

WIPO



SCIT/SDWG/10/10

ORIGINAL: English

DATE: November 5, 2008

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
GENEVA

STANDING COMMITTEE ON INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES

STANDARDS AND DOCUMENTATION WORKING GROUP

Tenth Session
Geneva, November 17 to 21, 2008

TASK LIST OF THE STANDARDS AND DOCUMENTATION WORKING GROUP

Document prepared by the Secretariat

1. At the eighth session of the Standing Committee on Information Technologies (SCIT), held in February 2004, the Secretariat reported on the work undertaken by the SCIT Standards and Documentation Working Group (SDWG) since its previous Plenary session. Subsequent to the said Plenary session and on the basis of the agreements reached by the SDWG at its fourth to ninth sessions, the Secretariat has prepared, for consideration by the SDWG, a new revised SDWG Task List, which is reproduced as Annex I to this document. For each task, the SDWG Task List includes the following sections: the relevance/scope of the task; the task leader if the task is active; where appropriate, proposed action(s) with the corresponding time frame(s); a section providing web page references and/or an e-mail address for contact and information; and the background and status of work of the task.
2. Annex II to this document contains the information concerning the tasks attributed to each active task force and the individuals representing SDWG Members participating in the task force deliberations.

3. *The SDWG is invited:*

(a) *to consider the Task List given in Annex I to this document and to agree on its final version for incorporation in the SCIT Work Program;*

(b) *to note the information on the active task forces and their membership contained in Annex II to this document.*

[Annexes follow]

ANNEX I

TASK LIST OF
THE STANDARDS AND DOCUMENTATION WORKING GROUP
(SDWG)

Task No. 15 Study the consequences of e-filing on the production of certified office copies with particular reference to those used for priority purposes

I. RELEVANCE/SCOPE OF TASK

Electronic filing and processing of patent applications, as well as other communications, are increasing. Task No. 15 will serve to clarify the provision and exchange in electronic form of priority documents in respect of national, regional and international applications.

II. TASK LEADER

The International Bureau is designated as the Task Leader.

III. PROPOSED ACTION WITH TIME FRAME

1. Elaborate standards and procedures relating to the provision (including certification) and exchange in electronic form of priority documents.
2. Task No. 15 is held in abeyance; see paragraph V.8, below.

IV. WEB PAGES AND CONTACT FOR INFORMATION

1. Reference and working documents related to Task No. 15 and the P-Docs Task Force, including the list of Task Force members, are available at:
<http://www.wipo.int/scit/en/taskfrce/index.htm>
Access to the discussions of the Task Force is restricted to its members only.
2. Inquiries and requests relating to Task No. 15 and the P-Docs Task Force should be addressed to *scit.mail@wipo.int*.

V. BACKGROUND AND STATUS OF WORK

1. Task was initiated by the Patent Office of the United Kingdom in 1993. Taking into account the interest by IPOs in the resolution of legal and technical issues related to the filing of applications in electronic form, the PCIPI Executive Coordination Committee decided, in June 1993, to create a Task and assign it to the Working Group on the Management of IP

Information. (See document PCIPI/EXEC/XII/9 and paragraphs 17 and 18 of document PCIPI/EXEC/XII/10.)

2. At the PCIPI/MI/XII meeting, in December 1993, the Working Group discussed the issue on the basis of a presentation made by the Delegation of the United States of America. The presentation focused on the trilateral project for the purpose of establishing procedures for the exchange of certified copies of priority applications. (See Project file PCIPI/P 985/93 and paragraphs 22 to 26 of document PCIPI/MI/XII/3.)
3. No further consideration of the subject matter took place at any PCIPI or SCIT meetings until the SDWG, at its first session in April 2001, proposed to hold Task No. 15 in abeyance. The SCIT Plenary, at its seventh session in June 2002, approved the said proposal.
4. The SDWG, at its fifth session in November 2004, approved the reactivation of Task No. 15 as outlined in document SCIT/SDWG/5/12. (See paragraph 104 of document SCIT/SDWG/5/13.)
5. Following the set-up of the corresponding electronic forum, the P-Docs Task Force started its discussions concerning Task No. 15 on the basis of an initial document proposed by the Task Leader on May 20, 2005. The Task Leader gave an oral progress report on the work undertaken by the Task Force at the sixth session of the SDWG in September 2005. (See paragraphs 41 to 44 of document SCIT/SDWG/6/11.)
6. At the seventh session of the SDWG, in May/June 2006, the Task Leader informed the SDWG that a proposal with respect to priority document exchange was being prepared by the International Bureau.
7. At the eighth session of the SDWG, in March 2007, the Secretariat informed on the establishment of the WIPO Digital Access Service for Priority Documents and the *ad hoc* Working Group on the Digital Access Service for Priority Documents (DAS Working Group). (See document A/42/14, and paragraphs 37 to 41 of document SCIT/SDWG/8/14.)
8. The SDWG, at its eighth session, also agreed to hold Task No. 15 in abeyance, subject to review in the light of progress in the development of the digital access service for priority documents.
9. At the ninth session of the SDWG, in February 2008, the Task Leader gave an oral report on the implementation of the DAS and the activities of the DAS Working Group. (See paragraphs 80 to 85 of document SCIT/SDWG/9/12.)

Task No. 17* Ongoing electronic data processing and exchange standards activities

I. RELEVANCE/SCOPE OF TASK

1. The SCIT Plenary has given high priority to the Task.
2. The SDWG, at its ninth session, in February 2008, agreed on keeping the current descriptions of Tasks Nos.17, 18 and 19 until the SCIT Plenary, or a future body that could replace it, was able to make the appropriate decisions with regard to the continuation, definition and scope of the Tasks, as well as to their allocation to other task forces different from the SCIT Electronic Data Processing and Exchange Standards (EDPES) Task Force. Meanwhile, the SDWG would continue creating the tasks and task forces that it would consider necessary for carrying out activities related to Tasks Nos. 17, 18 and 19.

II. TASK LEADER

The Secretariat is designated as the Task Leader.

III. PROPOSED ACTION WITH TIME FRAME

1. The EDPES Task Force is to review the work to be undertaken and provide a list of proposed activities in respect of each of the standards, as well as a corresponding time scale for such activities.
2. The SDWG, at its eighth session in March 2007, requested the EDPES Task Force to monitor and report on the changeover to the electronic carriers (see paragraph 73, Task No. 17, of document SCIT/SDWG/8/14).
3. At its eighth session, the SDWG also agreed that the European Patent Office (EPO) would report on discussions held within the Trilateral Offices concerning a proposal on media-independent packaging and transmission of patent information (see paragraph 73, Task No. 17, of document SCIT/SDWG/8/14).

IV. CONTACT FOR INFORMATION

Inquiries and requests relating to Task No. 17 and the EDPES Task Force should be addressed to *scit.mail@wipo.int*.

V. BACKGROUND AND STATUS OF WORK

1. Revision of Standards ST.8, ST.30, ST.31, ST.32, ST.33, ST.35, ST.36 and ST.40 was initiated by PCIPI/EXEC, in May and November 1997; at which time, work concerning this Task was carried out by a Task Force. (See project files PCIPI/P 35/97, PCIPI/P 39/97 and SCIT/P 2/98.)

2. Revisions of WIPO Standards ST.32, ST.33, ST.35 and ST.40 addressing changes required for Y2K purposes were completed. Further work remains to be done by the Task Force in accordance with other changes in IP and IT practice.
3. The seventh session of the SCIT Plenary, in June 2002, broadened the mandate of the Task Force for Tasks Nos. 17 and 19 to deal with all matters related to all current and future WIPO electronic data processing and exchange standards, in particular, Tasks Nos. 13, 17, 18 and 19. This Task Force is referred to as the SCIT Electronic Data Processing and Exchange Standards Task Force (EDPES Task Force). (See document SCIT/7/4 and paragraphs 22 to 24 of document SCIT/7/17.)
4. The SDWG, at its second session, in December 2002, agreed to change the name of the Task to its present title. (See paragraph 11 of document SCIT/SDWG/2/14.)
5. The Secretariat issued Circular SCIT 2568, dated February 18, 2003, inviting industrial property offices to review their participation in the EDPES Task Force. (See paragraphs 53 and 54 of document SCIT/SDWG/2/14.)
6. In April 2003, the Task Leader circulated, for review and comments by Task Force members, a document in which potential changes to WIPO electronic standards were presented. These potential changes were based on the deliberations of the WIPO Standards ST.6 and ST.8, and Patent Documentation Identification (PDI) Task Forces. (See paragraph 48 of document SCIT/SDWG/3/9.)
7. In January 2004, the Working Group requested the Secretariat to take various measures to encourage participation by the members of the EDPES Task Force (see paragraphs 89 to 93 and 99 of document SCIT/SDWG/4/14). These measures were taken.
8. The SDWG, at its fifth session in November 2004, approved the new WIPO Standard ST.36. (See paragraphs 83 to 100 of document SCIT/SDWG/5/13.)
9. The SDWG, at its sixth session in September 2005, adopted the insertion of subparagraph (d) in paragraph 13 of WIPO Standard ST.36. (See paragraphs 37 to 40 of document SCIT/SDWG/6/11, and document SCIT/SDWG/6/5.)

Note:

- * High priority task

Task No. 18* Identify areas for standardization relevant to the exchange of machine-readable data on the basis of projects envisaged by such bodies as the Trilateral Offices, ISO, IEC and other well-known IT standard-setting bodies

I. RELEVANCE/SCOPE OF TASK

1. Task will serve as a source for the timely identification of data exchange issues which require harmonization among IPOs.

2. The SDWG, at its ninth session, in February 2008, agreed on keeping the current descriptions of Tasks Nos.17, 18 and 19 until the SCIT Plenary, or a future body that could replace it, was able to make the appropriate decisions with regard to the continuation, definition and scope of the Tasks, as well as to their allocation to other task forces different from the SCIT Electronic Data Processing and Exchange Standards (EDPES) Task Force. Meanwhile, the SDWG would continue creating the tasks and task forces that it would consider necessary for carrying out activities related to Tasks Nos. 17, 18 and 19.

II. TASK LEADER

The Secretariat is designated as the Task Leader and will report to the SDWG as necessary on the findings of the Task Force.

III. PROPOSED ACTION WITH TIME FRAME

The SCIT Electronic Data Processing and Exchange Standards (EDPES) Task Force will identify new developments and propose possible projects to be undertaken within the SCIT.

IV. CONTACT FOR INFORMATION

Inquiries and requests relating to Task No. 18 and the EDPES Task Force should be addressed to *scit.mail@wipo.int*.

V. BACKGROUND AND STATUS OF WORK

1. Task was created by the PCIPI Executive Coordination Committee in December 1992 (see paragraphs 13 to 21 of document PCIPI/EXEC/XI/13) as a result of discussions relating to standardization efforts of the Trilateral Offices in view of machine-readable data.

2. Status reports were regularly given at meetings of the PCIPI Working Group on the Management of IP Information from 1993 to 1997, and at the SCIT Plenary in February 1999 (see Project file PCIPI/P 983/93, paragraph 24 of document SCIT/2/8 and presentation "[Trilateral Data Exchange Standards](#)"). The reports focused on development and usage of the MIMOSA software, Trilateral Data Exchange Standards, etc.

3. The seventh session of the SCIT Plenary, in June 2002, decided to allocate this Task to the ED PES Task Force. (See Task No. 17.)

4. For changes in WIPO Standard ST.3 based on the announcements published in ISO 3166-1 Newsletter, see Task No. 33/3.

Note:

* High priority task

Task No. 19 Elaborate a WIPO standard concerning making patent documents available on mixed-mode media

I. RELEVANCE/SCOPE OF TASK

1. When drafted in the early 1990s, WIPO Standard ST.40 “Recommendation Concerning Making Facsimile Images of Patent Documents Available on CD-ROM” reflected the then current practice of the major offices in respect of dissemination of patent information on CD-ROM. Facsimile images were commonplace. The use of mixed-mode, although proposed, was in its infancy. Since then the position has changed and the production of mixed-mode CD-ROMs, especially under the MIMOSA platform, is becoming commonplace. This current practice should therefore be reflected either in a new standard or in an update of WIPO Standard ST.40.

2. The SDWG, at its ninth session, in February 2008, agreed on keeping the current descriptions of Tasks Nos.17, 18 and 19 until the SCIT Plenary, or a future body that could replace it, was able to make the appropriate decisions with regard to the continuation, definition and scope of the Tasks, as well as to their allocation to other task forces different from the SCIT Electronic Data Processing and Exchange Standards (EDPES) Task Force. Meanwhile, the SDWG would continue creating the tasks and task forces that it would consider necessary for carrying out activities related to Tasks Nos. 17, 18 and 19.

II. TASK LEADER

The Secretariat is designated as the Task Leader.

III. PROPOSED ACTION WITH TIME FRAME

The SDWG has allocated this Task to the Task Force responsible for Task No. 17, i.e., the SCIT Electronic Data Processing and Exchange Standards Task Force (EDPES Task Force).

IV. CONTACT FOR INFORMATION

Inquiries and requests relating to Task No. 19 and the EDPES Task Force should be addressed to *scit.mail@wipo.int*.

V. BACKGROUND AND STATUS OF WORK

1. Standardization of mixed-mode CD-ROMs was initially included in the PCIPI Work Program in 1990. (See paragraph 57 of document PCIPI/EXEC/VII/7.)

2. The Task was assigned to the Working Group on Optical Storage. Due to the mixed-mode CD-ROM developments underway in the Trilateral Offices, the work is currently being held in abeyance. (For details, please refer to Project PCPI/P 936/90 and paragraphs 17 and 18 of document PCUPI/OS/V/2.)

3. No draft standard has so far been prepared and presented within PCUPI or SCIT. However, MIMOSA is accepted as a *de facto* standard within the IP community.

4. The SDWG, at its sixth session in September 2005, noted the announcement by the European Patent Office that version 5 of MIMOSA had been released with the addition of access to GTI V5 patent databases on many media, including CD-ROM, DVD, across a local area network or via the Internet. (See paragraph 70, Task No. 19, of document SCIT/SDWG/6/11.)

Task No. 20 Prepare, for adoption as a WIPO standard, a recommendation for the electronic management of the figurative elements of trademarks

I. RELEVANCE/SCOPE OF TASK

1. The initial proposal to develop a WIPO recommendation concerning the capturing of figurative elements of marks was made in view of the fact that, with the entry into force of the Madrid Protocol and the internationalization of trademark data exchange, standards are required to guarantee the exchange of highly standardized data of impeccable quality. (See paragraph 43 of document PCIPI/SEM/TM/91/12.)

