

Standing Committee on the Law of Trademarks, Industrial Designs and Geographical Indications

Twenty-Ninth Session

Geneva, May 27 to 31, 2013

INDUSTRIAL DESIGN LAW AND PRACTICE – DRAFT ARTICLES

Document prepared by the Secretariat

INTRODUCTION

1. At its twenty-eighth session, held in Geneva from December 10 to 14, 2012, the Standing Committee on the Law of Trademarks, Industrial Designs and Geographical Indications (hereinafter referred as “the Standing Committee” or “the SCT”) considered a set of draft provisions on industrial design law and practice (see documents SCT/28/2 and 3). At that session, the Chair stated that the SCT had made good progress on the draft Articles and draft Rules. The Secretariat was requested to prepare revised working documents for consideration of the SCT at its twenty-ninth session, which should reflect all comments made at the present session and highlight the different proposals put forward by delegations by using square brackets, strikethrough, underlining or footnotes (paragraph 6 of document SCT/28/7).

2. Accordingly, the Secretariat has prepared the present document, as well as document SCT/29/3, which revise documents SCT/28/2 and 3. The Annex to the present document includes draft Articles on industrial design law and practice, i.e., provisions of a general nature. Document SCT/29/3 contains an Annex with draft Regulations. This two-level structure is intended to facilitate the analysis of the issues under consideration and to establish a dynamic and flexible framework for the subsequent development of design law, so as to keep pace with future technological, socio-economic and cultural changes.

3. With a view to highlighting the different proposals put forward by delegations, without affecting the readability of the text, proposals made by individual delegations are shown in footnotes. New text appears in underlining and deleted text in strikethrough. Square brackets are used to indicate two or more different options that are put forward for consideration.

4. Furthermore, it is recalled that the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) General Assembly at its forty-first (21st Extraordinary) session, held in Geneva from October 1 to 9, 2012, adopted the following text (document WO/GA/41/18 Prov., paragraph 229):

“The WIPO General Assembly notes the Summary by the Chair of the 27th session of the Standing Committee on the Law of Trademarks, Industrial Designs and Geographical Indications (SCT), and the progress made by the SCT on industrial design law and practice - draft Articles and Regulations.

“Recognizing the importance for all member States of a Design Law Treaty, the General Assembly urges the SCT to expedite in a committed manner work with a view to advancing substantially the basic proposals for a Design Law Treaty (draft Articles and Regulations contained in revised Annexes of documents SCT/27/2 and SCT/27/3).

“In its work, consideration will be given to include appropriate provisions regarding technical assistance and capacity building for developing countries and LDCs in the implementation of the future Design Law Treaty.

“The General Assembly in 2013 will take stock of and consider the text, progress made, and decide on convening a diplomatic conference.”

5. The SCT is invited to consider and comment on the draft provisions contained in the Annexes to documents SCT/29/2 and 3 with a view to advancing the basic proposal for a Design Law Treaty and to allowing the General Assembly to decide on convening a diplomatic conference.

[Annex follows]

CONTENTS

page

LIST OF DRAFT ARTICLES

Article 1	Abbreviated Expressions.....	3
Article 2	Applications and Industrial Designs to Which This Treaty Applies	5
Article 3	Application	6
Article 4	Representatives; Address for Service or Address for Correspondence	8
Article 5	Filing Date.....	11
Article 6	Grace Period for Filing in Case of Disclosure	14
Article 7	Requirement to File the Application in the Name of the Creator	15
Article 8	<u>Amendment or</u> Division of Application <u>Including Two or More Industrial Designs</u>	14
Article 9	Publication of the Industrial Design	18
Article 10	Communications	20
Article 11	Renewal	22
Article 12	Relief in Respect of Time Limits	23
Article 13	Reinstatement of Rights After a Finding by the Office of Due Care or Unintentionality.....	25
<u>[Article 13bis</u>	<u>Correction or Addition of Priority Claim; Restoration of Priority Right]</u>	27
Article 14	Request for Recording of a License or a Security Interest.....	28
Article 15	Request for Amendment or Cancellation of the Recording of a License or a Security Interest	30
Article 16	Effects of the Non-Recording of a License	31
Article 17	Indication of the License.....	32
Article 18	Request for Recording of a Change in Ownership.....	32
Article 19	Changes in Names or Addresses	34
Article 20	Correction of a Mistake	35
Article 21	Regulations	37
Article 22	Assembly	37

Article 23	International Bureau.....	38
Article 24	Revision or Amendment.....	39
Article 25	Becoming Party to the Treaty.....	39
Article 26	Entry into Force; Effective Date of Ratifications and Accessions.....	40
Article 27	Reservations.....	41
Article 28	Denunciation of the Treaty.....	41
Article 29	Languages of the Treaty; Signature.....	41
Article 30	Depositary.....	41

Article 1 **Abbreviated Expressions**

For the purposes of this Treaty, unless expressly stated otherwise:

(i) “Contracting Party” means any State or intergovernmental organization party to this Treaty;

(ii) “Office” means the agency of a Contracting Party entrusted with the registration of industrial designs;

(iii) “registration” means the registration of an industrial design, or the grant of a patent for an industrial design, by an Office;

(iv) “application” means an application for registration;

~~(v) “initial application” means an application which includes two or more industrial designs and which may be divided by the applicant at the request of the Office;~~

~~(vi) “divisional applications” means applications resulting from the division of an initial application;~~

(v) “applicable law” means, where the Contracting Party is a State, the law of that State and, where the Contracting Party is an intergovernmental organization, the legal enactments under which that intergovernmental organization operates;

(vi) references to “industrial design” shall be construed as references to “industrial designs”, where the application or the registration includes two or more industrial designs;

(vii) references to a “person” shall be construed as references to both a natural person and a legal entity;

(viii) “procedure before the Office” means any procedure in proceedings before the Office with respect to an application or registration;

(ix) “communication” means any application, or any request, declaration, document, correspondence or other information, relating to an application or a registration, which is filed with the Office;

(x) “records of the Office” means the collection of information maintained by the Office, relating to, and including the contents of, applications and registrations, irrespective of the medium in which such information is stored;

(xi) “applicant” means the person whom the records of the Office show, pursuant to the applicable law, as the person who is applying for registration, or as another person who is filing or prosecuting the application;

(xii) “holder” means the person shown in the records of the Office as the holder of the registration;

(xiii) “Paris Convention” means the Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property, signed on March 20, 1883, as revised and amended;

(xiv) “license” means a license for the use of an industrial design under the law of a Contracting Party;

- (xv) “licensee” means the person to whom a license has been granted;
- (xvi) “Regulations” means the Regulations referred to in Article 21;
- (xvii) “Diplomatic Conference” means the convocation of Contracting Parties for the purpose of revising ~~or amending~~ the Treaty;
- (xviii) “Assembly” means the Assembly referred to in Article 22;
- (xix) references to an “instrument of ratification” shall be construed as including references to instruments of acceptance and approval;
- (xx) “Organization” means the World Intellectual Property Organization;
- (xxi) “International Bureau” means the International Bureau of the Organization;
- (xxii) “Director General” means the Director General of the Organization;
- (xxiii) references to an “Article” or to a “paragraph”, “subparagraph” or “item” of an Article shall be construed as including references to the corresponding rule(s) under the Regulations.

Notes on Article 1

- Note 1.01 *Items (iii) and (iv).* The term “application” comprises applications both for registration of an industrial design and for the grant of a patent for an industrial design under those systems in which industrial designs are protected under patent law. Similarly, the term “registration” comprises both registrations of industrial design and grants of a patent for an industrial design under those systems which protect industrial designs under patent law.
- Note 1.02 The term “application” in item (iv) should be understood in a broad sense, including an application that contains one industrial design and an application that contains more than one industrial design.
- Note 1.03 *Item (ix).* The term “communication” is used to refer only to matter which is filed with the Office. Accordingly, a notification or other correspondence sent by the Office to an applicant, holder or other interested person does not constitute a “communication” as defined in this item. The term “communication” as defined in this item covers, *inter alia*, any document which is filed with the Office, relating to an application or a registration, including powers of attorney.
- Note 1.04 *Item (x).* The draft provision uses the term “records of the Office” rather than “register of industrial designs” in order to acknowledge the situation of member States that grant design patents and do not maintain industrial design registers. It should be noted that this term is supposed to be used for the application of the provision only. It is sufficiently broad so as to encompass the notion of national or regional registers of industrial designs, when they exist, and would not prevent the use of the term “register of industrial designs” by individual Contracting Parties.

Article 2

Applications and Industrial Designs to Which This Treaty Applies

(1) [Applications] This Treaty shall apply to national and regional applications which are filed with, or for, the Office of a Contracting Party¹, and to divisional applications thereof, as defined in Article 8(1).

(2) [Industrial Designs] This Treaty shall apply to industrial designs that can be registered as industrial designs under the applicable law.

Notes on Article 2

Note 2.01 *Paragraph (1)*. The Treaty would apply to national applications which are filed with the Office of a State, as well as to applications, filed with, or for, the Office of a regional intergovernmental organization. The latter are referred to in this paragraph as “regional applications”. Examples of Offices of intergovernmental organizations are the Office for Harmonization in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM)², the African Intellectual Property Organization (OAPI)³, the African Regional Intellectual Property Organization (ARIPO)⁴, and the Benelux Office for Intellectual Property (BOIP)⁵.

Note 2.02 The Treaty is intended to apply to applications as defined in Article 1(iv), including divisional applications as defined in Article 8(1). Under this Article the applicant may divide an application under certain circumstances. The applications resulting from the division are referred to as “divisional applications”.

Note 2.03 While it would not have to do so, a Contracting Party could apply some, or all, of the provisions of the Treaty to any specific type of application provided for in its law and not covered by paragraph (1), such as “converted”, “amended”, or “continuation” applications.

Note 2.04 *Paragraph (2)*. The draft Treaty does not contain a definition of industrial design. The Treaty would apply to any industrial design that can be registered as an industrial design, or for which a design patent can be granted, under the applicable law. Accordingly, the question as to what matter can be protected as an industrial design remains an issue to be determined by the law of each Contracting Party.

¹ At the twenty-eighth session of the SCT, the Delegation of India proposed to add the words “where allowed by the applicable law” after the words “Contracting Party”.

² OHIM registers designs with effect in the 27 member States of the European Union.

³ OAPI registers designs with effect in the 16 States party to the Bangui Agreement.

⁴ ARIPO registers designs with effect in up to 16 States party to the Lusaka Agreement.

⁵ BOIP registers designs with effect in the three Benelux countries.

Article 3 Application

(1) [Contents of Application; Fee]⁶ (a) A Contracting Party may require that an application contain some, or all, of the following indications or elements:

- (i) a request for registration;
 - (ii) the name and address of the applicant;
 - (iii) where the applicant has a representative, the name and address of that representative;
 - (iv) where an address for service or an address for correspondence is required under Article 4(3), such address;
 - (v) a representation of the industrial design, as prescribed in the Regulations;
 - (vi) an indication of the product or products which incorporate the industrial design, or in relation to which the industrial design is to be used;
 - (vii) where the applicant wishes to take advantage of the priority of an earlier application, a declaration claiming the priority of that earlier application, together with indications and evidence in support of the declaration that may be required pursuant to Article 4 of the Paris Convention;
 - (viii) where the applicant wishes to take advantage of Article 11 of the Paris Convention, evidence that the product or products which incorporate the industrial design or in relation to which the industrial design is to be used have been shown at an official, or officially recognized, international exhibition;
 - (ix) any further indication or element prescribed in the Regulations.
- (b) In respect of the application, the payment of a fee may be required.

