2	Innovation linkages University/industry collaboration†	37.7	40
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days			5.2.1 5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
_				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	25.0	38
2	Human capital & research	31.7	85	5.3	Knowledge absorption	48.8	18
2.1	Education	45.1	100	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP	n/a	n/a
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.3	School life expectancy, yearsPISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	69.6	15
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	25.3	56
2.2	•	32.1	54		-	2.9	97
2.2.1	Tertiary education Tertiary enrolment, % gross			6.1 6.1.1	Knowledge creation Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$.		
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	40.2	23
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	n/a	n/a	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	17.8	89	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	15.8	40
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	32.9	46
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	46.2	59	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	n/a	n/a
3	Infrastructure	29.3	56	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	22.1	<i>79</i>	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1 3.1.1	ICT access*			6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	49.3	44
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	41.3	31
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*				•		
3.1.4	E-Participation*	8.6	92	7.1 7.1.1	Creative intangibles Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$	46.0	59
3.2	Energy	18.0	73	7.1.1 7.1.2	Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.2	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita	3.2	91	7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq	22.2	75	7.2	Creative goods & services	36.7	21
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	27.9	23	7.2 7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	47.9	12	7.2.1	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*	39.0	63	7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP	69.0	6	7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap	35.6	54	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	n/a	n/a

Yemen

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	26.0	118
Popu	ulation (millions)		24.3	4.1	Credit	10.7	121
•	per capita, PPP (current international \$)	7	2,469.6	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	20.0	121
		2	•	4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
GDP	(US\$ billions)		26.4	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		Score 0–100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	0.2	67
Glob	oal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	26.7	70
	ation Output Sub-Index			4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*		
	·			4.2.2	Market capitalization, % GDP Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.3 4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	ation Efficiency Index						
Globa	l Innovation Index 2010		n/a	4.3 4.3.1	Trade & competition Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %	40.6	104
Globa	I Innovation Index 2009		n/a	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %		
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	36.9	119	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	9.0	124	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	n/a	n/a
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Pusiness conhistication	25.0	105
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*				Business sophistication	25.9	105
1.1.3	Press freedom*			5.1	Knowledge workers	30.0	88
1.2	Regulatory environment	39.6	109	5.1.1 5.1.2	Knowledge-intensive employment, % Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2	Rule of law*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %		
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	76.0	56	5.2	Innovation linkages	n/a	n/a
1.3	Business environment	62.2	109	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration [†]		
1.3.1	Time to start a business, days	89.4	43	5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]		
1.3.2	Cost to start a business, % income/cap			5.2.3	R&D financed by abroad, %	n/a	n/a
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits	61.3	89	5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
2	Human canital 0 recearch	20.7	90	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	n/a	n/a
2	Human capital & research		89	5.3	Knowledge absorption	21.8	113
2.1	Education	50.3	88	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	School life expectancy, years			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	37.8	110
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	18.2	95
2.2	Tertiary education	11.2	115	6.1	Knowledge creation	1.0	120
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	10.0	93	6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$	n/a	n/a
2.2.4	Tertiary inbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	0.7	121
2.2.5	Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.2	Knowledge impact	33.4	55
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %	3.3	88	6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %	33.4	
2.3	Research & development (R&D)	n/a	n/a	6.2.2	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs		
2.3.1	Researchers headcount/million pop			6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP			6.3	Knowledge diffusion	20.2	97
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	n/a	n/a	6.3.1	Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	17.0	25
3	Infrastructure	15.4	125	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	7.9	122	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1.1	ICT access*			6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	47.3	91
3.1.2	ICT use*			7	Creative outputs	10.7	122
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			=	•		
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	20.8	122
3.2	Energy	3.8	115	7.1.1 7.1.2	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.2	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq						
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %			7.2 7.2.1	Creative goods & services Recreation & culture consumption, %	0.6	122 67
3.3	General infrastructure	34.6	71	7.2.1	National feature films/mn pop		
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*.			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.5	Creative services exports, %		

I: Country/Economy Profiles

Zambia

Population (millions)	Кеу	indicators			4	Market sophistication	33.7	89
COP Per capita, PPP (current international 5) 1,428.6 4.11 Strength of frequent information 8.33 2.75	Popu	ılation (millions)		13.3	4.1	•	36.2	73
Supplementary Supplementar			1	1 428 6	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	90.0	7
Simple S					4.1.2	Depth of credit information*	83.3	25
Side-bil Innovation Index	GDP	(US\$ billions)		12./	4.1.3			
Institutions					4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP	0.7	60
Strength of Invasion protection 10	Glal	aal Innovation Indov			4.2	Investment	17.5	107
Innovation lipud Sub- Index. 33.8					4.2.1			
A	Innov	ation Output Sub-Index	16.7	120	4.2.2			
Material Individual Properties Material	Innov	ation Input Sub-Index	33.8	94				
Contemporaries Cont	Innov	ation Efficiency Index	0.5	116	4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$	0.0	69
1	Globa	I Innovation Index 2010		111	4.3	Trade & competition	47.4	<i>7</i> 8
Institutions								
Institutions	dioba	i illiovation macx 2007						
Intensity Inte						. 3		
1.1 Political tability	1	Institutions	64.3	65		. 3		
1.12 Covernment effectiveness* 3.00 101 5.1 Knowledge workers 16.9 117	1.1	Political environment	57.0	61	4.5.5	intensity local competition.	00.7	01
1.12 Covernment effectiveness* 300. 101	1.1.1	Political stability*	64.2	41	5	Business sophistication	23.8	112
1.13 Regulatory environment						•		
1.2 Regulatory environment 50.8 87 51.2 Firms offering formal training, % firms 26.6 6.3 70 73.7 84 51.4 R&D financed by business, % 16.3 70 70 72 72 72 73.7 73.7 74 75.2 73.7 74 75.2 73.7 74 75.2 73.7 74 75.2 73.7 74 75.2 73.7 74 75.2 73.7 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	1.1.3	Press freedom*	76.7	67				
Substitution Subs	1.2	Regulatory environment	50.8	<i>87</i>	5.1.2			
1.3 Rigidity of employment* 79.0 47 5.2 Innovation linkages 28.9 79	1.2.1				5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %	16.3	70
1.3 Business environment 85.1 40 5.21 University/industry collaboration* 4.25 6.60 13.1 Time to star a business, days 83.7 68 5.22 State of cluster development* 4.15 6.2 2.3 2.0 5.2 5.2 State of cluster development* 4.15 6.2 3.1 Total tax rate, % profits 93.4 9 5.2 JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$ 34.7 2.2 2 Human capital & research 24.7 112 5.2 Monarce by abmost of feeling with foreign inventor, % 0.0 73 2.1 Education 44.3 103 5.3 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 2.1 101 2.1. Education 44.3 103 5.3 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 2.1 101 2.1. Education 44.3 103 5.3 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 2.1 101 2.1. Education 44.3 103 5.3 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 2.1 101 2.1. Education 44.3 103 5.3 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 2.1 101 2.1. Education 44.3 103 5.3 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 2.1 101 2.1. Education 44.3 103 5.3 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 2.1 101 2.1. Education 44.3 103 5.3 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 2.1 101 2.1. Education 44.3 103 5.3 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 2.1 101 2.1. Education 44.3 103 5.3 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 2.1 101 2.1. Education 44.3 103 5.3 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 2.1 101 2.1. Everity education 15.8 106 6.1 Knowledge creation 3.3 91 2.2. Graduates in engineering, % GDP 7.4 1.7 2.2. Graduates in engineering, % GDP 7.4 1.7 2.2. Graduates in engineering, % GDP 7.4 1.7 2.2. Tertiary enclonent, % defended 1.3 1.1 1.5 2.2. Tertiary outbound mobility, % 54.7 1.2 2.2. Research & development (R&D) 1.3 1.0 1.0 2.3. Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 18.3 90 3.1. Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 18.3					5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
1.3.1 Time to start a business, days	1.2.3	Rigidity of employment*	79.0	47	5.2	Innovation linkages	28.9	79
13.3 Total tax rate, % profits	1.3				5.2.1	University/industry collaboration†	42.5	60
1.33 Total tax rate, % profits					5.2.2	State of cluster development [†]	41.5	62
Human capital & research 24.7 112 5.35 PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, % 0.0 73								
Human capital & research 24.7 112 5.3 Knowledge absorption 25.4 101	1.3.3	lotal tax rate, % profits	93.4	9				
2.1 Education 44.3 103 5.3.1 Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP 2.1 101 2.1.1 Education expenditure, % GNI. 6.3 119 5.3.2 High-tech imports less re-imports, % 6.7 104 2.1.2 Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap n/a n/a 5.3.3 Computer & corms. service imports, % 6.7 104 2.1.2 Psocolic expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap n/a n/a 5.3.4 PIDI net inflows, % GDP 5.94 2.4 2.1.4 PISA scales in reading, maths, & science. n/a n/a 17.4 99 2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary. 6.63.8 166 6.1 Knowledge creation 3.3 91 2.1.5 Tertiary education 15.8 106 6.1 Knowledge creation 3.3 91 2.2.1 Tertiary education 15.8 106 6.1 Knowledge creation 3.0 73 2.2.2 Tertiary education 15.8 106 6.1 Knowledge creation 3.0 73<	2	Human canital & research	24.7	112	5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %	0.0	/3
Education expenditure, % GNI		•				,		
Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/cap								
2.13 School life expectancy, years		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				9		
PISA scales in reading, maths, & science								
2.2 Tertiary education 15.8 106 6.1 Knowledge creation 3.3 91 2.2.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	2.1.4				3.3.4	FDITIEL IIIIOWS, % GDF	39.4	24
2.2 Tertiary education 15.8 106 6.1 Knowledge creation 3.3 91 2.2.1 Tertiary enolment, % gross. 2.0 117 6.1.1 Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 3.0 73 2.2.2 Graduates in science, % n/a n/a 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS 0.7 70 2.2.3 Graduates in science, % n/a n/a 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPPS n/a n/a 2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, % n/a n/a 6.1.4 Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPPS n/a n/a 2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 5.4.7 1.2 6.2 Knowledge impact 29.0 70 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 4.6 81 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPPS/worker, % 51.2 2.8 2.3.1 Research & development (R&D) 13.9 10.4 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs 6.9 59 2.3.1 Researches headcount/million pop 0.4	2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary	63.3	93	6	Scientific outputs	17.4	99
22.1 Tertiary enrolment, % gross	2.2	Tertiary education	15.8	106	6.1	•	3.3	91
2.2.2 Graduates in science, % n/a n/a 6.1.2 PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 0.7 .70 2.2.3 Graduates in engineering, % n/a n/a 6.1.3 Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$ n/a			2.0	117		•		73
2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility, %	2.2.2	Graduates in science, %	n/a	n/a	6.1.2	·		
2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility, % 54.7 12 6.2 Knowledge impact 29.0 70 2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % .46. .81 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, % .51.2 .28 2.3.1 Research & development (R&D) 13.9 104 6.2.2 New businesses/1,000 pop. 15-64 yrs .69. .59 2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop. .04. .89 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP. .n/a .n/a 2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP. .0.1 .99 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 19.7 99 2.3.3 Quality research institutions† .41.1 .69 6.3.1 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP. .n/a .n/a 3.1 Infrastructure 22.6 95 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % .0.2 .100 3.1.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 18.3 90 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP. .47.3 .91 3.1.2 ICT access* .12.8	2.2.3				6.1.3			
22.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, % 4.6. 81 6.2 Knowledge impact 29.0 70 23.3 Research & development (R&D) 13.9 104 6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, % 51.2 28 2.3 Researchers headcount/million pop. 0.4. 89 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP					6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$	6.2	78
2.3 Research & development (R&D) 13.9 104 6.2.1 September (R&D) 13.9 104 6.2.2 September (R&D) 13.9 104 6.2.2 September (R&D) 13.9 104 6.2.2 September (R&D) 13.9 104 6.2.3 Computer software spending, % GDP					6.2	Knowledge impact	29.0	70
2.3.1 Researchers headcount/million pop		,	4.6	81	6.2.1			
2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP 0.1 99 2.3.3 Quality research institutions† 41.1 69 6.3 Knowledge diffusion 19.7 99 3 Infrastructure 22.6 95 6.3.2 High-tech exports less re-exports, % GDP n/a n/a 3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 18.3 90 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 47.3 91 3.1.1 ICT access* 12.8 122 12.8 122 3.1.2 ICT use* 1.9 107 7 Creative outputs 16.1 118 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 10.5 113 7.1 Creative outputs 16.1 118 3.1.4 E-Participation* 70.1 9 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 11.7 76 3.2 Energy 21.2 60 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 0.0 54 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 3.9 91 7.1.3 <t< td=""><td></td><td>•</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		•						
23.3 Quality research institutions 41.1 69 6.3 Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP n/a n/a					6.2.3	Computer software spending, % GDP	n/a	n/a
Solid Royalty & license receipts, % GDP					6.3	Knowledge diffusion	19.7	99
3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 18.3 90 6.3.3 Computer & comm service exports, % 11.8 106 3.1.1 ICT access* 12.8 122 3.1.2 ICT use* 1.9 107 7 Creative outputs 16.1 118 3.1.2 ICT use* 1.9 107 7 Creative intangibles 31.2 110 3.1.4 E-Participation* 70.1 9 7.1 Creative intangibles 31.2 110 3.2 Energy 21.2 60 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 11.7 76 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 3.9 91 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 54.0 80 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 2.4 .94 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 43.6 .94 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPPS/kg oil eq. 3.9 111 7.2 Creative goods & services 0.9 119 3.3 General infrastructure 28.4 103 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. n/a n/a	2.3.3	Quality research institutions:	41.1	09	6.3.1			
3.1 Info & comm. technologies (ICT) 18.3 90 3.1.1 ICT access* 12.8 122 3.1.2 ICT use* 1.9 107 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 10.5 113 3.14 E-Participation* 70.1 9 3.2 Energy 21.2 60 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 3.9 91 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 2.4 94 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 3.9 111 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, \$6.6 3.9 111 3.3.3 General infrastructure 28.4 103 3.3.4 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 20.8 118 3.3.5 Gross capital formation, % GDP 21.6 78 3.6 Computer & comm service exports, % 11.8 106 6.3.4 FDI net outflows, % GDP 47.3 91 5.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 11.7 76 7.1 Creative intangibles 31.2 110 7.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 11.7 76 7.1 Creative intangibles 31.2 110 7.1 Creative intangibles 31.2 110 7.1 Creative output krade intangibles 31.2 110 7.1 Creative intangibles 31.2 Intangibles 31.2 Intangibles 31.2 Intangibles 31.2 Intangibles 3	3	Infrastructure	22.6	95				
3.1.1 ICT access* 12.8 122 3.1.2 ICT use* 1.9 107 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 10.5 113 3.1.4 E-Participation* 70.1 9 3.2 Energy 21.2 60 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 3.9 91 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 24. 94 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 3.9 111 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 56.6 3 3.7 Creative intangibles 31.2 110 7.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 11.7 76 7.1 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 0.0 54 7.1 ICT & business models† 54.0 80 7.1 ICT & organizational models† 43.6 94 7.1 ICT & organizational models† 43.6 94 7.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % n/a n/a 7.2 Creative goods & services 0.9 119 7.2 National feature films/mn pop. n/a n/a 7.3 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 20.8 118 7.2 Greative goods exports, % 0.5 112						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
3.1.2 ICT use* 1.9 107 7 Creative outputs 16.1 118 3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 10.5 113 7.1 Creative intangibles 31.2 110 3.1.4 E-Participation* 70.1 9 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 11.7 76 3.2 Energy 21.2 60 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 0.0 54 3.2.1 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 2.4 94 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 54.0 80 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 2.4 94 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 43.6 94 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 3.9 111 7.2 Creative goods & services 0.9 119 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 56.6 3 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % n/a n/a 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 20.8 118 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 1.8 58					6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	4/.3	91
3.1.3 Government's Online Service* 10.5 113 7.1 Creative intangibles 31.2 110 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 11.7 76 76 7.1.2 Energy 21.2 60 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 0.0 54 7.1.2 Electricity output, kWh/cap 3.9 91 7.1.3 ICT & business models 1 54.0 80 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models 1 43.6 94 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models 1 43.6 94 7.1.4 Share of renewables in energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 3.9 111 7.2 Creative goods & services 0.9 119 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, which in frastructure 28.4 103 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. 1.6 1.8 58 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 1.8 58 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, which is a first pop. 1.1.5 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2					7	Creative outnuts	16 1	118
3.1.4 E-Participation* 70.1 9 7.1.1 Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 11.7 76 3.2 Energy 21.2 60 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 0.0 54 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap. 3.9 91 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 54.0 80 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 2.4 .94 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 43.6 .94 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 3.9 111 7.2 Creative goods & services 0.9 119 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 56.6 3 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % n/a n/a 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 20.8 118 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 1.8 58 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 21.6 78 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % 0.5	3.1.3	Government's Online Service*	10.5	113		-		
3.2 Energy 21.2 60 7.1.2 Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ 0.0 54 3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap 3.9 91 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 54.0 80 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 2.4 .94 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 43.6 .94 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPPS/kg oil eq. 3.9 111 7.2 Creative goods & services 0.9 119 3.4 Share of renewables in energy use, 56.6 3 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, models* .n/a .n/a 3.3.1 General infrastructure 28.4 103 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. .n/a .n/a 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 20.8 .118 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 1.8 58 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 21.6 .78 7.24 Creative goods exports, % .0.5 .112	3.1.4	E-Participation*	70.1	9				
3.2.1 Electricity output, kWh/cap. 3.9 91 7.1.3 ICT & business models† 54.0 80 3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita 24 94 7.1.4 ICT & organizational models† 43.6 94 3.2.3 GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq. 3.9 111 7.2 Creative goods & services 0.9 119 3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, % 56.6 3 7.2.1 Recreation & culture consumption, % .n/a .n/a 3.3 General infrastructure 28.4 103 7.2.2 National feature films/mn pop. .n/a .n/a 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 20.8 118 7.2.3 Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop. 1.8 58 3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP 21.6 78 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, % .0.5 .112	3.2	Energy	21.2	60				
3.2.2 Electricity consumption, kWh/capita								
3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, %		Electricity consumption, kWh/capita	2.4	94				
3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use, %					7 2	Creative goods & services	00	110
3.3 General infrastructure 28.4 103 7.2.2 National feature films/mn popn/a n/a 3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure* 20.8	3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	56.6	3		•		
3.3.1 Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*20.8	3.3	General infrastructure	28.4	103				
3.3.2 Gross capital formation, % GDP21.678 7.2.4 Creative goods exports, %	3.3.1					Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop	1.8	58
3.3.3 Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap								
	3.3.3	Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap	42.8	16	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	n/a	n/a

Zimbabwe

Key	indicators			4	Market sophistication	30.5	101
Popu	ılation (millions)		12.6	4.1	Credit	15.7	117
•	per capita, PPP (current international \$)		500.0	4.1.1	Strength of legal rights for credit*	60.0	57
				4.1.2	Depth of credit information*		
UDP	(US\$ billions)		3.4	4.1.3	Domestic credit to private sector, % GDP		
		core 0-100	Rank	4.1.4	Microfinance gross loans, % GDP		/1
Glob	oal Innovation Index			4.2	Investment	34.0	45
Innov	ation Output Sub-Index	20.3	107	4.2.1	Strength of investor protection*Market capitalization, % GDP		
	ation Input Sub-Index			4.2.2 4.2.3	Total value of stocks traded, % GDP		
	ation Efficiency Index			4.2.4	Venture capital deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
	•			4.3	Trade & competition	41.8	101
	I Innovation Index 2010			4.3.1	Applied tariff rate weighted mean, %		
Globa	I Innovation Index 2009		126	4.3.2	Market access trade restrictiveness*, %	n/a	n/a
				4.3.3	Imports of goods & services, % GDP		
1	Institutions	24.8	125	4.3.4	Exports of goods & services, % GDP		
1.1	Political environment	23.5	119	4.3.5	Intensity local competition [†]	51.3	
1.1.1	Political stability*			5	Business sophistication	21.6	119
1.1.2	Government effectiveness*			5.1	Knowledge workers	n/a	n/a
1.1.3	Press freedom*	58.2	88	5.1.1	Knowledge-intensive employment, %		
1.2	Regulatory environment	23.1	123	5.1.2	Firms offering formal training, % firms		
1.2.1	Regulatory quality*			5.1.3	R&D performed by business, %		
1.2.2 1.2.3	Rule of law* Rigidity of employment*			5.1.4	R&D financed by business, %	n/a	n/a
				5.2	Innovation linkages	17.7	116
1.3 1.3.1	Business environment Time to start a business, days	27.8	125	5.2.1	University/industry collaboration†		
1.3.1	Cost to start a business, wincome/cap			5.2.2 5.2.3	State of cluster development [†] R&D financed by abroad, %		
1.3.3	Total tax rate, % profits			5.2.4	JV/strategic alliance deals/tr GDP PPP\$		
				5.2.5	PCT patent filings with foreign inventor, %		
2	Human capital & research	40.8	52	5.3	Knowledge absorption	25.6	98
2.1	Education	68.7	28	5.3.1	Royalty & license fees payments, % GDP		n/a
2.1.1	Education expenditure, % GNI			5.3.2	High-tech imports less re-imports, %		
2.1.2	Public expenditure/pupil, % GDP/capSchool life expectancy, years			5.3.3	Computer & comm. service imports, %		
2.1.4	PISA scales in reading, maths, & science			5.3.4	FDI net inflows, % GDP	40.3	96
2.1.5	Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary			6	Scientific outputs	6.6	124
2.2	Tertiary education	21.7	92	6.1	Knowledge creation	19.0	42
2.2.1	Tertiary enrolment, % gross	2.8	116	6.1.1	Domestic resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		n/a
2.2.2	Graduates in science, %			6.1.2	PCT resident patent ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.3	Graduates in engineering, %			6.1.3	Domestic res utility model ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.4 2.2.5	Tertiary inbound mobility, % Tertiary outbound mobility, %			6.1.4	Scientific & technical articles/bn GDP PPP\$		
2.2.5	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment, %			6.2	Knowledge impact		115
	,			6.2.1	Growth rate of GDP PPP\$/worker, %		
2.3 2.3.1	Research & development (R&D) Researchers headcount/million pop	32.1	43	6.2.2 6.2.3	New businesses/1,000 pop. 15–64 yrs Computer software spending, % GDP		
2.3.1	Gross expenditure on R&D, % GDP						
2.3.3	Quality research institutions [†]	32.1	100	6.3 6.3.1	Knowledge diffusion Royalty & license fees receipts, % GDP	0.9	121
	Information	16.4	124	6.3.2	High-tech exports less re-exports, %		
3	Infrastructure	16.4	124	6.3.3	Computer & comm service exports, %		
3.1	Info & comm. technologies (ICT)	7.7	123	6.3.4	FDI net outflows, % GDP	n/a	n/a
3.1.1 3.1.2	ICT access*ICT use*			7	Cuantina autouta	22.0	CO
3.1.3	Government's Online Service*			7	Creative outputs	33.9	60
3.1.4	E-Participation*			7.1	Creative intangibles	25.7	117
3.2	Energy	17.4	<i>7</i> 8	7.1.1 7.1.2	Domestic res trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$ Madrid resident trademark ap/bn GDP PPP\$		
3.2.1	Electricity output, kWh/cap			7.1.2	ICT & business models [†]		
3.2.2	Electricity consumption, kWh/capita			7.1.4	ICT & organizational models [†]		
3.2.3	GDP/unit of energy use, PPP\$/kg oil eq			7.2	Creative goods & services	42.1	14
3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use, %	42.4	12	7.2.1	Recreation & culture consumption, %		
3.3	General infrastructure	23.9	122	7.2.2	National feature films/mn pop	n/a	n/a
3.3.1	Quality of trade & transport infrastructure*			7.2.3	Daily newspapers/1,000 literate pop		
3.3.2	Gross capital formation, % GDP Ecological footprint & biocapacity, ha/cap			7.2.4	Creative goods exports, %		
3.3.3	есоючен поотринга впосарасиу, па/сар	8	۱ د	7.2.5	Creative services exports, %	5/1	11/d

Data Tables

Data Tables

This appendix provides tables for each of the 80 indicators that make up the Global Innovation Index 2011 (GII).

Structure

Each table is identified by indicator number, with the first digit representing the pillar, the second representing the subpillar, and the final digit representing the indicator within that particular sub-pillar. For example Table 2.1.4 shows results for indicator 2.1.4, Assessment in reading, mathematics, and science, which is the fourth indicator of sub-pillar 2.1, Education, within pillar 2, Human capital and research.

The subheading text provides a detailed description of each indicator, with information on the units

of each variable, the scaling factor (if any), the question asked (for survey questions), and the most frequent year for which data were available.

For each indicator for each economy, the most recent value within the period 2000–10 was used. In instances where this base year does not correspond to the most frequent year reported in the

sub-heading, the year of the value appears in parentheses after the economy name.

A total of 59 variables are hard data; 15 are composite indicators from international agencies, distin-

	stability bility and absence of violence/te	rrorism index* 2009	
	,	1	
Rank Country/Consumy	Natur Score (8-100)	Rank Country/Conseny	Yalun Soore (I
1 Luxembourg	96.2396.23	65 Malawi	
		66 Greece	
	92459245	68 Bahrain	
	91.98 91.98	69 Burkina Faso	39.62 3
6 Norway		70 Senegal	
	90.0990.09	71 Spain	
		72 Macedonia	
		73 Jordan	
11 Denmark	85.85 85.85	75 Ukraine	3443
12 Canada	85.3885.38	76 Honduras	33.963
	84.9184.91	77 Rwanda	
14 Ireland	84.4384.43	78 Jamaica	33.023
15 Japan	83.4983.49	79 Saudi Arabia	32.553
16 Netherlands		80 Azerbaijan	32.08
		82 Morocco.	
	80.66 80.66	83 China	
20 Poland	80.1980.19	84 Serbia	
		85 Moldova, Rep.	27.83
	78.7778.77	86 Nicaragua	
		87 Guyana	
		89 Bosnia & Herzegovina	2042
		90 Cambodia	2500 2
27 Oman	75.4775.47	91 Egypt	
	75.0075.00	92 Indonesia	
29 Portugal	74.5374.53	93 Madagascar	
30 Belgium		94 Syrian Arab Republic	22.642
		96 Russian Federation	
	69.8169.81	97 Guatemala	21.23
34 Chile	69.3469.34	98 Ecuador	
35 Mauritius	68.4068.40	99 Bolivia	19.81
36 Hungary	6792 6792	100 Turkey	
37 Croatia		101 Peru	
	65.5765.57	103 Georgia.	
		104 Tailkistan	16.041
41 Zambia	64156415	105 Uganda	
	62.7462.74	106 Thailand	
43 Benin	61.7961.79	107 Niger	14.15
44 Latvia	61.3261.32	108 India 109 Alessia	
45 Kuwait		109 Algeria	12.74
		111 Sri Lanka	
	57.5557.55	112 Venezuela	
49 Mongolia	55.1955.19	113 Philippines	
50 United Kingdom	54.7254.72	114 Zimbabwe	
	54.2554.25	115 Israel	
52 Tunisia.		116 Lebanon	8.96
54 Vier Nam		117 Iran	802
55 Ghana		119 Bangladesh	7.55
56 Panama	49.5349.53	120 Colombia	7.08
57 Armenia	48.11 48.11	121 Ethiopia	6.13
58 Tanzania	47.6447.64	122 Nigeria	4.25
	46.7046.70	123 Yernen	236
60 El Salvador. 61 Swaziland.		124 Sudan 125 Pakistan	
62 Trinidad and Tohann	44814481	Last Facilities	UAV
63 South Africa		SOURCE: World Bank, World Governonor In	dicators 2009
64 Assertina	43.4043.40		

guished with an asterisk (*); and 6 are survey questions from the World Economic Forum's Executive Opinion Survey, singled out with a dagger (†).

Twenty-five indicators that were assigned half weight are singled out with an 'a'. Normally higher values indicate better outcomes; 8 indicators for which higher scores indicate worse outcomes (commonly known as 'bads') are differentiated with a 'b'.

The source of each indicator is indicated at the bottom of the page.

Details on each indicator can be found in Appendix III, Sources and Definitions.

Explanation of scores

The tables list the economies by their GII rank order, the best performers at the top. After the rank comes the country/economy name, the original value of the specific indicator for that country (in the units specified in the subheading), and the normalized score in the [0, 100] range.

For some composite indicators, the original value equals the normalized score because the range for both measures is the same—[0, 100]. This happens on five occasions: 1.1.1, 1.1.2, 1.2.1,

1.2.2, and 1.2.3. In other instances, the correspondence is straightforward. For example, composite indicators 4.1.1 and 4.2.1, for which original values lie within the [0, 10] range, have normalized scores that are 10 times the original value.

Details on the computation methodology can be found in Appendix IV, Technical Notes.

Index of Data Tables

1	Institutions		3	Infrastructure	
1.1	Political environment		3.1	Information and communication technologies (ICT)	
1.1.1	Political stability	253	3.1.1	ICT access	276
1.1.2	Government effectiveness	254	3.1.2	ICT use	277
1.1.3	Press freedom	255	3.1.3	Government's online service	278
1.2	Regulatory environment		3.1.4	Online participation	279
1.2.1	Regulatory quality	256	3.2	Energy	
1.2.2	Rule of law	257	3.2.1	Electricity output	280
1.2.3	Rigidity of employment	258	3.2.2	Electricity consumption	281
1.3	Business environment		3.2.3	GDP per unit of energy use	282
			3.2.4	Share of renewables in energy use	283
	Time to start a business		3.3	General infrastructure	
	Cost to start a business				20/
1.3.3	Total tax rate	201		Trade and transport-related infrastructure	
				Ecological footprint and biocapacity	
2	Human capital and research				
2.1	Education				
		262	4	Market sophistication	
	Expenditure on education		4.1	Credit	
	Public expenditure on education per pupil School life expectancy			Legal rights strength to get credit	207
	Assessment in reading, mathematics, and science			Depth of credit information	
	Pupil-teacher ratio			Domestic credit to private sector	
2.1.3	•	200		Microfinance institutions' gross loan portfolio	
2.2	Tertiary education			J .	20
2.2.1	Tertiary school enrolment	267	4.2	Investment	
	Tertiary graduates in science			Strength of investor protection	
	Tertiary graduates in engineering			Market capitalization	
	Tertiary inbound mobility			Total value of stocks trade	
	Tertiary outbound mobility		4.2.4	Venture capital deals	294
2.2.6	Gross tertiary outbound enrolment	272	4.3	Trade and competition	
2.3	Research and development (R&D)		4.3.1	Applied tariff rate	295
2.3.1	Researchers	273		Market access trade restrictiveness	
2.3.2	Gross expenditure on R&D (GERD)	274	4.3.3	Imports of goods and services	297
2.3.3	Quality of research institutions	275		Exports of goods and services	
			4.3.5	Intensity of local competition	299

5	Business sophistication	
5.1	Knowledge workers	
5.1.1	Employment in knowledge-intensive services	300
	Firms offering formal training	
	GERD performed by business enterprise	
5.1.4	GERD financed by business enterprise	303
5.2	Innovation linkages	
	University/industry collaboration on R&D	
	State of cluster development	
	Joint ventures / strategic alliances deals	
	Published patents with at least one foreign inventor	
5.3	Knowledge absorption	
5.3.1	Royalty and license fees' payments	309
	High-tech imports	
	Computer and communications service imports	
5.3.4	Foreign direct investment net inflows	312
6	Scientific outputs	
6.1	Knowledge creation	
	Patent applications filed at the national office	
	Patent applications filed through the PCT	
	Utility model applications filed at the national office	
	,	10
6.2	Knowledge impact	247
	Growth rate of GDP per person engaged New business density	
	Computer software spending	
6.3	Knowledge diffusion	
	Royalty and license fees' receipts	320
	High-tech exports	
	Computer and communications service exports	
6.3.4	Foreign direct investment net outflows	323
7	Creative outputs	
7.1	Creative intangibles	
7.1.1	Trademark registrations filed at the national office	324
	Trademark registrations filed through the Madrid System	
7.1.3	ICT and business model creation	326
7.1.4	ICT and organizational model creation	327
7.2	Creative goods and services	
7.2.1	Recreation and culture	328

THE GLOBAL INNOVATION INDEX 2011

1.1.1

Political stability

Political stability and absence of violence/terrorism index (0—100)* | 2009

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Luxembourg	96.23	96.23	: 65	Malawi	42.45 .	
2	Finland			66	Greece		
3	Brunei Darussalam	95.28	95.28	67	Albania	41.51 .	41.51
4	Switzerland	92.45	92.45	68	Bahrain	40.57 .	40.57
5	Iceland	91.98	91.98	69	Burkina Faso	39.62 .	39.62
6	Norway	91.51	91.51	70	Senegal	38.68 .	38.68
7	Singapore	90.09	90.09	71	Spain	38.21 .	38.21
8	Austria	89.15	89.15	72	Macedonia	37.26 .	37.26
9	Qatar	88.68	88.68	73	Jordan	36.32 .	36.32
10	Sweden	88.21	88.21	74	Mali	34.91 .	34.91
11	Denmark			75	Ukraine	34.43 .	34.43
12	Canada			76	Honduras		
13	New Zealand			77	Rwanda		
14	Ireland			78	Jamaica		
15	Japan			79	Saudi Arabia		
16	Netherlands			80	Azerbaijan		
17	Hong Kong (SAR), China			81	Cameroon		
18	Czech Republic			82	Morocco		
19	United Arab Emirates			83	China		
20	Poland			84	Serbia		
21	Botswana			85 86	Moldova, Rep.		
22 23	Slovak Republic Uruguay			87	Nicaragua		
23 24	Slovenia			88	Kyrgyzstan		
25	Germany			89	Bosnia & Herzegovina		
26	Australia			90	Cambodia		
27	Oman			91	Egypt		
28	Namibia			92	Indonesia		
29	Portugal			93	Madagascar		
30	Belgium			94	Syrian Arab Republic		
31	Lithuania			95	Mexico		
32	Costa Rica	70.28	70.28	96	Russian Federation	21.70 .	21.70
33	Kazakhstan	69.81	69.81	97	Guatemala	21.23 .	21.23
34	Chile	69.34	69.34	98	Ecuador	20.75 .	20.75
35	Mauritius	68.40	68.40	99	Bolivia	19.81 .	19.81
36	Hungary	67.92	67.92	100	Turkey	18.87 .	18.87
37	Croatia	67.45	67.45	101	Peru	17.92 .	
38	Estonia	66.98	66.98	102	Paraguay	17.45 .	17.45
39	France			103	Georgia		
40	Italy			104	Tajikistan		
41	Zambia			105	Uganda		
42	Bulgaria			106	Thailand		
43	Benin			107	Niger		
44	Latvia			108	India		
45	Kuwait			109	Algeria		
46 47	United States of America			110 111	Kenya Sri Lanka		
					Venezuela		
48 49	Cyprus Mongolia			112 113	Philippines		
50	United Kingdom			114	Zimbabwe		
51	Brazil			115	Israel.		
52	Tunisia			116	Lebanon.		
53	Korea, Rep			117	Iran		
54	Viet Nam			118	Côte d'Ivoire		
55	Ghana			119	Bangladesh		
56	Panama			120	Colombia		
57	Armenia			121	Ethiopia		
58	Tanzania			122	Nigeria		
59	Malaysia	46.70	46.70	123	Yemen		
60	El Salvador	46.23	46.23	124	Sudan	1.42 .	1.42
61	Swaziland			125	Pakistan	0.47 .	0.47
62	Trinidad and Tobago						
63	South Africa			SOURC	E: World Bank, World Governance Indicators 2	2009	
64	Argentina	43.40	43.40	:			

THE GLOBAL INNOVATION INDEX 2011

1.1.2

Government effectiveness

Government effectiveness index (0—100)* | 2009

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	()
1 2	Singapore		
3	Finland		
4	Sweden.		
5	Switzerland		
6	New Zealand	97.62	97.62
7	Canada	96.67	96.67
8	Luxembourg		
9	Hong Kong (SAR), China		
10	Australia		
11 12	Norway		
13	Austria		
14	Iceland		
15	Germany	91.90	91.90
16	United Kingdom	90.95	90.95
17	Belgium		
18	France		
19	United States of America		
20 21	Cyprus		
22	Japan		
23	Chile.		
24	Portugal		
25	Estonia	84.76	84.76
26	Slovenia		
27	Qatar		
28	Korea, Rep		
29 30	IsraelMalaysia		
31	Czech Republic		
32	Spain		
33	United Arab Emirates	77.14	77.14
34	Slovak Republic	76.67	76.67
35	Brunei Darussalam		
36	Hungary		
37	Lithuania		
38 39	Mauritius		
40	Oman		
41	Poland		
42	Croatia		
43	Botswana	70.00	70.00
44	Latvia	69.52	69.52
45	Bahrain		
46	Greece		
47 48	ItalySouth Africa		
49	Costa Rica		
50	Tunisia		
51	Trinidad and Tobago.		
52	Turkey	63.81	63.81
53	Jordan	63.33	63.33
54	Panama		
55	Georgia		
56 57	Kuwait		
57 58	Namibia		
59	Thailand		
60	Bulgaria		
61	Jamaica		
62	China	58.10	58.10
63	Brazil		
64	Armenia	57.14	57.14

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
65	Ghana		
66	Colombia		
67	India		
68	El Salvador		
69	Saudi Arabia		
70	Morocco		
71	Romania		
72	Macedonia		
73	Philippines.		
74	Serbia		
75	Sri Lanka		
76	Rwanda		
77	Kazakhstan		
78	Albania		
79	Guyana		
80	Indonesia		
81	Viet Nam		
82	Russian Federation		
83	Egypt		
84	Peru		
85	Senegal		
86	Ethiopia		
87	Argentina		
88	Tanzania		
89	Benin		
90	Malawi		
91	Moldova, Rep.		
92	Algeria		
93	Syrian Arab Republic		
94	Uganda		
95	Azerbaijan		
96	Madagascar		
97	Bosnia & Herzegovina		
98 99	Burkina Faso		
	Kenya		
100 101	Lebanon		
	Zambia		
102 103	Guatemala		
103	Swaziland Honduras		
104	Bolivia		
105	Iran		
100	Cambodia		
107	Niger		
109	Mali		
110	Ukraine		
111	Cameroon		
112	Mongolia		
113	Ecuador		
114	Paraguay		
115	Pakistan		
116			
117	Venezuela		
117	Bangladesh		
119	Nicaragua		
120	Tajikistan.		
120	Yemen		
121	Côte d'Ivoire		
123	Nigeria		
123	Sudan		
125	Zimbabwe.		
123	ZIITIDQDWC	2.30	

SOURCE: World Bank, World Governance Indicators 2009

Press freedom

Press freedom index (0 = more freedom)* b | 2010

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Finland	0.00 .	100.00	65	Albania	21.50	77.26
1	Sweden	0.00	100.00	66	Panama	21.83	76.91
1	Switzerland	0.00	100.00	67	Zambia	22.00	76.73
1	Norway	0.00	100.00	68	Nicaragua	22.33	76.39
1	Netherlands	0.00	100.00	69	Serbia	23.00	75.68
1	Iceland	0.00	100.00	70	Israel	23.25	75.41
7	Austria	0.50		71	United Arab Emirates	23.75	74.88
8	New Zealand			71	Kuwait		
9	Ireland			73	Senegal		
9	Estonia			74	Uganda		
11	Denmark			75	Georgia		
11	Japan			76	Armenia		
11	Lithuania			76 78	Ecuador		
14 14	Luxembourg			78 79	Bolivia		
16	Germany			80	Peru		
17	Australia			81	Tajikistan.		
18	United Kingdom			82	Madagascar		
19	United States of America			83	Indonesia		
20	Canada			84	Côte d'Ivoire		
20	Namibia	7.00	92.60	85	Jordan	37.00	60.87
22	Czech Republic	7.50	92.07	86	Qatar	38.00	59.81
22	Hungary	7.50	92.07	87	India	38.75	59.02
24	Jamaica	7.67	91.89	88	Zimbabwe	39.50	58.23
25	Ghana	8	91.54	89	Oman	40.25	57.43
25	Mali	8	91.54	90	Bangladesh	42.50	55.05
27	Costa Rica			91	Egypt		
28	Latvia			92	Cambodia		
28	Trinidad and Tobago			93	Cameroon		
30	Poland			94	Ukraine		
31	Chile			95 95	Algeria		
32	Hong Kong (SAR), China			95 97	Venezuela		
33 34	Slovak Republic			97 98	Singapore		
35	South Africa			98	Mexico		
36	Spain			100	Turkey		
37	Portugal			101	Ethiopia		
38	Tanzania			102	Russian Federation		
39	Korea, Rep	13.33 .	85.90	103	Malaysia		
40	France	13.38 .	85.85	104	Brunei Darussalam	51.00	46.07
41	Cyprus	13.40	85.83	105	Honduras	51.13	45.93
42	Slovenia	13.44 .	85.79	106	Bahrain	51.38	45.66
43	Bosnia & Herzegovina	13.50 .	85.72	107	Colombia	51.50	45.54
44	Italy			107	Nigeria		
44	Burkina Faso			109	Pakistan		
46	El Salvador			110	Azerbaijan		
47	Romania			111	Thailand		
48	Paraguay			112	Swaziland		
49	Argentina			113	Philippines.		
50 E1	Brazil			114 115	Saudi Arabia Sri Lanka		
51 52	Croatia.			116	Kyrgyzstan		
52	Botswana			117	Kazakhstan		
54	Mauritius			118	Tunisia		
55	Macedonia			119	Viet Nam		
56	Greece.			120	Rwanda		
56	Bulgaria.			121	Yemen		
56	Benin			122	China		
56	Kenya			123	Sudan	85.33	9.76
60	Moldova, Rep	19.13 .	79.77	124	Syrian Arab Republic	91.50	3.24
61	Mongolia	19.42 .	79.46	125	lran	94.56	0.00
62	Guatemala						
63	Lebanon			SOURC	E: Reporters Without Borders, <i>Press Freedom Inde</i>	ex 2010	
6.1	Malawi	21.00	77.70				

1.2.1

Regulatory qualityRegulatory quality index (0–100)* | 2009

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Singapore	100.00	100.00
2	Hong Kong (SAR), China	99.52	99.52
3	Denmark		
4	New Zealand		
5	Australia		
6	Finland		
7	Netherlands		
8 9	Sweden		
10	Luxembourg.		
11	Ireland.		
12	Switzerland		
13	United Kingdom	94.29	94.29
14	Chile	93.81	93.81
15	Austria	92.86	92.86
16	Germany	92.38	92.38
17	Estonia		
18	Norway		
19	United States of America		
20	Cyprus		
21	Belgium		
22 23	Czech Republic		
23 24	Spain		
25	Brunei Darussalam.		
26	Slovak Republic.		
27	Hungary		
28	Israel	81.43	81.43
29	Japan	80.95	80.95
30	Portugal	80.48	80.48
31	Latvia		
32	Lithuania		
33	Iceland		
34	Poland		
35 36	ItalySlovenia		
37	Mauritius		
38	Korea, Rep		
39	Greece.		
40	Bahrain		
41	Oman	73.33	73.33
42	Bulgaria	71.43	71.43
43	Qatar	70.95	70.95
44	Romania	70.48	70.48
45	Georgia		
46	Trinidad and Tobago		
47	Botswana		
48 49	United Arab Emirates		
50	Costa Rica		
51	Panama.		
52	South Africa		
53	Peru		
54	El Salvador.		
55	Uruguay	62.38	62.38
56	Thailand		
57	Jordan	61.43	61.43
58	Mexico		
59	Armenia		
60	Malaysia		
61	Macedonia		
62	Jamaica		
63 64	Turkey		
04	/ woorlia		30.10

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	,
65	Colombia		
66 67	Saudi Arabia		
68	Kuwait Brazil		
69	Ghana		
70	Tunisia		
71	Namibia		
72	Philippines		
73	Morocco		
74	Bosnia & Herzegovina	51.43	51.43
75	Guatemala	50.95	50.95
76	Lebanon	50.48	50.48
77	Serbia	50.00	50.00
78	Burkina Faso		
79	Egypt		
80	Moldova, Rep		
81	Kenya		
82	Uganda		
83 84	China		
84 85	Honduras Senegal		
86	India		
87	Azerbaijan		
88	Sri Lanka.		
89	Indonesia		
90	Rwanda		
91	Mongolia	40.48	40.48
92	Benin	40.00	40.00
93	Kyrgyzstan	39.52	39.52
94	Cambodia	39.05	39.05
95	Kazakhstan	38.57	38.57
96	Tanzania		
97	Nicaragua		
98	Paraguay		
99	Mali		
100	Zambia		
101 102	Russian Federation		
102	Niger		
103	Pakistan		
105	Swaziland.		
106	Malawi		
107	Ukraine		
108	Viet Nam	30.95	30.95
109	Yemen	29.52	29.52
110	Guyana	28.10	28.10
111	Cameroon	26.19	26.19
112	Nigeria	25.71	25.71
113	Bangladesh	23.33	23.33
114	Argentina		
115	Algeria		
116	Côte d'Ivoire		
117	Bolivia		
118	Ethiopia		
119	Syrian Arab Republic		
120 121	Tajikistan Sudan		
121	Ecuador		
123	Venezuela		
123	Iran		
125	Zimbabwe		

SOURCE: World Bank, World Governance Indicators 2009

II: Data Tables

1.2.2 Rule of law Rule of law index (0–100)* | 2009

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Finland	100.00	100.00	: 65	Georgia	50.00	50.00
2	Sweden.			66	Brazil		
3	New Zealand			67	Trinidad and Tobago		
4	Norway			68	Malawi		
5	Denmark			69	Macedonia		
6	Luxembourg			70	Burkina Faso		
7	Netherlands			71	Senegal		
8	Canada			72	China		
9	Austria			73	Bosnia & Herzegovina		
10	Switzerland			74	Mongolia		
11	Australia			75	Armenia		
12	Iceland			76	Serbia		
13	Ireland			77	Mali		
14	United Kingdom.			78	Viet Nam		
15	Germany			79	Uganda		
16	Singapore			80	Tanzania		
17	United States of America			81	Colombia		
18	Hong Kong (SAR), China			82	Moldova, Rep		
19	France			83	Syrian Arab Republic		
20	Belgium			84	Zambia		
21	Japan			85	Jamaica		
22	Chile.			86	Rwanda		
23	Cyprus			87	Albania		
24	Spain			88	Philippines.		
25	Estonia			89	Kazakhstan		
26	Slovenia			90	Indonesia		
27	Portugal			91	Mexico		
28	Korea, Rep			92	Guyana		
29	Czech Republic			93	Swaziland		
30	Qatar			94	Lebanon		
31	Mauritius			95	Niger		
32	Israel.			96	Peru		
33	Latvia.			97	Argentina		
34	Hungary			98	Benin		
35	Brunei Darussalam.			99	Bangladesh		
36	Lithuania			100	Algeria		
37	Uruguay			101	Ukraine		
38	Oman			102	Madagascar		
39	Poland			103	Russian Federation		
40	Slovak Republic.			104	Ethiopia		
41	Botswana			105	El Salvador	22.64	22.64
42	Greece.			106	Azerbaijan		
43	Kuwait			107	Nicaragua		
44	Costa Rica			108	Honduras		
45	Malaysia			109	Iran		
46	United Arab Emirates			110	Pakistan		
47	Bahrain			111	Paraguay		
48	Italy			112	Cambodia		
49	Jordan	62.26 .	62.26	113	Cameroon		15.57
50	Namibia	61.32 .	61.32	114	Kenya	15.09	15.09
51	Tunisia	60.85 .	60.85	115	Guatemala		
52	Croatia	60.38 .	60.38	116	Yemen	13.21	13.21
53	Saudi Arabia			117	Tajikistan		
54	Turkey	58.02 .	58.02	118	Nigeria		
55	Romania	57.55 .	57.55	119	Bolivia	9.91	9.91
56	South Africa	56.13 .	56.13	120	Ecuador	7.55	7.55
57	India	55.66 .	55.66	121	Kyrgyzstan	7.08	7.08
58	Egypt	54.72 .	54.72	122	Côte d'Ivoire		
59	Bulgaria			123	Sudan		
60	Sri Lanka	53.30 .	53.30	124	Venezuela	2.83	2.83
61	Panama	52.36 .	52.36	125	Zimbabwe	0.94	0.94
62	Ghana	51.89 .	51.89				
63	Thailand	50.94 .	50.94	SOURC	E: World Bank, World Governance Indic	ators 2009	

THE GLOBAL INNOVATION INDEX 2011

Rigidity of employment Rigidity of employment index (0 = less rigid, 100 = more rigid)*b | 2008

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Australia	0.00	100.00	: 64	Ghana	27.00	73.00
1	Brunei Darussalam			64	Nicaragua		
1	Hong Kong (SAR), China	0.00 .	100.00	67	Bangladesh	28.00	72.00
1	Kuwait			67	Ethiopia	28.00	72.00
1	Singapore			67	Guatemala	28.00	72.00
1	Uganda			70	Iran	29.00	71.00
1	United States of America		100.00	70	Philippines	29.00	71.00
8	Canada			72	India		
8	Jamaica			73	China		
10	Denmark			73	Mali		
10	Georgia			73	Ukraine (2009)		
10	New Zealand			76	Bosnia & Herzegovina (2009)		
10	Nigeria			76 76	Côte d'IvoireZimbabwe		
10 10	Rwanda (2009)			79	Serbia (2009)		
10	Trinidad and Tobago.			79	South Africa		
10	United Arab Emirates			79	Turkey		
18	Azerbaijan (2009)			82	Cambodia		
18	Bahrain			82	Sudan		
18	Colombia			84	Ecuador (2009)		
18	Ireland	10.00 .	90.00	84	Italy	38.00	62.00
18	Malaysia	10.00 .	90.00	84	Korea, Rep	38.00	62.00
18	Swaziland	10.00 .	90.00	84	Lithuania	38.00	62.00
18	United Kingdom	10.00 .	90.00	84	Russian Federation	38.00	62.00
25	Czech Republic	11.00 .	89.00	84	Sweden	38.00	62.00
25	Thailand			90	Cameroon		
27	Botswana			90	Costa Rica		
27	Namibia			90	Peru (2009)		
27	Oman			93	Benin		
27	Qatar			93	Indonesia		
27	Saudi Arabia			93	Tunisia		
32 33	Macedonia (2009)			96 96	Algeria Finland		
34	Belgium			96	Mexico		
34	Israel.			96	Moldova, Rep		
34	Kazakhstan			100	Germany		
34	Kenya			100	Netherlands		
34	Mongolia (2009)			102	Latvia		
39	Chile	18.00 .	82.00	102	Pakistan	43.00	57.00
39	Kyrgyzstan (2009)		82.00	102	Portugal	43.00	57.00
39	Mauritius			105	Norway		
39	Uruguay			106	Brazil	46.00	54.00
43	Bulgaria	19.00 .	81.00	106	Romania	46.00	54.00
43	Guyana			108	Spain		
45	Sri Lanka			108	Tajikistan		
45	Syrian Arab Republic			110	Croatia		
47	Argentina			110	Greece (2009)		
47 47	Armenia			112	Estonia		
47	Iceland			113	Slovenia		
47	Malawi			114	Tanzania		
47	Viet Nam			116	Luxembourg		
47	Zambia (2009)	21.00 .	79.00	116	Madagascar		
54	Hungary			116	Paraguay		
54	Slovak Republic	22.00 .	78.00	119	Honduras (2009)	57.00	43.00
56	Austria	24.00 .	76.00	120	Senegal	59.00	41.00
56	Cyprus	24.00 .	76.00	121	Morocco (2009)	60.00	40.00
56	El Salvador			122	Panama		
56	Jordan			123	Niger		
56	Yemen			124	Venezuela (2009)		
61	Albania			125	Bolivia	77.00	23.00
61	Lebanon			COLLEG	IE: World Bank, <i>Doing Business 2009 and</i>	1 2010 (2000 00)	
61	Poland		/ 5.00	JOOK	••• ••• rona bank, boing basiness 2009 and	12010 (2000-07)	

SOURCE: World Bank, *Doing Business 2009 and 2010* (2008–09)

II: Data Tables

Time to start a business

Time to start a business (days)^b | 2010

Pank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value Score (0—100)
Rank						
1	New Zealand			65		
2	Australia			65	,	
3	Georgia			65	5	
3	Macedonia			68	9	
3	Rwanda			68		
3	Singapore			70	9	
7	Belgium			70		
7	Hungary			70		
9	Albania			70		
9	Canada			70	2	19.0082.69
9	Iceland			75		
9	Saudi Arabia			75		
13	Denmark			77		
13	Hong Kong (SAR), China			78		79.81
13	Italy			78		79.81
13	Mauritius			78		79.81
13	Portugal			81	!	78.85
13	Slovenia			82	2	24.00
13	Turkey			83	2	
13	United States of America			84	5	75.96
21	Croatia			85		75.00
21	Egypt			85	,	75.00
21	Estonia			85		75.00
21	France			88		
21	Madagascar			89		73.08
21	Norway			89		73.08
27	Azerbaijan			91	· ·	72.12
27	Cyprus			91	Russian Federation	72.12
27	Iran			93		
27	Jamaica			93	5	
27	Mali			95	Poland	
27	Netherlands			95		
27	Senegal			97	,	
34	Bahrain			98		
34	Ethiopia			99		
34	Lebanon			99	Paraguay	
34	Mexico			99	Sri Lanka	
34	Panama			102		
39	Kyrgyzstan			103		
39	Moldova, Rep			104		
39	Romania			104		
42	Tunisia			106		39.0063.46
43	Ghana			106	_	39.0063.46
43	Morocco			108		
43	Oman			109	_	59.62
43	Qatar			110		
43	Yemen			111		
48	Ireland			111		
48	Jordan			113		50.0052.88
48	Mongolia			114	-	48.08
48	Serbia			115		47.12
48	Syrian Arab Republic			115		47.12
48	United Kingdom			117		43.27
54	Burkina Faso			118		42.31
54	Colombia			119	<i>J</i> ,	
54	Finland			120		
54	Honduras			121		
54	Korea, Rep			122		90.0014.42
59	Armenia			123		
59	Germany			124		
59	Sweden			125	Venezuela	
59	United Arab Emirates					
63	Latvia	16.00	85.58	SOUR	IE: World Bank, Ease of Doing Busir	ness Index 2011, Doing Business 2011

THE GLOBAL INNOVATION INDEX 2011

1.3.2 Cost to start a business (% of income per capita)^b | 2010

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Denmark	0.00	100.00
1	Slovenia	0.00	100.00
3	Canada	0.40	99.69
3	Ireland		
3	New Zealand		
6	Sweden		
7	Australia		
7	Singapore		
7	United Kingdom		
10	Bahrain		
10	Trinidad and Tobago.		
12	France		
13	Kazakhstan		
14	Finland		
15			
	Kuwait United States of America		
16			
17	Latvia		
18	Bulgaria		
19	Norway		
20	Estonia		
20 22	Slovak Republic		
23	Luxembourg		
23	Switzerland		
25	Botswana		
26	Iceland		
27	Macedonia		
28	Romania		
29	Lithuania		
30	Armenia		
30	Azerbaijan		
32	Mongolia		
33	Oman		
34	Russian Federation		
35	Kyrgyzstan		
36	Mauritius		
37	lran		
38	Israel		
39	China		
40	Germany	4.80	96.26
41	Georgia	5.00	96.10
41	Tunisia	5.00	96.10
43	Austria	5.20	95.95
43	Jamaica		
45	Belgium	5.40	95.79
45	Sri Lanka	5.40	95.79
47	Thailand	5.60	95.64
48	Netherlands	5.70	95.56
49	South Africa	6.00	95.32
50	Ukraine	6.10	95.25
51	Egypt	6.30	95.09
52	United Arab Emirates	6.40	95.01
53	Portugal	6.50	94.93
54	Chile		
55	Saudi Arabia		
56	Brazil		
57	Japan		
58	Serbia		
59	Hungary		
60	Croatia		
61	Rwanda		
62	Czech Republic		
63	Qatar		
64	Panama	. 10.30	91.9/

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
65 66	Costa Rica		
67	Moldova, Rep.		
68	Viet Nam		
69	Mexico		
70	Cyprus		
71	Algeria.		
71	Madagascar		
73	Brunei Darussalam.		
74	Peru	13.60	
75	Ethiopia	14.10	89.01
76	Argentina	14.20	88.93
77	Colombia	14.70	88.54
77	Korea, Rep	14.70	88.54
79	Spain	15.10	88.23
80	Morocco	15.80	87.69
81	Albania		
82	Turkey		
83	Malaysia		
83	Poland		
85	Bosnia & Herzegovina		
86	Italy		
86	Namibia		
88 89	Guyana		
90	Greece.		
91	Indonesia		
92	Zambia		
93	Philippines.		
94	Venezuela		
95	Tanzania		
96	Ecuador	32.60	
97	Swaziland	33.00	74.28
98	Bangladesh	33.30	74.05
99	Sudan	33.60	73.81
100	Tajikistan		
101	Syrian Arab Republic		
102	Kenya		
103	Uruguay		
104	Jordan		
105	El Salvador.		
106 107	HondurasGuatemala		
107	Burkina Faso		
109	Cameroon		
110	Paraguay		
111	India		
112	Senegal.		
113	Lebanon		
114	Nigeria	78.90	38.50
115	Mali	79.70	37.88
116	Yemen	82.10	36.01
117	Uganda	94.40	26.42
118	Bolivia		
119	Malawi		
120	Nicaragua		
121	Niger		
122	Câmbodia		
123	Côte d'Ivoire		
124 125	BeninZimbabwe		
123	ZIIIIDADWE	102.80	0.00