2. The International Bureau is currently using a *de facto* standard for publication of quality images, including screen presentation, within WIPO internal IT systems, and in certain cooperative software implementation projects that WIPO has developed for some developing countries.

3. The SDWG, at its second session in December 2002, created a Task Force led by the Korean Intellectual Property Office (KIPO), for the revision of existing WIPO Standards relating to trademarks or, as necessary, for the creation of new standards. In 2003, the Trademark Standard Task Force began its work by conducting a survey with the aim of prioritizing its work regarding the revision or, if necessary, the creation of 13 standards for trademarks. The results of the survey showed that the two main priorities were a standard concerning the figurative elements of trademarks (Task No. 20) and a standard for the electronic external process and exchange of trademark data using XML (Extensible Markup Language) (see document SCIT/SDWG/4/4). The SDWG, at its fourth session in January 2004, endorsed the proposal to give the highest priority to the said two standards, and subsequently, reworded Task No. 20, and created Task No. 34. Regarding the remaining 11 standards included in the above-mentioned survey, the SDWG decided to place work on hold until such time as the standard concerning the figurative elements of trademarks and the XML standard for trademarks were completed (see paragraphs 34 to 44 of document SCIT/SDWG/4/14). The SDWG, at its eighth session in March 2007, adopted the new WIPO Standard ST.66, which recommends XML resources for trademark information, and considered Task No. 34 to be completed (see paragraphs 53 to 57 of document SCIT/SDWG/8/14).

4. The SDWG, at its ninth session in February 2008, agreed that the Task Force should continue the preparation of a new standard on the electronic management of the figurative elements of trademarks only. Once the new standard was adopted, its title would be “WIPO Standard ST.67 – Recommendations for the electronic management of the figurative elements of trademarks.” Then, after reaching agreement on the new WIPO standard for trademarks, the standard would be expanded to patents and industrial designs, and the title of the standard revised accordingly. (See paragraphs 59 and 61 of document SCIT/SDWG/9/12.)

II. TASK LEADER

The Task Leader is the Leader of the Trademark Standards Task Force, i.e., the Korean Intellectual Property Office (KIPO).

III. PROPOSED ACTION WITH TIME FRAME

The Task Force should present a revised proposal on the new WIPO Standard ST.67 at the tenth session of the SDWG in November 2008; see paragraph V.10, below.

IV. WEB PAGES AND CONTACT FOR INFORMATION

1. Reference and working documents related to Task No. 20 and the Trademark Standards Task Force, including the list of Task Force members, are available at:

<http://www.wipo.int/scit/en/taskfrce/>

Access to the discussions of the Task Force is restricted to its members only.

2. Inquiries and requests relating to Task No. 20 and the Trademark Standards Task Force should be addressed to *scit.mail@wipo.int*.

V. BACKGROUND AND STATUS OF WORK

1. Elaboration of a WIPO recommendation on this topic was suggested by the PCIPI Working Group on the Management of IP information and approved by the PCIPI Executive Coordination Committee, in December 1991. (See documents PCIPI/MI/VIII/2 and VIII/3 and paragraphs 29 to 31 of document PCIPI/EXEC/IX/9.)

2. A questionnaire was developed and circulated to IP offices for completion along with circular SCIT 2541, dated July 31, 2001. The collated results of the questionnaire were published in document SCIT/SDWG/2/9 and presented at the second session of the SDWG in December 2002. (See paragraphs 46 to 48 of document SCIT/SDWG/2/14.) The analysis of these results was presented by the Secretariat and considered by the SDWG at its third session in May 2003. (See document SCIT/SDWG/3/5, and paragraphs 38 to 43 of SCIT/SDWG/3/9.)

3. The SDWG, at its third session, agreed to subsume Task No. 20 within the mandate of the Trademark Standards Task Force, which took into account this new Task in the project brief that was reproduced as Annex I to document SCIT/SDWG/4/4. (See Task No. 34, section V (Background and Status of Work), first and second paragraphs.)

4. The SDWG, at its fourth session, in January 2004, agreed to reword the title of Task No. 20 as given above. The SDWG also agreed that the eventual results of the work on Task No. 20 be considered, at a later date, for their application to industrial designs. (See paragraph I.4, above, and paragraph 38 of document SCIT/SDWG/4/14.)

5. The Trademark Standards Task Force informed the SDWG, at its fifth session in November 2004, that an additional survey concerning Task No. 20 would be conducted in 2005. (See paragraphs 34 to 38 of document SCIT/SDWG/4/14, and 33 of SCIT/SDWG/5/13.)

6. The International Bureau issued Circular SCIT 2617, dated August 18, 2005, which invited industrial property offices to complete a new questionnaire concerning Task No. 20

(Questionnaire concerning Formats for Figurative Elements of Marks currently in use by Industrial Property Offices). The said questionnaire had been prepared by the Trademark Standards Task Force.

7. At the sixth session of the SDWG in September 2005, the Task Force Leader gave an oral report on the status of the Task. (See paragraph 68 of document SCIT/SDWG/6/11.)

8. The SDWG, at its seventh session, in May/June 2006, noted the progress report by the Task Force Leader and the work done by the Task Force on the survey concerning formats for figurative elements of marks currently in use by IPOs (questionnaire distributed along with Circular SCIT 2617). The SDWG agreed that the Task Force should continue to analyze the results of the survey concerning formats for figurative elements of marks currently in use by IPOs, and to prepare the recommendations referred to in Task No. 20. The Task Force should present the outcome of its discussions at the next meeting of the SDWG. (See paragraphs 40 to 46 of document SCIT/SDWG/7/9.)

9. The SDWG, at its eighth session in March 2007, invited the Trademark Standards Task Force to review the proposal for the new WIPO standard to include images, photographs and drawings related to patent documents and industrial designs within the scope of the Task. (See paragraphs I.4 and V.4, above, and paragraph 73, Task No. 20, of document SCIT/SDWG/8/14.)

10. The SDWG, at its ninth session, considered a proposal made by the Task Force for a new WIPO standard on the electronic management of the figurative elements of trademarks. The SDWG requested the Task Force to further consider some technical details. In particular, the Task Force should discuss paragraphs 5 to 7 of the main body of the new standard, including the recommendations for the maximum resolution, and add references to Appendices A (digital image formats), B (color management) and C (online publication), even if the Appendices were not yet completed. The Task Force should present a revised proposal at the next session of the SDWG. (See paragraphs 56 to 61 of document SCIT/SDWG/9/12, and document SCIT/SDWG/9/8.)

Task No. 23 Monitor the inclusion, in databases, of information about entry, and, where applicable, the non-entry into the national (regional) phase of published PCT international applications

I. RELEVANCE/SCOPE OF TASK

Task is of an informational nature.

II. TASK LEADER

The Secretariat is designated as the Task Leader.

III. PROPOSED ACTION WITH TIME FRAME

1. The SDWG decided to continue monitoring further developments in the searchability of data on PCT applications.

2. The Secretariat is requested to report at meetings of the SDWG every second year on the progress of the Task. (See paragraph 57 of document SCIT/SDWG/2/14.)

IV. WEB PAGES AND CONTACT FOR INFORMATION

1. Access to PCT national (regional) information of PCT international applications is available through PatentScope Search Service (<http://www.wipo.int/pctdb>) and the PRS database (<http://www.european-patent-office.org/inpadoc>).

2. Inquiries and requests relating to Task No. 23 should be addressed to scit.mail@wipo.int.

V. BACKGROUND AND STATUS OF WORK

1. Task is based on a project undertaken by the former PCIPI aimed at making information on the status of PCT international applications searchable for the IP user community. In November 1995, the PCIPI Executive Coordination Committee agreed to the collection of data in order to supplement the existing EPIDOS (European Patent Information and Documentation Systems) Patent Register Service (PRS) with information regarding the entry, and, where available, the non-entry into the national (regional) phase of PCT international applications. This task is carried out by the EPO (EPIDOS). (See paragraphs 50 to 54 of document PCIPI/EXEC/XVII/7.)

2. The Task has been progressing since November 1996. (See paragraphs 83 to 87 of document PCIPI/EXEC/XIX/7.)

3. Background information is provided in project files PCIPI/P 993/94, PCIPI/P 993/94 Rev.1 and PCIPI/P 25; and in documents PCIPI/EXEC/XIX/5, PCIPI/EXEC/XX/8, SCIT/WG/2/8, SCIT/6/5, SCIT/SDWG/2/11, SCIT/SDWG/6/6 and SCIT/SDWG/8/9.

4. The SDWG, at its sixth session in September 2005, noted the intention of WIPO and the EPO to work together and to share data concerning the entry, and non-entry, into the national (regional) phase of published PCT international applications, as well as to seek to establish a common data structure for the collection and exchange of those data. (See paragraphs 51 to 57 of document SCIT/SDWG/6/11.)

5. The SDWG, at its eighth session in March 2007, agreed to the renaming of Task No. 23 as given above. The SDWG noted the information provided by the EPO and the International Bureau in their progress reports on the Task, in particular, information regarding non-entry of PCT applications into the national (regional) phase. (See paragraphs 46 to 48 of document SCIT/SDWG/8/14.)

Task No. 24** Collect and publish Annual Technical Reports (ATRs) on Patent, Trademark and Industrial Design Information Activities of the SCIT Members (ATR/PI, ATR/TM, ATR/ID)

I. RELEVANCE/SCOPE OF TASK

Information contained in the ATRs is available to all IPOs and the public. It is used for various information purposes, including awareness building, training and teaching.

II. TASK LEADER

The Secretariat is designated as the Task Leader.

III. PROPOSED ACTION WITH TIME FRAME

1. Task is of a continuous nature.
2. The Secretariat will present a progress report, for consideration by the SDWG, at its tenth session in November 2008.
3. The ATRs Task Force will present a report to the SDWG after the two-year period referred to in paragraph 32 of SCIT/SDWG/8/14, and subsequently to the SCIT Plenary.

IV. WEB PAGES AND CONTACT FOR INFORMATION

1. Reference and working documents related to Task No. 24 and the ATRs Task Force, including the list of Task Force members, are available at:
<http://www.wipo.int/scit/en/taskfrce/>
Access to the discussions of the Task Force is restricted to its members only.
2. The ATRs web page is available at: *<http://www.wipo.int/scit/en/atrs>*.
3. Inquiries and requests relating to Task No. 24 and the ATRs Task Force should be addressed to *atr.mail@wipo.int*.

V. BACKGROUND AND STATUS OF WORK

1. On the basis of decisions by the former Permanent Committee on Patent Information (PCPI) and PCPI/EXEC in 1978, 1990 and 1996, the Secretariat collected information of IPOs on their information activities in the patent, trademark and industrial design areas, on an annual basis, and prepared the publication of the ATRs on WIPO's website. (See paragraphs 45, 18 and 77 of documents PCPI/II/3, PCPI/EXEC/VII/7 and PCPI/EXEC/XIX/7, respectively.)

2. Paper collections of ATRs have been published by the Secretariat for some 25 years for patents, 14 years for trademarks and eight years for industrial designs.
3. In 2001 and 2002, the Secretariat collected this information by means of an electronic report form in order to reduce the workload for IPOs and WIPO in the establishing and processing of the ATRs.
4. A test exercise of a new ATR Management System was carried out in November 2002. The new ATR Management System was launched in July 2003.
5. The collections of ATRs since 1998, the Recommended Contents of the ATRs and the Guidelines for preparing them using the ATR Management System are available on the ATR web page (see paragraph IV.2, above).
6. IPOs were invited to submit their 2005 ATRs online using the ATR Management System through Circulars SCIT 2625, 2626 and 2627, dated April 28, 2006.
7. The SDWG, at its sixth session in September 2005, discussed the status of the ATRs activity. The SDWG agreed to create the ATRs Task Force which should clarify the objectives of the ATRs and the target users (including industrial property information providers and users). Once this has been achieved the Task Force should prepare a proposal to revise and update the current recommended contents of the ATRs. (See paragraphs 58 to 62 of document SCIT/SDWG/6/11, and document SCIT/SDWG/6/7.)
8. The Secretariat presented an oral progress report on the work carried out by the ATRs Task Force at the seventh session of the SDWG in May/June 2006. (See paragraphs 26 to 31 of document SCIT/SDWG/7/9.)
9. The Task Force carried out a web survey in order to clarify target users and their requirements, as well as the objectives, of the ATRs. The results of the survey, along with a summary and analysis, were considered by the SDWG at its eighth session in March 2007. The SDWG approved the conclusions proposed by the ATRs Task Force, including the definitions of the main objectives and target users, as well as a revised version of the Recommended Contents of the ATRs. The SDWG requested the Task Force to discuss and prepare a proposal to add particular items to the Recommended Contents of the ATRs. The SDWG requested the Secretariat to improve the visibility and access to the ATRs, and agreed to continue the ATRs exercise for a further two years. The SDWG also requested the ATRs Task Force to present a report to the SDWG at the end of the said two-year period, and subsequently to the SCIT Plenary. The SDWG also agreed to recommend to the SCIT Plenary the inclusion of the ATRs in the SCIT strategic plan. (See paragraphs 26 to 32 of document SCIT/SDWG/8/14.)
10. The SDWG, at its ninth session in February 2008, noted the information provided by the Secretariat about the progress made with regard to Task No. 24. The SDWG approved the revised Recommended Contents of ATRs. (See paragraphs 62 to 70 of document SCIT/SDWG/9/12, and document SCIT/SDWG/9/9.)

Note:

** Task to be carried out by the Secretariat and to be reported on to the SDWG.

Task No. 26** Report on activities of WIPO in respect of

- (a) updating the *Handbook on Industrial Property Information Documentation*
- (b) the collection and publication of Industrial Property Statistics
- (c) the List of Periodicals Established under PCT Rule 34.1(b)(iii)
- (d) WIPO publications on electronic media containing IP information**

I. RELEVANCE/SCOPE OF TASK

Activity reporting covers work undertaken by the Secretariat and relating to all of the above-mentioned areas or projects. An example of an activity report is reproduced in document PCIP/EXEC/22/3. Through activity 26(e), information is being disseminated on the development or publication of WIPO CD-ROM products containing IP information, such as the WIPO Handbook, IPC:CLASS, IP Statistics and IPLEX CD-ROMs.

II. TASK LEADER

The Secretariat is designated as the Task Leader.