(2) [Prohibition of Other Requirements] No indication or element, other than those referred to in paragraph (1) and in Article 10, may be required in respect of the application.

(3) [Several Industrial Designs in the Same Application] Subject to such conditions as may be prescribed under the applicable law, an application may include two or more industrial designs.

(4) [Evidence] Any Contracting Party may require that evidence be furnished to the Office where, in the course of the examination of the application, the Office may reasonably doubt the veracity of any indication or element contained in the application.

⁶ At the twenty-seventh session of the SCT, the Delegation of China proposed that the following item under Rule 2(1) be transferred to Article 3(1):

- (iv) a description.

Notes on Article 3

- Note 3.01 This Article and the corresponding Rules of the Regulations propose a closed list of indications or elements that may be required in an application. While paragraph (1) sets out the maximum contents of an application that may be required by a Contracting Party, paragraph (2) makes it clear that no further element may be required by a Contracting Party in an application, except those elements that may be required under Article 10 (“Communications”).
- Note 3.02 At the twenty-fifth session of the SCT, two delegations considered that this Article should contain an indicative list of elements only, and suggested to delete paragraph (2). Other delegations said, however, that this provision was of the outmost importance, with a view to simplifying and streamlining industrial design procedures. Establishing a closed list of elements contributes to create a predictable framework of industrial design formalities.
- Note 3.03 This provision does not aim at creating an uniform content of applications, but at establishing a maximum content, so that anyone wishing to file an application knows exactly what are the elements that may be required. However, a Contracting Party may require some only, rather than all, of the elements listed. For instance, no Contracting Party would be obliged to require a claim (see Rule 2(1)(iii)). A claim would presumably not be required by a Contracting Party that protects industrial designs under a registration system, as opposed to a system of protection under patent law.
- Note 3.04 This Article sets out the elements of general nature in an application, those required by virtually all Contracting Parties. Elements of more detailed nature have been transferred to the Regulations. The proposed scheme is intended to establish a dynamic and flexible framework for the subsequent development of design law.
- Note 3.05 *Paragraph (1)(a). Item (i).* A Contracting Party will be free to require that the request for registration be made on an official form, established by the Office of the Contracting Party.
- Note 3.06 *Item (ii).* Each Contracting Party would be free to determine the details concerning the name and address. For example, a Contracting Party could permit that, for privacy considerations, the applicant provide only an address for correspondence, and not necessarily a home address.
- Note 3.07 *Item (v).* Details concerning the representation of industrial designs are prescribed in Rule 3. The Regulations provide a more flexible framework to amend and adopt further requirements concerning this matter, which may be justified in the future by the development of new reproduction techniques.
- Note 3.08 *Item (vi).* The item “indication of the product or products which incorporate the industrial design, or in relation to which the industrial design is to be used” was transferred from Rule 2(1) of the Regulations to this Article following the twenty-seventh session of the SCT, at the proposal of one delegation, supported by several other delegations. Each Contracting Party will be free to determine how the indication of the product or products should be provided. A Contracting Party can require, for example, that the indication of the product or products be in the form of a title to the application or in any other specific form.

- Note 3.09 *Paragraph (3)*. This paragraph sets out the principle that applications including more than one industrial design, so-called “multiple applications”, may be filed by an applicant. From the point of view of users, multiple applications provide a clear benefit in terms of simplification of filing, which is evidenced by the fact that the facility is largely availed of by applicants in those jurisdictions which offer the facility. From the point of view of examining offices, however, multiple applications entail the need to undertake searches for each industrial design included in the application. In this regard, a major concern for offices, particularly those which carry out a novelty examination, lies in the ability to adequately recover search and examination costs⁷.
- Note 3.10 In order to balance the interests of users and offices, acceptance of “multiple applications” by a Contracting Party is subject to compliance, by the applicant, with the conditions prescribed in the Contracting Party’s applicable law. This provision does not prescribe the conditions under which multiple applications are to be admitted. Each Contracting Party would be free to determine under what conditions it admits multiple applications. For example, a Contracting Party may prescribe that it accepts multiple applications only where all the designs in the application apply to, or are constituted by, products which belong to the same class of the Locarno Classification, or only where all the designs in the application conform to the requirement of unity of design or unity of invention or when the products to which the design is applied belong to a set or are intended for the same purpose.
- Note 3.11 With respect to a given application, a Contracting Party will be able to process it as a multiple application if the application conforms to the conditions prescribed in the Contracting Party’s law or, if that is not the case, to request the applicant to either amend the application to comply with those conditions or divide the application into two or more applications (see Article 8(1)).

Article 4

Representatives; Address for Service or Address for Correspondence

(1) *[Representatives Admitted to Practice] (a) A Contracting Party may require that a representative appointed for the purposes of any procedure before the Office*

(i) have the right, under the applicable law, to practice before the Office in respect of applications and registrations;

(ii) provide, as its address, an address in a territory prescribed by the Contracting Party.

(b) An act, with respect to any procedure before the Office, by or in relation to a representative who complies with the requirements established by the Contracting Party under subparagraph (a), shall have the effect of an act by or in relation to the applicant, holder or other interested person who appointed that representative.

⁷

See document SCT/21/4.

Option 1

[(2) *[Mandatory Representation]* (a) *Subject to subparagraph (b), a Contracting Party may require that for the purposes of any procedure before the Office, an applicant, holder, or other interested person who has neither a domicile nor a real and effective industrial or commercial establishment in its territory appoint a representative.*

(b) *An applicant who has neither a domicile nor a real and effective industrial or commercial establishment in the territory of the Contracting Party may act himself/herself before the Office for the filing of an application, for the purposes of the filing date, and for the mere payment of a fee.*

Option 2

[(2) *[Mandatory Representation]* A Contracting Party may require that for the purposes of any procedure before the Office, an applicant, holder, or other interested person who has neither a domicile nor a real and effective industrial or commercial establishment in its territory appoint a representative.]

(3) *[Address for Service or Address for Correspondence]* A Contracting Party may, to the extent that it does not require representation in accordance with paragraph (2), require that, for the purposes of any procedure before the Office, an applicant, holder, or other interested person who has neither a domicile nor a real and effective industrial or commercial establishment in its territory, have an address for service, or an address for correspondence, in that territory.

(4) *[Appointment of a Representative]* A Contracting Party shall accept that the appointment of a representative be filed with the Office in a manner prescribed in the Regulations.

(5) *[Prohibition of Other Requirements]* Subject to the requirements of Article 10, no Contracting Party may demand that requirements, other than those referred to in paragraphs (1) to (4), be complied with in respect of the matters dealt with in those paragraphs.

(6) *[Notification]* Where one or more of the requirements applied by the Contracting Party under paragraphs (1) to (4) is or are not complied with, the Office shall notify the applicant, holder or other interested person, giving the opportunity to comply with any such requirement within the time limit prescribed in the Regulations.

(7) *[Non-Compliance with Requirements]* Where one or more of the requirements applied by the Contracting Party under paragraphs (1) to (4) is or are not complied with within the time limit prescribed in the Regulations, the Contracting Party may apply such sanction as is provided for in its law.

Notes on Article 4

Note 4.01 This Article is modeled, to a large extent, on Article 7 of the Patent Law Treaty (PLT) and Article 4 of the Singapore Treaty.

Note 4.02 *Paragraph (1)(a).* Item (i) of this paragraph permits a Contracting Party to require that the appointed representative be a person who is admitted to practice before the Office in respect of applications and registrations, such as, for example, a registered patent attorney. It also permits a Contracting Party to have a less strict requirement.

- Note 4.03 A Contracting Party may apply the requirement under *item (ii)* of paragraph 1(a) instead of, or in addition to, the requirement that the appointed representative have the right to practice before the Office, under item (i). A Contracting Party may, in particular, require that the address be in its own territory.
- Note 4.04 *Paragraph (1)(b)*. As regards the expression “interested person” used in this and other provisions, it could be, for example, in the case of a transfer of an application or registration, the new owner of the application or registration.
- Note 4.05 *Paragraph (2)*. Following the twenty-sixth session of the SCT, two options concerning this paragraph are submitted for discussion.
- Note 4.06 Under the first, this paragraph is divided into two subparagraphs. *Subparagraph (a)* permits, but does not oblige, a Contracting Party to require representation for any procedure before the Office except for filing an application as far as the purpose of obtaining a filing date is concerned. The ability for a Contracting Party to require representation is, in any event, limited to the cases where the applicant, holder or other interested person has neither a domicile nor a real and effective industrial or commercial establishment in the territory of the Contracting Party. This limitation is modeled on Article 4(2)(a) of the Singapore Treaty.
- Note 4.07 *Subparagraph (b)* is modeled on Article 7(2) of the PLT and aims at reducing the barriers for users to seek industrial design protection abroad. This subparagraph provides for two exceptions to the principle established in subparagraph (a). The first permits an applicant who has neither a domicile nor a real and effective industrial or commercial establishment in the territory of a Contracting Party to file an application for the purposes of the filing date without appointing a representative. In other words, if an application contains the elements which are required under Article 5(1), it will be accorded a filing date even if it was filed by an applicant who has neither a domicile nor a real and effective industrial or commercial establishment on the territory of the Contracting Party and even if the Contracting Party concerned requires that such applicant appoint a representative to file an application. After the filing date, the Contracting Party may require that a representative be appointed, within a given time limit, to continue the procedure. If no representative is appointed within the time limit, the Contracting Party may apply a sanction provided for in its law, including, for example, considering the application to be abandoned.
- Note 4.08 The second exception to the principle established under paragraph (a) was introduced following the twenty-eighth session of the SCT. This permits an applicant who has neither a domicile nor a real and effective industrial or commercial establishment in the territory of a Contracting Party to act himself/herself before the office for the mere payment of a fee. Insofar as this exception concerns the mere payment of a fee by an *applicant*, the fees that fall within this exception are limited to those that may be paid during the application stage.
- Note 4.09 The expression “mere payment of a fee” permits a Contracting Party to require representation for any other act that is associated with the payment of the fee concerned, for example, a request for search or examination. Any Contracting Party may decide whether the payment of the fee is mere or not.

- Note 4.10 In the absence of agreement at the twenty-sixth session of the SCT concerning the approach of the first option described above, a second option was submitted to the Standing Committee for discussion at the twenty-seventh session. Under Option 2, there is no exception to the principle whereby a Contracting Party may require representation for the purposes of any procedure before the Office. In other words, a Contracting Party may require the appointment of a representative for the purpose of filing an application, including for obtaining a filing date, as well as for the mere payment of a fee.
- Note 4.11 In the absence of agreement on one option at the twenty-eighth session of the SCT, the two options have been maintained in the present document.
- Note 4.12 *Paragraph (3).* A Contracting Party may, instead of requiring the appointment of a representative where the applicant is not domiciled or established in its territory, require that the applicant have an address for service or an address for correspondence in its territory. What constitutes an address for correspondence or an address for service is a matter for the applicable law of the Contracting Party concerned. This requirement is viewed as less stringent than the appointment of a representative.