SOURCE: World Bank, Ease of Doing Business Index 2011, *Doing Business 2011*

II: Data Tables

1.3.3 Total tax rate Total tax rate (% profit)^b | 2010

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Namibia	9.60	100.00	65	Albania	40.60	68.56
2	Macedonia	.10.60	98.99	66	Armenia	40.70	68.46
3	Qatar	. 11.30	98.28	67	Azerbaijan	40.90	68.26
4	United Arab Emirates	. 14.10	95.44	67	Guatemala	40.90	68.26
5	Saudi Arabia	. 14.50	95.03	69	Norway	41.60	67.55
6	Bahrain			70	Morocco		
7	Georgia			71	Uruguay		
8	Kuwait			72	Poland		
9	Zambia			73	Egypt		
10	Botswana			74	Syrian Arab Republic		
11	Luxembourg			75 76	Portugal		
12	Oman			76 77	Iran		
13 14	Cambodia			77	Côte d'Ivoire		
14	Mongolia			79	Finland		
16	Cyprus			80	Burkina Faso		
17	Hong Kong (SAR), China			80	Romania		
17	Mauritius			82	Tanzania		
19	Chile.			83	Philippines.		
20	Malawi	. 25.10	84.28	84	Senegal		
21	Singapore	.25.40	83.98	85	Niger	46.50	62.58
22	Ireland			85	Russian Federation		
23	Iceland	.26.80	82.56	87	United States of America	46.80	62.27
24	Bulgaria	.29.00	80.32	88	Greece	47.20	61.87
25	Canada	.29.20	80.12	89	Yemen	47.80	61.26
25	Denmark	.29.20	80.12	90	Australia	47.90	61.16
27	Kazakhstan	.29.60	79.72	91	Germany	48.20	60.85
28	Brunei Darussalam	.29.80	79.51	92	Honduras	48.30	60.75
28	Korea, Rep			93	Japan		
30	Switzerland			94	Slovak Republic		
31	Lebanon			95	Czech Republic		
32	South Africa			96	Cameroon		
33	Moldova, Rep			97	Estonia		
34	Ethiopia			98 99	Kenya Jamaica		
35 36	Jordan			99	Panama		
30 37	Pakistan			101	Mexico		
38	Israel.			101	Mali		
39	Nigeria			102	Venezuela		
40	Croatia.			104	Hungary		
41	Ghana			105	Sweden		
42	Trinidad and Tobago			106	Costa Rica		
42	Viet Nam			107	Austria		
44	Malaysia			107	Ukraine		
45	Serbia			109	Spain		
46	New Zealand			110	Belgium	57.00	51.93
47	Bangladesh	.35.00	74.24	111	Kyrgyzstan	57.20	51.72
47	El Salvador	.35.00	74.24	112	Tunisia	62.80	46.04
47	Paraguay			113	Nicaragua		
50	Ecuador			114	India	63.30	45.54
51	Slovenia			115	China		
52	Uganda			116	Sri Lanka		
53	Sudan			117	France		
54	Swaziland			118	Benin		
55	Indonesia			119	Italy		
55 57	United Kingdom			120	Brazil		
57 E0	Thailand			121	Algeria		
58 50	Madagascar Latvia.			122 123	Colombia		
59 60	Lithuania			123	Tajikistan		
61	Guyana			124	Argentina		
62	Peru			الاعا	/ ugentina	100.20	0.00
63	Zimbabwe			SOURC	E: World Bank, Ease of Doing Business Index 2	011, Doina Bu	ısiness 2011
64	Netherlands	40.50			,	, , , ,	

Expenditure on educationCurrent expenditure on education (% of GNI)^a | 2008

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Moldova, Rep. (2009)		
2	Botswana (2009)	. 7.42	87.53
3	Denmark		
4	Iceland		
5	Namibia		
6	Saudi Arabia		
7	Swaziland.		
8 9	Zimbabwe		
10	New Zealand		
11	Cyprus		
12	Sweden.		
13	Costa Rica (2009)	. 6.15	70.60
14	Norway		
15	Israel	. 5.91	67.34
16	Kenya (2010)	. 5.91	67.34
17	Ukraine	. 5.86	66.76
18	Belgium		
19	Guyana		
20	Jamaica (2009)		
21 22	Finland		
23	Latvia		
24	Poland.		
25	South Africa (2009)		
26	Senegal (2009).		
27	Hungary		
28	Slovenia	. 5.31	59.36
29	Portugal	. 5.30	59.30
30	Austria		
31	Kyrgyzstan		
32	Morocco		
33	Ireland		
34 35	Australia		
36	France		
37	Macedonia		
38	Netherlands		
39	United States of America	. 4.79	52.47
40	Canada	. 4.78	52.37
41	Brazil		
42	Mexico		
43	Ghana		
44	Serbia		
45 46	Côte d'Ivoire		
40	Switzerland		
48	Estonia		
49	Mongolia		
50	Lithuania		
51	Rwanda (2010)	. 4.56	49.44
52	Argentina	.4.54	49.12
53	Italy	. 4.52	48.85
54	Algeria		
55	Kazakhstan		
56	Egypt		
57	Czech Republic.		
58 50	Croatia		
59 60	Yemen		
61	Thailand (2009)		
62	Bulgaria		
63	Iran (2009)		
64	Malaysia		

D I.	Control (Francisco)	Malan	S (0. 100)
Rank	Country/Economy	Value	
65 66	Trinidad and Tobago		
67	Korea, Rep		
68	Spain		
69	Oman		
70	Paraguay		
71	Luxembourg		
72	Ethiopia		
73	Slovak Republic		
74	Turkey		
75	Brunei Darussalam		
76	Niger (2009)	3.62	36.93
77	Chile		
78	Honduras	3.55	35.91
79	Russian Federation	3.54	35.89
80	Panama	3.53	35.74
81	Venezuela	3.53	35.65
82	Malawi	3.51	35.40
83	Mauritius	3.41	34.05
84	Romania		
85	El Salvador		
86	Mali (2009)		
87	Benin		
88	Burkina Faso		
89	Tajikistan		
90	Japan		
91	India		
92	Cameroon (2009)		
93 94	Bahrain		
95	Singapore (2010).		
95 96	Hong Kong (SAR), China (2009)		
97	Nicaragua		
98	Uganda (2009).		
99	Azerbaijan (2009)		
100	Guatemala.		
101	Albania		
102	Viet Nam		
103	Georgia		
104	Greece	2.75	25.36
105	Uruguay	2.65	23.94
106	Madagascar	2.62	23.62
107	Syrian Arab Republic	2.60	23.26
108	Sri Lanka	2.55	22.67
109	Philippines		
110	Peru		
111	Tanzania		
112	Armenia		
113	Bangladesh		
114	Pakistan (2009)		
115	China		
116	Cambodia		
117 118	Ecuador		
118	Zambia		
120	Indonesia		
120	Sudan		
122	Nigeria		
n/a	Bosnia & Herzegovina		
n/a	Qatar		
n/a	United Arab Emirates		

SOURCE: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, *UIS online database* (2004–10)

Public expenditure on education per pupilPublic expenditure on education per pupil, all levels (% of GDP per capita)^a | 2007

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Moldova, Rep. (2009)	47.99	100.00	: 65	Bolivia (2003)		27.81
2	Yemen (2001)			66	Mongolia (2009)		
3	Niger (2009)			67	Korea, Rep		
4	Burkina Faso			68	Benin (2005)		
5	Denmark			69	Macedonia (2002)	16.93	25.42
6	Swaziland (2006)	29.55	55.73	70	Colombia (2009)	16.61	24.64
7	Sweden	27.98	51.96	71	Argentina	16.54	24.48
8	Portugal (2006)	27.20	50.08	72	Slovak Republic	16.11	23.45
9	Belgium			73	Australia		22.68
10	Botswana	26.74	48.98	74	Oman (2003)		21.97
11	Austria	26.73	48.96	75	Mexico		21.61
12	Slovenia (2006)	26.59	48.60	76	Georgia (2008)	15.13	21.10
13	Serbia (2008)	26.55	48.51	77	Chile (2008)		
14	Côte d'Ivoire (2002)	26.29	47.90	78	Malaysia (2008)		
15	Switzerland			79	Rwanda (2008)		
16	Ethiopia			80	Armenia		
17	Ukraine			81	Cameroon (2009)		
18	Tunisia			82	Turkey (2004)		
19	Senegal (2008)			83	Paraguay		
20	Norway			84	Panama (2008)		
21	United Kingdom			85	Bangladesh		
22	Finland			86	Mauritius (2008)		
23	Iceland			87	Brunei Darussalam (2000)		
24	Kuwait (2004) Hungary			88	Azerbaijan (2009)		
25	Kenya (2006)			89 90	India (2006)		
26 27	Morocco (2006)			90	El Salvador (2008).		
28	Hong Kong (SAR), China (2009)			92	Indonesia (2008)		
29	Netherlands			93	Pakistan (2005)		
30	France			94	Qatar (2004)		
31	Bulgaria.			95	Madagascar (2009)		
32	Italy			96	Uganda (2009)		
33	Canada (2002)			97	Nicaragua (2003)		
34	Ghana (2005)			98	Uruguay (2006)		
35	Croatia.			99	Guatemala		
36	Latvia.			100	Kazakhstan		
37	Spain	21.74	36.96	101	Philippines	9.76	8.21
38	United States of America	21.72	36.92	102	Peru (2006)	8.30	4.69
39	Viet Nam (2008)			103	United Arab Emirates (2009)	6.46	0.28
40	Cyprus	21.44	36.24	104	Cambodia	6.41	0.16
41	Poland	21.39	36.12	105	Lebanon (2009)	6.34	0.00
42	Kyrgyzstan (2008)			n/a	Albania	n/a	n/a
43	Guyana	21.21	35.69	n/a	Algeria	n/a	n/a
44	Czech Republic	20.95	35.08	n/a	Bahrain	n/a	n/a
45	Mali (2009)			n/a	Bosnia & Herzegovina	n/a	n/a
46	Estonia			n/a	China		
47	Romania			n/a	Ecuador		
48	Greece (2005)			n/a	Germany		
49	Japan			n/a	Honduras		
50	New Zealand			n/a	Ireland		
51	Saudi Arabia (2008)			n/a	Jordan		
52	Luxembourg (2001)			n/a	Malawi		
53	Israel			n/a	Nigeria		
54	Iran (2009)			n/a	Singapore		
55	Namibia (2003)			n/a	South Africa		
56 57	Trinidad and Tobago (2002) Lithuania			n/a	Sri Lanka Sudan		
57 59				n/a			
58 50	Costa Rica (2004)			n/a n/a	Tanzania Venezuela		
59 60	Jamaica (2008)			n/a	Zambia		
61	Egypt (2004)			n/a	Zimbabwe		
62	Thailand (2009)			11/0	Ziiiibubwe	/a	a
63	Brazil			SOURC	IE: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, <i>UIS on</i>	nline database (2000)-09)
				1			

2.1.3

School life expectancySchool life expectancy, primary to tertiary education (years) | 2008

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Australia	20.63	100.00
2	New Zealand	19.38	92.30
3	Iceland		
4	Ireland		
5	Norway		
6	Finland		
7 8	Denmark		
9	Korea, Rep		
10	Netherlands		
11	Greece (2007)		
12	Spain		
13	Italy	16.33	73.54
14	France	16.15	72.43
15	United Kingdom	16.13	72.35
16	Belgium		
17	Lithuania		
18	Canada (2002)		
19	United States of America		
20 21	Portugal		
22	Estonia		
23	Sweden.		
24	Argentina (2007)		
25	Uruguay		
26	Switzerland	15.47	68.25
27	Czech Republic	15.41	67.92
28	Israel.	15.40	67.85
29	Latvia		
30	Hungary		
31	Poland		
32	Austria		
33 34	Kazakhstan (2010)		
35	Slovak Republic.		
36	Romania		
37	Chile.		
38	Ukraine		
39	Tunisia	14.50	62.32
40	Venezuela	14.19	60.38
41	Cyprus		
42	Mongolia (2009)		
43	Brunei Darussalam (2009)		
44 45	Russian Federation		
46	Croatia		
47	Jamaica		
48	Lebanon (2009).		
49	Mexico		
50	Saudi Arabia (2009)	13.73	57.58
51	Serbia (2009)	13.72	57.48
52	Bolivia (2007)	13.71	57.46
53	Colombia (2009)	13.65	57.06
54	Bulgaria		
55	Mauritius		
56	Bosnia & Herzegovina (2009)		
57 58	Ecuador (2007)		
58 59	Macedonia		
60	Luxembourg (2006).		
61	Panama		
62	Indonesia (2009)		
63	Jordan	13.11	53.73
64	Georgia (2009)	13.10	53.72

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0–100)
65	Peru (2006)		
66 67	Algeria (2005)		
68	Iran (2009)		
69	Malaysia		
70	Kyrqyzstan (2009).		
71	Trinidad and Tobago (2007)		
72	Kuwait (2004)		
73	Botswana (2007)		
74	Thailand (2010)		
75	El Salvador.		
76	Armenia (2009)		
77	Qatar (2009)		
78	Guyana (2009)		
79	Moldova, Rep. (2009)		
80	Philippines		
81	Oman (2009)		
82	Namibia	11.84	45.92
83	Turkey	11.81	45.78
84	Azerbaijan (2009)	11.75	45.39
85	Paraguay (2007)	11.75	45.37
86	Costa Rica (2005)		
87	China (2009)	11.56	44.19
88	Honduras	11.42	43.33
89	Tajikistan	11.36	43.01
90	Albania (2004)		
91	Syrian Arab Republic (2007)	11.27	
92	Rwanda (2009)		
93	Egypt (2004)		
94	Kenya (2009)		
95	Nicaragua (2003)		
96	Uganda (2009)		
97	Madagascar (2009)		
98	Guatemala (2007)		
99	Swaziland (2007)		
100	Morocco (2007)		
101	Ghana (2009)		
102 103	Viet Nam (2001)		
103	India (2007)		
104	Cameroon (2009)		
105	Benin (2005)		
107	Tanzania (2007)		
108	Malawi (2007)		
109	Nigeria (2005).		
110	Yemen (2005)		
111	Ethiopia		
112	Mali (2009)		
113	Bangladesh (2007)		
114	Senegal		
115	Pakistan (2009)		
116	Côte d'Ivoire (2000)	6.30	11.86
117	Burkina Faso (2009)	6.28	11.71
118	Niger (2010)	4.93	3.41
119	Sudan (2000)	4.37	0.00
n/a	Bahrain	n/a	n/a
n/a	Germany	n/a	n/a
n/a	Singapore		
n/a	South Africa		
n/a	Zambia		
n/a	Zimbabwe	n/a	n/a

SOURCE: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, *UIS online database* (2000–10)

II: Data Tables

714

Assessment in reading, mathematics, and science

Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) scales in reading, mathematics, and science (average)^a | 2009

Pank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)		Rank	Country/Economy	Value
Rank				: Score (0-		Country/Economy	value
1	China Hong Kong (SAR), China			n/a	Bahrain	n/a	n/2
3	Finland			n/a	Bangladesh		
4	Singapore			n/a	Benin		
5	Korea, Rep			n/a	Bolivia		
6	Japan			n/a	Bosnia & Herzegovina		
7	Canada			n/a	Botswana		
8	New Zealand	524.06	79.05	n/a	Brunei Darussalam	n/a	n/a
9	Australia	518.84	76.98	n/a	Burkina Faso	n/a	n/a
10	Netherlands	518.82	76.97	n/a	Cambodia	n/a	n/a
11	Switzerland			n/a	Cameroon		
12	Estonia			n/a	Costa Rica		
13	Germany			n/a	Côte d'Ivoire		
14	Belgium			n/a	Cyprus		
15	Poland.			n/a	Ecuador		
16 17	Iceland Norway			n/a n/a	Egypt		
18	United Kingdom			n/a	Ethiopia		
19	Denmark			n/a	Georgia		
20	Slovenia			n/a	Ghana		
21	Ireland			n/a	Guatemala		
22	France	496.88	68.26	n/a	Guyana	n/a	n/a
23	United States of America	496.41	68.07	n/a	Honduras	n/a	n/a
24	Hungary	495.66	67.78	n/a	India	n/a	n/a
25	Sweden			n/a	Iran		
26	Czech Republic			n/a	Jamaica		
27	Portugal			n/a	Kenya		
28	Slovak Republic			n/a	Kuwait		
29 30	Austria Latvia.			n/a	Lebanon		
31	Italy.			n/a n/a	Madagascar		
32	Spain			n/a	Malaysia		
33	Luxembourg.			n/a	Mali		
34	Lithuania			n/a	Mauritius		
35	Croatia			n/a	Moldova, Rep		
36	Greece	473.00	58.78	n/a	Mongolia	n/a	n/a
37	Russian Federation	468.50	57.00	n/a	Morocco	n/a	n/a
38	United Arab Emirates	459.48	53.42	n/a	Namibia		
39	Israel			n/a	Nicaragua		
40	Turkey			n/a	Niger		
41	Serbia			n/a	Nigeria		
42	Chile			n/a	Oman		
43 44	Bulgaria Uruguay			n/a	Pakistan Paraguay		
45	Romania			n/a n/a	Philippines		
46	Thailand			n/a	Rwanda		
47	Mexico			n/a	Saudi Arabia		
48	Trinidad and Tobago.			n/a	Senegal		
49	Jordan	402.35	30.74	n/a	South Africa	n/a	n/a
50	Brazil	400.99	30.20	n/a	Sri Lanka	n/a	n/a
51	Colombia			n/a	Sudan		
52	Kazakhstan			n/a	Swaziland		
53	Argentina			n/a	Syrian Arab Republic		
54	Tunisia			n/a	Tajikistan		
55	Azerbaijan			n/a	Tanzania		
56 57	Indonesia			n/a n/a	Uganda Ukraine		
58	Albania			n/a n/a	Venezuela		
59	Qatar			n/a	Viet Nam		
60	Panama.			n/a	Yemen		
61	Peru			n/a	Zambia		
62	Kyrgyzstan			n/a	Zimbabwe		
n/a	Algeria						
n/a	Armenia	n/a	n/a	SOURC	E: OFCD Programme for Internati	onal Student Assessment	(PISA) 2009

2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary | 2008

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Portugal		
2	Armenia		
3	Georgia (2009)		
4	Syrian Arab Republic (2009)	7.76	98.77
5	Greece (2007)	7.88	98.46
6	Azerbaijan (2009)		
7	Kuwait (2009)		
8 9	Venezuela (2009)		
10	Norway (2004)		
11	Croatia		
12	Lithuania		
13	Lebanon (2009)	9.20	95.22
14	Slovenia		
15	Kazakhstan (2010)		
16	Estonia		
17 18	Qatar (2009)		
19	Sweden		
20	Latvia		
21	Serbia (2009)		
22	Finland	. 10.03	93.19
23	Belgium (2006)		
24	Denmark (2001)		
25	Italy (2007)		
26 27	Luxembourg Hungary		
28	Cyprus		
29	Brunei Darussalam (2009)		
30	Ireland (2006)	. 10.54	91.92
31	Ukraine (2007)	. 10.57	91.85
32	Austria		
33	Spain		
34 35	Poland		
36	Saudi Arabia (2009)		
37	Czech Republic		
38	Honduras		
39	Iceland	11.37	89.88
40	Bulgaria		
41	Jordan		
42 43	United Arab Emirates (2009)		
43 44	Japan Argentina (2007)		
45	France		
46	Bahrain (2002)		
47	Slovak Republic	. 12.59	86.90
48	Indonesia (2009)		
49	Uruguay		
50	Bosnia & Herzegovina (2009)		
51 52	Macedonia		
53	Netherlands		
54	Germany		
55	Trinidad and Tobago		
56	Kyrgyzstan (2007)		
57	Botswana (2007)		
58	Malaysia		
59 60	United Kingdom		
61	New Zealand		
62	Panama (2009)		
63	Albania (2009)		
64	Oman (2009)	. 14.78	81.52

			5 (0.400)
Rank	Country/Economy	Value	
65 66	Singapore (2009)		
67	China (2009)		
68	Paraguay		
69	Costa Rica (2009)		
70	Mauritius (2009)	16.04	78.41
71	Cameroon (2006)	. 16.17	78.10
72	Peru	16.24	77.93
73	Tajikistan	16.55	77.16
74	Guatemala		
75	Morocco		
76	Egypt (2004)		
77	Brazil		
78 79	Turkey (2006)		
80	Korea, Rep.		
81	Uganda (2009)		
82	Bolivia (2007)		
83	Ghana (2009)		
84	Canada (2000)		
85	Mongolia	18.57	72.21
86	Swaziland (2007)	19.06	71.00
87	Sri Lanka (2004)	19.52	69.87
88	Jamaica (2007)	19.77	69.24
89	Viet Nam		
90	Algeria (2004)		
91	Thailand		
92	Guyana (2009)		
93 94	Zambia Sudan (2009)		
95	Zimbabwe (2003)		
96	Ecuador (2009)		
97	Rwanda (2009)		
98	Chile		
99	Mali (2009)		
100	Madagascar (2009)	23.48	60.12
101	Benin (2004)	23.93	59.02
102	Namibia	24.48	57.68
103	Yemen (2003)		
104	South Africa (2009)		
105	El Salvador		
106	Senegal (2005)		
107	Colombia (2009)		
108 109	Bangladesh		
110	Nicaragua		
111	Cambodia (2007)		
112	Niger (2010)		
113	Kenya (2009)		
114	Burkina Faso (2010)		
115	India (2004)	32.70	37.48
116	Philippines (2007)		
117	Tanzania (2009)	35.23	31.25
118	Pakistan (2004)		
119	Ethiopia (2009)		
n/a	Australia		
n/a	Côte d'Ivoire		
n/a	Hong Kong (SAR), China		
n/a n/a	Iran		
n/a n/a	Switzerland		
11/0	Switzenand	11/d	d

SOURCE: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, *UIS online database* and World Bank World Development Indicators database (2000–10)

Tertiary school enrolmentTertiary school enrolment (% gross) | 2008

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value Score (0—100)
1	Korea, Rep			65	Saudi Arabia (2009)	
2	Greece (2007).			66	United Arab Emirates (2009)	
3 4	Slovenia			67 68	Philippines	
5	United States of America			69	Paraguay (2007)	
6	Ukraine			70	Egypt	
7	Venezuela			71	Mexico	
8	New Zealand			72	Oman (2009)	
9	Denmark			73	Mauritius	
10	Lithuania			74	Georgia (2009)	
11	Russian Federation			75	Costa Rica (2005)	
12	Australia			76	El Salvador	
13	Iceland			77	China (2009)	
14	Norway			78	Jamaica	
15	Sweden			79	Indonesia (2009)	
16	Spain			80	Tajikistan (2009)	
17	Poland			81	Albania (2004)	
18	Latvia			82	Azerbaijan (2009)	
19	Argentina (2007)			83	Kuwait (2004)	
20	Italy			84	Honduras	
21	Romania			85	Nicaragua (2003)	
22	Hungary			86	Guatemala (2007)	
23	Uruguay			87	Brunei Darussalam (2009)	
24	Estonia			88	India (2007)	
25	Belgium			89	Morocco (2009)	
26	Canada (2004)			90	Trinidad and Tobago (2005)	
27	Netherlands			91	Guyana (2009)	
28	Portugal			92	Qatar (2009)	
29	Israel			93	Yemen (2007)	
30	Ireland			94	Nigeria (2005)	
31	Czech Republic			95	Cambodia (2009)	
32	Japan			96	Luxembourg (2006)	
33	United Kingdom			97	Viet Nam (2001)	
34	Hong Kong (SAR), China (2009)			98	Cameroon (2009)	
35	Chile			99	Namibia	
36	Austria			100	Ghana (2009)	
37	France			101	Côte d'Ivoire (2007)	
38	Slovak Republic			102	Senegal (2009)	
39 40	Mongolia (2009)			103 104	Bangladesh (2009) Botswana (2006)	
	Bahrain (2010)			104	Pakistan (2009)	
41	Bulgaria.			105	Mali (2009)	
42	Kyrgyzstan (2009)			100	Sudan (2009)	
43	Croatia (2009)				Benin (2006)	
44 45	Armenia (2009)			108	Rwanda (2009)	
	Serbia (2009)				Swaziland (2006)	
46 47	Switzerland			110 111	Uganda (2009)	
48	Panama.			112	Kenya (2009)	
49	Thailand (2010)			113	Ethiopia	
50	Cyprus			114	Madagascar (2009)	
51	Ecuador			115	Burkina Faso (2009)	
52	Jordan			116	Zimbabwe (2009)	
53	Macedonia				Zambia (2000)	
	Kazakhstan (2010).			117	Tanzania (2005)	
54 55	Turkey			118 119	Niger (2010)	
55 56	Bolivia (2007)			120	Malawi (2007)	
56 57	Moldova, Rep. (2009)			120 n/a	Germany	
58	Brazil (2009)			n/a	Singapore	
58 59	Bosnia & Herzegovina (2009)			n/a	South Africa	
60	Colombia (2009)			n/a	Sri Lanka	
61	Iran (2009)			n/a	Syrian Arab Republic	
62	Malaysia			11/4	5, narr mas riepusiie	Ι/α
63	Peru (2006)			SOURC	IE: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, <i>UIS</i> o	online database (2000–10)
00						- \ /

2.2.2 Tertiary graduates in science
Tertiary graduates in science (% of total tertiary graduates) | 2008

Rank	Country/Economy Value Score (0–100)	Ran
1	Morocco (2009)	: 65
2	Saudi Arabia (2009)	66
3	El Salvador	67
4	United Arab Emirates (2009)	68
5	Tajikistan (2009)	69
6	Hong Kong (SAR), China (2006)	70
7	Oman (2007)	7
8	Malaysia	72
9	Jordan (2007)	73
10	Cameroon	74
11 12	Lebanon (2009)	75 76
13	United Kingdom (2007)	77
14	Madagascar (2009)	78
15	Germany	79
16	Kenya (2001)	80
17	New Zealand	8
18	Finland	82
19	Algeria (2009)	83
20	Trinidad and Tobago (2004)	84
21	Greece	85
22	Australia	86
23 24	Mexico	87
24 25	Austria	88
26	France	9(
27	Canada (2002) 10.63	9
28	Niger (2010)	92
29	Philippines (2004)	93
30	Estonia	n/a
31	Ghana (2009)	n/a
32	Thailand (2009)	n/a
33	Azerbaijan (2009)	n/a
34	Iran (2009)	n/a
35	Cambodia	n/a
36 37	Cyprus 9.41 37.01 Czech Republic 9.37 36.85	n/a n/a
38	Qatar (2009)	n/a
39	Guyana (2009)	n/a
40	Spain	n/a
41	Croatia. 9.19 36.02	n/a
42	Switzerland8.6833.73	n/a
43	Ethiopia	n/a
44	Botswana (2002)	n/a
45	United States of America8.4132.50	n/a
46	Macedonia	n/a
47	Turkey	n/a
48 49	Bangladesh (2009) 8.13 31.21 Poland 7.63 28.94	n/a n/a
50	Korea, Rep. 7.59 28.77	n/a
51	Norway	n/a
52	Slovak Republic	n/a
53	Serbia (2009)	n/a
54	Brunei Darussalam (2009)	n/a
55	Argentina (2007)	n/a
56	Denmark	n/a
57	Malawi (2007)	n/a
58	Venezuela (2000)	n/a
59 60	Sweden	n/a
60 61	Brazil	n/a n/a
62	Italy (2007). 6.64 24.42	11/6
63	lceland	SOU
64	Romania	
		:

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
65	Israel (2000)		
66	Netherlands		
67	Uruguay		
68	Georgia (2007)		
69	Costa Rica (2002)		
70	Hungary		
71	Russian Federation (2007)		
72	Belgium		
73	Indonesia (2009)		
74	Ecuador		
75	Lithuania		
76	Kyrgyzstan (2009)		
77	Mongolia (2009)		
78 79	Latvia Bolivia (2000)		
	Panama		
80 81	Slovenia		
82	Ukraine		
83	Bulgaria		
84	Uganda (2004).		
85	Japan		
86	Tanzania (2004)		
87	Guatemala (2007)		
88	Swaziland (2006).		
89	Albania (2003)		
90	Colombia (2009)		
91	Honduras (2003)		
92	Armenia		
93	Namibia		
n/a	Bahrain		
n/a	Benin	n/a	n/a
n/a	Bosnia & Herzegovina	n/a	n/a
n/a	Burkina Faso	n/a	n/a
n/a	China	n/a	n/a
n/a	Côte d'Ivoire	n/a	n/a
n/a	Egypt	n/a	n/a
n/a	India	n/a	n/a
n/a	Jamaica	n/a	n/a
n/a	Kazakhstan	n/a	n/a
n/a	Kuwait	n/a	n/a
n/a	Luxembourg		
n/a	Mali	n/a	n/a
n/a	Mauritius	n/a	n/a
n/a	Moldova, Rep		
n/a	Nicaragua		
n/a	Nigeria		
n/a	Pakistan		
n/a	Paraguay		
n/a	Peru		
n/a	Rwanda		
n/a	Senegal		
n/a	Singapore		
n/a	South Africa		
n/a	Sri Lanka		
n/a	Surian Arab Banublia		
n/a n/a	Syrian Arab Republic		
n/a n/a	Tunisia		
n/a n/a	Viet Nam Yemen		
n/a n/a	Zambia		
n/a	Zimbabwe		
11/d	ZIIIIDaDWC	ıı/d	II/d

DURCE: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, *UIS online database* (2000–10)

Tertiary graduates in engineeringTertiary graduates in engineering, manufacturing, and construction (% of total tertiary graduates) | 2008

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Iran (2009)			65	Madagascar (2009)		
2	Malaysia			66	Norway		
3	Korea, Rep			67	Netherlands		
4	Israel (2000)			68	Australia		
5	Colombia (2009)			69	Latvia		
6	Russian Federation (2007)			70	Hungary		
7	Ukraine			71	Ecuador		
8	Viet Nam (2009)			72	Iceland		
9	Hong Kong (SAR), China (2006)			73	United States of America		
10	Venezuela (2000)			74	Ghana (2009)		
11	Trinidad and Tobago (2004)			75	Uganda (2004)		
12	Tanzania (2004)			76	Ethiopia		
13	Austria			77	Azerbaijan (2009)		
14	Japan			78	New Zealand		
15	Kenya (2001)			79	Costa Rica (2002)		
16	Portugal (2007)			80	Uruguay		
17				81 82			
18	Spain			83	Malawi (2007)		
19 20	Czech Republic			84	Brazil		
21	France			85	Botswana (2002)		
21	Chile			86	Saudi Arabia (2009)		
23	Lithuania			87	Albania (2003)		
24	Mexico			88	Cameroon		
25	Finland			89	Swaziland (2005).		
26	Panama			90	Cyprus		
27	Bulgaria			91	Cambodia		
28	Guatemala (2007)			92	Bangladesh (2009)		
29	Philippines (2004).			93	Namibia		
30	Serbia (2009)			n/a	Bahrain		
31	Greece.	13.99 .	44.21	n/a	Benin	n/a	n/a
32	Italy (2007)	13.84 .	43.67	n/a	Bosnia & Herzegovina	n/a	n/a
33	Turkey	13.74 .	43.33	n/a	Burkina Faso	n/a	n/a
34	Croatia	13.69 .	43.16	n/a	China	n/a	n/a
35	Slovenia			n/a	Côte d'Ivoire	n/a	n/a
36	Slovak Republic			n/a	Egypt	n/a	n/a
37	Germany			n/a	India	n/a	n/a
38	Oman (2007)			n/a	Jamaica		
39	Morocco (2009)			n/a	Kazakhstan		
40	Denmark			n/a	Kuwait		
41	Algeria (2009)			n/a	Luxembourg		
42	Switzerland			n/a	Mali		
43	Mongolia (2009)			n/a	Mauritius		
44	United Arab Emirates (2009)			n/a	Moldova, Rep		
45	Qatar (2009)			n/a	Nicaragua		
46	Lebanon (2009).			n/a	Niger		
47	Honduras (2003)			n/a	Nigeria		
48	Bolivia (2000)			n/a	Pakistan		
49	Jordan (2007) El Salvador			n/a	Paraguay		
50	Estonia			n/a n/a	Peru		
51 52	Ireland			n/a	Senegal		
53	Canada (2002)			n/a	Singapore		
54	Belgium			n/a	South Africa		
55	Romania			n/a	Sri Lanka.		
56	Kyrgyzstan (2009).			n/a	Sudan		
57	Georgia (2007)			n/a	Syrian Arab Republic		
58	Macedonia			n/a	Tunisia		
59	Thailand (2009)			n/a	Yemen		
60	Tajikistan (2009).			n/a	Zambia		
61	Poland			n/a	Zimbabwe		
62	United Kingdom (2007)						
63	Guyana (2009)			SOURC	E: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, <i>UIS onlir</i>	ne database (2000–0	9)
	Brunei Darussalam (2009)		22.66				

2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility
Tertiary inbound mobility ratio (%)^a | 2008

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	,
1	Luxembourg (2006)	42.24	100.00
2	United Arab Emirates (2009)	39.22	100.00
3	Qatar (2009)		100.00
4	Cyprus		:
5	Bahrain (2010)		100.00
6	Singapore (2010)		94.40
7	Australia		85.29
8	Austria		
9 10	United Kingdom.		
11	Switzerland New Zealand		
12	Lebanon (2007)		/0.20
13	France		15.10
14	Jordan		42 14
15	Namibia		40.92
16	Kyrgyzstan		34.44
17	Norway		29.91
18	Belgium		29.31
19	Ireland		28.19
20	Czech Republic	7.11	27.94
21	Niger (2010)	6.58	25.70
22	Mali (2000)	6.54	25.54
23	Trinidad and Tobago (2004)	5.78	22.33
24	Sweden	5.57	21.41
25	Netherlands	4.99	18.96
26	Malaysia		18.66
27	Canada (2004)		
28	Brunei Darussalam (2009)		18.28
29	Serbia (2009)		16.50
30	Iceland		16.16
31	Botswana (2005)		15.45
32	Hungary		12.20
33 34	Finland Hong Kong (SAR), China (2009)		12.22
35	Greece (2007)		12.68
36	Bulgaria		12.66
37	Azerbaijan (2009)		12.65
38	United States of America		12 31
39	Italy		12.18
40	Croatia.		11.78
41	Japan		11.42
42	Armenia (2009)		11.39
43	Burkina Faso (2005)	3.15	11.15
44	Denmark	2.77	9.54
45	Yemen (2007)	2.71	9.29
46	Saudi Arabia (2009)	2.63	8.94
47	Oman (2009)	2.32	7.62
48	Slovak Republic	2.26	7.40
49	Jamaica (2000)	2.21	7.19
50	Portugal		
51	Swaziland (2006)		
52	Spain		
53	Macedonia		
54	Tajikistan (2009)		:
55 E6	Kazakhstan (2010).		
56	Morocco (2009)		
57 58	Madagascar (2009)		
58 59	Chile.		:
60	Russian Federation		:
61	Lithuania		:
62	Costa Rica (2004)		
63	Egypt (2007)		
64	Ghana (2007)		

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
65	Romania		
66	Korea, Rep		
67	Slovenia		
68	Latvia		
69	Ukraine		
70	Moldova, Rep. (2009)		
71	Cameroon (2007)		
72	Albania (2004)		
73	Turkey		
74	Thailand (2010)		
75	Rwanda (2001)		
76	Tunisia		
77	Poland		
78	Honduras (2003)		
79	Mongolia (2009)		
80	Tanzania (2004)		
81	El Salvador.		
82	Algeria (2009)		
83	Georgia (2009)		
84	Guyana (2009)		
n/a	Argentina		
n/a	Bangladesh		
n/a	Benin	n/a	n/a
n/a	Bolivia		
n/a	Bosnia & Herzegovina	n/a	n/a
n/a	Brazil	n/a	n/a
n/a	Cambodia	n/a	n/a
n/a	China	n/a	n/a
n/a	Colombia	n/a	n/a
n/a	Côte d'Ivoire	n/a	n/a
n/a	Ecuador	n/a	n/a
n/a	Ethiopia	n/a	n/a
n/a	Germany	n/a	n/a
n/a	Guatemala	n/a	n/a
n/a	India	n/a	n/a
n/a	Indonesia	n/a	n/a
n/a	Iran	n/a	n/a
n/a	Israel	n/a	n/a
n/a	Kenya		
n/a	Kuwait		
n/a	Malawi	n/a	n/a
n/a	Mauritius	n/a	n/a
n/a	Mexico		
n/a	Nicaragua		
n/a	Nigeria		
n/a	Pakistan		
n/a	Panama		
n/a	Paraguay		
n/a	Peru		
n/a	Philippines		
n/a	Senegal		
n/a	South Africa		
n/a	Sri Lanka		
n/a	Sudan		
n/a	Syrian Arab Republic		
n/a	Uganda		
n/a	Uruguay		
n/a	Venezuela		
n/a	Viet Nam		
n/a	Zambia		
n/a	Zimbabwe	n/a	n/a

SOURCE: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, *UIS online database* (2000–10)

2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility Tertiary outbound mobility ratio (%)^a | 2008

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Luxembourg (2006)	261.63	100.00	65	Yemen (2007)	2.95	23.35
2	Cyprus			66	Portugal		
3	Swaziland (2006)		71.83	67	Pakistan		
4	Botswana (2006)			68	Algeria (2007)		
5	Brunei Darussalam			69	Uganda		
6	Namibia	42.47	67.59	70	Bolivia (2007)		
7	Trinidad and Tobago (2005)			71	Belgium		
8	Zimbabwe (2003)			72	Czech Republic		
9	Mauritius			73	Cambodia		
10	Malawi (2007)			74	Romania		
11	Albania (2004)			75	Denmark		
12	Zambia (2000)			76	Finland		
13	Guyana (2009)			77	France		
14	Bahrain (2006)			78	Slovenia		
15	Niger			79	El Salvador		
16	Qatar			80	Panama		
17	Kuwait (2006)			81	Italy		
18	Bosnia & Herzegovina (2007)			82	Nicaragua (2003)		
19	Iceland			83	Honduras		
20	Hong Kong (SAR), China			84	Hungary		
21	Senegal			85	China		
22	Cameroon			86	New Zealand		
23	Kenya (2005)			87	Turkey		
24	Slovak Republic			88	Ecuador		
25	Morocco			89	Sudan (2000)		
26	Moldova, Rep			90	Ethiopia		
27	Ireland			91	Poland		
28	Jamaica			92	Costa Rica (2005)		
29	United Arab Emirates			93	Nigeria (2005)		
30	Macedonia			94	Kyrgyzstan		
31	Benin (2006)			95	Netherlands		
32	Bulgaria			96	Uruguay		
33	Tanzania (2007)			97	Viet Nam (2001)		
34	Oman			98	Bangladesh (2007)		
35	Lebanon			99	Japan		
36	Madagascar			100	Colombia		
37	Kazakhstan			101	Paraguay (2007)		
38	Georgia			102	Spain		
39	Burkina Faso			103	Peru (2006)		
40	Malaysia (2007)			104	Ukraine		
41	Mongolia			105	Guatemala (2007)		
42	Greece (2007)			106	India (2007)		
43	Ghana (2007)				Thailand		
44	Norway			108	Mexico		
45	Tunisia			109	United Kingdom		
46	Estonia			110	Australia		
47	Azerbaijan			111	Chile		
48	Mali			112	Iran		
49	Switzerland			113	Indonesia		
50	Croatia			114	South Africa		
51	Rwanda Serbia			115	Venezuela		
52				116	Russian Federation		
53	Israel			117	Brazil		
54	Jordan			118	Argentina (2007)		
55	Côte d'Ivoire (2007)			119	Egypt		
56	Austria			120	Philippines.		
57 E0	Armenia			121	United States of America		
58	Korea, Rep Lithuania			n/a	Germany		
59 60	Sweden			n/a	Singapore		
60 61	Tajikistan.			n/a	Syrian Arab Republic		
61 62	Latvia			n/a	эунан ліай перийнс	II/a	11/a
63	Saudi Arabia			SOUR	CE: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, Ur	ited Nations database	UNdata)
UJ	Judgi / \u0010						/

SOURCE: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, United Nations database *UNdata*) (2000-09)

Gross tertiary outbound enrolmentGross tertiary outbound enrolment ratio (%)^a | 2008

Rank	Country/Economy Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/E
1	Cyprus39.54 .	100.00	65	Panam
2	Luxembourg	100.00	66	Azerba
3	Iceland		67	Japan.
4	Mauritius	:	68	Kyrgyz
5	Albania		69	Ecuado
6	Slovak Republic		70	Austral
7	Ireland		71	Tajikista
8	Bahrain		72	Turkey
9 10	Greece		73 74	Algeria Peru
11	Bulgaria		74 75	El Salva
12	Norway		75 76	Benin.
13	Namibia		77	Chile
14	Trinidad and Tobago. 3.55		78	Thailan
15	Lebanon		79	Colomi
16	Botswana		80	Costa F
17	Estonia		81	Zambia
18	Kuwait3.08		82	China.
19	Kazakhstan 3.01 .	38.84	83	Nicarao
20	Mongolia2.78 .	35.88	84	Paragu
21	Lithuania	34.64	85	Ghana
22	Swaziland2.63 .	33.87	86	Hondu
23	Israel	31.29	87	Kenya.
24	Sweden		88	Yemen
25	Jamaica2.36 .		89	Argent
26	Guyana (2009)		90	Mexico
27	United Arab Emirates		91	Mali
28	Switzerland2.24		92	Madag
29	Croatia		93	Guaten
30	Latvia		94	Niger .
31 32	Georgia		95 96	Burkina Rwand
33	Oman		90	Nigeria
34	Serbia		98	Cambo
35	Finland		99	India
36	Malaysia		100	Malawi
37	Austria		101	Indone
38	Slovenia		102	Pakista
39	Tunisia		103	Brazil .
40	Portugal	22.00	104	South /
41	Armenia	21.60	105	Egypt.
42	Qatar	21.59	106	Ugand
43	Germany	21.27	107	Bangla
44	Denmark	21.20	108	Philipp
45	Jordan	19.84	109	Sudan
46	Belgium	19.53	110	Ethiopi
47	Zimbabwe		n/a	Bosnia
48	Romania		n/a	Brunei
49	Czech Republic1.40		n/a	Côte d'
50	New Zealand		n/a	Hong k
51	Morocco		n/a	Iran
52	ltaly	:	n/a	Korea, I
53	France 1.12		n/a	Maced
54 55	Hungary		n/a n/a	Russiar Singap
56	Senegal. 1.02		n/a	Syrian /
57	Bolivia (2009)		n/a	Tanzan
58	Saudi Arabia		n/a	United
59	Cameroon		n/a	United
60	Ukraine		n/a	Venezu
61	Uruquay		n/a	Viet Na
62	Spain		. 1,7 G	
63	Netherlands		SOUR	E: UNESC
	Sri Lanka		(2	

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
65	Panama	.0.80	9.79
66	Azerbaijan		
67	Japan	. 0.74	9.01
68	Kyrgyzstan	. 0.72	8.77
69	Ecuador	. 0.69	8.35
70	Australia	.0.66	7.94
71	Tajikistan		
72	Turkey	. 0.63	7.56
73	Algeria		
74	Peru		
75	El Salvador		
76	Benin		
77	Chile		
78	Thailand		
79	Colombia		
80	Costa Rica		
81	Zambia		
82	China		
83 84	Paraguay		
85	Ghana		
86	Honduras		
87	Kenya		
88	Yemen (2009)		
89	Argentina		
90	Mexico		
91	Mali		
92	Madagascar		
93	Guatemala		
94	Niger		
95	Burkina Faso		
96	Rwanda		
97	Nigeria	. 0.18	1.59
98	Cambodia		
99	India	. 0.16	1.34
100	Malawi	. 0.15	1.23
101	Indonesia	. 0.15	1.20
102	Pakistan	. 0.14	1.17
103	Brazil		
104	South Africa		
105	Egypt		
106	Uganda		
107	Bangladesh		
108	Philippines		
109	Sudan		
110	Ethiopia		
n/a	Bosnia & Herzegovina		
n/a	Brunei Darussalam		
n/a	Côte d'Ivoire		
n/a	Hong Kong (SAR), China		
n/a n/a	Iran		
n/a n/a	Macedonia		
n/a	Russian Federation		
n/a	Singapore		
n/a	Syrian Arab Republic		
n/a	Tanzania		
n/a	United Kingdom.		
n/a	United States of America		
n/a	Venezuela		
n/a	Viet Nam		
		,	

SCO Institute for Statistics, United Nations database *UNdata*) (2001-09)

ResearchersResearchers, hea

Researchers, headcounts (per million people) | 2007

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Iceland (2008)	13,180.61 .	100.00	: 65	Trinidad and Tobago		3.55
2	Finland			66	Mexico (2003)		
3	Norway	8,845.12 .	67.08	67	Algeria (2005)	420.19	3.12
4	Sweden	7,982.41 .	60.53	68	Kyrgyzstan	380.46	2.81
5	Denmark	7,895.37 .	59.87	69	Pakistan	310.25	2.28
6	New Zealand	7,083.54 .	53.71	70	Sudan (2005)		2.12
7	Singapore	7,059.12 .	53.52	71	Colombia	270.90	1.98
8	Japan	6,934.18 .	52.57	72	Sri Lanka (2006)	229.40	1.67
9	Austria	6,451.19 .	48.91	73	Venezuela (2008)	214.72	1.56
10	United Kingdom	6,218.64 .	47.14	74	Nigeria (2005)	202.54	1.46
11	Korea, Rep	6,027.64 .	45.69	75	Tajikistan	191.16	1.38
12	Switzerland (2004)	5,845.87 .	44.31	76	Peru (2004)	180.63	1.30
13	Germany			77	Panama		
14	Luxembourg			78	Kuwait		
15	Estonia (2008)			79	Indonesia (2005)		
16	Belgium			80	Bolivia (2001)		
17	Portugal			81	Paraguay (2005)		
18	Spain			82	Philippines (2005)		
19	Ireland	,		83	Côte d'Ivoire (2005)		
20	France	,		84	Ecuador		
21	Slovenia	,		85	Benin		
22	Czech Republic (2008)			86	Mali (2006)		
23	Lithuania			87	Madagascar		
24 25	Slovak Republic (2008)			88 89	Honduras (2003)		
	Latvia Hungary			90	El Salvador (2008)		
26 27	Jordan (2003)			90	Nicaragua (2004)		
28	Greece (2005)			91	Cambodia (2002)		
29	Hong Kong (SAR), China (2006)			92	Guatemala		
30	Netherlands (2003)			93	Saudi Arabia		
31	Tunisia (2006)			95	Ethiopia		
32	Russian Federation (2008)			96	Uganda		
33	Poland			97	Cameroon (2005)		
34	Croatia.	,		98	Burkina Faso		
35	Italy (2006)	,		99	Niger (2005)		
36	Cyprus			n/a	Albania		
37	Georgia (2005)			n/a	Australia		
38	Bulgaria	1,713.12 .	12.93	n/a	Bahrain	n/a	n/a
39	Ukraine			n/a	Bangladesh	n/a	n/a
40	Argentina	1,495.35 .	11.28	n/a	Canada	n/a	n/a
41	Serbia	1,436.43 .	10.83	n/a	China	n/a	n/a
42	Romania	1,433.10 .	10.81	n/a	Ghana	n/a	n/a
43	Turkey	1,396.66 .	10.53	n/a	Guyana	n/a	n/a
44	Azerbaijan	1,357.97 .	10.24	n/a	India	n/a	n/a
45	Armenia	1,339.00 .	10.09	n/a	Israel	n/a	n/a
46	Egypt	1,198.43 .	9.02	n/a	Jamaica	n/a	n/a
47	Chile (2004)	1,138.79 .	8.57	n/a	Kenya	n/a	n/a
48	Brazil (2008)	1,097.64 .	8.26	n/a	Lebanon		
49	Macedonia (2006)			n/a	Malawi		
50	Iran (2006)			n/a	Mauritius		
51	Botswana (2005)			n/a	Namibia		
52	Morocco (2006)			n/a	Oman		
53	South Africa			n/a	Qatar		
54	Costa Rica			n/a	Rwanda		
55	Bosnia & Herzegovina			n/a	Swaziland		
56	Senegal			n/a	Syrian Arab Republic		
57	Malaysia (2006)			n/a	Tanzania		
58	Moldova, Rep			n/a	United Arab Emirates		
59	Brunei Darussalam (2004)			n/a	United States of America		
60	Mongolia			n/a	Yemen		
61	Kazakhstan (2009)			n/a	Zimbabwe	n/a	n/a
62	Uruguay (2008)			COLLEG	E: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, UIS on	line database (2000)	00)
63	Thailand (2005)		3.85	JOOK	L. OINESCO HISHILUTE IOI STATISTICS, UIS OTI	re aatavase (2000–1	J2]

2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D (GERD)
Gross expenditure on R&D (% of GDP) | 2007

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Israel (2008)		
2	Sweden (2008)		
3	Finland (2008)		
4	Japan		
5	Korea, Rep		
6	Switzerland (2004)		
7	United States of America (2008)		
8	Denmark (2008)		
9	Iceland (2008)		
10	Austria (2008)	. 2.66	54.49
11	Germany	. 2.54	51.91
12	Singapore	. 2.52	51.53
13	Australia (2006)	. 2.06	41.98
14	France (2008)		
15	Belgium (2008)		
16	United Kingdom (2008)		
17	Canada (2008)		
18	Luxembourg (2008)		
19	Slovenia (2008)		
20	Netherlands (2008)		
21 22	Norway (2008) Portugal (2008)		
23	Czech Republic (2008)		
23	China		
25	Ireland (2008).		
26	Spain (2008)		
27	Estonia (2008)		
28	New Zealand		
29	Italy (2008)		
30	Brazil	. 1.10	22.16
31	Russian Federation (2008)	. 1.03	20.84
32	Tunisia (2005)	. 1.02	20.58
33	Hungary	. 0.96	19.39
34	South Africa	. 0.93	18.72
35	Croatia (2008)	. 0.90	18.03
36	Ukraine		
37	Hong Kong (SAR), China (2006)		
38	India		
39	Lithuania (2008)		
40	Turkey		
41	Chile (2004)		
42	Pakistan		
43	Iran (2006)		
44	Uruguay (2008)		
45 46	Morocco (2006)		
47	Latvia (2008)		
48	Poland (2008)		:
49	Romania (2008)		
50	Greece.		
51	Moldova, Rep.		
52	Argentina		
53	Botswana (2005)		
54	Bulgaria (2008)		
55	Slovak Republic (2008)	. 0.47	9.19
56	Cyprus (2008)	. 0.47	9.14
57	Uganda		
58	Mexico	. 0.37	
59	Mauritius (2005)	. 0.37	7.15
60	Serbia	. 0.35	6.73
61	Jordan (2002)		
62	Costa Rica		
63	Sudan (2005)		
64	Bolivia (2002)	. 0.28	

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
65	Thailand (2006)		
66	Kyrgyzstan		
67	Mongolia		
68	Egypt		
69 70	Kazakhstan (2008)		
70	Armenia		
72	Panama (2008)		
73	Viet Nam (2002)		
74	Georgia (2005)		
75	Sri Lanka (2006)		
76	Ethiopia	0.17	3.02
77	Azerbaijan	0.17	2.97
78	Colombia	0.16	2.74
79	Peru (2004)		
80	Ecuador		
81	Madagascar		
82	Philippines (2005)		
83	Burkina Faso		
84	Senegal (2005)		
85	El Salvador		
86 87	Paraguay (2005)		
88	Kuwait		
89	Tajikistan		
90	Jamaica (2002)		
91	Trinidad and Tobago.		
92	Guatemala		
93	Cambodia (2002)		
94	Indonesia (2005)	0.05	0.48
95	Saudi Arabia	0.05	0.47
96	Nicaragua (2002)	0.05	0.40
97	Honduras (2004)	0.04	0.32
98	Brunei Darussalam (2004)		
99	Zambia (2005)		
100	Bosnia & Herzegovina		
n/a	Albania		
n/a	Bahrain		
n/a	Bangladesh		
n/a n/a	Benin		
n/a n/a	Côte d'Ivoire		
n/a	Ghana		
n/a	Guyana		
n/a	Kenya.		
n/a	Lebanon.		
n/a	Malawi		
n/a	Mali	n/a	n/a
n/a	Namibia	n/a	n/a
n/a	Niger	n/a	n/a
n/a	Nigeria	n/a	n/a
n/a	Oman		
n/a	Qatar		
n/a	Rwanda		
n/a	Swaziland		
n/a	Syrian Arab Republic		
n/a	Tanzania		
n/a	United Arab Emirates		
n/a n/a	Venezuela		
n/a n/a	Zimbabwe		
11/d	ZIITIDADWE	ıI/d	d

SOURCE: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, *UIS online database* (2002–08)

Quality of research institutions

Average answer to the question: How would you assess the quality of scientific research institutions in your country? 1 = very poor; $7 = \text{the best in their field internationally}^{\dagger}$ 2010

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Israel	6.24	87.36	65	Trinidad and Tobago	3.55	42.58
2	Switzerland	6.20	86.70	66	Macedonia	3.52	
3	United Kingdom	6.05	84.15	67	Mali	3.51	41.89
4	United States of America	5.95	82.53	68	Bulgaria	3.51	41.88
5	Sweden	5.92	82.04	69	Zambia	3.47	41.12
6	Germany	5.87	81.13	70	Kuwait	3.47	41.12
7	Belgium	5.74	78.93	71	Malawi	3.45	40.77
8	Canada	5.71	78.56	72	Azerbaijan	3.43	40.57
9	Netherlands	5.63		73	Pakistan	3.41	40.24
10	Australia	5.57	76.09	74	Namibia		40.20
11	Singapore	5.54	75.61	75	Colombia	3.34	39.00
12	Denmark	5.52	75.32	76	Botswana	3.34	38.93
13	Finland	5.37	72.79	77	Romania	3.32	38.71
14	New Zealand	5.33	72.11	78	Benin	3.31	38.50
15	Japan	5.32	72.03	79	Mauritius	3.30	38.40
16	Ireland			80	Tanzania	3.30	38.34
17	Hungary	5.22	70.33	81	Greece	3.30	38.26
18	France	5.18	69.69	82	Turkey	3.26	37.75
19	Austria	5.12	68.74	83	Slovak Republic	3.25	37.57
20	Czech Republic	5.09	68.10	84	Brunei Darussalam	3.21	36.88
21	Qatar			85	Côte d'Ivoire	3.16	36.02
22	Norway	5.00	66.66	86	Morocco	3.14	35.58
23	Iceland			87	Guatemala	3.13	35.49
24	Korea, Rep	4.82	63.74	88	Rwanda		
25	Estonia	4.75	62.49	89	Algeria	3.09	34.88
26	Slovenia	4.71	61.90	90	Cameroon	3.09	34.84
27	Portugal	4.70	61.72	91	Jordan	3.08	34.69
28	South Africa	4.70	61.65	92	Uganda	3.04	34.02
29	India	4.70	61.60	93	Tajikistan	3.03	33.77
30	Costa Rica	4.69	61.54	94	Venezuela	3.02	33.69
31	Malaysia			95	Ethiopia	3.01	
32	Luxembourg	4.56	59.26	96	Armenia	3.00	33.30
33	Hong Kong (SAR), China			97	Bosnia & Herzegovina	2.97	32.91
34	Saudi Arabia	4.35	55.89	98	Moldova, Rep	2.97	32.84
35	Tunisia	4.34	55.65	99	Cambodia	2.93	32.21
36	China			100	Zimbabwe		
37	Lithuania	4.23	53.90	101	Philippines	2.90	31.73
38	Cyprus	4.21	53.58	102	Peru	2.89	31.54
39	Brazil			103	Egypt		
40	Spain			104	Mongolia		
41	Indonesia			105	Kazakhstan		
42	United Arab Emirates			106	Nigeria		
43	Argentina			107	Honduras	2.81	30.16
44	Poland			108	Madagascar		
45	Senegal			109	Bangladesh		
46	Sri Lanka			110	Bahrain		
47	Croatia			111	Georgia		
48	Iran			112	Guyana		
49	Russian Federation			113	Bolivia		
50	Kenya			114	Nicaragua		
51	Chile			115	Syrian Arab Republic		
52	Serbia			116	Albania		
53	Oman			117	Lebanon		
54	Burkina Faso			118	Swaziland		
55	Thailand			119	Ecuador		
56	Mexico			120	El Salvador		
57	Latvia			121	Kyrgyzstan		
58	Uruguay			122	Paraguay		
59	Viet Nam			n/a	Niger		
60	Ghana			n/a	Sudan		
61	Italy			n/a	Yemen	n/a	n/a
62	Panama	3.74	45.62		Table of Carrier Constitution		

SOURCE: World Economic Forum, *Executive Opinion Survey 2010*

THE GLOBAL INNOVATION INDEX 2011

3.1.1

ICT access

Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) access index (0–10)* \mid 2008

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Hong Kong (SAR), China	.8.82	88.18	65	Viet Nam	3.76	37.64
2	Luxembourg	.8.80	87.97	66	China	3.75	37.48
3	Sweden	. 8.75	87.51	67	Jordan		37.35
4	Germany	.8.54	85.40	68	Moldova, Rep	3.60	36.01
5	Iceland	. 8.51	85.13	69	Mexico	3.48	34.77
6	Switzerland	. 8.50	85.03	70	Syrian Arab Republic	3.46	34.62
7	Netherlands	. 8.42	84.20	71	Peru		
8	Denmark			72	Jamaica		
9	United Kingdom			73	Thailand		
10	Singapore			74	Armenia		
11	Norway			75	Azerbaijan		
12	Austria			76	Iran		
13	Ireland			77	Ecuador		
14	Korea, Rep			78	Morocco		
15	Estonia			79	Philippines.		
16	United Arab Emirates			80	Albania		
17	France			81	Guatemala		
18	Canada			82	El Salvador.		
19	Finland			83	Tunisia		
20	Belgium			84	Lebanon.		
21	Bahrain			85	Paraguay		
21	New Zealand			86	South Africa		
23	Israel.			87	Georgia		
24	Australia			88	Algeria		
25	Japan			89	Honduras		
26	United States of America			90	Egypt		
27	Slovenia			91	Sri Lanka		
28	Spain			92	Botswana		
29	Italy			93	Bolivia		
30	Croatia			94	Indonesia		
31	Portugal			95	Nicaragua		
32	Qatar			96	Kyrgyzstan		
33	Cyprus			97	Namibia		
34	Greece			98	Mongolia		
35	Lithuania			99	Swaziland		
36	Hungary			100	Senegal		
37	Slovak Republic			101	Ghana		
38	Czech Republic			102	Cambodia		
39	Latvia			103	Côte d'Ivoire		
40	Poland			104	Pakistan	1.96	19.62
41	Brunei Darussalam			105	Tajikistan	1.90	19.00
42	Bulgaria			106	Benin	1.90	18.97
43	Russian Federation	. 5.59	55.88	107	Sudan	1.89	18.87
44	Saudi Arabia	. 5.44	54.44	108	India	1.88	18.78
45	Romania	. 5.30	52.97	109	Yemen	1.85	18.49
46	Argentina	. 5.27	52.74	110	Mali		
47	Macedonia	. 5.26	52.59	111	Bangladesh	1.78	17.82
48	Serbia	.5.06	50.64	112	Kenya	1.65	16.47
49	Trinidad and Tobago	. 4.93	49.34	113	Nigeria	1.60	16.04
50	Chile	.4.84	48.36	114	Burkina Faso	1.58	15.81
51	Uruguay	. 4.76	47.55	115	Niger	1.56	15.57
52	Turkey	.4.66	46.63	116	Tanzania	1.54	15.37
53	Ukraine	. 4.50	45.04	117	Madagascar	1.47	14.72
54	Kuwait	.4.50	44.99	118	Cameroon	1.46	14.63
55	Panama			119	Malawi		
56	Malaysia	.4.38	43.84	120	Rwanda	1.35	13.54
57	Oman			121	Ethiopia		
58	Brazil			122	Zambia		
59	Mauritius			123	Uganda		
60	Kazakhstan			124	Zimbabwe		
61	Bosnia & Herzegovina			n/a	Guyana		
62	Colombia			, a	y 		
63	Costa Rica			SOUR	CE: International Telecommunication Uni	on, ICT Development	Index Report
							,

2010 (with data from 2008)