III. PROPOSED ACTION WITH TIME FRAME

1. The Secretariat will report to the SDWG once a year on activities listed under (a) to (e), above.
2. The International Bureau will report on the progress made regarding the WIPO Handbook at the tenth session of the SDWG in November 2008.
3. The International Bureau will present the results of the survey referred to in paragraph V.8, below, at the tenth session of the SDWG.

IV. WEB PAGES AND CONTACT FOR INFORMATION

1. Reference and working documents related to Task No. 26 and the Renewal of the WIPO Handbook Task Force, including the list of Task Force members, are available at:
<http://www.wipo.int/scit/en/taskfrce/>
Access to the discussions of the Task Force is restricted to its members only.
2. Inquiries and requests relating to Task No. 26 and the Renewal of the WIPO Handbook Task Force should be addressed to scit.mail@wipo.int.

V. BACKGROUND AND STATUS OF WORK

1. Monitoring of and reporting on WIPO publications on electronic media relating to IP information and documentation was done through written reports to the PCIPI Executive Coordination Committee, the last one being presented in May 1998, and oral reports to the SCIT Plenary. (See paragraph 41 of document SCIT/2/8.)
2. All the languages versions (English, French and Spanish) of the WIPO *Handbook on Industrial Property Information and Documentation*, as well as WIPO Standards in Russian, are available on a single CD-ROM (WIPO Handbook CD-ROM); the last version thereof was distributed in December 2003. WIPO Standards and certain parts of the WIPO Handbook are also available on WIPO's website (<http://www.wipo.int/standards/en/>).
3. The SDWG, at its fourth session in January 2004, agreed to the proposal by the Secretariat to create the Renewal of the WIPO Handbook Task Force for the discussion and preparation of a proposal to renew the contents of the WIPO Handbook and its publication and maintenance procedures as described in document SCIT/SDWG/4/5; the SDWG further agreed that issues relating to publication and archiving be addressed by this Task Force. (See paragraphs 45 to 51 of document SCIT/SDWG/4/14.)
4. The SDWG, at its fifth session in November 2004, adopted new contents and structure of the WIPO Handbook. (See paragraphs 35 to 43 of document SCIT/SDWG/5/13.)
5. Two revised versions of the List of Periodicals of the PCT Minimum Documentation were published on January 20 and June 14, 2005. The last revision of the said List of Periodicals was agreed at the Meeting of International Authorities under the PCT (PCT/MIA) held in February 2005.
6. The SDWG, at its sixth session in September 2005, approved the proposal by the Renewal of the WIPO Handbook Task Force concerning a new publication and maintenance platform of the WIPO Handbook that would be based on database and Internet technologies. (See paragraphs 20 to 30 of document SCIT/SDWG/6/11, and document SCIT/SDWG/6/3.)
7. At the eighth session of the SDWG in March 2007, the International Bureau presented the new web page of the WIPO Handbook in English, according to the new contents and guidelines adopted by the SDWG at its fifth session. The SDWG approved the editorial changes to the WIPO Handbook referred to in paragraph 5 of document SCIT/SDWG/8/10. (See paragraphs 50 and 51 of document SCIT/SDWG/8/14.)
8. The SDWG, at its eighth session, requested the International Bureau to undertake a survey of the practices regarding certain codes used by individual offices for internal purposes, but whose existence may be of interest to the industrial property community (see paragraph 36 of document SCIT/SDWG/8/14). The International Bureau issued Circular SCIT 2644, dated November 15, 2007, which invited industrial property offices to provide information on the codes regarding patents, trademarks and industrial designs that should be included in the survey.

9. The SDWG, at its eighth session, noted the results of the 2006 Survey on Design of Industrial Property Offices' websites that had been prepared by the Patent Documentation Group (PDG). For the time being, the SDWG did not consider it necessary to revise the "Recommended Minimum Contents for Intellectual Property Offices' Websites", which is published in the WIPO Handbook. (See paragraphs 42 to 44 of document SCIT/SDWG/8/14.)

10. At the ninth session of the SDWG, in February 2008, the International Bureau informed of the completion of the WIPO Handbook contents in English, French and Spanish available at WIPO's website according to the contents, structure and guidelines adopted by the SDWG at its fifth session. (See paragraphs 71 to 74 of document SDWG/9/12.)

11. The International Bureau informed the SDWG at its ninth session that the Journal of Patent Associated Literature (JOPAL) project had been discontinued as from March 2008. Consequently, the International Bureau has removed the former item (c), i.e., the Journal of Patent Associated Literature (JOPAL), from the list given in the definition of Task No. 26. (See paragraphs 86 to 91 of document SCIT/SDWG/9/12.)

Note:

** Task to be carried out by the Secretariat and to be reported on to the SDWG.

Task No. 30 Revision of WIPO Standard ST.10/C

I. RELEVANCE/SCOPE OF TASK

In order to improve the quality of patent family data and to avoid confusion in the presentation of priority application numbers, the Task Force considers in particular the need to:

- (a) Revise and update the Appendix to WIPO Standard ST.10/C.

The following revisions and updates of the Appendix to WIPO Standard ST.10/C should be considered:

- (i) a revision and update to cover all the member States of the Paris Convention;
- (ii) a revision and update to include the presentation of application numbers of both patents and utility models in the examples;
- (iii) a revision and update to include, in the examples, the presentation of application numbers assigned by receiving regional offices of a particular country in those cases where there is no uniform system established for assigning application numbers among the different receiving regional offices.

- (b) Revise the recommendation set out in WIPO Standard ST.10/C.

The following two recommendations should be considered to be added to the said Standard:

- (i) a recommendation to industrial property offices to comply with the Standard when presenting the application numbers of a patent document in the notification of the first filing and in the certificate of priority;
- (ii) a recommendation to industrial property offices to encourage and facilitate compliance, by applicants, with the Standard when providing the priority application number in subsequent filings.
- (c) In order to establish an ideal unified format for priority application numbers, during the second phase, that would be in harmony with recommended application numbers set out in WIPO Standard ST.13, the Task Force also considers the need to revise the recommendation set out in WIPO Standard ST.13.

The following two revisions should be considered:

- (i) a revision to facilitate industrial property offices' compliance with the Standard, taking into account requirements of industrial property offices such as amending of the total number of alphanumeric characters;

(ii) a revision to assure a more standardized presentation of application numbers.

II. TASK LEADER

The Japan Patent Office (JPO) is designated as the Task leader.

III. PROPOSED ACTION WITH TIME FRAME

1. The Task Force will present, in the second phase (see paragraph V.5, below), a proposal on a unified format for priority application numbers for consideration by the SDWG (see paragraphs 31 to 38 of document SCIT/SDWG/2/14). In addition, the Task Force intends to present, for consideration by the SDWG, a proposal on an appropriate format for application numbers that will be used as part of priority application numbers (see paragraph 26 of document SCIT/SDWG/5/13).

2. In accordance with the actions approved by the SDWG at its ninth session, the ST.10/C Task Force will present a proposal on the revision of WIPO Standard ST.10/C for consideration and approval by the SDWG at its tenth session. (See paragraph 21 of document SCIT/SDWG/9/12.)

IV. WEB PAGES AND CONTACT FOR INFORMATION

1. Reference and working documents related to Task No. 30 and the ST.10/C Task Force, including the list of Task Force members, are available at:

<http://www.wipo.int/scit/en/taskfrce/>

Access to the discussions of the Task Force is restricted to its members only.

2. Inquiries and requests relating to Task No. 30 and the ST.10/C Task Force should be addressed to scit.mail@wipo.int.

V. BACKGROUND AND STATUS OF WORK

1. The necessity of revising WIPO Standard ST.10/C was proposed by the Japan Patent Office (JPO) at the Trilateral Working Group Meeting held in Washington, DC, in April 2001. This proposal was supported by both the EPO and the USPTO.

2. The proposal was made and supported at the first SDWG meeting in May 2001. (See paragraphs 34 and 35 of document SCIT/SDWG/1/9.)

3. The JPO submitted a project brief entitled "Project Brief of the Revision of ST.10/C" to the Secretariat on July 2, 2001. (See Annex to document SCIT/7/5.)

4. Task was created by the SCIT Plenary at its seventh session in June 2002. It was also agreed that a Task Force would be set up to discuss this issue. (See paragraphs 25 to 27 of document SCIT/7/17.)

5. At the second session of the SDWG in December 2002, it was agreed that the Task Force would implement a two-phase process; (i) the first phase consisting of a moderate and pragmatic solution; and (ii) a second phase with a standardized format solution. (See paragraphs 35 to 38 of document SCIT/SDWG/2/14.)

6. The International Bureau issued Circulars SCIT 2580 and 2581, dated April 4, 2003, which invited industrial property offices to provide updated information to the Appendix to WIPO Standard ST.10/C. The circulars were based on a letter and questionnaires prepared by the Task Force. The updated Appendix and the revised version of the Standard that was adopted by the SDWG on May 8, 2003 (see Task No. 31), were published in the 2003 WIPO *Handbook on Industrial Property Information and Documentation* CD-ROM and in the SCIT area of WIPO's website.

7. The Task Force presented a report on the work carried out at the third session of the SDWG in May 2003. (See paragraphs 16 to 19 of document SCIT/SDWG/3/9, and document SCIT/SDWG/3/2.)

8. On October 10, 2003, the Japan Patent Office, as Task Leader, submitted a proposal for the revision of WIPO Standard ST.10/C. The SDWG, at its fourth session in January 2004, adopted the said proposal and considered the first phase of the process for the revision of the Standard as completed. (See paragraphs 20 to 30 of document SCIT/SDWG/4/14, and document SCIT/SDWG/4/3.)

9. At the fourth session of the SDWG, it was suggested that the Task Force consider the impact of the revision of WIPO Standard ST.10/C on WIPO Standard ST.13 during the second phase in order to avoid inconsistent recommendations between these Standards.

10. At the Task Force meeting held during the fourth session of the SDWG, it was proposed to examine an appropriate numbering system for applications along with the second phase of the WIPO Standard ST.10/C revision and to include the revision of WIPO Standard ST.13 in the scope of Task No. 30. (See conclusion part of "Minutes of ST.10/C Task Force Meeting on January 28, 2004".)

11. The SDWG, at its fifth session, in November 2004, on the basis of a proposal presented by the Task Force, adopted a revision of WIPO Standard ST.10/C. (See paragraphs 27 to 31 of document SCIT/SDWG/5/13.)

12. The SDWG, at its fifth session, also approved a proposal by the Task Force to revise the description of Task No. 30. The new description included the revision of WIPO Standard ST.13 in the scope of the Task and a revised time frame for the completion of the work of the Task Force. (See paragraph 26 of document SCIT/SDWG/5/13.)

13. The SDWG, at its sixth session, in September 2005, considered a draft proposal, for the revision of WIPO Standard ST.13, on an application number prototype format that could be used for all modalities of industrial property rights. The SDWG accepted the offer from the Representative of the PDG to serve as a "user group" to add comments from the European commercial sector. (See paragraphs 12 to 18 of document SCIT/SDWG/6/11, and document SCIT/SDWG/6/2.)

14. The SDWG, at its fifth and sixth sessions, agreed that a survey of IPOs would be undertaken by the International Bureau to determine their compliance with paragraph 12(a) of WIPO Standard ST.10/C. As a follow-up to this agreement, the SDWG, at its seventh (May/June 2006) and eighth (March 2007) sessions, considered a first and second version of the survey, which had been prepared by the International Bureau on the basis of the replies to Circulars SCIT 2619, dated January 31, 2006, and SCIT 2631, dated November 15, 2006. The SDWG, at the eighth session, requested the International Bureau to publish the survey in the WIPO Handbook. (See paragraphs 91 and 101 of document SCIT/SDWG/5/13; 19 and 70 of SCIT/SDWG/6/11; 17 to 20 of SDWG/7/9; and 19 and 20 of SCIT/SDWG/8/14.)
15. The SDWG, at its seventh and eighth sessions, reviewed the proposal for the revision of WIPO Standard ST.13. The Working Group agreed on a group of items proposed by the Task Force and requested the Task Force to further discuss other items for their consideration at the following session. (See paragraphs 14 to 16 of document SCIT/SDWG/7/9, and 12 to 18 of SCIT/SDWG/8/14.)
16. The SDWG, at its ninth session, in February 2008, on the basis of a proposal presented by the Task Force, adopted a revision of WIPO Standard ST.13. The SDWG requested the International Bureau to maintain, in the WIPO Handbook, a list of industrial property right type codes, internal use codes (to be included in the nine-digit serial number) and control number (check digit) which are unique with regard to the application numbers used by an individual industrial property office. (See paragraphs 14 to 19 of document SCIT/SDWG/9/12.)

Task No. 32 Establishment of an inventory of electronic data products produced by intellectual property offices for the purpose of disseminating their intellectual property information

I. RELEVANCE/SCOPE OF TASK

This Task shall address the need to obtain coherent and up-to-date information concerning the way IPOs disseminate their IP information. To have faster and easier access to information concerning IPO policies for dissemination of IP data is a matter of common interest for both IPOs and third parties.

II. TASK LEADER

The State Office for Inventions and Trademarks of Romania is designated as the Task Leader.

III. PROPOSED ACTION WITH TIME FRAME

1. The SDWG, at its fourth session, agreed that the Secretariat should keep the Working Group informed of any future discussions between the Office for Inventions and Trademarks of Romania and WIPO regarding the electronic inventory system.
2. Task No. 32 is held in abeyance; see paragraph IV.9, below.

IV. BACKGROUND AND STATUS OF WORK

1. The SDWG, at its first session, in May 2001, agreed, during discussions concerning Task No. 7, to provide a project brief aimed at initiating a new task and to establish the inventory referred to above. On June 29, 2001, the State Office for Inventions and Trademarks of Romania submitted to the Secretariat a project brief for the creation of the new task, which had been prepared in consultation with other IPOs, for the consideration by the SCIT Plenary. (See paragraph 14 of document SCIT/SDWG/1/9, and document SCIT/7/8.)
2. Task was approved, in June 2002, by the SCIT Plenary, which also established a Task Force to handle this Task. (See paragraphs 34 to 36 of document SCIT/7/17.)
3. The Task Leader reported on the outcome of deliberations of the Task Force on this subject, and presented a prototype E-Product Inventory System at the second session of the SDWG in December 2002. (See paragraphs 42 to 45 of document SCIT/SDWG/2/14, and document SCIT/SDWG/2/8.)