Article 5 **Filing Date**

(1) [Permitted Requirements]⁸ (a) Subject to subparagraph (b) and paragraph (2), a Contracting Party shall accord as the filing date of an application the date on which the Office receives the following indications and elements, in the language required under Article 10(2) in a language admitted by the Office:

- (i) *an express or implicit indication to the effect that the elements are intended to be an application;*
- (ii) *indications allowing the identity of the applicant to be established;*
- (iii) *a sufficiently clear representation of the industrial design;*
- (iv) *indications allowing the applicant or the applicant's representative, if any, to be contacted;*

[(v) an indication of the product or products which incorporate the industrial design, or in relation to which the industrial design is to be used.]

(b) Any Contracting Party may accord as the filing date of an application the date on which the Office receives, together with a sufficiently clear representation of the industrial design, some only, rather than all, of the other indications and elements referred to in subparagraph (a), or receives them in a language other than a language ~~required under Article 10(2)~~ admitted by the Office.

⁸ At the twenty-sixth session of the SCT, the Delegation of the United States of America proposed to add "a claim" to the list of filing-date requirements in paragraph (1). At the twenty-seventh session of the SCT, the Delegation of China proposed to add "a brief description" and "where the appointment of a representative is mandatory, such appointment" to the list of filing-date requirements in paragraph (1).

At the twenty-eighth session of the SCT, the Delegation of India proposed to add "any further indication or element as prescribed under the applicable law" to the list of filing-date requirements in paragraph (1).

(2) *[Permitted Additional Requirement]* (a) A Contracting Party may provide that no filing date shall be accorded until the required fees are paid.

(b) A Contracting Party may apply the requirement referred to in subparagraph (a) only if it applied such requirement at the time of becoming party to this Treaty.

(3) *[Prohibition of Other Requirements]* No indication or element other than those referred to in paragraphs (1)(a) and (2)(a) may be required for the purpose of according a filing date to an application.

(4) *[Notification and Time Limits]* Where the application does not, at the time of its receipt by the Office, comply with one or more of the applicable requirements under paragraphs (1) and (2), the Office shall notify the applicant and give the opportunity to comply with such requirements within the time limit prescribed in the Regulations⁹.

(5) *[Filing Date in Case of Subsequent Compliance with Requirements]* If, within the time limit referred to in paragraph (4), the applicant complies with the applicable requirements, the filing date shall be no later than¹⁰ the date on which all the indications and elements required by the Contracting Party under paragraphs (1) and (2) are received by the Office. Otherwise, the application shall be treated as if it had not been filed.

Notes on Article 5

Note 5.01 Paragraphs (1) and (2) are modeled on Article 5(1) and (2) of the Singapore Treaty.

Note 5.02 *Paragraph (1)(a)* sets out the requirements that may be established by a Contracting Party for the purpose of assigning a filing date. Several delegations have reiterated the importance of keeping the list of filing-date requirements to the minimum, as in the field of industrial designs, postponement of the filing date may result in a definitive loss of rights. Filing-date requirements should be of such significance that, without them, it would not be possible for an Office to know “who” filed “what”.

Note 5.03 *Item (i)*. Contracting Parties will be free to decide whether, in a specific case, an indication can be considered as an “express or implicit indication to the effect that the elements are intended to be an application”.

Note 5.04 It is made clear in paragraph (1)(a), read in conjunction with subparagraph (b), that a Contracting Party may require that the filing-date requirements be filed in a language admitted by the Office, in order to give the application a filing date. Inclusion of this requirement in this paragraph is justified by the fact that an Office may not be in a position to ascertain “who” filed “what” if the information is not provided in a language admitted by the Office.

Note 5.05 The drafting of *paragraph (1)(b)* makes it clear that, while a Contracting Party may accord a filing date when some only, rather than all, of the indications and elements listed in subparagraph (a) are filed, it may not accord a filing date if a

⁹ At the twenty-eighth session of the SCT, the Delegation of China proposed to replace the words “the Office shall notify the applicant and give the opportunity to comply with such requirements within the time limit prescribed in the Regulations” in paragraph (4) by the words “the Office shall decide according to the applicable law whether it will invite the applicant to comply with such requirements”.

¹⁰ At the twenty-seventh session of the SCT, the Delegation of Peru proposed to delete the words “no later than” in paragraph (5).

sufficiently clear representation of the industrial design is missing. In other words, a representation of the industrial design should always be a filing date requirement.

- Note 5.06 *Paragraph (2)*. Consistent with the underlying rationale of this Article, as explained in Note 5.02, the payment of fees had not been included in the list of filing-date requirements in document SCT/25/2. Note 4.03 on Article 4 of document SCT/25/2 suggested that, when an Office received an application for which the fees had not been paid on the date of receipt of the application, it could decide not to further examine the application until the fees had been paid. If the fees were then paid within the applicable time limit, nothing would appear to prevent the Office from according the application an earlier filing date. In other words, an Office could dissociate the payment of the fees from the grant of a filing date.
- Note 5.07 However, at the twenty-fifth session of the SCT, six delegations were of the view that the possibility should be given to Contracting Parties to require the payment of fees for the purpose of according a filing date. Consequently, under paragraph (2), a Contracting Party may require the payment of fees in order to accord a filing date, but only where such payment already constitutes a filing-date requirement under its law.
- Note 5.08 *Paragraph (3)* makes it clear that the list provided for in paragraphs (1)(a) and (2)(a) is the maximum list of filing-date requirements. Other elements or indications may be required in an application, but they may be filed subsequently without the filing date being affected.
- Note 5.09 *Paragraph (4)* provides that, where an application does not contain all the elements or indications which are required to obtain a filing date, a time limit shall be afforded to the applicant to complete the application. The time limit is prescribed in the Regulations, so as to facilitate any change that may be justified in the future.
- Note 5.10 *Paragraph (5)* addresses the issue of the filing date when all the filing-date requirements are complied with after the date of filing the application. Usually, the filing date is the date on which all the indications and elements required by the Contracting Party are received by the Office. In other words, the filing date is postponed until all the filing-date requirements are complied with. However, two delegations indicated at the twenty-sixth session of the SCT that, in their legislation, the date of filing of the “irregular” application is maintained as the filing date, provided that the missing filing-date requirements are complied with within a given time limit. In order to accommodate this approach, one delegation, supported by a number of other delegations, proposed that the filing date shall be “no later” than the date on which all the indications and elements required by the Contracting Party under paragraphs (1) and (2) are received by the Office.

Article 6 **Grace Period for Filing in Case of Disclosure**

A disclosure of the industrial design¹¹ during a period of six or 12 months¹² preceding the date of filing of the application or, if priority is claimed, the date of priority, shall be without prejudice to the novelty and/or originality, as the case may be, of the industrial design, where it was made:

- (i) by the creator or his/her successor in title; or
- (ii) by a person who obtained information about the industrial design directly or indirectly, including as a result of an abuse, from the creator or his/her successor in title, informed of the industrial design, and authorized to disclose it, by the creator or his/her successor in title; or
- ~~(iii) as a result of an abuse in relation to the creator or his/her successor in title¹³.~~

Notes on Article 6

- Note 6.01 It is understood that, while most jurisdictions provide for a grace period to file, further to a disclosure made by the creator, his/her successor in title or another person, some jurisdictions do not. In those jurisdictions which do provide for a grace period, the duration of such grace period varies between six and 12 months. It is also understood, however, that the existence of different grace periods, and more generally the fact that some jurisdictions do not provide for a grace period, may cause an applicant to lose the possibility of obtaining protection for the industrial design abroad. A harmonized grace period, coupled with agreement on which disclosure gives rise to the grace period, would avoid this risk for applicants.
- Note 6.02 At the twenty-seventh session of the SCT, delegations agreed to a grace period of six or 12 months. A period of six or 12 months gives flexibility to Contracting Parties to choose between two periods. At the same time, a period of “six or 12 months” addresses, to a certain extent, the concern of certain delegations and representatives of users regarding the uncertainty created by a period of “at least six months”.
- Note 6.03 Bearing in mind that the ideal solution would be to have a harmonized grace period with a single duration, consideration could be given to introducing a built-in mechanism allowing the Assembly to review the duration of the grace period, after the Treaty had been in force for a specific period of time.
- Note 6.04 This provision establishes a grace period to file in case of disclosure made by the creator or his/her successor in title, or by a person who obtained information about the industrial design directly or indirectly from the creator or his/her successor in title. The notion of information obtained “directly or indirectly” from the creator or his/her successor in title is broad and expressly encompasses information obtained as a result of an abuse. An example of

¹¹ At the twenty-eighth session of the SCT, the Delegation of China proposed to add the words “in accordance with the domestic legislation of the Contracting Party” after “A disclosure of the industrial design”.

The SCT understands that the time limits expressed in months in the Treaty and Regulations can be calculated by Contracting Parties in accordance with their national law.

¹² At the twenty-seventh session of the SCT, the Delegation of South Africa made a reservation with respect to a grace period of six or 12 months.

¹³ At the twenty-sixth session of the SCT, the Delegation of the Philippines suggested to add a fourth item, namely a disclosure made “by a third party who obtained information directly or indirectly”.

abusive disclosure could be a disclosure made without the authorization of the creator, or his/her successor in title, by a person who had been informed of the industrial design under conditions of confidentiality.

Note 6.05 The question as to whether disclosure of an industrial design made in a bulletin published by a domestic or a foreign Office would give rise to a grace period remains a matter for the applicable law of each Contracting Party. A Contracting Party could consider that disclosure made in a bulletin by an Office does not fall within any of the situations referred to in items (i) and (ii) of Article 6 and, consequently, does not give rise to a grace period.

Note 6.06 A statement that time limits expressed in “months” can be calculated by Contracting Parties in accordance with their national law has been added as a footnote in this Article. This is the first time in the draft Treaty that reference is made to a period expressed in months. The statement has been added to address the concerns by one delegation at the twenty-sixth session of the SCT, as to the way to calculate time limits expressed in months. The footnote could be transformed into an Agreed Statement by the Diplomatic Conference.

Article 7 **Requirement to File the Application in the Name of the Creator**

(1) *[Requirement That the Application Be Filed in the Name of the Creator] A Contracting Party may require that the application be filed in the name of the creator of the industrial design.*

(2) *[Formality Where There Is a Requirement to File the Application in the Name of the Creator] Where a Contracting Party requires that the application be filed in the name of the creator of the industrial design, such requirement shall be satisfied if the name of the creator of the industrial design is indicated, as such, in the application, and:*

(i) *that name corresponds to the name of the applicant, or*

(ii) *the application is accompanied by, or contains, a statement of assignment from the creator to the applicant, signed by the creator of the industrial design.*

Notes on Article 7

Note 7.01 In some jurisdictions, there is a requirement that the application be filed in the name of the creator. That means that, if the applicant is not the creator, a statement of assignment, or other evidence of the transfer of the design to the applicant, must be provided.

Note 7.02 This provision does not generalize the requirement that the application be filed in the name of the creator. The provision applies only to those Contracting Parties that do have that requirement in their applicable law. The provision aims at simplifying the procedure, where the requirement exists under the applicable law, by allowing the applicant to file a simple statement of assignment as evidence of the transfer. The statement may be in a separate document, accompanying the application, or pre-printed in the application. In order to safeguard the rights of creators, the statement of assignment must, in any event, be signed by the creator.

Article 8
Amendment or Division of Application
Including Two or More Industrial Designs

(1) [Amendment or Division of Application] If an application that includes two or more industrial designs (hereinafter “initial application”) does not comply with the conditions prescribed by a Contracting Party in accordance with Article 3(3), the Office may require the applicant, at the option of the applicant, to either:

(i) amend the initial application to comply with those conditions; or

(ii) divide the initial application into two or more applications (hereinafter “divisional applications”) that comply with those conditions by distributing among the latter the industrial designs for which protection was claimed in the initial application.

