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THE PACIFIC ISLAND FORUM

- A political grouping of 16 independent and self-governing states
  [Australia, Cook Islands, Federated States of Micronesia, Fiji, Kiribati, Nauru, New Zealand, Niue, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Republic of Marshall Islands, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Tonga, Tuvalu and Vanuatu.]

- The secretariat to the Forum is the Pacific Islands Forum Secretariat [PIFS].

- Primary goals to provide policy advice to Members to:
  - stimulate economic growth,
  - enhance political governance and security for the region; and
  - strengthen regional cooperation and integration through coordinating, monitoring and evaluating implementation of Leaders’ decisions.
### BRIEF HISTORY OF DEVELOPMENT OF THE PACIFIC MODEL LAW 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>DEVELOPMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 15 to 19/2/1999 (Symposium on the Protection of TK and EC in the Pacific) | - Adoption of the Declaration on the Protection of TK and Expression of Indigenous Cultures in the Pacific Islands;  
- Recommendation on a Policy of Regional Harmonisation of the Protection of TK and Expression of Indigenous Cultures |
| 1999 (Forum Trade Ministers meeting) | - Acknowledge IPR, a policy instrument, and a facilitator of appropriate economic and social development                                      |
| 2000 (Forum Economic Ministers meeting) | - Growing concerns from cultural industry across the FICs. PIFS was tasked to put more emphasis on its work on IPR                          |
| 2002/2003                  | Endorsement of the Regional Model law by the Pacific Ministers of Culture and Forum Trade Ministers                                          |
| 2002/2008                  | - Endorsement of the Regional Model law by SPREP and Forum Trade Ministers                                                                      |
THE PACIFIC MODEL LAWS ON THE PROTECTION OF TK & EXPRESSION OF CULTURE & GENETIC RESOURCES

- Model laws were in response to calls from members to:
  - Protect Culture, TK and Genetic resources, in the face of increasing exploitation and inappropriate commercialization of the Pacific TK, EC and genetic resources – in the absence of international law.

- Two model laws were developed in 2002:
  - Model Law on TK& EC – in consultation with SPC, UNESCO, Council of Pacific Arts and Pacific Islands Forum Countries,
  - Model law on TK, Biological Resources, Innovations and Practices (TKBIP) in consultation with SPREP
    Both taking account developments at the international level (WIPO IGC, WTO).

- The policy objective of the laws is to protect the rights of traditional owners in their TK and EC, and TEK and permit tradition-based creativity and innovation, including commercialisation, subject to prior informed consent and benefit sharing.
The rights created by the TK&EC law falls into 2 categories (with no formalities or registration):

- **traditional cultural rights** – grants TK owners exclusive rights in range of non-customary use of TK, regardless of whether use is for commercial or non-commercial purposes (‘derivative’works).

- **moral rights** – grants TK owners rights in attribution of their TK & EC, rights against false attribution and right against derogatory treatment of their TK & EC works in harmony with IPRs, new rights are in addition to and do not affect IPRs (eg. for derivative works, rights vested in the creator under IPRs establishes procedures for obtaining consent & benefit-sharing)

The law also provide procedures for obtaining consent for non-customary use of TK & EC
Pacific Model laws:

- Model law on TKBIP – grants:
  - an economic right
  - moral right

- both Model laws grant two sets of rights to TK owners:
  - Economic rights
  - Moral rights

- both Model laws require prior informed consent and benefit sharing

- individual countries are free to adopt and/or adapt the provisions as they see fit in accordance with national need.
TK IMPLEMENTATION ACTION PLAN

Phase 1 [2009-2011] [2012-2013 follow up actions]

- Assist members to develop policy and draft legislation on the Model law on TKEC and the Traditional Biological Resources framework;
- Capacity-Building and Outreach Activities Related to TK and IP;
- Database development;
- Technical assistance was rendered through partnership arrangements between the African, Caribbean, Pacific – European Union TradeCom Facility, WIPO, Forum Secretariat, Secretariat of the Pacific Community (SPC) and South Pacific Regional Environmental Programme (SPREP).
## Update on [Phase 1] PIFS Traditional Knowledge and Intellectual Property Work Program

### Status of TK Frameworks in the FICs, Phase 1 of the TK Action Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FIC</th>
<th>Policy Status</th>
<th>Legislative Framework Status</th>
<th>Peer Review Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cooks</td>
<td>Policy Document is completed and approved by Cabinet.</td>
<td>TK Bill adopted at same time as Copyright Bill.</td>
<td>Policy document went through a peer review process, and the consultant incorporated comments in the final draft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiji</td>
<td>TK Policy completed and is before Cabinet for consideration.</td>
<td>Drafted TK Law currently being vetted by AG office since April 2014</td>
<td>TKEC draft adapts the Model Law but extends the draft law to protect genetic and biological resources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiribati</td>
<td>Policy document completed and with the Cultural Ministry. There has been no indication if it has progressed to cabinet.</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Peer reviewing completed and consultant has incorporated the comments in the final draft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palau</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Peer reviewing completed and consultant has provided the comments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNG</td>
<td>Policy completed and is before Cabinet for consideration</td>
<td>TK Bill drafted and is available for Cabinet’s consideration</td>
<td>Peer reviewing completed on both documents and consultants have incorporated the comments in the final draft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanuatu</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>A TK bill was drafted following consultations with the Malvatumauri Council of Chiefs, and submitted to the Government of Vanuatu.</td>
<td>The draft TK Bill was submitted for the Peer review.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DRAFT PHASE 2 TK ACTION PLAN