4. At the third session of the SDWG in May 2003, the Task Leader reported on the work carried out and on a pre-production trial of the E-Product Inventory System hosted by the website of the Office for Inventions and Trademarks of Romania. As a result of the discussions, it was decided that the State Office for Inventions and Trademarks of Romania and the Secretariat would begin bilateral discussions on the possible transfer of the inventory database to a WIPONET platform. (See paragraphs 31 to 37 of document SCIT/SDWG/3/9.)
5. At the fourth session of the SDWG, in January 2004, the Delegation of Romania informed that its Office had sent a letter to the IB informing of its agreement regarding its participation in a group of experts for the transfer and implementation of the E-Product Inventory System. (See paragraph 99 of document SCIT/SDWG/4/14.)
6. Task No. 32 was considered completed with regard to the preparation of the prototype of the E-Product Inventory System at the third session of the SDWG in May 2003. (See paragraphs 37 of document SCIT/SDWG/3/9, and 101 of SCIT/SDWG/5/13.)
7. At the sixth session of the SDWG, in September 2005, the Secretariat undertook to review the status of the Task and report back to the SDWG at its following session. (See paragraph 70 of document SCIT/SDWG/6/11.)
8. At the seventh session of the SDWG, in May/June 2006, the Secretariat reported on the discussion of the Task with the State Office for Inventions and Trademarks of Romania. The SDWG agreed with a joint proposal made by the Secretariat and the State Office for Inventions and Trademarks of Romania to monitor the development of Task No. 24, which had a certain similarity to Task No. 32, and to consider possible ways of continuing Task No. 32 at the eighth session of the SDWG when the progress in elaboration of Task No. 24 would be known. The work on Task No. 32 could be resumed in the 2008-09 biennium if necessary resources were available. (See paragraph 54, Task No. 32, of document SCIT/SDWG/7/9.)
9. The SDWG, at its eighth session in March 2007, agreed to hold Task No. 32 in abeyance, subject to review in the light of any progress made regarding this Task within the International Bureau.
10. The SDWG, at its ninth session in February 2008, agreed that Task No. 32 be closed and removed from the SDWG Task List.

Consequently, this will be the last occurrence of Task No. 32 on the SDWG Task List.

Task No. 33 Ongoing revision of non-electronic WIPO Standards

I. RELEVANCE/SCOPE OF TASK

The SCIT Plenary, at its seventh session, decided, as a means of expediting the standards revision process, that requests for the revision of standards could be passed directly to the Task Leader, or to the SDWG. The revision of non-electronic standards is considered an ongoing task.

II. TASK LEADER

The Secretariat is designated as the Task Leader. The SDWG will further consider the appointment of Task Leaders upon specific requests for the revision of standards.

III. PROPOSED ACTION WITH TIME FRAME

Where a specific request to revise a particular standard is passed directly to the Task Leader, and where possible, work would start immediately; otherwise, the Task Leader would refer the request to the next session of the SDWG.

IV. BACKGROUND AND STATUS OF WORK

1. Task was created by the SCIT Plenary, at its seventh session, in June 2002. (See paragraphs 69 to 72 of document SCIT/7/17.)
2. See Task No. 33/3 for revisions concerning WIPO Standard ST.3.
3. At the second session of the SDWG, in December 2002, the Korean Intellectual Property Office (KIPO) made a proposal to revise existing, or as necessary, create new WIPO Standards relating to trademarks. The SDWG agreed to establish a Trademark Standards Task Force with the mandate to prepare a project brief on the said proposal; KIPO was designated as Task Force Leader (see document SCIT/SDWG/2/4, and paragraphs 20 to 25 of document SCIT/SDWG/2/14). At its third session, in May 2003, the SDWG also agreed to subsume Task No. 20 within the mandate of the Trademark Standards Task Force (see paragraph 43 of document SCIT/SDWG/3/9). At its fourth session, in January 2004, the SDWG reworded Task No. 20 and created Task No. 34 (see paragraphs 34 to 44 of document SCIT/SDWG/4/14, and document SCIT/SDWG/4/4).
4. On the basis of the initiatives presented by the Patent Documentation Group (PDG) at the fourth session of the SDWG, held in January 2004, the SDWG created Task No. 35 concerning the implementation of WIPO Standard ST.50, and Task No. 36 concerning the harmonization and identification of specific parts of patent documents. (See paragraphs 71 and 72 of document SCIT/SDWG/4/14.)

5. The SDWG, at its second session in December 2002, created Task No. 33/1 regarding the revision of WIPO Standard ST.80. At its fourth session in January 2004, the SDWG adopted the revision of WIPO Standard ST.80 and, as a consequence of this, a revision of WIPO Standard ST.9. Task No. 33/1 was considered completed at the fourth session of the SDWG. (See paragraphs 16 to 19 of document SCIT/SDWG/2/14, paragraphs 10 to 15 of SCIT/SDWG/3/9, and paragraphs 15 to 19 of SCIT/SDWG/4/14.)

6. The SDWG, at its fifth session in November 2004, create Task No. 33/2 regarding the revision of WIPO Standard ST.60. At its sixth session in September 2005, the SDWG adopted the revision of WIPO Standard ST.60, and considered Task No. 33/2 as completed. (See paragraphs 11 to 20 of document of SCIT/SDWG/5/13, and paragraph 63 to 67 and 70 of SCIT/SDWG/6/11.)

7. The SDWG, at its ninth session in February 2008, on the basis of a proposal presented by the European Patent Office, adopted a revision of WIPO Standard ST.9. (See paragraphs 36 to 39, and 75 of document SCIT/SDWG/9/12.)

Task No. 33/3 Ongoing revision of WIPO Standard ST.3

I. RELEVANCE/SCOPE OF TASK

1. In order to establish a more efficient procedure for the approval of changes in country names and two-letter codes approved by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO), the International Bureau was entrusted to request SCIT members to approve such changes by correspondence. In cases where no consensus could be reached in this manner, the matter should be brought to the attention of the SDWG for its consideration. (See paragraph 11 of document SCT/SDWG/2/14, and paragraph 14 of PCIPI/EXEC/XII/10.)

2. Other proposals for the revision of WIPO Standard ST.3 identified or received by the Secretariat will be presented for consideration by the SDWG.

II. TASK LEADER

The Secretariat is designated as the Task Leader.

III. PROPOSED ACTION WITH TIME FRAME

Task is of a continuous nature.

IV. WEB PAGES AND CONTACT FOR INFORMATION

1. WIPO Standard ST.3 is available at:
http://www.wipo.int/standards/en/part_03_standards.html.

2. Inquiries and requests relating to Task No. 33/3 should be addressed to scit.mail@wipo.int.

V. BACKGROUND AND STATUS OF WORK

1. In order to keep WIPO Standard ST.3 aligned with International Standard ISO 3166-1, the International Bureau requested SCIT members to approve by correspondence proposed changes to certain country names and two-letter codes, as well as inclusions of new country names and their codes. These changes and inclusions of new entries, based on the corresponding announcements concerning them published in ISO 3166-1 Newsletters No.V-4, No.V-5, No.V-6, No.V-11 and No. V-12, were approved by consensus by SCIT members. (See Circulars SCIT 2573, dated February 28, 2003, SCIT 2629, dated August 30, 2006, and SCIT 2630, dated October 13, 2006.)

2. With regard to the announcement published in ISO 3166-1 Newsletters No.V-8 (see Circular SCIT 2583, dated August 21, 2003), no consensus could be reached by correspondence and the proposed change was presented for consideration by the SDWG at its fourth session in January 2004. As it was not possible, at the fourth session, to reach an

agreement regarding the revision proposed in Circular SCIT 2583, the International Bureau presented a new proposal that was adopted by the SDWG at its fifth session in November 2004. (See paragraphs 52 to 60 of document SCIT/SDWG/4/14; document SCIT/SDWG/5/6; and paragraph 55 of SCIT/SDWG/5/13.)

3. The SDWG, at its sixth session in September 2005, agreed to establish the ongoing revision of WIPO Standard ST.3 as a Task. (See paragraph 70, Task No. 33/3, of document SCIT/SDWG/6/11.)

4. The SDWG, at its fifth and sixth sessions, in November 2004, and September 2005, respectively, considered a proposal by the International Bureau regarding the establishment of a two-letter code to represent the Community Plant Variety Office (European Union) (CPVO) in WIPO Standard ST.3. At its sixth session, the SDWG adopted the code “QZ” to represent the CPVO, and revised paragraph 10 of WIPO Standard ST.3 accordingly. (See paragraphs 32 to 34 of document SCIT/SDWG/6/11, and document SCIT/SDWG/6/4.)

5. The SDWG, at its sixth session, replaced the word “Industrial” with “Intellectual” in the entries of ARIPO in Annex A of WIPO Standard ST.3. (See paragraph 34(c) of document SCIT/SDWG/6/11, and document SCIT/SDWG/6/4.)

6. As requested by the SDWG at its seventh session in May/June 2006, the International Bureau sent, on June 23, 2006, a letter to the International Standard ISO 3166 Maintenance Agency recommending that the two-letter codes to be assigned to the new country names “Montenegro” and “Serbia” be chosen from those that had not been previously assigned, as had been the case with the code “CS” for “Serbia and Montenegro”.

7. The SDWG, at its eighth session in March 2007, adopted the new code “XN” to represent the “Nordic Patent Institute (NPI)”, and revised paragraph 10 of WIPO Standard ST.3 accordingly. The Standard was also amended in order to refer to the new edition of International Standard ISO 3166-1: 2006, and to include the new name of the “Benelux Office for Intellectual Property (BOIP)”. A new entry for “YU” was added to the list given in Annex B, Section 2, to the Standard. (See paragraphs 34 and 35 of document SCIT/SDWG/8/14.)

8. With regard to the announcement published in ISO 3166-1 Newsletter No. VI-2, concerning the short country name of the Republic of Moldova, no consensus could be reached by correspondence with regard to the subsequent revision of WIPO Standard ST.3 (see Circulars SCIT 2653 and 2657, dated May 13 and July 11, 2008, respectively). Taking into account a *note verbale* from the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Republic of Moldova, the International Bureau presented a proposal, for consideration by the SDWG at its tenth session, to suspend the revision of WIPO Standard ST.3, keeping the short country name of the Republic of Moldova in WIPO Standard ST.3 as is at present, i.e., “Republic of Moldova”.

Task No. 35 Prepare a questionnaire and carry out a survey on the implementation of WIPO Standard ST.50 and on the status of the correction procedures in the industrial property offices. Prepare a proposal regarding this matter for consideration by the SDWG.

I. RELEVANCE/SCOPE OF TASK

The Guidelines in WIPO Standard ST.50 aim at providing guidance to industrial property offices and other suppliers of patent information on how to issue corrections, alterations and supplements relating to patent information published in paper form or on machine-readable media, for the purpose of promoting an unambiguous and uniform presentation of such corrections, alterations and supplements. The PDG proposed to carry out a survey on the status of the correction procedures followed by industrial property offices with respect to published patent information.

II. TASK FORCE LEADER

The International Bureau is designated as the Task Leader.

III. PROPOSED ACTION WITH TIME FRAME

In order to prepare a proposal, for consideration by the SDWG, on how to issue corrections, alterations and supplements relating to patent information, a new survey on the implementation of WIPO Standard ST.50 should take place in 2009. That survey should take into account possible changes to WIPO Standard ST.50 to reflect offices' experience with online Internet publication. (See paragraphs 24(c) and 54, Task No. 35, of document SCIT/SDWG/7/9.)

IV. WEB PAGES AND CONTACT FOR INFORMATION

1. Reference and working documents related to Task No. 35 and the Correction Procedures Task Force, including the list of Task Force members, are available at:

<http://www.wipo.int/scit/en/taskfrce/>

Access to the discussions of the Task Force is restricted to its members only.

2. The survey is available in Part 7 of the WIPO *Handbook on Industrial Property Information and Documentation* at: *<http://www.wipo.int/standards/en/pdf/07-04-02.pdf>*.

3. Inquiries and requests relating to Task No. 35 and the Correction Procedures Task Force should be addressed to *scit.mail@wipo.int*.

V. BACKGROUND AND STATUS OF WORK

1. The necessity of carrying out a survey relating to the implementation of WIPO Standard ST.50 was proposed by the Patent Documentation Group (PDG) at the fourth session of the SDWG, held in January 2004.
2. Task was created by the SDWG at its fourth session. The Secretariat reported to the SCIT Plenary on the creation of the Task at its eighth session in February 2004. (See paragraphs 71 to 75 of document SCIT/SDWG/4/14, and paragraph 9 of SCIT/8/10.)
3. The SDWG, at its fifth session in November 2004, added the request on the preparation of a proposal to the title of the Task. (See paragraph 81 of document SCIT/SDWG/5/13.)
4. The Questionnaire on Correction Procedures in Patent Offices, which had been approved by the SDWG at its fifth session, was distributed to industrial property offices for completion along with circular SCIT 2604, dated December 14, 2004. (See paragraphs 66 to 70 of document SCIT/SDWG/5/13.)
5. The SDWG, at its fifth session, agreed on the establishment of the Correction Procedures Task Force to analyze the replies to the questionnaire and prepare a proposal for consideration by the SDWG. (See paragraph 71 of document SCIT/SDWG/5/13.) The corresponding electronic forum for discussions among Task Force members was set up on June 2, 2005. At the sixth session of the SDWG in September 2005, the Task Leader gave an oral report on the status of the Task.
6. The SDWG, at its seventh session, in May/June 2006, considered the final version of the survey and approved the conclusions thereof. The SDWG also agreed that WIPO Standard ST.50 meets the needs of the offices and that no changes were recommended at that moment. A further review of the Standard should take place in 2009, and should take into account possible changes to WIPO Standard ST.50 to reflect the offices' experiences with online Internet publications. (See paragraphs 21 to 24, and 54, Task No. 35, of document SCIT/SDWG/7/9.)

- Task No. 36 Prepare a questionnaire and carry out a survey in order to clarify the different practices by industrial property offices regarding the difficulties in citing specific parts of the description of the invention text in a patent document. Prepare a proposal regarding this matter for consideration by the SDWG

I. RELEVANCE/SCOPE OF TASK

Users of patent information encounter difficulties when they need to refer to citations and to locate specific parts of a patent document (e.g., when a patent document is available in electronic media, the identification of specific parts of the description text may become difficult if the document layout depends on the software settings of the users). This problem is related to the diverse forms of media on which patent documents are available.

II. TASK FORCE LEADER

The International Bureau is designated as the Task Leader.

III. PROPOSED ACTION WITH TIME FRAME

1. In order to provide guidance to industrial property offices, the Task Force, after analyzing the replies to the questionnaire, should prepare a proposal, for consideration by the SDWG, on how to identify specific parts of the description of the invention text in patent documents.
2. The extended survey referred to in paragraph V.6, below, will be prepared at the tenth session of the SDWG in November 2008.