3.1.2 ICT use Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) use index (0–10)* | 2008

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Luxembourg	. 7.09	70.86	65	Mexico	1.17	11.69
2	Korea, Rep	. 6.69	66.87	66	Moldova, Rep	1.13	11.28
3	Sweden	. 6.39	63.93	67	China	1.09	10.88
4	Japan			68	Iran	1.07	10.68
5	Singapore			69	Tunisia	1.04	10.41
6	Denmark			70	Lebanon		
7	Netherlands			71	Jordan		
8	Australia			72	Ecuador		
9	Switzerland			73	Azerbaijan		
10	Norway			74	Peru		
11	Finland			75	Viet Nam		
12	United Kingdom			76 	Albania		
13	Hong Kong (SAR), China			77	Oman		
14	New Zealand			78 70	Thailand		
15	Austria			79	Egypt		
16	Iceland			80 81	Nigeria		
17	Germany United States of America			82	Kazakhstan		
18	France			83			
19	Spain			84	Paraguay Syrian Arab Republic		
20 21	Canada			85	Mongolia		
22	Ireland			86	Guatemala		
23	Belgium			87	Kyrgyzstan.		
24	United Arab Emirates			88	Philippines		
25	Israel.			89	South Africa		
26	Italy.			90	El Salvador.		
27	Estonia			91	Algeria		
28	Slovenia			92	Honduras		
29	Greece.			93	Bolivia		
30	Portugal			94	Indonesia		
31	Hungary			95	Zimbabwe		
32	Bahrain			96	Sudan		
33	Czech Republic			97	Pakistan	0.35	3.54
34	Brunei Darussalam			98	Kenya	0.32	3.23
35	Slovak Republic			99	Tajikistan	0.32	3.20
36	Cyprus	. 3.05	30.54	100	Senegal	0.30	3.00
37	Croatia	. 3.03	30.33	101	Sri Lanka	0.30	3.00
38	Lithuania	. 2.93	29.34	102	Uganda	0.29	2.86
39	Poland	. 2.86	28.58	103	Botswana	0.23	2.34
40	Latvia			104	Swaziland	0.23	2.32
41	Malaysia			105	Armenia		
42	Bulgaria	. 2.34	23.38	106	Ghana	0.21	2.08
43	Romania			107	Zambia		
44	Jamaica			108	Namibia	0.18	1.78
45	Macedonia			109	India		
46	Qatar			110	Nicaragua		
47	Uruguay			111	Cameroon		
48	Chile			112	Côte d'Ivoire		
49	Serbia			113	Rwanda		
50	Brazil			114	Malawi		
51	Turkey			115	Benin		
52	Saudi Arabia			116	Cambodia		
53	Colombia			117	Madagascar		
54	Russian Federation			118	Tanzania		
55	Argentina			119	Mali		
56 57	Bosnia & Herzegovina			120	Yemen		
57				121			
58 59	Kuwait Trinidad and Tobago			122 123	Niger		
60	Mauritius			123	Bangladesh		
61	Morocco			n/a	Guyana		
62	Panama			11/4	Gayana	ıı/d	d
		1 23		SOURC	E: International Telecommunication Union, ICT Deve	lopme	nt Index Report

SOURCE: International Telecommunication Union, *ICT Development Index Report* 2010 (with data from 2008)

3.1.3

Government's online service

Government's online service index (0–1)**a \mid 2010

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	
1	Korea, Rep		
2	United States of America		
3	Canada		
4	United Kingdom		
5	Australia		
5 7	Spain		
8	Norway		
9	Colombia		
10	Singapore		
11	France		
12	Netherlands		
13	Denmark		
13	Japan	. 0.67	67.30
15	New Zealand	.0.64	63.81
16	Malaysia	. 0.63	63.17
17	Belgium	. 0.63	62.54
18	Chile	. 0.61	60.95
19	Israel		
20	Mongolia		
21	Germany		
22	Jordan		
23	Egypt		
24 24	Kazakhstan		
2 4 26	Hungary		
20	Estonia		
28	Ireland		
29	Lithuania		
29	Tunisia		
31	Finland	.0.48	47.94
31	Uruguay	.0.48	47.94
33	Austria	.0.48	47.62
34	Kuwait		
35	Czech Republic		
36	Switzerland		
37	Mexico		
38	El Salvador.		
39 40	CroatiaLatvia		
40	Romania		
42	Argentina		
43	Bulgaria		
43	Peru		
45	Slovenia		
46	Iceland	.0.40	39.68
47	Philippines	. 0.39	39.37
48	Poland	. 0.39	38.73
48	Portugal		
50	Luxembourg		
51	Cyprus		
52	Brazil		
52	China		
52 52	India Oman		
52 56	Bangladesh		
56	Greece.		
58	Slovak Republic.		
58	Turkey		
58	Ukraine		
61	Trinidad and Tobago		
62	Thailand	. 0.33	33.33
63	Russian Federation	. 0.33	33.02
64	Azerbaijan	. 0.32	32.38

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
64	Côte d'Ivoire		,
66	Macedonia		
67	Ecuador		
67	Kyrgyzstan		
69	Albania		
69	Saudi Arabia		
71	Guatemala		
71	South Africa		
73	Bolivia		
73	Costa Rica		
73	Venezuela		
73	Viet Nam		
77	Honduras	.0.30	
77	Mauritius		
77	Moldova, Rep.		
80	Italy		
81	Brunei Darussalam.		
81	Panama		
83	Qatar		
84	Bosnia & Herzegovina		
85	Iran		
85	Lebanon.		
87	Paraguay		
88	Sri Lanka.		
89	Nicaragua		
90	United Arab Emirates		
91	Georgia		
91	Pakistan	.0.25	24.76
93	Indonesia	. 0.24	24.44
94	Kenya	. 0.24	23.81
94	Morocco	. 0.24	23.81
96	Jamaica	.0.23	22.86
97	Serbia	.0.22	22.22
98	Botswana	.0.20	20.00
98	Ethiopia	. 0.20	20.00
100	Mali	. 0.18	18.41
101	Guyana	. 0.18	18.10
102	Senegal	. 0.18	17.78
103	Rwanda	. 0.17	17.46
103	Tanzania	. 0.17	17.46
105	Madagascar	. 0.17	16.51
106	Burkina Faso	. 0.16	15.56
106	Sudan	. 0.16	15.56
108	Cameroon	. 0.15	15.24
109	Ghana	. 0.15	14.92
110	Cambodia	. 0.14	13.65
111	Zimbabwe	. 0.13	12.70
112	Benin	. 0.12	11.75
113	Zambia	. 0.10	10.48
114	Uganda	. 0.10	10.16
115	Algeria		
116	Nigeria		
117	Tajikistan		
118	Namibia		
119	Yemen		
120	Syrian Arab Republic		
121	Niger		
122	Malawi		
n/a	Armenia		
n/a	Hong Kong (SAR), China		
n/a	Swaziland	n/a	n/a

SOURCE: United Nations Public Administration Network, *e-Government Development Database* (UNeGovDD)

Online participationE-participation index (0—1)*a | 2010

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
					Azerbaijan		
1	Korea, Rep			65	Brunei Darussalam		
2	Spain			65 65	Côte d'Ivoire		
3	New Zealand			65	Luxembourg		
4	United Kingdom.			65	Pakistan		
	_			65	Peru		
6 6	Japan United States of America			71	Cameroon		
8	Canada			71	Ecuador		
9				71			
10	Zambia			71	Oman		
10	Singapore			74	Venezuela		
12	Bahrain			76	Albania		
13	Malaysia			76	Czech Republic		
14	Denmark			76	Honduras		
15	Germany			76	Indonesia		
16	France			76	Morocco.		
16	Netherlands			76	Qatar		
18	Belgium			76	Russian Federation		
19	Kazakhstan			76	Trinidad and Tobago		
20	Lithuania			76	United Arab Emirates		
21	Slovenia			85	Cambodia		
22	Austria			85	Mali		
22	Norway			87	Bangladesh		
24	Cyprus			87	Botswana		
24	Sweden			87	Niger		
26	Croatia.			87	Saudi Arabia		
27	Colombia			87	Sudan		
27	Ireland			92	Ghana		
29	Kyrgyzstan			92	Guyana		
29	Mongolia			92	Jamaica		
31	Finland	0.41	41.43	92	Thailand	0.09 .	8.57
31	Israel	0.41	41.43	92	Viet Nam	0.09 .	8.57
33	China	0.37		97	Benin	0.07 .	7.14
33	Mexico	0.37	37.14	97	El Salvador	0.07 .	7.14
35	Chile	0.34	34.29	97	Iran	0.07 .	7.14
36	Guatemala	0.31	31.43	97	Slovak Republic	0.07 .	7.14
36	Hungary	0.31	31.43	97	Uganda	0.07 .	7.14
38	Bulgaria	0.30	30.00	102	Burkina Faso	0.06 .	5.71
38	Nicaragua	0.30	30.00	102	Georgia		
38	Tunisia	0.30	30.00	102	Madagascar	0.06 .	5.71
41	Brazil			102	Mauritius		
41	Egypt	0.29	28.57	106	Armenia		
41	Jordan	0.29	28.57	106	Bosnia & Herzegovina	0.04 .	4.29
44	Latvia			106	Ethiopia		
44	Lebanon			106	Iceland		
44	Portugal			106	Serbia		
47	Greece			106	Tanzania		
47	Ukraine			106	Yemen		
47	Uruguay			113	Rwanda		
50	Poland			113	Tajikistan		
51	Kenya			113	Zimbabwe		
51	Kuwait			116	Algeria		
53	Italy			116	Namibia		
53	Macedonia			116	Nigeria		
53	Turkey			116	Paraguay		
56	Argentina			116	Senegal		
56	Bolivia			116	Syrian Arab Republic		
56	Costa Rica			n/a	Hong Kong (SAR), China		
56	India			n/a	Malawi		
56	Moldova, Rep.			n/a	Panama		
56 62	Switzerland			n/a	Swaziland	n/a .	n/a
62	Philippines.			COLLEG	E: United Nations Public Administration	Network a-Covern	ment
62	Romania	0.19	/ د.۱۵	SOUNG	Omica manons i abiic Auministiation	INCLIVIOIN, E-GOVEITII	IICIIL

Development Database (UNeGovDD)

3.2.1

Electricity outputElectricity output (kWh per capita)^a | 2008

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Iceland (2009)		
2	Norway (2009)		
3	United Arab Emirates		
4	Kuwait	,	
5	Canada (2009)		
6	Qatar	,	
7	Bahrain		
8 9	Sweden (2009)		
10	Finland (2009)		
11	Australia (2009)		
12	New Zealand (2009)		
13	Korea, Rep. (2009).		
14	Paraguay		
15	Switzerland (2009)		
16	Singapore	8,619.21	44.72
17	Brunei Darussalam	8,557.50	44.40
18	France (2009)	8,350.60	43.32
19	Belgium (2009)	8,319.20	43.16
20	Saudi Arabia		
21	Japan (2009)		
22	Slovenia		
23	Estonia		
24	Austria (2009)		
25	Czech Republic (2009)		
26 27	Russian Federation	,	
28	Germany (2009)		
29	Netherlands (2009)		
30	Denmark (2009)		
31	Cyprus		
32	Spain (2009)		
33	Luxembourg (2009)	.6,264.00	32.48
34	Ireland (2009)	6,054.32	31.39
35	United Kingdom (2009)	5,958.09	30.89
36	Trinidad and Tobago.		
37	Bulgaria		
38	Oman	,	
39	Hong Kong (SAR), China		
40	South Africa		
41 42	Kazakhstan		
43	Greece (2009)	,	
44	Slovak Republic (2009).		
45	Italy (2009)		
46	Portugal (2009)		
47	Venezuela		
48	Ukraine	4,160.31	21.54
49	Lithuania	3,966.07	20.53
50	Poland (2009)	3,964.90	20.53
51	Malaysia	3,608.45	18.67
52	Hungary (2009)	3,587.21	18.56
53	Chile		
54	Bosnia & Herzegovina		
55	Macedonia		
56	Argentina		
57	Romania		
58 59	Iran		
60	Jamaica Croatia.		
61	Azerbaijan		
62	Turkey (2009)		
63	Uruguay		
64	China		

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	()
65	Lebanon		
66	Brazil		
67 68	Tajikistan Mexico (2009)	,	
69	Jordan		
70	Latvia		
71	Kyrgyzstan		
72	Thailand		
73	Costa Rica		
74	Georgia		
75	Syrian Arab Republic		
76	Panama		
77	Armenia	1,874.03	9.66
78	Egypt	1,607.26	8.27
79	Mongolia	1,576.05	8.11
80	Tunisia	1,482.19	7.62
81	Ecuador	1,380.49	7.09
82	Colombia		
83	Albania		
84	Algeria		
85	Peru		
86	Moldova, Rep		
87	Namibia		
88 89	El Salvador		
90	Viet Nam		
91	Zambia		
92	India		
93	Philippines		
94	Morocco		
95	Indonesia	654.71	3.32
96	Bolivia	644.63	3.27
97	Zimbabwe		3.25
98	Guatemala	637.21	3.23
99	Nicaragua		
100	Pakistan		
101	Sri Lanka		
102	Ghana		
103 104	Botswana		
104	Yemen		
105	Côte d'Ivoire		
107	Bangladesh		
108	Senegal		
109	Kenya		
110	Nigeria	139.51	0.64
111	Sudan	109.36	0.49
112	Tanzania	103.91	0.46
113	Cambodia	99.39	0.43
114	Ethiopia	46.80	0.16
115	Benin	15.70	0.00
n/a	Burkina Faso		
n/a	Guyana		
n/a	Madagascar		
n/a n/a	Malawi Mali		
n/a n/a	Mauritius		
n/a	Niger		
n/a	Rwanda		
n/a	Swaziland		
n/a	Uganda	n/a	n/a

SOURCE: International Energy Agency, World Energy Balances online data service (2008-09)

3.2.2 Electricity consumption Electricity consumption (kWh per capita)^a | 2008

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Iceland (2009)	,		65	Lebanon		
2	Norway (2009)			66	Brazil		
3	United Arab Emirates			67	Thailand		
4	Kuwait			68	Tajikistan		
5	Canada (2009)	,		69	Jordan	2,054.00	8.50
6	Qatar	15,680.00	66.03	70	Mexico (2009)	1,944.00	8.03
7	Finland (2009)			71	Costa Rica	1,863.00	7.69
8	Luxembourg (2009)	14,357.00	60.44	72	Namibia	1,811.00	7.47
9	Sweden (2009)	13,707.00	57.70	73	Georgia	1,657.00	6.82
10	Bahrain	13,291.00	55.94	74	Panama	1,648.00	6.78
11	United States of America (2009)	12,917.00	54.36	75	Armenia	1,578.00	6.49
12	Australia (2009)	. 10,608.00	44.61	76	Botswana	1,516.00	6.22
13	New Zealand (2009)			77	Mongolia	1,478.00	6.06
14	Korea, Rep. (2009).			78	Syrian Arab Republic	1,475.00	6.05
15	Brunei Darussalam			79	Kyrgyzstan		
16	Singapore	8.186.00	34.39	80	Egypt		
17	Switzerland (2009)			81	Albania		
18	Austria (2009)			82	Tunisia		
19	Belgium (2009)			83	Moldova, Rep		
20	Japan (2009)			84	Ecuador		
21	Saudi Arabia			85	Peru	,	
22	France (2009)	,		86	Zimbabwe	,	
						,	
23	Israel	,		87	Paraguay		
24	Slovenia			88	Colombia		
25	Netherlands (2009)			89	Algeria		
26	Germany (2009)			90	El Salvador		
27	Russian Federation			91	Viet Nam		
28	Estonia			92	Morocco		
29	Denmark (2009)			93	Honduras		
30	Cyprus			94	Zambia	602.00	2.36
31	Czech Republic (2009)			95	Indonesia		
32	Spain (2009)	5,880.00	24.65	96	Philippines	588.00	2.31
33	Hong Kong (SAR), China	5,866.00	24.59	97	India	566.00	2.21
34	Ireland (2009)	5,799.00	24.31	98	Bolivia	561.00	2.19
35	Trinidad and Tobago	5,769.00	24.18	99	Guatemala	543.00	2.12
36	United Kingdom (2009)	5,607.00	23.50	100	Nicaragua	456.00	1.75
37	Italy (2009)	5,255.00	22.01	101	Pakistan	436.00	1.66
38	Slovak Republic (2009)			102	Sri Lanka	409.00	1.55
39	Greece (2009)	4,903.00	20.52	103	Ghana	268.00	0.95
40	Oman			104	Cameroon		
41	South Africa	,		105	Yemen		
42	Portugal (2009)			106	Bangladesh		
43	Kazakhstan			107	Côte d'Ivoire		
44	Bulgaria	,		108	Senegal		
45	Serbia			109	Kenya		
46	Croatia.			110	Nigeria		
47				111	Cambodia		
	Hungary (2009)						
48	Macedonia Poland (2009)			112	Sudan		
49				113	Tanzania		
50	Lithuania			114	Benin		
51	Ukraine			115	Ethiopia		
52	Malaysia			n/a	Burkina Faso		
53	Chile	•		n/a	Guyana		
54	Latvia			n/a	Madagascar		
55	Venezuela			n/a	Malawi		
56	Argentina			n/a	Mali		
57	Jamaica	2,550.00	10.59	n/a	Mauritius		
58	Romania			n/a	Niger	n/a	n/a
59	Bosnia & Herzegovina	2,467.00	10.24	n/a	Rwanda	n/a	n/a
60	China	2,453.00	10.18	n/a	Swaziland	n/a	n/a
61	Iran	2,423.00	10.05	n/a	Uganda	n/a	n/a
62	Uruguay	2,394.00	9.93				
63	Azerbaijan			SOURC	E: International Energy Agency, World Energy B	alances onlir	ne data service
	Turkey (2009)			:	008-09)		

SOURCE: International Energy Agency, World Energy Balances online data service (2008-09)

GDP per unit of energy useGDP per unit of energy use (2000 PPP\$ per kg of oil equivalent) | 2008

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank
1	Hong Kong (SAR), China	17.67	100.00	65
2	Peru	13.18	72.91	66
3	Colombia			67
4	Bangladesh			68
5	Morocco			69
6	Sri Lanka			70
7	Philippines			71
8	Namibia			72
9	Ireland (2009)			73
10	Panama			74
11 12	Uruguay			75 76
13	Costa Rica			70
14	Tunisia			77
15	Botswana.			79
16	Greece (2009).			80
17	Denmark (2009)			81
18	Italy (2009)			82
19	United Kingdom (2009)			83
20	Cambodia			84
21	Israel	8.61	45.36	85
22	Turkey (2009)	8.58	45.18	86
23	Albania	8.36	43.88	87
24	Spain (2009)	8.33	43.71	88
25	Austria (2009)			89
26	Argentina	8.13	42.49	90
27	Luxembourg (2009)			91
28	Portugal (2009)			92
29	Senegal			93
30	Guatemala			94
31	Singapore			95
32	Croatia			95
33	El Salvador			97
34 35	Latvia			98 99
36	Norway (2009)			100
37	Germany (2009)			100
38	Honduras			101
39	India			103
40	Cyprus			103
41	Netherlands (2009)			105
42	France (2009)			106
43	Paraguay			107
44	Brazil			108
45	Sweden (2009)	6.58	33.13	109
46	Chile	6.30	31.46	110
47	Slovenia	6.30	31.44	111
48	Hungary (2009)	6.29	31.41	112
49	Mexico (2009)	6.25	31.17	113
50	Ghana	6.19	30.78	114
51	Poland (2009)			115
52	Armenia			n/a
53	Algeria			n/a
54	Lithuania			n/a
55	Belgium (2009)			n/a
56	Sudan			n/a
57	Bosnia & Herzegovina			n/a
58 50	Nicaragua Ecuador			n/a
59 60	Georgia			n/a n/a
61	New Zealand (2009)			n/a
62	Romania			11/4
63	Slovak Republic (2009)			SOURC
64	United States of America (2009)			(2
0 1	1134 States 517			: '-

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
65	Australia (2009)	. 5.33	25.59
66	Thailand	. 5.26	25.20
67	Cameroon	. 5.23	25.02
68	Azerbaijan	. 5.23	25.00
69	China		
70	Korea, Rep. (2009)		
71	Egypt		
72	Macedonia		
73	Pakistan		
74	Czech Republic (2009)		
75 76	Viet Nam		
77	Bolivia		
77	Jordan		
79	Indonesia		
80	Lebanon.		
81	Malaysia		
82	Estonia	. 4.17	18.63
83	Canada (2009)	. 4.14	18.41
84	South Africa	. 3.95	
85	Bulgaria		
86	Syrian Arab Republic	. 3.82	16.51
87	Kyrgyzstan	. 3.72	15.90
88	Tajikistan		
89	Benin		
90	Ethiopia		
91	Serbia		
92	Venezuela		
93	Moldova, Rep.		
94	Oman		
95 95	Kuwait		
97	Côte d'Ivoire		
98	Jamaica		
99	Yemen		
100	Ukraine		
101	Kenya		
102	Russian Federation	. 2.40	7.96
103	Mongolia	. 2.39	7.88
104	Saudi Arabia	. 2.33	7.48
105	Brunei Darussalam	. 2.26	7.11
106	Zimbabwe		
107	United Arab Emirates		
108	Iceland (2009)		
109	Kazakhstan		
110	Bahrain		
111	Zambia		
112 113	Tanzania		
114	Nigeria		
115	Trinidad and Tobago.		
n/a	Burkina Faso		
n/a	Guyana		
n/a	Madagascar		
n/a	Malawi		
n/a	Mali		
n/a	Mauritius	n/a	n/a
n/a	Niger	n/a	n/a
n/a	Rwanda		
n/a	Swaziland		
n/a	Uganda	n/a	n/a

RCE: International Energy Agency, World Energy Balances online data service (2008–09)

Share of renewables in energy useShare of renewables in energy use (% of total energy use) | 2008

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value Score (0–100)
1	Paraguay			65	Bosnia & Herzegovina	
2	Ethiopia			66	Mexico (2009)	
3	Zambia			67	Spain (2009)	
4	Tanzania			68	Lithuania	
5	Kenya			69	Croatia	
6	Iceland (2009)			70	Macedonia	
7	Nigeria			71	France (2009)	
8	Cameroon			72	Hungary (2009)	
9	Côte d'Ivoire			73	Argentina	
10	Ghana			74 75	Poland (2009)	
11	Cambodia			75 76	Czech Republic (2009)	
12 13	Zimbabwe			76	Greece (2009)	
14	Sudan El Salvador			77 78	Slovak Republic (2009) United States of America (2009)	
15	Nicaragua			76 79	Bulgaria	
16	Benin			80	Armenia	
17	Guatemala.			81	Australia (2009)	
18	Sri Lanka			82	Malaysia	
19	Tajikistan.			83	Netherlands (2009)	
20	Costa Rica			84	Israel	
21	Norway (2009)			85	Belgium (2009)	
22	Honduras			86	Ireland (2009)	
23	Viet Nam			87	Egypt	
24	Brazil			88	Cyprus	
25	Philippines.	43.08	26.41	89	Morocco	
26	Senegal	42.36	25.96	90	Lebanon	
27	Pakistan			91	Japan (2009)	
28	New Zealand (2009)	36.47	22.36	92	United Kingdom (2009)	
29	Sweden (2009)	35.25	21.60	93	Mongolia	
30	Indonesia	34.35	21.06	94	Russian Federation	
31	Georgia	33.73	20.68	95	Luxembourg (2009)	
32	Uruguay	33.13	20.31	96	Moldova, Rep	
33	Kyrgyzstan			97	Jordan	1.71 1.05
34	Bangladesh			98	Korea, Rep. (2009)	
35	Latvia			99	Azerbaijan	
36	India			100	Ukraine	
37	Austria (2009)			101	Syrian Arab Republic	
38	Colombia			102	Kazakhstan	
39	Albania			103	Yemen	
40	Finland (2009)			104	Iran	
41	Panama			105	Hong Kong (SAR), China	
42	Peru			106	Algeria	
43	Botswana			107	Trinidad and Tobago	
44	Chile			108	United Arab Emirates	
45	Portugal (2009)			109		
46 47	Switzerland (2009) Denmark (2009)			110	Saudi Arabia Bahrain	
47	Thailand			111	Brunei Darussalam	
48 49	Namibia			111	Kuwait	
50	Bolivia			111	Oman	
51	Canada (2009)			111	Singapore	
52	Ecuador			n/a	Burkina Faso	
53	Romania			n/a	Guyana	
54	Tunisja			n/a	Madagascar	
55	Venezuela			n/a	Malawi	
56	China			n/a	Mali	
57	Estonia			n/a	Mauritius	
58	Jamaica			n/a	Niger	
59	Slovenia			n/a	Rwanda	
60	Turkey (2009)			n/a	Swaziland	
61	South Africa			n/a	Uganda	n/an/a
62	Serbia	10.45	6.40			
63	Germany (2009)	10.01	6.13	SOURC	:: International Energy Agency, World Ene	ergy Balances online data service

(2008-09)

3.3.1

Trade and transport-related infrastructure

Logistics performance index: quality of trade and transport-related infrastructure (1 = low to 5 = high)* | 2009

Surveys 2009, World Bank World Development Indicators database (2006–09)

	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Germany			63	Viet Nam	2.56	39.00
2	Netherlands	4.25	81.25	66	Macedonia	2.55	38.75
3	Norway	4.22	80.50	67	Indonesia	2.54	38.50
3	Singapore			68	Ghana	2.52	38.00
5	Japan	4.19	79.75	69	Bangladesh	2.49	37.25
6	Switzerland	4.17	79.25	70	Benin	2.48	37.00
7	United States of America	4.15	78.75	71	Syrian Arab Republic	2.45	36.25
8	Finland	4.08	77.00	72	El Salvador	2.44	36.00
9	Luxembourg			72	Paraguay	2.44	36.00
10	Canada	4.03	75.75	72	Ukraine	2.44	36.00
10	Sweden	4.03	75.75	72	Venezuela	2.44	36.00
12	Belgium	4.01	75.25	76	Nigeria	2.43	35.75
13	France	4.00	75.00	77	Ecuador	2.38	34.50
13	Hong Kong (SAR), China	4.00	75.00	77	Russian Federation	2.38	34.50
15	Denmark	3.99	74.75	79	Côte d'Ivoire	2.37	34.25
16	United Kingdom			79	Guatemala		
17	United Arab Emirates			81	Croatia	2.36	34.00
18	Australia			81	Iran		
19	Ireland			83	Uganda		
20	ltaly.			83	Yemen		
21	Austria		:	85	Morocco (2006)		
22	Korea, Rep		:	86	Armenia		
23	Israel			87	Honduras		
24	Spain			88	Bulgaria		
25	China			88	Serbia		
25	New Zealand			90	Mauritius		
			:				
27	Malaysia			91	Niger		
28	South Africa			92	Romania		
29	Bahrain			93	Bolivia		
30	Iceland			94	Azerbaijan		
30	Kuwait			94	Nicaragua		
32	Saudi Arabia			96	Bosnia & Herzegovina		
33	Czech Republic			96	Egypt		
34	Portugal			98	Georgia		
35	Thailand			99	Albania		
36	Brazil		:	99	Kenya		
37	Hungary		:	101	Malawi (2006)		
37	Turkey			102	Cambodia		
39	Oman			103	Cameroon		
40	Lebanon			104	Botswana		
41	Slovak Republic			104	Kyrgyzstan		
42	Poland		:	106	Pakistan		
43	Wickled	2.95	48.75	107	Jamaica	2.07	26.75
44	Cyprus			108	Algeria		
44	Greece			109	Moldova, Rep	2.05	26.25
46	India	2.91	47.75	110	Mali	2.00	25.00
47	Latvia	2.88	47.00	110	Tajikistan	2.00	25.00
48	Chile	2.86	46.50	110	Tanzania	2.00	25.00
49	Argentina	2.75	43.75	113	Guyana	1.99	24.75
49	Estonia	2.75	43.75	114	Mongolia	1.94	23.50
49	Qatar	2.75	43.75	115	Burkina Faso	1.89	22.25
52	Lithuania	2.72	43.00	116	Sri Lanka		22.00
53	Jordan	2.69	42.25	117	Zimbabwe (2006)		21.75
54	Kazakhstan		:	118	Zambia		
54	Peru		:	119	Sudan		
56	Slovenia			120	Ethiopia		
57	Senegal.			121	Namibia		
58	Madagascar		:	122	Rwanda		
58	Panama		:	n/a	Brunei Darussalam		
	Colombia		:	n/a	Swaziland		
60				, u			
60 61	Uruguay	2 58	3950	n/a	Trinidad and Tobago	n/a	n/a
60 61 62	Uruguay Philippines			n/a	Trinidad and Tobago	n/a	n/a

Gross capital formation 3.3.2

Gross capital formation	(%	of GDP)	2009
-------------------------	----	---------	------

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Mongolia			65	Austria		
2	China			66	Canada		
3	Algeria			67	Argentina		
4	Guyana (2008)			68	Kenya		
5	Qatar			69	United Arab Emirates (2007)		
6	Viet Nam			70	Japan		
7	Slovak Republic			71	Hong Kong (SAR), China (2008)		
8	Morocco			72	Poland		
9	India			73	Belgium		
10	Madagascar			74	Norway		
11	Bahrain (2008)			75	Portugal		
12	Iran (2007)			76	Switzerland		
13	Ecuador			77	Costa Rica		
14	Armenia			78	Zambia		
15	Indonesia			79	Honduras		
16	Romania			80	Ghana		
17	Kazakhstan			81	South Africa	19.43	21.05
18	Lebanon			82	Estonia		
19	Oman (2008)	29.72	47.43	83	Egypt	19.26	
20	Singapore (2008)			84	Chile	19.04	20.04
21	Albania	29.04	45.70	85	France		19.84
22	Senegal	27.90	42.77	86	Pakistan		19.82
23	Australia (2008)	27.51	41.78	87	Latvia		19.81
24	Namibia	27.14	40.83	88	Kuwait (2008)	18.93	19.76
25	Moldova, Rep	27.14	40.82	89	Italy	18.91	19.70
26	Lithuania (2008)	27.01	40.50	90	Russian Federation	18.73	19.24
27	Tunisia	26.81	39.99	91	Netherlands		18.60
28	Croatia	26.67	39.62	92	Finland	18.32	18.19
29	Korea, Rep	25.92	37.69	93	New Zealand	18.12	17.69
30	Bulgaria	25.62	36.93	94	Burkina Faso (2006)	18.11	17.66
31	Saudi Arabia	25.46	36.50	95	Uruguay	17.93	17.19
32	Sudan	25.19	35.82	96	Cameroon (2007)	17.72	16.65
33	Benin	24.98	35.29	97	Ukraine		15.08
34	Panama	24.80	34.81	98	Denmark	17.05	14.94
35	Venezuela	24.77	34.75	99	Bolivia	16.97	14.73
36	Sri Lanka	24.53	34.13	100	Swaziland	16.90	14.55
37	Spain	24.48	34.01	101	Zimbabwe (2005)	16.80	14.30
38	Bangladesh	24.37	33.72	102	Sweden	16.57	13.69
39	Yemen (2003)	24.36	33.69	103	Tanzania (2006)	16.55	13.65
40	Cyprus (2008)	24.30	33.54	104	Luxembourg	16.54	13.62
41	Macedonia	24.29	33.51	105	Brazil	16.51	13.55
42	Botswana	24.05	32.88	106	Germany	16.50	13.51
43	Serbia	23.90	32.51	107	Israel	16.39	13.23
44	Uganda	23.77	32.17	108	Syrian Arab Republic	16.31	13.04
45	Nicaragua	23.48	31.44	109	Greece	16.18	12.70
46	Slovenia			110	Paraguay		
47	Niger (2005)	23.10	30.46	111	Turkey	14.92	9.48
48	Rwanda	22.57	29.09	112	Jordan	14.78	9.11
49	Colombia			113	Philippines		
50	Peru	22.47	28.83	114	Malaysia		
51	Ethiopia	22.43	28.73	115	United States of America		
52	Mali (2007)	22.36	28.55	116	Iceland		
53	Mexico			117	Ireland		
54	Malawi			118	United Kingdom.		
55	Bosnia & Herzegovina			119	El Salvador.		
56	Kyrgyzstan			120	Guatemala.		
57	Hungary (2008)			121	Brunei Darussalam (2007)		
58	Azerbaijan			122	Georgia		
59	Thailand			123	Trinidad and Tobago (2008)		
60	Tajikistan.			124	Côte d'Ivoire		
61	Czech Republic			n/a	Nigeria		
62	Mauritius				<u> </u>		
63	Cambodia			SOURC	E: World Bank and OECD, World Bank <i>Wo</i>	rld Development In	dicators

database (2000-09)

Ecological footprint and biocapacityEcological footprint and biocapacity (deficit) or reserve (global hectares per capita) | 2007

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Bolivia	16.26	100.00
2	Mongolia	9.60	74.49
3	Paraguay	8.05	68.52
4	Canada	7.91	67.98
5	Australia		
6	Finland		
7	Brazil		
8	New Zealand		
9	Namibia		
10 11	Argentina		
12	Uruguay		
13	Peru		
14	Colombia		
15	Latvia		
16	Zambia		
17	Russian Federation		
18	Madagascar		
19	Nicaragua	1.26	42.52
20	Botswana	1.15	42.09
21	Estonia	1.08	41.80
22	Cameroon		
23	Sudan		
24	Côte d'Ivoire		
25	Chile		
26	Mali		
27	Ecuador		
28	Panama		
29 30	Indonesia		
31	Kyrgyzstan		
32	Burkina Faso		
33	Malawi.		
34	Honduras		
35	Norway		
36	Venezuela	0.08	37.37
37	Cambodia	0.09	37.31
38	Tanzania	0.16	37.05
39	Bangladesh		
40	Niger	0.26	36.68
41	Lithuania		
42	Nigeria		
43	Yemen		
44	Pakistan		
45	India		
46	Tajikistan		
47 48	Ethiopia		
49	Rwanda		
50	Swaziland.		
51	Zimbabwe		
52	Kenya		
53	Kazakhstan		
54	Viet Nam		
55	Ghana	0.56	35.53
56	Morocco	0.61	35.34
57	Georgia	0.61	35.32
58	Guatemala	0.65	35.19
59	Philippines		
60	Uganda		
61	Moldova, Rep		
62	Hungary		
63	Romania		
64	Sri Lanka	0./7	34./4

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	
65	Costa Rica		
66	Syrian Arab Republic		
67	Tunisia		
68 69	Serbia (2005)		
70	Albania		
70 71	Armenia		
72	Egypt		
73	Ukraine		
74	Azerbaijan		
75	Bosnia & Herzegovina		
76	South Africa	–1.18	33.17
77	Thailand	1.22	
78	China	–1.24	32.94
79	Croatia	–1.24	32.92
80	El Salvador.		
81	Turkey		
82	Slovak Republic		
83	Trinidad and Tobago		
84	Mexico		
85	Jamaica		
86 87	Jordan		
88	Iran		
89	Austria		
90	France		
91	Malaysia		
92	Poland		
93	Lebanon.		
94	Slovenia		
95	Ireland	2.82	26.88
96	Oman	2.85	26.77
97	Czech Republic	–3.07	25.93
98	Germany		
99	Portugal		
100	Denmark		
101	United Kingdom		
102	Luxembourg (2001)		
103	Mauritius		
104 105	Greece		
105	Spain		
107	Italy.		
108	United States		
109	Japan		
110	Macedonia		
111	Saudi Arabia		
112	Israel	4.50	20.43
113	Korea, Rep	4.53	20.30
114	Netherlands	–5.17	17.88
115	Singapore	5.32	17.30
116	Kuwait	–5.93	14.95
117	Belgium		
118	Qatar		
119	United Arab Emirates		
n/a	Bahrain		
n/a	Brunei Darussalam		
n/a	Cyprus		
n/a n/a	Guyana		
n/a	Iceland		
11/ U		/ u	/ u

SOURCE: Global Footprint Network (2001–07)

THE GLOBAL INNOVATION INDEX 2011

Legal rights strength to get creditGetting credit: Strength of legal rights index (0—10)*a | 2010

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1					Netherlands		
1	Hong Kong (SAR), China			57 57	Pakistan		
1	,			57	Panama		
1	KyrgyzstanMalaysia			57	Spain		
1	New Zealand			57	Swaziland		
1				57	Zimbabwe		
7	Singapore			71	Bosnia & Herzegovina		
7	Australia			71	Colombia		
7	Cyprus			71	Costa Rica		
7	Denmark			71	El Salvador		
7	Israel			71	Lithuania		
7	Latvia			71	Mauritius		
7	Poland.			71	Mexico		
7	Slovak Republic			71	Saudi Arabia		
7	South Africa			71	Slovenia		
7	Ukraine			71	Sudan		
7	United Kingdom			71	Sweden.		
7	Zambia			71	Uruquay		
19	Bulgaria			83	Argentina		
19	Cambodia			83	Bahrain		
19	Ghana			83	Chile		
19	Guatemala			83	Ethiopia		
19	India			83	Guyana		
19	Ireland			83	Iran		
19	Jamaica			83	Jordan		
19	Moldova, Rep			83	Kazakhstan		
19	Namibia			83	Kuwait		
19	Nigeria			83	Oman		
19	Romania			83	Sri Lanka.		
19	Rwanda			83	Thailand		
19	Serbia			83	Turkey		
19	Switzerland			83	United Arab Emirates		
19	Tanzania		80.00	97	Algeria		
19	Trinidad and Tobago		80.00	97	Benin		
19	United States of America			97	Brazil	3.00	30.00
19	Viet Nam	8.00	80.00	97	Burkina Faso	3.00	30.00
37	Austria	7.00	70.00	97	Cameroon	3.00	30.00
37	Bangladesh	7.00	70.00	97	Côte d'Ivoire	3.00	30.00
37	Belgium	7.00	70.00	97	Ecuador	3.00	30.00
37	Botswana	7.00	70.00	97	Egypt	3.00	30.00
37	Brunei Darussalam	7.00	70.00	97	Greece	3.00	30.00
37	Estonia	7.00	70.00	97	Indonesia	3.00	30.00
37	Finland	7.00	70.00	97	Italy	3.00	30.00
37	France			97	Lebanon	3.00	30.00
37	Georgia	7.00	70.00	97	Mali	3.00	30.00
37	Germany	7.00	70.00	97	Morocco	3.00	30.00
37	Hungary	7.00	70.00	97	Nicaragua	3.00	30.00
37	Iceland	7.00	70.00	97	Niger	3.00	30.00
37	Japan			97	Paraguay		
37	Korea, Rep			97	Philippines		
37	Luxembourg			97	Portugal		
37	Macedonia			97	Qatar		
37	Malawi			97	Russian Federation		
37	Norway			97	Senegal		
37	Peru			97	Tajikistan.		
37	Uganda			97	Tunisia		
57	Armenia			121	Madagascar		
57	Azerbaijan			121	Venezuela		
57	Canada			121	Yemen		
57	China			124	Bolivia		
57	Croatia			124	Syrian Arab Republic	1.00	10.00
57	Czech Republic			COUR	T. Warld David Face of David David	- d 2011 D 2	: 2011
57	Honduras	6.00	60.00	200K	E: World Bank, Ease of Doing Business I	nuex zun, voing Busi	111ess 2011

Depth of credit information Getting credit: Depth of credit information index $(0-6)^{*a} \mid 2010$

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Argentina	6.00	100.00
1	Austria	6.00	100.00
1	Bolivia	6.00	100.00
1	Bulgaria	6.00	100.00
1	Canada		
1	Egypt		
1	El Salvador.		
1	Georgia		
1	Germany		
1	Guatemala		
1	Honduras		
1	Japan	6.00	100.00
1	Korea, Rep	6.00	100.00
1	Lithuania	6.00	100.00
1	Malaysia	6.00	100.00
1	Mexico	6.00	100.00
1	Panama	6.00	100.00
1	Paraguay		
1	Peru		
1	Saudi Arabia		
1	South Africa		
1	United Kingdom		
1	United States of America		
1	Uruguay		
25	Armenia	5.00	83.33
25	Australia	5.00	83.33
25	Azerbaijan	5.00	83.33
25	Bosnia & Herzegovina	5.00	83.33
25	Brazil		
25	Chile.		
25	Colombia		
25	Costa Rica		
25	Czech Republic		
25	Ecuador		
25	Estonia		
25	Finland		
25	Greece		
25	Hong Kong (SAR), China	5.00	83.33
25	Hungary	5.00	83.33
25	Iceland	5.00	83.33
25	Ireland	5.00	83.33
25	Israel	5.00	83.33
25	ltaly		
25	Kazakhstan		
	Latvia		
25			
25	Lebanon		
25	Morocco		
25	Namibia		
25	Netherlands	5.00	83.33
25	New Zealand	5.00	83.33
25	Nicaragua	5.00	83.33
25	Portugal	5.00	83.33
25	Romania	5.00	83.33
25	Russian Federation		
25	Serbia		
25	Spain		
25	Sri Lanka		
25	Swaziland		
25	Switzerland		
25	Thailand	5.00	83.33
25	Tunisia	5.00	83.33
25	Turkey	5.00	83.33
25	United Arab Emirates	5.00	83.33

			5 (0.400)
Rank	Country/Economy	Value	
25 66	Zambia		
66	Bahrain		
66	Belgium		
66	Botswana		
66	China		
66	Croatia		
66	Denmark	4.00	66.67
66	France	4.00	66.67
66	India	4.00	66.67
66	Indonesia	4.00	66.67
66	Iran	4.00	66.67
66	Kenya		
66	Kuwait		
66	Macedonia		
66	Norway		
66	Pakistan		
66	Poland		
66 66	Rwanda		
	Singapore		
66 66	Slovak Republic		
66	Sweden Trinidad and Tobago		
66	Uganda		
89	Ghana		
89	Kyrgyzstan		
89	Mauritius		
89	Mongolia		
89	Philippines.		
89	Ukraine		
95	Algeria		
95	Bangladesh		
95	Cameroon		
95	Ethiopia		
95	Jordan	2.00	33.33
95	Oman	2.00	33.33
95	Qatar	2.00	33.33
95	Slovenia	2.00	33.33
95	Syrian Arab Republic	2.00	33.33
95	Yemen	2.00	33.33
105	Benin	1.00	16.67
105	Burkina Faso	1.00	16.67
105	Côte d'Ivoire	1.00	16.67
105	Mali		
105	Niger		
105	Senegal		
111	Brunei Darussalam		
111	Cambodia		
111	Cyprus		
111	Guyana		
111	Jamaica		
111	Luxembourg		
111 111	Madagascar		
111	Moldova, Rep.		
111	Nigeria		
111	Sudan		
111	Tajikistan		
111	Tanzania		
111	Venezuela		
111	Zimbabwe		

SOURCE: World Bank, Ease of Doing Business Index 2011, *Doing Business 2011*

Domestic credit to private sectorDomestic credit to private sector (% of GDP) | 2008

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Iceland (2006)			65	Moldova, Rep		
2	Denmark			66	Albania		
3	United Kingdom (2009)			67	Oman		
4	United States of America			68	Bolivia		
5	Switzerland			69	Colombia		
6	Japan			70	Nigeria		
7	Cyprus (2007)			71	Georgia		
8	New Zealand (2009)			72	Turkey		
9	South Africa			73	Kenya		
10	Hong Kong (SAR), China			74	Pakistan (2007)		
11	Canada			75	Tajikistan (2007)		
12	Australia (2009)			76	Sri Lanka		
13	China (2009)			77	Philippines (2007)		
14	Sweden			78	Jamaica		
15	Bahrain			79	Guatemala		
16	Viet Nam (2009)			80	Uruguay		
17	Thailand			81	Trinidad and Tobago		
18	Korea, Rep. (2009)			82	Zimbabwe (2005)		
19	Singapore (2009)			83	Indonesia		
20	Malaysia			84	Ecuador		
21	Estonia			85	Peru (2009)		
22	Chile			86	Senegal (2009)		
23	United Arab Emirates (2009)			87	Swaziland		
24	Latvia			88	Cambodia		
25	Panama			89	Paraguay		
26	Norway (2003)			90	Benin (2009)		
27	Mauritius			91	Venezuela		
28	Israel (2009)			92	Mexico		
29	Morocco (2009)			93	Botswana		
30	Jordan (2009)			94	Ethiopia		
31	Bulgaria			95	Ghana (2006)		
32	Lebanon (2009)			96	Burkina Faso (2009)		
33	Ukraine			97	Mali (2009)		
34 35	Hungary Tunisia (2009)			98 99	Armenia		
					Côte d'Ivoire (2009)		
36 37	Kuwait			100	Azerbaijan		
38	Croatia			101	Syrian Arab Republic		
39	Lithuania			102	Kyrgyzstan (2007)		
40	Bosnia & Herzegovina (2009)			103	Zambia		
41	Guyana			105	Uganda		
42	Brazil			106	Argentina (2009)		
43	Saudi Arabia (2009)			107	Malawi (2009)		
44	Czech Republic			107	Algeria		
45	Honduras			109	Niger (2009)		
46	Costa Rica			110	Rwanda (2005)		
47	Iran (2009)			111	Cameroon (2009)		
48	Poland			112	Madagascar (2009)		
49	Kazakhstan			113	Sudan		
50	India (2009)			114	Yemen (2009)		
51	Greece (2000)			n/a	Austria		
52	Qatar (2007)			n/a	Belgium		
53	Namibia			n/a	Finland		
54	Slovak Republic.			n/a	France		
55	Macedonia			n/a	Germany		
56	Mongolia			n/a	Ireland		
57	Egypt			n/a	Italy		
58	El Salvador.			n/a	Luxembourg		
59	Russian Federation			n/a	Netherlands		
60	Serbia			n/a	Portugal		
61	Bangladesh			n/a	Spain		
62	Romania						
63	Nicaragua	37.66	14.36	SOUR	:: International Monetary Fund; W	orld Bank and OECD GD	P estimates,

World Bank World Development Indicators database (2000–09)

Microfinance institutions' gross loan portfolioMicrofinance institutions: Gross loan portfolio (% of GDP)^a | 2009

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy
1	Mongolia	13.17	100.00	65	Trinidad and
2	Bolivia			66	Indonesia
3	Cambodia			67	Yemen
4	Nicaragua			68	Russian Fede
5	Kyrgyzstan			69	Poland
6	Bosnia & Herzegovina			70	Croatia (200
7	Armenia			71	Zimbabwe (
8	Viet Nam			72	Uruguay
9	Peru			73	Sudan
10	Kenya			74	Argentina
11	Georgia			75	Namibia (20
12	Paraguay			76	Turkey
13	Albania			77	Hungary (20
14 15	Tanzania			78 79	Thailand
	Macedonia				Slovak Repu
16 17	Bangladesh			n/a n/a	Algeria
18	Senegal Ecuador			n/a	Australia
19	Tajikistan.			n/a	Austria Bahrain
20	Azerbaijan			n/a	Belgium
21	Uganda			n/a	Botswana
22	Serbia			n/a	Brunei Daru:
23	Benin			n/a	Canada
24	El Salvador.			n/a	Cyprus
25	Burkina Faso			n/a	Czech Repu
26	Colombia			n/a	Denmark
27	Honduras			n/a	Estonia
28	Ethiopia			n/a	Finland
29	Swaziland			n/a	France
30	Bulgaria			n/a	Germany
31	Cameroon			n/a	Greece
32	Mali			n/a	Guyana
33	Moldova, Rep.			n/a	Hong Kong
34	Chile			n/a	Iceland
35	Malawi			n/a	Iran
36	Morocco			n/a	Ireland
37	Sri Lanka.			n/a	Israel
38	Ghana			n/a	Italy
39	Madagascar			n/a	Jamaica
40	Jordan			n/a	Japan
41	Rwanda			n/a	Korea, Rep
42	China	0.37	4.84	n/a	Kuwait
43	Philippines.	0.37	4.76	n/a	Latvia
44	India	0.34	4.46	n/a	Lithuania
45	Guatemala	0.31	4.04	n/a	Luxembourg
46	Mexico	0.31	4.01	n/a	Mauritius
47	Côte d'Ivoire	0.27	3.50	n/a	Netherlands
48	South Africa	0.26	3.33	n/a	New Zealan
49	Ukraine	0.24	3.08	n/a	Norway
50	Romania	0.21	2.78	n/a	Oman
51	Costa Rica	0.20	2.64	n/a	Portugal
52	Niger	0.20	2.55	n/a	Qatar
53	Pakistan	0.13	1.68	n/a	Saudi Arabia
54	Kazakhstan	0.13	1.64	n/a	Singapore
55	Egypt	0.12	1.50	n/a	Slovenia
56	Tunisia	0.10	1.36	n/a	Spain
57	Malaysia	0.10	1.25	n/a	Sweden
58	Panama	0.07	0.91	n/a	Switzerland
59	Brazil	0.06	0.77	n/a	United Arab
60	Zambia	0.05	0.66	n/a	United Kinge
61	Lebanon	0.04	0.56	n/a	United State
62	Nigeria	0.04	0.48		
63	Syrian Arab Republic	0.03	0.45	SOUR	E: Microfinanc
64	Venezuela	0.03	0.38	В	ank and OECD

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
65	Trinidad and Tobago (2008)	. 0.02	0.22
66	Indonesia	. 0.02	0.22
67	Yemen	. 0.02	0.21
68	Russian Federation	. 0.01	0.18
69	Poland	. 0.01	0.16
70	Croatia (2007)	. 0.01	0.15
71	Zimbabwe (2004)	. 0.01	0.13
72	Uruguay	. 0.01	0.11
73	Sudan	. 0.01	0.09
74	Argentina	. 0.01	0.09
75	Namibia (2008)	.0.00	0.05
76	Turkey	.0.00	0.02
77	Hungary (2005)	.0.00	0.01
78	Thailand	.0.00	0.01
79	Slovak Republic (2001)	.0.00	0.00
n/a	Algeria	n/a	n/a
n/a	Australia	n/a	n/a
n/a	Austria	n/a	n/a
n/a	Bahrain	n/a	n/a
n/a	Belgium	n/a	n/a
n/a	Botswana	n/a	n/a
n/a	Brunei Darussalam	n/a	n/a
n/a	Canada	n/a	n/a
n/a	Cyprus	n/a	n/a
n/a	Czech Republic	n/a	n/a
n/a	Denmark	n/a	n/a
n/a	Estonia	n/a	n/a
n/a	Finland	n/a	n/a
n/a	France	n/a	n/a
n/a	Germany	n/a	n/a
n/a	Greece	n/a	n/a
n/a	Guyana	n/a	n/a
n/a	Hong Kong (SAR), China	n/a	n/a
n/a	Iceland	n/a	n/a
n/a	Iran	n/a	n/a
n/a	Ireland	n/a	n/a
n/a	Israel	n/a	n/a
n/a	Italy	n/a	n/a
n/a	Jamaica	n/a	n/a
n/a	Japan	n/a	n/a
n/a	Korea, Rep	n/a	n/a
n/a	Kuwait	n/a	n/a
n/a	Latvia	n/a	n/a
n/a	Lithuania		
n/a	Luxembourg	n/a	n/a
n/a	Mauritius		
n/a	Netherlands	n/a	n/a
n/a	New Zealand		
n/a	Norway	n/a	n/a
n/a	Oman		
n/a	Portugal		
n/a	Qatar	n/a	n/a
n/a	Saudi Arabia		
n/a	Singapore		
n/a	Slovenia		
n/a	Spain		
n/a	Sweden	n/a	n/a
n/a	Switzerland		
n/a	United Arab Emirates		
n/a	United Kingdom		
n/a	United States of America	n/a	n/a

nce Information Exchange, Mix Market database; World Bank and OECD GDP estimates, World Bank World Development Indicators database (2001-09)

Strength of investor protectionProtecting investors: Strength of investor protection index (0—10)* | 2010

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	New Zealand	9.70	97.00	55	Namibia	5.30	53.00
2	Singapore			55	Serbia		
3	Hong Kong (SAR), China			55	Sri Lanka.		
4	Malaysia			55	Tunisia		
5	Canada			55	Zambia		
5	Colombia	8.30	83.00	70	Armenia	5.00	50.00
5	Ireland	8.30	83.00	70	Bosnia & Herzegovina	5.00	50.00
5	Israel	8.30	83.00	70	China	5.00	50.00
5	United States of America	8.30	83.00	70	Cyprus	5.00	50.00
10	South Africa	8.00	80.00	70	Czech Republic	5.00	50.00
10	United Kingdom			70	Germany	5.00	50.00
12	Kyrgyzstan	7.70	77.00	70	Kenya	5.00	50.00
12	Mauritius	7.70	77.00	70	Lebanon		50.00
12	Thailand	7.70	77.00	70	Lithuania		50.00
15	Albania			70	Nicaragua	5.00	50.00
16	Belgium	7.00	70.00	70	Oman	5.00	50.00
16	Japan	7.00	70.00	70	Qatar		
16	Saudi Arabia			70	Russian Federation		
19	Azerbaijan			70	Spain		
19	Bangladesh			70	Tanzania		
19	Georgia			70	Uruguay		
19	Macedonia			86	Argentina		
19	Norway			86	Moldova, Rep		
19	Peru			86	Netherlands		
19	Slovenia			86	Panama		
19	Trinidad and Tobago			86	Slovak Republic		
27	Chile			86	Syrian Arab Republic		
27	Denmark			86	Ukraine		
27 27	KuwaitMongolia			93 93	Brunei Darussalam		
27	Pakistan			93 93	El Salvador.		
27	Rwanda			93 93	Ethiopia		
27	Sweden.			93	Hungary		
34	Botswana			93	Jordan		
34	Bulgaria.			93	Luxembourg		
34	Ghana			93	Swaziland		
34	India			93	United Arab Emirates		
34	Indonesia	6.00	60.00	93	Zimbabwe		
34	Kazakhstan	6.00	60.00	103	Austria	4.00	40.00
34	Mexico	6.00	60.00	103	Bolivia	4.00	40.00
34	Poland	6.00	60.00	103	Croatia	4.00	40.00
34	Portugal	6.00	60.00	103	Ecuador	4.00	40.00
34	Romania	6.00	60.00	103	Guatemala	4.00	40.00
44	Australia			103	Philippines		
44	Bahrain			103	Uganda		
44	Estonia			103	Yemen		
44	Finland			111	Burkina Faso		
44	Italy			111	Mali		
44	Latvia			113	Benin		
44	Madagascar			113	Côte d'Ivoire		
44	Nigeria			113	Greece		
44	Paraguay			113	Morocco		
44	Tajikistan			113	Niger		
44 55	Turkey			113 119	Sudan		
55 55	Brazil			119	Honduras		
55	Cambodia			119	Iran		
55	Egypt			119	Senegal		
55	France			119	Switzerland		
55	Guyana			124	Viet Nam		
55	Iceland			125	Venezuela		
55	Jamaica						
55	Korea, Rep			SOURC	E: World Bank, Ease of Doing Business Inde	x 2011, Doing Busir	ness 2011

THE GLOBAL INNOVATION INDEX 2011

4.2.2 Market capitalization
Market capitalization of listed companies (% of GDP) | 2009

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Hong Kong (SAR), China (2008)	617.05	100.00
2	South Africa		
3	Luxembourg	201.26	81.61
4	Switzerland (2008)		69.89
5	Singapore	170.53	69.11
6	Jordan		
7	Australia		
8	Qatar (2007)		
9	Malaysia		
10	United Kingdom		
11 12	Chile		
13	Sweden		
14	United States of America		
15	Korea, Rep		
16	China		
17	Bahrain (2008)		
18	Israel.		
19	India		
20	Spain	88.84	35.87
21	Saudi Arabia	86.34	34.86
22	France	74.43	30.01
23	Brazil	74.26	29.94
24	Kuwait (2008)		
25	Zimbabwe (2005)		
26	Russian Federation		
27	Morocco		
28	Netherlands		
29 30	Japan		
31	Denmark		
32	Colombia		
33	Belgium		
34	Mauritius		
35	Peru		
36	New Zealand		
37	Trinidad and Tobago	52.85	21.23
38	Kazakhstan	52.82	21.22
39	Thailand	52.37	21.04
40	Philippines	49.93	20.05
41	Egypt		
42	Portugal		
43	Jamaica		
44	Malawi (2008)		
45	Croatia		
46	Mexico		
47	Germany		
48 49	United Arab Emirates (2008)		
50	Lebanon		
51	Turkey		
52	Kenya		
53	Botswana.		
54	Indonesia		
55	Panama	32.57	12.98
56	Cyprus (2008)	31.93	12.72
57	Poland	31.45	12.53
58	Czech Republic	27.69	11.00
59	Serbia		
60	Côte d'Ivoire		
61	Guyana (2008)		
62	Oman (2008)		
63	Slovenia		
64	Viet Nam	23.08	9.12

Rank	Country/Economy Va	alue	Score (0-100)
65	Tunisia		
66	Hungary		
67	Zambia (2007)		
68	El Salvador		
69	Pakistan 19.		
70	Nigeria		
71	Sri Lanka19.		
72	Iran	.12	7.51
73	Romania	82	7.39
74	Greece16.	58	6.48
75	Bolivia	.10	6.28
76	Ghana	05	6.26
77	Argentina15.		
78	Bulgaria15.		
79	Italy		
80	Ukraine		
81	Austria		
82	Estonia		
83 84	Ireland		
85	Mongolia		
86	Macedonia		
87	Iceland 9.		
88	Namibia		
89	Bangladesh		
90	Ecuador	42	2.75
91	Latvia6.	96	2.56
92	Swaziland (2007)	88	2.53
93	Georgia6.		
94	Tanzania (2008)		
95	Slovak Republic5.		
96	Costa Rica		
97 98	Venezuela (2006)		
99	Armenia		
100	Kyrgyzstan		
101	Uganda (2006)		
102	Uruguay (2007)		
n/a	Albanian	ı/a	n/a
n/a	Algerian	ı/a	n/a
n/a	Azerbaijan	ı/a	n/a
n/a	Beninn		
n/a	Bosnia & Herzegovinan		
n/a	Brunei Darussalamn		
n/a n/a	Burkina Faso		
n/a	Cameroon		
n/a	Ethiopian		
n/a	Guatemalan		
n/a	Honduras		
n/a	Madagascarn		
n/a	Malin		
n/a	Moldova, Rep	ı/a	n/a
n/a	Nicaraguan	n/a	n/a
n/a	Nigern		
n/a	Rwandan		
n/a	Senegaln		
n/a	Sudann		
n/a	Syrian Arab Republicn		
n/a n/a	Tajikistann Yemenn		
11/4	remen	1/ d	d

 $\textbf{SOURCE:} \ \textbf{Standard and Poor's and World Bank and OECD GDP estimates, World}$ Bank World Development Indicators database (2005–09)