~~Any initial application may be divided by the applicant, at the request of the Office, into two or more divisional applications by distributing among the latter the industrial designs for which protection was claimed in the initial application.~~

(2) [Filing Date and Right of Priority of Divisional Applications] Divisional applications shall preserve the filing date of the initial application and the benefit of the claim of priority, if applicable.

(3) [Fees] [(a)] The division of an application may be subject to the payment of fees.

[(b) The sum of the fees due for the initial and divisional applications shall not exceed the sum of the fees that would have been due for filing the appropriate number of applications.]

Notes on Article 8

Note 8.01 This provision should be read in conjunction with Article 3(3). That Article provides that, subject to such conditions as may be prescribed under the applicable law, an application may include two or more industrial designs. If an application containing two or more industrial designs does not fulfill the applicable conditions, then the Office would be in a position to require the applicant to, at his/her option, amend the application, for example by suppressing the industrial designs that do not meet the applicable conditions, or to divide it into two or more applications that fulfill the conditions. It follows from the term “division of application” that division should be possible only as long as the initial application is pending.

Note 8.02 The aim of this provision is to enable an applicant to rectify a mistake in filing an application for two or more industrial designs (“initial application”), where some of the industrial designs do not comply with the conditions prescribed by the Contracting Party for an application to include more than one industrial design. The option is given to the applicant to correct the mistake by either amending the application or dividing it. Division of an application does not exempt an applicant from fulfilling the formalities, or from paying the fees, with respect to the divisional applications. The interest of division is, however, that divisional applications maintain the filing date and, if applicable, the priority date, of the initial application. Therefore, division may be perceived as a mechanism that softens the effects of a mistake made by the applicant in an initial application, while not entailing any negative impact on offices.

- Note 8.03 *Paragraph (1)*. Following the discussion at the twenty-eighth session of the SCT, the possibility of amendment of the initial application to comply with the conditions of a Contracting Party has been added to this paragraph. Prior versions of draft Article 8(1) provided only for a single mechanism to soften the effects of a mistake in an initial application, namely division of the initial application.
- Note 8.04 The words “the Office may require the applicant” make it clear that the type of amendment or division envisaged in this provision is one required by the Office, as explained in Note 8.01, above. This provision does not cover the situation in which an applicant amends or divides the application on his/her own initiative. Contracting Parties can provide for such type of amendment or division in their legislation, if they so wish, but are not required to do so under this provision.
- Note 8.05 The words “at the option of the applicant” make it clear that, while the Office may require action to “correct” an initial application, it is for the applicant to choose between amendment and division.
- Note 8.06 Following the discussion at the twenty-eighth session of the SCT, the definitions of “initial application” and “divisional applications” are now contained in Article 8(1) instead of in Article 1. This approach follows that of the Singapore Treaty, and is justified by the fact that the terms “initial application” and “divisional applications” are used in the context of this Article.
- Note 8.07 *Item (ii)*. In order to explain the words “by distributing among the latter the industrial designs for which protection was claimed in the initial application”, the following example may be useful. Let us imagine that an initial application covering three industrial designs was filed in a Contracting Party. Two industrial designs belong in class 7 of the International Classification for Industrial Designs under the Locarno Agreement, while one belongs in class 9. Let us further imagine that, under the applicable law of the Contracting Party, multiple applications are admitted, subject to all the industrial designs in the application belonging in the same class of the International Classification. In the example, therefore, the Office would request the applicant to divide the initial application into two divisional applications, one covering the two industrial designs that belong in class 7 and a second covering the industrial design that belongs in class 9.
- Note 8.08 *Paragraph (3)(b)*. This provision was included following the twenty-fourth session of the SCT. Following the twenty-sixth session of the SCT, two delegations requested that this paragraph be put between square brackets. Since no agreement on subparagraph (b) was reached at the twenty-seventh and twenty-eighth sessions, it is presented within square brackets in the present document.
- Note 8.09 The intention of this provision is that, if the initial application is divided, the fees to be eventually paid by the applicant for the initial application and all the divisional applications should not exceed the total amount of fees that the applicant would have paid if he had filed, from the start, the correct number of applications. The amount of fees to be paid for the divisional applications may be higher than the amount of fees paid for the initial application, but it should not be higher than the amount for the correct number of applications.

Note 8.10 The following example can help to illustrate the situation provided for in paragraph (3)(b). Let us imagine that, in a Contracting Party, the cost of filing an application for one industrial design is 10 and the cost of an application for two industrial designs that belong in the same Locarno class is 15. An applicant files an application for two designs, for which he pays 15. However, he then is requested to divide the initial application into two divisional applications, as the industrial designs do not belong in the same class. If the applicant had filed two separate applications from the beginning, he would have had to pay 20. The gist of paragraph (3)(b) is that, as a result of the division, the applicant should pay no more than 20. This amount corresponds to the fees that the applicant would have paid if he had “correctly” filed the applications from the beginning. As the applicant has already paid the fees for the initial application for two industrial designs (*i.e.*, 15), the Office should take that payment into account and deduce it from the amount of fees due after division. By consequence, in this example, the applicant should eventually pay to the Office the difference between 20 (fees to be paid for the divisional applications) and 15 (fees already paid for the initial application), *i.e.*, 5.

Article 9 **Publication of the Industrial Design**

[(1) [Maintaining the Industrial Design Unpublished] A Contracting Party [shall] [may] allow an applicant to maintain the industrial design unpublished for a period fixed by its applicable law, subject to the minimum period prescribed in the Regulations.

(2) [Request to Maintain the Industrial Design Unpublished; Fee] (a) A Contracting Party may require that, for the purposes of maintaining the industrial design unpublished under paragraph (1), the applicant make a request to the Office.

(b) In respect of a request for maintaining the industrial design unpublished under subparagraph (a), the Office may require the payment of a fee.

(3) [Request to Publish Further to a Request to Maintain Unpublished] Where a request to maintain the industrial design unpublished has been made under paragraph (2)(a), the applicant or holder, as the case may be, may, at any time during the period applicable under paragraph (1), request the publication of the industrial design.

Notes on Article 9

Note 9.01 The discussion at the twenty-sixth and twenty-seventh sessions of the SCT confirmed that, while many jurisdictions offer the possibility to applicants of maintaining the industrial design unpublished for a period of time, a number of jurisdictions do not offer that possibility. Two options concerning this provision were put forward for discussion to the twenty-seventh and twenty-eighth sessions of the SCT and are maintained in the present document for discussion at the twenty-ninth session of the SCT. At the twenty-eighth session, the Chair concluded that both options would be kept in Article 9, so as to provide more time for each delegation to consider the situation.

Note 9.02 Under the first option, a Contracting Party would be required to allow an applicant to maintain the industrial design unpublished for a period fixed by its applicable law, subject to the minimum period prescribed in the Regulations. This provision would be justified by the fact that there is an interest for users in

maintaining the industrial design unpublished for some time, as this enables them to control the first release of the product embodying the industrial design. However, maintaining an industrial design unpublished in one jurisdiction serves no purpose if the design is published in another jurisdiction. It is a fact that, nowadays, any matter that is made accessible in one part of the world can easily become accessible everywhere.

- Note 9.03 The article does not provide for a uniform period of time during which the industrial design can be maintained unpublished. It provides for a minimum period, prescribed in the Regulations, and leaves it to each Contracting Party to determine the period above the prescribed minimum period during which an industrial design may be maintained unpublished.
- Note 9.04 With regard to the different existing systems for maintaining an industrial design unpublished, the article does not provide for a specific system. Thus, a Contracting Party may comply with this Article by implementing, for example, a system of deferment of publication, a secret design system, or a system giving the possibility to effectively postpone publication by delaying the payment of the registration fees.
- Note 9.05 Following the twenty-sixth session of the SCT, the language of *paragraph (1)* and the general structure of Article 9 have been modified, with a view to better accommodating the different existing systems for maintaining an industrial design unpublished. Thus, *paragraph (1)* has been drafted in a general manner. It requires a Contracting Party to allow an applicant to maintain the industrial design unpublished for some time, but does not require a request by the applicant for that purpose. This approach accommodates those systems where the applicant is in a position to postpone the publication of the industrial design by delaying the payment of the registration fees, without having to file any request as such.
- Note 9.06 Under the proposed structure of this Article, *paragraph (2)* gives the possibility to a Contracting Party to require a request by the applicant for maintaining the industrial design unpublished. Such request would be required, for example, in a secret-design or in a deferment-of-publication system.
- Note 9.07 *Paragraph (3)*. This paragraph was introduced following the twenty-fifth session of the SCT. It makes it clear that, where a request for maintaining the industrial design unpublished has been made, the applicant or holder may subsequently request that the industrial design be published before the expiry of the applicable period. The words “the period applicable under *paragraph (1)*” refer to the period established by the applicable legislation, which cannot be less than a minimum period fixed in the Regulations.
- Note 9.08 It is understood that no Office will be required to publish an industrial design where, under the law of the Contracting Party concerned, publication of such industrial design would be considered to be prejudicial to the national security of the Contracting Party.
- Note 9.09 Under the second option, Article 9 would be optional.

Article 10 Communications

(1) *[Means of Transmittal and Form of Communications]* A Contracting Party may choose the means of transmittal of communications and elect whether to accept communications on paper, communications in electronic form, or any other form of communication.

(2) *[Language of Communications]* (a) A Contracting Party may require that any communication be in a language admitted by the Office.

(b) A Contracting Party may require that, where a communication is not in a language admitted by its Office, a translation of that communication by an official translator or a representative, into a language admitted by the Office, be supplied within a reasonable time limit.

(c) No Contracting Party may require the attestation, notarization, authentication, legalization or any other certification of any translation of a communication, except in those cases prescribed in this Treaty.

(d) Notwithstanding subparagraph (c), any Contracting Party may require that any translation of a communication be accompanied by a statement that the translation is true and accurate.

(3) *[Indications in Communications]* A Contracting Party may require that any communication contain one or more indications prescribed in the Regulations.

(4) *[Address for Correspondence, Address for Service and Contact Details]* A Contracting Party may, subject to any provisions prescribed in the Regulations, require that an applicant, holder, or other interested person, indicate in any communication:

- (i) an address for correspondence;
- (ii) an address for service;
- (iii) any other address or contact details provided for in the Regulations.

(5) *[Signature of Communications on Paper]* (a) A Contracting Party may require that a communication on paper be signed by the applicant, holder or other interested person. Where a Contracting Party requires a communication on paper to be signed, that Contracting Party shall accept any signature that complies with the requirements prescribed in the Regulations.

(b) No Contracting Party may require the attestation, notarization, authentication, legalization or other certification of any signature, except in those cases prescribed in the Regulations.

(c) Notwithstanding subparagraph (b), any Contracting Party may require that evidence be filed with the Office where the Office may reasonably doubt the authenticity of any signature of a communication on paper.

(6) *[Communications Filed in Electronic Form or by Electronic Means of Transmittal]* Where a Contracting Party permits the filing of communications in electronic form or by electronic means of transmittal, it may require that any such communications comply with the requirements prescribed in the Regulations.

(7) *[Prohibition of Other Requirements]* No Contracting Party may demand that, in respect of paragraphs (1) to (6), requirements other than those referred to in this Article be complied with.

(8) *[Means of Communication with Representative]* Nothing in this Article regulates the means of communication between an applicant, holder or other interested person and the representative of any such person.