IV. WEB PAGES AND CONTACT FOR INFORMATION

1. Reference and working documents related to Task No. 36 and the Citation Practices Procedures Task Force, including the list of Task Force members, are available at:
<http://www.wipo.int/scit/en/taskfrce/>
Access to the discussions of the Task Force is restricted to its members only.
2. Inquiries and requests relating to Task No. 36 and the Citation Practices Task Force should be addressed to *scit.mail@wipo.int*.

V. BACKGROUND AND STATUS OF WORK

1. The proposal to carry out a survey in order to clarify the different practices by industrial property offices regarding the harmonization and identification of specific parts of patent documents was presented by the Patent Documentation Group (PDG) at the fourth session of the SDWG, held in January 2004.
2. Task was created by the SDWG at its fourth session. The Secretariat reported to the SCIT Plenary on the creation of the Task at its eighth session in February 2004. (See paragraphs 76 to 79 of document SCIT/SDWG/4/14, and paragraph 9 of SCIT/8/10.)
3. The SDWG, at its fifth session in November 2004, added the request on the preparation of a proposal to the title of the Task. (See paragraph 81 of document SCIT/SDWG/5/13.)
4. The Questionnaire on the Harmonization and Identification of the Parts of Patent Specifications, which had been approved by the SDWG at its fifth session, was distributed to industrial property offices for completion along with circular SCIT 2605, dated December 14, 2004. (See paragraphs 72 and 73 of document SCIT/SDWG/5/13.)
5. The SDWG, at its fifth session, agreed on the establishment of the Citation Practices Task Force to analyze the replies to the questionnaire and prepare a proposal for consideration by the SDWG. (See paragraph 74 of document SCIT/SDWG/5/13.) The corresponding electronic forum for discussions among Task Force members was set up on June 2, 2005.
6. The Task Leader, at the sixth session of the SDWG in September 2005, gave an oral report on the status of the Task. A proposal by the Task Force regarding the Task was expected to be ready for the following session of the SDWG. (See paragraph 49 of document SCIT/SDWG/6/11.)
7. The SDWG, at its ninth session in February 2008, considered the Survey concerning Citation Practices in Patent Offices and decided to approve its publication in the WIPO Handbook. The SDWG also adopted the revision of the term "Citation" in the Glossary of Part 8 of the WIPO Handbook, and of WIPO Standard ST.14. The SDWG mandated the Task Force to develop a new extended survey questionnaire to further determine the citation practices of industrial property offices. (See paragraphs 27 to 34 of document SCIT/SDWG/9/12.)

Task No. 37 Revision of WIPO Standard ST.22

I. RELEVANCE/SCOPE OF TASK

The main objective of the Task is to prepare a proposal for revising and updating WIPO Standard ST.22. The SDWG also requested the Task Force:

(a) to examine the use of non-Latin based characters, so that the Standard could cover non-Latin character based languages at a later stage;

(b) to examine the optical character recognition (OCR) accuracy rate (currently better than 98.5%) to see if a higher accuracy rate should be specified;

(c) to take into consideration what font styles and font sizes should be recommended for optimizing readability, for screen presentation, and OCR.
(See document SCIT/SDWG/7/9, paragraphs 32 to 38.)

II. TASK LEADER

The International Bureau is designated as the Task Leader.

III. PROPOSED ACTION WITH TIME FRAME

1. The Task Force will present a proposal to revise WIPO Standard ST.22 at the tenth session of the SDWG in November 2008.

2. The revised Standard should be brought to the attention of applicants, offices, commercial information providers and patent attorneys. (See paragraphs 24 and 25 of document SCIT/SDWG/9/12.)

IV. WEB PAGES AND CONTACT FOR INFORMATION

1. Reference and working documents related to Task No. 37 and the ST.22 Task Force, including the list of Task Force members, are available at:

<http://www.wipo.int/scit/en/taskfrce/>

Access to the discussions of the Task Force is restricted to its members only.

2. Inquiries and requests relating to Task No. 37 and the ST.22 Task Force should be addressed to *scit.mail@wipo.int*.

V. BACKGROUND AND STATUS OF WORK

1. During the discussions at the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) and the European Patent Office (EPO) Bilateral Technical Meeting, held in The Hague in September 2005, it was decided that, agreeing that most of the recommendations set out in WIPO Standard ST.22 (Recommendation for the Presentation of Patent Applications Typed in Optical Character Recognition (OCR) Format) were still valid, it was, however, necessary to revise and update the Standard. This revision would also be an opportunity to reformulate the Standard using modern information technology terminology.
2. Task was approved by the SDWG at its seventh session in May/June 2006. In addition, the SDWG agreed on the establishment of a Task Force to handle the revision. The Task Force started its discussions in July 2006. (See paragraphs 32 to 39 of document SCIT/SDWG/7/9.)
3. The SDWG, at its eighth session in March 2007, considered the proposal on the revision of WIPO Standard ST.22 presented by the ST.22 Task Force. The SDWG agreed to defer the adoption of the said revision in order to ensure harmonization with developments taking place in the “Trilateral Common Application Format” (CAF) project. The SDWG also instructed the Task Force to take into consideration issues which had not yet been considered in the proposed WIPO Standard ST.22. (See paragraphs 21 to 25 of document SCIT/SDWG/8/14.)
4. The Task Leader, at the ninth session of the SDWG in February 2008, gave an oral report on the status of the Task. (See paragraphs 22 to 26 of document SCIT/SDWG/9/12.)

Task No. 38 Ensure continuous revision and updating of WIPO Standard ST.36

I. RELEVANCE/SCOPE OF TASK

Task was created by the SDWG, at its eighth session in March 2007, during the discussion on the best method to ensure the synchronization of Annex F of the PCT Administrative Instructions (Part 7) and WIPO Standard ST.36. The SDWG agreed that (see paragraphs 58 and 60 of document SCIT/SDWG/8/14):

(a) any proposal to revise WIPO Standard ST.36 presented to the Secretariat will be forwarded directly to the ST.36 Task Force for consideration and approval;

(b) the ST.36 Task Force is temporarily authorized to adopt revisions of WIPO Standard ST.36;

(c) a proposal to revise WIPO Standard ST.36 will be forwarded to the SDWG for its consideration whenever a proposed revision becomes controversial, i.e., whenever it is not possible to reach consensus among the ST.36 Task Force members; and

(d) the ST.36 Task Force Leader will inform the SDWG of any revision of WIPO Standard ST.36 adopted by the Task Force at the next meeting of the SDWG.

II. TASK LEADER

The International Bureau is designated as the Task Leader.

III. PROPOSED ACTION WITH TIME FRAME

1. The Task is of a continuous nature.

2. The ST.36 Task Force will prepare a revision of the International Common Elements (ICEs) of WIPO Standard ST.36 on the basis of the latest version of the DTDs provided by Annex F.

3. The ST.36 Task Force will consider whether it is necessary to revise any other part of WIPO Standard ST.36 as a consequence of changes made to Annex F since the adoption of the Standard in November 2004, and if necessary, to revise the Standard.

4. The Task Leader will present a progress report on the work undertaken by the Task Force and the status of the revision of WIPO Standard ST.36 at the tenth session of the SDWG.

IV. WEB PAGES AND CONTACT FOR INFORMATION

1. Reference and working documents related to Task No. 38 and the ST.36 Task Force, including the list of Task Force members, are available at:

<http://www.wipo.int/scit/en/taskfrce/>

Access to the discussions of the Task Force is restricted to its members only.

2. Inquiries and requests relating to Task No. 38 and the ST.36 Task Force should be addressed to scit.mail@wipo.int.

V. BACKGROUND AND STATUS OF WORK

1. Task was created by the SDWG at its eighth session, as referred to in section I, above. In addition, the SDWG agreed on the establishment of a Task Force to handle the Task. The ST.36 Task Force started its discussions in August 2007. (See paragraphs 58 to 62 of document SCIT/SDWG/8/14.)

2. In October 2007, there was an informal meeting of the SDWG Task Forces dealing with XML issues, namely ST.36 Task Force, ST.66 Task Force, ST.86 Task Force and XML4IP Task Force. In February 2008, during the ninth session of the SDWG, the ST.36 Task Force held an informal meeting. Information on the meetings can be found in the area “Documentation” of each of the said Task Forces on the SDWG Task Forces web page at: <http://www.wipo.int/scit/en/taskfrce/>.

3. The ST.36 Task Force adopted a revision of WIPO Standard ST.36 on November 23, 2007, which was published on the Internet on January 15, 2008. The Task Leader, at the ninth session of the SDWG, presented document SCIT/SDWG/9/5, which contained a progress report on the work undertaken by the Task Force. (See paragraphs 40 to 43 of document SCIT/SDWG/9/12.)

4. In accordance with the request by the SDWG at its eighth session (see paragraph 62 of document SCIT/SDWG/8/14), the International Bureau, in its role as administrator of the PCT, at the ninth session of the SDWG, reported that it had considered the relationship between Annex F of the PCT Administrative Instructions and WIPO Standard ST.36, and concluded that it was premature to consider any change. Subsequent to this report by the International Bureau, the SDWG agreed that the issue of removing the XML technical details from Annex F and including a reference to WIPO Standard ST.36 in Annex F was pending on future developments as referred to in paragraph 43 of document SCIT/SDWG/9/12. (See paragraph 92, Task No. 38, of document SCIT/SDWG/9/12.)

5. The SDWG, at its ninth session, requested the ST.36 Task Force to consider updating WIPO Standard ST.36 as a consequence of the revision of WIPO Standard ST.13 and also in connection with a proposal made by the Citation Practices Task Force. (See paragraphs 20 and 35 of document SCIT/SDWG/9/12, and paragraph 12 of SCIT.SDWG/9/3.)

Task No. 39 Ensure the necessary revisions and updates of WIPO Standard ST.66

I. RELEVANCE/SCOPE OF TASK

In accordance with the decision by the SDWG at its eighth session in March 2007 (see paragraph 56 of document SCIT/SDWG/8/14):

(a) any proposal to revise WIPO Standard ST.66 presented to the Secretariat will be forwarded directly to the ST.66 Task Force for consideration and approval;

(b) the ST.66 Task Force is temporarily authorized to adopt revisions of WIPO Standard ST.66;

(c) a proposal to revise WIPO Standard ST.66 will be forwarded to the SDWG for its consideration whenever a proposed revision becomes controversial, i.e., whenever it is not possible to reach consensus among the ST.66 Task Force members; and

(d) the ST.66 Task Force Leader will inform the SDWG of any revision of WIPO Standard ST.66 adopted by the Task Force at the next meeting of the SDWG.

II. TASK LEADER

The International Bureau, which will work in collaboration with the Office for Harmonization in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM), is designated as the Task Leader.

III. PROPOSED ACTION WITH TIME FRAME

The Task is of a continuous nature. (See paragraph 56 of document SCIT/SDWG/8/14.)

IV. WEB PAGES AND CONTACT FOR INFORMATION

1. Reference and working documents related to Task No. 39 and the ST.66 Task Force, including the list of Task Force members, are available at:

<http://www.wipo.int/scit/en/taskfrce/>

Access to the discussions of the Task Force is restricted to its members only.

2. Inquiries and requests relating to Task No. 39 and the ST.66 Task Force should be addressed to *scit.mail@wipo.int*.

V. BACKGROUND AND STATUS OF WORK

1. Task was created by the SDWG, at its eighth session, during the discussion for the adoption of the new WIPO Standard ST.66. In addition, the SDWG agreed on the establishment of a Task Force to handle the Task. The ST.66 Task Force started its discussions in May 2007. (See paragraphs 56 of document SCIT/SDWG/8/14.)

2. In June 2007, there was an informal meeting of the ST.66 and ST.86 Task Forces. In October 2007, there was another informal meeting of the SDWG Task Forces dealing with XML issues, namely ST.36 Task Force, ST.66 Task Force, ST.86 Task Force and XML4IP Task Force. Information on the meetings can be found in the area "Documentation" of each of the said Task Forces on the SDWG Task Forces web page at:

<http://www.wipo.int/scit/en/taskforce/>.

3. The ST.66 Task Force adopted a revision of WIPO Standard ST.66 on December 3, 2007, which was published in English, French and Spanish on the Internet on December 19, 2007. The Task Leader, at the ninth session of the SDWG in February 2008, presented document SCIT/SDWG/9/6, which contained a progress report on the work undertaken by the Task Force. (See paragraphs 44 to 46 of document SCIT/SDWG/9/12.)

4. The SDWG, at its ninth session, requested the ST.66 Task Force to consider updating WIPO Standard ST.66 as a consequence of the revision of WIPO Standard ST.13. (See paragraph 20 of document SCIT/SDWG/9/12.)

Task No. 40 Prepare a standard for the processing of industrial design information using XML

I. RELEVANCE/SCOPE OF TASK

The need for an XML standard for industrial designs was raised, at the eighth session of the SDWG in March 2007, during a discussion on methods to ensure harmony among XML resources for all three types of industrial property sufficient for the needs of WIPO's Member States. (See paragraphs 63 and 65 of document SCIT/SDWG/8/14.)

II. TASK LEADER

The International Bureau and the Office for Harmonization in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) are designated as the Task Leaders.

III. PROPOSED ACTION WITH TIME FRAME

Task No. 40 is completed (see paragraph V.3, below).

IV. WEB PAGES AND CONTACT FOR INFORMATION

1. Reference and working documents related to Task No. 40 and the ST.86 Task Force, including the list of Task Force members, are available at:

<http://www.wipo.int/scit/en/taskfrce/>

Access to the discussions of the Task Force is restricted to its members only.

2. Inquiries and requests relating to Task No. 40 and the ST.86 Task Force should be addressed to *scit.mail@wipo.int*.

V. BACKGROUND AND STATUS OF WORK

1. Task was created by the SDWG, at its eighth session, after the adoption of the new WIPO Standard ST.66, and following the discussion referred to in section I, above. In addition, the SDWG agreed on the establishment of a Task Force to handle the Task. The ST.86 Task Force started its discussions in June 2007. (See paragraphs 63 to 66 of document SCIT/SDWG/8/14.)

2. In June 2007, there was an informal meeting of the ST.66 and ST.86 Task Forces. In October 2007, there was another informal meeting of the SDWG Task Forces dealing with XML issues, namely ST.36 Task Force, ST.66 Task Force, ST.86 Task Force and XML4IP Task Force. Information on the meetings can be found in the area "Documentation" of each of the said Task Forces on the SDWG Task Forces web page at:

<http://www.wipo.int/scit/en/taskfrce/>.