4.2.3 Total value of stocks trade Total value of stocks traded (% of GDP) | 2009

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Hong Kong (SAR), China (2008)	755.10	100.00	: 65	Croatia	2.25	1.25
2	United States of America			66	Sri Lanka	2.11	1.17
3	Switzerland (2008)	300.90	100.00	67	Slovenia	2.11	1.17
4	Korea, Rep	189.97	100.00	68	Estonia	1.96	1.09
5	China	179.67	100.00	69	Kenya	1.65	0.92
6	United Kingdom	156.47	87.09	70	Kyrgyzstan		0.82
7	Singapore	138.43	77.05	71	Malawi (2008)	1.40	0.78
8	South Africa			72	Serbia	1.32	0.73
9	Spain	109.52	60.95	73	Romania	1.17	0.65
10	Sweden	96.12	53.50	74	Trinidad and Tobago	1.11	0.62
11	Canada	92.78	51.64	75	El Salvador (2006)	0.90	0.50
12	Saudi Arabia	91.28	50.80	76	Botswana		
13	India	83.11	46.26	77	Argentina	0.88	0.49
14	Kuwait (2008)	82.92	46.15	78	Jamaica		
15	Japan			79	Bulgaria		
16	Australia			80	Lithuania		
17	Netherlands	76.27	42.45	81	Macedonia	0.66	0.37
18	Norway	64.90	36.12	82	Zambia (2007)	0.62	0.35
19	Jordan	59.88	33.33	83	Côte d'Ivoire		
20	Russian Federation			84	Ukraine		
21	United Arab Emirates (2008)			85	Luxembourg	0.52	0.29
22	France			86	Mongolia		
23	Thailand			87	Ghana		
24	Denmark			88	Venezuela (2006)		
25	Israel			89	Moldova, Rep.		
26	Qatar (2007)			90	Namibia		
27	Brazil			91	Panama		
28	Turkey			92	Slovak Republic		
29	Germany			93	Costa Rica		
30	Finland			94	Tanzania (2006)		
31	Malaysia			95	Latvia		
32	Morocco			96	Uruguay (2007)		
33	New Zealand			97	Guyana (2008)		
34	Egypt			98	Uganda (2006)		
35	Belgium			99	Paraguay (2006)		
36	Chile			100	Georgia		
37	Italy.			101	Bolivia (2006)		
38	Indonesia			102	Armenia		
39	Hungary			103	Swaziland (2006)		
40	Portugal			n/a	Albania		
41	Bangladesh			n/a	Algeria		
42	Greece			n/a	Azerbaijan		
43	Pakistan			n/a	Benin		
44	Oman (2008)			n/a	Bosnia & Herzegovina		
45	Bahrain (2008)			n/a	Brunei Darussalam		
46	Poland			n/a	Burkina Faso		
47	Czech Republic			n/a	Cambodia		
48	Philippines.			n/a	Cameroon		
49	Zimbabwe (2005).			n/a	Ethiopia		
50	Cyprus (2008)			n/a	Guatemala		
51	Mexico			n/a	Honduras		
52	Ireland			n/a	Madagascar		
53	Viet Nam			n/a	Mali		
54	Austria.			n/a	Nicaragua		
55	Colombia			n/a	Niger		
56	Iran			n/a	Rwanda		
57	Mauritius			n/a	Senegal		
58	Kazakhstan			n/a	Sudan		
59	Iceland			n/a	Syrian Arab Republic		
60	Tunisia			n/a	Tajikistan		
61	Lebanon.			n/a	Yemen		
62	Nigeria			11/4	.c.nen		I I/ a
63	Peru			SOURC	CE: Standard and Poor's and World Bank	and OECD GDP estim	ates, World
00		∠1/		:			,

OURCE: Standard and Poor's and World Bank and OECD GDP estimates, World Bank World Development Indicators database (2005–09)

4.2.4 Venture capital dealsVenture capital per investment location: number of deals (per trillion GDP, 2005 PPP\$)^a | 2010

Rank	Country/Economy Vo	alue	Score (0-100)
1	Israel 580.		,
2	Canada		
3	United States of America		
3 4	Mongolia		
5	Sweden		
6	Ireland		
7	Estonia		
8	Norway 238.		
9	Zimbabwe		
10	Denmark		
11	United Kingdom		
12	Kenya		
13	France		
14	Finland		
15	Luxembourg		
16	Switzerland		
17	Moldova, Rep		
18	Cyprus		
19	Iceland92.		
20	Singapore91.	58	71.13
21	Malawi90.	83	71.00
22	Netherlands84.	85	69.94
23	Spain	98	68.63
24	New Zealand75.	03	68.04
25	Australia72.	06	67.41
26	Germany71.	94	67.38
27	Jordan	.13	66.08
28	Belgium60.	09	64.60
29	Lebanon59.		
30	China58.	39	64.15
31	Brunei Darussalam54	.19	63.00
32	India54.	07	62.97
33	Hong Kong (SAR), China43.		
34	Bahrain		
35	Tanzania38.		
36	Austria		
37	Latvia34.		
38	Ukraine34		
39	Trinidad and Tobago		
40	Viet Nam		
41	Ghana		
42	Korea, Rep		
43	Czech Republic		
44 45	South Africa		
45	Brazil		
40	Romania		
48	United Arab Emirates		
49	Chile		
50	Philippines		
51	Portugal		
52	Nigeria		
53	Tunisia		
54	Turkey		
55	Malaysia		
56	Peru		
57	Russian Federation		
58	Kuwait8.		
59	Poland. 7.		
60	Japan		
61	Egypt		
62	Italy6.		
63	Mexico	99	30.54
64	Colombia	38	29.11

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
65	Saudi Arabia		
66	Indonesia		
67	Thailand		
68	Argentina		
69	Albania		
69	Algeria		
69	Armenia		
69	Azerbaijan		
69	Bangladesh		
69	Benin		
69	Bolivia		
69	Bosnia & Herzegovina		
69	Botswana		
69	Bulgaria		
69	Burkina Faso		
69	Cambodia		
69	Cameroon		
69	Côta d'Ivaira		
69 69	Côte d'Ivoire		
69	Ecuador		
69	El Salvador.		
69	Ethiopia		
69	Georgia.		
69	Greece.		
69	Guatemala		
69	Guyana		
69	Honduras		
69	Iran		
69	Jamaica		
69	Kazakhstan		
69	Kyrgyzstan.		
69	Lithuania		
69	Macedonia		
69	Madagascar	0.00	0.00
69	Mali	0.00	0.00
69	Mauritius	0.00	0.00
69	Morocco	0.00	0.00
69	Namibia	0.00	0.00
69	Nicaragua	0.00	0.00
69	Niger	0.00	0.00
69	Oman	0.00	0.00
69	Pakistan	0.00	0.00
69	Panama	0.00	0.00
69	Paraguay	0.00	0.00
69	Qatar		
69	Rwanda		
69	Senegal	0.00	0.00
69	Serbia		
69	Slovak Republic		
69	Slovenia		
69	Sri Lanka		
69	Sudan		
69	Swaziland		
69	Syrian Arab Republic		
69	Tajikistan		
69	Uganda		
69	Uruguay		
69	Venezuela		
69	Yemen		
69	Zambia	0.00	0.00

SOURCE: Thomson Reuters, *Thomson One Banker Private Equity* database; World Bank and OECD GDP estimates, World Bank World Development Indicators database

Applied tariff rateApplied tariff rate, weighted mean, all products (%)^b | 2008

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Hong Kong (SAR), China	0.00	100.00	: 65	Saudi Arabia	3.75	81 36
1	Singapore			65	Tajikistan (2006).		
1	Switzerland			67	Costa Rica (2007)		
4	Norway			68	China		
5	Georgia			69	Azerbaijan		
6	Canada			70	Kuwait	4.03	79.97
7	Chile	1.00 .	95.03	71	Bolivia	4.07	79.77
8	Iceland	1.05 .	94.78	72	Trinidad and Tobago	4.16	79.32
9	Croatia	1.09 .	94.58	73	South Africa	4.48	77.73
9	Israel	1.09 .	94.58	74	Thailand (2006)	4.56	77.34
11	Namibia	1.11 .	94.48	75	Bosnia & Herzegovina	4.67	76.79
12	Austria	1.15 .	94.28	76	Lebanon (2007)	4.75	76.39
12	Belgium	1.15 .	94.28	77	Zambia		75.00
12	Bulgaria	1.15 .	94.28	78	Mongolia		
12	Cyprus	1.15 .	94.28	79	Swaziland		
12	Czech Republic			80	Argentina		
12	Denmark			81	Ecuador		
12	Estonia			82	Jordan (2007)		
12	Finland			83	Russian Federation		
12	France			84	Malawi		
12	Germany			85	Serbia (2005)		
12	Greece			86	India		
12	Hungary			87	Brunei Darussalam (2007)		
12	Ireland			88	Kenya		
12	Italy			89	Côte d'Ivoire		
12	Latvia			90	Brazil		
12	Lithuania			91	Guyana		
12	Luxembourg			92	Burkina Faso		
12	Netherlands			93 94	Yemen (2006)		
12	Poland				Panama		
12	Portugal			95 96	Korea, Rep. (2007) Sri Lanka (2006)		
12	Romania			90	Uganda		
12 12	Slovenia			98	Egypt		
12	Spain			99	Madagascar		
12	Sweden			100	Mali		
12	United Kingdom.			101	Senegal		
38	Japan			102	Kyrgyzstan		
39	United States of America			103	Botswana		
40	Turkey			104	Colombia		
41	Mexico			105	Nigeria		
42	New Zealand			106	Jamaica (2006)		
43	Mauritius			107	Pakistan		
44	Albania	2.08 .	89.66	108	Niger	9.16	54.47
45	Peru	2.10 .	89.56	109	Morocco	9.42	53.18
46	Kazakhstan	2.13 .	89.41	110	Algeria	9.66	51.99
47	Armenia	2.25 .	88.82	111	Ghana	9.84	51.09
48	Moldova, Rep	2.44 .	87.87	112	Cambodia (2007)	9.99	50.35
49	Australia	2.47 .	87.72	113	Ethiopia		50.05
50	Guatemala	3.02 .	84.99	114	Tanzania		49.25
51	El Salvador	3.08 .	84.69	115	Viet Nam (2007)		47.47
52	Malaysia (2007)	3.13 .	84.44	116	Bangladesh (2007)		45.43
53	Honduras	3.17 .	84.24	117	Venezuela		43.39
54	Paraguay			118	Sudan		
55	Oman	3.29 .	83.65	119	Rwanda		
56	Macedonia			120	Cameroon (2007)		
57	Indonesia (2007)			121	Benin		
58	Bahrain			121	Syrian Arab Republic (2002)		
59	Nicaragua (2007)			123	Zimbabwe (2003)		
60	Philippines (2007)			124	Tunisia (2006)		
61	Uruguay			125	Iran		0.00
62	United Arab Emirates				- 14 116 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	TO AINIG	TOADE
63	Qatar	3.71 .	81.56	SOURC	IE: World Bank, based on WITS, UNCTAD	TRAINS, and UN COM	iirade,

World Bank World Development Indicators database (2002–08)

4.3.2 Market access trade restrictiveness

Market access overall trade restrictiveness index (%)^{a,b} | 2008

Rank	Country/Economy Value S	core (0–100) R	lai
1	Azerbaijan0.80	100.00	6
2	Venezuela	98.17	6
3	Algeria1.46	:	6
4	Niger 1.74	:	6
5	Sudan 1.78	:	6
6	Nigeria		7
7	Botswana2.41		7
8	Brunei Darussalam2.54	:	7
9 10	Saudi Arabia 2.64 Iran 2.69		7
11	Georgia		7
12	Oman		7
13	Norway		7
14	Bahrain 3.33		7
15	United Arab Emirates	:	7
16	Russian Federation 3.64	:	8
17	South Africa	91.05	8
18	Armenia3.86	90.81	8
19	Israel3.92	90.62	8
20	Switzerland4.03	90.30	8
21	Croatia	89.92	8
22	Mexico4.20	:	1/
23	Lebanon	· ·	1/
24	Namibia	<u> </u>	1/
25	Peru		1/
26	Colombia	:	1/
27	Singapore		1/
28	Kazakhstan		1/
29 30	Ukraine 7.06 Malaysia 7.61		۱/ ۱/
31	Senegal	:	1/
32	India	:	1/
33	Japan	:	1/
34	Macedonia8.09	<u> </u>	1/
35	Turkey		., 1/
36	Hong Kong (SAR), China	:	۱/
37	Moldova, Rep	:	۱/
38	Zambia	77.49 r	۱/
39	Cameroon8.46	76.96 r	۱/
40	Australia8.58	76.62 r	۱/
41	Korea, Rep8.66	76.36 r	۱/
42	China8.90		1/
43	Rwanda	75.35 r	1/
44	Philippines. 9.35		1/
45	Mongolia		1/
46	Trinidad and Tobago9.60		1/
47	Egypt	:	1/
48	Canada		1/
49 50	Benin 10.28 United States of America 10.58	:	۱/ ۱/
51	Indonesia	1	1/
52	Brazil	•	1/
53	Panama	:	., 1/
54	Jordan	:	., 1/
55	Chile	:	۱/
56	Thailand		۱/
57	Tunisia11.66	67.36 r	۱/
58	Paraguay12.91	:	۱/
59	Kyrgyzstan	62.99 r	۱/
60	Costa Rica	61.84 r	۱/
61	Mali14.12	59.95 r	۱/
62	Morocco		_
63	Bangladesh	57.14 SO)(
64	Guatemala15.16	56.84	

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
65	Iceland	. 15.76	55.03
66	Uruguay	. 16.37	53.18
67	Argentina		
68	Côte d'Ivoire		
69	Sri Lanka		
70	Albania		
71	Ethiopia		
72 73	El Salvador		
74	Nicaragua		
75	Burkina Faso		
76	New Zealand		
77	Honduras		
78	Kenya	.23.26	32.47
79	Ghana	.24.68	28.20
80	Madagascar	.25.43	25.95
81	Uganda		
82	Guyana		
83	Bolivia		
84	Swaziland		
85	Mauritius		
n/a	Austria		
n/a	Belgium		
n/a n/a	Bosnia & Herzegovina		
n/a	Cambodia		
n/a	Cyprus		
n/a	Czech Republic		
n/a	Denmark		
n/a	Ecuador		
n/a	Estonia	n/a	n/a
n/a	Finland	n/a	n/a
n/a	France	n/a	n/a
n/a	Germany	n/a	n/a
n/a	Greece.		
n/a	Hungary		
n/a	Ireland		
n/a	Italy		
n/a	Jamaica		
n/a	Kuwait		
n/a n/a	Latvia		
n/a	Luxembourg	,	
n/a	Netherlands		
n/a	Pakistan		
n/a	Poland.		
n/a	Portugal		
n/a	Qatar		
n/a	Romania	n/a	n/a
n/a	Serbia	n/a	n/a
n/a	Slovak Republic	n/a	n/a
n/a	Slovenia	n/a	n/a
n/a	Spain		
n/a	Sweden		
n/a	Syrian Arab Republic		
n/a	Tajikistan		
n/a	Tanzania		
n/a	United Kingdom		
n/a n/a	Viet Nam Yemen		
n/a	Zimbabwe		
1 1/ U		/ a	a

DURCE: World Bank Overall Trade Restrictiveness Indices, World Bank and International Monetary Fund Global Monitoring Report 2010

Imports of goods and services Imports of goods and services (% of GDP) | 2009

Dank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
Rank							
1	Singapore (2008)			65 66	Oman (2008)		
2	Luxembourg			67	Armenia		
3 4	Slovak Republic			68	Algeria		
5	Guyana (2008)			69	Germany		
6	Kyrgyzstan			70	Syrian Arab Republic		
7	Hungary (2008)			71	Portugal		
8	Viet Nam			72	Mali (2007).		
9	Swaziland			73	Finland		
10	Malaysia			74	Uganda		
11	Bahrain (2008)			75	Kazakhstan	33.79 .	17.98
12	Ireland	73.61	49.88	76	Côte d'Ivoire		17.95
13	Moldova, Rep	73.40	49.71	77	Guatemala		17.45
14	Zimbabwe (2005)	72.98	49.38	78	Bolivia		17.27
15	Lithuania (2008)			79	Israel	32.21 .	16.72
16	Belgium			80	Egypt		
17	Macedonia			81	Qatar		
18	Estonia			82	Zambia		
19	Jordan			83	Cameroon		
20	United Arab Emirates (2007)			84	Philippines		
21	Czech Republic			85	Canada		
22	Cambodia			86	Chile		
23	Mongolia Netherlands			87 88	United Kingdom		
24 25	Nicaragua			89	Mexico		
26	Panama.			90	Ethiopia		
27	Honduras			91	Benin		
28	Namibia			92	South Africa		
29	Mauritius			93	Sri Lanka.		
30	Cyprus (2008)			94	Brunei Darussalam (2007)		
31	Bosnia & Herzegovina			95	Tanzania (2006)		12.94
32	Thailand			96	Norway		
33	Slovenia	57.39	36.89	97	Nigeria		12.67
34	Tajikistan	56.34	36.05	98	Rwanda		12.58
35	Bulgaria	55.76	35.58	99	Burkina Faso (2006)		
36	Tunisia	55.34	35.25	100	Bangladesh		
37	Albania			101	New Zealand		
38	Jamaica			102	Kuwait (2008)		
39	Madagascar			103	Spain		
40	Paraguay			104	Uruguay		
41	Georgia			105 106	India France		
42 43	Ecuador			100	Azerbaijan		
43	Lebanon.			107	Niger (2005)		
45	Austria.			109	Turkey		
46	Korea, Rep			110	Italy		
47	Botswana			111	Malawi		
48	Iceland			112	China		
49	Senegal			113	Australia (2008)		
50	Denmark			114	Iran (2007)		
51	Serbia	43.94	26.11	115	Indonesia		
52	Latvia	43.11	25.45	116	Sudan	20.83 .	7.60
53	Saudi Arabia	42.62	25.05	117	Venezuela	20.47 .	7.31
54	Costa Rica			118	Pakistan		
55	Sweden			119	Russian Federation		
56	Yemen (2003)			120	Peru		
57	Ghana			121	Colombia		
58	Switzerland			122	Argentina		
59	Romania			123	United States of America		
60	Morocco			124	Japan		
61	Croatia			125	Brazil		0.00
62	Poland	20 70		SOUR	** World Bank and OECD World Bank Wor	rld Develonment In	dicators

SOURCE: World Bank and OECD, World Bank World Development Indicators database (2001–09)

4.3.4 Exports of goods and services Exports of goods and services (% of GDP) | 2009

Rank	Country/Economy V.	alue	Score (0-100)
1	Singapore (2008)		
2	Hong Kong (SAR), China (2008)	.46	100.00
3	Luxembourg169	.41	100.00
4	Slovak Republic		
5	Bahrain (2008)	.85	97.11
6	Malaysia	.42	96.63
7	Ireland88		
8	United Arab Emirates (2007)		
9	Hungary (2008)		
10	Panama77		
11	Belgium		
12	Estonia		
13	Czech Republic		
14	Netherlands		
15	Trinidad and Tobago (2008)		
16	Thailand		
17	Viet Nam		
18 19	Guyana (2008)		
20	Kuwait (2008)		
21	Lithuania (2008)		
21	Swaziland		
23	Cambodia		
24	Oman (2008)		
25	Slovenia		
26	Zimbabwe (2005)		
27	Mongolia		
28	Iceland		
29	Saudi Arabia		
30	Azerbaijan52	.49	48.07
31	Tunisia51	.99	47.52
32	Switzerland51	.68	47.17
33	Austria50	.53	45.90
34	Korea, Rep49		
35	Kyrgyzstan49	.87	45.18
36	Sweden		
37	Mauritius		
38	Bulgaria47		
39	Denmark		
40	Cyprus (2008)		
41	Qatar		
42	Namibia		
43 44	Paraguay .46 Ukraine .46		
44	Macedonia		
46	Jordan		
47	Costa Rica		
48	Latvia		
49	Honduras		
50	Norway		
51	Kazakhstan		
52	Côte d'Ivoire41	.72	36.16
53	Germany40	.83	35.18
54	Algeria40		
55	Poland38	.88	33.02
56	Chile38	3.14	32.20
57	Yemen (2003)	.00	32.05
58	Finland		
59	Ecuador		
60	Moldova, Rep		
61	Croatia		
62	Nigeria		
63	Bolivia		
64	Nicaragua35	.13	28.87

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
65	Jamaica		
66	Israel.		
67	Syrian Arab Republic		
68	Botswana		
69	Bosnia & Herzegovina		
70	Romania		
71	Iran (2007)		
72	Philippines		
73	Ghana		
74	Zambia	. 29.76	22.94
75	Georgia	. 29.53	22.68
76	Canada	.28.72	21.78
77	Albania	.28.65	21.71
78	Morocco	.28.59	21.64
79	Madagascar	.28.22	21.23
80	New Zealand	.28.20	21.22
81	Portugal	. 27.96	20.95
82	Mexico		
83	Russian Federation		
84	United Kingdom		
85	Serbia		
86	South Africa		
87	China		
88	Cameroon		
89	Uruguay		
90	Mali (2007)		
91 92	Kenya Egypt		
92	Indonesia		
93	Senegal		
95	Italy.		
96	Peru		
97	Spain		
98	Uganda		
99	Guatemala		
100	Turkey	.23.24	15.73
101	France	.23.05	15.52
102	Lebanon	.22.27	14.66
103	El Salvador	.22.26	14.64
104	Tanzania (2006)	. 21.68	14.00
105	Sri Lanka	. 21.36	13.66
106	Argentina		
107	India		
108	Malawi		
109	Australia (2008)		
110	Bangladesh		
111	Greece.		
112	Venezuela		
113 114	Colombia		
115	Niger (2005)		
116	Sudan		
117	Tajikistan.		
118	Pakistan		
119	Japan		
120	Armenia		
121	Burkina Faso (2006)		
122	Brazil		
123	United States of America		
124	Ethiopia		
125	Rwanda		

SOURCE: World Bank and OECD, World Bank World Development Indicators database (2001–09)

4.3.5

Intensity of local competition

Average answer to the question: How would you assess the intensity of competition in the local markets in your country? 1 = limited in most industries; $7 = \text{intense in most industries}^{\dagger}$ | 2010

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Germany	6.10	85.00	65	Peru	4.87	64.45
2	Qatar	6.07	84.44	66	Morocco		
3	Belgium	5.91	81.76	67	Syrian Arab Republic	4.85 .	64.17
4	Sweden		81.06	68	Ghana	4.84	64.07
5	Austria		80.89	69	Jamaica	4.84	64.03
6	Japan		80.78	70	Mali	4.84 .	63.98
7	United Kingdom		80.61	71	Benin	4.79 .	63.22
8	Netherlands		79.53	72	Viet Nam	4.78 .	63.03
9	Australia		77.97	73	Côte d'Ivoire	4.75 .	62.52
10	Czech Republic	5.67	77.80	74	Greece	4.75 .	62.45
11	United Arab Emirates	5.67	77.76	75	Lithuania	4.73 .	62.17
12	Korea, Rep			76	Romania	4.72 .	61.93
13	Turkey			77	Bangladesh	4.67	61.20
14	United States of America			78	Colombia		
15	France			79	Malawi		
16	Cyprus			80	Italy		
17	China			81	Zambia		
18	Canada			82	Pakistan		
19	Lebanon.			83	Namibia		
20	Israel.			84	Botswana		
21	Denmark			85	Egypt		
22	Saudi Arabia			86	Latvia		
23	Chile			87	Algeria		
24	Spain			88	Bulgaria		
25	Norway			89	Mongolia		
26	Singapore			90	Macedonia		
27	India			91	Honduras		
28	Estonia			92	Mexico		
29	Hong Kong (SAR), China			93	Guyana		
30	Slovak Republic			94	Rwanda		
31	Tunisia			95	Moldova, Rep		
32	Poland	5.37	72.87	96	Swaziland	4.32 .	55.39
33	Switzerland	5.37	72.77	97	Madagascar	4.30 .	55.05
34	Thailand	5.32	72.05	98	Tanzania		
35	Malaysia		71.80	99	Uruguay	4.28 .	54.64
36	Hungary	5.28	71.33	100	Cambodia	4.26 .	54.38
37	Bahrain		70.83	101	Argentina	4.25 .	54.22
38	El Salvador	5.25	70.82	102	Kazakhstan	4.22	53.72
39	Sri Lanka	5.21	70.18	103	Ethiopia	4.21 .	53.55
40	Slovenia	5.19	69.80	104	Iran	4.20 .	53.37
41	Luxembourg	5.19	69.78	105	Albania	4.18 .	52.92
42	Portugal	5.17	69.57	106	Croatia	4.16 .	52.69
43	Jordan			107	Russian Federation	4.14 .	52.32
44	Trinidad and Tobago	5.16	69.28	108	Ecuador	4.13 .	52.17
45	Guatemala			109	Paraguay		
46	Senegal			110	Ukraine		
47	Brazil			111	Zimbabwe		
48	Ireland			112	Tajikistan.		
49	Finland			113	Georgia.		
50	Oman			114	Nicaragua		
51	Indonesia			115	Bolivia		
52	Kenya			116	Burkina Faso		
53	Mauritius			117	Kyrgyzstan		
	Cameroon			•	, 5,		
54 55	Panama.			118 119	Serbia Bosnia & Herzegovina		
55							
56	Costa Rica			120	Azerbaijan		
57	Kuwait			121	Armenia		
58	Brunei Darussalam			122	Venezuela		
59	Nigeria			n/a	Niger		
60	South Africa			n/a	Sudan		
61	New Zealand			n/a	Yemen	n/a .	n/a
62	Philippines						
63	Iceland			SOUR	E: World Economic Forum, Executive Opin	nion Survey 2010	
61	Haanda	4 87	64 52	:			

5.1.1

Employment in knowledge-intensive servicesEmployment in knowledge-intensive services (% of workforce) | 2008

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	
1 2	Singapore		
3	Switzerland		
4	Iceland		
5	Denmark		
6	Sweden		
7	Finland		
8	Norway	43.46	84.47
9	Belgium	43.42	84.39
10	New Zealand	42.92	83.36
11	Australia		
12	United Kingdom		
13	Canada		
14	Germany		
15 16	Israel France		
17	Russian Federation		
18	Czech Republic		
19	Latvia.		
20	Lithuania		
21	Italy.		
22	Ireland	38.82	74.93
23	Estonia	38.80	74.88
24	Slovenia	37.98	73.20
25	Japan	37.81	72.85
26	Austria		
27	Hungary		
28	United States of America		
29	United Arab Emirates		
30 31	Hong Kong (SAR), China		
32	Greece.		
33	Poland		
34	Spain		
35	Ukraine		
36	Lebanon (2007)	31.85	60.61
37	Cyprus	31.43	59.75
38	Chile	30.63	58.10
39	Egypt (2007)		
40	Croatia		
41	Serbia		
42	Bulgaria		
43 44	Brunei Darussalam (2003)		
45	Moldova, Rep.		
46	Costa Rica		
47	Malaysia		
48	Macedonia		
49	Portugal	24.37	45.24
50	Oman (2000)	24.25	44.99
51	Qatar (2007)	24.20	44.89
52	Armenia (2001)	24.14	44.77
53	Venezuela		
54	South Africa		
55	Saudi Arabia		
56	Trinidad and Tobago (2005)		
57	Korea, Rep		
58 59	Georgia (2007)		
60	Turkey		
61	Colombia		
62	Uruguay (2007)		
63	Bahrain		
64	Azerbaijan		

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
65	Mongolia		
66	Jamaica		
67	Philippines.		
68	Sri Lanka.		
69	Pakistan		
70	Brazil (2007)		
71	Algeria (2004)		
72	Kuwait (2005)		
73	Peru	. 18.55	33.27
74	Mexico	. 18.44	33.05
75	Kyrgyzstan (2006)		
76	Ecuador (2006)	. 18.08	32.32
77	Argentina (2006)		
78	Panama		
79	Botswana (2006)		
80	Yemen (2005)		
81	Namibia (2004)		
82	Mauritius		
83	Syrian Arab Republic (2007)		
84	Iran		
85	Nicaragua (2006)		
86	Bolivia (2007)		
87	Paraguay		
88	Honduras (2005)		
89	Guyana (2002)		
90 91	El Salvador (2007)		
91	Ethiopia (2006)		
92	Indonesia		
93	Viet Nam (2004)		
95	China (2005)		
96	Bangladesh (2005)		
97	Morocco		
98	Zambia (2000)		
99	Uganda (2003)		
100	Tanzania (2006)		
101	Cambodia (2004)		
102	Madagascar (2005)		
n/a	Albania		
n/a	Benin	n/a	n/a
n/a	Bosnia & Herzegovina	n/a	n/a
n/a	Burkina Faso	n/a	n/a
n/a	Cameroon	n/a	n/a
n/a	Côte d'Ivoire	n/a	n/a
n/a	Ghana	n/a	n/a
n/a	Guatemala	n/a	n/a
n/a	India	n/a	n/a
n/a	Jordan		
n/a	Kenya		
n/a	Luxembourg		
n/a	Malawi		
n/a	Mali		
n/a	Niger		
n/a	Nigeria		
n/a	Rwanda		
n/a	Senegal		
n/a	Sudan		
n/a	Swaziland		
n/a	Tajikistan		
n/a	Tunisia		
n/a	Zimbabwe	n/a	n/a

SOURCE: International Labour Organization, LABORSTA Database of Labor Statistics (2000–08)

Firms offering formal training 5.1.2

64 Nigeria (2007).......25.7326.23

Firms offering formal training (% of firms) | 2009

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	China (2003)	84.78	100.00	65	Mauritius	25.58	26.05
2	Thailand (2006)			66	Cameroon		
3	Ireland (2005)			67	Guyana (2004)		
4	Czech Republic			68	Burkina Faso		
5	Estonia			69	Ukraine (2008)		
6	Bosnia & Herzegovina			70	Morocco (2007)		
7	Ecuador (2006)			71	Mexico (2006)		
8	Mongolia			72	Uruguay (2006)		
9	Poland			73	Jordan (2006)		
10	Peru (2006)			74	Mali (2007)		
11	Bolivia (2006)			75 76	Egypt (2008)		
12	Jamaica (2005) Brazil			76	Tajikistan (2008) Oman (2003)		
13 14	Lebanon			77 78	Greece (2005)		
15	Russian Federation			79	Albania (2007)		
16	Argentina (2006)			80	Côte d'Ivoire		
17	Spain (2005)			81	Macedonia		
18	Swaziland (2006)			82	Algeria (2007)		
19	Malaysia (2007)			83	Senegal (2007)		
20	El Salvador (2006).			84	Bangladesh (2007)		
21	Malawi			85	India (2006)		
22	Cambodia (2007)			86	Hungary		
23	Slovenia			87	Georgia (2008)		
24	Chile (2006)	.46.89	52.67	88	Azerbaijan		
25	Paraguay (2006)			89	Pakistan (2007)		
26	Costa Rica (2005)	.46.36	52.00	90	Indonesia	4.73	0.00
27	Lithuania	45.98	51.53	n/a	Australia	n/a	n/a
28	Namibia (2006)	.44.51	49.69	n/a	Austria	n/a	n/a
29	Panama (2006)	43.91	48.94	n/a	Bahrain	n/a	n/a
30	Viet Nam	43.55	48.49	n/a	Belgium	n/a	n/a
31	Latvia	.43.44	48.36	n/a	Brunei Darussalam	n/a	n/a
32	Venezuela (2006)	42.26	46.88	n/a	Canada		
33	Kazakhstan			n/a	Cyprus		
34	Kenya (2007)			n/a	Denmark		
35	Colombia (2006)			n/a	Finland		
36	Korea, Rep. (2005)			n/a	France		
37	Syrian Arab Republic			n/a	Hong Kong (SAR), China		
38	Ethiopia (2006)			n/a	Iceland		
39	Botswana (2006)			n/a	Iran		
40	South Africa (2007)			n/a	Israel		
41	Serbia			n/a	Italy		
42				n/a	Japan		
43	Germany (2005)			n/a	Kuwait Luxembourg		
44 45	Honduras (2006)			n/a n/a	Netherlands		
46	Moldova, Rep.			n/a	New Zealand		
47	Slovak Republic.			n/a	Norway		
48	Ghana (2007)			n/a	Qatar		
49	Sri Lanka (2004)			n/a	Romania		
50	Benin			n/a	Saudi Arabia		
51	Niger			n/a	Singapore		
52	Portugal (2005)			n/a	Sudan		
53	Philippines			n/a	Sweden		
54	Bulgaria			n/a	Switzerland		
55	Armenia			n/a	Trinidad and Tobago		
56	Kyrgyzstan	29.67	31.16	n/a	Tunisia		
57	Nicaragua (2006)	.28.86	30.14	n/a	United Arab Emirates	n/a	n/a
58	Turkey (2008)	28.75	30.01	n/a	United Kingdom		
59	Guatemala (2006)			n/a	United States of America		
60	Croatia (2007)			n/a	Yemen		
61	Rwanda (2006)			n/a	Zimbabwe	n/a	n/a
62	Madagascar					16 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
63	Zambia (2007)			1	E: World Bank Enterprise Surveys, World	d Bank <i>World Develo</i>	pment
64	Nigeria (2007)	25.73	26.23	: In	dicators database (2003–09)		

Indicators database (2003–09)

5.1.3

GERD performed by business enterpriseGross expenditure on R&D (GERD) performed by business enterprise (% of total)^a | 2008

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Malaysia (2006)	84 91	100.00
2	Luxembourg		
3	Israel		
4	Japan (2007)		
5	Korea, Rep. (2007)		
6	Sweden		
7	Switzerland (2004).		
8	United States of America		
9	Finland	.72.31	85.17
10	China (2007)	.72.28	85.13
11	Austria (2007)	.70.56	83.10
12	Denmark	. 70.13	
13	Germany (2007)	.69.99	82.43
14	Belgium	.68.87	
15	Singapore (2007)	.66.81	78.68
16	Ireland	.64.87	76.40
17	Slovenia	.64.56	76.03
18	United Kingdom	.64.23	75.65
19	France		
20	Russian Federation		
21	Czech Republic		
22	Philippines (2005)		
23	Australia (2006)		
24	South Africa (2007)		
25	Ukraine (2007)		
26	Netherlands		
27	Spain		
28	Iceland		
29	Canada (2009)		
30 31	Norway		
32	Hong Kong (SAR), China (2006)		
33	Hungary (2007)		
34	Portugal		
35	Mexico (2007)		
36	Chile (2004).		
37	Croatia.		
38	Estonia		
39	Slovak Republic.		
40	New Zealand (2007)		
41	Turkey (2007)		
42	Thailand (2006)		
43	Brazil (2004)	.40.20	47.35
44	Sudan (2005)	. 33.71	39.70
45	Costa Rica (2007)	.32.99	38.85
46	Bulgaria	. 31.03	36.54
47	Poland	. 30.93	36.43
48	Argentina (2007)	.30.35	35.74
49	Romania	. 29.96	35.28
50	India (2007)	. 29.63	34.89
51	Peru (2004)		
52	Kyrgyzstan (2007)	.28.38	33.43
53	Greece (2007)		
54	Trinidad and Tobago (2006)		
55	Latvia		
56	Bolivia (2002)		
57	Lithuania		
58	Cyprus		
59	Colombia (2007)		
60	Morocco (2006)		
61	Ecuador (2007)		
62	Azerbaijan (2007)		
63	Sri Lanka (2006)		
64	Uruguay	. 10.13	

			5 (0.400)
Rank	Country/Economy	Value	,
65 66	Botswana (2005)		
67	Viet Nam (2002)		
68	Tunisia (2005)		
69	Iran (2006)		
70	Zambia (2005)		
71	Kazakhstan (2009)	. 13.55	15.95
72	Macedonia (2006)	. 12.27	14.45
73	Cambodia (2002)	. 12.08	14.23
74	Uganda (2007)		
75	Indonesia (2005)		
76	Mongolia (2007)		
77	Serbia (2007)		
78	Brunei Darussalam (2003)		
79	Guatemala (2007)		
80 n/a	Panama (2005)		
n/a	Algeria		
n/a	Armenia		
n/a	Bahrain		
n/a	Bangladesh		
n/a	Benin		
n/a	Bosnia & Herzegovina	n/a	n/a
n/a	Burkina Faso	n/a	n/a
n/a	Cameroon	n/a	n/a
n/a	Côte d'Ivoire	n/a	n/a
n/a	Egypt	n/a	n/a
n/a	El Salvador.	n/a	n/a
n/a	Ethiopia		
n/a	Georgia		
n/a	Ghana		
n/a	Guyana		
n/a	Honduras		
n/a n/a	Jamaica Jordan		
n/a	Kenya		
n/a	Kuwait		
n/a	Lebanon		
n/a	Madagascar		
n/a	Malawi		
n/a	Mali	n/a	n/a
n/a	Mauritius	n/a	n/a
n/a	Namibia	n/a	n/a
n/a	Nicaragua	n/a	n/a
n/a	Niger	n/a	n/a
n/a	Nigeria	n/a	n/a
n/a	Oman		
n/a	Pakistan		
n/a	Paraguay		
n/a	Qatar		
n/a n/a	Rwanda		
n/a	Senegal		
n/a	Swaziland.		
n/a	Syrian Arab Republic.		
n/a	Tajikistan		
n/a	Tanzania		
n/a	United Arab Emirates		
n/a	Venezuela		
n/a	Yemen	n/a	n/a
n/a	Zimbabwe	n/a	n/a

SOURCE: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, *UIS online database* (2002–09)

GERD financed by business enterpriseGross expenditure on R&D (GERD) financed by business enterprise (% of total)^a | 2007

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Malaysia (2006)			: 65	Kazakhstan (2009)		15.68
2	Japan			66	Macedonia (2002)		
3	Israel (2006).			67	Uganda		
4	Luxembourg			68	Mongolia		
5	Korea, Rep			69	Kuwait		
6	China			70	Tajikistan (2005)		
7	Switzerland (2004)			70	El Salvador.		
8	Finland			72	Brunei Darussalam (2004)		
9	Germany			72	Panama (2005)		
10	United States of America (2008)			74	Paraguay (2005)		
11	Sweden.			n/a	Albania		
12	Slovenia (2008)			n/a	Algeria		
13	Philippines (2005)			n/a	Armenia		
14	Belgium			n/a	Bahrain		
15	Denmark (2008)			n/a	Bangladesh		
16	Singapore			n/a	Benin		
17	Australia (2006)			n/a	Bosnia & Herzegovina		
18	Hong Kong (SAR), China (2006)			n/a	Botswana		
19	Czech Republic (2008)			n/a	Burkina Faso		
20	Netherlands (2003)			n/a	Cambodia		
21	France (2008)			n/a	Cameroon		
22	Iceland (2008)			n/a	Costa Rica		
23	Ireland			n/a	Côte d'Ivoire		
24	Thailand (2005)			n/a	Egypt		
25	Turkey			n/a	Ethiopia		
26	Canada (2009)			n/a	Georgia		
27	United Kingdom (2008).			n/a	Ghana		
28	Portugal			n/a	Guatemala.		
29	Chile (2004)			n/a	Guyana		
30	Spain			n/a	Honduras		
31	Norway			n/a	Jamaica		
32	Mexico			n/a	Jordan		
33	Austria (2009)			n/a	Kenya		
34	South Africa (2006)			n/a	Lebanon		
35	Brazil			n/a	Madagascar		
36	Hungary			n/a	Malawi		
37	Italy			n/a	Mali		
38	Croatia (2008)			n/a	Mauritius	n/a	n/a
39	New Zealand			n/a	Moldova, Rep	n/a	n/a
40	Kyrgyzstan (2005)	36.38 .	42.72	n/a	Namibia	n/a	n/a
41	Slovak Republic (2008)			n/a	Nicaragua	n/a	n/a
42	Bulgaria			n/a	Niger		
43	Estonia (2008)	33.64 .	39.48	n/a	Nigeria	n/a	n/a
44	Greece (2005)	31.06 .	36.43	n/a	Oman	n/a	n/a
45	Poland (2008)	30.46 .	35.71	n/a	Pakistan	n/a	n/a
46	Ukraine	30.22 .	35.43	n/a	Peru	n/a	n/a
47	India	29.63 .	34.73	n/a	Qatar	n/a	n/a
48	Argentina	29.28 .	34.31	n/a	Rwanda	n/a	n/a
49	Russian Federation (2008)	28.69 .	33.62	n/a	Saudi Arabia	n/a	n/a
50	Colombia	27.19 .	31.84	n/a	Senegal	n/a	n/a
51	Latvia (2008)	27.04 .	31.66	n/a	Serbia	n/a	n/a
52	Uruguay (2008)	24.65 .	28.83	n/a	Sudan	n/a	n/a
53	Romania (2008)			n/a	Swaziland	n/a	n/a
54	Morocco (2006)	22.70 .	26.52	n/a	Syrian Arab Republic		
55	Ecuador			n/a	Tanzania		
56	Lithuania (2008)			n/a	Trinidad and Tobago	n/a	n/a
57	Azerbaijan	20.77 .	24.23	n/a	United Arab Emirates	n/a	n/a
58	Sri Lanka (2006)	19.05 .	22.19	n/a	Venezuela		
59	Viet Nam (2002)			n/a	Yemen		
60	Cyprus			n/a	Zambia		
61	Bolivia (2002)			n/a	Zimbabwe	n/a	n/a
62	Indonesia (2001)					, ,	
63	Iran (2006)	14.21 .	16.47	SOURG	E: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, <i>UIS online</i>	database (2001–0	9)

5.2.1

University/industry collaboration on R&D

Average answer to the survey question: To what extent do business and universities collaborate on research and development (R&D) in your country? 1 = do not collaborate at all; 7 = collaborate extensively | 1 = do

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	United States of America			65	Ukraine	3.47	41.14
2	Switzerland			66	Latvia		
3	Finland			67	Macedonia		
4	United Kingdom			68	Croatia		
5	Sweden			69	Venezuela		
6	Singapore			70	Tanzania		
7	Canada			71	Uganda		
8	Denmark			72	Malawi		
9	Germany			73 74	Namibia		
10	Belgium Netherlands				Pakistan		
11 12	Australia			75 76	Turkey		
13	Israel.			70	Panama		
14	Luxembourg			77	Philippines		
15	Iceland			79	Mongolia		
16	Ireland.			80	Slovak Republic		
17	Austria.			81	Bahrain		
18	Japan			82	Mali		
19	Norway			83	Honduras	3.21	36.88
20	New Zealand	4.78	63.03	84	Azerbaijan	3.20	36.66
21	Malaysia	4.70	61.69	85	Mauritius	3.19	36.46
22	Korea, Rep	4.68	61.35	86	Peru	3.18	36.38
23	South Africa			87	Kuwait	3.18	36.35
24	China			88	Iran	3.18	36.29
25	Hong Kong (SAR), China			89	Ghana		
26	Qatar			90	Jordan		
27	Costa Rica			91	Madagascar		
28	Czech Republic			92	Ethiopia		
29	Portugal			93	Nigeria		
30	Hungary			94	Romania		
31 32	Saudi Arabia Brazil			95 96	MoroccoZimbabwe		
33	Lithuania			90	Benin		
34	Estonia			98	Tajikistan		
35	Slovenia			99	Lebanon		
36	Indonesia.			100	Bulgaria		
37	Chile			101	Kazakhstan		
38	Tunisia	4.08	51.30	102	Greece	3.03	
39	Thailand			103	Cameroon	3.00	33.34
40	United Arab Emirates	4.05	50.87	104	El Salvador	2.99	33.20
41	France	4.04	50.59	105	Cambodia		
42	Cyprus			106	Bosnia & Herzegovina		
43	Spain			107	Nicaragua		
44	Colombia	3.97	49.53	108	Algeria	2.88	31.31
45	Sri Lanka			109	Egypt		
46	Oman			110	Guyana		
47	Senegal			111	Ecuador		
48	Argentina			112	Swaziland		
49	Guatemala Kenya			113	Armenia		
50 1	,			114	Moldova, Rep		
51 52	Uruguay			115 116	Bangladesh		
53	Mexico			117	Paraguay		
54	Brunei Darussalam.			117	Côte d'Ivoire		
55	Russian Federation			119	Georgia		
56	Viet Nam			120	Syrian Arab Republic		
57	Poland.			121	Albania		
58	Rwanda			122	Kyrgyzstan		
59	Jamaica			n/a	Niger		
60	Zambia	3.55		n/a	Sudan		
61	Trinidad and Tobago	3.50	41.71	n/a	Yemen	n/a	n/a
62	Botswana						
63	Italy			SOURC	E: World Economic Forum, <i>Execu</i>	ıtive Opinion Survey 2010	
61	Sorbia	2 /7	/1 16	:			

THE GLOBAL INNOVATION INDEX 2011

State of cluster development

Mean of the average responses to three survey questions: (1) In your country's economy, how prevalent are well-developed and deep clusters? 1 = nonexistent; 7 = widespread in many fields. (2) In your country, how extensive is collaboration among firms, suppliers, partners, and associated institutions within clusters? 1 = collaboration is nonexistent; 7 = collaboration is extensive. (3) In your country, what is the state of formal policies supporting cluster development? 1 = nonexistent; 7 = extensive and covers many clusters and regions. | 2010

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value Score (0—100)
1	Singapore			65 66	Turkey	
2	Finland				Kazakhstan	
3	Japan Sweden			67 68	Kuwait	
5	Luxembourg.			69	Uruquay	
6	Italy			70	Slovak Republic	
7	China			71	Azerbaijan	
8	Germany			72	Honduras	
9	Hong Kong (SAR), China			73	Estonia	
10	United States of America			74	Argentina	
11	Switzerland			75	Peru	
12	Viet Nam			76	Namibia	
13	Malaysia	4.73	62.11	77	El Salvador	
14	Netherlands	4.68	61.35	78	Bosnia & Herzegovina	3.22 37.03
15	Canada	4.60	59.93	79	Iran	3.1836.40
16	United Kingdom	4.58	59.61	80	Hungary	3.1736.23
17	Denmark	4.58	59.59	81	Jamaica	36.22
18	Austria	4.57	59.45	82	Russian Federation	3.15 35.76
19	Qatar	4.51	58.51	83	Trinidad and Tobago	35.64
20	Indonesia	4.50	58.25	84	Botswana	
21	Norway			85	Nicaragua	
22	Saudi Arabia			86	Croatia	
23	Bahrain			87	Latvia	
24	United Arab Emirates			88	Greece	
25	Korea, Rep			89	Bulgaria	
26	France			90	Guyana	
27	Thailand			91	Ghana	
28	Oman			92	Senegal	
29	Belgium			93 94	Macedonia	
30 31	Brazil			94	Lebanon.	
32	Sri Lanka			95	Ethiopia	
33	Ireland.			97	Georgia	
34	Cyprus.			98	Poland	
35	Panama			99	Romania	
36	Australia			100	Lithuania	
37	Colombia			101	Bolivia	
38	Mauritius			102	Armenia	
39	Czech Republic	3.88	47.94	103	Albania	30.29
40	Spain	3.88	47.92	104	Syrian Arab Republic	
41	South Africa	3.87	47.85	105	Ukraine	30.22
42	Slovenia	3.85	47.43	106	Ecuador	2.7929.82
43	Cambodia	3.84	47.35	107	Serbia	2.7829.65
44	Chile	3.84	47.34	108	Uganda	2.7729.46
45	Kenya			109	Swaziland	
46	Pakistan			110	Paraguay	
47	Costa Rica			111	Madagascar	
48	Iceland			112	Benin	
49	Guatemala			113	Tajikistan	
50	Nigeria			114	Zimbabwe	
51	Malawi			115	Algeria	
52	New Zealand			116	Kyrgyzstan	
53	Rwanda			117	Cameroon	
54 55	Mexico			118	Moldova, Rep	
55 56	Tunisia			119 120	Côte d'Ivoire	
50 57	Philippines			120	Burkina Faso	
58	Egypt			121	Venezuela	
59	Brunei Darussalam			n/a	Niger	
60	Bangladesh			n/a	Sudan	
61	Morocco.			n/a	Yemen	
62	Zambia					
62	Israel	3 10		SOUR	F: World Economic Forum Executive Opinion	2 Survey 2010

SOURCE: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey 2010

GERD financed by abroadGross expenditure on R&D (GERD) financed by abroad (% of total)^a | 2007

	Country/Economy				
1	Panama (2005)			65	China
2	Uganda			66	Pakist
3	Guatemala			67	Kuwa
4	Cambodia (2002)			68	Arger
5	Ethiopia			69	Turke
6 7	Burkina Faso			70 71	Japar Korea
8	Greece (2005).			71	Tajikis
9	United Kingdom (2008)			73	Malay
10	Ukraine			74	Azerb
11	Ireland			75	Brune
12	Estonia (2008)			75	Kazak
13	Lithuania (2008)	15.50	54.51	75	Kyrgy
14	Austria (2009)	14.80	52.02	75	Unite
15	Cyprus	14.55	51.14	n/a	Albar
16	Paraguay (2005)	14.17	49.80	n/a	Alger
17	Bolivia (2002)	14.00	49.22	n/a	Bahra
18	Belgium			n/a	Bang
19	Slovak Republic (2008)			n/a	Benir
20	Armenia			n/a	Bosni
21	Netherlands (2003)			n/a	Botsv
22	Hungary			n/a	Brazil
23	South Africa (2006)			n/a	Came
24	Tunisia (2005)			n/a	Costa
25	Iceland (2008)			n/a	Côte
26 27	Denmark (2008)			n/a n/a	Egyp: Georg
27 28	Italy			n/a	Ghan
9	Sweden			n/a	Guya
30	Chile (2004)			n/a	Hono
31	Macedonia (2002)			n/a	India
32	Madagascar			n/a	Indor
3	Norway			n/a	Iran .
4	France (2008)			n/a	Jama
5	Croatia (2008)			n/a	Jorda
36	Bulgaria	7.60	26.74	n/a	Kenya
37	El Salvador	7.38	25.96	n/a	Lebar
38	Spain	7.01	24.65	n/a	Malav
39	Ecuador	6.98	24.55	n/a	Mali.
40	Finland	6.52	22.91	n/a	Maur
41	Viet Nam (2002)			n/a	Nami
42	Russian Federation (2008)			n/a	Nicar
43	Luxembourg			n/a	
14	Slovenia (2008)			n/a	Niger
45	Portugal			n/a	Omai
46	Poland (2008)			n/a	Peru
47	Czech Republic (2008)			n/a	Qatar
48	Switzerland (2004)			n/a	Rwan
49 En	Sri Lanka (2006)			n/a	Saudi Sene
50 51	New Zealand			n/a 75	Serbi
52	Singapore			n/a	Suda
53	Colombia			n/a	Swaz
54	Germany			n/a	Syriar
55	Romania (2008)			n/a	Tanza
56	Hong Kong (SAR), China (2006)			n/a	Trinid
57	Israel (2006)			n/a	Unite
58	Moldova, Rep.			n/a	Vene
59	Morocco (2006)			n/a	Yeme
60	Australia (2006)			n/a	Zamb
61	Uruguay (2008)			n/a	Zimb
62	Thailand (2005)				
63	Mongolia	1.63	5.71	SOUR	CE: UNE:
64	Mexico	1 3 8	181		

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
65	China	1.35	4.74
66	Pakistan	0.95	3.34
67	Kuwait (2004)	0.78	2.73
68	Argentina		
69	Turkey		
70 71	Japan Korea, Rep		
72	Tajikistan (2001).		
73	Malaysia (2006)		
74	Azerbaijan		
75	Brunei Darussalam (2004)	0.00	0.00
75	Kazakhstan (2009)		
75	Kyrgyzstan (2005)		
75	United States of America (2008)		
n/a	Albania		
n/a n/a	Bahrain		
n/a	Bangladesh		
n/a	Benin		
n/a	Bosnia & Herzegovina		
n/a	Botswana	n/a	n/a
n/a	Brazil		
n/a	Cameroon		
n/a	Costa Rica		
n/a n/a	Côte d'Ivoire Egypt		
n/a	Georgia		
n/a	Ghana		
n/a	Guyana		
n/a	Honduras	n/a	n/a
n/a	India		
n/a	Indonesia		
n/a	Iran		
n/a n/a	Jamaica Jordan		
n/a	Kenya.		
n/a	Lebanon		
n/a	Malawi		
n/a	Mali	n/a	n/a
n/a	Mauritius	n/a	n/a
n/a	Namibia		
n/a	Nicaragua		
n/a n/a	Niger		
n/a	Oman		
n/a	Peru		
n/a	Qatar		
n/a	Rwanda	n/a	n/a
n/a	Saudi Arabia		
n/a	Senegal		
75	Serbia		
n/a	Sudan		
n/a n/a	SwazilandSyrian Arab Republic		
n/a	Tanzania		
n/a	Trinidad and Tobago.		
n/a	United Arab Emirates		
n/a	Venezuela		
n/a	Yemen		
n/a	Zambia		
n/a	Zimbabwe	n/a	n/a

ESCO Institute for Statistics, *UIS online database* (2001–09)

Joint ventures / strategic alliances dealsJoint ventures / strategic alliances: number of deals, fractional counting (per trillion GDP, 2005 PPP\$)^a | 2010

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Australia	119 78	100.00	: 65	Turkey	4 17	4.87
2	Canada			66	Egypt		
3	Singapore			67	Austria		
4	Hong Kong (SAR), China			68	Mexico		
5	Mongolia			69	Nigeria		
	Luxembourg			70	Colombia		
6 7				70	Pakistan		
	Cambodia						
8	Malaysia			72	Iran		
9	Finland			73	Albania		
10	Philippines			73	Algeria		
11	Denmark			73	Armenia		
12	New Zealand			73	Benin		
13	Bahrain			73	Bolivia		
14	Iceland			73	Bosnia & Herzegovina		
15	Israel			73	Botswana	0.00	0.00
16	United Arab Emirates	38.71	45.13	73	Brunei Darussalam	0.00	0.00
17	Oman	38.47	44.85	73	Cameroon	0.00	0.00
18	Mali	35.70	41.63	73	Costa Rica	0.00	0.00
19	Jordan	33.06	38.55	73	Côte d'Ivoire	0.00	0.00
20	Norway	31.87		73	Croatia	0.00	0.00
21	Qatar	31.35	36.56	73	Cyprus	0.00	0.00
22	Zambia	29.76	34.70	73	Ecuador	0.00	0.00
23	Switzerland	29.75	34.69	73	El Salvador	0.00	0.00
24	Slovenia			73	Ethiopia		
25	Sweden			73	Georgia		
26	Serbia			73	Guatemala		
27	United Kingdom			73	Guyana		
28	United States of America			73	Honduras		
29	Estonia			73	Hungary		
30	Ireland			73	Jamaica		
31	Burkina Faso			73			
	India				Kenya		
32				73	Kyrgyzstan		
33	Chile			73	Latvia		
34	Russian Federation			73	Lebanon		
35	Japan			73	Lithuania		
36	Ghana			73	Macedonia		
37	China			73	Madagascar		
38	Korea, Rep			73	Malawi		
39	France			73	Mauritius		
40	Viet Nam			73	Moldova, Rep		
41	Thailand			73	Morocco		
42	Netherlands			73	Namibia		
43	Uruguay	12.48	14.55	73	Nicaragua	0.00	0.00
44	Indonesia	11.98	13.96	73	Niger	0.00	0.00
45	Germany	11.86	13.83	73	Panama	0.00	0.00
46	Venezuela	11.54	13.46	73	Paraguay	0.00	0.00
47	Spain	10.59	12.34	73	Peru	0.00	0.00
48	Bangladesh	9.59	11.18	73	Romania	0.00	0.00
49	Tanzania		11.09	73	Rwanda	0.00	0.00
50	Italy	9.06	10.56	73	Senegal	0.00	0.00
51	Kazakhstan			73	Slovak Republic		
52	South Africa			73	Sri Lanka		
53	Saudi Arabia			73	Sudan		
54	Brazil			73	Swaziland.		
55	Poland			73	Syrian Arab Republic		
56	Kuwait			73	Tajikistan		
57	Portugal			73	Trinidad and Tobago		
58	Bulgaria			73	Tunisia		
58 59	Ukraine			73	Uganda		
				:	9		
60	Greece			73	Yemen		
61	Belgium			73	Zimbabwe	0.00	0.00
62	Argentina			COUR	Thomson Bouters Thereses Over S	ankar Drivata Facility CC	OC Diatio
63	Azerbaijan	4.34	5.06	SOUR	CE: Thomson Reuters, <i>Thomson One B</i>	ликет Private Equity, SL	ic riatinum

database; World Bank and OECD GDP estimates, World Bank World Development Indicators database

5.2.5

PCT published patents with at least one foreign inventor

Percentage of published patents with at least one foreign inventor at the Patent of Cooperation Treaty (PCT), Contracting Parties only (% of total) | 2010

_			1				
Rank	Country/Economy V	alue	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Kyrgyzstan100			65	Italy		
1	Namibia	.00 .	100.00	66	Korea, Rep	8.93	8.93
1	Niger	.00 .	100.00	67	Brazil	8.48	8.48
1	Oman			68	China		
5	Ecuador94			69	Philippines		
6	Luxembourg			70	Morocco		
7	United Arab Emirates			70	Serbia		
8	Costa Rica			72	Turkey		
9	Cyprus			73	Albania		
10	Switzerland			73	Armenia		
11 12	Singapore			73 73	Bahrain		
13	lceland			73	Botswana		
14	Ireland			73	Burkina Faso		
15	Netherlands			73	Cameroon		
16	Belgium			73	Côte d'Ivoire		
17	Thailand51			73	El Salvador		
18	Azerbaijan50	.00 .	50.00	73	Ghana	0.00	0.00
18	Peru50	.00 .	50.00	73	Guatemala	0.00	0.00
18	Trinidad and Tobago50	.00 .	50.00	73	Honduras	0.00	0.00
21	Finland	.97 .	44.97	73	Lithuania	0.00	0.00
22	United States of America44			73	Macedonia		
23	Sweden			73	Madagascar		
24	Bosnia & Herzegovina41			73	Malawi		
25	Canada			73	Mali		
26	Denmark			73	Moldova, Rep		
27	Estonia			73	Mongolia		
28	Tunisia			73 72	Nicaragua		
29 30	Austria			73 73	Nigeria Senegal		
31	New Zealand			73	Sudan		
32	Slovak Republic			73	Swaziland		
32	Sri Lanka			73	Syrian Arab Republic		
34	Norway			73	Tajikistan		
35	Malaysia			73	Tanzania		
36	Australia	.78 .	25.78	73	Uganda	0.00	0.00
37	France	.74 .	25.74	73	Zambia	0.00	0.00
38	Georgia25	.00 .	25.00	73	Zimbabwe		
38	Indonesia25			n/a	Argentina		
38	Viet Nam25			n/a	Bangladesh		
41	Germany			n/a	Bolivia		
42	Ukraine			n/a	Brunei Darussalam		
43	Japan			n/a	Cambodia		
44 45	Portugal			n/a	Ethiopia		
46	Spain 18 Greece 16			n/a n/a	Guyana		
47	Chile			n/a	Iran		
48	Czech Republic			n/a	Jamaica		
49	Kazakhstan			n/a	Jordan		
50	Hungary15			n/a	Kuwait		
51	Egypt			n/a	Lebanon		
52	Colombia14	.29 .	14.29	n/a	Mauritius	n/a	n/a
52	Latvia14	.29 .	14.29	n/a	Pakistan	n/a	n/a
54	Slovenia13			n/a	Panama		
55	South Africa13	.85 .	13.85	n/a	Paraguay		
56	India13			n/a	Qatar		
56	Romania13			n/a	Rwanda		
58	Poland			n/a	Saudi Arabia		
59	Bulgaria12			n/a	Uruguay		
60	Mexico			n/a	Venezuela		
61 62	Croatia			n/a	Yemen	n/a	n/a
63	Israel9			SOUR	:E: World Intellectual Property Organiza	ation WIPO Statistics	: Datahase
64		1.90 . 100	9.90	55011		0 3(4(13))(03	