Notes on Article 10

- Note 10.01 Under *paragraph (1)*, an Office may choose the means of transmittal of communications and the form of the communications that it accepts.
- Note 10.02 *Paragraph (2)* deals with the language of communications. Under *subparagraph (a)*, an Office may require that any communication be in a language admitted by it. It follows that, where a communication or part of a communication, is not in a language admitted by the Office, a Contracting Party may require that the communication be filed in translated form. This is provided for in *subparagraph (b)*. In that case, for the sake of simplification, no attestation, notarization, authentication, legalization or other certification of the translation, for instance by a notary public, may be required, except as prescribed in the Treaty. For instance, it is prescribed in Article 18(2) that documents in support of a request to record a change in ownership be certified as being in conformity with the original. This would entail certification of a translation of these documents, where the original documents are not in a language admitted by the Office.
- Note 10.03 *Subparagraph (b)*. The question as to who may be a “representative” admitted to make a translation for the purposes of this paragraph is a matter for the applicable law of the Contracting Party concerned. A Contracting Party may provide that only a representative who is a registered agent is allowed to translate a communication.
- Note 10.04 *Subparagraph (d)* provides that a Contracting Party may require a statement that the translation conforms to the original communication. It would be for each Contracting Party to determine who can validly make such statement. Such statement could be made, for example, by a representative admitted to practice before the Office or by an official translator.
- Note 10.05 *Paragraph (3)* allows a Contracting Party to require that a communication contain indications prescribed in the Regulations, such as the name and address of the applicant, holder or other interested person, or the number of the application or registration to which the communication relates. The paragraph is modeled on Article 8(5) of the PLT.
- Note 10.06 *Paragraph (4)* is partly modeled on Article 8(6) of the PLT. An indication of an address for correspondence, an address for service, or any other prescribed address or contact details, may be required by any Contracting Party in any communication. In particular, indication of an address for correspondence or an address for service may be required where a Contracting Party does not require representation, but requires that the applicant have an address for service or an address for correspondence in the territory concerned.

Note 10.07 Under this provision, a Contracting Party may also require the indication, in a communication, of contact details of the applicant, holder, or other interested person. The contact details that may be required, as prescribed in Rule 7(2)(b), are a telephone number, a facsimile number or an email address.

Note 10.08 *Paragraph (5) deals with the signature of communications on paper. Consistent with the aim of simplification, this paragraph provides that no attestation, notarization, authentication, legalization or other certification of any signature may be required, except in those cases prescribed in the Regulations. To balance the absence of certification of any signature, the paragraph further provides for the possibility for an Office to require, in cases of reasonable doubt, evidence of the authenticity of a signature.*

Article 11 Renewal

(1) *[Request for Renewal; Fee] (a) Where a Contracting Party provides for renewal of the term of protection, it may require that the renewal be subject to the filing of a request and that such request contain some, or all, of the following indications:*

- (i) an indication that renewal is sought;*
- (ii) the name and address of the holder;*
- (iii) the number(s) of the registration(s) concerned by the renewal;*
- (iv) an indication of the term of protection for which renewal is requested;*
- (v) where the holder has a representative, the name and address of that representative;*
- (vi) where the holder has an address for service or an address for correspondence, such address;*
- (vii) where it is permitted that renewal be made for some only of the industrial designs contained in the registration, and such a renewal is requested, an indication of the industrial design number(s) for which the renewal is, or is not, requested;*
- (viii) where it is permitted that a request for renewal may be filed by a person other than the holder or its representative, and the request is filed by such a person, the name and address of that person.*

(b) A Contracting Party may require that, in respect of the renewal, a fee be paid to the Office.

(2) [Period for Presentation of the Request for Renewal and Payment of the Fee] A Contracting Party may require that the request for renewal referred to in paragraph (1)(a) be presented, and the corresponding fee referred to in paragraph (1)(b) be paid, to the Office within a period fixed by the law of the Contracting Party, subject to the minimum periods prescribed in the Regulations.

(3) [Prohibition of Other Requirements] No Contracting Party may demand that requirements other than those referred to in paragraphs (1) and (2) and in Article 10 be complied with in respect of the request for renewal.

Notes on Article 11

- Note 11.01 This Article deals exclusively with the contents of a request for renewal and the period for presenting such request or for paying the renewal fees. As explicitly indicated in *paragraph (1)*, the provision will only apply to those Contracting Parties which provide for renewal in their law.
- Note 11.02 *Item (iii)*. Under this provision, Contracting Parties may allow that a single request for renewal relates to more than one registration, provided that the numbers of all registrations concerned are indicated in the request.
- Note 11.03 *Item (iv)* takes into account that, in some countries, renewal can be requested for one or several further terms of protection, at the option of the holder.

Article 12 **Relief in Respect of Time Limits**

(1) *[Extension of Time Limits]* A Contracting Party may provide for the extension, for the period prescribed in the Regulations, of a time limit fixed by the Office for an action in a procedure before the Office, if a request to that effect is filed with the Office in accordance with the requirements prescribed in the Regulations, and the request is filed, at the option of the Contracting Party:

- (i) prior to the expiry of the time limit; or
- (ii) after the expiry of the time limit, and within the time limit prescribed in the Regulations.

(2) *[Continued Processing]* Where an applicant or holder has failed to comply with a time limit fixed by the Office for an action in a procedure before the Office, and the applicable law does not provide for the extension of a time limit under paragraph (1)(ii), the applicable law shall¹⁴ provide for continued processing with respect to the application or registration and, if necessary, reinstatement of the rights of the applicant or holder with respect to that application or registration, if:

- (i) a request to that effect is made to the Office in accordance with the requirements prescribed in the Regulations;
- (ii) all of the requirements for the said action, in respect of which the time limit applied, are complied with, within the time limit prescribed in the Regulations.

(3) *[Exceptions]* There shall be no requirement to provide for the extension of time limits under paragraph (1) or continued processing under paragraph (2) with respect to the exceptions prescribed in the Regulations.

(4) *[Fees]* A Contracting Party may require that a fee be paid in respect of a request under paragraph (1) or (2).

¹⁴ At the twenty-eighth session of the SCT, the Delegation of India proposed to replace the word "shall" by "may" in Article 12(2).

(5) *[Prohibition of Other Requirements]* No Contracting Party may demand that requirements other than those referred to in paragraphs (1) to (4) be complied with in respect of the relief provided for under paragraph (1) or (2), except where otherwise provided for by this Treaty or prescribed in the Regulations.

(6) *[Opportunity to Make Observations in Case of Intended Refusal]* A request under paragraph (1) or (2) may not be refused without the applicant or holder being given the opportunity to make observations on the intended refusal within a reasonable time limit.

Notes on Article 12

Note 12.01 Both the Singapore Treaty and the PLT contain provisions on relief measures. Those provisions are intended to add some flexibility to the consequences that derive from the non-observance of a time limit. Without any relief measure, missing a time limit generally results in a loss of rights, which, in the case of patents and industrial designs, is irreparable.

Note 12.02 Because of the irreparable nature of a patent loss, the approach to relief measures is different in the Singapore Treaty and in the PLT. A trademark may be filed again; a lost patent, as a lost industrial design, is irretrievable.

Note 12.03 Under the Singapore Treaty, while a Contracting Party is free to provide for the extension of a time limit prior to the expiry of such time limit, it has an obligation to provide for one or more of the following relief measures after the expiry of a time limit: extension of the time limit, continued processing, or reinstatement of rights.

Note 12.04 Under the PLT, prior to the expiry of a time limit fixed by the Office, a Contracting Party is free to provide for the extension of such time limit. After the expiry of a time limit fixed by the Office, a Contracting Party is obliged to provide for a relief measure in the form of either an extension of the time limit, or continued processing.

Note 12.05 In addition, a Contracting Party is obliged to provide for reinstatement of rights in case of failure of the applicant or holder to comply with a time limit entailing a loss of rights, where the Office concerned finds that such failure occurred in spite of due care having been taken or that the delay was unintentional.

Note 12.06 The provisions presented in this document follow the approach of the PLT to relief measures, considering that loss of an industrial design is, as in the case of patents, irretrievable. This feature warrants an approach which makes available reinstatement of rights in case of failure of the applicant or holder to comply with a time limit entailing a loss of rights, under certain circumstances.

Note 12.07 *Article 12* requires a Contracting Party to provide relief in respect of time limits. Such relief may be in the form of an extension of a time limit under paragraph (1) and/or continued processing under paragraph (2). The relief that a Contracting Party has to provide under paragraphs (1) and (2) is restricted to time limits “fixed by the Office for an action in a procedure before the Office.” The term “procedure before the Office” is defined in Article 1(viii). As regards the term “time limit fixed by the Office”, it is for each Contracting Party to decide which time limits, if any, are fixed by the Office. It follows that Article 12 does not apply to time limits that are not fixed by the Office, in particular, time

limits established by national legislation or under a regional treaty. Neither does Article 12 apply to time limits for actions that are not before the Office, for example, actions before a court. Consequently, in respect of such other time limits, a Contracting Party would be free to apply the same requirements, apply other requirements, or make no provision for relief (other than reinstatement of rights under Article 13).

Note 12.08 *Paragraph (2).* This paragraph obliges a Contracting Party to provide for relief in the form of continued processing, after the applicant or owner has failed to comply with a time limit fixed by the Office, where that Contracting Party does not provide for the extension of time limits under paragraph (1)(ii). The effect of such continued processing is that the Office continues with the procedure concerned as if that time limit had been complied with. Also, the Office must, if necessary, reinstate the rights of the applicant or holder with respect to the application or registration concerned.

Note 12.09 Exceptions to the applicability of relief measures and reinstatement of rights are provided for in *paragraph (3)*. Such exceptions are intended to prevent an applicant or holder from abusing a system of relief measures, for example by obtaining double relief in respect of a procedure.

Article 13

Reinstatement of Rights After a Finding by the Office of Due Care or Unintentionality¹⁵

Option 1

[(1) [Reinstatement of Rights] A Contracting Party shall provide that, where an applicant or holder has failed to comply with a time limit for an action in a procedure before the Office, and that failure has the direct consequence of causing a loss of rights with respect to an application or a registration, the Office shall reinstate the rights of the applicant or holder with respect to that application or registration, if:

(i) a request to that effect is made to the Office in accordance with the requirements prescribed in the Regulations;

(ii) the request is filed, and all of the requirements for the said action, in respect of which the time limit applied, are complied with, within the time limit prescribed in the Regulations;

(iii) the request states the reasons for the failure to comply with the time limit; and

(iv) the Office finds that the failure to comply with the time limit occurred in spite of due care required by the circumstances having been taken or, at the option of the Contracting Party, that any delay was unintentional.

(2) [Exceptions] There shall be no requirement to provide for the reinstatement of rights under paragraph (1) with respect to the exceptions prescribed in the Regulations.

(3) [Fees] A Contracting Party may require that a fee be paid in respect of a request under paragraph (1).