3. The SDWG, at its ninth session in February 2008, adopted the new WIPO Standard ST.86, entitled “Recommendations for the Processing of Industrial Design Information Using XML”. The SDWG decided to create a new Task (see Task No. 42) for the revision and update of the new Standard. Task No. 40 was considered completed at the ninth session of the SDWG. (See paragraphs 47 to 51 of document SCIT/SDWG/9/12.)

Consequently, this will be the last occurrence of Task No. 40 on the SDWG Task List.

Task No. 41 Prepare a proposal for a dictionary of International Common Elements (ICEs), and model XML schemas and DTDs to be used for patents, trademarks and industrial designs

I. RELEVANCE/SCOPE OF TASK

1. The need to establish a set of International Common Elements (ICEs) for patents, trademarks, and industrial designs was highlighted, at the eighth session of the SDWG in March 2007, during a discussion on methods to ensure harmony among XML resources for all three types of industrial property sufficient for the needs of WIPO's Member States. (See paragraphs 63 and 64 of document SCIT/SDWG/8/14.)

2. The SDWG, at its eighth session, agreed to recommend to the SCIT Plenary that harmonization in the field of industrial property information be included in the SCIT strategic plan, e.g., XML technology. The SDWG also requested the SCIT Plenary to consider the review of a change management procedure for WIPO Standards where XML elements were concerned and to define a method for the management of such changes with respect to related procedures (e.g., WIPO Standard ST.36 and Annex F).

II. TASK LEADER

The International Bureau is designated as the Task Leader.

III. PROPOSED ACTION WITH TIME FRAME

Time frame and action plan to be discussed by the XML4IP Task Force. (See paragraph 55 of document SCIT/SDWG/9/12, and minutes of the informal meeting of the Task Force held in February 2008, that is referred to in paragraph V.2, below.)

IV. WEB PAGES AND CONTACT FOR INFORMATION

1. Reference and working documents related to Task No. 41 and the XML4IP Task Force, including the list of Task Force members, are available at:

<http://www.wipo.int/scit/en/taskfrce/>

Access to the discussions of the Task Force is restricted to its members only.

2. Inquiries and requests relating to Task No. 41 and the XML4IP Task Force should be addressed to *scit.mail@wipo.int*.

V. BACKGROUND AND STATUS OF WORK

1. Task was created by the SDWG, at its eighth session, after the adoption of the new WIPO Standard ST.66, and following the discussion referred to in section I.1, above. In addition, the SDWG agreed on the establishment of a Task Force to handle the Task. The XML4IP Task Force was set up in May 2007, but it has not yet started substantive discussions, except for the meeting referred to in the following paragraph. (See paragraphs 63 to 66 of document SCIT/SDWG/8/14.)
2. In October 2007, there was an informal meeting of the SDWG Task Forces dealing with XML issues, namely ST.36 Task Force, ST.66 Task Force, ST.86 Task Force and XML4IP Task Force. In February 2008, during the ninth session of the SDWG, the XML4IP Task Force held an informal meeting. Information on the meetings can be found in the area “Documentation” of each of the said Task Forces on the SDWG Task Forces web page at: <http://www.wipo.int/scit/en/taskfrce/>.
3. At the ninth session of the SDWG, the Task Leader reported on the XML4IP Task Force’s activities to prepare a proposal to create a dictionary of Global ICEs, and model XML schemas and DTDs to be used for patents, trademarks and industrial designs. (See paragraphs 52 to 55 of document SCIT/SDWG/9/12.)
4. The SDWG, at its ninth session, requested the XML4IP Task Force to consider the new version of WIPO Standard ST.13 in its discussions. (See paragraph 20 of document SCIT/SDWG/9/12.)

Task No. 42 Ensure the necessary revisions and updates of WIPO Standard ST.86

I. RELEVANCE/SCOPE OF TASK

In accordance with the decision by the SDWG at its ninth session in February 2008 (see paragraph 50 of document SCIT/SDWG/9/12):

(a) any proposal to revise WIPO Standard ST.86 presented to the Secretariat will be forwarded directly to the ST.86 Task Force for consideration and approval;

(b) the ST.86 Task Force is temporarily authorized to adopt revisions of WIPO Standard ST.86;

(c) a proposal to revise WIPO Standard ST.86 will be forwarded to the SDWG for its consideration whenever a proposed revision becomes controversial, i.e., whenever it is not possible to reach consensus among the ST.86 Task Force members; and

(d) the ST.86 Task Force Leader will inform the SDWG of any revision of WIPO Standard ST.86 adopted by the Task Force at the next meeting of the SDWG.

II. TASK LEADER

The International Bureau is designated as the Task Leader.

III. PROPOSED ACTION WITH TIME FRAME

The Task is of a continuous nature. (See paragraph 50 of document SCIT/SDWG/9/12.)

IV. WEB PAGES AND CONTACT FOR INFORMATION

1. Reference and working documents related to Task No. 42 and the ST.86 Task Force, including the list of Task Force members, are available at:

<http://www.wipo.int/scit/en/taskfrce/>

Access to the discussions of the Task Force is restricted to its members only.

2. Inquiries and requests relating to Task No. 42 and the ST.86 Task Force should be addressed to *scit.mail@wipo.int*.

V. BACKGROUND AND STATUS OF WORK

1. Task was created by the SDWG, at its ninth session, during the discussion for the adoption of the new WIPO Standard ST.86. In addition, the SDWG agreed that the Task should be handled by the ST.86 Task Force, which had been created to prepare a proposal for the new WIPO Standard ST.86. (See paragraph 50 of document SCIT/SDWG/9/12).

2. The SDWG, at its ninth session in February 2008, requested the ST.86 Task Force to consider updating WIPO Standard ST.86 as a consequence of the revision of WIPO Standard ST.13. (See paragraph 20 of document SCIT/SDWG/9/12.)

[Annex II follows]

ANNEX II

SCIT/SDWG TASK FORCES

1. The active SCIT/SDWG Task Forces are the following:

ST.10/C Task Force

Trademark Standards Task Force

Renewal of the WIPO Handbook Task Force

Correction Procedures Task Force

Citation Practices Task Force

P-Docs Task Force

Annual Technical Reports (ATRs) Task Force

XML4IP Task Force

ST.22 Task Force

ST.36 Task Force

ST.66 Task Force

ST.86 Task Force

ST.10/C TASK FORCE

2. (a) The ST.10/C Task Force is responsible for carrying out Task No. 30: Revision of WIPO Standard ST.10/C.

(b) Industrial property offices wishing to participate actively in the work of the Task Force nominated the following representatives:

ST.10/C Task Force Members

NAME	TITLE	COUNTRY OR ORGANIZATION
ABD ELREHIM Gomaa (Mr.)	Technical Examiner	Egypt
ALBRECHT Miguel (Mr.)	Director, Data Management	EPO
BABAYAN Manvel (Mr.)	Director, Information Technologies Department	Armenia
DAUBERT Katja (Mrs.)	Strategic Management and International Cooperation (IT)	Germany
FAIZOVA Enissa (Ms.)	Head, Advertising & Publishing Department	Kazakhstan
HOFFMANN Konrad (Mr.)	Patent Examiner, IT International Cooperation	Germany
HOFSTETTER Rolf (Mr.)	Head of Patent Administration	Switzerland
HOLBERTON Roger (Mr.)	Head, Business Applications Section	WIPO
HOY Samantha (Ms.)	Technical Coordination, International Information Management	Australia
KALEJS Karl	Head, Research and Development Section	WIPO
KALLAS Peter (Mr.)	Chairman, Working Group IMPACT	PDG
KAMIYAMA Shigeki (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Patent Information Promotion Policy Office	Japan
KIM Christopher (Mr.)	International Technical Projects Specialist	United States of America
KIM Dong-Hwan (Mr.)	Deputy Director	Republic of Korea
KIM In-Sook (Ms.)	Assistant Director, Information Planning Division	Republic of Korea
KONE Hamidou (Mr.)	Chef de service Informatique et Statistique	OAPI
MAKSIMOVA Valeria (Ms.)	Deputy Head of Information Resources & WIPO Standards Promotion Department	Russian Federation
MORENO GÓMEZ Francisco José (Mr.)	Técnico Superior Examinador	Spain
MORIFUJI Ken (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Patent Information Division	Japan
NING Long (Mr.)	Deputy Director General , Information Technology Department	China

SCIT/SDWG/10/10
Annex II, page 3

NAME	TITLE	COUNTRY OR ORGANIZATION
POPOVIĆ Jelena (Mrs.)	Head, Documentation Department	Serbia
RISHELL Edmond (Mr.)	International Exchanges and Standards Specialist	United States of America
ROTHER Hubert (Mr.)	Head of Section, Industrial Property Information for the Public, Supply of Literature	Germany
SAMAN FARAG Mona (Ms.)	Technical Examiner	Egypt
STOLT Leif (Mr.)	Process Manager, Patent Information	Sweden
TOROCSIK Zsuzsanna (Ms.)	Deputy Head, Information Technology Department	Hungary
YANG Tae-Hwan (Mr.)	Deputy Director	Republic of Korea
WILLS Rob (Mr.)	Manager, International Information Management	Australia

(c) The Japan Patent Office (JPO) is designated as the Task Leader.

TRADEMARK STANDARDS TASK FORCE

3. (a) At its second session held in December 2002, the SDWG discussed a proposal by the Korean Intellectual Property Office (KIPO) to revise existing or, as necessary, create new WIPO standards relating to trademarks. The SDWG agreed to establish the Trademark Standards Task Force with the responsibility of preparing a detailed project brief elaborating the objectives of the task to be created, a clear description of the need for each proposed standard and of the expected benefits of each proposed standard, as well as a prioritization of the list of proposed standards. (See paragraphs 20 to 25 of document SCIT/SDWG/2/14, and document SCIT/SDWG/2/4.)

(b) Industrial property offices wishing to participate actively in the work of the Task Force nominated the following representatives:

Trademark Standards Task Force Members

NAME	TITLE	COUNTRY OR ORGANIZATION
ARAÚJO Maria Luisa (Mrs.)	Chef de département	Portugal
ANDREWS Betty (Mr.s)	Director of the Office of Program Protocol	United States of America
CANNON Gary (Mr.)	Director of the Office of Program Control	United States of America
COX Bruce (Mr.)	Senior Advisor for XML Technologies	United States of America
DAUBERT Katja (Ms.)	Strategic Management and International Cooperation (IT)	Germany
EBINA Tomoko (Ms.)	Deputy Director of Trademark, Information Dissemination and Policy Promotion Division	Japan
GRASHCHENKOVA Anna (Mrs.)	Principal Specialist, International Cooperation Department	Russian Federation
GUENTER Matthias (Mr.)	Head IT	Switzerland
HOFFMANN Konrad (Mr.)	Patent Examiner, IT International Cooperation	Germany
HOLBERTON Roger (Mr.)	Senior Analyst Programmer	WIPO
HOLMES Mark (Mr.)	IP Projects Manager	United Kingdom
HOY Samantha (Ms.)	Technical Coordinator, International Cooperation and Development Business Group	Australia
JEON Han-Chul	Deputy Director	Republic of Korea
KAMIYAMA Shigeki (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Patent Information Promotion Policy Office	Japan
KIM Dong-Hwan (Mr.)	Deputy Director	Republic of Korea
KIMURA Takatoshi (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Information Systems Affairs Division	Japan
KONE Hamidou (Mr.)	Chef, Service informatique et statistique	OAPI

SCIT/SDWG/10/10
Annex II, page 5

NAME	TITLE	COUNTRY OR ORGANIZATION
LINDBOM Gunnar (Mr.)	IT-controller, Trademark Department	Sweden
MAKSIMOVA Valeria (Ms.)	Deputy Head of Information Resources & WIPO Standards Promotion Department	Russian Federation
MORIFUJI Atsushi (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Patent Information Division	Japan
NOORSAMAN SOMMENG Andy	Director, Information Technology	Indonesia
POSSELT Christian (Mr.)	IT-Coordinator (Trademarks)	Germany
PUTZ Jean-Marie (Mr.)	IT-Manager	BOIP
ROA BOTELLO Javier (Mr.)	Subdirector, Divisional de Desarrollo de Sistemas	Mexico
ROMBOUTS John (Mr.)	Technical Architect, Informatics Services Branch	Canada
SASANO Hideo (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Information Systems Affairs Division	Japan
TAYLOR Simon (Mr.)	ID Development Manager	United Kingdom
TOSHIMA Hiroshi (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Information Systems Affairs Division	Japan
TŐROCSIK Zsuzsanna (Mrs.)	Deputy Head, Information Technology Department	Hungary
TRAN Alexandre (Mr.)	IT Architect	Spain
TRIPATHI Ramesh Chandra	Director	India
VOSTRIKOV Fedor (Mr.)	Head, Program Applications Elaboration Department	Russian Federation
WENZEL Alfred (Mr.)	Directorate Assistant Publication	EPO-Vienna
WILLS Rob (Mr.)	Manager, International Information Management	Australia
YUN Young-Woo (Mr.)	Systems Analyst	WIPO
ZAWADZKI Jacek (Mr.)	Senior Specialist IT	Poland

(c) The Korean Intellectual Property Office (KIPO) is designated as the Task Leader.

RENEWAL OF THE WIPO HANDBOOK TASK FORCE

4. (a) The Renewal of the WIPO Handbook Task Force is responsible, within the framework of Task No. 26, for the discussion and preparation of a proposal to renew the contents of the *WIPO Handbook on Industrial Property Information and Documentation* and its publication and maintenance procedures as described in document SCIT/SDWG/4/5. (See paragraphs 45 to 51 of document SCIT/SDWG/4/14.)