Royalty and license fees' paymentsRoyalty and license fees, payments (% of GDP) | 2009 5.3.1

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Ireland	15 35	100.00	: 65	Turkey	0.11	11 74
2	Singapore			66	Panama		
3	Swaziland.			67	Botswana		
4	Guyana (2008)			68	Latvia		
5	Hungary			69	Côte d'Ivoire (2008)		
6	Luxembourg.			70	Italy.		
7	Thailand			70	Cambodia		
8	Korea, Rep			71	Ecuador		
9	Hong Kong (SAR), China (2008)			72	Georgia		
10	Slovenia			73	Lithuania		
	Malaysia			75	Kenya		
11	•			75 76	,		
12	South Africa			70 77	Brunei Darussalam		
13	Canada				Cameroon (2008)		
14	Ukraine			78 79			
15	Finland				Namibia		
16	Netherlands			80	Mauritius		
17	Israel			81	Kazakhstan		
18	Belgium			82	Pakistan		
19	Sweden			83	Syrian Arab Republic (2008)		
20	Argentina			84	Morocco		
21	Germany			85	Uruguay		
22	United Kingdom			86	Mexico (2006)		
23	New Zealand			87	Azerbaijan		
24	Czech Republic			88	Benin (2008)		
25	Jamaica			89	Bosnia & Herzegovina		
26	Poland			90	Tunisia		
27	Croatia			91	Iceland (2008)		
28	Austria			92	Uganda		
29	Serbia			93	Rwanda		
30	Russian Federation			94	Mongolia		
31	Japan			95	Mali (2008)		
32	Australia (2008)			96	Bangladesh		
33	Indonesia			97	Paraguay		
34	Chile			98	Ethiopia		
35	Kyrgyzstan			99	Malawi (2002)		
36	Philippines.			100	Niger (2008)		
37	Guatemala			101	Zambia		
38	Bulgaria			102	Lebanon		
39	Estonia			103	Burkina Faso (2008)		
40	Spain			104	Tajikistan		
41	China			105	Tanzania (2008)		
42	Costa Rica			106	Sudan (2008)		
43	Macedonia			107	Yemen		
44	Portugal			n/a	Algeria		
45	Romania			n/a	Armenia		
46	France			n/a	Bahrain		
47	Greece			n/a	Denmark		
48	Moldova, Rep			n/a	Ghana		
49	Madagascar (2005)			n/a	Iran		
50	United States of America			n/a	Jordan		
51	Slovak Republic			n/a	Kuwait		
52	Cyprus			n/a	Nicaragua		
53	Brazil			n/a	Oman		
54	Egypt			n/a	Qatar		
55	Norway			n/a	Saudi Arabia		
56	India			n/a	Sri Lanka		
57	Honduras			n/a	Switzerland		
58	El Salvador			n/a	Trinidad and Tobago		
59	Nigeria			n/a	United Arab Emirates		
60	Albania			n/a	Viet Nam		
61	Peru			n/a	Zimbabwe	n/a	n/a
62	Colombia					10500	
63	Venezuela	0.11	11.98	SOURC	E: International Monetary Fund; World Ban	k and OECD GDP e	stimates,

World Bank World Development Indicators database (2000–09)

5.3.2 High-tech imports
High-tech imports net of re-imports (% of total imports net of re-imports) | 2009

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Hong Kong (SAR), China	43.05	100.00
2	Malaysia		
3	Singapore	32.33	95.79
4	China	26.76	77.94
5	Ireland	26.24	76.29
6	Paraguay (2010)		
7	Hungary (2010)		
8	Mexico		
9	Colombia (2010)		
10	Korea, Rep		
11	Thailand (2010)		
12	United States of America (2010)		
13	Czech Republic		
14	Costa Rica (2010).		
15	Argentina		
16	Rwanda		
17	Netherlands		
18	Switzerland		
19	Brazil (2010).		
20	Japan (2010)		
21	France (2010)		
22	Sweden.		
23	Germany		
24	Australia (2010)		
25	United Kingdom (2010)		
26	South Africa (2010)		
27	Israel.		
28	Canada (2010)		
20 29	New Zealand (2010).		
30	Azerbaijan		
31			
	Russian Federation (2010)		
32	Romania (2010)		
33	Kenya.		
34	Norway (2010)		
35	Estonia (2010)		
36	Denmark		
37	Poland		
38	Finland (2010)		
39	Viet Nam		
40	Austria		
41	Bolivia		
42	Peru		
43	India		
44	Ecuador		
45	Slovak Republic		
46	Ethiopia (2010)		
47	Uruguay		
48	Malawi (2010)		
49	Uganda		
50	Italy		
51	Turkey		
52	Spain		
53	Luxembourg (2010)		
54	Tunisia		
55	Saudi Arabia		
56	Mauritius	9.05	21.25
57	Greece (2010)	8.85	20.62
58	Honduras	8.82	20.52
59	Panama	8.81	20.49
60	Belgium	8.81	20.48
61	Niger (2008)	8.77	20.38
62	Croatia (2010)	8.52	19.55
		0.25	10.71
63	Sudan	8.25	

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
65	Kazakhstan	8.16 .	18.41
66	Chile	80.8	18.16
67	Guatemala		
68	Iceland (2010)		
69	Portugal (2010)		
70	Bahrain (2010)		
71	El Salvador	7.77 .	17.15
72	Nicaragua		
73	Slovenia (2010)		
74	Trinidad and Tobago		
75	Lebanon		
76	Moldova, Rep. (2010)		
77	Bulgaria		
78	United Arab Emirates (2008)		
79	Côte d'Ivoire		
80	Latvia		
81	Cyprus		
82	Serbia (2010)		
83	Pakistan		
84	Armenia (2010)		
85	Jordan (2010)		
86	Macedonia		
87	Tanzania (2010)		
88	Nigeria		
89	Mongolia (2007)		
90	Zimbabwe		
91	Egypt (2010)		
92	Oman (2010)		
93	Bosnia & Herzegovina (2010)		
94	Sri Lanka (2010)		
95	Lithuania (2010)		
96	Jamaica		
97	Namibia (2008)		
98	Mali (2008)		
99	Madagascar		
100	Guyana		
101	Cambodia (2008)		
102	Albania (2010)		
103	Kyrgyzstan (2010)		
104	Zambia (2010)		
105	Senegal (2010)		
106	Yemen		
107	Burkina Faso		
108	Syrian Arab Republic (2008)		
n/a	Bangladesh		
n/a	Benin		
n/a	Botswana		
n/a	Brunei Darussalam		
n/a	Cameroon		
n/a	Georgia		
n/a	Ghana		
n/a	Indonesia		
n/a	lran		
n/a	Kuwait		
n/a	Morocco.		
n/a	Philippines		
n/a	Qatar		
n/a	Swaziland		
n/a	Tajikistan.		
n/a	Ukraine		
n/a	Venezuela	n/a .	n/a

SOURCE: United Nations, *COMTRADE* database (2007–10)

Computer and communications service importsComputer, communications, and other services imports (% of commercial service imports) | 2009

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0–100)
1	Ireland	75.53	100.00	: 65	Costa Rica	28.67	37.06
2	Sudan			66	Peru		
3	Kazakhstan	63.49	83.83	67	Ghana	27.70	35.75
4	Finland	62.40	82.36	68	Saudi Arabia	27.59	35.60
5	Azerbaijan	61.66	81.37	69	Côte d'Ivoire	27.21	35.09
6	Sweden	56.19	74.02	70	Australia (2008)	26.70	34.41
7	Hungary	55.91	73.64	71	Cambodia	26.52	34.17
8	Lebanon		72.27	72	Kenya	26.34	33.93
9	Czech Republic		70.92	73	South Africa	26.10	33.60
10	Spain	52.46	69.01	74	Zambia	26.04	33.53
11	Netherlands	51.43	67.63	75	Bosnia & Herzegovina		
12	Romania	50.92	66.94	76	Ukraine	25.02	32.16
13	Croatia	50.57	66.47	77	Honduras		
14	Italy			78	Egypt		
15	Japan			79	Greece		
16	Brazil			80	Moldova, Rep		
17	Korea, Rep			81	Hong Kong (SAR), China (2008)		
18	Israel			82	Philippines		
19	Swaziland			83	Tunisia		
20	Belgium			84	Brunei Darussalam		
21	Switzerland			85	Trinidad and Tobago (2008)		
22	Cameroon			86	Ethiopia		
23	Macedonia			87	Rwanda		
24	Russian Federation			88	Uruguay		
25	Slovenia			89	Senegal (2008)		
26	Germany United Kingdom			90 91	Mongolia		
27 28	Singapore			91	Benin (2008)		
29	Poland			92	Lithuania		
30	Estonia			93	Bolivia		
31	Namibia			95	Kyrgyzstan		
32	France			96	Turkey		
33	Serbia			97	Cyprus		
34	Guyana (2008)			98	Ecuador		
35	Portugal			99	Niger (2008)		
36	Mauritius			100	Mali (2008)		
37	Malaysia			101	Uganda		
38	Tajikistan	38.15	49.79	102	Sri Lanka	15.22	19.00
39	Thailand	37.90	49.46	103	Tanzania	14.79	18.42
40	Austria	37.67	49.15	104	Malawi (2002)	14.74	18.35
41	Slovak Republic	37.36	48.72	105	El Salvador		16.18
42	Iceland	36.95	48.18	106	Burkina Faso (2008)	12.88	15.85
43	Norway		47.77	107	Nicaragua	12.76	15.68
44	Oman	35.91	46.78	108	Georgia	12.32	15.10
45	China			109	Bahrain		14.04
46	Bulgaria			110	Panama		
47	Denmark (2004)			111	Jordan		
48	Canada			112	Guatemala		
49	Madagascar (2005)			113	Albania		
50	Yemen			114	Armenia		
51	United States of America			115	Bangladesh		
52	India			116	Syrian Arab Republic (2008)		
53	Nigeria			117	Paraguay		
54	Latvia			118	Kuwait		
55	Jamaica			119	Mexico		
56	New Zealand			120	Iran (2000)		
57	Botswana			n/a	Algeria		
58	Venezuela			n/a	Qatar		
59 60	Argentina			n/a	United Arab Emirates		
60 61	ColombiaIndonesia			n/a	Viet Nam Zimbabwe		
61 62	Morocco			n/a	ZIIIIDADWE	11/a	
63	Pakistan			SOUR	:E: International Monetary Fund; World B	ank and OFCD GDI	P estimates
UD	ı unıstanı	29.02		20011		u ULCD UDI	

World Bank World Development Indicators database (2000–09)

5.3.4

Foreign direct investment net inflowsForeign direct investment, net inflows (% of GDP) | 2009

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Luxembourg	.372.58	100.00
2	Hong Kong (SAR), China	24.88	100.00
3	Cyprus		
4	Mongolia		
5	Lebanon		
6	Niger		
7	Kazakhstan		
8	Ireland		
9	Jordan		
10	Bulgaria		
11	Singapore		
12	Estonia		
13	Armenia		
14	Albania		
15 16	Viet Nam		
17	Panama.		
18	Guyana		
19	Nicaragua		
20	Ghana		
21	Madagascar		
22	Georgia.		
23	Switzerland		
24	Zambia	5.46	59.37
25	Namibia	5.29	58.64
26	Cambodia	5.07	57.70
27	Sudan	4.91	56.97
28	Oman	4.79	56.49
29	Croatia	4.68	56.01
30	Costa Rica		
31	Jamaica		
32	Serbia		
33	Ukraine		
34	Netherlands		
35	Kyrgyzstan		
36 37	Tunisia		
38	Romania		
39	Uganda		
40	Peru		
41	Egypt		
42	Honduras		
43	United Kingdom	3.35	50.25
44	Nigeria		
45	Trinidad and Tobago	3.34	50.21
46	Poland	3.21	49.62
47	Colombia	3.08	49.06
48	Mauritius	2.99	48.67
49	Russian Federation		
50	Norway	2.95	48.51
51	Sweden		
52	Saudi Arabia		
53	Syrian Arab Republic		
54	Macedonia		
55	India		
56	Australia		
57 E0	Bolivia		
58 59	Moldova, Rep		
60	Rwanda		
61	France		
62	Swaziland.		
63	Hungary		
64	Morocco.		

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
65	Botswana		
66	Burkina Faso		
67	El Salvador.		
68	Algeria		
69	Israel.		
70	Tanzania		
71	Thailand		
72	South Africa		
73	Mexico		
74	Côte d'Ivoire		
75	Brazil	1.63	42.77
76	Senegal	1.62	42.74
77	Guatemala	1.61	42.69
78	China	. 1.57	
79	Cameroon	1.53	42.37
80	Canada	1.49	42.18
81	Pakistan	1.47	42.11
82	Paraguay		
83	Czech Republic	1.40	41.79
84	Benin	1.39	41.75
85	Bosnia & Herzegovina	1.38	41.69
86	Italy	1.37	41.67
87	Turkey	1.37	41.65
88	Malawi		
89	Argentina	1.27	41.23
90	Bahrain		
91	Mali		
92	Philippines		
93	Portugal		
94	Germany		
95	Azerbaijan		
96	Zimbabwe		
97	Sri Lanka		
98	United States of America		
99	Denmark		
100	Iran		
101	Indonesia		
102 103	Ethiopia		
103	Brunei Darussalam (2006)		
104	Greece		
105	Malaysia		
100	Lithuania		
107	Ecuador		
109	Iceland		
110	Yemen		
111	Kenya		
112	Spain		
113	Latvia		
114	Tajikistan.		
115	Japan		
116	Korea, Rep		
117	Finland		
118	Kuwait (2008)		
119	Slovak Republic		
120	Venezuela		
121	New Zealand		
122	Slovenia	. –1.19	30.55
123	Belgium		
n/a	Qatar	n/a	n/a
n/a	United Arab Emirates	n/a	n/a

SOURCE: International Monetary Fund; World Bank and OECD GDP estimates, World Bank World Development Indicators database (2000–09)

6.1.1

Patent applications filed at the national office

Number of patent applications filed by residents at the national office (per billion GDP, 2005 PPP\$) | 2009

March September Septembe								
Japan	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
S. China	1	Korea, Rep	102.45	100.00	£ 65	Jamaica (2006)	1.10	6.06
4 Germary 18.12 . 00.00 68 Uniquesy (2008) . 0.85 . 4.88 4.69 6 New Zealand . 1458 8.80.48 70 Kenya (2006) . 0.75 . 4.31 7 Moldova, Rep 1450 . 80.01 71 Mexico . 0.62 . 3.40 8 Mongolia (2009) . 1424 78.29 72 Philippines . 0.938 . 3.21 7 Mongolia (2009) . 1424 78.29 72 Philippines . 0.938 . 3.21 7 Mongolia (2009) . 0.55 . 3.01 10 Georgia . 1314 72.54 74 Mongolia (2001) . 0.55 . 3.01 10 Georgia . 1314 72.54 74 Mongolia (2001) . 0.55 . 3.01 10 Georgia . 1314 72.54 74 Mongolia (2001) . 0.44 . 2.42 7 Mongolia (2008) . 152 . 6610 75 Pasistan (2008) . 0.44 . 2.42 7 Mongolia (2008) . 0.45 . 2.25 7 Mongolia (2009) . 0.33 . 2.09 7 Mongolia (2009) . 0.34 . 126 7	2	Japan	. 77.97	100.00	66	Viet Nam (2005)	1.01	5.58
5 Universitatis of America 1.754 96.81 69 Tunisia (2006) 0.81 4.49 7 Moldova, Rep. 14.59 8.80,41 7 Kerwa (2006) 0.75 A11 7 Moldova, Rep. 14.90 8.80,11 7 Mexico 0.02 3.41 9 Russian Federation 13.06 73.16 73 Family (2006) 0.02 3.41 10 Georgia 13.14 72.54 74 Holing (SAR) (Mino. 0.04 2.29 11 Kyraystan (2008) 12.22 69.00 75 Polistan (2008) 0.44 2.29 13 Ukraine 9.22 50.89 77 Indonesia (2006) 0.38 2.09 14 Kazarkatan 9.11 50.89 77 Indonesia (2006) 0.38 2.09 15 Iran (2006) 8.86 48.35 19 Majeria (2007) 0.34 1.86 16 Linitea (Binantia 9.11 50.89 78 Hordonesia (2006) 0.38 2.09 15 Iran (2008) 8.86 48.35	3				67			
6 New Zealand 1.458 80.48 70 Kerrya (2006) 0.75 4.11 7 Modows, Rep. 1.450 80.01 77 Modows, Rep. 1.450 80.01 1.454 77.59 72 Philippines 0.58 3.31 10 Georgia 1314 77.54 74 Hong Kong (SAR), Clina 0.54 2.59 3.01 10 Georgia 1314 77.54 74 Hong Kong (SAR), Clina 0.54 2.59 10 Keynystan (2008) 1252 6.051 0 75 Palsistan (2008) 0.44 2.52 12 Finland 10.09 6.064 75 Mallawi (2000) 0.40 2.21 12 Finland 10.09 6.064 75 Mallawi (2000) 0.40 2.21 12 Finland 10.09 6.064 75 Mallawi (2000) 0.40 2.21 12 Finland 10.01 8.05 8.05 8.05 8.05 8.05 8.05 8.05 8.05	4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			68			
Mongolia (2005) 14.44 78.59 72 Philippines 0.62 3.40 9 Russian Federation 13.25 73.16 17 Zambia (2001) 0.55 3.31 10 Georgia 13.14 2.25 73.16 17 Zambia (2001) 0.54 2.39 11 Kynyystan (2008) 12.52 69.10 75 Pakistan (2008) 0.44 2.42 21 Finland 10.99 60.64 75 Melakur (2000) 0.44 2.22 13 Ukraire 9.22 50.98 77 Indonesia (2006) 0.33 2.70 14 Kazalvistan 9.11 50.28 278 Hordunas (2000) 0.34 1.87 15 Tra (2006) 8.65 48.35 79 Algeria (2007) 0.34 1.87 15 Tra (2008) 8.65 48.35 79 Algeria (2007) 0.34 1.87 17 Larvia 8.22 45.72 81 Parina (2007) 0.34 1.87 28 Varia 9.22 83 83	5				69			
8 Mongolia (2006) I.4 /4 78.59 2.7 Philippines 0.58 3.21 10 Georgia 13.14 72.54 73 Zambal (2001) 0.55 3.01 11 Segrizatin (2008) 12.52 69.10 75 Patria (2008) 0.44 2.42 21 Finland 10.99 60.64 7 Alabar (2000) 0.40 2.21 13 Ukraine 9.22 50.89 77 Mondosis (2006) 0.38 2.09 14 Kazahstan 9.11 30.28 77 Indonosis (2006) 0.33 2.09 15 Iran (2006) 8.79 4.85 79 Migner (2007) 0.04 1.87 16 Denmark 8.51 4.697 80 Calumbia (2007) 0.34 1.87 16 Durinet Kingdom 8.04 4.437 28 Epimenata (2000) 0.02 1.61 18 Urinet Kingdom 8.83 4.812 38 Banglache 0.06 1.45 20 Austria 7.88 4.33 29 Cyprus								
9 Russan Federation 1,126 7,316	7							
10 Georgia 1314 72-54 72-56 70 74 100g Kong (SARQ, China 0.54 2.49 12 12 14 100g Kong (SARQ, China 0.54 2.49 12 15 16 10 10 10 10 10 10 10						• •		
11 Syrgystan (2008)								
12 Finland					:			
13 Ukraine					:			
14 Xazakhstan 9,11 50,28 78 Honduras (2007) 0.34 1.36 15 Iran (2006) 8,75 48,35 79 Algeria (2007) 0.34 1.86 16 Denmark 8,51 46,97 80 Colombia (2007) 0.34 1.86 17 Latwia 8,28 45,72 81 Panama (2000) 0.29 1.61 18 United Kingdom 8,04 44,37 25 Cyrus 0.29 1.61 19 Armenia 7,85 43,32 83 Bangladesh 0.26 1.45 10 Armenia 7,85 43,32 83 Bangladesh 0.26 1.45 11 Armenia 7,46 41,32 85 Venezuela (2000) 0.24 1.33 12 Fance 7,49 41,32 85 Venezuela (2000) 0.24 1.33 13 Israel 7,36 40,61 86 Venezuela (2000) 0.21 1.31 13 Surden 7,31 40,31 88 Uganda (2007) 0.21 1.31 14 Sweden 7,31 40,31 88 Uganda (2007) 0.21 1.31 15 Ciclard 5,90 32,58 89 Peru 0.16 0.39 16 Switzerland 5,89 32,53 89 Peru 0.16 0.39 16 Switzerland 5,89 32,53 99 Auaritius (2008) 0.14 0.76 17 Ireland 5,62 31,03 91 Ecuador (2005) 0.13 0.69 18 Taly 5,51 30,39 92 Custemala 0.12 0.64 19 Norway 5,41 29,88 93 Trindical and Tobago (2002) 0.10 0.55 10 Polland 4,55 25,10 94 Burkina Faso (2005) 0.07 0.39 13 Romania 4,55 25,10 95 Sudan (2007) 0.04 0.22 14 Langry 4,47 24,67 96 Sudan (2007) 0.04 0.22 15 Section 3,35 19,40 10,40 10,40 16 Estonia 3,35 19,40 10,40 10,40 10,40 17 Section 3,40 19,10 10,40 10,40 10,40 18 Caradia 4,34 2,397 10,40 10,40 10,40 10,40 19 Caradia 4,34 2,397 10,40 10,40 10,40 10,40 10 Singapore 3,27 18,05 10,40 10								
15 Iran (2006)					1			
16 Demmark								
17 LarVal.								
18 United Kingdom								
19 Amenia 755 43.32 83 Bangladesh 0.26 1.45 20 Austria 780 4.43 780 4.43 780 4.43 74 21 France 749 41.32 85 Venezuela (2000) 0.24 1.33 22 Slovenia 7.36 40.61 86 Venezuela (2000) 0.22 1.23 23 Israel 7.32 40.38 87 Ethiopia (2007) 0.21 1.13 24 Sweden 7.31 40.31 88 Uganda (2007) 0.19 1.07 25 Iscaland 5.90 33.58 89 Peru 0.16 0.89 26 Switzerland 5.89 33.53 90 Mauritus (2008) 0.14 0.76 27 Ireland 5.62 31.03 91 Ecuador (2005) 0.13 0.69 28 Italy 5.51 30.39 92 Guatemala 0.12 0.64 29 Norway 5.41 2.988 37 Trinidad and Tobago (2002) 0.10 0.53 30 Poland 4.55 2.510 98 Maridagas (2007) 0.04 0.22 31 Hungary 4.47 2.47 95 Sudar (2007) 0.04 0.22 32 Serbia 4.37 2.413 97 Brunel Darussalam (2010) 0.00 0.00 32 Hungary 4.47 2.47 95 Sudar (2007) 0.04 0.22 33 Serbia 4.37 2.413 97 Brunel Darussalam (2010) 0.00 0.00 4 Canada 4.34 2.327 74 Albania 1.74 n.74 n.74 5 Eclanda 3.34 1.918 1/9 Brunel Darussalam (2010) 0.00 0.00 6 Sudar (2007) 0.04 0.22 0.00 0.00 7 Australia 3.48 1.918 1/9 Brunel Darussalam (2010) 0.00 0.00 7 Australia 3.48 1.918 1/9 Brunel Darussalam (2010) 0.00 0.00 7 Australia 3.48 1.918 1/9 Belinia n.74 n.74 n.74 n.74 8 Creatia 3.49 3.15 1.74								
20 Austria 7,80 4,306 84 Saudi Arabia (2007) 0.25 1.37 France 7,49 41.32 85 Venezuela (2000) 0.24 1.33 22 Slovenia 7,36 40.61 86 Venezuela (2000) 0.22 1.23 23 Israel 7,32 40.38 87 Ethiopia (2007) 0.19 1.07 24 Sweden 7,31 40.31 88 Uganda (2007) 0.19 1.07 25 Iceland 5,50 32.58 89 Peru 0.16 0.89 26 Switzerland 5,62 31.03 91 Ecuador (2005) 0.13 0.69 27 Ireland 5,62 31.03 91 Ecuador (2005) 0.13 0.69 28 Italy 5,51 30.39 92 Guaternala 0.12 0.64 29 Norway 5,41 2.988 93 Trinidad and Tobago (2002) 0.10 0.55 30 Poland 4,55 2.510 94 Burkina Faso (2005) 0.07 0.39 31 Romania 4,55 2.510 94 Burkina Faso (2005) 0.00 0.39 32 Hungary 4,47 2,467 96 Sudan (2007) 0.04 0.22 33 Sorbia 4,37 2,413 97 Brunel Darussalam (2010) 0.00 0.00 34 Canada 4,34 2,397 n/a Albania n/a n/a n/a 35 Netherlands 4,28 2,364 n/a Argentina n/a n/a n/a 36 Estonia 3,48 1918 n/a Berlin n/a n/a n/a 36 Caratia 3,45 19,05 n/a Berlin n/a n/a n/a 37 Australia 3,48 1918 n/a Berlin n/a n/a n/a 38 Craatia 3,45 19,05 n/a Berlin n/a n/a n/a 39 Carech Republic 3,40 18,78 n/a Berlin n/a n/a n/a 40 Singapore 3,27 13,05 n/a Cambool n/a n/a n/a 41 Azerbajan (2008) 2,35 13,02 n/a Cambool n/a n/a n/a 42 Turkey 3,05 1,68 n/a Caratia n/a n/a n/a 43 Spain 2,89 19,55 n/a Niger n/a n/a n/a 44 South Africa 2,89 19,55 n/a Niger n/a n/a n/a 45 Bulgaria 2,79 1,537 n/a Niger n/a n/a n/a 46 Sir Lanka (2008) 2,30 1,229 n/a Niger n/a n/a n/a 47 Chile (2008) 1,94 0.73 n/a Niger n/a n/a n/a 48 Greece 2,34 1,39 n/a Niger n/a n/a n/a								
21 France 7.49 4.132 85 Venezuels (2000) 0.24 1.132 22 Slovenia 7.36 40.61 86 Yemen (2007) 0.22 1.23 23 Israel 7.32 40.38 87 Ethiopia (2007) 0.21 1.13 24 Sweden 7.31 40.31 88 Uganda (2007) 0.19 1.07 25 Iceland 5.89 3.258 89 Peru 0.16 0.089 26 Switzerland 5.89 3.253 90 Mauritius (2008) 0.14 0.76 27 Ireland 5.62 31.03 91 Ecuador (2005) 0.013 0.69 28 Italy 551 30.39 92 Guatemala 0.12 0.04 29 Norway 5.41 29.88 93 Tinitada and Tobago (2000) 0.10 0.05 30 Poland 4.55 25.08 95 Madagascar 0.06 0.31 31 Hungary 4.47 24.67 96 Sudan (2007) 0.04 0.22 33 Serbia 4.37 24.13 97 Runei Danussalam (2010) 0.00 0.00						9		
22 Slovenia 736 4061 86 Yemen (2007) 0.22 1.23 23 Israel 732 40.38 87 Ethiopia (2007) 0.21 1.13 24 Sweden 731 40.31 88 Uganda (2007) 0.19 1.07 25 Switzerland 5.89 32.53 89 Peru 0.16 0.89 27 Ireland 5.62 31.03 91 Ecuadro (2005) 0.13 0.69 28 Italy 5.51 30.39 92 Guatemala 0.12 0.64 29 Norway 5.41 2.988 93 Trinidad and Tobago (2002) 0.10 0.55 30 Romania 4.55 25.00 94 Burlian Faso (2005) 0.07 0.33 31 Romania 4.55 25.00 94 Burlian Faso (2002) 0.01 0.05 32 Serbia 4.37 24.13 99 Suda (2007) 0.04 0.02 33 Serbia 4.37 24.13 99 Burlian Faso (2005) 0.00								
23 Israel. 7.32 4.038 87 Ethiopia (2007) 0.21 1.13 24 Sweden. 7.31 4.031 88 Uganda (2007) 0.19 1.07 25 Iceland 5.99 3.258 89 Peru 0.16 0.89 26 Switzerland. 5.89 3.253 89 Peru 0.16 0.89 26 Switzerland. 5.89 3.253 89 Peru 0.16 0.89 28 Italy. 5.51 3.03 91 Ecuadro (2005) 0.13 0.69 29 Norway. 5.41 2.988 93 Tinidad and Tobago (2002) 0.10 0.55 31 Ronnala. 4.55 2.508 94 Burkina Faso (2005) 0.07 0.39 31 Ronnala. 4.55 2.508 95 Madagascar 0.06 0.31 31 Hungary. 4.47 2.467 96 Suda polom 0.00 0.00 <					:			
24 Sweden. 7.31 4.03 8.8 Uganda (2007). 0.19 1.07 25 Iceland 5.90 3.258 89 Peru 0.16 0.89 26 Switzerland. 5.68 3.23 90 Mauritius (2008). 0.14 0.76 27 Ireland 5.62 3.10.3 91 Ecuador (2005). 0.13 0.69 28 Italy 5.51 30.39 92 Gutamala. 0.12 0.64 29 Norway 5.41 2.988 93 Trinidad and Tobago (2002). 0.10 0.55 30 Poland 4.55 2.51.0 94 Burkina Faso (2005). 0.07 0.39 31 Romania. 4.55 2.50.8 95 Madagascar 0.06 0.31 32 Hungary 4.47 24.67 96 Sudan (2007) 0.04 0.22 33 Serbia 4.23 2.34 197 Brune Darussalam (2010) 0.00 0.00 34 Canada 4.37 2.23 19 Brune Darussalam (2010) <								
25 Iceland 5.90 3.258 89 Peru 0.16 0.89 6 Switzerland 5.69 3.253 90 Maurituis (2008) 0.14 0.76 27 Ireland 5.56 3.133 91 Ecuador (2005) 0.13 0.69 28 Italy 5.51 3.03 92 Guatemala 0.12 0.64 9 Norway 5.51 3.98 92 Guatemala 0.12 0.64 30 Poland 4.55 2.510 94 Burkina Faso (2009) 0.07 0.39 31 Romania 4.55 2.508 95 Madagascar 0.06 0.31 31 Hungary 4.47 2.413 97 Brunel Darussalam (2010) 0.00 0.00 32 Serbia 4.37 2.413 97 Brunel Darussalam (2010) 0.00 0.00 34 Serbia 4.32 2.354 1/4 Albaria 1.74 Albaria <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>								
26 Switzerland 5.89 .32.53 90 Maurituis (2008) 0.14 .076 27 Ireland .56.2 3.10.3 91 Ecuador (2005) 0.13 .069 28 Italy .55.1 30.39 92 Guatemala 0.12 .064 29 Norway .541 .29.88 93 Trinidad and Tobago (2002) 0.10 .055 30 Poland .455 .25.08 95 Madagascar .006 .031 31 Romania .455 .25.08 95 Madagascar .006 .031 32 Hungary .447 .2467 96 Sudan (2007) .004 .022 33 Serbia .437 .2413 .97 Brune Darussalam (2010) .00 .00 34 Canada .428 .23.64 n/a Albania .n/a								
27 Ireland 5.62 310.3 91 Ecuador (2005) 0.13 0.69 28 Italy 5.51 30.39 92 Guatemala 0.12 0.64 29 Norway 5.41 29.88 93 Tirinidad and Tobago (2002) 0.10 0.55 30 Poland 4.55 25.10 94 Burkina Faso (2005) 0.07 0.39 31 Romania 4.55 25.08 95 Madagascar 0.06 0.31 31 Hungary 4.47 24.67 96 Sudan (2007) 0.04 0.22 33 Serbia 4.37 24.13 97 Brunel Darussalam (2010) 0.00 0.00 34 Canada 4.28 2.364 n/a Argentina n/a n/a 35 Reblai 3.31 19.40 n/a Argentina n/a n/a 36 Estonia 3.34 19.18 n/a Benin n/a n/a <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>								
28 Italy. 5.51 30.39 92 Guatemala. 0.12 0.64 29 Norway. 5.41 29.88 93 Trinidad and Tobago (2002). 0.10 0.55 30 Poland. 4.55 2.510 94 Burkina Faso (2005). 0.07 0.39 31 Romania. 4.55 2.508 95 Madagascar. 0.066 0.31 32 Hungary. 4.47 2.467 96 Sudan (2007). 0.04 0.02 33 Serbia. 4.37 2.413 97 Brune Darussajalm (2010). 0.00 0.00 34 Canada. 4.34 2.397 n/a Albania. n/a n/a 35 Netherlands. 4.28 2.364 n/a Argentina. n/a n/a 36 Estonia. 3.31 1.940 n/a Babrain. n/a n/a 37 Creatia. 3.45 1.905 n/a Bolivia. n/a n/a						, ,		
29 Norway. 5.41 2.9.88 93 Trinidad and Tobago (2002). 0.10 0.55 30 Poland. 4.55 2.50.8 94 Burkina Faso (2005). 0.07 0.39 31 Romania. 4.55 2.50.8 95 Madagascar 0.06 0.31 32 Hungary. 4.47 2.467 96 Sudan (2007). 0.04 0.22 33 Serbia. 4.37 2.413 97 Brunei Darussalam (2010). 0.00 0.00 34 Canada. 4.34 2.297 n/a Albania. n/a n/a n/a 45 Estonia. 3.51 1.940 n/a Berlian. n/a n/a n/a 36 Estonia. 3.45 1.905 n/a Berlin. n/a n/a 37 Caste Republic. 3.40 1.87 n/a Bolivia. n/a n/a 40 Singapore. 3.27 18.05 n/a Casto Bica.<								
30 Poland. 4.55 25.10 94 Burkina Faso (2005) 0.07 0.39 31 Romania. 4.55 25.08 95 Madagascar 0.06 0.31 31 Hungary. 4.47 24.67 96 Sudan (2007) 0.04 0.22 33 Serbia. 4.37 24.13 97 Brunei Darussalam (2010) 0.00 0.00 34 Canada. 4.34 23.97 n/a Albania n/a n/a n/a 36 Estonia. 3.51 1940 n/a Bahrain. n/a n/a n/a 37 Australia. 3.48 19.18 n/a Benin. n/a n/a n/a 38 Croatia. 3.45 19.05 n/a Bolivia. n/a n/a n/a 40 Singapore 3.27 18.05 n/a Botswana. n/a n/a n/a 41 Azerbaijan (2008) 3.16 17.42 n/a Cameroon n/a n/a 42 Turkey. 3.05 16.81 n/a Cota Rica n/a n/a 45 Spain. 2.89 15.56 n/a El Salvador n/a n/a 46 Spi Lanka (2008).								
31 Romania .455 .25.08 95 Madagascar .006 .031 32 Hungary .4.47 .24.67 96 Sudan (2007) .0.04 .0.22 33 Serbia .4.37 .24.13 97 Brunel Darussalam (2010) .0.00 .0.00 34 Canada .4.24 .23.97 n/a Albania .n/a .n/a 35 Netherlands .4.28 .23.64 n/a Argentina .n/a .n/a 36 Estonia .3.51 .19.40 n/a Bahrain .n/a .n/a 37 Australia .3.48 .19.18 n/a Bernin .n/a .n/a 38 Croatia .3.45 .19.05 n/a Bolivia .n/a .n/a 40 Singapore .3.27 .18.05 n/a Bostswana .n/a .n/a 41 Azerbaijan (2008) .3.16 .17.42 n/a Cameroon .n/a .n/a		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
32 Hungary .447 .24.67 96 Sudan (2007) .0.04 .0.22 33 Serbia .4.37 .2413 97 Brunel Darussalam (2010) .0.00 .0.00 34 Canada .4.34 .2397 n/a Albania .0.7a n/a 35 Netherlands .4.28 .23.64 n/a Albania .0.7a .0.7a 36 Estonia .3.51 .19.40 n/a Bahrain .0.7a .0.7a 37 Australia .3.48 .19.18 n/a Benin .0.7a .0.7a 38 Croatia .3.45 .19.05 n/a Bolivia .0.7a .0.7a 39 Czech Republic .3.40 .18.78 n/a Botswana .0.7a .0.7a 41 Azerbaijan (2008) .3.16 .17.42 n/a Cambodia .0.7a .0.7a 42 Turkey .3.05 16.81 .17.42 n/a Cameroon <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>95</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					95			
33 Serbia 4.37 24.13 97 Brunei Darussalam (2010) 0.00 0.00 34 Canada 4.34 23.97 n/a Albania n.7a n.7a 35 Netherlands 4.28 23.64 n/a Argentina n.7a n.7a 36 Estonia 3.51 1940 n/a Behrin n.7a n/a 37 Australia 3.48 19.18 n/a Benin n.7a n/a 38 Croatia 3.45 19.05 n/a Bolivia n.7a n/a 39 Czech Republic 3.40 18.78 n/a Botswana n.7a n/a 40 Singapore 3.27 18.05 n/a Cameroon n.7a n/a 41 Azerbaijan (2008) 3.16 1.72 n/a Cameroon n.7a n/a 42 Turkey 3.05 16.81 n/a Costa Rica n.7a n/a <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td></td<>					1	_		
34 Canada 4.34 23.97 n/a Albania .n/a n/a 35 Netherlands 4.28 23.64 n/a Argentina .n/a n/a 36 Estonia 3.51 19.40 n/a Bahrain .n/a .n/a 37 Australia 3.48 19.18 n/a Benin .n/a n/a 38 Croatia 3.45 19.05 n/a Bolivia .n/a .n/a 39 Czech Republic 3.40 18.78 n/a Botswana .n/a .n/a 40 Singapore 3.27 18.05 n/a Camboodia .n/a .n/a 41 Azerbaljan (2008) 3.16 1.742 n/a Cameroon .n/a .n/a 41 Varey 3.05 16.81 n/a Costa Rica .n/a .n/a 42 Turkey 3.05 16.81 n/a Costa Rica .n/a .n/a .n/a					97			
36 Estonia 3.51 19.40 n/a Bahrain n/a n/a 37 Australia 3.48 19.18 n/a Benin n/a n/a 38 Croatia 3.45 19.05 n/a Bolivia n/a n/a 39 Czech Republic 3.40 18.78 n/a Botswana n/a n/a 40 Singapore 3.27 18.05 n/a Cambodia n/a n/a 41 Azerbaijan (2008) 3.16 1.742 n/a Cameroon n/a n/a 42 Turkey 3.05 16.81 n/a Costa Rica n/a n/a 43 Spain 2.89 15.95 n/a Cote d'Ivoire n/a n/a 44 South Africa 2.82 15.56 n/a El Salvador n/a n/a 45 Bilgaria 2.79 15.37 n/a Ghana n/a n/a 46 <	34				n/a			
37 Australia 3.48 19.18 n/a Benin n/a n/a 38 Croatia 3.45 19.05 n/a Bolivia n.n/a n/a 39 Czech Republic 3.40 18.78 n/a Bolswan n.n/a n/a 40 Singapore 3.27 18.05 n/a Cameroon n.n/a n.n/a 41 Azerbaijan (2008) 3.16 1.742 n/a Cameroon n.n/a n.n/a 42 Turkey 3.05 16.81 n/a Costa Rica n.n/a n.n/a 43 Spain 2.89 15.95 n/a Cote d'Ivoire n.n/a n.n/a 44 South Africa 2.82 15.56 n/a El Salvador n.n/a n.n/a 45 Bulgaria 2.79 15.37 n/a Ghana n.n/a n.n/a 46 Sri Lanka (2008) 2.33 13.05 n/a Kuwait n.n/a n.n/a	35	Netherlands	4.28	23.64	n/a	Argentina	n/a	n/a
38 Croatia. 3.45 19.05 n/a Bolivia n/a n/a n/a and n/a and n/a	36	Estonia	3.51	19.40	n/a	Bahrain	n/a	n/a
39 Czech Republic 3.40 18.78 n/a Botswana n/a n/a 40 Singapore 3.27 18.05 n/a Cambodia n/a n/a 41 Azerbaijan (2008) 3.16 17.42 n/a Cameroon n.0a n.0a 42 Turkey 3.05 16.81 n/a Costa Rica n.0a n.0a 43 Spain 2.89 15.95 n/a Côte d'Ivoire n.0a n.0a 44 South Africa 2.82 15.56 n/a El Salvador n.0a n.0a 45 Bulgaria 2.79 15.37 n/a Ghana n.0a n.0a 46 Sri Lanka (2008) 2.37 13.05 n/a Guyana n.0a n.0a 47 Chile (2008) 2.36 13.02 n/a Guyana n.0a n.0a 48 Greece 2.34 12.89 n/a Lebanon n.0a n.0a	37	Australia	3.48	19.18	n/a	Benin	n/a	n/a
40 Singapore 3.27 18.05 n/a Cambodia .n/a n/a 41 Azerbaijan (2008) 3.16 .1742 n/a Cameroon .n/a .n/a .n/a 42 Turkey 3.05 1.681 n/a Costa Rica .n/a .n/a .n/a 43 Spain 2.88 15.95 n/a Côte d'Ivoire .n/a .n/a .n/a 44 South Africa 2.82 15.56 n/a El Salvador .n/a .n/a .n/a 45 Bulgaria 2.79 15.37 n/a Ghana .n/a .n/a .n/a 46 Sri Lanka (2008) 2.37 13.05 n/a Guyana .n/a .n/a 47 Chile (2008) 2.36 13.02 n/a Kuwait .n/a .n/a 48 Greece 2.34 12.89 n/a Lebanon .n/a .n/a 50 Malaysia (2008) 2.30 12.69 <td>38</td> <td>Croatia</td> <td>3.45</td> <td> 19.05</td> <td>n/a</td> <td>Bolivia</td> <td>n/a</td> <td>n/a</td>	38	Croatia	3.45	19.05	n/a	Bolivia	n/a	n/a
41 Azerbaijan (2008) 3.16 .17.42 n/a Cameroon .n/a .n/a 42 Turkey 3.05 .16.81 n/a Costa Rica .n/a .n/a .n/a 43 Spain 2.89 .15.95 n/a Côte d'Ivoire .n/a .n/a .n/a 44 South Africa 2.82 .15.56 n/a El Salvador .n/a .n/a .n/a 45 Bulgaria 2.79 .15.37 n/a Ghana .n/a .n/a .n/a 46 Sri Lanka (2008) 2.37 .13.05 n/a Guyana .n/a .n/a .n/a 47 Chile (2008) 2.36 .13.02 n/a Kuwait .n/a .n/a .n/a 48 Greece 2.34 .12.89 n/a Lebanon .n/a .n/a 50 Malaysia (2008) 2.30 .12.69 n/a Namibia .n/a .n/a 51 Macedonia	39	Czech Republic	3.40	18.78	n/a	Botswana	n/a	n/a
42 Turkey .3.05 .16.81 n/a Costa Rica n/a .n/a .n/a 43 Spain .2.89 .15.95 n/a Côte d'Ivoire .n/a .n/a .n/a 44 South Africa .2.82 .15.56 n/a El Salvador .n/a .n/a .n/a 45 Bulgaria .2.79 .15.37 n/a Ghana .n/a .n/a .n/a 45 Bulgaria .2.37 .13.05 n/a Guyana .n/a .n/a .n/a 47 Chile (2008) .2.36 .13.02 n/a Kuwait .n/a .n/a 48 Greece .2.34 .12.89 n/a Lebanon .n/a .n/a 49 Brazil (2007) .2.30 .12.69 n/a Namibia .n/a .n/a 50 Malaysia (2008) .2.30 .12.69 n/a Namibia .n/a .n/a 51 Macedonia .2.18 .12.	40				n/a	Cambodia	n/a	n/a
43 Spain 2.89 15.95 n/a Côte d'Ivoire n/a n/a n/a 44 South Africa 2.82 15.56 n/a El Salvador n/a n/a n/a 45 Bulgaria 2.79 15.37 n/a Ghana n/a n/a n/a 46 Sri Lanka (2008) 2.37 13.05 n/a Guyana n/a n/a n/a 47 Chile (2008) 2.36 13.02 n/a Kuwait n/a n/a 48 Greece 2.34 12.89 n/a Lebanon n/a n/a 49 Brazil (2007) 2.30 12.72 n/a Mali. n/a n/a 50 Malaysia (2008) 2.30 12.69 n/a Namibia n/a n/a 51 Macedonia 2.18 12.06 n/a Nigeria n/a n/a 52 Bosnia & Herzegovina (2008) 2.09 1.55 n/a <td< td=""><td>41</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	41							
44 South Africa 2.82 15.56 n/a El Salvador .n/a n/a 45 Bulgaria 2.79 15.37 n/a Ghana .n/a .n/a 46 Sri Lanka (2008) 2.37 13.05 n/a Guyana .n/a .n/a 47 Chile (2008) 2.36 13.02 n/a Kuwait .n/a .n/a 48 Greece 2.34 12.89 n/a Lebanon .n/a .n/a 49 Brazil (2007) 2.30 12.72 n/a Mali .n/a .n/a 50 Malaysia (2008) 2.30 12.72 n/a Namibia .n/a .n/a 51 Macedonia 2.18 12.06 n/a Niger .n/a .n/a 52 Bosnia & Herzegovina (2008) 2.09 11.55 n/a Nigera .n/a .n/a 53 Jordan 1.98 10.95 n/a Oman .n/a .n/a	42							
45 Bulgaria. 2.79 15.37 n/a Ghana .n/a .n/a 46 Sri Lanka (2008). 2.37 13.05 n/a Guyana .n/a .n/a .n/a 47 Chile (2008). 2.36 13.02 n/a Kuwait. .n/a .n/a .n/a 48 Greece. 2.34 12.89 n/a Lebanon. .n/a .n/a .n/a 50 Malaysia (2008) 2.30 12.72 n/a Mali .n/a .n/a .n/a 51 Macedonia 2.18 12.06 n/a Niger .n/a .n/a 51 Macedonia 2.18 12.06 n/a Niger .n/a .n/a 52 Bosnia & Herzegovina (2008) 2.09 11.55 n/a Nigeria .n/a .n/a 53 Jordan 1.98 10.95 n/a Oman .n/a .n/a 54 India (2008) 1.94 10.73 n/a	43	Spain	2.89	15.95	n/a	Côte d'Ivoire	n/a	n/a
46 Sri Lanka (2008). 2.37 13.05 n/a Guyana. n/a n/a n/a 47 Chile (2008). 2.36 13.02 n/a Kuwait. n/a n/a n/a 48 Greece. 2.34 12.89 n/a Lebanon. n/a n/a n/a 49 Brazil (2007). 2.30 12.72 n/a Mali. n/a n/a n/a 50 Malaysia (2008). 2.30 12.69 n/a Namibia n/a n/a n/a 51 Macedonia 2.18 12.06 n/a Niger n/a n/a n/a 52 Bosnia & Herzegovina (2008) 2.09 11.55 n/a Nigeria n/a n/a n/a 53 Jordan. 1.98 10.95 n/a Oman n/a n/a n/a 54 India (2008). 1.94 10.73 n/a Paraguay n/a n/a n/a 55 Belgium 1.91 10.56 n/a Qatar n/a n/a n/a 56 Lithuania 1.82 10.02 n/a Rwanda n/a n/a <td>44</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>n/a</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	44				n/a			
47 Chile (2008). 2.36 13.02 n/a Kuwait .n/a n/a 48 Greece. 2.34 12.89 n/a Lebanon. .n/a n/a 49 Brazil (2007) 2.30 12.72 n/a Mali. .n/a n/a 50 Malaysia (2008) 2.30 12.69 n/a Namibia .n/a n/a 51 Macedonia 2.18 12.06 n/a Niger .n/a n/a 52 Bosnia & Herzegovina (2008) 2.09 11.55 n/a Nigeria .n/a n/a 53 Jordan 1.98 10.95 n/a Oman .n/a n/a n/a 54 India (2008) 1.94 10.73 n/a Paraguay .n/a n/a n/a 55 Belgium 1.91 10.56 n/a Qatar .n/a n/a n/a 56 Lithuania 1.82 10.02 n/a Rwanda .n/a n/a n/a 57 Luxembourg 1.75 9.66 n/a Senegal .n/a n/a n/a 58 Slovak Republic 1.69 9.33 n/a Swaziland .n/a n/a 60 Thailand (2008) 1.59 8.79 n/a United Arab Emirates	45	_			n/a			
48 Greece. 2.34 12.89 n/a Lebanon. n/a n/a 49 Brazil (2007) 2.30 12.72 n/a Mali. n/a n/a 50 Malaysia (2008) 2.30 12.69 n/a Namibia n/a n/a 51 Macedonia 2.18 12.06 n/a Niger n/a n/a 52 Bosnia & Herzegovina (2008) 2.09 11.55 n/a Nigeria n/a n/a 53 Jordan 1.98 10.95 n/a Oman n/a n/a 54 India (2008) 1.94 10.73 n/a Paraguay n/a n/a 55 Belgium 1.91 10.56 n/a Qatar n/a n/a 56 Lithuania 1.82 10.02 n/a Rwanda n/a n/a 57 Luxembourg 1.75 9.66 n/a Senegal n/a n/a 58 <	46				n/a	,		
49 Brazil (2007) 2.30 12.72 n/a Mali. n/a n/a 50 Malaysia (2008) 2.30 12.69 n/a Namibia n/a n/a 51 Macedonia 2.18 12.06 n/a Niger n/a n/a 52 Bosnia & Herzegovina (2008) 2.09 11.55 n/a Nigeria n/a n/a 53 Jordan 1.98 10.95 n/a Oman n/a n/a 54 India (2008) 1.94 10.73 n/a Paraguay n/a n/a 55 Belgium 1.91 10.56 n/a Qatar n/a n/a 56 Lithuania 1.82 10.02 n/a Rwanda n/a n/a 57 Luxembourg 1.75 9.66 n/a Senegal n/a n/a 58 Slovak Republic 1.69 9.33 n/a Swaziland n/a n/a 60	47							
50 Malaysia (2008) 2.30 12.69 n/a Namibia n/a n/a 51 Macedonia 2.18 12.06 n/a Niger n/a n/a 52 Bosnia & Herzegovina (2008) 2.09 11.55 n/a Nigeria n/a n/a 53 Jordan 1.98 10.95 n/a Oman n/a n/a 54 India (2008) 1.94 10.73 n/a Paraguay n/a n/a 55 Belgium 1.91 10.56 n/a Qatar n/a n/a 56 Lithuania 1.82 10.02 n/a Rwanda n/a n/a 57 Luxembourg 1.75 9.66 n/a Senegal n/a n/a 58 Slovak Republic 1.69 9.33 n/a Swaziland n/a n/a 59 Portugal (2008) 1.63 9.01 n/a Tanzania n/a n/a 60 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
51 Macedonia 2.18 12.06 n/a Niger .n/a n/a 52 Bosnia & Herzegovina (2008) 2.09 11.55 n/a Nigeria .n/a .n/a n/a 53 Jordan 1.98 10.95 n/a Oman .n/a n/a n/a 54 India (2008) 1.94 10.73 n/a Paraguay .n/a n/a n/a 55 Belgium 1.91 10.56 n/a Qatar .n/a .n/a n/a 56 Lithuania 1.82 10.02 n/a Rwanda .n/a .n/a 57 Luxembourg 1.75 9.66 n/a Senegal .n/a .n/a 58 Slovak Republic 1.69 9.33 n/a Swaziland .n/a .n/a 59 Portugal (2008) 1.63 9.01 n/a Tanzania .n/a .n/a 60 Thailand (2008) 1.59 8.79 n/a United Arab Emirates .n/a .n/a 61 Syrian Arab Republic (2006)								
52 Bosnia & Herzegovina (2008) 2.09 11.55 n/a Nigeria .n/a n/a n/a 53 Jordan 1.98 10.95 n/a Oman .n/a n/a								
53 Jordan 1.98 10.95 n/a Oman n/a n/a 54 India (2008) 1.94 10.73 n/a Paraguay n/a n/a n/a 55 Belgium 1.91 10.56 n/a Qatar n/a n/a n/a 56 Lithuania 1.82 10.02 n/a Rwanda n/a n/a n/a 57 Luxembourg 1.75 9.66 n/a Senegal n/a n/a n/a 58 Slovak Republic 1.69 9.33 n/a Swaziland n/a n/a 59 Portugal (2008) 1.63 9.01 n/a Tanzania n/a n/a 60 Thailand (2008) 1.59 8.79 n/a United Arab Emirates n/a n/a 61 Syrian Arab Republic (2006) 1.56 8.61 n/a Zimbabwe n/a n/a 62 Morocco (2008) 1.40 .7.73						2		
54 India (2008). 1.94 10.73 n/a Paraguay .n/a n/a 55 Belgium 1.91 10.56 n/a Qatar .n/a n/a 56 Lithuania 1.82 10.02 n/a Rwanda .n/a n/a 57 Luxembourg 1.75 9.66 n/a Senegal .n/a n/a 58 Slovak Republic 1.69 9.33 n/a Swaziland .n/a n/a 59 Portugal (2008) 1.63 9.01 n/a Tanzania .n/a n/a 60 Thailand (2008) 1.59 8.79 n/a United Arab Emirates .n/a n/a 61 Syrian Arab Republic (2006) 1.56 8.61 n/a Zimbabwe .n/a n/a 62 Morocco (2008) 1.40 .773		9				9		
55 Belgium 1.91 10.56 n/a Qatar .n/a n/a 56 Lithuania 1.82 10.02 n/a Rwanda .n/a .n/a n/a 57 Luxembourg 1.75 9.66 n/a Senegal .n/a .n/a n/a 58 Slovak Republic 1.69 9.33 n/a Swaziland .n/a n/a 59 Portugal (2008) 1.63 9.01 n/a Tanzania .n/a n/a 60 Thailand (2008) 1.59 8.79 n/a United Arab Emirates .n/a n/a 61 Syrian Arab Republic (2006) 1.56 8.61 n/a Zimbabwe .n/a n/a 62 Morocco (2008) 1.40 .773 .773								
56 Lithuania 1.82 10.02 n/a Rwanda .n/a n/a 57 Luxembourg 1.75 9.66 n/a Senegal .n/a .n/a .n/a 58 Slovak Republic 1.69 9.33 n/a Swaziland .n/a .n/a .n/a 59 Portugal (2008) 1.63 9.01 n/a Tanzania .n/a .n/a n/a 60 Thailand (2008) 1.59 8.79 n/a United Arab Emirates .n/a .n/a n/a 61 Syrian Arab Republic (2006) 1.56 8.61 n/a Zimbabwe .n/a .n/a n/a 62 Morocco (2008) 1.40 .773						- ·		
57 Luxembourg. 1.75 9.66 n/a Senegal. .n/a .n/a .n/a 58 Slovak Republic. 1.69 9.33 n/a Swaziland. .n/a .n/a .n/a 59 Portugal (2008) 1.63 9.01 n/a Tanzania. .n/a .n/a .n/a 60 Thailand (2008) 1.59 8.79 n/a United Arab Emirates. .n/a .n/a .n/a 61 Syrian Arab Republic (2006) 1.56 8.61 n/a Zimbabwe. .n/a .n/a .n/a 62 Morocco (2008) 1.40 .773 .773		_						
58 Slovak Republic. 1.69 9.33 n/a Swaziland. .n/a .n/a 59 Portugal (2008) 1.63 9.01 n/a Tanzania. .n/a .n/a 60 Thailand (2008) 1.59 8.79 n/a United Arab Emirates. .n/a .n/a .n/a 61 Syrian Arab Republic (2006) 1.56 8.61 n/a Zimbabwe. .n/a .n/a 62 Morocco (2008) 1.40 .7.73								
59 Portugal (2008) 1.63 9.01 n/a Tanzania .n/a .n/a 60 Thailand (2008) 1.59 8.79 n/a United Arab Emirates .n/a .n/a .n/a 61 Syrian Arab Republic (2006) 1.56 8.61 n/a Zimbabwe .n/a .n/a .n/a 62 Morocco (2008) 1.40 .7.73		3				3		
60 Thailand (2008) 1.59 8.79 n/a United Arab Emirates .n/a .n/a 61 Syrian Arab Republic (2006) 1.56 8.61 62 Morocco (2008) 1.40 .7.73								
61 Syrian Arab Republic (2006). 1.56 8.61 n/a Zimbabwe. n/a n/a 62 Morocco (2008). 1.40								
62 Morocco (2008)								
					11/0	ZIIIDaDwc	II/d	d
					SOURC	IE: World Intellectual Property Organization,	WIPO Statistics	Database;

URCE: World Intellectual Property Organization, WIPO Statistics Database;
World Bank and OECD GDP estimates, World Bank World Development
Indicators database (2000–10)

6.1.2

Patent applications filed through the PCT

Number of international patent applications filed by residents through the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT), Contracting Parties only (per billion GDP, 2005 PPP\$) | 2010

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Switzerland	13.04 .	100.00	65	Kyrgyzstan	0.09	1.07
2	Finland	13.02 .	100.00	66	Nicaragua	0.07	0.86
3	Sweden			67	Kenya	0.07	0.83
4	Japan	8.49 .	100.00	68	Costa Rica	0.06	0.77
5	Israel	7.79 .	91.73	69	Oman	0.06	0.73
6	Korea, Rep			70	Zambia	0.06	0.70
7	Luxembourg			71	Cameroon	0.05	0.60
8	Netherlands	6.75 .	79.58	72	Philippines	0.05	0.56
9	Germany	6.65 .	78.36	73	Romania	0.04	0.51
10	Denmark			74	Albania		
11	Iceland			75	Botswana		
12	Austria			76	Bahrain		
13	France			77	Viet Nam		
14	United States of America			78	Guatemala		
15	Norway			79	Trinidad and Tobago		
16	Belgium			80	Côte d'Ivoire		
17	New Zealand			81	Peru		
18	Singapore			82	Azerbaijan		
19	Ireland			83	Indonesia		
20	Slovenia			84	Algeria		
21	United Kingdom			85	Nigeria		
22	Australia			86	Benin		
23	Canada			86	Burkina Faso		
24 25	Cyprus			86 86	Ghana		
26	Estonia			86	Honduras		
27	Italy			86	Madagascar		
28	China			86	Malawi		
29	Spain			86	Mali		
30	Hungary			86	Mongolia		
31	Malaysia			86	Niger		
32	Latvia			86	Senegal		
33	Croatia.			86	Sudan		
34	South Africa			86	Swaziland.		
35	Czech Republic			86	Tajikistan		
36	Turkey			86	Tanzania		
37	Portugal			86	Uganda		
38	Bosnia & Herzegovina			86	Zimbabwe	0.00	0.00
39	Slovak Republic			n/a	Argentina	n/a	n/a
40	Ukraine	0.41 .	4.87	n/a	Bangladesh	n/a	n/a
41	Chile	0.40 .	4.68	n/a	Bolivia	n/a	n/a
42	Russian Federation	0.38 .	4.48	n/a	Brunei Darussalam	n/a	n/a
43	Bulgaria	0.38 .	4.47	n/a	Cambodia	n/a	n/a
44	India	0.37 .	4.37	n/a	Ethiopia	n/a	n/a
45	Armenia	0.34 .	3.99	n/a	Guyana		
46	Ecuador	0.32 .	3.80	n/a	Hong Kong (SAR), China	n/a	n/a
47	Poland	0.31 .	3.68	n/a	Iran	n/a	n/a
48	Greece	0.30 .	3.59	n/a	Jamaica	n/a	n/a
49	Brazil			n/a	Jordan		
50	Georgia	0.26 .	3.10	n/a	Kuwait	n/a	n/a
51	Serbia	0.26 .	3.07	n/a	Lebanon	n/a	n/a
52	Lithuania			n/a	Mauritius		
53	Thailand			n/a	Pakistan		
54	Mexico			n/a	Panama		
55	Syrian Arab Republic			n/a	Paraguay		
56	United Arab Emirates			n/a	Qatar		
57	Colombia			n/a	Rwanda		
58	Morocco			n/a	Saudi Arabia		
59	Tunisia			n/a	Uruguay		
60	Kazakhstan			n/a	Venezuela		
61	Sri Lanka			n/a	Yemen	n/a	n/a
62	Egypt			COUR	T. Warld latella stud Donor Co.	: W//DO Cr-1:-1:	Databa:
63	Macedonia	0.11 .	1.32	: SUUK	E: World Intellectual Property Organizat	ion, vvipo Statistics i	vatavase;