¹⁵ [At the twenty-eighth session of the SCT, the Delegation of India proposed to include a paragraph providing for the restoration of a non-renewed industrial design within one year from the date of lapsing.](#)

(4) *[Evidence] A Contracting Party may require that a declaration or other evidence in support of the reasons referred to in paragraph (1)(iii) be filed with the Office within a time limit fixed by the Office.*

(5) *[Opportunity to Make Observations in Case of Intended Refusal] A request under paragraph (1) may not be refused, totally or in part, without the requesting party being given the opportunity to make observations on the intended refusal, within a reasonable time limit.]*

Option 2

[(1) [Reinstatement of Rights] A Contracting Party may provide that, where an applicant or holder has failed to comply with a time limit for an action in a procedure before the Office, and that failure has the direct consequence of causing a loss of rights with respect to an application or a registration, the Office shall reinstate the rights of the applicant or holder with respect to that application or registration, if:

(i) a request to that effect is made to the Office in accordance with the requirements prescribed in the Regulations;

(ii) the request is filed, and all of the requirements for the said action, in respect of which the time limit applied, are complied with, within the time limit prescribed in the Regulations;

(iii) the request states the reasons for the failure to comply with the time limit; and

(iv) the Office finds that the failure to comply with the time limit occurred in spite of due care required by the circumstances having been taken or, at the option of the Contracting Party, that any delay was unintentional.

(2) [Exceptions] A Contracting Party that provides for the reinstatement of rights under paragraph (1) shall not be required to provide for such reinstatement with respect to the exceptions prescribed in the Regulations.

(3) [Fees] A Contracting Party that provides for reinstatement of rights under paragraph (1) may require that a fee be paid in respect of a request under paragraph (1).

(4) [Evidence] A Contracting Party that provides for reinstatement of rights under paragraph (1) may require that a declaration or other evidence in support of the reasons referred to in paragraph (1)(iii) be filed with the Office within a time limit fixed by the Office.

(5) [Opportunity to Make Observations in Case of Intended Refusal] A request under paragraph (1) may not be refused, totally or in part, without the requesting party being given the opportunity to make observations on the intended refusal, within a reasonable time limit.]

Notes on Article 13

Note 13.01 Following the twenty-sixth session of the SCT, two options concerning this Article are submitted for discussion. Under the first option, this Article obliges a Contracting Party to provide for the reinstatement of rights with respect to an application or registration following failure to comply with a time limit for an action in a procedure before the Office. In contrast to Article 12, such reinstatement is subject to a finding by the Office that the failure occurred in spite of all due care required by the circumstances or, at the option of the

Contracting Party, was unintentional. Also in contrast to Article 12, Article 13 is not restricted to time limits fixed by the Office, although it is subject to certain exceptions under paragraph (2) and Rule 11(3).

- Note 13.02 The first option is followed in the PLT. However, the jurisprudence and practice developed in the field of patents in respect of reinstatement of rights would not be necessarily applicable in the interpretation of this provision with respect to industrial designs.
- Note 13.03 Under the second option, reinstatement of rights would become optional. It would only provide guidelines for Contracting Parties wishing to comply with it.
- Note 13.04 *Paragraph (1)*, introductory words. The condition that maintains “that failure has the direct consequence of causing a loss of rights with respect to an application or registration” is intended to avoid circumventing the exceptions provided under Rule 11(3).

**[Article 13bis
Correction or Addition of Priority Claim; Restoration of Priority Right]**

(1) [Correction or Addition of Priority Claim] A Contracting Party shall provide for the correction or addition of a priority claim with respect to an application (“the subsequent application”), if:

(i) a request to that effect is made to the Office in accordance with the requirements prescribed in the Regulations;

(ii) the request is filed within the time limit prescribed in the Regulations; and

(iii) the filing date of the subsequent application is not later than the date of the expiration of the priority period calculated from the filing date of the earliest application whose priority is claimed.

(2) [Delayed Filing of the Subsequent Application] Taking into consideration the Paris Convention, a Contracting Party shall provide that, where an application (“the subsequent application”) which claims or could have claimed the priority of an earlier application has a filing date which is later than the date on which the priority period expired, but within the time limit prescribed in the Regulations, the Office shall restore the right of priority, if:

(i) a request to that effect is made to the Office in accordance with the requirements prescribed in the Regulations;

(ii) the request is filed within the time limit prescribed in the Regulations;

(iii) the request states the reasons for the failure to comply with the priority period; and

(iv) the Office finds that the failure to file the subsequent application within the priority period occurred in spite of due care required by the circumstances having been taken or, at the option of the Contracting Party, was unintentional.

(3) [Fees] A Contracting Party may require that a fee be paid in respect of a request under paragraph (1) and in respect of a request under paragraph (2).

(4) [Evidence] A Contracting Party may require that a declaration or other evidence in support of the reasons referred to in paragraph (2)(iii) be filed with the Office within a time limit fixed by the Office.

(5) [Opportunity to Make Observations in Case of Intended Refusal] A request under paragraph (1) or (2) may not be refused, totally or in part, without the requesting party being given the opportunity to make observations on the intended refusal within a reasonable time limit.]

Notes on Article 13bis

- Note 13bis.01 At the twenty-fifth session of the SCT, one delegation suggested to include a provision on correction or addition of a priority claim and restoration of priority right, modeled on Article 13 of PLT. At the twenty-eighth session of the SCT, two delegations, supported by a third, proposed to look into the question of a correction or addition of priority claim and restoration of a priority right. The delegations presented for discussion a draft Article 13bis, modeled on Article 13 of the PLT. The present document reproduces draft Article 13bis in brackets.
- Note 13bis.02 Article 13bis would permit the applicant to correct or add a priority claim to an application which could have claimed the priority of an earlier application, but did not do so. It would apply both where the application filed contained no priority claim (addition of priority claim) and where the application already claimed priority of one or more earlier applications (correction of priority claim). The provision would also provide for the restoration of the priority right where a subsequent application is filed after the expiration of the priority period, but within a time limit prescribed in the Regulations. It would apply only where the failure to file the application within the priority period occurred in spite of all due care required by the circumstances having been taken, or, at the option of the Contracting Party, was unintentional.

Article 14 **Request for Recording of a License or a Security Interest**

- (1) *[Requirements Concerning the Request for Recording of a License] Where the law of a Contracting Party provides for the recording of a license, that Contracting Party may require that the request for recording:*
- (i) *be filed in accordance with the requirements prescribed in the Regulations, and*
 - (ii) *be accompanied by the supporting documents prescribed in the Regulations.*
- (2) *[Fees] In respect of the recording of a license, the Office may require the payment of a fee.*
- (3) *[Single Request]¹⁶ A single request shall be sufficient even where the license relates to more than one registration, provided that the registration numbers of all registrations concerned are indicated in the request, the holder and the licensee are the same for all registrations, and the request indicates the scope of the license with respect to all registrations.*

¹⁶ At the twenty-eighth session of the SCT, the Delegation of Chile proposed to amend Article 14(3) by adding the following text: "and, if applicable under paragraph (2), fees are paid for all registrations concerned."

(4) *[Prohibition of Other Requirements]* (a) No requirement other than those referred to in paragraphs (1) to (3), and in Article 10, may be demanded in respect of the recording of a license. In particular, the following may not be required:

(i) the furnishing of the registration certificate of the industrial design which is the subject of the license;

(ii) an indication of the financial terms of the license contract.

(b) Subparagraph (a) is without prejudice to any obligations existing under the law of a Contracting Party concerning the disclosure of information for purposes other than the recording of the license.¹⁷

(5) *[Evidence]* It may be required that evidence be furnished to the Office where the Office may reasonably doubt the veracity of any indication contained in the request, or in any supporting document.

(6) *[Requests Relating to Applications]* Paragraphs (1) to (5) shall apply, mutatis mutandis, to requests for recording of a license in respect of an application, where the law of a Contracting Party provides for such recording.

(7) *[Request for Recording of a Security Interest]* Paragraphs (1) to (5) shall apply, mutatis mutandis, to requests for the recording of a security interest in respect of an application or registration.

Notes on Article 14

Note 14.01 This Article is based on the provisions on the recording of licenses of the Singapore Treaty and the PLT.

Note 14.02 It follows from the introductory words of *paragraphs (1) and (2)* that there is no obligation for a Contracting Party to provide for the recording of licenses. However, it follows from *paragraph (4)(a)* that, where the applicable law provides for such recording, no indication or element other than those prescribed in Rule 12(1), or in Article 10, concerning “communications”, may be required. Similarly, a Contracting Party may not require any other document than those listed in Rule 12(2).

Note 14.03 *Paragraphs (2) and (3)*. Under paragraph (2), a Contracting Party may require the payment of a fee in respect of the recording of a license. Each Contracting Party would be free to determine the amount and structure of the fee. For instance, while under paragraph (3) a Contracting Party would be required to accept a single request for recording a license that relates to more than one registration, the Contracting Party would be free to establish a fee structure for such single request based on the number of applications or registrations concerned by the request. In other words, the Contracting Party could determine that the total amount of the fee to be paid in respect of a single request for the recording of a license relating to more than one registration is dependent on the number of applications or registrations.

¹⁷ At the twenty-eighth session of the SCT, the Delegation of Brazil proposed to add the following sentence at the end of Article 14(4)(b): “In particular, any requirements by tax authorities and monetary authorities of a Contracting Party are exempt from any obligation under these articles.”

- Note 14.04 *Paragraph (4)* does not exclude the furnishing of the license contract, or a translation of it. Under Rule 12(2)(a), a Contracting Party may require that the request for the recording of a license be accompanied, at the option of the requesting party, by an extract of the agreement or a copy of the license agreement.
- Note 14.05 This provision does not prevent any authorities of a Contracting Party, for example tax or monetary authorities or authorities establishing statistics, from requiring the parties to a license to furnish information in accordance with the applicable law of that Contracting Party.
- Note 14.06 Under *paragraph (6)*, the applicable requirements apply also to the recording of licenses in respect of *applications*, but only where the law of a Contracting Party provides for such recording. This provision is also contained in the Singapore Treaty.
- Note 14.07 *Paragraph (7)*, concerning a request for the recording of a security interest, is based on Rule 17(9) of the Regulations under the PLT. It relates to the recording of a security interest in an application or registration, acquired by contract for the purpose of securing payment or performance of an obligation, such as a mortgage or a pledge, or for the purpose of indemnifying against loss or liability. As in the case of the recording of a license under paragraph (1), there is no obligation for a Contracting Party to provide for the recording of a security interest. Moreover, any Contracting Party which does allow for such recording is free to decide which security interests may be recorded.

Article 15

Request for Amendment or Cancellation of the Recording of a License or a Security Interest

(1) *[Requirements Concerning the Request for Amendment or Cancellation of the Recording of a License]* Where the law of a Contracting Party provides for the recording of a license, that Contracting Party may require that the request for amendment or cancellation of the recording of a license:

- (i) *be filed in accordance with the requirements prescribed in the Regulations, and*
- (ii) *be accompanied by the supporting documents prescribed in the Regulations.*

(2) *[Requirements Concerning the Request for Cancellation of the Recording of a Security Interest]* Paragraph (1) shall apply, mutatis mutandis, to requests for cancellation of the recording of a security interest.

(3) *[Other Requirements]* Article 14(2) to (5) shall apply, mutatis mutandis, to requests for amendment or cancellation of the recording of a license and to requests for cancellation of the recording of a security interest.

Note on Article 15

Note 15.01 Articles 15, 16 and 17 are modeled on Articles 18, 19 and 20 of the Singapore Treaty.