- Derived from individual request from FICs upon completion of Phase 1.
- A significant part of TKAPII therefore includes coordination between PIFS and SPC, taking into account regional studies including Development and Marketing Strategies for Pacific Cultural Industries which addresses amongst other things, the important balance between the commercialization of and the protection of Traditional Knowledge.

The TKAPII’s expected outputs could be:
- For each beneficiary country, preparation and drafting of a national policy addressing all the IP issues relevant to the protection or TK and TCEs, including aspects regarding their documentation.
- Practical implementation of the policy, either through legislative enactment, administrative measures or infrastructural means.
- Participation in a workshop on IP and commercialization of TK and TCEs.
- Participation in the IGC meetings, if and where possible.
# Prioritized Activities for Phase 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Policy Status</th>
<th>Legislative Framework Status</th>
<th>Peer Review Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FIC</td>
<td></td>
<td>A TK law and copyright law has been enacted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Cook Islands | Lack of legislation to address dual chieftaincies  
Lack of assistance to design & implement traditional court system  
Weak legislative frameworks  
Weak IP & TCE knowledge and understanding of laws and lack of enforcement (capacity building) |                                                                                              |                   |
| Palau     |                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                              |                   |
| PNG       | Address Cultural diversity (which is huge) adequately in the current policy  
Need for a Design concept and plan that will Incorporate culture into livelihoods               |                                                                                              |                   |
| Solomon Islands | Review model laws to address collective ownership of TK or TCE and protection for Custodians – whether absolute as in copyright or recognition to rights  
Training/up skilling to heighten understanding of TK and IP that will assist in the design of appropriate strategies for implementation of Policy Framework |                                                                                              |                   |
| RMI       |                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                              |                   |
| Tuvalu    | Require technical expertise to assist in the crafting, promotion and to conduct awareness campaign of the draft policy |                                                                                              |                   |
| Niue      |                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                              |                   |
EU Project – to enhance Pacific cultural industries

- Model law on TK & EC - important complement and catalyst to the 2010-2020 Pacific Regional Cultural Strategy aimed at promoting cultural industries to improve livelihoods and reduce poverty.

- In 2014 – EU Pilot Project 365,000 euro for cultural industries. The project recognizes the real and potential contribution of Arts/Crafts, Visual Arts, Performing Arts and Fashion Industries to Pacific economies and works towards strengthening their position in the economy.

- It aims to improve the structure of the cultural industries in Fiji, Samoa and Solomon Islands, improve the regulatory environment for sector and increase the business and marketing capacity of producers.

- As a key activity, SPC and PIFS will bring relevant stakeholders together to address pertinent issues and create better understanding of Intellectual Property Protection (IPP) issues in the culture industries. The dialogue will address the relevance of IPP to the owners of creative works; protection of traditional knowledge (TK); copyright laws and more.

- Commercialising creative inventions to generate revenues from arts forms including performing art, visual art, music, fashion, handicraft, etc. and an improved understanding of the economic value of traditional knowledge requires specific interventions of IPP, TK and TCE policies and laws that enables artists and producers to benefit from their trade through proper intellectual property management.

- Products from these industries is marketed through Pacific Island Trade & Invest, (NZ, Aust, Japan, China, Geneva) an arm of PIFS, tasked to develop, grow and promote industry and business in all 14 Pacific Island Countries in export, investment, tourism and creative arts promotion across international markets.
PROPOSED WAY FORWARD

Re-engaging with members on their feedback to the needs assessment

- Seek feedback on the TK and IP related technical assistance required based on the following questions:
  - What are the interests and needs of your country in relation to IP and TK/TCEs?
  - Which activities on IP and TK/TCEs have already been undertaken or initiated in your country?
  - Which type(s) of assistance as mentioned above would your country need?
  - Who is/are the focal point(s) of your Government regarding the implementation of the Action Plan?
  - Any other information related to your country’s IP and TK/TCEs initiatives in support of your request for technical assistance.

Development of a Phase 2 TK Action Plan

- The TKAPII would set a reasonable timeframe with clear aims and objectives all the while remaining flexible. Assistance could be coordinated with relevant donors for the following types of assistance:
  - Policy and legislative advice and assistance
  - Legal advice on IP management related to TK/TCE documentation;
  - Legal advice on IP management related to the commercialization of TK-based products;
  - Participating in the IGC.
  - MOU between PIFS and Donors for the Mobilisation of support under TKAP2.