World Bank and OECD GDP estimates, World Bank World Development

Indicators database

12

Utility model applications filed at the national office

Number of utility model applications filed by residents at the national office (per billion GDP, 2005 PPP\$)^a | 2009

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	China			n/a	Botswana		
2	Ukraine			n/a	Brunei Darussalam		
3	Mongolia (2006)			n/a	Burkina Faso		
4	Moldova, Rep			n/a	Cambodia		
5	Korea, Rep		100.00	n/a	Cameroon	n/a	n/a
6	Tajikistan			n/a	Canada	n/a	n/a
7	Estonia	5.92	43.53	n/a	Costa Rica	n/a	n/a
8	Georgia		43.30	n/a	Côte d'Ivoire	n/a	n/a
9	Czech Republic	5.72	42.01	n/a	Cyprus		
10	Russian Federation			n/a	Egypt	n/a	n/a
11	Germany		39.61	n/a	El Salvador	n/a	n/a
12	Turkey		24.71	n/a	Ghana	n/a	n/a
13	Finland (2006)	2.93	21.34	n/a	Guyana	n/a	n/a
14	Thailand (2008)			n/a	Iceland	n/a	n/a
15	Armenia	2.77	20.16	n/a	India	n/a	n/a
16	Austria	2.47	17.91	n/a	Iran	n/a	n/a
17	Slovak Republic			n/a	Ireland		
18	Japan			n/a	Israel		
19	Spain			n/a	Jamaica		
20	Bulgaria			n/a	Jordan		
21	Philippines (2008).			n/a	Kuwait		
22	Uruguay (2004)			n/a	Latvia.		
23	Croatia			n/a	Lebanon.		
	Brazil (2008)			n/a	Lithuania		
24							
25	Australia (2008)			n/a	Luxembourg		
26	Hungary			n/a	Macedonia		
27	Italy			n/a	Madagascar		
28	Hong Kong (SAR), China			n/a	Malawi		
29	Ethiopia (2007)			n/a	Mali		
30	Denmark (2008)			n/a	Mauritius		
31	Poland			n/a	Morocco		
32	Serbia			n/a	Namibia	n/a	n/a
33	Kyrgyzstan (2008)		3.68	n/a	Netherlands		
34	Colombia (2007)		2.79	n/a	New Zealand	n/a	n/a
35	Chile (2008)	0.43	2.74	n/a	Nicaragua	n/a	n/a
36	Portugal (2008)		2.18	n/a	Niger	n/a	n/a
37	Bosnia & Herzegovina (2003)			n/a	Nigeria	n/a	n/a
38	Kazakhstan (2008)			n/a	Norway	n/a	n/a
39	Indonesia (2006)		1.96	n/a	Oman	n/a	n/a
40	Peru	0.32	1.91	n/a	Pakistan	n/a	n/a
41	Romania	0.31	1.88	n/a	Paraguay	n/a	n/a
42	Mexico (2007)			n/a	Qatar	n/a	n/a
43	Slovenia	0.28	1.59	n/a	Rwanda	n/a	n/a
44	Viet Nam (2000)	0.27	1.52	n/a	Saudi Arabia	n/a	n/a
45	Kenya (2004)			n/a	Senegal		
46	Guatemala			n/a	Singapore		
47	Venezuela (2000)			n/a	South Africa		
48	Zimbabwe (2008)			n/a	Sri Lanka		
49	Panama (2008)			n/a	Sudan		
50	Honduras (2003)			n/a	Swaziland		
51 52	Azerbaijan (2008)			n/a n/a	Sweden Switzerland		
53	Malaysia (2008)			n/a	Syrian Arab Republic		
54	Trinidad and Tobago (2003)			n/a	Tanzania		
55	Greece			n/a	Tunisia		
56	France (2007)			n/a	Uganda		
n/a	Albania			n/a	United Arab Emirates		
n/a	Algeria			n/a	United Kingdom		
n/a	Argentina			n/a	United States of America		
n/a	Bahrain			n/a	Yemen		
n/a	Bangladesh			n/a	Zambia	n/a	n/a
n/a	Belgium						
n/a	Benin	n/a	n/a	SOUR	E: World Intellectual Property Organizati	on, WIPO Statistics Da	itabase:

SOURCE: World Intellectual Property Organization, *WIPO Statistics Database*; World Bank and OECD GDP estimates, World Bank *World Development Indicators* database (2000–09)

THE GLOBAL INNOVATION INDEX 2011

6.1.4

Scientific and technical journal articlesNumber of scientific and technical journal articles (per billion GDP, 2005 PPP\$) | 2007

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1 1	srae		(,
2	Switzerland		
3	Sweden.		
4	New Zealand		
5	Finland		
6	Denmark		
7	Australia		
8	Slovenia		
9	Canada		
10	Netherlands		
11	United Kingdom.		
12	Iceland		
13	Belgium		
14	Estonia		
15	Norway		
16	Zimbabwe		
17	Greece		
18	Singapore		
19	Spain		
20	Austria		
21	Germany		
22	United States of America		
23	France		
24	Czech Republic		
25	Italy		
26	Korea, Rep		
27	Serbia		
28	Portugal		
29	Croatia.		
30	Ireland		
31	Hungary	13.65	36.98
32	Japan		
33	Jordan	12.53	33.92
34	Poland	11.96	32.36
35	Armenia	10.81	29.23
36	Tunisia	10.43	28.17
37	Turkey	9.88	26.69
38	Bulgaria	9.31	25.13
39	Slovak Republic		
40	China	8.23	22.16
41	Chile	8.02	21.59
42	Lithuania	7.94	21.39
43	Moldova, Rep	7.61	20.48
44	Russian Federation		
45	Cyprus	6.92	18.58
46	Brazil	6.81	18.29
47	Argentina	6.81	18.28
48	Georgia	6.69	17.95
49	Malawi		
50	South Africa		
51	Ukraine		
52	India		
53	Uruguay		
54	Iran		
55	Lebanon		
56	Romania		
57	Uganda		
58	Egypt		
59	Kenya		
60	Cameroon		
61	Latvia		
62	Benin		
63	Ghana		
64	Thailand	3.52	9.29

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
65	Senegal		,
66	Macedonia		
67	Morocco.		
68	Guyana		
69	Mexico		
70	Madagascar		
71	Burkina Faso		
72	Mongolia		
73	Tanzania		
74	Niger		
75	Botswana		
76	Jamaica		
77	Ethiopia		
78	Zambia		
79	Malaysia		
80	Oman	. 2.25	5.81
81	Costa Rica	. 2.19	5.67
82	Panama	. 2.15	5.55
83	Trinidad and Tobago.	. 2.14	5.52
84	Luxembourg	. 2.05	5.29
85	Bahrain		
86	Bosnia & Herzegovina	. 2.01	5.16
87	Kuwait	. 2.00	5.13
88	Tajikistan	. 1.94	4.99
89	Pakistan	. 1.94	4.98
90	Algeria	. 1.94	4.98
91	Kyrgyzstan	. 1.62	4.10
92	Venezuela	. 1.59	4.01
93	Sri Lanka	. 1.55	3.92
94	Nigeria		
95	Azerbaijan	. 1.53	3.84
96	Mali	. 1.52	3.82
97	Bolivia	. 1.40	3.51
98	Rwanda		
99	Colombia		
100	Viet Nam		
101	Mauritius		
102	Bangladesh		
103	Côte d'Ivoire		
104	Namibia		
105	Saudi Arabia		
106	Cambodia		
107	Syrian Arab Republic		
108	United Arab Emirates		
109	Brunei Darussalam.		
110	Swaziland Nicaragua		
111	Peru		
112 113	Ecuador		
114	Philippines.		
115	Kazakhstan		
116	Albania		
117	Qatar		
118	Paraguay		
119	Sudan		
120	Guatemala.		
121	Yemen		
122	Indonesia.		
123	Honduras		
124	El Salvador.		
n/a	Hong Kong (SAR), China		
, u		ı , u	

SOURCE: National Science Foundation and World Bank and OECD GDP estimates, World Bank World Development Indicators database

Growth rate of GDP per person engagedGrowth rate of GDP per person engaged, 2007 to 2008 (1990 PPP\$) | 2008

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Qatar	5.13	100.00	: 65	Peru	1.22	40.21
2	Azerbaijan			66	Cameroon		
3	China	3.40 .	71.07	67	Cyprus	1.04	39.43
4	Moldova, Rep	3.08 .	69.71	68	Thailand		
5	Uruguay			69	Switzerland	0.92	38.93
6	Ethiopia			70	Poland		
7	Armenia			71	Slovenia		
8	Sri Lanka			72	Syrian Arab Republic		
9	Tajikistan6			73	Netherlands		
10	Malawi			74	France		
11 12	Romania			75 76	Iran		
13	Uganda			70	Côte d'Ivoire		
14	Niger			78	Kazakhstan		
15	Oman			79	Senegal.		
16	Tanzania			80	Austria		
17	Russian Federation			81	Mexico		
18	Kyrgyzstan			82	Australia		
19	Bahrain			83	Colombia	0.08	34.64
20	Georgia	1.65 .	54.97	84	Guatemala	0.10	34.53
21	India	4.51 .	54.36	85	Germany	0.12	34.47
22	Egypt			86	Japan	0.22	34.03
23	Ghana			87	Israel		
24	Pakistan			88	Yemen		
25	Viet Nam			89	Algeria		
26	Brazil			90	Portugal		
27	Cambodia			91	Belgium		
28	Zambia			92 93	Finland		
29 30	Bangladesh			93	Bosnia & Herzegovina		
31	Indonesia.			94	Turkey		
32	United Arab Emirates			96	Canada		
33	Slovak Republic.			97	Norway		
34	Morocco.			98	Sweden		
35	Ecuador			99	Italy		
36	United States of America	2.66 .	46.41	100	Ireland		
37	Bulgaria2	2.64	46.33	101	Costa Rica	1.84	27.08
38	Sudan	2.62 .	46.22	102	New Zealand	1.85	27.02
39	Bolivia	2.60 .	46.14	103	Denmark		
40	Kuwait			104	Jamaica		
41	Nigeria			105	Estonia		
42	Argentina			106	Singapore		
43	Philippines			107	Latvia		
44	Iceland			108	Luxembourg.		
45	Ukraine			109	Zimbabwe		
46 47	Trinidad and Tobago.			n/a n/a	Benin		
48	Saudi Arabia			n/a	Brunei Darussalam.		
49	Mali			n/a	El Salvador.		
50	Czech Republic			n/a	Guyana		
51	Malaysia			n/a	Honduras		
52	Madagascar			n/a	Lebanon.		
53	Jordan			n/a	Mauritius		
54	Greece	1.71 .	42.34	n/a	Mongolia		
55	Tunisia	1.70 .	42.28	n/a	Namibia	n/a	n/a
56	Burkina Faso			n/a	Nicaragua		
57	Hungary			n/a	Panama		
58	Spain			n/a	Paraguay		
59	Korea, Rep			n/a	Rwanda		
60	Macedonia			n/a	Serbia		
61 62	United Kingdom			n/a	Swaziland	n/a	n/a
63	Venezuela			SOURC	IE: International Labour Organization, <i>LABORS</i> 7	A Database of I	abor
00	· cczacia			:			-

Statistics

6.2.2 New business density

New registrations of businesses (per 1,000 people ages 15—64) | 2009

Rank	Country/Economy Value	Score (0-100)
1	Cyprus	100.00
2	Hong Kong (SAR), China	100.00
3	New Zealand	100.00
4	Iceland	100.00
5	Costa Rica	68.34
6	Estonia (2007)	63.09
7	United Kingdom8.05	62.66
8	Canada	58.86
9	Singapore	
10	Luxembourg (2007)	57.45
11	Mauritius	57.05
12	Bulgaria7.20	56.08
13	Australia (2007)	49.70
14	Hungary6.26	
15	Macedonia	43.84
16	Switzerland4.88	37.97
17	Ireland	36.39
18	Latvia	35.94
19	Denmark	35.55
20	Norway (2008)4.49	34.93
21	Israel (2008)	34.74
22	Belgium	33.33
23	Slovenia	
24	Sweden	
25	Slovak Republic	
26	Portugal 3.92	:
27	Romania	:
28	Finland	:
29	Netherlands	
30	France	
31	Czech Republic	
32	Spain	:
33	Peru	:
34	Russian Federation	
35	Kazakhstan 2.59	
36	Croatia	
37	Malaysia	:
38	Brazil	:
39	Georgia. 2.32	:
40	Lithuania 2.18	
	Chile (2008). 2.12	
41 42	Uruguay	
	3 ,	:
43	Serbia 1.94 Italy 1.78	
44 45	Korea, Rep. (2008). 1.72	
45 46		<u> </u>
46	Oman	
47	Moldova, Rep. 1.32	:
48	Japan (2008)	:
49	Morocco. 1.28	:
50	Armenia	
51	Kyrgyzstan	:
52	Tunisia	:
53	Germany (2008)	:
54	El Salvador	:
55	Greece (2007)	
56	Jamaica	1
57	Colombia	
58	Azerbaijan	:
59	Zambia	:
60	Turkey	:
61	Kenya (2008)	6.63
62	Albania	6.50
63	Nigeria	6.10
03	5	

			5 (0.400)
Rank 65	Country/Economy Jordan	Value	Score (0–100)
66	Uganda		
67	Ghana (2007)		
68	Guatemala.		
69	Mexico		
70	Ukraine		
71	Thailand		
72	Austria		
73	Bosnia & Herzegovina	0.58	4.50
74	Poland	0.52	4.05
75	Rwanda	0.51	3.98
76	Tajikistan	0.48	3.68
77	Argentina	0.46	3.55
78	Algeria		
79	Bolivia		
80	Sri Lanka		
81	Panama		
82	Senegal		
83	Cambodia		
84	Philippines		
85	Indonesia		
86	Egypt (2008)		
87 88	India (2008)		
88 89	Malawi		
90	Madagascar		
91	Ethiopia		
92	Pakistan		
93	Niger		
n/a	Bahrain		
n/a	Bangladesh		
n/a	Benin		
n/a	Botswana	n/a	n/a
n/a	Brunei Darussalam	n/a	n/a
n/a	Cameroon	n/a	n/a
n/a	China	n/a	n/a
n/a	Côte d'Ivoire		
n/a	Ecuador		
n/a	Guyana		
n/a	Honduras		
n/a	Iran		
n/a	Kuwait		
n/a n/a	Lebanon		
n/a	Mali		
n/a	Namibia		
n/a	Nicaragua		
n/a	Paraguay		
n/a	Qatar		
n/a	Saudi Arabia		
n/a	Sudan		
n/a	Swaziland		
n/a	Syrian Arab Republic		
n/a	Tanzania	n/a	n/a
n/a	Trinidad and Tobago	n/a	n/a
n/a	United Arab Emirates	n/a	n/a
n/a	United States of America	n/a	n/a
n/a	Venezuela		
n/a	Viet Nam		
n/a	Yemen		
n/a	Zimbabwe	n/a	n/a

SOURCE: International Finance Corporation, World Bank World Development Indicators database (2007–09)

Computer software spendingTotal computer software spending (% of GDP)^a | 2010

Rank	Country/Economy Valu	se Score (0–100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Switzerland		65	Algeria		
2	Czech Republic1.3		66	Ecuador		
3	Netherlands		67	Cameroon		
4	Sweden. 1.0		68	Bolivia		
5	Hungary		69	Bangladesh		
6 7	United Kingdom0.9 United States of America0.9		70 71	Philippines		
8	South Africa		71	Nigeria		
9	Finland0.8		73	Zimbabwe		
10	Ireland		74	Sri Lanka		
11	Denmark	0 56.46	n/a	Albania	n/a	n/a
12	Austria0.7	7 53.81	n/a	Armenia	n/a	n/a
13	Belgium	6 53.35	n/a	Azerbaijan	n/a	n/a
14	Canada	3 51.55	n/a	Bahrain	n/a	n/a
15	Thailand		n/a	Benin		
16	Germany		n/a	Bosnia & Herzegovina		
17	Norway0.6		n/a	Botswana		
18	Spain		n/a	Brunei Darussalam		
19	Singapore		n/a	Burkina Faso		
20	France		n/a n/a	Cambodia		
21 22	Slovenia		n/a	Croatia		
23	Italy. 0.4		n/a	Cyprus		
24	Poland. 0.4		n/a	El Salvador.		
25	Australia	3 30.23	n/a	Estonia	n/a	n/a
26	Slovak Republic0.4	329.99	n/a	Ethiopia	n/a	n/a
27	Israel0.4	1 28.45	n/a	Georgia	n/a	n/a
28	Malaysia	6 25.19	n/a	Ghana	n/a	n/a
29	Ukraine		n/a	Guatemala		
30	China		n/a	Guyana		
31	Kenya0.3		n/a	Iceland		
32 33	Korea, Rep		n/a	Kazakhstan		
33	Greece		n/a n/a	Kyrgyzstan Latvia		
35	Japan		n/a	Lebanon		
36	New Zealand		n/a	Lithuania		
37	Saudi Arabia		n/a	Luxembourg		
38	Bulgaria0.2	5 16.99	n/a	Macedonia		
39	Hong Kong (SAR), China		n/a	Madagascar	n/a	n/a
40	Viet Nam		n/a	Malawi	n/a	n/a
41	Romania		n/a	Mali		
42	Tunisia		n/a	Mauritius		
43	Chile0.2		n/a	Moldova, Rep		
44	Indonesia		n/a	Mongolia Namibia		
45 46	Senegal. 0.1 Brazil 0.1		n/a n/a	Nicaragua		
47	Honduras		n/a	Niger		
48	Turkey		n/a	Oman		
49	Kuwait0.1		n/a	Paraguay		
50	India0.1	6 10.58	n/a	Qatar	n/a	n/a
51	Morocco0.1	6 10.53	n/a	Rwanda	n/a	n/a
52	Mexico		n/a	Serbia		
53	Pakistan		n/a	Sudan		
54	Argentina0.1		n/a	Swaziland		
55	Iran		n/a	Syrian Arab Republic		
56 57	Jordan		n/a	Tajikistan.		
57 58	Costa Rica 0.1 United Arab Emirates 0.1		n/a n/a	Tanzania Trinidad and Tobago		
59	Jamaica		n/a	Uganda		
60	Egypt		n/a	Yemen		
61	Peru		n/a	Zambia		
62	Colombia	1 7.20				
63	Venezuela	9 6.14	SOUR	E: World Information Technology and Se	rvices Alliance (WI	TSA); World

Bank and OECD GDP estimates, World Bank World Development Indicators database

6.3.1

Royalty and license fees' receiptsRoyalty and license fees, receipts (% of GDP) | 2009

Guntry/Konomy				
2 Paraguay. 2.07 100.00 3 Sweden. 1.16 100.00 4 Ireland. 0.75 100.00 5 Luxembourg. 0.74 99.22 6 Singapore 0.74 98.43 7 Finland 0.73 97.77 8 Netherlands 0.69 9.251 9 United States of America 0.64 85.15 10 Hungary. 0.62 82.91 11 United Kingdom. 0.55 73.16 12 Belgium 0.50 67.53 13 Japan 0.43 5731 14 Germany 0.41 55.43 15 Israel. 0.39 52.12 16 Korea, Rep. 0.38 51.22 17 France. 0.35 47.49 18 Canada 0.24 32.27 19 Austria. 0.20 2.643 20 Hong Kong (SAR), China (2008) 0.18 23.61 21 Norway. 0.17 22.35 22 Serbia 0.15 19.67 23 Malaysia 0.14 8.44 24 Estonia 0.13 17.17 25 Yemen. 0.13 16.97 26 New Zealand 0.12 16.25 27 Romania 0.12 16.02 28 Isovak Republic 0.10 14.02 29 Ukraine. 0.10 13.21 30 Egypt (2007) 0.09 12.52 31 Kyrgyzstan 0.08 10.83 32 Moldova, Rep. 0.08 10.73 33 Jamaica. 0.08 10.73 34 Mexico 0.07 9.23 35 Royaya 0.07 9.33 36 Bosnia & Herzegovina 0.07 9.73 37 Spain 0.07 9.30 38 Australia (2008) 0.07 9.93 39 Australia (2008) 0.07 9.93 30 Australia (2008) 0.07 9.93 31 Jamaica. 0.08 10.73 32 Moldova, Rep. 0.08 10.75 33 Jamaica. 0.08 10.73 34 Mexico 0.07 9.93 35 Slovenia 0.07 9.93 36 Bosnia & Herzegovina 0.07 9.73 37 Spain 0.07 9.73 38 Georgia 0.07 9.30 39 Australia (2008) 0.07 9.64 40 Macedonia 0.07 9.73 41 Keya 0.07 9.73 42 Fortugal 0.06 8.52 43 Tunisia 0.06 8.47 44 Thailand 0.06 7.37 45 Italy 0.00 7.70 46 Cyprus 0.05 6.70 47 Croatia 0.00 4.84 48 Argentina 0.03 4.61 49 Albania 0.00 4.84 49 Albania 0.00 6.85 49 Albania 0.00 4.84 41 Failand 0.00 6.85 49 Albania 0.00 4.84 41 Failand 0.00 6.85 49 Albania 0.00 4.84 41 Failand 0.00 6.85 49 Albania 0.00 3.36 50 Madagascar (2005) 0.05 6.71 51 Russian Federation 0.00 4.53 51 Verus 0.00 5.67 51 Russian Federation 0.00 4.53 52 Colombia 0.00 2.25 53 Uganda 0.00 2.25 54 Elatvia 0.03 3.58 57 Poland 0.00 2.25 58 Colombia 0.00 2.25 59 Uganda 0.00 2.25 59 Uganda 0.00 2.25 59 Uganda 0.00 2.25 50 Uganda 0.00 2.25 50 Uganda 0.00 2.25 51 Isine 0.00 1.19	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
Sweden.	1	Guyana (2008)	3.67	100.00
4 Ireland. 0.75 10000 5 Luxembourg. 0.74 9.92.2 6 Singapore 0.74 .98.43 7 Finland 0.73 .97.7 8 Netherlands 0.669 .92.51 10 Hungary. 0.62 .82.91 11 United Kingdom 0.55 .73.16 12 Belgium 0.50 .6753 13 Japan. 0.43 .5731 14 Germany 0.41 .543 15 Israel. 0.39 .5212 16 Korea, Rep. 0.38 .51.22 17 France 0.35 .474 18 Canada 0.24 .32.27 Austria. 0.20 .26.43 20 Hong Kong (SAR), China (2008) 0.18 23.61 21 Norway. 0.17 .22.35 225 Serbia 0.15 1.967 23 Malaysia 0.14 1.842 24 Estonia 0.15 1.967 25 New Zealand 0.12 16.24 26 New Zealand 0.12	2	Paraguay	2.07	
5 Luxembourg 0.74 99.42 6 Singapore 0.74 98.43 7 Finland 0.73 97.77 8 Netherlands 0.69 92.51 9 United States of America 0.64 85.15 10 Hungary 0.62 82.91 11 United Kingdom 0.55 73.16 12 Belgium 0.50 67.53 13 Japan 0.43 57.31 14 Germany 0.41 55.43 15 Israel 0.39 52.12 16 Korea, Rep. 0.38 51.22 17 France 0.35 47.49 18 Canada 0.24 32.27 19 Austria 0.20 26.43 20 Hong Kong (SAR), China (2008) 0.18 23.61 21 Norway 0.17 22.35 22 Serbia 0.15 19.67 23 Malaysia 0.14 18.42 24 Estonia 0.15 19.67 25 Yemen 0.13 17.17 26 New Zealand 0.12				100.00
6 Singapore 0.74 .98.43 7 Finland 0.73 .97.77 8 Netherlands 0.69 .92.51 10 Hungary 0.62 .82.91 11 United Kingdom 0.55 .73.16 12 Belgium 0.50 .6753 13 Japan 0.43 .57.31 14 Germany 0.41 .5543 15 Israel 0.39 .52.12 16 Korea, Rep. 0.38 .51.22 17 France 0.35 .4749 18 Canada 0.24 .32.27 19 Austria 0.20 .26.43 20 Hong Kong (SAR), China (2008) 0.18 .23.61 1 Norway 0.17 .22.35 22 Serbia 0.15 .19.67 3 Malaysia 0.14 .18.42 24 Estonia .013 .17.17 25 Yemen .013 .16.97 26 New Zealand .012 .16.25 27 Romania .012 .16.04 28 Slovak Republic .010 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>100.00</td>				100.00
7 Finland .0.73 .97.77 8 Netherlands 0.69 .92.51 9 United States of America .0.64 .85.15 10 Hungary .0.62 .82.91 11 United Kingdom .0.55 .73.16 12 Belgium .0.50 .6733 13 Japan .0.43 .5731 14 Germany .0.41 .5543 15 Israel .0.39 .5212 16 Korea, Rep. .0.38 .51.22 17 France .0.38 .51.22 18 Canada .0.24 .32.27 Austria. .0.20 .26.43 20 Hong Kong (SAR), China (2008) .0.18 .23.61 21 Norway .0.17 .22.35 22 Serbia .0.15 .19.67 23 Malaysia .0.14 .18.42 24 Estonia .0.15 .19.67 25 Yemen. .0.13 .16.97 26 New Zealand .0.12 .16.04 27 Romania. .0.12 .16.04 28 Slov		9		99.22
8 Netherlands 0.669 .9251 9 United States of America 0.64 .8515 10 Hungary 0.062 .82.91 11 United Kingdom 0.55 .73.16 12 Belgium 0.050 .67.53 13 Japan 0.43 .57.31 14 Germany 0.41 .55.43 15 Israel 0.39 .52.12 16 Korea, Rep. 0.38 .51.22 17 France 0.35 .47.49 18 Canada 0.24 .32.71 19 Austria 0.20 .26.43 20 Hong Kong (SAR), China (2008) 0.18 .23.61 21 Norway 0.17 .22.35 22 Serbia 0.15 .19.67 23 Malaysia 0.14 .18.42 24 Estonia 0.13 .17.17 25 Yermen 0.13 .16.07 26 New Zealand 0.12 .16.25 3 Romania 0.12 .16.25 2 Roward 0.12 .16.25 3 Wing Yeystan		9 .		98.43
9 United States of America 0.64 85.15 10 Hungary. 0.62 82.91 11 United Kingdom 0.55 .73.16 12 Belgium 0.50 .67.33 13 Japan 0.43 .57.31 14 Germany 0.41 .55.43 15 Israel. 0.39 .52.12 16 Korea, Rep. 0.38 51.22 17 France 0.35 .47.49 18 Canada 0.24 32.27 19 Austria 0.20 .26.43 20 Hong Kong (SAR), China (2008) 0.18 .23.61 21 Norway 0.17 .22.35 22 Serbia 0.15 .1967 3 Malaysia 0.14 18.42 2 Estonia 0.13 .17.17 25 Yemen 0.13 .16.25 26 New Zealand 0.12 .16.25 27 Romania 0.12 .16.04 28 Slovak Republic 0.10 .14.02 29 Ukraine 0.10 .14.02 30 Kayrgyzstan				9/.//
10 Hungary. 0.62 82.91 11 United Kingdom 0.55 73.16 12 Belgium 0.50 67.53 13 Japan 0.43 57.31 14 Germany 0.41 55.43 15 Israel 0.39 52.12 16 Korea, Rep. 0.38 51.22 17 France 0.35 47.49 18 Canada 0.24 32.27 19 Austria 0.20 26.43 20 Hong Kong (SAR), China (2008) 0.18 23.61 21 Norway 0.17 22.35 22 Serbia 0.15 19.67 23 Malaysia 0.14 18.42 24 Estonia 0.13 17.17 25 Yemen 0.13 16.25 26 New Zealand 0.12 16.25 27 Romania 0.12 16.25 28 Slovak Republic 0.10 14.02 29 Ukraine 0.10 14.02 30 Egypt (2007) 0.09 12.52 31 Kyrgyzstan 0.08				92.51
11 United Kingdom. 0.55 73.16 12 Belgium 0.50 67.53 13 Japan. 0.43 57.31 14 Germany 0.41 55.43 15 Israel. 0.39 52.12 16 Korea, Rep. 0.38 51.22 17 France 0.35 47.49 18 Canada 0.24 32.27 19 Austria. 0.20 26.43 20 Hong Kong (SAR), China (2008) 0.18 23.61 21 Norway. 0.17 22.35 22 Serbia 0.15 19.67 23 Malaysia 0.14 18.42 24 Estonia 0.13 1.17.17 25 Yemen. 0.13 1.62.5 25 Yemen. 0.13 1.62.5 26 New Zealand 0.12 16.25 27 Romania 0.12 16.25 28 Slo				85.15
12 Belgium 0.50 67.53 13 Japan 0.43 57.31 14 Germany 0.41 55.43 15 Israel 0.39 52.12 16 Korea, Rep. 0.38 51.22 17 France 0.35 4.749 18 Canada 0.24 32.27 19 Austria 0.20 2.643 20 Hong Kong (SAR), China (2008) 0.18 2.361 21 Norway. 0.17 2.235 22 Serbia 0.15 1967 23 Malaysia 0.14 19.67 24 Estonia 0.13 1.717 25 Yemen 0.13 1.697 26 New Zealand 0.12 16.25 27 Romania 0.12 16.25 28 Slovak Republic 0.10 14.02 29 Ukraine 0.10 14.02 29 Ukraine <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>72.16</td>				72.16
13 Japan. 0.43 57.31 14 Germany 0.41 55.43 15 Israel. 0.39 52.12 16 Korea, Rep. 0.38 51.22 17 France 0.35 4.749 18 Canada 0.24 32.27 19 Austria. 0.20 26.43 20 Hong Kong (SAR), China (2008) 0.18 2.361 21 Norway. 0.17 2.23 22 Serbia 0.15 19.67 23 Malaysia 0.14 18.42 24 Estonia 0.13 17.17 25 Yemen 0.13 16.77 26 New Zealand 0.12 16.25 27 Romania 0.12 16.25 28 Slovak Republic 0.10 14.02 29 Ukraine 0.10 14.02 29 Ukraine 0.10 14.02 30 Egypt (2		9		6753
14 Germany .0.41 .55.43 15 Israel .0.39 .52.12 16 Korea, Rep. .0.38 .51.22 17 France .0.35 .4749 18 Canada .0.24 .32.27 19 Austria .0.20 .26.43 20 Hong Kong (SAR), China (2008) .0.18 .23.61 21 Norway .0.17 .22.52 22 Serbia .0.15 .19.67 23 Malaysia .0.14 .18.42 24 Estonia .0.13 .17.17 25 Yemen .0.13 .16.25 26 New Zealand .0.12 .16.25 27 Romania .0.12 .16.25 28 Slovak Republic .0.10 .14.02 29 Ukraine .0.10 .13.21 30 Egypt (2007) .0.99 .12.52 31 Kyrgyzstan .0.08 .10.75 <tr< td=""><td></td><td>3</td><td></td><td>5731</td></tr<>		3		5731
15 Israel. 0.39 52.12 16 Korea, Rep. 0.38 51.22 17 France 0.35 47.49 18 Canada 0.24 32.71 19 Austria 0.20 26.43 20 Hong Kong (SAR), China (2008) 0.18 23.61 21 Norway. 0.17 22.35 22 Serbia 0.15 19.67 23 Malaysia 0.14 18.42 24 Estonia 0.13 17.17 25 Yemen. 0.13 16.97 26 New Zealand 0.12 16.25 27 Romania 0.12 16.25 28 Slovak Republic 0.10 13.21 29 Ukraine 0.10 13.21 30 Egypt (2007) 0.09 12.52 31 Kyrgyzstan 0.08 10.33 32 Moldova, Rep. 0.08 10.33 33		·		55.43
16 Korea, Rep. 0.38 51.22 17 France 0.35 47.49 18 Canada 0.24 32.27 19 Austria. 0.20 26.43 20 Hong Kong (SAR), China (2008) 0.18 23.61 21 Norway. 0.17 22.35 22 Serbia 0.15 19.67 23 Malaysia 0.14 18.42 24 Estonia 0.13 1.717 25 Yemen. 0.13 16.97 26 New Zealand 0.12 16.25 27 Romania. 0.12 16.04 28 Slovak Republic. 0.10 13.21 29 Ukraine 0.10 13.21 30 Egypt (2007). 0.09 12.52 31 Kyrgyzstan. 0.08 10.83 32 Moldova, Rep. 0.08 10.83 33 Jamaica. 0.08 10.13 34 <td></td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td>52 12</td>		,		52 12
17 France 0.35 47.49 18 Canada 0.24 32.27 19 Austria 0.20 26.43 20 Hong Kong (SAR), China (2008) 0.18 23.61 21 Norway 0.17 22.35 22 Serbia 0.15 19.67 23 Malaysia 0.14 18.42 24 Estonia 0.13 16.77 25 Yemen 0.13 16.97 26 New Zealand 0.12 16.25 27 Romania 0.12 16.25 28 Slovak Republic 0.10 14.02 29 Ukraine 0.10 14.02 30 Egypt (2007) 0.09 12.52 31 Kyrgyzstan 0.08 10.75 32 Moldova, Rep 0.08 10.75 33 Jamaica 0.08 10.75 34 Mexico 0.07 9.06 35 Slovenia 0.07 9.33 36 Bosnia & Herzegovina 0.				
18 Canada 0.24 32.27 19 Austria. 0.20 26.43 20 Hong Kong (SAR), China (2008) 0.18 23.61 21 Norway. 0.17 22.35 22 Serbia 0.15 19.67 23 Malaysia 0.14 18.42 24 Estonia 0.13 17.17 25 Yemen. 0.13 16.97 26 New Zealand 0.12 16.04 27 Romania. 0.12 16.04 28 Slovak Republic. 0.10 14.02 29 Ukraine. 0.10 13.21 30 Egypt (2007). 0.09 12.52 31 Kyrgyzstan. 0.08 10.87 32 Moldova, Rep. 0.08 10.73 33 Jamaica. 0.08 10.73 34 Mexico 0.07 10.04 35 Slovenia 0.07 9.30 36 Bosnia & Herzegovina 0.07 9.73 37 Spain 0.07 9.55 38 Georgia. 0.07 9.06 40 Macedonia				47.49
20 Hong Kong (SAR), China (2008) 0.18 23.61 21 Norway 0.17 22.35 22 Serbia 0.15 1967 23 Malaysia 0.14 18.42 24 Estonia 0.13 17.17 25 Yemen 0.13 16.97 26 New Zealand 0.12 16.25 27 Romania 0.12 16.04 28 Slovak Republic 0.10 14.02 29 Ukraine 0.10 14.02 29 Ukraine 0.10 13.21 30 Egypt (2007) 0.09 12.52 31 Kyrgyzstan 0.08 10.75 32 Moldova, Rep 0.08 10.75 33 Jamaica 0.08 10.75 34 Mexico 0.07 10.04 35 Slovenia 0.07 9.73 36 Bosnia & Herzegovina 0.07 9.73 37 Spain 0.07 9.73 38 Georgia 0.07	18			32.27
21 Norway. 0.17 22.35 22 Serbia 0.15 19.67 23 Malaysia 0.14 18.42 24 Estonia 0.13 1.717 25 Yemen. 0.13 16.97 26 New Zealand 0.12 16.25 27 Romania 0.12 16.04 28 Slovak Republic. 0.10 14.02 29 Ukraine 0.10 13.21 30 Egypt (2007) 0.09 12.52 31 Kyrgyzstan 0.08 10.75 32 Moldova, Rep. 0.08 10.75 33 Jamaica. 0.08 10.75 34 Mexico. 0.07 9.06 35 Slovenia 0.07 9.0	19	Austria	0.20	26.43
22 Serbia 0.15 19.67 23 Malaysia 0.14 18.42 24 Estonia 0.13 1.717 25 Yemen 0.13 16.97 26 New Zealand 0.12 16.25 27 Romania 0.12 16.04 28 Slovak Republic 0.10 14.02 29 Ukraine 0.10 13.21 30 Egypt (2007) 0.09 12.52 31 Kyrgyzstan 0.08 10.87 32 Moldova, Rep. 0.08 10.73 33 Jamaica 0.08 10.73 34 Mexico 0.07 10.04 35 Slovenia 0.07 9.82 36 Bosnia & Herzegovina 0.07 9.73 37 Spain 0.07 9.55 38 Georgia 0.07 9.55 38 Georgia 0.07 9.55 39 Australia (2008) 0.07 9.06 40 Macedonia 0.07	20	Hong Kong (SAR), China (2008)	0.18	23.61
23 Malaysia 0.14 18.42 24 Estonia 0.13 .17.17 25 Yemen 0.13 .16.97 26 New Zealand 0.12 .16.25 27 Romania 0.12 .16.04 28 Slovak Republic 0.10 .14.02 29 Ukraine 0.10 .13.21 30 Egypt (2007) 0.09 .12.52 31 Kyrgyzstan 0.08 .10.87 32 Moldova, Rep. 0.08 .10.75 33 Jamaica 0.08 .10.13 34 Mexico 0.07 .10.04 35 Slovenia 0.07 .9.73 36 Bosnia & Herzegovina 0.07 .9.73 37 Spain 0.07 .9.55 38 Georgia 0.07 .9.30 39 Australia (2008) 0.07 .9.30 40 Macedonia 0.07 .9.30 41 Kenya 0.07 .9.06 42 Portugal <t< td=""><td>21</td><td>Norway</td><td> 0.17</td><td>22.35</td></t<>	21	Norway	0.17	22.35
24 Estonia 0.13 .17.17 25 Yemen. 0.13 .16.97 26 New Zealand 0.12 .16.25 27 Romania 0.12 .16.04 28 Slovak Republic. 0.10 .14.02 29 Ukraine. 0.10 .13.21 30 Egypt (2007) 0.09 .12.52 31 Kyrgyzstan 0.08 .10.87 32 Moldova, Rep. 0.08 .10.75 33 Jamaica. 0.08 .10.13 34 Mexico 0.07 .10.04 35 Slovenia 0.07 .9.23 36 Bosnia & Herzegovina 0.07 .9.23 37 Spain 0.07 .9.33 38 Georgia. 0.07 .9.30 39 Australia (2008). 0.07 .9.33 40 Macedonia 0.07 .9.36 41 Kenya. 0.07 .9.06 42 Portugal 0.06 8.52 43 Tunisia	22	Serbia	0.15	19.67
25 Yemen. 0.13 16.97 26 New Zealand 0.12 16.25 27 Romania. 0.12 16.04 28 Slovak Republic. 0.10 14.02 29 Ukraine 0.10 13.21 30 Egypt (2007). 0.09 12.52 31 Kyrgyzstan. 0.08 10.87 32 Moldova, Rep. 0.08 10.73 33 Jamaica. 0.08 10.13 34 Mexico 0.07 10.04 35 Slovenia 0.07 9.82 36 Bosnia & Herzegovina 0.07 9.73 37 Spain 0.07 9.55 38 Georgia 0.07 9.30 39 Australia (2008) 0.07 9.06 40 Macedonia 0.07 9.06 41 Kenya. 0.07 9.06 42 Portugal 0.06 8.47 43 Tunisia. 0.06 8.47 44 Thailand 0.06 7.37 45 Italy. 0.05 7.07 46 Cyprus. 0.05 6.78 47 Croatia. 0.05 6.78	23	Malaysia	0.14	18.42
26 New Zealand 0.12 16.25 27 Romania 0.12 16.04 28 Slovak Republic 0.10 14.02 29 Ukraine 0.10 13.21 30 Egypt (2007) 0.09 12.52 31 Kyrgyzstan 0.08 10.87 32 Moldova, Rep 0.08 10.75 33 Jamaica 0.08 10.13 34 Mexico 0.07 10.04 35 Slovenia 0.07 9.82 36 Bosnia & Herzegovina 0.07 9.73 37 Spain 0.07 9.73 38 Georgia 0.07 9.30 39 Australia (2008) 0.07 9.06 40 Macedonia 0.07 9.95 41 Kenya 0.07 9.95 42 Portugal 0.06 8.47 43 Tunisia 0.06 8.47 44 Thailand 0.06 7.37 45 Italy 0.05	24	Estonia	0.13	
27 Romania 0.12 16.04 28 Slovak Republic 0.10 14.02 29 Ukraine 0.10 13.21 30 Egypt (2007) 0.09 12.52 31 Kyrgyzstan 0.08 10.87 32 Moldova, Rep 0.08 10.75 33 Jamaica 0.08 10.13 34 Mexico 0.07 10.04 35 Slovenia 0.07 10.04 36 Bosnia & Herzegovina 0.07 9.82 36 Bosnia & Herzegovina 0.07 9.73 37 Spain 0.07 9.55 38 Georgia 0.07 9.05 40 Macedonia 0.07 9.06 40 Macedonia 0.07 8.95 41 Kenya 0.07 8.95 41 Kenya 0.07 8.94 42 Portugal 0.06 8.52 43 Tunisia 0.06 8.73 45 Italy 0.05 .	25	Yemen	0.13	16.97
28 Slovak Republic. 0.10 14.02 29 Ukraine 0.10 13.21 30 Egypt (2007) 0.09 12.52 31 Kyrgyzstan. 0.08 10.87 32 Moldova, Rep. 0.08 10.75 33 Jamaica. 0.08 10.13 34 Mexico 0.07 10.04 35 Slovenia 0.07 9.82 36 Bosnia & Herzegovina 0.07 9.73 37 Spain 0.07 9.30 39 Australia (2008) 0.07 9.30 39 Australia (2008) 0.07 9.06 40 Macedonia 0.07 8.95 41 Kenya. 0.07 8.95 41 Kenya. 0.07 8.95 41 Kenya. 0.07 8.94 42 Portugal 0.06 8.52 43 Tunisia. 0.06 8.73 44 Thailand 0.06 7.37 45 Italy. 0.05				16.25
29 Ukraine 0.10 13.21 30 Egypt (2007) 0.09 12.52 31 Kyrgyzstan 0.08 10.87 32 Moldova, Rep. 0.08 10.75 33 Jamaica 0.08 10.13 34 Mexico 0.07 10.04 35 Slovenia 0.07 9.82 36 Bosnia & Herzegovina 0.07 9.73 37 Spain 0.07 9.55 38 Georgia 0.07 9.06 40 Macedonia 0.07 9.06 40 Macedonia 0.07 8.93 41 Kenya 0.07 8.94 42 Portugal 0.06 8.52 43 Tunisia 0.06 8.47 44 Thailand 0.06 7.37 45 Italy 0.05 7.07 46 Cyprus 0.05 6.94 47 Croatia 0.05 6.86 48 Czech Republic 0.05 6.78 <				16.04
30 Egypt (2007) 0.09 12.52 31 Kyrgyzstan 0.08 10.87 32 Moldova, Rep. 0.08 10.75 33 Jamaica. 0.08 10.13 34 Mexico 0.07 10.04 35 Slovenia 0.07 9.82 36 Bosnia & Herzegovina 0.07 9.73 37 Spain 0.07 9.95 38 Georgia. 0.07 9.30 39 Australia (2008). 0.07 9.96 40 Macedonia 0.07 9.96 40 Macedonia 0.07 9.96 41 Kenya. 0.07 9.96 42 Portugal 0.06 8.52 43 Tunisia. 0.06 8.52 43 Tunisia. 0.06 8.73 45 Italy. 0.05 7.07 46 Cyprus. 0.05 6.94 47 Croatia. <td></td> <td>·</td> <td></td> <td>14.02</td>		·		14.02
31 Kyrgyzstan 0.08 10.87 32 Moldova, Rep. 0.08 10.75 33 Jamaica. 0.08 10.13 34 Mexico 0.07 10.04 35 Slovenia 0.07 9.82 36 Bosnia & Herzegovina 0.07 9.73 37 Spain 0.07 9.95 38 Georgia. 0.07 9.90 40 Macedonia 0.07 9.96 40 Macedonia 0.07 9.96 41 Kenya. 0.07 8.95 41 Kenya. 0.07 8.95 41 Kenya. 0.07 8.96 42 Portugal 0.06 8.52 43 Tunisia. 0.06 8.47 44 Thailand 0.06 7.37 45 Italy. 0.05 7.07 46 Cyprus. 0.05 6.94 47 Croatia. 0.05 6.86 48 Czech Republic. 0.05 6.78 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td> 13.21</td>				13.21
32 Moldova, Rep. 0.08 10.75 33 Jamaica. 0.08 10.13 34 Mexico 0.07 10.04 35 Slovenia 0.07 9.82 36 Bosnia & Herzegovina 0.07 9.73 37 Spain 0.07 9.95 38 Georgia. 0.07 9.90 40 Macedonia 0.07 9.96 40 Macedonia 0.07 8.95 41 Kenya. 0.07 8.95 41 Kenya. 0.07 8.96 42 Portugal 0.06 8.52 43 Tunisia. 0.06 8.47 44 Thailand 0.06 7.37 45 Italy. 0.05 7.07 46 Cyprus. 0.05 6.94 47 Croatia. 0.05 6.94 48 Czech Republic. 0.05 6.78 49 Albania 0.05 6.36 50 Madagascar (2005) 0.05 6.17		37.		12.52
33 Jamaica. 0.08 10.13 34 Mexico 0.07 10.04 35 Slovenia 0.07 9.82 36 Bosnia & Herzegovina 0.07 9.73 37 Spain 0.07 9.55 38 Georgia. 0.07 9.30 39 Australia (2008). 0.07 9.06 40 Macedonia 0.07 8.95 41 Kenya. 0.07 8.76 42 Portugal 0.06 8.52 43 Tunisia. 0.06 8.47 44 Thailand 0.06 7.37 45 Italy. 0.05 7.07 46 Cyprus. 0.05 6.94 47 Croatia. 0.05 6.86 48 Czech Republic. 0.05 6.78 49 Albania 0.05 6.78 49 Albania 0.05 6.78 50 Madagascar (2005) 0.05 6.17 51 Russian Federation 0.04		, 3,		10.8/
34 Mexico 0.07 10.04 35 Slovenia 0.07 9.82 36 Bosnia & Herzegovina 0.07 9.73 37 Spain 0.07 9.55 38 Georgia 0.07 9.30 39 Australia (2008) 0.07 9.06 40 Macedonia 0.07 8.95 41 Kenya 0.07 8.76 42 Portugal 0.06 8.52 43 Tunisia 0.06 8.47 44 Thailand 0.06 7.37 45 Italy 0.05 7.07 46 Cyprus 0.05 6.94 47 Croatia 0.05 6.86 48 Czech Republic 0.05 6.78 49 Albania 0.05 6.78 49 Albania 0.05 6.36 50 Madagascar (2005) 0.05 6.17 51 Russian Federation 0.04 5.37 52 Chile 0.04 4.84 <td></td> <td>* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td> <td></td> <td>10.75</td>		* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		10.75
35 Slovenia 0.07 9.82 36 Bosnia & Herzegovina 0.07 9.73 37 Spain 0.07 9.55 38 Georgia 0.07 9.30 39 Australia (2008) 0.07 9.06 40 Macedonia 0.07 8.95 41 Kenya 0.07 8.76 42 Portugal 0.06 8.52 43 Tunisia 0.06 8.47 44 Thailand 0.06 7.37 45 Italy 0.05 7.07 46 Cyprus 0.05 6.94 47 Croatia 0.05 6.86 48 Czech Republic 0.05 6.78 49 Albania 0.05 6.36 50 Madagascar (2005) 0.05 6.78 49 Albania 0.05 6.36 50 Madagascar (2005) 0.05 6.78 49 Albania 0.05 6.36 50 Madagascar (2005) 0.05 <				10.13
36 Bosnia & Herzegovina 0.07 9.73 37 Spain 0.07 9.55 38 Georgia 0.07 9.30 39 Australia (2008) 0.07 9.06 40 Macedonia 0.07 8.95 41 Kenya 0.07 8.76 42 Portugal 0.06 8.52 43 Tunisia 0.06 8.47 44 Thailand 0.06 7.37 45 Italy 0.05 7.07 46 Cyprus 0.05 7.07 46 Cyprus 0.05 6.94 47 Croatia 0.05 6.86 48 Czech Republic 0.05 6.78 49 Albania 0.05 6.36 50 Madagascar (2005) 0.05 6.17 51 Russian Federation 0.04 5.37 52 Chile 0.04 4.84 53 Argentina 0.03 4.61 54 Guatemala 0.03 3.69 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.87</td>				0.87
37 Spain 0.07 9.55 38 Georgia 0.07 9.30 39 Australia (2008) 0.07 9.06 40 Macedonia 0.07 8.95 41 Kenya 0.07 8.76 42 Portugal 0.06 8.52 43 Tunisia 0.06 8.47 44 Thailand 0.06 7.37 45 Italy 0.05 7.07 46 Cyprus 0.05 6.94 47 Croatia 0.05 6.86 48 Czech Republic 0.05 6.78 49 Albania 0.05 6.36 50 Madagascar (2005) 0.05 6.17 51 Russian Federation 0.04 5.37 52 Chile 0.04 4.84 53 Argentina 0.03 4.61 54 Guatemala 0.03 3.69 56 Latvia 0.03 3.58 57 Poland 0.02 2.76				0.73
38 Georgia. 0.07 9.30 39 Australia (2008). 0.07 9.06 40 Macedonia. 0.07 8.95 41 Kenya. 0.07 8.76 42 Portugal. 0.06 8.52 43 Tunisia. 0.06 8.47 44 Thailand. 0.06 7.37 45 Italy. 0.05 7.07 46 Cyprus. 0.05 6.94 47 Croatia. 0.05 6.86 48 Czech Republic. 0.05 6.78 49 Albania. 0.05 6.36 50 Madagascar (2005). 0.05 6.17 51 Russian Federation. 0.04 5.37 52 Chile. 0.04 4.84 53 Argentina. 0.03 4.61 54 Guatemala. 0.03 4.61 55 Brazil. 0.03 3.69 56 Latv		9		9.55
39 Australia (2008) 0.07 9.06 40 Macedonia 0.07 8.95 41 Kenya 0.07 8.76 42 Portugal 0.06 8.52 43 Tunisia 0.06 8.47 44 Thailand 0.06 7.37 45 Italy 0.05 7.07 46 Cyprus 0.05 6.94 47 Croatia 0.05 6.86 48 Czech Republic 0.05 6.78 49 Albania 0.05 6.36 50 Madagascar (2005) 0.05 6.17 51 Russian Federation 0.04 5.37 52 Chile 0.04 4.84 53 Argentina 0.03 4.61 54 Guatemala 0.03 4.61 55 Brazil 0.03 3.69 56 Latvia 0.03 3.58 57 Poland <td< td=""><td></td><td>•</td><td></td><td>930</td></td<>		•		930
40 Macedonia 0.07 8.95 41 Kenya 0.07 8.76 42 Portugal 0.06 8.52 43 Tunisia 0.06 8.47 44 Thailand 0.06 7.37 45 Italy 0.05 7.07 46 Cyprus 0.05 6.94 47 Croatia 0.05 6.86 48 Czech Republic 0.05 6.78 49 Albania 0.05 6.36 50 Madagascar (2005) 0.05 6.17 51 Russian Federation 0.04 5.37 52 Chile 0.04 4.84 53 Argentina 0.03 4.61 54 Guatemala 0.03 4.61 55 Brazil 0.03 3.58 57 Poland 0.02 3.18 58 Colombia 0.02 2.76 59 Uganda 0.02 2.54 60 Bulgaria 0.02 2.54 <t< td=""><td></td><td>3</td><td></td><td>9.06</td></t<>		3		9.06
42 Portugal 0.06 8.52 43 Tunisia 0.06 8.47 44 Thailand 0.06 7.37 45 Italy 0.05 7.07 46 Cyprus 0.05 6.94 47 Croatia 0.05 6.86 48 Czech Republic 0.05 6.36 50 Madagascar (2005) 0.05 6.17 51 Russian Federation 0.04 5.37 52 Chile 0.04 4.84 53 Argentina 0.03 4.61 54 Guatemala 0.03 4.61 55 Brazil 0.03 3.58 56 Latvia 0.03 3.58 57 Poland 0.02 3.18 58 Colombia 0.02 2.76 59 Uganda 0.02 2.54 60 Bulgaria 0.02 2.54 61 South Africa 0.02 2.24 62 India 0.01 1.97 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td> 8.95</td>				8.95
43 Tunisia 0.06 8.47 44 Thailand 0.06 7.37 45 Italy 0.05 7.07 46 Cyprus 0.05 6.94 47 Croatia 0.05 6.86 48 Czech Republic 0.05 6.78 49 Albania 0.05 6.36 50 Madagascar (2005) 0.05 6.17 51 Russian Federation 0.04 5.37 52 Chile 0.04 4.84 53 Argentina 0.03 4.61 54 Guatemala 0.03 4.61 55 Brazil 0.03 3.58 56 Latvia 0.03 3.58 57 Poland 0.02 3.18 58 Colombia 0.02 2.76 59 Uganda 0.02 2.54 60 Bulgaria 0.02 2.54 61 South Africa 0.02 2.24 62 India 0.01 1.97 <td>41</td> <td>Kenya</td> <td>0.07</td> <td> 8.76</td>	41	Kenya	0.07	8.76
44 Thailand 0.06 7.37 45 Italy 0.05 7.07 46 Cyprus 0.05 6.94 47 Croatia 0.05 6.86 48 Czech Republic 0.05 6.78 49 Albania 0.05 6.36 50 Madagascar (2005) 0.05 6.17 51 Russian Federation 0.04 5.37 52 Chile 0.04 4.84 53 Argentina 0.03 4.61 54 Guatemala 0.03 4.16 55 Brazil 0.03 3.58 56 Latvia 0.03 3.58 57 Poland 0.02 3.18 58 Colombia 0.02 2.76 59 Uganda 0.02 2.54 60 Bulgaria 0.02 2.54 61 South Africa 0.02 2.24 62 India 0.01 1.97	42	Portugal	0.06	8.52
45 Italy 0.05 7.07 46 Cyprus 0.05 6.94 47 Croatia 0.05 6.86 48 Czech Republic 0.05 6.78 49 Albania 0.05 6.36 50 Madagascar (2005) 0.05 6.17 51 Russian Federation 0.04 5.37 52 Chile 0.04 4.84 53 Argentina 0.03 4.61 54 Guatemala 0.03 4.16 55 Brazil 0.03 3.58 56 Latvia 0.03 3.58 57 Poland 0.02 3.18 58 Colombia 0.02 2.76 59 Uganda 0.02 2.54 60 Bulgaria 0.02 2.54 61 South Africa 0.02 2.24 62 India 0.01 1.97	43	Tunisia	0.06	8.47
46 Cyprus. 0.05 6.94 47 Croatia. 0.05 6.86 48 Czech Republic. 0.05 6.78 49 Albania. 0.05 6.36 50 Madagascar (2005). 0.05 6.17 51 Russian Federation. 0.04 5.37 52 Chile. 0.04 4.84 53 Argentina. 0.03 4.61 54 Guatemala. 0.03 4.61 55 Brazil. 0.03 3.58 56 Latvia. 0.03 3.58 57 Poland. 0.02 3.18 58 Colombia. 0.02 2.76 59 Uganda. 0.02 2.54 60 Bulgaria. 0.02 2.54 61 South Africa. 0.02 2.24 62 India. 0.01 1.97	44	Thailand	0.06	7.37
47 Croatia 0.05 6.86 48 Czech Republic 0.05 6.78 49 Albania 0.05 6.36 50 Madagascar (2005) 0.05 6.17 51 Russian Federation 0.04 5.37 52 Chile 0.04 4.84 53 Argentina 0.03 4.61 54 Guatemala 0.03 4.61 55 Brazil 0.03 3.69 56 Latvia 0.03 3.58 57 Poland 0.02 3.18 58 Colombia 0.02 2.76 59 Uganda 0.02 2.54 60 Bulgaria 0.02 2.54 61 South Africa 0.02 2.24 62 India 0.01 1.97	45	Italy	0.05	7.07
48 Czech Republic 0.05 6.78 49 Albania 0.05 6.36 50 Madagascar (2005) 0.05 6.17 51 Russian Federation 0.04 5.37 52 Chile 0.04 4.84 53 Argentina 0.03 4.61 54 Guatemala 0.03 3.69 55 Brazil 0.03 3.58 57 Poland 0.02 3.18 58 Colombia 0.02 2.76 59 Uganda 0.02 2.54 60 Bulgaria 0.02 2.54 61 South Africa 0.02 2.24 62 India 0.01 1.97	46	Cyprus	0.05	6.94
49 Albania 0.05 6.36 50 Madagascar (2005) 0.05 6.17 51 Russian Federation 0.04 5.37 52 Chile. 0.04 4.84 53 Argentina. 0.03 4.61 54 Guatemala. 0.03 3.69 55 Brazil 0.03 3.58 57 Poland. 0.02 3.18 58 Colombia. 0.02 2.76 59 Uganda. 0.02 2.54 60 Bulgaria. 0.02 2.54 61 South Africa 0.02 2.24 62 India. 0.01 1.97	47	Croatia	0.05	6.86
50 Madagascar (2005) 0.05 6.17 51 Russian Federation 0.04 5.37 52 Chile. 0.04 4.84 53 Argentina. 0.03 4.61 54 Guatemala. 0.03 4.16 55 Brazil 0.03 3.69 56 Latvia. 0.03 3.58 57 Poland. 0.02 3.18 58 Colombia. 0.02 2.76 59 Uganda. 0.02 2.54 60 Bulgaria. 0.02 2.54 61 South Africa 0.02 2.24 62 India. 0.01 1.97	48			:
51 Russian Federation 0.04 5.37 52 Chile. 0.04 4.84 53 Argentina. 0.03 4.61 54 Guatemala. 0.03 3.69 55 Brazil 0.03 3.58 56 Latvia. 0.03 3.58 57 Poland. 0.02 3.18 58 Colombia. 0.02 2.76 59 Uganda. 0.02 2.54 60 Bulgaria. 0.02 2.54 61 South Africa 0.02 2.24 62 India. 0.01 1.97	49	Albania	0.05	6.36
52 Chile. 0.04 4.84 53 Argentina. 0.03 4.61 54 Guatemala. 0.03 4.16 55 Brazil. 0.03 3.69 56 Latvia. 0.03 3.58 57 Poland. 0.02 3.18 58 Colombia. 0.02 2.76 59 Uganda. 0.02 2.54 60 Bulgaria. 0.02 2.54 61 South Africa 0.02 2.24 62 India. 0.01 1.97		9		
53 Argentina. 0.03 4.61 54 Guatemala. 0.03 4.16 55 Brazil 0.03 3.69 56 Latvia. 0.03 3.58 57 Poland. 0.02 3.18 58 Colombia. 0.02 2.76 59 Uganda. 0.02 2.54 60 Bulgaria. 0.02 2.54 61 South Africa 0.02 2.24 62 India. 0.01 1.97				<u> </u>
54 Guatemala 0.03 4.16 55 Brazil 0.03 3.69 56 Latvia 0.03 3.58 57 Poland 0.02 3.18 58 Colombia 0.02 2.76 59 Uganda 0.02 2.54 60 Bulgaria 0.02 2.54 61 South Africa 0.02 2.24 62 India 0.01 1.97				
55 Brazil 0.03 3.69 56 Latvia 0.03 3.58 57 Poland 0.02 3.18 58 Colombia 0.02 2.76 59 Uganda 0.02 2.54 60 Bulgaria 0.02 2.54 61 South Africa 0.02 2.24 62 India 0.01 1.97		-		:
56 Latvia 0.03 3.58 57 Poland 0.02 3.18 58 Colombia 0.02 2.76 59 Uganda 0.02 2.54 60 Bulgaria 0.02 2.54 61 South Africa 0.02 2.24 62 India 0.01 1.97				:
57 Poland. 0.02 3.18 58 Colombia. 0.02 2.76 59 Uganda. 0.02 2.54 60 Bulgaria. 0.02 2.54 61 South Africa 0.02 2.24 62 India. 0.01 1.97				
58 Colombia 0.02 2.76 59 Uganda 0.02 2.54 60 Bulgaria 0.02 2.54 61 South Africa 0.02 2.24 62 India 0.01 1.97				
59 Uganda. 0.02 2.54 60 Bulgaria. 0.02 2.54 61 South Africa 0.02 2.24 62 India. 0.01 1.97				
60 Bulgaria. 0.02 2.54 61 South Africa 0.02 2.24 62 India. 0.01 1.97				:
61 South Africa 0.02 2.24 62 India 0.01 1.97		_		:
62 India		_		
64 Bolivia				:

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
65	Tajikistan	. 0.01	1.68
66	Honduras (2003)		
67	China	. 0.01	1.15
68	Ethiopia	. 0.01	1.01
69	Indonesia		
70	Mongolia		
71	Botswana		
72	Azerbaijan		
73 74	Pakistan		
74 75	Mali (2008).		
75 76	Morocco		
77	Costa Rica		
78	Senegal (2008).		
79	El Salvador.		
80	Cameroon (2008)		
81	Peru	.0.00	0.22
82	Côte d'Ivoire (2008)	.0.00	0.17
83	Philippines	.0.00	0.17
84	Swaziland	.0.00	0.15
85	Lithuania		
86	Mauritius		
87	Burkina Faso (2008)		
88	Cambodia		
89	Bangladesh		
90 91	Uruguay		
91	Iceland (2008)		
93	Niger (2007)		
94	Kazakhstan (2005)		
95	Namibia		
96	Tanzania (2007)		
n/a	Algeria	n/a	n/a
n/a	Armenia	n/a	n/a
n/a	Bahrain	n/a	n/a
n/a	Brunei Darussalam		
n/a	Denmark		
n/a	Ecuador		
n/a	Ghana		
n/a n/a	Iran		
n/a n/a	Jordan Kuwait		
n/a	Lebanon.		
n/a	Malawi		
n/a	Nicaragua	n/a	n/a
n/a	Nigeria		
n/a	Oman	n/a	n/a
n/a	Panama	n/a	n/a
n/a	Qatar	n/a	n/a
n/a	Saudi Arabia		
n/a	Sri Lanka		
n/a	Sudan		
n/a	Switzerland		
n/a	Syrian Arab Republic		
n/a n/a	Trinidad and Tobago Turkey		
n/a n/a	United Arab Emirates		
n/a	Venezuela		
n/a	Viet Nam		
n/a	Zambia		
n/a	Zimbabwe		

SOURCE: International Monetary Fund; World Bank and OECD GDP estimates, World Bank World Development Indicators database (2000–09)

6.3.2 High-tech exports
High-tech exports net of re-exports (% of total exports net of re-exports) | 2009

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Singapore	3737	100.00	: 65	Russian Federation (2010)	1.45	3.85
2	Malaysia			66	Pakistan		
3	China			67	Mauritius		
4	Korea, Rep			68	Colombia (2010)		
5	Costa Rica (2010)			69	Madagascar		
6	Switzerland			70	Paraguay (2010)		
7	Ireland	22.62 .	60.52	71	Albania (2010)	0.91	2.41
8	Israel	22.00 .	58.88	72	Sri Lanka (2010)	0.75	2.00
9	Hungary (2010)	21.34 .		73	Tanzania (2010)	0.70	1.86
10	France (2010)			74	Senegal (2010)	0.68	1.80
11	Thailand (2010)	19.07 .	51.01	75	Syrian Arab Republic (2008)	0.60	1.59
12	Mexico			76	Armenia (2010)	0.55	1.44
13	Japan (2010)			77	Nicaragua		
14	Cyprus			78	Chile		
15	United Kingdom (2010)			79	Namibia (2008)		
16	Netherlands			80	Niger (2008)		
17	Czech Republic			81	Peru		
18	United States of America (2010) Sweden.			82 83	Honduras Ethiopia (2010)		
19 20	Germany			84	Egypt (2010)		
21	Hong Kong (SAR), China			85	Ecuador		
22	Denmark			86	Mongolia (2007)		
23	Austria			87	Zimbabwe		
24	Finland (2010).			88	Jamaica		
25	Romania (2010)			89	Uganda		
26	Estonia (2010)			90	Bolivia	0.28	0.73
27	Belgium			91	Kyrgyzstan (2010)	0.23	0.60
28	Canada (2010)	7.35 .	19.65	92	Malawi (2010)	0.20	0.51
29	Croatia (2010)	6.92 .	18.52	93	Mali (2008)	0.18	0.45
30	Luxembourg (2010)	6.89 .	18.42	94	United Arab Emirates (2008)	0.13	0.32
31	Italy	6.81 .	18.22	95	Panama		
32	India			96	Sudan		
33	Rwanda			97	Nigeria		
34	Viet Nam			98	Cambodia (2008)		
35	Lithuania (2010)			99	Oman (2010)		
36	Greece (2010)			100	Zambia (2010)		
37	Poland			101	Burkina Faso		
38	Slovak Republic Latvia			102 103	Trinidad and Tobago		
39 40	Tunisia			103	Azerbaijan		
41	Slovenia (2010).			105	Saudi Arabia		
42	Spain			105	Algeria		
43	Lebanon			107	Guyana		
44	El Salvador.			108	Yemen		
45	Bulgaria			n/a	Bangladesh		
46	Brazil (2010)			n/a	Benin		
47	Kazakhstan	4.20 .	11.21	n/a	Botswana	n/a	n/a
48	Norway (2010)	3.75 .	10.03	n/a	Brunei Darussalam	n/a	n/a
49	Serbia (2010)	3.16 .	8.44	n/a	Cameroon	n/a	n/a
50	Iceland (2010)	3.08 .	8.22	n/a	Georgia	n/a	n/a
51	Portugal (2010)	2.92 .	7.79	n/a	Ghana	n/a	n/a
52	Argentina			n/a	Indonesia		
53	Kenya			n/a	Iran		
54	Moldova, Rep. (2010)			n/a	Kuwait		
55	South Africa (2010)			n/a	Morocco		
56	Australia (2010)			n/a	Philippines		
57	Guatemala			n/a	Qatar		
58 59	Jordan (2010)			n/a n/a	Swaziland Tajikistan		
60	Côte d'Ivoire			n/a	Ukraine		
61	Macedonia			n/a	Venezuela		
62	Bosnia & Herzegovina (2010)			11/4		u	
63	Turkey			SOURC	E: United Nations, <i>COMTRADE</i> database (20	07–10)	

Computer and communications service exportsComputer, communications, and other services exports (% of commercial service exports) | 2009

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Finland	77.58	100.00
2	Bangladesh	71.78	92.52
3	Ireland	70.44	90.79
4	India		:
5	Israel	68.44	88.22
6	Paraguay	66.74	86.02
7	Kuwait	66.24	85.38
8	Philippines	64.68	83.36
9	Swaziland		:
10	Guyana (2008)		:
11	Japan		:
12	Sweden		
13	Côte d'Ivoire		:
14	Netherlands		
15	Brazil		:
16	Lebanon		:
17	Romania		
18	Belgium		:
19	Germany		
20	Serbia		
21	Canada		:
22	China		:
23	Argentina		
24	Hungary		:
25	United States of America		:
26	Singapore		:
27	Norway		:
28	United Kingdom		
29 30	Tajikistan Russian Federation		
31	Switzerland		
32	Costa Rica		:
33	Macedonia		:
34	France		
35	Senegal (2008).		
36	Czech Republic		
37	Pakistan		:
38	Azerbaijan		
39	Hong Kong (SAR), China (2008)		
40	Austria		
41	Italy		
42	Cameroon		:
43	Denmark (2004)		:
44	Poland	37.07	47.78
45	Spain		:
46	Estonia	35.88	46.24
47	Moldova, Rep	34.02	43.84
48	Botswana	32.92	42.43
49	Indonesia	32.34	41.69
50	Iceland	31.40	40.47
51	Slovenia	31.30	40.34
52	Sri Lanka	31.16	40.16
53	Bosnia & Herzegovina	30.04	38.72
54	Mauritius	30.01	38.68
55	Mali (2008)	29.87	38.50
56	Portugal	29.73	38.32
57	Kyrgyzstan		
58	Korea, Rep	28.18	36.33
59	Madagascar (2005)	28.12	36.25
60	Malaysia		
61	Oman		
62	Honduras		
63	Thailand		
64	Cyprus	26.74	34.46

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
65 66	Slovak Republic		
67	Bahrain Morocco		
68	Guatemala.		
69	Ghana		
70	Sudan		
71	Luxembourg.		
72	Ukraine		
73	Latvia	22.86	29.47
74	El Salvador	22.83	29.42
75	Colombia	22.50	29.00
76	Australia (2008)		
77	Bulgaria		
78	Chile		
79	Benin (2008)		
80	Niger (2008)		
81 82	Brunei Darussalam		
83	Armenia		
84	New Zealand		
85	Kenya		
86	Ethiopia		
87	Tunisia		
88	Uruguay	18.03	23.24
89	Venezuela	17.67	22.78
90	Bolivia		
91	Egypt	16.79	21.65
92	Tanzania		
93	Croatia		
94	South Africa		
95	Kazakhstan		
96 97	Lithuania		
97	Uganda Cambodia		
99	Yemen.		
100	Peru		
101	Jordan		
102	Albania		
103	Jamaica	10.89	14.04
104	Ecuador	10.13	13.05
105	Greece	9.35	12.05
106	Zambia	9.17	11.82
107	Panama		
108	Mongolia		
109	Turkey		
110	Trinidad and Tobago (2008)		
111 112	Georgia		
113	Nicaragua		
114	Syrian Arab Republic (2008).		
115	Mexico		
116	Namibia		
117	Iran (2000)		
118	Saudi Arabia		
119	Nigeria	2.70	3.48
120	Malawi (2002)		
n/a	Algeria		
n/a	Qatar		
n/a	United Arab Emirates		
n/a	Viet Nam		
n/a	Zimbabwe	n/a	n/a

SOURCE: International Monetary Fund; World Bank and OECD GDP estimates, World Bank World Development Indicators database (2000–09)

World Bank World Development Indicators database (2000–09)

6.3.4 Foreign direct investment net outflows Foreign direct investment, net outflows (% of GDP) | 2009

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Luxembourg	428.12	100.00	: 65	Albania	0.30	48.11
2	Hong Kong (SAR), China			66	Jordan		
3	Cyprus			67	Turkey		
4	Iceland			68	Swaziland		
5	Ireland			69	Argentina		
6	Estonia	8.23	70.54	70	Philippines		
7	Sweden	7.86 .	69.50	71	Cambodia		
8	Norway	7.06	67.23	72	Tunisia	0.18	47.76
9	Switzerland	6.83	66.60	73	Kenya	0.16	47.70
10	Kuwait (2008)	6.14 .	64.64	74	Brunei Darussalam (2006)	0.15	47.69
11	France	5.55	62.97	75	Ukraine	0.14	47.66
12	Chile	4.88	61.06	76	Macedonia	0.14	47.66
13	Malaysia	4.15 .	59.01	77	Romania	0.14	47.65
14	Australia (2008)			78	Serbia		
15	Russian Federation			79	Moldova, Rep	0.13	47.61
16	Netherlands			80	Nigeria		
17	Singapore			81	Guatemala		
18	Lebanon			82	Paraguay		
19	Canada			83	Sri Lanka		
20	Kazakhstan			84	Ghana		
21	Trinidad and Tobago (2008)			85	Costa Rica		
22	Hungary			86	Bolivia		
23	Denmark			87	Mali (2008)		
24 25	Italy			88 89	Honduras Uruguay		
26	United Kingdom			90	Botswana		
27	United States of America			90	Bangladesh		
28	Germany			91	Côte d'Ivoire		
29	Cameroon			91	Ecuador		
30	Finland			91	Ethiopia		
31	Thailand			91	Iran (2000)		
32	Japan			91	Madagascar (2002)		
33	Austria			91	Nicaragua		
34	Colombia	1.32	50.99	91	Panama	0.00	47.26
35	Mongolia	1.28	50.88	91	Rwanda	0.00	47.26
36	Korea, Rep	1.27	50.85	91	Sudan	0.00	47.26
37	Poland	1.19	50.62	91	Syrian Arab Republic (2008)	0.00	47.26
38	India			91	Tajikistan	0.00	47.26
39	Senegal (2008)			91	Tanzania		
40	China			91	Uganda		
41	Oman			91	Yemen		
42	Mexico			91	Zambia		
43	Azerbaijan			107	Kyrgyzstan		
44	Viet Nam			108	Pakistan		
45 46	Czech Republic			109 110	Georgia Namibia		
47	Armenia			110	Bosnia & Herzegovina		
48	Burkina Faso (2008)			112	Benin (2008)		
49	Israel			113	Latvia		
50	Saudi Arabia			114	Bulgaria		
51	Venezuela			115	New Zealand		
52	Indonesia			116	El Salvador.		
53	Lithuania			117	Brazil		
54	Portugal			118	Bahrain		
55	Spain			119	Belgium		
56	Morocco	0.52 .	48.74	n/a	Algeria	n/a	n/a
57	Jamaica	0.51 .	48.69	n/a	Guyana	n/a	n/a
58	Slovak Republic	0.47 .	48.59	n/a	Malawi	n/a	n/a
59	South Africa			n/a	Qatar		
60	Niger (2008)			n/a	United Arab Emirates		
61	Mauritius			n/a	Zimbabwe	n/a	n/a
62	Slovenia			COUR	February Manager E 1 1 14 1 1 2		
63	Peru	0.30	48.12	SOURC	E: International Monetary Fund; World Ba	arik and UECD GDP (estimates,

7.1.1

Trademark registrations filed at the national office

Number of trademark registrations filed by residents at the national office (per billion GDP, 2005 PPP\$) | 2009

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Eco
1	Jordan (2007)			65	Sudan (2
2	Costa Rica (2007)			66	Denmar
3	Moldova, Rep			67	Finland
4 5	Uruguay (2008)			68 69	Japan Croatia (
6	Chile (2008)			70	Poland.
7	Guatemala (2007)			71	Slovak R
8	Honduras (2007)			72	Greece (
9	China			73	Kyrgyzst
10	Nicaragua (2007)			74	Camboo
11	Panama	87.20	53.05	75	Hungary
12	Korea, Rep	87.04	52.95	76	Zambia
13	New Zealand	79.14	48.13	77	Belgium
14	Armenia	75.45	45.89	78	Serbia .
15	Viet Nam (2005)			79	Singapo
16	Portugal	72.11	43.85	80	United S
17	Turkey			81	Israel (20
18	Peru (2008)			82	Trinidad
19	Ecuador (2007)			83	United k
20	Venezuela (2000)			84	Tajikista
21	Bulgaria			85	Canada
22 23	Ukraine			86 87	Norway Bosnia 8
23 24	Estonia			88	Ireland.
25	Bolivia (2007)			89	Bahrain
26	Australia (2008)			90	Russian
27	Thailand			91	Azerbaij
28	Indonesia (2006)			92	Kazakhs
29	Morocco (2006)			93	Albania
30	Mongolia (2006)	46.87	28.48	94	Algeria (
31	Iceland	44.83	27.23	95	Ethiopia
32	France	43.54	26.45	96	Burkina
33	Switzerland	41.45	25.17	97	Brunei D
34	Macedonia (2004)			98	Rwanda
35	Romania			99	Tanzania
36	Latvia			100	Zimbab
37	Mexico			101	Mauritiu
38	Colombia (2007)			n/a	Benin
39	Sri Lanka (2008)			n/a	Botswar Camero
40 41	Lithuania			n/a n/a	Câmero Côte d'h
41	Spain			n/a	Egypt
43	Cyprus (2008)			n/a	El Salvad
44	India (2008)			n/a	Ghana .
45	Malaysia			n/a	Guyana
46	South Africa			n/a	Kuwait.
47	Iran (2006)			n/a	Lebanor
48	Hong Kong (SAR), China	34.39	20.87	n/a	Mali
49	Yemen (2008)	34.26	20.79	n/a	Namibia
50	Luxembourg	33.78	20.50	n/a	Niger
51	Czech Republic	33.30	20.21	n/a	Nigeria
52	Georgia	32.65	19.81	n/a	Oman .
53	Madagascar			n/a	Paragua
54	Germany			n/a	Qatar
55	Slovenia			n/a	Saudi Ar
56	Jamaica (2007)			n/a	Senegal
57	Austria			n/a	Swazilar
58	Philippines.			n/a	Syrian A
59 60	Netherlands			n/a	Tunisia.
60	Kenya (2006)			n/a	Uganda
61 62	Bangladesh (2007) Pakistan (2008)			n/a	United A
63	Italy			SOUR	CE: World I
					/orld Bank
64	Malawi (2006)	24.93	15.11		lor Idio

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
65	Sudan (2007)	24.38 .	14.77
66	Denmark		
67	Finland		
68	Japan		
69	Croatia (2008)		
70	Poland		
71	Slovak Republic		
72 73	Greece (2008)		
73 74	Cambodia (2007)		
75	Hungary		
76	Zambia (2001)		
77	Belgium		
78	Serbia		
79	Singapore	17.90 .	10.82
80	United States of America	17.55 .	10.61
81	Israel (2008)	17.00 .	10.27
82	Trinidad and Tobago (2002)		
83	United Kingdom		
84	Tajikistan		
85	Canada		
86	Norway		
87 88	Bosnia & Herzegovina (2008)		
88 89	Bahrain		
90	Russian Federation		
91	Azerbaijan (2008)		
92	Kazakhstan (2008)		
93	Albania (2008)		
94	Algeria (2006)		
95	Ethiopia (2007)	7.30	4.36
96	Burkina Faso (2005)	2.10 .	1.19
97	Brunei Darussalam	1.90 .	1.07
98	Rwanda (2007)		
99	Tanzania (2007)		
100	Zimbabwe (2001)		
101	Mauritius (2008)		
n/a n/a	Benin		
n/a	Cameroon		
n/a	Côte d'Ivoire		
n/a	Egypt		
n/a	El Salvador.		
n/a	Ghana		
n/a	Guyana		
n/a	Kuwait	n/a .	n/a
n/a	Lebanon	n/a .	n/a
n/a	Mali	n/a .	n/a
n/a	Namibia		
n/a	Niger		
n/a	Nigeria		
n/a	Oman		
n/a	Paraguay		
n/a n/a	Qatar		
n/a	Senegal		
n/a	Swaziland.		
n/a	Syrian Arab Republic.		
n/a	Tunisia		
n/a	Uganda		
n/a	United Arab Emirates		

URCE: World Intellectual Property Organization, *WIPO Statistics Database*; World Bank and OECD GDP estimates, World Bank *World Development Indicators* database (2000–09)

7.1.2

Trademark registrations filed through the Madrid System

Number of international trademark registrations filed by residents through the Madrid System, Contracting Parties only (per billion GDP, 2005 PPP\$)^a | 2009

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Switzerland	98.44 .	100.00	54	Swaziland	0.00	0.00
2	Slovenia	72.47 .	100.00	54	Tajikistan	0.00	0.00
3	Austria	30.49 .	100.00	54	Zambia	0.00	0.00
4	Latvia	29.72 .	97.49	n/a	Argentina	n/a	n/a
5	Serbia	28.76 .	94.33	n/a	Bangladesh	n/a	n/a
6	Moldova, Rep.			n/a	Benin		
7	Bulgaria	24.20 .	79.39	n/a	Bolivia	n/a	n/a
8	Hungary			n/a	Brazil		
9	Croatia			n/a	Brunei Darussalam		
10	Czech Republic			n/a	Burkina Faso		
11	Germany			n/a	Cambodia		
12	Denmark			n/a	Cameroon		
13	France			n/a	Canada		
14	Italy			n/a	Chile		
15	Slovak Republic			n/a	Colombia		
16	Iceland			n/a	Costa Rica		
17	Turkey			n/a	Côte d'Ivoire		
18	Finland			n/a	Ecuador		
19	Estonia			n/a	El Salvador		
20	Cyprus			n/a	Ethiopia		
21	Norway			n/a	Guatemala		
22	Ukraine			n/a	Guyana		
23	Macedonia			n/a	Honduras		
24	Sweden			n/a	Hong Kong (SAR), China		
25	Poland			n/a	IndiaIndonesia		
26	Russian Federation			n/a n/a	Israel		
27	Singapore			n/a	Jamaica		
28 29	Australia			n/a	Jordan		
30	Lithuania			n/a	Kuwait		
31	Portugal			n/a	Lebanon.		
32	Spain			n/a	Malawi		
33	Georgia.			n/a	Malaysia		
34	United Kingdom.			n/a	Mali		
35	Armenia			n/a	Mauritius		
36	Morocco.			n/a	Mexico		
37	China			n/a	New Zealand		
38	Romania			n/a	Nicaragua		
39	Mongolia			n/a	Niger		
40	Greece			n/a	Nigeria		
41	Ireland			n/a	Pakistan		
42	Japan			n/a	Panama		
43	Viet Nam			n/a	Paraguay		
44	Korea, Rep			n/a	Peru		
45	United States of America			n/a	Philippines		
46	Syrian Arab Republic.			n/a	Qatar		
47	Kazakhstan			n/a	Rwanda		
48	Egypt			n/a	Saudi Arabia		
49	Iran	0.58 .	1.90	n/a	Senegal		
50	Kenya			n/a	South Africa		
51	Azerbaijan	0.38 .	1.24	n/a	Sri Lanka		
52	Madagascar			n/a	Tanzania		
53	Sudan			n/a	Thailand		
54	Albania			n/a	Trinidad and Tobago		
54	Algeria			n/a	Tunisia		
54	Bahrain	0.00 .	0.00	n/a	Uganda	n/a	n/a
54	Belgium	0.00 .	0.00	n/a	United Arab Emirates		
54	Botswana	0.00 .	0.00	n/a	Uruguay	n/a	n/a
54	Ghana	0.00 .	0.00	n/a	Venezuela		
54	Kyrgyzstan	0.00 .	0.00	n/a	Yemen	n/a	n/a
54	Luxembourg	0.00 .	0.00	n/a	Zimbabwe	n/a	n/a
54	Namibia						
	Nothorlands	0.00	0.00	COUR	CF: World Intellectual Property Organization	on M/IDO Statistics	Databasa

 54
 Netherlands
 0.00
 0.00

 54
 Oman
 0.00
 0.00

SOURCE: World Intellectual Property Organization, *WIPO Statistics Database*; World Bank and OECD GDP estimates, World Bank *World Development Indicators* database (2003–09)

7.1.3

ICT and business model creation

Average answer to the question: To what extent are information and communication technologies creating new business models, services and products in your country? 1 = not at all; $7 = \text{significantly}^{\dagger} \mid 2010$

Rank	Country/Economy Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Sweden		65	Mexico		
2	Korea, Rep5.88		66	Egypt		
3	Singapore		67	Jordan		
4 5	France		68 69	Uganda Mongolia		
6	Norway		70	Azerbaijan		
7	Iceland		71	Hungary		
8	Canada		72	Macedonia		
9	United States of America		73	Philippines.		
10	Switzerland5.65		74	Bulgaria		
11	Germany5.65	5 77.42	75	Cameroon	4.28	54.70
12	Estonia	5 75.99	76	Pakistan	4.27	54.56
13	United Arab Emirates	75.11	77	Brunei Darussalam	4.27	54.51
14	Tunisia5.49		78	Malawi		
15	Netherlands		79	Argentina		
16	Portugal		80	Zambia		
17	Finland		81	Italy		
18	Hong Kong (SAR), China		82	Trinidad and Tobago		
19	Qatar		83	El Salvador		
20 21	Israel		84 85	Poland		
22	Malaysia		86	Benin		
23	Brazil		87	Romania		
24	Japan		88	Slovak Republic		
25	Chile		89	Ukraine		
26	Rwanda	3 70.45	90	Greece.		
27	Australia5.2	70.24	91	Latvia	4.10	51.61
28	Lithuania	9 69.87	92	Russian Federation	4.03	50.54
29	Panama5.18	3 69.62	93	Croatia	3.97	49.53
30	Costa Rica	' 69.55	94	Bangladesh		
31	New Zealand 5.1		95	Guyana		
32	Saudi Arabia		96	Georgia		
33	Luxembourg5.09		97	Ecuador		
34	China		98	Kazakhstan		
35 36	India		99 100	Iran Venezuela		
37	Oman		100	Namibia		
38	Uruguay		102	Botswana		
39	Bahrain		103	Mali		
40	Ireland. 4.96		104	Madagascar		
41	Belgium	5 66.05	105	Morocco		
42	Guatemala4.94	1 65.63	106	Lebanon	3.79	46.56
43	Spain		107	Bolivia		
44	Viet Nam4.9	65.14	108	Kuwait		
45	Nigeria		109	Tajikistan		
46	Colombia		110	Paraguay		
47	Thailand		111	Tanzania		
48	Turkey		112 113	Armenia		
49 50	Peru		113	Zimbabwe		
51	Kenya		115	Moldova, Rep.		
52	Mauritius		116	Nicaragua		
53	Sri Lanka4.71		117	Ethiopia		
54	Burkina Faso		118	Serbia		
55	Czech Republic		119	Kyrgyzstan		
56	Cyprus	60.98	120	Algeria		
57	Jamaica4.62	2 60.41	121	Syrian Arab Republic		30.06
58	Slovenia	60.11	122	Swaziland	2.80	30.04
59	South Africa		n/a	Niger		
60	Indonesia4.55		n/a	Sudan		
61	Ghana		n/a	Yemen	n/a	n/a
62	Albania		COUR	** World Economic Forum Forum Control	Curvey 2010	
63	Honduras		JOURG	E: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion	Julvey 2010	

7.1.4

ICT and organizational model creation

Average answer to the question: To what extent are information and communication technologies creating new organizational models (virtual teams, remote working, tele-commuting, etc.) within businesses in your country? 1 = not at all; $7 = \text{significantly}^{\dagger} \mid 2010$

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Sweden			: 65	Azerbaijan		
2	United States of America			66	Egypt		
3	United Kingdom			67	Trinidad and Tobago.		
4	Norway			68	Cyprus		
5	Singapore			69	El Salvador		
6	Canada	5.48	74.71	70	Nigeria	4.01	50.18
7	Qatar	5.43	73.76	71	Philippines	3.99	49.90
8	Finland	5.39	73.24	72	Viet Nam	3.94	48.94
9	Israel	5.35	72.53	73	Malawi	3.93	48.82
10	Netherlands	5.30	71.63	74	Namibia	3.91	48.54
11	Iceland	5.30	71.62	75	Poland		48.14
12	Malaysia	5.25	70.82	76	Latvia		47.15
13	France			77	Kazakhstan		
14	Hong Kong (SAR), China			78	Ghana		
15	Germany			79	Croatia		
16	Estonia			80	Russian Federation		
17	Switzerland			81	Kuwait		
18	Saudi Arabia			82 83	Italy		
19 20	Korea, Rep Tunisia			83 84	Macedonia		
20	United Arab Emirates			85	Ecuador		
22	Australia			86	Hungary		
23	Denmark			87	Mongolia		
24	Lithuania			88	Morocco		
25	Brazil			89	Ukraine		
26	Portugal	4.88	64.60	90	Bolivia	3.64	44.04
27	Belgium	4.85	64.24	91	Côte d'Ivoire	3.64	44.01
28	Uruguay	4.84	64.05	92	Iran	3.62	43.69
29	New Zealand	4.82	63.68	93	Nicaragua	3.62	43.66
30	Oman	4.81	63.45	94	Zambia	3.62	43.61
31	Chile			95	Uganda		
32	Rwanda			96	Paraguay		
33	India			97	Romania		
34	Ireland			98	Armenia		
35	Colombia			99	Georgia		
36	China			100	Burkina Faso		
37	Austria			101	Mali		
38 39	Guatemala Panama			102 103	Bosnia & Herzegovina		
40	Luxembourg.			103	Guyana		
41	Costa Rica			105	Moldova, Rep.		
42	Senegal			106	Botswana		
43	Japan			107	Bangladesh		
44	Spain			108	Benin		
45	Thailand			109	Venezuela		
46	Sri Lanka			110	Tajikistan		38.89
47	Bahrain	4.44	57.25	111	Greece	3.28	37.96
48	Indonesia	4.41	56.80	112	Madagascar	3.27	37.84
49	Albania			113	Cambodia		
50	Peru			114	Lebanon		
51	Honduras			115	Cameroon		
52	Mauritius			116	Zimbabwe		
53	South Africa			117	Serbia		
54	Turkey			118	Ethiopia		
55	Kenya			119	Kyrgyzstan		
56	Jordan			120	Syrian Arab Republic		
57 50	Brunei Darussalam			121			
58 59	Bulgaria			122 n/a	Algeria Niger		
60	Czech Republic			n/a	Sudan		
61	Slovenia			n/a	Yemen		
62	Jamaica			.,, a			
63	Argentina			SOUR	IE: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion	Survey 2010	

7.2.1

Recreation and culture

Recreation and culture (% total individual consumption) $^{\! a} \, \big| \, 2008$

Dank	Country/Fearance	Value	Score (0-100)	Dank	Caushy /Fanagay	Value	Score (0-100)
Rank	Country/Economy			Rank	Country/Economy		
1	New Zealand (2006)			65 66	Moldova, Rep. (2007)		
2	Singapore (2009)			67	Yemen		
4	Australia			n/a	Albania		
5	Norway (2006)			n/a	Algeria		
6	United Kingdom			n/a	Argentina		
7	Czech Republic.			n/a	Bahrain		
8	Finland			n/a	Bangladesh		
9	Japan (2007)	8.55 .	75.49	n/a	Benin		
10	Slovenia			n/a	Bolivia	n/a	n/a
11	United States of America		74.68	n/a	Bosnia & Herzegovina	n/a	n/a
12	Estonia			n/a	Botswana	n/a	n/a
13	Slovak Republic			n/a	Brazil		
14	Latvia			n/a	Brunei Darussalam		
15	Cyprus			n/a	Burkina Faso		
16	Croatia (2006)			n/a	Cambodia		
17	Greece (2007)			n/a	China		
18	Canada			n/a	Costa Rica		
19 20	Denmark			n/a n/a	Côte d'Ivoire		
21	Korea, Rep. (2009).			n/a	Egypt		
22	Lithuania			n/a	El Salvador.		
23	Netherlands			n/a	Ethiopia		
24	Spain (2007)			n/a	Georgia		
25	Germany			n/a	Guyana		
26	Luxembourg			n/a	Indonesia	n/a	n/a
27	Belgium		62.69	n/a	Jamaica	n/a	n/a
28	Switzerland (2007)	7.05 .	61.77	n/a	Jordan	n/a	n/a
29	France (2009)			n/a	Kenya		
30	Hong Kong (SAR), China			n/a	Kuwait		
31	Iceland (2009)			n/a	Lebanon		
32	Poland			n/a	Madagascar		
33	Bulgaria			n/a	Mali		
34 35	Hungarylsrael			n/a n/a	Morocco		
36	Serbia			n/a	Namibia		
37	Italy.			n/a	Nigeria		
38	Portugal (2006)			n/a	Oman		
39	Chile			n/a	Pakistan		
40	Ireland	5.32 .	45.98	n/a	Panama	n/a	n/a
41	Venezuela (2006)	5.25 .	45.38	n/a	Paraguay	n/a	n/a
42	Thailand			n/a	Peru		
43	Malaysia			n/a	Philippines		
44	Mexico			n/a	Qatar		
45	Colombia (2005)			n/a	Romania		
46	Turkey (2009)			n/a	Rwanda		
47 48	Honduras (2006)			n/a n/a	Saudi Arabia Senegal		
49	Iran (2007)			n/a	Sudan		
50	Sri Lanka.			n/a	Swaziland		
51	South Africa (2009)			n/a	Syrian Arab Republic		
52	Guatemala (2007)			n/a	Tajikistan		
53	Ghana (2005)			n/a	Tanzania		
54	Mongolia	2.68 .	21.91	n/a	Trinidad and Tobago	n/a	n/a
55	Nicaragua (2005)		21.53	n/a	Tunisia	n/a	n/a
56	Niger (2009)			n/a	Uganda		
57	Macedonia			n/a	United Arab Emirates		
58	Cameroon			n/a	Uruguay		
59	Malawi			n/a	Viet Nam		
60 61	India			n/a	Zambia		
61 62	Russian Federation (2009)			n/a	Zimbabwe	n/a	n/a
63	Azerbaijan (2009)			SOURC	E: United Nations Statistics Division	n, National Accounts Off	icial Country
00	c					,	

Data, United Nations database UNdata) (2003–09)

population estimates, World Development Indicators database (2005–08)

National feature films produced

Number of national feature films produced (per million people)^a | 2006

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Luxembourg	25 39	100.00	: 65	Azerbaijan	0.35	3.85
2	Iceland			66	Burkina Faso		
3	Hong Kong (SAR), China (2007)			67	Indonesia (2007)		
4	Belgium			68	Brazil		
5	Nigeria (2005).			69	Panama (2005)		
6	Estonia			70	China (2007)		
7	Switzerland			70	Lithuania		
8	Sweden.			72	Peru		
9	Cyprus			72	South Africa		
10	Hungary			74	Kyrgyzstan.		
11	Norway			75	Colombia		
12	Ireland			76	Ukraine		
13	Cambodia			77	Viet Nam (2005)		
14	Austria.			77	Pakistan (2005)		
15	Denmark			79	Guatemala (2005)		
16	Finland			n/a	Albania		
17	Czech Republic			n/a	Algeria		
	Spain			n/a	2		
18	France			n/a	Bahrain		
19 20	Japan (2007)			n/a	Bosnia & Herzegovina		
	Israel.				Botswana		
21	Portugal			n/a n/a			
22	5-				Brunei Darussalam		
23	Armenia			n/a	Costa Rica		
24	Korea, Rep. (2007)			n/a	Côte d'Ivoire		
25	Singapore			n/a	Ecuador		
26	Canada			n/a	El Salvador.		
27	Germany			n/a	Ethiopia		
28	Greece			n/a	Georgia		
29	Italy			n/a	Ghana		
30	Lebanon			n/a	Guyana		
31	United Kingdom			n/a	Honduras		
32	Argentina			n/a	Jamaica		
33	United States of America (2007)			n/a	Jordan		
34	Slovenia			n/a	Kazakhstan		
35	New Zealand			n/a	Kenya		
36	Australia			n/a	Kuwait		
37	Bulgaria			n/a	Macedonia		
38	Netherlands			n/a	Madagascar		
39	India			n/a	Malawi		
40	Poland			n/a	Mali		
41	Malaysia (2008)			n/a	Mauritius		
42	Latvia			n/a	Nicaragua		
43	Romania			n/a	Niger		
44	Moldova, Rep			n/a	Qatar		
45	Egypt			n/a	Rwanda		
46	Bolivia			n/a	Saudi Arabia		
47	Philippines			n/a	Senegal		
48	Chile			n/a	Serbia		
49	Bangladesh (2005)			n/a	Sri Lanka		
50	Paraguay			n/a	Sudan		
51	Thailand			n/a	Swaziland		
52	Mexico			n/a	Syrian Arab Republic		
53	Uruguay			n/a	Tajikistan		
54	Slovak Republic			n/a	Tanzania		
55	Venezuela			n/a	Trinidad and Tobago		
56	Namibia (2005)			n/a	Tunisia		
57	Turkey			n/a	Uganda		
58	Russian Federation			n/a	United Arab Emirates		
59	Croatia			n/a	Yemen		
60	Morocco			n/a	Zambia		
61	Mongolia			n/a	Zimbabwe	n/a	n/a
62	Cameroon						
63	Iran (2005)	0.38 .	4.17	SOURG	CE: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, <i>UIS online de</i>	<i>atabase</i> ; World B	lank

7.2.3

Daily newspapers circulation

Daily newspapers: Total average circulation (per 1,000 literate people)^a | 2004

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy
1	Norway	650.06	100.00	n/a	Algeria
2	Sweden		89.75	n/a	Australia
3	Finland			n/a	Bahrain
4	Switzerland			n/a	Bangladesh
5	Singapore			n/a	Bolivia
6	Denmark			n/a	Bosnia & Herzegovina
7	Netherlands (2001)			n/a	Burkina Faso
8	Austria			n/a	Cambodia
9	United Kingdom			n/a	Cameroon
10	Luxembourg			n/a	Canada
11	Germany			n/a	Côte d'Ivoire
12	Hungary			n/a	Croatia
13 14	Ireland Estonia			n/a n/a	Cyprus
15	Czech Republic			n/a	Ecuador Egypt
16	Slovenia (2002)			n/a	Georgia
17	France (2005)			n/a	Ghana
18	Belgium			n/a	Greece
19	Trinidad and Tobago (2002)			n/a	Guatemala
20	Latvia			n/a	Guyana
21	Malaysia			n/a	Honduras
22	India			n/a	Hong Kong (SAR), China
23	Spain			n/a	Iceland
24	Pakistan			n/a	Indonesia
25	Italy	162.04	24.78	n/a	Iran
26	Ukraine	155.19	23.72	n/a	Israel
27	Slovak Republic	152.58	23.32	n/a	Jamaica
28	Venezuela	147.06	22.47	n/a	Japan
29	Poland	139.21	21.26	n/a	Jordan
30	Philippines	133.66	20.40	n/a	Kazakhstan
31	Lithuania	130.99	19.99	n/a	Kenya
32	Mauritius	121.53	18.53	n/a	Korea, Rep
33	Macedonia			n/a	Kuwait
34	Russian Federation			n/a	Madagascar
35	Brunei Darussalam			n/a	Malawi
36	China			n/a	Mali
37	Panama			n/a	Mexico
38	Costa Rica			n/a	Moldova, Rep
39	Bulgaria			n/a	Morocco
40	Lebanon			n/a	New Zealand
41	Romania			n/a	Nicaragua
42 43	Botswana			n/a	Nigeria
43 44				n/a n/a	
44 45	Chile Brazil			n/a	Paraguay
45 46	Namibia			n/a	Portugal
47	South Africa			n/a	Qatar
48	Swaziland			n/a	Rwanda
49	Argentina			n/a	Saudi Arabia
50	Tunisia (2001)			n/a	Serbia
51	Sri Lanka (2002)			n/a	Sudan
52	Senegal			n/a	Syrian Arab Republic
53	Colombia			n/a	Tajikistan
54	Mongolia			n/a	Thailand
55	Azerbaijan (2001)			n/a	Turkey
56	Ethiopia	18.63	2.67	n/a	Uganda
57	Yemen			n/a	United Arab Emirates
58	Zambia	13.22	1.84	n/a	United States of America
59	Armenia	9.77	1.30	n/a	Uruguay
60	Tanzania	4.15	0.44	n/a	Viet Nam
61	Benin	1.90	0.09	n/a	Zimbabwe
62	Kyrgyzstan				
63	Niger			SOUR	CE: UNESCO Institute for Sta
n /a	Albania	n/2	/-	:	

.....n/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/an/a

Score (0-100)

SOURCE: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, *UIS online database* (2001–05)

Creative goods exportsCreative goods exports (% of total goods exports) | 2008

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
1	Hong Kong (SAR), China	9.17	100.00	65	Namibia	1.12	12.14
2	Pakistan			66	Iran (2006)	1.11	12.06
3	Lebanon	6.24	68.06	67	Hungary	1.01	11.03
4	China	5.94	64.73	68	Korea, Rep	1.01	11.01
5	Moldova, Rep	5.78	63.07	69	Israel	0.96	10.47
6	Italy	5.14	56.06	70	Malawi	0.96	10.40
7	Viet Nam	5.01	54.60	71	Rwanda	0.91	9.94
8	Switzerland	4.94	53.89	72	New Zealand	0.89	9.67
9	India	4.86	52.96	73	Japan	0.89	9.66
10	United Kingdom	4.35	47.39	74	Peru	0.83	9.05
11	Turkey	4.07	44.33	75	Ukraine	0.83	8.97
12	Zimbabwe			76	Uruguay	0.81	8.81
13	Denmark	3.72	40.55	77	Macedonia (2007)	0.76	8.26
14	Greece	3.69	40.27	78	Nicaragua	0.75	8.16
15	Austria	3.50	38.11	79	Brazil	0.62	6.70
16	Mauritius	3.40	37.09	80	Burkina Faso (2005)	0.58	6.26
17	Czech Republic	3.35	36.47	81	Australia	0.55	5.93
18	Lithuania	3.25	35.44	82	Panama	0.54	5.81
19	Poland	3.09	33.70	83	Paraguay	0.53	5.74
20	Estonia	3.08	33.58	84	South Africa		
21	Madagascar	2.99	32.61	85	Senegal	0.46	4.99
22	Romania			86	Kyrgyzstan (2007)		
23	Thailand	2.94	32.01	87	Argentina		
24	Croatia			88	Uganda		
25	France			89	Honduras (2007)		
26	Slovenia	2.88	31.34	90	Russian Federation		
27	United States of America			91	Chile	0.34	3.70
28	Sweden			92	Georgia	0.34	3.67
29	Egypt			93	Cambodia		
30	Latvia			94	Mongolia (2007)		
31	Bosnia & Herzegovina			95	Norway		
32	Jordan			96	Ethiopia		
33	Armenia	2.52	27.45	97	Ecuador		
34	Tanzania	2.50	27.26	98	Nigeria	0.24	2.64
35	Germany	2.39	26.03	99	Bahrain (2007)	0.24	2.60
36	Portugal	2.24	24.45	100	Guyana	0.23	2.46
37	Spain			101	Saudi Arabia (2007)		
38	Albania	2.20	23.92	102	Jamaica	0.15	1.60
39	El Salvador	2.15	23.44	103	Côte d'Ivoire	0.15	1.58
40	Sri Lanka	2.12	23.10	104	Oman	0.12	1.28
41	Syrian Arab Republic (2007)	2.09	22.77	105	Iceland	0.10	1.05
42	Serbia	2.04	22.23	106	Trinidad and Tobago	0.09	0.97
43	Canada	2.04	22.20	107	Kuwait (2007)	0.09	0.92
44	United Arab Emirates	1.99	21.68	108	Niger	80.0	0.88
45	Belgium	1.96	21.37	109	Mali	80.0	0.84
46	Colombia	1.96	21.30	110	Ghana	0.06	0.66
47	Slovak Republic	1.78	19.43	111	Benin (2006)	0.06	0.62
48	Cyprus	1.78	19.37	112	Zambia	0.05	0.47
49	Mexico	1.77	19.28	113	Cameroon (2006)	0.04	0.36
50	Ireland	1.75	19.07	114	Azerbaijan	0.04	0.36
51	Bulgaria	1.69	18.41	115	Qatar	0.03	0.27
52	Malaysia	1.68	18.30	116	Yemen	0.03	0.25
53	Netherlands	1.66	18.04	117	Venezuela	0.02	
54	Singapore	1.49	16.25	118	Kazakhstan	0.02	
55	Bangladesh (2007)	1.44	15.72	119	Algeria	0.00	0.01
56	Bolivia	1.38	14.98	120	Sudan	0.00	0.00
57	Guatemala	1.36	14.78	n/a	Botswana	n/a	n/a
58	Tunisia	1.36	14.77	n/a	Brunei Darussalam	n/a	n/a
59	Luxembourg	1.30	14.11	n/a	Indonesia	n/a	n/a
60	Philippines	1.18	12.82	n/a	Swaziland	n/a	n/a
61	Morocco	1.17	12.73	n/a	Tajikistan	n/a	n/a
62	Kenya	1.17	12.69				
63	Finland	1.16	12.60	SOURC	E: UNCTAD <i>Creative Economy Report</i>	, UNCTADStat (2003–08)

7.2.5

Creative services exportsCreative services exports (% of total services exports) | 2008

Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)	Rank	Country/Economy
1	Netherlands	29.89	100.00	65	Côte d'Ivoire (2007)
2	Brazil			66	Philippines
3	Canada			67	Tajikistan
4	Serbia			68	Iceland
5	Kyrgyzstan			69	Burkina Faso (2001)
6	Hungary			70	Azerbaijan
7	Germany			71	Indonesia
8	Russian Federation			72	Namibia
9	Argentina			73	Austria
10	Norway			74	Senegal (2007)
11	Romania			75	Rwanda (2007)
12	Czech Republic			76	Mali (2007)
13	Sweden			77	Ethiopia
14	Poland			78	Madagascar (2005)
15	Colombia			79	Singapore
16	Belgium	8.78		80	Benin (2007)
17	Spain			81	Bosnia & Herzegovina
18	Ukraine			82	Hong Kong (SAR), China
19	Australia			83	Mauritius
20	Ecuador			84	Guatemala
21	Turkey	7.00	33.50	85	Peru
22	Slovenia			86	Tunisia
23	Portugal	6.52	31.23	87	Japan
24	Latvia			88	Cambodia
25	Italy			89	Sudan
26	Malaysia			90	Costa Rica
27	Croatia			91	Kenya
28	Slovak Republic			92	El Salvador
29	India			93	Finland
30	Estonia			94	Mongolia (2005)
31	Bulgaria			95	Uruguay
32	New Zealand			96	Panama (2006)
33	Kazakhstan			97	Switzerland
34	Venezuela			98	Lebanon (2005)
35	Guyana	3.51	16.82	n/a	Algeria
36	Albania	3.33	15.96	n/a	Bahrain
37	Bangladesh	2.98	14.27	n/a	Bolivia
38	Korea, Rep	2.97	14.22	n/a	Brunei Darussalam
39	Botswana	2.87	13.75	n/a	Denmark
40	Armenia	2.54	12.17	n/a	Ghana
41	Jamaica	2.40	11.51	n/a	Iran
42	Lithuania	2.37	11.36	n/a	Israel
43	United Kingdom	2.33	11.17	n/a	Jordan
44	Moldova, Rep	2.23	10.70	n/a	Kuwait
45	Pakistan	2.11	10.11	n/a	Macedonia
46	China	2.07	9.89	n/a	Malawi
47	France	2.03	9.73	n/a	Nicaragua
48	Ireland	1.75	8.37	n/a	Nigeria
49	Paraguay	1.72	8.22	n/a	Oman
50	Cyprus	1.64	7.87	n/a	Qatar
51	Georgia	1.61	7.69	n/a	Saudi Arabia
52	Cameroon	1.53	7.32	n/a	Sri Lanka
53	Greece	1.39	6.66	n/a	Thailand
54	Morocco	1.39	6.64	n/a	Trinidad and Tobago
55	Honduras	1.33	6.37	n/a	Uganda
56	Luxembourg	1.26	6.06	n/a	United Arab Emirates
57	Chile	1.03	4.91	n/a	United States of America
58	Tanzania	0.96	4.60	n/a	Viet Nam
59	Mexico			n/a	Yemen
60	Niger (2007)			n/a	Zambia
61	Swaziland (2007)	0.83	3.98	n/a	Zimbabwe
62	Egypt				
63	Syrian Arab Republic (2007)	0.78	3.72	SOURG	E: UNCTAD Creative Econon
05					

			5 (0.400)
Rank	Country/Economy	Value	Score (0-100)
65	Côte d'Ivoire (2007)		
66	Philippines		
67	Tajikistan		
68	Iceland		
69	Burkina Faso (2001)		
70	Azerbaijan		
71	Indonesia		
72	Namibia		
73	Austria		
74	Senegal (2007)		
75	Rwanda (2007)		
76	Mali (2007)		
77	Ethiopia		
78	Madagascar (2005)		
79	Singapore Benin (2007)		
80 81	Bosnia & Herzegovina		
82	Hong Kong (SAR), China		
83	Mauritius		
84	Guatemala		
85	Peru		
86	Tunisia		
87	Japan		
88	Cambodia		
89	Sudan		
90	Costa Rica		
91	Kenva.		
92	El Salvador.		
93	Finland		
94	Mongolia (2005)		
95	Uruguay		
96	Panama (2006)		
97	Switzerland.		
98	Lebanon (2005)		
n/a	Algeria		
n/a	Bahrain		
n/a	Bolivia		
n/a	Brunei Darussalam	n/a	n/a
n/a	Denmark	n/a	n/a
n/a	Ghana	n/a	n/a
n/a	Iran	n/a	n/a
n/a	Israel	n/a	n/a
n/a	Jordan	n/a	n/a
n/a	Kuwait	n/a	n/a
n/a	Macedonia	n/a	n/a
n/a	Malawi	n/a	n/a
n/a	Nicaragua	n/a	n/a
n/a	Nigeria	n/a	n/a
n/a	Oman	n/a	n/a
n/a	Qatar	n/a	n/a
n/a	Saudi Arabia	n/a	n/a
n/a	Sri Lanka	n/a	n/a
n/a	Thailand		
n/a	Trinidad and Tobago		
n/a	Uganda		
n/a	United Arab Emirates		
n/a	United States of America		
n/a	Viet Nam		
n/a	Yemen		
n/a	Zambia		
n/a	Zimbabwe	n/a	n/a

omy Report, UNCTADStat (2001–08)

Appendix

Sources and Definitions

Sources and Definitions

This appendix complements the data tables by providing, for each of the 80 indicators included in the Global Innovation Index model (GII), a title, a description, a definition, and the source. For each indicator for each country the most recent value within the period 2000–10 was used. The single year given next to the description corresponds to the most frequent year for which data were available; when more than one year are considered, the period is indicated at the end of the indicator's source in parenthesis.

Some indicators received special treatment in the computation. A total of 19 variables required scaling by some other indicator to be comparable across countries (division by GDP in current US dollars, GDP in constant PPP dollars, population, total exports, etc.). Details are provided in this appendix. The scaling factor was in each case the value corresponding to the same year of the particular indicator, or, if not available, the most recent available value. In addition, 25 indicators that were assigned half weight are singled out with an 'a'. Finally, indicators for which higher scores indicate worse outcomes, commonly known as 'bads', are differentiated with a 'b' (details on the computation can be found in Appendix IV Technical Notes).

A total of 59 variables are hard data; 15 are composite indicators from international agencies, distinguished with an asterisk (*); and 6 are survey questions from the World Economic Forum's Executive Opinion Survey (EOS), singled out with a dagger (†). The EOS has been conducted for over 30 years. The 2010 edition of the EOS included 148 questions; 13,607 surveys were retained for tabulation, completed by business executives from 139 economies between January and May 2010.

1 Institutions

1.1 Political environment

1.1.1 Political stability

Political stability and absence of violence/terrorism index* | 2009

Index that captures perceptions of the likelihood that the government will be destabilized or overthrown by unconstitutional or violent means, including politically motivated violence and terrorism. It ranges from 0 to 100 (higher values indicating better outcomes).

Source: World Bank, World Governance Indicators

(http://info.worldbank.org/governance/wgi/index.asp)

1.1.2 Government effectiveness

Government effectiveness index* | 2009

Index that captures perceptions of the quality of public and civil services and the degree of their independence from political pressures, the quality of policy formulation and implementation, and the credibility of the government's commitment to such policies. It ranges from 0 to 100 (higher values indicating better outcomes).

Source: World Bank, World Governance Indicators 2009

(http://info.worldbank.org/governance/wgi/index.asp)

1.1.3 Press freedom Press freedom index*b | 2010

Index that captures perceptions on violations of press freedom in the world. It reflects the degree of freedom that journalists and news organizations enjoy in each country, and the efforts made by the authorities to respect and ensure respect for this freedom. It is based on events between 1 September 2009 and 1 September 2010. The lower the index the better, with a lower bound of 0 and no upper bound.

Source: Reporters Without Borders, *Press Freedom Index 2010*

(http://en.rsf.org/press-freedom-index-2010,1034.

1.2 Regulatory environment

1.2.1 Regulatory quality

Regulatory quality index* | 2009

Index that captures perceptions of the ability of the government to formulate and implement sound policies and regulations that permit and promote private-sector development. It ranges from 0 to 100 (higher values indicating better outcomes).

Source: World Bank, World Governance Indicators

(http://info.worldbank.org/governance/wgi/index.

1.2.2 Rule of law

Rule of law index* | 2009

Index that captures perceptions of the extent to which agents have confidence in, and abide by, the rules of society, and in particular the quality of contract enforcement, property rights, the police, and the courts, as well as the likelihood of crime and violence. It ranges from 0 to 100 (higher values indicating better outcomes).

Source: World Bank, World Governance Indicators

(http://info.worldbank.org/governance/wgi/index.asp)

1.2.3 Rigidity of employment

Rigidity of employment index (0 = less rigid, 100 = more rigid)* b | 2008

Rigidity of employment index that measures the regulation of employment, specifically the hiring and firing of workers and the rigidity of working hours. It is calculated as the average of three sub-indexes: a difficulty of hiring index, a rigidity of hours index, and a difficulty of firing index. It ranges from 0 to 100 (higher values indicating more rigid regulations).

Source: World Bank, *Doing Business 2009* and *2010* (2008–09)

(http://www.doingbusiness.org/)

1.3 Business environment

1.3.1 Time to start a business Time to start a business (days)^b | 2010

Measure that captures the median duration that incorporation lawyers indicate is necessary to complete a procedure with minimum follow-up with government agencies and no extra payments.

Source: World Bank, Ease of Doing Business Index 2011, *Doing Business 2011* (http://www.doingbusiness.org/)

1.3.2 Cost to start a business

Cost to start a business (% of income per capita) | 2010

The company law, the commercial code, and specific regulations and fee schedules used as sources for calculating costs. Costs include all official fees and fees for legal or professional services if such services are required by law. Fees for purchasing and legalizing company books are included if these transactions are required by law. In the absence of fee schedules, a government officer's estimate is taken as an official source. In the absence of a government officer's estimate, estimates of incorporation lawyers are used. If several incorporation lawyers provide different estimates, the median reported value is applied. In all cases the cost excludes bribes.

Source: World Bank, Ease of Doing Business Index 2011, *Doing Business 2011* (http://www.doingbusiness.org/)

1.3.3 Total tax rate

Total tax rate (% profit)b | 2010

Amount of taxes and mandatory contributions borne by business case in the second year of operation, expressed as a share of commercial profit. Doing Business 2011 reports the total tax rate for 2009. The total amount of taxes borne is the sum of all the different taxes and contributions payable after accounting for allowable deductions and exemptions. The taxes withheld or collected by the company and remitted to the tax authorities but not borne by the company are excluded. The taxes included can be divided into 5 categories: profit or corporate income tax, social contributions and labour taxes paid by the employer, property taxes, turnover taxes and other taxes (such as municipal fees and vehicle and fuel taxes).

Source: World Bank, Ease of Doing Business Index 2011, *Doing Business 2011* (http://www.doingbusiness.org/)

III: Definitions and Sources

2 Human capital and research

2.1 Education

2.1.1 Expenditure on education

Current expenditure on education (% of GNI)^a | 2008

Current operating expenditures in education, including wages and salaries and excluding capital investments in buildings and equipment, as a percentage of gross national income (GNI). UNESCO series supplemented World Bank estimates based on UN and UNESCO data (same year).

Source: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, *UIS online database* (2004–10) (http://stats.uis.unesco.org)

2.1.2 Public expenditure on education per pupil Public expenditure on education per pupil, all levels (% of GDP per capita) ^a | 2007

Public current spending on education divided by the total number of students by level, as a percentage of GDP per capita. Public expenditure (current and capital) includes government spending on educational institutions (both public and private), education administration and subsidies for private entities (students/households and other private entities).

Source: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, *UIS online database* (2000–09) (http://stats.uis.unesco.org)

2.1.3 School life expectancy

School life expectancy, primary to tertiary education (years) | 2008

Total number of years of schooling that a child of a certain age can expect to receive in the future, assuming that the probability of his or her being enrolled in school at any particular age is equal to the current enrolment ratio for that age.

Source: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, *UIS online database* (2000–10) (http://stats.uis.unesco.org)

2.1.4 Assessment in reading, mathematics, and science

Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) scales in reading, mathematics, and science (average) $^{a}\mid$ 2009

The OECD Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) develops three-yearly surveys that examine 15-year-old students' performance in reading, mathematics and science. The scores are calculated in each year so that the mean is 500 and the standard deviation 100. In 2009, 65 countries participated; Macedonia participated in 2000. The scores for China come from Shanghai; those of the United Arab Emirates from Dubai.

Source: OECD Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) 2009 and 2000, UNESCO Institute for Statistics, *UIS online database* (2000–09) (http://stats.uis.unesco.org)

2.1.5 Pupil-teacher ratio Pupil-teacher ratio, secondary | 2008

The number of pupils enrolled in secondary school divided by the number of secondary school teachers (regardless of their teaching assignment). Where the data are missing for some countries, the ratio for upper-secondary is reported instead.

Source: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, *UIS online database* and World Bank *World Development Indicators* database (2000–10) (http://stats.uis.unesco.org)

2.2 Tertiary education

2.2.1 Tertiary school enrolment Tertiary school enrolment (% gross) | 2008

The ratio of total tertiary enrolment, regardless of age, to the population of the age group that officially corresponds to the tertiary level of education. Tertiary education, whether or not to an advanced research qualification, normally requires, as a minimum condition of admission, the successful completion of education at the secondary level. UNESCO data supplemented by World Bank data (same source).

Source: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, *UIS online database* (2000–10) (http://stats.uis.unesco.org)

2.2.2 Tertiary graduates in science

Tertiary graduates in science (% of total tertiary graduates) | 2008

The share of all tertiary graduates in science over all tertiary graduates.