Article 16 **Effects of the Non-Recording of a License**

(1) *[Validity of the Registration and Protection of the Industrial Design]* The non-recording of a license with the Office or with any other authority of the Contracting Party shall not affect the validity of the registration of the industrial design which is the subject of the license, nor the protection of that industrial design.¹⁸

(2) *[Certain Rights of the Licensee]* A Contracting Party may not require the recording of a license as a condition for any right that the licensee may have under the law of that Contracting Party to join infringement proceedings initiated by the holder or to obtain, by way of such proceedings, damages resulting from an infringement of the industrial design which is the subject of the license.¹⁹

Notes on Article 16

Note 16.01 *Paragraph (1).* The purpose of this paragraph is to separate the question of the validity of the registration of an industrial design and the protection of the industrial design from the question as to whether a license concerning the said industrial design was recorded. If the law of a Contracting Party provides for the mandatory recording of licenses, non-compliance with that requirement may not result in the invalidation of the registration of the industrial design which is the subject of the license, and may not affect in any way the protection afforded to that industrial design. It is to be noted that this paragraph concerns the recording of a license with the Office or any other authority of a Contracting Party such as, for example, the tax or monetary authority or the authority responsible for the establishment of statistics.

Note 16.02 Moreover, it is to be noted that this provision does not preclude a requirement for the registration of a license as a condition for the validity of the license contract.

Note 16.03 *Paragraph (2).* This provision does not intend to harmonize the question whether a licensee should be allowed to join proceedings initiated by the licensor, or whether it would be entitled to damages resulting from an infringement of the licensed industrial design. This question is left to the applicable law. However, where a licensee has the right under the law of a Contracting Party to join infringement proceedings initiated by the holder and to obtain damages resulting from an infringement of the licensed industrial design, the licensee should be able to exercise those rights independently of whether the license is recorded.

¹⁸ At the twenty-eighth session of the SCT, the Delegation of India proposed to turn Article 16(1) into an optional provision.

¹⁹ At the twenty-eighth session of the SCT, the Delegation of Brazil proposed to amend paragraph (2) to read as follows: [Certain Rights of the Licensee] A Contracting Party may provide that the recording of a license shall not be a condition for any right that the licensee may have under the law of that Contracting Party to join infringement proceedings initiated by the holder or to obtain, by way of such proceedings, damages resulting from an infringement of the industrial design which is the subject of the license."

Article 17
Indication of the License

Where the law of a Contracting Party requires an indication that the industrial design is used under a license, full or partial non-compliance with that requirement shall not affect the validity of the registration of the industrial design which is the subject of the license, nor the protection of that industrial design.

Note on Article 17

Note 17.01 Article 17 leaves it to the law of a Contracting Party to prescribe whether or not products which are commercialized under a licensed industrial design must bear an indication of the fact that the industrial design is used under a license contract. However, where such indication is required by the applicable law, non-compliance with that obligation should not entail the invalidation of the registration of the industrial design in whole or in part.

Article 18
Request for Recording of a Change in Ownership

(1) *[Requirements Concerning the Request for Recording] (a) Where there is a change in the person of the holder, a Contracting Party shall accept that a request for the recording of the change be made either by the holder or by the new owner.*

(b) A Contracting Party may require that the request contain some, or all, of the indications prescribed in the Regulations.

(2) *[Requirements Concerning Supporting Documents for Recording of a Change in Ownership] (a) Where the change in ownership results from a contract, a Contracting Party may require that the request be accompanied, at the option of the requesting party, by one of the elements prescribed in the Regulations.*

(b) Where the change in ownership results from a merger, a Contracting Party may require that the request be accompanied by a copy of a document, which originates from a competent authority and evidences the merger, such as a copy of an extract from a register of commerce, and that that copy be certified by the authority which issued the document or by a notary public or any other competent public authority, as being in conformity with the original document.

(c) Where there is a change in one or more, but not all, of several co-holders, and such change in ownership results from a contract or a merger, a Contracting Party may require that any co-holder in respect of which there is no change in ownership give its express consent to the change in ownership, in a document signed by such co-holder.

(d) Where the change in ownership does not result from a contract or a merger but from another ground, for example, by operation of law or a court decision, a Contracting Party may require that the request be accompanied by a copy of a document evidencing the change and that that copy be certified as being in conformity with the original document by the authority which issued the document, or by a notary public or any other competent public authority.

(3) *[Fees] A Contracting Party may require that, in respect of the request, a fee be paid to the Office.*

(4) *[Single Request]* A single request shall be sufficient even where the change relates to more than one registration, provided that the holder and the new owner are the same for each registration, and that the numbers of all registrations concerned are indicated in the request.

(5) *[Change in the Ownership of an Application]* Paragraphs (1) to (4) shall apply, mutatis mutandis, where the change in ownership concerns an application, provided that, where the application number of the application concerned has not yet been issued or is not known to the applicant or its representative, the request identifies the application as prescribed in the Regulations.

(6) *[Prohibition of Other Requirements]* No Contracting Party may demand that requirements other than those referred to in paragraphs (1) to (5) and in Article 10 be complied with in respect of a request for the recording of a change in ownership.

(7) *[Evidence]* A Contracting Party may require that evidence, or further evidence where paragraph (2)(b) or (d) applies, be furnished to the Office where the Office reasonably doubts the veracity of any indication contained in the request or in any document referred to in the present Article²⁰.

Notes on Article 18

Note 18.01 This provision is based, to a large extent, on the provisions on the recording of a change in ownership in the Singapore Treaty and the PLT.

Note 18.02 *Paragraphs (1) and (2)* provide for the general requirements concerning a request for change in ownership and the supporting documents. Details concerning such request and supporting documents are provided for in the Regulations.

Note 18.03 *Paragraph (3)*. Under this paragraph, a Contracting Party may require the payment of a fee in respect of a request. Each Contracting Party is free to determine the amount of the fee, depending, *inter alia*, on the number of applications or registrations concerned by the change in ownership. For instance, while under paragraph (4) a Contracting Party would be required to accept a single request for recording a change that relates to more than one registration, the Contracting Party would be free to establish a fee structure for such single request based on the number of applications or registrations concerned by the request. In other words, the Contracting Party could determine that the total amount of the fee to be paid in respect of a single request is dependent on the number of applications or registrations concerned by the change.

Note 18.04 *Paragraph (5)* makes it clear that a change in ownership may also be recorded in respect of an application. The manner of identifying the application where the application number has not yet issued or is not known to the applicant is provided for in the Regulations.

²⁰ At the twenty-seventh session of the SCT, the Delegation of China proposed to delete this paragraph or to specify in a note that a Contracting Party was free to decide whether it was necessary to provide evidence and what would be the form of the evidence.

Article 19
Changes in Names or Addresses

- (1) *[Changes in the Name or Address of the Holder]* (a) *Where there is no change in the person of the holder but there is a change in its name and/or address, each Contracting Party shall accept that a request for the recording of the change by the Office be made by the holder in a communication indicating the registration number of the registration concerned and the change to be recorded.*
- (b) *A Contracting Party may require that the request contain some, or all, of the indications prescribed in the Regulations.*
- (c) *A Contracting Party may require that, in respect of the request, a fee be paid to the Office.*
- (d) *A single request shall be sufficient even where the change relates to more than one registration, provided that the registration numbers of all registrations concerned are indicated in the request.*
- (2) *[Change in the Name or Address of the Applicant]* *Paragraph (1) shall apply, mutatis mutandis, where the change concerns an application or applications, or both an application or applications and a registration or registrations, provided that, where the application number of any application concerned has not yet been issued or is not known to the applicant or its representative, the request otherwise identifies that application as prescribed in the Regulations.*
- (3) *[Change in the Name or Address of the Representative or in the Address for Service]* *Paragraph (1) shall apply, mutatis mutandis, to any change in the name or address of the representative, if any, and to any change relating to the address for service, if any.*
- (4) *[Prohibition of Other Requirements]* *No Contracting Party may demand that requirements other than those referred to in paragraphs (1) and (2) and in Article 10 be complied with in respect of the request referred to in this Article. In particular, the furnishing of any certificate concerning the change may not be required²¹.*
- (5) *[Evidence]* *Any Contracting Party may require that evidence be furnished to the Office where the Office may reasonably doubt the veracity of any indication contained in the request²².*

Notes on Article 19

Note 19.01 This Article is modeled on Article 10 of the Singapore Treaty.

Note 19.02 *Paragraph (1)(c)*. Under this paragraph, a Contracting Party may require the payment of a fee in respect of a request. Each Contracting Party is free to determine the amount of the fee, depending, *inter alia*, on the number of registrations concerned by the changes. For instance, while under paragraph (1)(d) a Contracting Party would be required to accept a single request for recording a change that relates to more than one registration, the Contracting

²¹ At the twenty-sixth session of the SCT, the Delegation of China proposed to delete the last sentence of this paragraph.

²² At the twenty-seventh session of the SCT, the Delegation of China proposed to delete this paragraph or to specify in a note that a Contracting Party was free to decide whether it was necessary to provide evidence and what would be the form of the evidence.

Party would be free to establish a fee structure for such single request, based on the number of applications or registrations concerned by the request. In other words, the Contracting Party could determine that the total amount of the fee to be paid in respect of a single request relating to more than one registration is dependent on the number of applications or registrations concerned by the change.

Article 20 **Correction of a Mistake**

(1) *[Request]* (a) *Where an application, a registration or any request communicated to the Office in respect of an application or a registration contains a mistake, not related to search or substantive examination, which is correctable by the Office under the applicable law, the Office shall accept that a request for correction of that mistake in the records and publications of the Office be made in a communication to the Office signed by the applicant or holder.*

(b) *A Contracting Party may require that the request be accompanied by a replacement part or part incorporating the correction or, where paragraph (3) applies, by such a replacement part or part incorporating the correction for each application and registration to which the request relates.*

(c) *A Contracting Party may require that the request be subject to a declaration by the requesting party stating that the mistake was made in good faith.*

(d) *A Contracting Party may require that the request be subject to a declaration by the requesting party stating that the said request was made without undue delay or, at the option of the Contracting Party, that it was made without intentional delay, following the discovery of the mistake.*

(2) *[Fees]* (a) *Subject to subparagraph (b), a Contracting Party may require that a fee be paid in respect of a request under paragraph (1).*

(b) *The Office shall correct its own mistakes, ex officio or upon request, for no fee.*

(3) *[Single Request]* *Article 18(4) shall apply, mutatis mutandis, to requests for correction of a mistake, provided that the mistake and the requested correction are the same for all applications and registrations concerned.*

(4) *[Evidence]* *A Contracting Party may only require that evidence in support of the request be filed with the Office where the Office may reasonably doubt that the alleged mistake is in fact a mistake, or where it may reasonably doubt the veracity of any matter contained in, or of any document filed in connection with, the request for correction of a mistake.*

(5) *[Prohibition of Other Requirements]* *No Contracting Party may require that formal requirements other than those referred to in paragraphs (1) to (4) be complied with in respect of the request referred to in paragraph (1), except where otherwise provided for by this Treaty or prescribed in the Regulations.*

(6) *[Exclusions]* *A Contracting Party may exclude the application of this Article in respect of any mistake which must be corrected in that Contracting Party under a procedure for reissue of the registration.*