(b) Industrial property offices wishing to participate actively in the work of the Task Force nominated the following representatives:

Renewal of the WIPO Handbook Task Force Members

NAME	TITLE	COUNTRY OR ORGANIZATION
BERGSTROM Kerstin (Ms.)	Head, Patent Information	Sweden
BONSELL Mary (Mrs.)	Senior Technical Information Officer	WIPO (CH)
CASSIDY Dolores (Ms.)	Patent Examiner	Ireland
GRONAU Elvira (Mrs.)	Head of Technical Department 3C	Austria
KAMIYAMA Shigeki (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Patent Information Promotion Policy Office	Japan
KIM Christopher (Mr.)	International Technical Projects Specialist	United States of America
KIM In-Sook (Mr.)	Assistant Director	Republic of Korea
LOPEZ SOLANAS Angel (Mr.)	Head, Standards and Documentation Section	WIPO
MAKSIMOVA Valéria (Ms.)	Deputy Head, Information Resources & WIPO St. promotion Department	Russian Federation
MARCOK Ratislav (Mr.)	Director, Patent Documentation and Information Department	Slovakia
MARDAYMOOTOO Nairainsamy (Mr.)	Executive Officer	Mauritius
McMASTER Don (Mr.)	Advisor Electronic IP Information Products	Canada
MINDRESCU Iurii (Mr.)	Head of Informatics Department	Republic of Moldova
MORIFUJI Atsushi (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Patent Information Division	Japan
NESCHETNA Tetyana (Ms.)	Head, Patent Information Division Support Department	Ukraine
NING Long (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Automation Department	People's Republic of China
NJUGUNA David (Mr.)	Patent Examiner	Kenya
PICMAN Dobroslav (Mr.)	Head, Public Reading Room/Patent Information Department	Czech Republic

NAME	TITLE	COUNTRY OR ORGANIZATION
RISHELL Edmond (Mr.)	International Exchanges and Standards Specialist	United States of America
SEVER Marija (Ms.)	Adviser, IT Department	Croatia
TARRY-SERGIO Franceschina (Ms.)	Communication Unit, Doc Help Coordinator	EPO
VERSLOOT-SPOELSTRA Fenny (Ms.)	Data Management – PD-Tools Patent Bibliographic Data	EPO

(c) The International Bureau is designated as the Task Leader.

CORRECTION PROCEDURES TASK FORCE

5. (a) The Correction Procedures Task Force is responsible for carrying out Task No. 35: Prepare a questionnaire and carry out a survey on the implementation of WIPO Standard ST.50 and on the status of the correction procedures in the industrial property offices. Prepare a proposal regarding this matter for consideration by the SDWG.

(b) Industrial property offices wishing to participate actively in the work of the Task Force nominated the following representatives:

Correction Procedures Task Force Members

NAME	TITLE	COUNTRY OR ORGANIZATION
AVEDIKIAN Pierre (Mr.)	Publication Department	EPO
BARARU Cristina Maria (Ms.)	Head, Editing Department	Romania
BONSELL Mary (Mrs.)	Senior Technical Information Officer	WIPO
CEBAN Aurelia (Mrs.)	Head, Preliminary Examination and Methodology Division	Republic of Moldova
COURT Geoff (Mr.)	Senior Classification & Documentation Manager	United Kingdom
DAUBERT Katja (Ms.)	Strategic Management and International Cooperation (IT)	Germany
DAUKUVIENE Saule (Mrs.)	Deputy Head, Information and Information Technologies Division	Lithuania
FRERS Gerold (Mr.)	Working Group IMPACT	PDG
GENIN Boris (Mr.)	Head, Information Technologies	Russian Federation
HOFFMANN Konrad (Mr.)	Patent Examiner, IT International Cooperation	Germany
KAMIYAMA Shigeki (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Patent Information Promotion Policy Office	Japan
KIM Christopher (Mr.)	International Technical Projects Specialist	United States of America
KIM Dong-Hwan (Mr.)	Deputy Director	Republic of Korea
KALLAS Peter (Mr.)	Chairman, Working Group IMPACT	PDG
KIM Sang (Mr.)	Assistant Director	Republic of Korea
LOUIS-SEIZE Luc (Mr.)	Agent des normes et méthodes	Canada
MAKSIMOVA Valeria (Mrs.)	Deputy Head, Information Resources & WIPO Standards Promotion	Russian Federation
MORIFUJI Atsushi (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Patent Information Division	Japan
NING Long (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Automation Department	China

SCIT/SDWG/10/10
Annex II, page 9

NAME	TITLE	COUNTRY OR ORGANIZATION
PERYAN Avetis (Mr.)	Chief Examiner, Inventions and Utility Models Department	Armenia
RISHELL Ed. (Mr.)	International Exchanges and Standards Specialist	United States of America
SABIEN Heiko (Mr.)	Head, Publication Section	Germany
SEVER Marija (Mrs.)	IT and Documentation Department	Croatia
STOLT Leif (Mr.)	Process Manager	Sweden
TONEVA Ivanka (Mrs.)	Principal Expert, Information, Publication & IP State Registers Department	Bulgaria
WENZEL Alfred (Mr.)	Publication Department	EPO-Vienna

(c) The International Bureau is designated as the Task Leader.

CITATION PRACTICES TASK FORCE

6. (a) The Citation Practices Task Force is responsible for carrying out Task No. 36: Prepare a questionnaire and carry out a survey in order to clarify the different practices by industrial property offices regarding the difficulties in citing specific parts of the description of the invention text in a patent document. Prepare a proposal regarding this matter for consideration by the SDWG.

(b) Industrial property offices wishing to participate actively in the work of the Task Force nominated the following representatives:

Citation Practices Task Force Members

NAME	TITLE	COUNTRY OR ORGANIZATION
ALBRECHT Miguel (Mr.)	Director Data Resources	EPO-NL
AVEDIKIAN Pierre (Mr.)	Publication Department	EPO
BOK Jin-Yo (Mr.)	Deputy Director	Republic of Korea
BONSELL Mary (Mrs.)	Senior Technical Information Officer	WIPO
BREWIN Paul (Mr.)	Team Manager - Publication	EPO-NL
CEBAN Aurelia (Mrs.)	Head, Preliminary Examination and Methodology Division	Republic of Moldova
COURT Geoff (Mr.)	Senior Classification & Documentation Manager	United Kingdom
DAUBERT Katja (Ms.)	Strategic Management and International Cooperation (IT)	Germany
DAUKUVIENE Saule (Mrs.)	Deputy Head, Information and Information Technologies Division	Lithuania
FRERS Gerold (Mr.)	Working Group IMPACT	PDG
GENIN Boris (Mr.)	Head, Information Technologies	Russian Federation
HEPPELL Joel (Mr.)	Senior Patent Examiner	Canada
HOFFMANN Konrad (Mr.)	Patent Examiner, IT International Cooperation	Germany
HOY Samantha (Ms.)	Technical Coordinator, International ICT Cooperation	Australia
KALLAS Peter (Mr.)	Chairman, Working Group IMPACT	PDG
KAMIYAMA Shigeki (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Patent Information Promotion Policy Office	Japan
KIM Christopher (Mr.)	International Technical Projects Specialist	United States of America
KIM Dong-Hwan (Mr.)	Deputy Director	Republic of Korea

NAME	TITLE	COUNTRY OR ORGANIZATION
MAKSIMOVA Valeria (Mrs.)	Deputy Head, Information Resources & WIPO Standards Promotion	Russian Federation
MORIFUJI Atsushi (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Patent Information Division	Japan
NING Long (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Automation Department	China
PERYAN Avetis (Mr.)	Chief Examiner, Inventions and Utility Models Department	Armenia
RISHELL Ed. (Mr.)	International Exchanges and Standards Specialist	United States of America
SCHWANDER Paul (Mr.)	Director Information Acquisition	EPO-NL
STOLT Left (Mr.)	Processor Manager	Sweden
WENZEL Alfred (Mr.)	Publication Department	EPO-Vienna
WILLS Robert (Mr.)	Manager, International ICT Cooperation	Australia

(c) The International Bureau is designated as the Task Leader.

P-DOCS TASK FORCE

7. (a) The P-Docs Task Force is responsible for carrying out Task No. 15: Study the consequences of e-filing on the production of certified office copies with particular reference to those used for priority purposes.

(b) Industrial property offices wishing to participate actively in the work of the Task Force nominated the following representatives:

P-Docs Task Force Members

NAME	TITLE	COUNTRY OR ORGANIZATION
AVEDIKIAN Pierre (Mr.)	Publication Department	EPO
COURT Geoff (Mr.)	Senior Classification & Documentation Manager	United Kingdom
DAUBERT Katja (Mrs.)	Strategic Management and International Cooperation (IT)	Germany
DAUKUVIENE Saule (Mrs.)	Deputy Head, Information and Information Technologies Division	Lithuania
ELOSHWAY Chuck (Mr.)	Patent Attorney, Office of International Relations	United States of America
HOFFMANN Konrad (Mr.)	Patent Examiner, IT International Cooperation	Germany
HOY Samantha (Ms.)	Technical Coordinator, International Cooperation & Development	Australia
KALEJS Karl (Mr.)	Head, Operations and Support Section	WIPO
KIM II-Gyu (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Department Information Planning Division	Republic of Korea
KLUEV Viatcheslav (Mr.)	Head, Information System Support	Russian Federation
MAKSIMOVA Valeria (Mrs.)	Deputy Head, Information Resources & WIPO Standards Promotion	Russian Federation
MATTHES Claus (Mr.)	Acting Director, PCT Reform Division	WIPO
MIKUTIENE Vida (Mrs.)	Expert, Inventions Division	Lithuania
MINDRESCU Iurie (Mr.)	Head, Department of Informatics and Logistics	Republic of Moldova
MULS David (Mr.)	Deputy Director and Head, PCT Operations Support Service	WIPO
NING Long (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Automation Department	China
OLEKSA Diana (Ms.)	Legal Advisor for IT Policy	United States of America
PERYAN Avetis (Mr.)	Chief Examiner, Inventions and Utility Models Department	Armenia
REKOLA Juha (Mr.)	Head, Development Division, Patents and Innovations Line	Finland
SASANO Hideo (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Information Systems Affairs Division	Japan

NAME	TITLE	COUNTRY OR ORGANIZATION
SNIPPE Robert (Mr.)	Patent Examination User Requirement Representative	Canada
THOMAS Philip (Mr.)	Senior Director-Advisor	WIPO
VALLANA François (Mr.)	Legal Officer	France
WENZEL Alfred (Mr.)	Publication Department	EPO
WILLS Rob. (Mr.)	Manager, International Information Management	Australia

(c) The International Bureau is designated as the Task Leader.

ATRs TASK FORCE

8. (a) The ATRs Task Force is responsible, within the framework of Task No. 24, for the discussion and preparation of a proposal to clarify the objectives of the ATRs and the target users of the ATRs. Once this has been achieved, the Task Force should prepare a proposal to revise and update the current recommended contents of the ATRs. (See paragraphs 58 to 62 of document SCIT/SDWG/6/11).

(b) Industrial property offices wishing to participate actively in the work of the Task Force nominated the following representatives:

ATRs Task Force Members

NAME	TITLE	COUNTRY OR ORGANIZATION
ABDRAZAK Nurbek (Mr.)	Deputy Head, Kyrgyzpatent Information Department	Kyrgyzstan
ANANYAN Naira (Mrs.)	Chief Expert of Information Technology Department	Armenia
ARAUJO Maria Luisa (Mme)	Chef, Département d'information et divulgation	Portugal
BONSELL Mary (Mrs.)	Senior Technical Information Officer	WIPO
CHARKVIANI Tamara (Mrs.)	Head, Information and International Relations Department	Georgia
CONNAH Gale (Ms.)	Planning and Policy Analyst. Information Branch	Canada
DAUKUVIENE Saule (Mrs.)	Deputy Head, Information and Information Technologies Division	Republic of Lithuania
FASTENBAUER Katharina (Mrs.)	Deputy Head, Technical Department 3A	Austria
HANCULAKOVA Zuzana (Mrs.)	Patent Documentation and Information Department	Slovakia
HUSSAIN Hussain Ali (Mr.)	General Manager of Technical and Administration Service Directorate.	Iraq
JANELIDZE Gocha (Mr.)	Deputy Head, Information and International Relations Department	Georgia
KALLAS Peter (Mr.)	Chairman, Working Group IMPACT	PDG
KAMIYAMA Shigeki (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Patent Information Promotion Policy Office	Japan
KAZENSKE Cheryl (Ms.)	International Intellectual Property Program Manager	United States of America
KIM Christopher (Mr.)	International Technical Projects Specialist	United States of America
KIM In-Sook (Ms.)	Assistant Director, Information Planning Division	Republic of Korea
LINDBOM Gunnar (Mr.)	IT-Controller and Administration Manager, Design and Trademark Department	Sweden
MAKSIMOVA Valeria (Mrs.)	Deputy Head, Department Information Resources and WIPO Standards Promotion	Russian Federation

NAME	TITLE	COUNTRY OR ORGANIZATION
MORENO GOMEZ Francisco José (Mr.)	Patent Examiner	Spain
MORIFUJI Atsushi (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Patent Information Division	Japan
NARAHARA Ryoshi (Mr.)	Staff, Information Dissemination and Policy Promotion Division	Japan
NESCHETNA Tetyana (Ms.)	Head, Patent Information Division, Patent Information Support Department	Ukraine
PRICE Martin (Mr.)	Senior Patent Examiner	United Kingdom
RAFFEEK Ghadhanfar A. (Mr.)	President, COSQC. Registrar of Patents and Industrial Designs	Iraq
RIDHA Traiza J. (Mr.)	Director of Industrial Property Division.	Iraq
RYAN Karen (Mrs.)	Patent Examiner	Ireland
STOLT Leif (Mr.)	Process Manager, Patent Department	Sweden
TYURINA Olga (Mrs.)	Senior Researcher, Information Resources Development Department	Russian Federation
VIERA Liliana (Mrs.)	Head, Division of International Cooperation and European Integration	Republic of Moldova
YUN Young-Woo (Mr.)	Industrial Property Information Officer	WIPO (CH)

(c) The International Bureau is designated as the Task Leader.

ST.22 TASK FORCE

9. (a) The ST.22 Task Force is responsible for carrying out Task No. 37: Revision of WIPO Standard ST.22.

(b) Industrial property offices wishing to participate actively in the work of the Task Force nominated the following representatives:

ST.22 Task Force Members

NAME	TITLE	COUNTRY OR ORGANIZATION
BALBAIE Elisabeth (Mrs.)	Patent Examiner	Romania
COX Bruce (Mr.)	Senior Advisor for Information Standards	United States of America
DAUBERT Katja (Mrs.)	Strategic Management and International Cooperation (IT)	Germany
FAUTEUX Jean (Mr.)	Expert	Canada
GUENTER Matthias (Mr.)	Head IT	Switzerland
HOFFMANN Konrad (Mr.)	Patent Examiner, IT International Cooperation	Germany
KAMIYAMA Shigeki (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Patent Information Promotion Policy Office	Japan
KIM Dong-Hwan (Mr.)	Deputy Director	Republic of Korea
KIM SANG (Mr.)	Assistant Director	Republic of Korea
MAZENC Christophe (Mr.)	Senior Analyst-Programmer	WIPO
MORIFUJI Atsushi (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Patent Information Policy Planning Office	Japan
NEGOULIAEV Gennady (Mr.)	Senior Researcher Associate, Information Resources & WIPO Standards Promotion	Russian Federation
NEMOTO Masahi (Mr.)	Senior Administrative Coordinator,	Japan
NING Long (Mr.)	Deputy Director General, Information Technology Department	China
NYGREN Patrik (Mr.)	Fulltext Data Manager	EPO
WILLS ROB (Mr.)	International Cooperation and Development Manager	Australia

(c) The International Bureau is designated as the Task Leader.