Source: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, *UIS online database* (2000–10) (http://stats.uis.unesco.org)

2.2.3 Tertiary graduates in engineering

Tertiary graduates in engineering, manufacturing, and construction (% of total tertiary graduates) | 2008

The share of all tertiary graduates in manufacturing, engineering, and construction over all tertiary graduates.

Source: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, *UIS online database* (2000–09) (http://stats.uis.unesco.org)

2.2.4 Tertiary inbound mobility Tertiary inbound mobility ratio (%)^a | 2008

The number of students from abroad studying in a given country, as a percentage of the total tertiary enrolment in that country.

Source: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, *UIS online database* (2000–10) (http://stats.uis.unesco.org)

2.2.5 Tertiary outbound mobility Tertiary outbound mobility ratio (%)^a | 2008

The number of students from a given country studying abroad as a percentage of the total tertiary enrolment in that country.

Source: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, United Nations database *UNdata*) (2000–09) (http://data.un.org/)

2.2.6 Gross tertiary outbound enrolment Gross tertiary outbound enrolment ratio (%)^a | 2008

Mobile students coming from a country/region as a percentage of the population of tertiary student age in their home country.

Source: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, United Nations database *UNdata*) (2001–09) (http://data.un.org/)

2.3 Research and development (R&D)

2.3.1 Researchers

Researchers, headcounts (per million people) | 2007

Researchers per million people, headcounts.
Researchers in R&D are professionals engaged in the conception or creation of new knowledge, products, processes, methods, or systems and in the management of the projects concerned.
Postgraduate PhD students (ISCED97 level 6) engaged in R&D are included. UNESCO series supplemented by World Bank data (same source).

Source: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, *UIS online database* (2000–09) (http://stats.uis.unesco.org)

2.3.2 Gross expenditure on R&D (GERD) Gross expenditure on R&D (% of GDP) | 2007

Total domestic intramural expenditure on R&D during a given period as a percentage of GDP. Intramural R&D expenditure is all expenditure for R&D performed within a statistical unit or sector of the economy during a specific period, whatever the source of funds. UNESCO data supplemented with World Bank data (same source).

Source: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, *UIS online database* (2002–08) (http://stats.uis.unesco.org)

2.3.3 Quality of research institutions

Average answer to the question: How would you assess the quality of scientific research institutions in your country? 1 = very poor; $7 = \text{the best in their field internationally}^{\dagger}$ | 2010

Source: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey 2010 (https://wefsurvey.org)

Infrastructure

3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICT)

3.1.1 ICT access

Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) access index*

A composite index that weights five ICT indicators (20% each); (1) fixed telephone lines per 100 inhabitants; (2) mobile cellular telephone subscriptions per 100 inhabitants; (3) international Internet bandwidth (bit/s) per Internet user; (4) proportion of households with a computer; and (5) proportion of households with Internet access at home. It is the first subindex in ITU's ICT Development Index (IDI).

Source: International Telecommunication Union, ICT Development Index Report 2010 (with data from

3.1.2 ICT use

Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) use index*

A composite index that weights three ICT indicators (33% each): (1) Internet users per 100 inhabitants; (2) fixed broadband Internet subscribers per 100 inhabitants; (3) mobile broadband subscriptions per 100 inhabitants. It is the second subindex in ITU's ICT Development Index (IDI).

Source: International Telecommunication Union, ICT Development Index Report 2010 (with data from

3.1.3 Government's online service Government's online service index*a | 2010

Research teams assessed each country's national website and the websites of the ministries of education, labour, social services, health and finance, along with associated portals and subsidiary websites. Websites were tested for a minimal level of content accessibility. The survey covers four stages of government's online service development with points assigned for (1) emerging information services; (2) enhanced information services; (3) transaction services; and (4) a connected approach. A citizen-centric approach was followed. It is the first of three components of the E-Government Development Index (EGDI) of the United Nations Public Administration Network (UNPAN), together with components on telecommunications infrastructure and human capital.

Source: United Nations Public Administration Network, e-Government Development Database (UNeGovDD) (http://www2.unpan.org/egovkb/)

3.1.4 Online participation

E-participation index*^a | 2010

The United Nations E-Participation Index is based on the survey used for the UN Online Service Index. The survey was expanded with questions emphasizing quality in the connected presence stage of e-government. These questions focus on the use of the Internet to facilitate provision of information by governments to citizens ('e-information sharing'), interaction with stakeholders ('e-consultation'), and engagement in decisionmaking processes ('e-decision making'). A country's E-Participation Index value reflects how useful these features are and the extent to which they have been deployed by the government compared with all other countries. The purpose of this measure is to offer insight into how different countries are using online tools to promote interaction between citizen and government, as well as among citizens, for the benefit of all. The index ranges from 0 to 1, with 1 showing greater e-participation.

Source: United Nations Public Administration Network, e-Government Development Database (UNeGovDD)

(http://www2.unpan.org/egovkb/)

3.2 Energy

3.2.1 Electricity output Electricity output (kWh per capita)^a | 2008

Electricity production, measured at the terminals of all alternator sets in a station. In addition to hydropower, coal, oil, gas, and nuclear power generation, it covers generation by geothermal, solar, wind, and tide and wave energy, as well as that from combustible renewables and waste. Production includes the output of electricity plants that are designed to produce electricity only as well as that of combined heat and power plants. Electricity output in KWh is scaled by population.

Source: International Energy Agency, World Energy Balances online data service (2008-09) (http://www.iea.org/stats/)

3.2.2 Electricity consumption

Electricity consumption (kWh per capita)^a | 2008

Electric power consumption, measured by the production of power plants and combined heat and power plants less transmission, distribution, and transformation losses and own use by heat and power plants. The total value in kWh is scaled by population.

Source: International Energy Agency, World Energy Balances online data service (2008-09) (http://www.iea.org/stats/)

3.2.3 GDP per unit of energy use

GDP per unit of energy use (2000 PPP\$ per kg of oil equivalent) 2008

PPP GDP per kilogram of oil equivalent of energy use. Energy use or total primary energy supply (TPES) is calculated as production of fuels + inputs from other sources + imports - exports international marine bunkers +/- stock changes. It includes coal, crude oil, natural gas liquids, refinery feedstocks, additives, petroleum products, gases, combustible renewables and waste, electricity and heat. Domestic supply (also called 'energy apparent consumption') differs from final consumption in that it does not take account of distribution losses. The supply (or use) of energy commodities is converted to kilograms or tons of oil equivalent (koe, toe) using standard coefficients for each energy source.

Source: International Energy Agency, World Energy Balances online data service (2008-09) (http://www.iea.org/stats/)

3.2.4 Share of renewables in energy use

Share of renewables in energy use (% of total energy use) | 2008

Share of energy from renewable sources over energy use or TPES (definition provided under indicator 3.2.3). Renewable sources include: hydro, geothermal, solar, wind, tide, renewable combustibles, and waste.

Source: International Energy Agency, World Energy Balances online data service (2008–09) (http://www.iea.org/stats/)

3 Infrastructure

3.3 General infrastructure

3.3.1 Trade and transport-related infrastructure Logistics performance index: quality of trade and transport-related infrastructure (1 = low to 5 = high)* | 2009

Logistics Performance Index surveys conducted by the World Bank in partnership with academic and international institutions and private companies and individuals engaged in international logistics. The 2009 round of surveys covered more than 5,000 country assessments by nearly 1,000 international freight forwarders. Respondents evaluate eight markets on six core dimensions on a scale from 1 (worst) to 5 (best). The markets are chosen based on the most important export and import markets of the respondent's country, random selection, and, for landlocked countries, neighbouring countries that connect them with international markets. Details of the survey methodology are in Arvis et al.'s Connecting to Compete 2010: Trade Logistics in the Global Economy (2010). Respondents evaluated the quality of trade and transport related infrastructure (e.g., ports, railroads, roads, information technology), on a rating ranging from 1 (very low) to 5 (very high). Scores are averaged across all respondents.

Source: World Bank and Turku School of Economics, Logistic Performance Index Surveys 2009, World Bank World Development Indicators database (2006–09) (http://data.worldbank.org/)

3.3.2 Gross capital formation

Gross capital formation (% of GDP) | 2009

Gross capital formation (formerly 'gross domestic investment') consists of outlays on additions to the fixed assets of the economy plus net changes in the level of inventories. Fixed assets include land improvements (fences, ditches, drains, and so on); plant, machinery, and equipment purchases; and the construction of roads, railways, and the like, including schools, offices, hospitals, private residential dwellings, and commercial and industrial buildings. Inventories are stocks of goods held by firms to meet temporary or unexpected fluctuations in production or sales, and 'works in progress'. Net acquisitions of valuables are also considered capital formation.

Source: World Bank and OECD, World Bank World Development Indicators database (2000–09) (http://data.worldbank.org/)

3.3.3 Ecological footprint and biocapacity Ecological footprint and biocapacity (deficit) or reserve (global

Ecological footprint and biocapacity (deficit) or reserve (global hectares per capita) | 2007

The Global Footprint Network provides estimates of the ecological biocapacity (EB) and of the ecological footprint of consumption (EF) of countries, in global hectares per capita. The difference between the two (EB – EF) corresponds to the ecological deficit (negative values) or reserve (positive values) of each country. EB includes five sub-categories: cropland, grazing, forest, fishing, and built land. EC includes the same five, with the addition of carbon footprint.

Source: Global Footprint Network (2001–07) (http://www.footprintnetwork.org)

Market sophistication

4.1 Credit

4.1.1 Legal rights strength to get credit Getting credit: Strength of legal rights index (0−10)*∂ | 2010

Index that measures the degree to which collateral and bankruptcy laws protect the rights of borrowers and lenders, and thus facilitate lending. It ranges from 0 to 10, with higher scores indicating that collateral and bankruptcy laws are better designed to expand access to credit.

Source: World Bank, Ease of Doing Business Index 2011, Doing Business 2011 (http://www.doingbusiness.org/)

4.1.2 Depth of credit information Getting credit: Depth of credit information index $(0-6)*a \mid 2010$

Index that measures rules and practices affecting the coverage, scope, and accessibility of credit information available through either a public credit registry or a private credit bureau. It ranges from 0 to 6, with higher values indicating the availability of more credit information.

Source: World Bank, Ease of Doing Business Index 2011, Doing Business 2011 (http://www.doingbusiness.org/)

4.1.3 Domestic credit to private sector Domestic credit to private sector (% of GDP) | 2008

Financial resources provided to the private sector, such as through loans, purchases of nonequity securities, and trade credits and other accounts receivable, that establish a claim for repayment. For some countries these claims include credit to public enterprises.

Source: International Monetary Fund; World Bank and OECD GDP estimates, World Bank World Development Indicators database (2000–09) (http://data.worldbank.org/)

4.1.4 Microfinance institutions' gross loan portfolio

Microfinance institutions: Gross loan portfolio (% of GDP)^a | 2009

Combined gross loan balances per microfinance institution (current US\$), divided by GDP (current US\$) and multiplied by 100.

Source: Microfinance Information Exchange, Mix Market database: World Bank and OFCD GDP estimates, World Bank World Development Indicators database (2001-09)

(http://www.mixmarket.org/data-center)

4.2 Investment

4.2.1 Strength of investor protection Protecting investors: Strength of investor protection index (0-10)*

2010

Index that is the average of the extent of disclosure index, the extent of director liability index. and the ease of shareholder suits index. It ranges from 0 to 10, with higher values indicating more investor protection.

Source: World Bank, Ease of Doing Business Index 2011, Doing Business 2011 (http://www.doingbusiness.org/)

4.2.2 Market capitalization

Market capitalization of listed companies (% of GDP) | 2009

Market capitalization (also known as 'market value') is the share price times the number of shares outstanding. Listed domestic companies are the domestically incorporated companies listed on the country's stock exchanges at the end of the year. Listed companies do not include investment companies, mutual funds, or other collective investment vehicles.

Source: Standard and Poor's and World Bank and OECD GDP estimates, World Bank World Development Indicators database (2005-09) (http://data.worldbank.org/)

4.2.3 Total value of stocks trade

Total value of stocks traded (% of GDP) | 2009

Total value of shares traded during the period. This indicator complements the market capitalization ratio by showing whether market size is matched by trading.

Source: Standard and Poor's and World Bank and OECD GDP estimates. World Bank World Development Indicators database (2005-09) (http://data.worldbank.org/)

4.2.4 Venture capital deals

Venture capital per investment location: number of deals (per trillion GDP, 2005 PPP\$)^a | 2010

Thomson Reuters data on private equity deals, per deal, with details on, among others, the location of investment, investment company, and investor firms and funds. The series corresponds to a query on venture capital deals from 1 January 2010 to 31 December 2010, with the data collected by investment location, for a total of 7.937 deals in 81 countries in 2010.

Source: Thomson Reuters, Thomson One Banker Private Equity database; World Bank and OECD GDP estimates, World Bank World Development Indicators

(http://banker.thomsonib.com)

4.3 Trade and competition

4.3.1 Applied tariff rate

Applied tariff rate, weighted mean, all products (%)^D | 2008

The average of effectively applied rates weighted by the product import shares corresponding to each partner country. Data are classified using the Harmonized System of trade at the six- or eight-digit level. Tariff line data were matched to Standard International Trade Classification (SITC) revision 3 codes to define commodity groups and import weights. To the extent possible, specific rates have been converted to their ad valorem equivalent rates and have been included in the calculation of weighted mean tariffs. Effectively applied tariff rates at the six- and eight-digit product level are averaged for products in each commodity group. When the effectively applied rate is unavailable, the most favoured nation rate is used instead. World Bank estimates using the World Integrated Trade Solution (WITS) system, based on tariff data from the UNCTAD Trade Analysis and Information System (TRAINS) database and import weights calculated using the UN Comtrade database.

Source: World Bank, based on WITS, UNCTAD TRAINS, and UN COMTRADE, World Bank World Development Indicators database (2002-08) (http://data.worldbank.org/)

4.3.2 Market access trade restrictiveness

Market access overall trade restrictiveness index (%) a,b | 2008

The Market Access Overall Trade Restrictiveness Index (MA_OTRI) is a measure of applied tariffs and ad-valorem equivalent non-tariff measures faced by exports, taking into account tariff preferences. It captures the trade distortions that the rest of the world trade policies impose on the export bundle of each country. The MA OTRI answers the question: What is the uniform tariff that if imposed by all trading partners on exports of country c instead of their current structure of protection would leave exports of country c at their current level? ? Based on H. L. Kee, A. Nicita, and M. Olarreaga (2008), 'Import Demand Elasticities and Trade Distortions', Review of Economics and Statistics 90 (4): 666-82; and H. L. Kee, A. Nicita, and M. Olarreaga (2009), 'Estimating Trade Restrictiveness Indices'. Economic Journal 119: 172-99.

Source: World Bank Overall Trade Restrictiveness Indices, World Bank and International Monetary Fund Global Monitoring Report 2010 (http://go.worldbank.org/FG1KHXSP30)

4 Market sophistication

4.3.3 Imports of goods and services Imports of goods and services (% of GDP) | 2009

The value of all goods and other market services imported from the rest of the world. Imports includes the value of merchandise, freight, insurance, transport, travel, royalties, license fees, and other services, such as communication, construction, financial, information, business, personal, and government services. They exclude compensation of employees and investment income (formerly called 'factor services') and transfer payments.

Source: World Bank and OECD, World Bank World Development Indicators database (2001–09) (http://data.worldbank.org/)

4.3.4 Exports of goods and services

Exports of goods and services (% of GDP) | 2009

The value of all goods and other market services provided to the rest of the world. Exports include the value of merchandise, freight, insurance, transport, travel, royalties, license fees, and other services, such as communication, construction, financial, information, business, personal, and government services. They exclude compensation of employees and investment income (formerly called 'factor services') and transfer payments.

Source: World Bank and OECD, World Bank World Development Indicators database (2001–09) (http://data.worldbank.org/)

4.3.5 Intensity of local competition

Average answer to the question: How would you assess the intensity of competition in the local markets in your country? 1 = limited in most industries; 7 = intense in most industries; $\frac{1}{2}$ 2010

Source: World Economic Forum, *Executive Opinion Survey 2010* (https://wefsurvey.org)

5 Business sophistication

5.1 Knowledge workers

5.1.1 Employment in knowledge-intensive services

Employment in knowledge-intensive services (% of workforce) | 2008

Sum of people in categories 0 to 3 as a percentage of total people employed, according to ISCO-1968, ISCO-88, and NSCO (excluding 0 Armed forces in ISCO-88). Categories included: ISCO-1968: (0/1) Professional, technical and related workers; (2) Administrative and managerial workers; and (3) Clerical and related workers. ISCO-88: (1) Legislators, senior officials and managers; (2) Professionals, and (3) Technicians and associate professionals.

Source: International Labour Organization, *LABORSTA Database of Labor Statistics* (2000–08) (http://laborsta.ilo.org/)

5.1.2 Firms offering formal training Firms offering formal training (% of firms) | 2009

The percentage of firms offering formal training programmes for their permanent, full-time employees.

Source: World Bank Enterprise Surveys, World Bank World Development Indicators database (2003–09) (http://data.worldbank.org/)

5.1.3 GERD performed by business enterprise Gross expenditure on R&D (GERD) performed by business enterprise (% of total)^a | 2008

Percentage of gross expenditure on R&D performed by business enterprise.

Source: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, *UIS online database* (2002–09) (http://stats.uis.unesco.org)

5.1.4 GERD financed by business enterprise Gross expenditure on R&D (GERD) financed by business enterprise (% of total)^a | 2007

Percentage of gross expenditure on R&D financed by business enterprise.

Source: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, *UIS online database* (2001–09) (http://stats.uis.unesco.org)

5.2 Innovation linkages

5.2.1 University/industry collaboration on R&D Average answer to the survey question: To what extent do business and universities collaborate on research and development (R&D) in your country? 1 = do not collaborate at all; 7 = collaborate extensively $\frac{1}{2}$ | 2010

Source: World Economic Forum, *Executive Opinion Survey 2010* (https://wefsurvey.org)

5.2.2 State of cluster development

Mean of the average responses to three survey questions: (1) In your country's economy, how prevalent are well-developed and deep clusters? 1 = nonexistent; 7 = widespread in many fields. (2) In your country, how extensive is collaboration among firms, suppliers, partners, and associated institutions within clusters? 1 = collaboration is nonexistent; 7 = collaboration is extensive. (3) In your country, what is the state of formal policies supporting cluster development? 1 = nonexistent; 7 = extensive and covers many clusters and regions $\frac{1}{1}$ [2010

Clusters are defined as geographic concentrations of firms, suppliers, producers of related products and services, and specialized institutions in a particular field (e.g., financial services in New York, leather and footwear in Italy, consumer electronics in Japan).

Source: World Economic Forum, *Executive Opinion Survey 2010* (https://wefsurvey.org)

5.2.3 GERD financed by abroad

Gross expenditure on R&D (GERD) financed by abroad (% of total) $^{\rm d}$ | 2007

Percentage of gross expenditure on R&D financed by abroad, i.e., with foreign financing.

Source: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, *UIS online database* (2001–09) (http://stats.uis.unesco.org)

5.2.4 Joint ventures / strategic alliances deals Joint ventures / strategic alliances: number of deals, fractional counting (per trillion GDP, 2005 PPPS)^a | 2010

Thomson Reuters data on joint ventures / strategic alliances deals, per deal, with details on, among others, the country of origin of partner firms. The series corresponds to a query on joint ventures / strategic alliances deals from 1 January 2010 to 31 December 2010, for a total of 1,247 deals announced, of which 920 were joint ventures and 327 strategic alliances. Of these, an assessment of value was available for only 184 deals, which is why a count variable was created. Each participating nation (out of a total of 94) of each company in a deal (*n* countries per deal, *n* ranging from 1 to 7) gets, per deal, a score equivalent to 1/*n* (with the effect that all country scores add up to 1,247).

Source: Thomson Reuters, *Thomson One Banker Private Equity, SDC Platinum* database; World Bank and OECD GDP estimates, World Bank *World Development Indicators* database (http://banker.thomsonib.com)

5.2.5 PCT published patents with at least one foreign inventor

Percentage of published patents with at least one foreign inventor at the Patent Cooperation Treaty (% of total) | 2010

Percentage of PCT applications having at least one foreign inventor (i.e., one inventor's country of residence is different from the first-named applicant's country of residence). The statistic is given for PCT Contracting Parties only. Where there were no published PCT applications, a zero is assigned. Counts are based on the year of publication. A patent confers a set of exclusive rights to applicants by law for inventions that meet standards of novelty, non-obviousness, and industrial applicability. It is valid for a limited period of time (generally 20 years), during which patent holders can commercially exploit their inventions on an exclusive basis. In return, applicants are obliged to disclose their inventions to the public so that others, skilled in the art, may replicate the invention. The patent system is designed to encourage innovation by providing innovators with time-limited exclusive legal rights, thus enabling innovators to appropriate the returns of their innovative activities.

Source: World Intellectual Property Organization, WIPO Statistics Database (http://www.wipo.int//ipstats/)

5.3 Knowledge absorption

5.3.1 Royalty and license fees' payments Royalty and license fees, payments (% of GDP) | 2009

Payments between residents and nonresidents for the authorized use of intangible, nonproduced, nonfinancial assets and proprietary rights (such as patents, copyrights, trademarks, industrial processes, and franchises) and for the use, through licensing agreements, of produced originals of prototypes (such as films and manuscripts). The data in current US\$ were divided by GDP in current US\$. Zeros in the original World Bank series were replaced by the last record available.

III: Definitions and Sources

5 Business sophistication

5.3.2 High-tech imports

High-tech imports net of re-imports (% of total imports net of re-imports) \mid 2009

High-technology imports minus re-imports over total imports minus re-imports. The list of commodities contains technical products with a high intensity of R&D, based on the Eurostat classification, itself based on SITC Rev.4 and the OECD definition. Commodities belong to the following sectors: aerospace; computers & office machines; electronics, telecommunications; pharmacy; scientific instruments; electrical machinery; chemistry; non-electrical machinery; and armament (http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/cache/ITY_SDDS/Annexes/htec_esms_an5.pdf).

Source: United Nations, *COMTRADE* database (2007–10) (http://comtrade.un.org/)

5.3.3 Computer and communications service imports

Computer, communications, and other services imports (% of commercial service imports) \mid 2009

Computer, communications, and other services imports (% of commercial service imports) include such activities as international telecommunications, and postal and courier services; computer data; news-related service transactions between residents and nonresidents; construction services; royalties and license fees; miscellaneous business, professional, and technical services; and personal, cultural, and recreational services.

Source: International Monetary Fund; World Bank and OECD GDP estimates, World Bank World Development Indicators database (2000–09) (http://data.worldbank.org/)

5.3.4 Foreign direct investment net inflows Foreign direct investment, net inflows (% of GDP) | 2009

Net inflows of investment to acquire a lasting management interest (10% or more of voting stock) in an enterprise operating in an economy other than that of the investor. It is the sum of equity capital, reinvestment of earnings, other long-term capital, and short-term capital as shown in the balance of payments. This series shows net inflows (new investment inflows less disinvestment) in the reporting economy from foreign investors, and is divided by GDP.

6 Scientific outputs

6.1 Knowledge creation

6.1.1 Patent applications filed at the national office

Number of patent applications filed by residents at the national office (per billion GDP, 2005 PPP\$) | 2009

Number of patent applications filed by residents at the national patent office. 'Patent' is defined in the description of indicator 5.2.5.

Source: World Intellectual Property Organization, WIPO Statistics Database; World Bank and OECD GDP estimates, World Bank World Development Indicators database (2000–10) (http://www.wipo.int//ipstats/)

6.1.2 Patent applications filed through the PCT Number of international patent applications filed by residents through the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) (per billion GDP, 2005 PPPS) | 2010

Number of patent applications filed by residents under the WIPO-administered Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT). The statistic is given for PCT Contracting Parties only. PCT applications are assigned to a particular country of origin according to the country of residence of the first-named applicant. The PCT system simplifies the process of multiple national patent filings by reducing the requirement to file a separate application in each jurisdiction. 'Patent' is defined in the description of indicator 5.2.5.

Source: World Intellectual Property Organization, WIPO Statistics Database; World Bank and OECD GDP estimates, World Bank World Development Indicators database (http://www.wipo.int//ipstats/)

6.1.3 Utility model applications filed at the national office

Number of utility model applications filed by residents at the national office (per billion GDP, 2005 PPP\$) $^{\rm d}$ | 2009

Number of utility model applications filed by residents at their national patent office. Like a patent, a utility model (UM) confers a set of rights for an invention for a limited period of time, during which UM holders can commercially exploit their inventions on an exclusive basis. The terms and conditions for granting UMs are different from those for 'traditional' patents. For example, UMs are issued for a shorter duration (7 to 10 years) and, at most offices, UM applications are granted without substantive examination.

Source: World Intellectual Property Organization, WIPO Statistics Database; World Bank and OECD GDP estimates, World Bank World Development Indicators database (2000–09) (http://www.wipo.int//ipstats/)

6.1.4 Scientific and technical journal articles Number of scientific and technical journal articles (per billion GDP, 2005 PPP\$) | 2007

The number of scientific and engineering articles published in the following fields: physics, biology, chemistry, mathematics, clinical medicine, biomedical research, engineering and technology, and earth and space sciences.

Source: National Science Foundation and World Bank and OECD GDP estimates, World Bank World Development Indicators database (http://data.worldbank.org/)

6.2 Knowledge impact

6.2.1 Growth rate of GDP per person engaged Growth rate of GDP per person engaged, 2007 to 2008 (1990 PPPS) | 2008

Growth of GDP per person engaged provides a measure of labour productivity (defined as output per unit of labour input). GDP per person employed is gross domestic product (GDP) divided by total employment in the economy. Purchasing power parity (PPP) GDP is GDP converted to 1990 constant international dollars using PPP rates. An international dollar has the same purchasing power over GDP that a US dollar has in the United States of America.

Source: International Labour Organization, LABORSTA Database of Labor Statistics (http://laborsta.ilo.org/)

6.2.2 New business density

New registrations of businesses (per 1,000 people ages 15–64) | 2009

Number of new firms, defined as firms registered in the current year of reporting, per 1,000 working-age people (those aged 15–64).

Source: International Finance Corporation, World Bank World Development Indicators database (2007–09)

(http://data.worldbank.org/)

6.2.3 Computer software spending Total computer software spending (% of GDP)^a | 2010

Total computer software spending (US\$) divided by GDP (current US\$).

Source: World Information Technology and Services Alliance (WITSA); World Bank and OECD GDP estimates, World Bank *World Development Indicators* database (http://www.witsa.org/)

6.3 Knowledge diffusion

6.3.1 Royalty and license fees' receipts Royalty and license fees, receipts (% of GDP) | 2009

Receipts between residents and nonresidents for the authorized use of intangible, nonproduced, nonfinancial assets and proprietary rights (such as patents, copyrights, trademarks, industrial processes, and franchises) and for the use, through licensing agreements, of produced originals of prototypes (such as films and manuscripts). Zeros in the original World Bank series were replaced by the last record available.

Source: International Monetary Fund; World Bank and OECD GDP estimates, World Bank World Development Indicators database (2000–09) (http://data.worldbank.org/)

6.3.2 High-tech exports

High-tech exports net of re-exports (% of total exports net of re-exports) | 2009

High-technology exports minus re-exports over total exports minus re-exports. The list of commodities contains technical products with a high intensity of R&D, based on the Eurostat classification, itself based on SITC Rev.4 and the OECD definition. Commodities belong to the following sectors: aerospace; computers and office machines; electronics, telecommunications; pharmacy; scientific instruments; electrical machinery; and armament (http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/cache/ITY_SDDS/Annexes/htec_esms_an5.pdf).

Source: United Nations, COMTRADE database (2007–10) (http://comtrade.un.org/)

6.3.3 Computer and communications service

Computer, communications, and other services exports (% of commercial service exports) | 2009

Computer, communications, and other services exports (% of commercial service exports) include such activities as international telecommunications, and postal and courier services; computer data; news-related service transactions between residents and nonresidents; construction services; royalties and license fees; miscellaneous business, professional, and technical services; and personal, cultural, and recreational services

6 Scientific outputs

6.3.4 Foreign direct investment net outflows Foreign direct investment, net outflows (% of GDP) | 2009

Net outflows of investment to acquire a lasting management interest (10% or more of voting stock) in an enterprise operating in an economy other than that of the investor. It is the sum of equity capital, reinvestment of earnings, other long-term capital, and short-term capital as shown in the balance of payments. This series shows net outflows of investment from the reporting economy to the rest of the world and is divided by GDP.

7 Creative outputs

7.1 Creative intangibles

7.1.1 Trademark registrations filed at the national office

Number of trademark registrations filed by residents at the national office (per billion GDP, 2005 PPP\$) | 2009

A trademark is a distinctive sign, which distinguishes certain goods or services of one undertaking from those produced or provided by other undertakings. The holder of a registered trademark has the legal right to the exclusive use of the mark in relation to the products or services for which it is registered. Trademark registrations can potentially be maintained indefinitely as long as the trademark holder pays the renewal fees and actually uses the trademark.

Source: World Intellectual Property Organization, WIPO Statistics Database; World Bank and OECD GDP estimates, World Bank World Development Indicators database (2000–09) (http://www.wipo.int//ipstats/)

7.1.2 Trademark registrations filed through the Madrid System

Number of international trademark registrations filed by residents through the Madrid System (per billion GDP, 2005 PPP\$) $^{\rm a}$ | 2009

Number of international trademark registrations filed by residents under the WIPO-administered Madrid System. The statistic is given for Contracting Parties to the Madrid System only. The Madrid System makes it possible for an applicant to apply for a trademark registration in a large number of contracting parties by filing a single application at a national or regional intellectual property (IP) office party to the System. The Madrid System simplifies the process of multinational trademark registration by reducing the requirement to file a separate application with each IP office. An international registration under the Madrid System produces the same effect as an application for registration of the mark in each of the contracting parties designated by the applicant. If protection is not refused by the office of a designated contracting party, the status of the mark is the same as if it had been registered by that office. Definition of trademark under 7.1.1.

Source: World Intellectual Property Organization, WIPO Statistics Database; World Bank and OECD GDP estimates, World Bank World Development Indicators database (2003–09) (http://www.wipo.int//ipstats/)

7.1.3 ICT and business model creation

Average answer to the question: To what extent are information and communication technologies creating new business models, services and products in your country? 1 = not at all; $7 = \text{significantly}^{\frac{1}{4}} \mid 2010$

Source: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey 2010 (https://wefsurvey.org)

7.1.4 ICT and organizational model creation

Average answer to the question: To what extent are information and communication technologies creating new organizational models (virtual teams, remote working, tele-commuting, etc.) within businesses in your country? 1 = not at all; 7 = significantly | 2010

Source: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey 2010 (https://wefsurvey.org)

7.2 Creative goods and services

7.2.1 Recreation and culture

Recreation and culture (% total individual consumption)^a | 2008

Expenditure on category (9) recreation and culture as a percentage of individual consumption expenditure of households, non-profit institutions serving households, and general government (current prices, national currency). Individual consumption categories are defined according to the System of National Accounts' classifications of 1993 (SNA 93) and 1968 (SNA 68). Categories under SNA 93 are: (1) Food and non-alcoholic beverages, (2) Alcoholic beverages, tobacco and narcotics, (3) Clothing and footwear, (4) Housing, water, electricity, gas and other fuels, (5) Furnishings, household equipment and routine maintenance of the house, (6) Health, (7) Transport, (8) Communication, (9) Recreation and culture, (10) Education, (11) Restaurants and hotels, and (12) Miscellaneous goods and services

Source: United Nations Statistics Division, National Accounts Official Country Data, United Nations database *UNdata*) (2003–09) (http://data.un.org/)

7.2.2 National feature films produced Number of national feature films produced (per million people) $^{\rm d}$ | 2006

Films produced for commercial exhibition in cinemas (films produced solely for television broadcasting are as a general rule excluded). The minimum length of films classified as long (or feature) films ranges from less than 1,000 metres to more than 3,000 metres depending on the country, with a mode of around 1,600 metres.

Source: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, *UIS online database*; World Bank population estimates, *World Development Indicators* database (2005–08) (http://stats.uis.unesco.org)

7.2.3 Daily newspapers circulation

Daily newspapers: Total average circulation (per 1,000 literate people) $^{\rm a}$ | 2004

Daily newspapers are periodic publications mainly reporting events that have occurred in the 24-hour period before going to press (issued at least 4 times a week). Periodic publications are intended for the general public and mainly designed to be a primary source of written information on current events connected with public affairs, international questions, politics, etc. They may also include articles on literary or other subjects as well as illustrations and advertising. The average daily circulation includes the number of copies distributed both inside the country and abroad and either: (a) sold directly; (b) sold by subscription; or (c) mainly distributed free of charge.

Source: UNESCO Institute for Statistics, *UIS online database* (2001–05) (http://stats.uis.unesco.org)

7.2.4 Creative goods exports

Creative goods exports (% of total goods exports) | 2008

Total export values of creative goods (current US\$) over total goods exports (current US\$).

Source: UNCTAD Creative Economy Report, UNCTADStat (2003–08) (http://unctadstat.unctad.org/)

7.2.5 Creative services exports

Creative services exports (% of total services exports) | 2008

Total exports of creative services (current US\$) over total services exports (current US\$). UNCTAD reports that 'the value of total exports... of creative services is inevitably underestimated. as all the statistical detail necessary is rarely systematically reported'. Creative services includes the following categories of services: (1) advertising, market research and public opinion polling services; (2) architectural, engineering and other technical; (3) research and development services; (4) personal, cultural and recreational services, (including 4.a. audiovisual and related services): and (5) other personal, cultural and recreational services. UNCTAD does not report totals for services, the series 1 to 5 were added up to get the total.

Source: UNCTAD Creative Economy Report, UNCTADStat (2001–08) (http://unctadstat.unctad.org/)



Technical Notes

Technical Notes

Audit by the Joint Research Centre of the European Commission

The Joint Research Centre (JRC) of the European Commission has researched extensively on the complexity of composite indicators ranking countries' performances along policy lines. For the 2011 edition, the JRC agreed to perform a thorough robustness and sensitivity analysis of the Global Innovation Index.

A previous version of the GII model was submitted to the JRC in April 2011. The recommendations and flexibilities allowed on the basis of the JRC preliminary audit were taken into account in the final version of the Global Innovation Index model and are explained below as appropriate.

A final audit was performed in May on that last model, the results of which are included in the appendix to Chapter 1.

Composite indicators

The Global Innovation Index (GII) relies on seven pillars. Each pillar is divided into three sub-pillars, except for pillar 7, which has only two sub-pillars. Each sub-pillar is composed of individual indicators. Each sub-pillar score is calculated as the weighted average of individual indicators. Each pillar score is the simple average of its sub-pillar scores.

The GII includes four index measures:

- 1. The *Innovation Input Sub-Index* is the simple average of the first five pillar scores.
- 2. The *Innovation Output Sub-Index* is the simple average of the last two pillar scores.
- 3. The *Global Innovation Index (GII)* is the simple average of the Input and Output Sub-Indices.
- 4. The *Innovation Efficiency Index* is the ratio of the Output Sub-Index over the Input Sub-Index.

Country rankings are provided for indicator, sub-pillar, pillar, and index scores.

The rationale behind the Innovation Efficiency Index is to highlight those countries that have 'achieved more with less' and those that lag behind in terms of fulfilling their innovation potential. In theory, assuming that innovation results go hand in hand with innovation enablers, efficiency ratios should evolve around the number one. This measure thus allows us to complement the Global Innovation Index by providing an insight that should be neutral to the development stages of countries ¹

Individual indicators

The model includes 80 indicators, which fall within the following three categories:

- quantitative/objective/hard data (59 indicators),
- composite indicators/index data (15 indicators), and
- 3. survey/qualitative/subjective/soft data (6 indicators).

Hard data

Hard data series (59 indicators) are drawn from a variety of public and private sources such as United Nations agencies (the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, the World Intellectual Property Organization), the World Bank, Thomson Reuters, and Standard & Poor's.

Indicators are often correlated with population, GDP, or some other size-related factor; they require scaling by some relevant size indicator for country comparisons to be valid. Most indicators are scaled at the source (32) or do not need to be scaled (29); for the rest, the scaling factor was chosen to represent a fair picture of country differences. This affected 19 indicators, which can be broadly divided into five groups:

- Indicators 4.1.4, 5.3.1, 6.2.3, and 6.3.1, which come in current US dollars, were given as a percentage of GDP in current US dollars.²
- 2. The count variables 4.2.4, 5.2.4, 6.1.1, 6.1.2, 6.1.3, 6.1.4, 7.1.1, and 7.1.2 were scaled by GDP in PPP terms, constant 2005 international dollars. This choice of denominator was dictated by a willingness to appropriately account for differences in development stages; in addition, scaling these variables by population would improperly bias results to the detriment of countries with large young or ageing populations.³
- 3. The variable 7.2.2, National feature films produced, was scaled by population.
- 4. Variable 3.2.1, Electricity output in kWh per capita, was scaled by population to be averaged out, with half weight each, with 3.2.2, Electricity consumption in kWh per capita, which is scaled at the source by the International Energy Agency.
- Sectoral indicators 5.3.2, 6.3.2, 7.2.1,7.3.1, and 7.3.2 were scaled by the total corresponding to the particular statistic.⁴

Indices

Composite indicators come from a series of specialized agencies, such as the World Bank, the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) and the UN Public Administration Network (UNPAN). Statisticians discourage the use of an 'index within an index' on two main grounds: the distorting effect of the use of different computing methodologies and

the risk of duplicating variables. The normalization procedure partially solves for the former (more on this below). To avoid incurring in the mistake of including a particular indicator more than once (directly and indirectly through a composite indicator), only indices with a narrow focus were selected (15 in total).

Any remaining downside is outweighed by the gains in terms of model parsimony, acknowledgement of expert opinion, and focus on multi-dimensional phenomena that can hardly be captured by a single indicator.

To give an example, GII subpillar 3.1 Information and communication technologies (ICT) is composed of four indexes: ITU's ICT Access and Use sub-indices and UNPAN's Government Online Service and E-Participation Indices. The first two are components of ITU's ICT Development Index together with an ICT skills subindex that was not considered, as it duplicates GII pillar 2. Similarly, the Online Service Index is a component of UNPAN's E-Government Development Index together with two indices on Telecommunication Infrastructure and Human Capital that were not considered, as they duplicate GII pillars 3 and 2 respectively. The e-Participation Index was developed separately by UNPAN in 2010.

Survey data

Survey questions are drawn from the World Economic Forum's Executive Opinion Survey (EOS). Survey questions are drafted to capture subjective perceptions on specific topics. An effort was made in this year's edition to replace soft data by hard or index data, when possible. The GII gained in objectivity, consistency

over multiple periods, comparability, and transparency. Nonetheless, 6 EOS questions were kept or added in this year's GII to capture phenomena strongly linked to innovative activities for which either there are no hard data or existing statistics have low country coverage.

Country coverage and missing data

This year's Global Innovation Index (GII) covers 125 countries, which were selected on the basis of the availability of data. The criteria used were to keep those countries with a minimum indicator-coverage of 50 indicators (63%) and with scores for at least two sub-pillars per pillar, or one of the two pillars for pillar 7. This flexibility was allowed by the JRC after the first audit, on the basis of the high correlations between sub-pillars within each pillar; after the second audit, five countries with unreliable rankings were dropped from the rankings (see the appendix to Chapter 1). The last record available for each country was considered, with a cut-off at year 2000. For the sake of transparency and replicability of results, no additional effort was made to fill missing values. Missing values are indicated with 'n/a' and are not considered in the sub-pillar score.

In addition, indicators with country coverage below 70% (16 cases) or that were combined with other indicators (9 cases) were assigned half weight, to arrive at aggregate numbers balanced in the underlying components.

Treatment of series with outliers

Potentially problematic indicators with outliers that could polarize

results and unduly bias the rankings were treated following the recommendations of the JRC. This affected 28 hard data indicators.

First rule: Selection

The 28 problematic indicators were identified by a combination of skewness and kurtosis statistics:

- absolute value of skewness greater than 2, *and*
- kurtosis greater than 3.5.5

Second rule: Treatment

Series with one to four outliers (26 cases) were winsorised: The country values distorting the indicator distribution were assigned the next highest value, up to the level where skewness and/or kurtosis entered within the ranges specified above.⁶

For series with five or more outliers (2 cases), skewness and/or kurtosis entered within the ranges specified above with transformation by natural logs. Since only 'goods' were affected (i.e., indicators for which higher values indicate better outcomes, as opposed to 'bads'), the formula used was:

Natural log of
$$\left[\frac{(\text{max} - 1) \times (\text{country value} - \text{min})}{(\text{max} - \text{min})} + 1\right]^8$$

where 'min' and 'max' are the minimum and maximum indicator sample values.

Normalization

The 80 indicators were then normalized into the [0, 100] range, with higher scores representing better outcomes. Normalization was made according to the min-max method,

where the min and max values were given by the minimum and maximum indicator sample values respectively, except for index and survey data, for which the original series' range of values was kept as min and max values (for example, [1, 7] for the World Economic Forum Executive Opinion Survey questions, [0, 100] for World Bank's World Governance Indicators, [0, 10] for ITU indices, etc.). The following formula was applied:

Goods:

$$100 \times \frac{\text{(country value - min)}}{\text{(max - min)}}$$

Bads:

$$-100 \times \frac{\text{(country value} - \min)}{\text{(max} - \min)} + 100$$

Notes

- To account for differences in development, other composite indicators have resorted to weighting schemes differentiated by income level
- 2 Gross loan portfolio of microfinance Institutions; royalty and license fees' payments and receipts, and total computer software spending.
- 3 These count variables are mainly indicators that increase disproportionately with economic growth, and include: venture capital, joint venture, strategic alliance deals; and resident patent, utility model, and trademark applications.
- 4 Creative exports of goods (services) were scaled by total exports of goods (services); high-tech exports minus re-exports (imports minus re-imports) by total exports minus re-exports (imports minus re-imports); and individual expenditure on recreation and culture by total individual consumption.
- 5 Based on Groeneveld, R. A. and G. Meeden, 1984, 'Measuring Skewness and Kurtosis'. The Statistician 33: 391–99, which sets the criteria of absolute skewness above 1 and kurtosis above 3.5. The skewness criterion was relaxed to account for the small sample at hand (125 countries).

- 6 This affected the following variables: 3.2.2, 4.1.3, 4.2.2, 5.3.2, 7.2.5 and 7.3.1 (1 outlier); 1.3.1, 3.2.1, 4.3.3, 5.2.4, 7.1.2, and 7.3.2 (2 outliers); 1.3.2, 2.2.6, 4.1.4, 4.3.4, 5.3.4, 6.1.1, 6.2.2, and 6.3.4 (3 outliers); and 2.2.4, 4.2.3, 5.2.3, 5.3.1, 6.1.3, and 6.3.1 (4 outliers).
- 7 This affected variables 2.2.5 (6 outliers) and 4.2.4 (7 outliers).
- 8 The corresponding formula for 'bads' is:
 natural log of [– (max 1) × (country value min)/(max min) + max]. These formulas achieve two things: converting all series into 'goods' and scaling the series to the range [1, max] so that natural logs are positive starting at 0.

Reference

Groeneveld, R. A. and G. Meeden. 1984, 'Measuring Skewness and Kurtosis'. *The Statistician* 33: 391–99.

Appendix

About the Authors

About the Authors

Daniela Benavente joined INSEAD eLab in November 2010 as Senior Research Fellow responsible for the Global Innovation Index, 2011 edition. Her previous professional experience includes working as an Economic Advisor at the cabinet office of the President of Chile, and as trade and intellectual property specialist and negotiator at the Ministries of Foreign Affairs and of Economy of Chile. She also held Teaching Assistant positions at the Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies in Geneva in Econometrics with Professor Jaya Krishnakumar, among others. She holds a PhD in International Economics from the Graduate Institute (obtained with highest honours), Master's degrees from Columbia University (Fulbright and Dean's Scholar) and Sciences-Po Paris, and a BA in Economics from Universidad Católica in Chile

Lourdes Casanova specializes in international business with a focus on Latin America and multinationals from emerging markets. A Fulbright Scholar with a Master's degree from the University of Southern California and a PhD from the University of Barcelona, she is a Lecturer at INSEAD and a Visiting Professor at the University of California at Berkeley, the University of San Diego, and the Latin American Center at the University of Oxford. She has published case studies and articles in journals and is the author of the book Global Latinas: Latin America's Emerging Multinationals (Palgrave Macmillan. 2009).

Jibak Dasgupta is currently with the Confederation of Indian Industry (CII) as Deputy Director in the Technology and Innovation Department, working in innovation clusters and on an innovation index for Indian industry. He has also worked as a Management Consultant for quality certifications such as ISO and CMMI for a short period. He has been associated with Swedish start-ups in the field of cashless micropayments, and with creating a strategic roadmap for their pan-European expansion. Mr Dasgupta has an MSc degree in Management and Economics of Innovation from Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburgh, Sweden. Prior to that he completed his BE in Instrumentation Technology from VTU, Belgaum and then worked for Infosys, a major IT player in the Indian market.

Soumitra Dutta is the Roland Berger Chaired Professor of Business and Technology and the Founder and Faculty Director of INSEAD, eLab, the business school's centre of excellence in teaching and research on the digital economy. His current research is on technology strategy and innovation at both corporate and national policy levels. His latest co-authored books are Throwing Sheep in the Boardroom (Wiley, 2008) and Innovating at the Top (Palgrave, 2009). Professor Dutta is actively involved in policy development at national and European levels. He has taught in and consulted with international corporations across the world. Professor Dutta's research has been showcased in the international media such as CNN, CNBC, BBC, and international publications. He is a Fellow of the World Economic Forum. He obtained his PhD in Computer Science and his MSc in Business Administration from the University of California at Berkeley.

Nils Olaya Fonstad is Associate Director of INSEAD eLab, a research centre focused on learning how public and private institutions throughout the world create value from the knowledge economy. He conducts research on innovation and on how organizations and countries define and foster skills critical for competing in a global knowledge economy. Dr Fonstad earned a PhD degree from the MIT Sloan School of Management; an MS from the MIT Technology and Policy Program; and a BS in Mechanical Engineering and a BA in Film Studies from Cornell University, Ithaca, New York.

Anuraj Gambhir is Chief Dreamer at Xpert Media. He has worked in the mobile telecommunications industry for over two decades across several areas of the mobile value web and devices ecosystem, including leading mobile network operators, device manufacturers, R&D centres, international trade bodies, consultancy firms, value-added service developers/ providers, and wireless technology retail and network solution vendors in Australasia, North America, Europe, and Asia. He has spearheaded several innovations in the advanced as well as grassroots-level mobile domain in mature and emerging markets. He has an honours degree in Electrical and Electronics Engineering with a specialization in Telecommunications from the University of NSW (Australia), a micro-eMBA from Oxford (UK), and he completed an executive leadership excellence program from ISB (India). He is a valued advisory board member of several organizations globally and is actively involved in the evolution of key mobile standards.

THE GLOBAL INNOVATION INDEX 2011

Barry Jaruzelski is a Partner with Booz & Company and leads the firm's Global Technology Practice. He specializes in corporate and product strategy and the transformation of core innovation processes for high technology and industrial clients. A recognized thought leader, Mr Jaruzelski is frequently quoted in publications such as The Wall Street Journal, The Economist, the Financial Times, and The New York Times on the technology industry and the challenges of innovation. He often appears as an expert commentator on ABC News, CNBC, CNN, NPR, and the BBC. Mr Jaruzelski has co-authored numerous Booz & Company publications, including the firm's award-winning annual Global Innovation 1000 study; several strategy+business articles, such as 'Money Isn't Everything', 'What Will Be Made in China', 'The Customer Connection', and 'The Stealth Software Challenge'; and the book Mastering the Innovation Challenge. In addition, he has written articles published in Forbes, Ivey Journal, Strategic Finance, PDMA Visions, Optimize, and Linux World magazines and the Boston Globe newspaper. He is a member of the panel of judges for The Wall Street Journal's annual Technology Innovation Awards. Mr Jaruzelski holds a Bachelor of Science in Economics from the University of Pennsylvania and an MBA from Columbia Business School in New York.

Jeff Dayton-Johnson is the Head of the Development Centre's Americas Desk at the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), the principal activity of which is the OECD Latin American Economic Outlook. Prior to joining the OECD, he was a Professor of Economics and International Development Studies at Dalhousie University in Canada. Dr Dayton-Johnson received his PhD in Economics from the University of California, Berkeley, and his undergraduate education at Berkeley and the Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México.

Bruno Lanvin is the Executive Director of INSEAD's eLab, managing INSEAD's teams in Paris, Singapore, and Abu Dhabi since September 2007. eLab's current areas of focus are leadership in knowledge economies, skills/e-skills, innovation, economic impact of social networks, and new roles of government. Since 2009, he has been Chair of the Global Advisory Council on the Future of Government (World Economic Forum), He has been a Commissioner on the Broadband Commission. since its creation in 2010. From 2000 to 2007, he worked for the World Bank, where he was inter alia Senior Advisor for E-strategies, Regional Coordinator (Europe and Central Asia) for ICT and e-government issues, and Chairman of the Bank's e-Thematic Group. From June 2001 to December 2003, he was the Manager of the Information for Development Program (infoDev). In 2000, he was appointed Executive Secretary of the G-8 DOT Force. Before that, he worked for some 20 years in senior positions in the United Nations. The author of numerous books and articles on international economics, information technology, and development, he holds a BA in Mathematics and Physics, an MBA from Ecole des Hautes Etudes Commerciales (HEC) in Paris, and a PhD in Economics from the University of Paris I – La Sorbonne. He has worked in some 70 countries, and speaks French, English, and Spanish, and has a practical knowledge of Italian, Portuguese, Russian, and basic Chinese.

Revital Maron has more than 20 years of hands-on international experience in the telecommunications and IT sectors. She currently leads the Market and Consumer Insight group helping Alcatel-Lucent and its customers anticipate and profit from technological and market changes with a specific focus on global and local consumer behaviour. Prior to joining Alcatel-Lucent, Ms Marom was the Director of the Ericsson Consumer ab North America, where she led LTE and UMTS Market Research initiatives for AT&T, Verizon, Sprint, Telia-Sonora, Telenor, Cable and Wireless, Digicel, and others. Her experience includes being a Lecturer/Fellow at INSEAD in the area of Technology Management, heading the research group at Thesus, France Telecom business school, and developing and implementing research and e-business strategies for clients such as AMD, ABB, 3M, and British Telecom. Ms Marom is a frequent guest speaker on telecommunication trends and consumer behaviour at many telecommunications, IT, and international marketing events.

Chadi N. Moujaes is a Principal at Booz & Company. He specializes in public policy strategy and implementation of economic and human capital development policies. He has authored numerous national development agendas for countries in the Middle East, linking education reform strategies with socioeconomic development goals. His current work focuses on assisting universities and local industries in the Middle East to develop innovation clusters to drive economic growth and job creation opportunities. Mr Moujaes has co-authored numerous Booz & Company publications and articles on socioeconomic development. He holds an MBA from INSEAD and a Bachelor of Engineering from the American University of Beirut.

Anna Pietikäinen works as Advisor at the Americas Desk of the Development Centre at the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), the principal activity of which is the OECD Latin American Economic Outlook. Prior to joining the Centre, Ms Pietikäinen worked with the United Nations on the design and implementation of rural development programs in Latin America and the Caribbean. She holds a Bachelor's degree in International Relations from the London School of Economics and a Master's degree in Development Studies from Sciences-Po Paris.

Philippe Richard is currently in charge of the 'green' products strategy in Alcatel-Lucent's Corporate Technology Office. Previously, Dr Richard held various positions in Alcatel-Lucent, from R&D Program Manager to Corporate VP for R&D Effectiveness. He began his career as a Research Director at INRIA (Institut National de Recherche en Informatique et Automatique) and as a Research and Innovation Director in Alcatel-Alsthom Research labs. Dr Richard earned his PhD in Computer Science from the University of Paris-Sud at Orsay.

Michaela Saisana has been a Scientific Officer at the Joint Research Centre (JRC) of the European Commission (Italy) since 1998. Her main activities involve auditing composite indicators by means of multivariate analysis, uncertainty, and global sensitivity analysis. She has provided numerous courses on the development and robustness assessment of composite indicators for academia, international organizations, and European Commission officials. In 2004 she won the European Commission – JRC Young Scientist Prize in Statistics and Econometrics, awarded by the Commissioner for Research Janez Potočnik. She is a co-author of the book Global Sensitivity Analysis: The Primer (2008), a principal author of the 2008 OECD/JRC Handbook on Composite Indicators, and developer and moderator of the JRC Information server on composite indicators. Her publications deal with sensitivity analysis, composite indicators, multi-criteria analysis, multi-objective optimization, and air quality modelling and forecasting. She has a PhD and an MSc in Engineering from the National Technical University of Athens, received with Awards from the Technical Chambers of Greece

Hatem Abdul-Mohsin Samman is the Director and Lead Economist of the Booz & Company Ideation Center. Previously, Dr Samman held the position of Vice President at a major Saudi Bank and was Director of Regulatory Affairs and Strategic Planning at a major regional telecommunications company. He was Senior Fellow at the University of Minnesota and Consultant at the World Bank, among other positions. Dr Samman has published several academic articles in the International Journal of Applied Economics and the Journal of International Trade & Economic Development, among others. He is frequently quoted in regional and international magazines and newspapers such as the Financial Times, and often appears as an expert on BBC, AlArabiya, and CNBC Arabia. Dr Samman has co-authored numerous Booz & Company and Ideation Center publications, including How to Succeed at Education Reform: The Case for Saudi Arabia and the Broader GCC Region (2008), The Vital Role of Sovereign Wealth Funds in the GCC's Future (2009), and Meeting the Employment Challenge in the GCC: The Need for a Holistic Strategy (2010). Dr Samman holds a Bachelor's degree in Social Sciences from the University of California, San Diego and a PhD in Political Economy & Public Policy from the University of Southern California.

Manisha G. Singh is an Applied Economist with interests in economic development and business environment (especially innovation, information technology, labour, and education). She is a Consultant at the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research. Her research is related to firm dynamics, employment, skilling and education. Her assignments include workshops and studies related to these topics with both international (GDN, OPI, World Bank) and Indian (CII, IBM India, Microsoft India, NIPFP, Planning Commission) institutions. She has taught at the Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi; Lady Shri Ram College, University of Delhi, New Delhi; and the University of Maryland at College Park, USA, Dr Singh has a PhD in Economics from the University of Maryland at College Park, a BA in Economics from Lady Shri Ram College, and an MBA from the Faculty of Management Studies, Delhi University.

Kurt Steinert has more than 20 years of experience in corporate public relations, advocacy communications, and non-profit management with a focus on high-tech, international security, and global environmental issues. Over the past decade, Mr Steinert has held a number of senior communications roles in Alcatel-Lucent, most recently serving as Head of Communications for the company's Solutions Organization. where he was responsible for external and internal communications in support the company's initiatives in a variety of emerging technology sectors. Prior to this, Mr Steinert helped managed a program that brought together leading members of the Washington, D.C. press corps with senior government officials in defence and foreign policy for frank discussions on the most pressing issues of the day. Mr Steinert received his Bachelor's degree in Journalism and Environmental Public Policy from Rutgers University, The State University of New Jersey, USA.

Gaspar Veiga has more than 30 years of industry knowledge and extensive experience in international sales, helping drive company growth in a highly competitive market. Over the past 20 years, Mr Veiga has held a variety of managerial positions at Alcatel-Lucent. His responsibilities include exploring and developing new opportunities in smart cities, public safety, and e-government solutions — analysing the global market, leading sales team strategies, and assisting customers. He holds a Master of Science in Computer Science and Software Engineering from French High Schools (Grandes Écoles) and is the co-author of the book WINS – Wireless In-House Network Studies: Esprit Project 5631.

THE GLOBAL INNOVATION INDEX 2011

Louis Witters is part of the Market and Consumer Insight team at Alcatel-Lucent, where he has responsibilities in the areas of market analysis, market sizing, and growth and core programs initiatives. Previously, Mr Witters held a variety of roles in product and consumer segments in Alcatel-Lucent. His responsibilities have included commercial activities in the transmission and public switching field, market analysis and market sizing of key markets and key product segments, product rationalization, and strategy definition for regional markets. Mr Witters graduated from the Catholic University of Leuven (Belgium) in Criminology, Sociology, and Law. He also graduated from the University of Nanjing (China) in Chinese Economy.

Sacha Wunsch-Vincent is Senior Economic Officer at the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO). From 2003 to 2010 he has been Economist at the Science, Technology and Industry Directorate of the OECD in Paris, where for the last two years he has been a co-leader of the OECD Innovation Strategy. He teaches International Economics and the Economics of Intellectual Property at the Institut d'Etudes Politiques de Paris and the World Trade Institute (Berne, Switzerland). He holds a Master in International Economics from the Maastricht Economic Research Institute on Innovation and Technology, University of Maastricht,in the Netherlands, and a PhD in Economics from the University of St. Gallen, Switzerland. He has acted as advisor or consultant to institutions such as the European Commission, the World Economic Forum, the World Bank, and various national parliaments.

With the global economic recovery strengthening in most parts of the world and the global economy forecasted to grow at a rate of more than 4% in 2011, innovation is coming into its own as economies aim to sustain their growth while creating new jobs for their citizens.

Since 2007, INSEAD eLab has been producing the Global Innovation Index (GII), recognizing the key role of innovation as a driver of economic growth and prosperity and acknowledging the need for a broad horizontal vision of innovation that is applicable to both developed and emerging economies. A key goal of the GII has been to find metrics and approaches to better capture the richness of innovation in society and go beyond the traditional measures of innovation such as the number of PhDs, research articles produced, research centres created, patents issued, and R&D expenditures.

In this edition of the GII, Alcatel-Lucent, Booz & Company, the Confederation of Indian Industry (CII), and the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO, a specialized UN agency) have joined INSEAD as Knowledge Partners in the elaboration of the GII. These Knowledge Partners share a common belief in the growing importance of innovation for enabling economic growth in both developed and emerging nations. They have provided valuable input to the research underlying the GII, contributed analytical chapters to the GII Report, and will participate actively in the dissemination of its results. In addition, for the 2011 edition, the Joint Research Centre (JRC) of the European Commission performed a thorough robustness and sensitivity analysis of the GII. Last but certainly not least, an Advisory Board was set up, comprising a select group of international practitioners and experts who bring unique knowledge and skills in the realm of innovation.

This year the GII Report covers 125 countries that represent 93.2% of the world's population and 98.0% of the world's GDP (in current US dollars). The emphasis this year is on general trends and includes details of the results for the global leaders and the best performers within each income category (high, upper-middle, lower-middle, and low-income groups). A discussion of the rankings at the regional level along with additional information on regional leaders by income group is also provided. This is complemented by five chapter contributions on specific aspects of global innovation including regionally focused contributions on Latin America and India and in-depth treatments of specific issues such as the measurement of creativity, innovation in smart cities, and the emerging global footprint of R&D.

Written in a nontechnical language and style, the GII appeals to diverse groups including policy makers, business leaders, academics, and different organizations of civil society.

The full report can be downloaded at www.globalinnovationindex.org.