Notes on Article 20

- Note 20.01 This Article is modeled on Rule 18 of the PLT, rather than on Article 12 of the Singapore Treaty, taking into account that the issues arising from the correction of a mistake regarding an industrial design may be more akin to those concerning patents.
- Note 20.02 This Article regulates the formal requirements and procedures concerning the request for correction of a mistake. It does not regulate the substantive requirements which a Contracting Party may apply in determining the allowability of a correction. For example, a Contracting Party may require that the correction be obvious in the sense that it is unequivocally clear that nothing else could have been intended than what is offered as the correction. It also does not regulate corrections in the application which are not the subject of a request for correction, in particular, the amendment of the description or the representation of the industrial designs, either voluntarily following the receipt of a search report, or in the course of substantive examination.
- Note 20.03 *Paragraph (1)(a)*, introductory words. The expression “mistake in the records of the Office” is to be interpreted in light of the definition of the term “records of the Office” under Article 1(x). Examples of mistakes which could be the subject of a request under paragraph (1) are mistakes in the bibliographic data or in details concerning a priority claim. It follows from the wording “which is correctable under the applicable law” that the question of which mistakes are correctable is not regulated by this Article.
- Note 20.04 *Paragraph (1)(b)*. This provision allows a Contracting Party to require that a replacement part (for example, a replacement page in the case of an application filed on paper), or a part incorporating the correction (for example, an errata sheet), be filed. In the case where the request applies to more than one application and/or registration, an Office may require that a separate replacement part, or part incorporating the correction, be filed for each application and registration, to facilitate the work of the Office.
- Note 20.05 *Paragraph (1)(c)*. This provision permits a Contracting Party to refuse a request for correction of a mistake where the requesting party is unable to file a declaration that the said mistake was made in good faith, for example, where the mistake was made with deceptive intention. It is a matter for the Contracting Party concerned to decide what constitutes good faith.
- Note 20.06 *Paragraph (1)(d)*. This provision permits a Contracting Party to refuse a request for correction of a mistake where there was undue or intentional delay in making the request after the discovery of the mistake. It is a matter for the Contracting Party concerned to decide what constitutes undue or intentional delay; for example, it may consider that there is undue delay where the request is not diligently made.
- Note 20.07 *Paragraph (4)*. This paragraph permits a Contracting Party to require evidence in the case of any request for correction where, for example, notwithstanding the declaration referred to in paragraph (1)(c), there is reasonable doubt as to whether the mistake was made in good faith, or where there is reasonable doubt as to whether the request was made without undue or intentional delay following the discovery of the mistake in accordance with paragraph (1)(d).

Article 21 Regulations

- (1) *[Content]* The Regulations annexed to this Treaty provide rules concerning:
- (i) *matters which this Treaty expressly provides to be prescribed in the Regulations;*
 - (ii) *any details useful in the implementation of the provisions of this Treaty;*
 - (iii) *any administrative requirements, matters or procedures.*
- (2) *[Amending the Regulations]* Subject to paragraph (3), any amendment of the Regulations shall require three-fourths of the votes cast.
- (3) *[Requirement of Unanimity]* (a) *The Regulations may specify provisions of the Regulations which may be amended only by unanimity.*
- (b) *Any amendment of the Regulations resulting in the addition of provisions to, or the deletion of provisions from, the provisions specified in the Regulations pursuant to subparagraph (a) shall require unanimity.*
- (c) *In determining whether unanimity is attained, only votes actually cast shall be taken into consideration. Abstentions shall not be considered as votes.*
- (4) *[Conflict Between the Treaty and the Regulations]* In the case of conflict between the provisions of this Treaty and those of the Regulations, the former shall prevail.

Article 22 Assembly

- (1) *[Composition]* (a) *The Contracting Parties shall have an Assembly.*
- (b) *Each Contracting Party shall be represented in the Assembly by one delegate, who may be assisted by alternate delegates, advisors and experts. Each delegate may represent only one Contracting Party.*
- (2) *[Tasks]* The Assembly shall
- (i) *deal with matters concerning the development of this Treaty;*
 - (ii) *amend the Regulations;*
 - (iii) *determine the conditions for the date of application of each amendment referred to in item (ii);*
 - (iv) *perform such other functions as are appropriate to implementing the provisions of this Treaty.*
- (3) *[Quorum]* (a) *One-half of the members of the Assembly which are States shall constitute a quorum.*

(b) Notwithstanding subparagraph (a), if, in any session, the number of the members of the Assembly which are States and are represented is less than one-half but equal to or more than one-third of the members of the Assembly which are States, the Assembly may make decisions but, with the exception of decisions concerning its own procedure, all such decisions shall take effect only if the conditions set forth hereinafter are fulfilled. The International Bureau shall communicate the said decisions to the members of the Assembly which are States and were not represented and shall invite them to express in writing their vote or abstention within a period of three months from the date of the communication. If, at the expiration of this period, the number of such members having thus expressed their vote or abstention attains the number of the members which was lacking for attaining the quorum in the session itself, such decisions shall take effect, provided that at the same time the required majority still obtains.

(4) [Taking Decisions in the Assembly] (a) The Assembly shall endeavor to take its decisions by consensus.

(b) Where a decision cannot be arrived at by consensus, the matter at issue shall be decided by voting. In such a case,

(i) each Contracting Party that is a State shall have one vote and shall vote only in its own name; and

(ii) any Contracting Party that is an intergovernmental organization may participate in the vote, in place of its Member States, with a number of votes equal to the number of its Member States which are party to this Treaty. No such intergovernmental organization shall participate in the vote if any one of its Member States exercises its right to vote and vice versa. In addition, no such intergovernmental organization shall participate in the vote if any one of its Member States party to this Treaty is a Member State of another such intergovernmental organization and that other intergovernmental organization participates in that vote.

(5) [Majorities] (a) Subject to Articles 21(2) and (3), the decisions of the Assembly shall require two-thirds of the votes cast.

(b) In determining whether the required majority is attained, only votes actually cast shall be taken into consideration. Abstentions shall not be considered as votes.

(6) [Sessions] The Assembly shall meet upon convocation by the Director General and, in the absence of exceptional circumstances, during the same period and at the same place as the General Assembly of the Organization.

(7) [Rules of Procedure] The Assembly shall establish its own rules of procedure, including rules for the convocation of extraordinary sessions.

Article 23 **International Bureau**

(1) [Administrative Tasks] (a) The International Bureau shall perform the administrative tasks concerning this Treaty.

(b) In particular, the International Bureau shall prepare the meetings and provide the secretariat of the Assembly and of such committees of experts and working groups as may be established by the Assembly.

(2) [Meetings Other than Sessions of the Assembly] The Director General shall convene any committee and working group established by the Assembly.

(3) *[Role of the International Bureau in the Assembly and Other Meetings]* (a) *The Director General and persons designated by the Director General shall participate, without the right to vote, in all meetings of the Assembly, the committees and working groups established by the Assembly.*

(b) *The Director General or a staff member designated by the Director General shall be ex officio secretary of the Assembly, and of the committees and working groups referred to in subparagraph (a).*

(4) *[Conferences]* (a) *The International Bureau shall, in accordance with the directions of the Assembly, make the preparations for any revision conferences.*

(b) *The International Bureau may consult with Member States of the Organization, intergovernmental organizations and international and national non-governmental organizations concerning the said preparations.*

(c) *The Director General and persons designated by the Director General shall take part, without the right to vote, in the discussions at revision conferences.*

(5) *[Other Tasks]* *The International Bureau shall carry out any other tasks assigned to it in relation to this Treaty.*

Article 24 **Revision ~~or~~ Amendment**

This Treaty may only be revised ~~or amended~~ by a diplomatic conference. The convocation of any diplomatic conference shall be decided by the Assembly.

Notes on Article 24

Note 24.01 In WIPO-administered treaties, the term “revision” is used in particular to designate substantive changes of provisions, whereas the term “amendment” refers to a change of administrative provisions, for example, provisions on the Assembly, International Bureau, etc. For a revision to take place, it is necessary to convene a diplomatic conference, whereas a decision by the Assembly is sufficient for an amendment.

Note 24.02 Since the draft final provisions contained in the present document do not include any amendment provision, the term “Amendment” has been deleted in this Article and in Article 1(xvii).

Article 25 **Becoming Party to the Treaty**

(1) *[Eligibility]* *The following entities may sign and, subject to paragraphs (2) and (3) and Article 26(1) and (3), become party to this Treaty:*

(i) *any State member of the Organization in respect of which industrial designs may be registered with its own Office;*

(ii) *any intergovernmental organization which maintains an Office in which industrial designs may be registered with effect in the territory in which the constituting treaty of the intergovernmental organization applies, in all its Member States or in those of its Member States which are designated for such purpose in the relevant application, provided that all the Member States of the intergovernmental organization are members of the Organization;*

(iii) *any State member of the Organization in respect of which industrial designs may be registered only through the Office of another specified State that is a member of the Organization;*

(iv) *any State member of the Organization in respect of which industrial designs may be registered only through the Office maintained by an intergovernmental organization of which that State is a member;*

(v) *any State member of the Organization in respect of which industrial designs may be registered only through an Office common to a group of States members of the Organization.*

(2) *[Ratification or Accession] Any entity referred to in paragraph (1) may deposit*

(i) *an instrument of ratification, if it has signed this Treaty,*

(ii) *an instrument of accession, if it has not signed this Treaty.*

(3) *[Effective Date of Deposit] The effective date of the deposit of an instrument of ratification or accession shall be,*

(i) *in the case of a State referred to in paragraph (1)(i), the date on which the instrument of that State is deposited;*

(ii) *in the case of an intergovernmental organization, the date on which the instrument of that intergovernmental organization is deposited;*

(iii) *in the case of a State referred to in paragraph (1)(iii), the date on which the following condition is fulfilled: the instrument of that State has been deposited and the instrument of the other, specified State has been deposited;*

(iv) *in the case of a State referred to in paragraph (1)(iv), the date applicable under item (ii), above;*

(v) *in the case of a State member of a group of States referred to in paragraph (1)(v), the date on which the instruments of all the States members of the group have been deposited.*

Article 26
Entry into Force;
Effective Date of Ratifications and Accessions

(1) *[Instruments to Be Taken into Consideration] For the purposes of this Article, only instruments of ratification or accession that are deposited by entities referred to in Article 25(1) and that have an effective date according to Article 25(3) shall be taken into consideration.*

(2) *[Entry into Force of the Treaty]* This Treaty shall enter into force three months after 10 States or intergovernmental organizations referred to in Article 25(1)(ii) have deposited their instruments of ratification or accession.

(3) *[Entry into Force of Ratifications and Accessions Subsequent to the Entry into Force of the Treaty]* Any entity not covered by paragraph (2) shall become bound by this Treaty three months after the date on which it has deposited its instrument of ratification or accession.

Article 27 **Reservations**

Article 28 **Denunciation of the Treaty**

(1) *[Notification]* Any Contracting Party may denounce this Treaty by notification addressed to the Director General.

(2) *[Effective Date]* Denunciation shall take effect one year from the date on which the Director General has received the notification. It shall not affect the application of this Treaty to any application pending or any industrial design registered in respect of the denouncing Contracting Party at the time of the expiration of the said one-year period, provided that the denouncing Contracting Party may, after the expiration of the said one-year period, discontinue applying this Treaty to any registration as from the date on which that registration is due for renewal.

Article 29 **Languages of the Treaty; Signature**

(1) *[Original Texts; Official Texts]* (a) This Treaty shall be signed in a single original in the English, Arabic, Chinese, French, Russian and Spanish languages, all texts being equally authentic.

(b) An official text in a language not referred to in subparagraph (a) that is an official language of a Contracting Party shall be established by the Director General after consultation with the said Contracting Party and any other interested Contracting Party.

(2) *[Time Limit for Signature]* This Treaty shall remain open for signature at the headquarters of the Organization for one year after its adoption.

Article 30 **Depositary**

The Director General shall be the depositary of this Treaty.

[End of Annex and of document]