XML4IP TASK FORCE

10. (a) The XML4IP Task Force is responsible for carrying Task No. 41: Prepare a proposal for a dictionary of International Common Elements (ICEs), and model XML schemas and DTDs to be used for patents, trademarks and industrial designs.

(b) Industrial property offices wishing to participate actively in the work of the Task Force nominated the following representatives:

XML4IP Task Force Members

NAME	TITLE	COUNTRY OR ORGANIZATION
BATULEVICIUS Vincas (Mr.)	Acting Head of Information Technology Division	Lithuania
CARRERAS DURBÁN Maria Rosa (Mrs.)	Coordinator of International Technological Projects, IT Division	Spain
COX Bruce (Mr.)	Senior Advisor for Information Standards	United States of America
DALTREY Julie (Mrs.)	Project Manager	United Kingdom
DAUBERT Katja (Mrs.)	Strategic Management and International Cooperation (IT)	Germany
DAUKUVIENE Saule (Mrs.)	Deputy Head of the Information and Information Technologies Division	Lithuania
EBINA Tomoko (Ms.)	Deputy Director, Trademark, Information Dissemination and Policy Promotion Division	Japan
GIROUX Daniel (Mr.)	Project Manager, Electronic Commerce Initiatives	Canada
GRASHCHENKOVA Anna (Mrs.)	Principal Specialist	Russian Federation
HOFFMANN Konrad (Mr.)	Patent Examiner, IT International Cooperation	Germany
HOLBERTON Roger (Mr.)	Head, Business Applications Section	WIPO
HOY Samantha (Ms.)	Technical Coordinator, International Information Department	Australia
JOHNSON Robert (Mr.)	Manager International Account Management Division	United States of America
KAMIYAMA Shigeki (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Patent Information Promotion Policy Office	Japan
KIM Dong-Hwan (Mr.)	Deputy Director	Republic of Korea
KIM In-Sook (Ms.)	Assistant Director, Information Planning Division	Republic of Korea
KIMURA Takatoshi (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Services and System Optimization Promotion Office	Japan
LINDBOM Gunnar (Mr.)	Head of Administration and IT for Trademark and Design	Sweden
MAKSIMOVA Valeria (Mrs.)	Deputy Head, Information Resources and WIPO Standards Promotion Department	Russian Federation

NAME	TITLE	COUNTRY OR ORGANIZATION
MAZENC Christophe (Mr.)	Senior Analyst-Programmer	WIPO
MORIFUJI Atsushi (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Patent Information Division	Japan
NGUYEN HUNG (Mr.)	Official, Information Technology Division	Vietnam
ROCERO José Maria (Mr.)	System Analyst, Information Technologies Division	Spain
ROWLES Keri (Mr.)	Administrator Publication	EPO - Vienna
SARASÚA Leo (Mr.)		EPO - NL
SASANO Hideo (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Information Systems Affairs Division	Japan
TAYLOR Simon (Mr.)	IT Development Manager	United Kingdom
TOSHIMA Hiroshi (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Information Systems Affairs Division	Japan
TRAN Alexandre (Mr.)	IT Architect	OHIM
VOSTRIKOV Fedor (Mr.)	Head, Program Applications Elaboration Department	Russian federation
WELLENDORF Janne (Mrs.)	IT Project Manager	Denmark
WILLS Rob (Mr.)	Manager, International Information Management	Australia
YANG Tae-Hwan (Mr.)	Deputy Director	Republic of Korea
YUN Young-Woo (Mr.)	Industrial Property Information Officer	WIPO

(c) The International Bureau is designated as the Task Leader.

ST.36 TASKFORCE

11. (a) The ST.36 Task Force is responsible for carrying Task No. 38: Ensure continuous revision and updating of WIPO Standard ST.36.

(b) Industrial property offices wishing to participate actively in the work of the Task Force nominated the following representatives:

ST.36 Task Force Members

NAME	TITLE	COUNTRY OR ORGANIZATION
ARANDA José Maria (Mr.)	System Analyst, IT Division	Spain
BATULEVICIUS Vincas (Mr.)	Acting Head of Information Technology Division	Lithuania
BERLICKI Gabriel (Mr.)	Latipat Project Manager, Patents Department	Spain
BREWIN Paul (Mr.)	Team Manager e-Publication	EPO-NL
COX Bruce (Mr.)	Senior Advisor for Information Standards	United States of America
DALTREY Julie (Mrs.)	IT Project Manager	United Kingdom
DAUBERT Katja (Mrs.)	Strategic Management and International Cooperation (IT)	Germany
EARLY John (Mr.)	IT Project Manager	United Kingdom
EBINA Tomoko (Ms.)	Deputy Director, Trademark, Information Dissemination and Policy Promotion Division	Japan
FABRIZIOLI Patrizia (Ms.)	Software Engineer	Switzerland
GIROUX Daniel (Mr.)	Project Manager, Electronic Commerce Initiatives	Canada
GRASHCHENKOVA Anna (Mrs.)	Principal Specialist	Russian Federation
HOFFMANN Konrad (Mr.)	Patent Examiner, IT International Cooperation	Germany
HOY Samantha (Ms.)	Technical Coordinator, International Information Department	Australia
JOHNSON Robert (Mr.)	Manager International Account Management Division	United States of America
KAMIYAMA Shigeki (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Patent Information Promotion Policy Office	Japan
KIM Dong-Hwan (Mr.)	Deputy Director	Republic of Korea
KIM In-Sook (Ms.)	Assistant Director, Information Planning Division	Republic of Korea
KIMURA Takatoshi (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Information Affairs Division	Japan
LEVIN Don (Mr.)	Program Manager e-Commerce	United States of America

NAME	TITLE	COUNTRY OR ORGANIZATION
MAKSIMOVA Valeria (Mrs.)	Deputy Head, Information Resources and WIPO Standards Promotion Department	Russian Federation
MAZENC Christophe (Mr.)	Senior Analyst-Programmer	WIPO
MORIFUJI Atsushi (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Patent Information Division	Japan
NGUYEN HUNG (Mr.)	Official, Information Technology Division	Vietnam
ROCERO José Maria (Mr.)	System Analyst, IT Division	Spain
ROWLES Keri (Mr.)	Administrator Publication	EPO - Vienna
RUSANOVSKI Vitalie (Mr.)	Director, Informatics and Logistics Department	Republic of Moldova
SASANO Hideo (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Information Systems Affairs Division	Japan
TOSHIMA Hiroshi (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Information Systems Affairs Division	Japan
TRAN Alexandre (Mr.)	IT Architect	OHIM
VOSTRIKOV Fedor (Mr.)	Head, Program Applications Elaboration Department	Russian federation
WARING Peter (Mr.)	Head, Technical Cooperation Section	WIPO
WELLENDORF Janne (Mrs.)	IT Project Manager	Denmark
WILLS Rob (Mr.)	Manager, International Information Management	Australia
YANG Tae-Hwan (Mr.)	Deputy Director	Republic of Korea
YUN Young-Woo (Mr.)	Industrial Property Information Officer	WIPO

(c) The International Bureau is designated as the Task Leader.

ST.66 TASK FORCE

12. (a) The ST.66 Task Force is responsible for carrying Task No. 39: Ensure the necessary revisions and updates of WIPO Standard ST.66.

(b) Industrial property offices wishing to participate actively in the work of the Task Force nominated the following representatives:

ST.66 Task Force Members

NAME	TITLE	COUNTRY OR ORGANIZATION
ANDREWS Betty (Ms.)	Director, Office of Trademark Program Control	United States of America
BATULEVICIUS Vincas (Mr.)	Acting Head of Information Technology Division	Lithuania
BECKMANN Steve (Mr.)	Office of Trademark Program Control	United States of America
CANNON Gary (Mr.)	IT Specialist	United States of America
COX Bruce (Mr.)	Senior Advisor for Information Standards	United States of America
DAUBERT Katja (Mrs.)	Strategic Management and International Cooperation (IT)	Germany
DAUKUVIENE Saule (Mrs.)	Strategic Management and International Technologies Division	Lithuania
EBINA Tomoko (Ms.)	Deputy Director, Trademark, Information and IT Division	Japan
GARCIA OLMOS Maribel (Mrs.)	Head, Software Developments (Trademarks), IT Division	Spain
GIROUX Daniel (Mr.)	Project Manager, Electronic Commerce Initiatives	Canada
GRASHCHENKOVA Anna (Mrs.)	Principal Specialist	Russian Federation
HOFFMANN Konrad (Mr.)	Patent Examiner, IT International Cooperation	Germany
HOLBERTON Roger (Mr.)	Head, Business Applications Section	WIPO
HOLMES Mark (Mr.)	Project Manager	United Kingdom
HOY Samantha (Ms.)	Technical Coordinator, International Information Department	Australia
JOHNSON Robert (Mr.)	Manager International Account Management Division	United States of America
KAMIYAMA Shigeki (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Patent Information Promotion Policy Office	Japan
KIM Dong-Hwan (Mr.)	Deputy Director	Republic of Korea
KIM In-Sook (Ms.)	Assistant Director, Information Planning Division	Republic of Korea
KIMURA Takatoshi (Mr.)		Japan

NAME	TITLE	COUNTRY OR ORGANIZATION
LINDBOM Gunnar (Mr.)	Head, Trademark and Design Department	Sweden
MAKSIMOVA Valeria (Mrs.)	Deputy Head, Information Resources and WIPO Standards Promotion Department	Russian Federation
MORIFUJI Atsushi (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Patent Information Division	Japan
NGUYEN HUNG (Mr.)	Official, Information Technology Division	Vietnam
POSSELT Christian (Mr.)	IT-Coordinator (Trademarks)	Germany
PUTZ Jean-Marie (Mr.)	IT-Manager	BENELUX
RAMIRO PALACIOS Francisco (Mr.)	System Analyst, IT Division	Spain
SARASUA Leo (Mr.)		EPO - NL
SASANO Hideo (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Information Systems Affairs Division	Japan
TAYLOR Simon (Mr.)	IT Development Manager	United Kingdom
TOSHIMA Hiroshi (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Information Systems Affairs Division	Japan
TRAN Alexandre (Mr.)	IT Architect	OHIM
VOSTRIKOV Fedor (Mr.)	Head, Program Applications Elaboration Department	Russian federation
WELLENDORF Janne (Mrs.)	IT Project Manager	Denmark
WILLS Rob (Mr.)	Manager, International Information Management	Australia
YANG Tae-Hwan (Mr.)	Deputy Director	Republic of Korea
YUN Young-Woo (Mr.)	Industrial Property Information Officer	WIPO

(c) The International Bureau is designated as the Task Leader.

ST.86 TASK FORCE

13. (a) The ST.86 Task Force is responsible for carrying out Task No. 40: Prepare a standard for the processing of industrial design information using XML.

(b) Industrial property offices wishing to participate actively in the work of the Task Force nominated the following representatives:

ST.86 Task Force Members

NAME	TITLE	COUNTRY OR ORGANIZATION
ARROYO Ana (Mrs.)	System Analyst, IT Division	Spain
BATULEVICIUS Vincas (Mr.)	Acting Head of Information Technology Division	Lithuania
COX Bruce (Mr.)	Senior Advisor for Information Standards	United States of America
DAUBERT Katja (Mrs.)	Strategic Management and International Cooperation (IT)	Germany
DAUKUVIENE Saule (Mrs.)	Strategic Management and International Technologies Division	Lithuania
GIROUX Daniel (Mr.)	Project Manager, Electronic Commerce Initiatives	Canada
GRASHCHENKOVA Anna (Mrs.)	Principal Specialist	Russian Federation
HOFFMANN Konrad (Mr.)	Patent Examiner, IT International Cooperation	Germany
HOLBERTON Roger (Mr.)	Head, Business Applications Section	WIPO
HOLMES Mark (Mr.)	Project Manager	United Kingdom
HOY Samantha (Ms.)	Technical Coordinator, International Information Department	Australia
JOHNSON Robert (Mr.)	Manager International Account Management Division	United States of America
KAMIYAMA Shigeki (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Patent Information Promotion Policy Office	Japan
KIM Dong-Hwan (Mr.)	Deputy Director	Republic of Korea
KIM In-Sook (Ms.)	Assistant Director, Information Planning Division	Republic of Korea
KIMURA Takatoshi (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Information Affairs Division	Japan
LEVIN Don (Mr.)	Program Manager	United States of America
LINDBOM Gunnar (Mr.)	Head, Trademark and Design Department	Sweden
MAKSIMOVA Valeria (Mrs.)	Deputy Head, Information Resources and WIPO Standards Promotion Department	Russian Federation

NAME	TITLE	COUNTRY OR ORGANIZATION
MORIFUJI Atsushi (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Patent Information Division	Japan
NGUYEN HUNG (Mr.)	Official, Information Technology Division	Vietnam
PEÑA Carmen de la (Mrs.)	Head, Software Developments (Inventions and Industrial Designs), IT Division	Spain
QUAAS Martin (Mr.)	IT Consultant – Industrial Designs Section	Germany
SARASUA Leo (Mr.)		EPO - NL
SASANO Hideo (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Information Systems Affairs Division	Japan
SMITH Janice (Mrs.)	Project Manager	United Kingdom
TAYLOR Simon (Mr.)	IT Development Manager	United Kingdom
TOSHIMA Hiroshi (Mr.)	Deputy Director, Information Systems Affairs Division	Japan
TRAN Alexandre (Mr.)	IT Architect	OHIM
VOSTRIKOV Fedor (Mr.)	Head, Program Applications Elaboration Department	Russian federation
WELLENDORF Janne (Mrs.)	IT Project Manager	Denmark
WILLS Rob (Mr.)	Manager, International Information Management	Australia
YANG Tae-Hwan (Mr.)	Deputy Director	Republic of Korea
YUN Young-Woo (Mr.)	Industrial Property Information Officer	WIPO

(c) The International Bureau is designated as the Task Leader.

[End of Annex II and of document]