

# Topic 4: Types of Work Products and Sources for their Retrieval

Lutz Mailänder

Head, International Cooperation on Examination and Training Section

Cybercity March 14, 2022

# Agenda

- Types of work products/examination results
  - intermediary
    - PCT international phase
  - final
- Overview of options and sources for retrieval
  - Patent registers (primary sources)
  - Work-sharing platforms (secondary sources)

# Work-Sharing in the PCT national phase

Utilizing examination work products from other national phases for improving **efficiency** and **quality** requires

- Databases/platforms providing
  - Patent family information (family table) [>Topic 2]
  - Examination status of family members [>Topic 3]
  - Access to examination work products (dossiers, file wrappers) of family members [>Topic 4]
- Ideally, platforms which integrate this information in a user-friendly manner, e.g. within family table; and with additional tools, for example, for comparing work products (Common Citation Document CCD) [>Topic 6]
- Information on differing national practices (naming and content of work products; important case law; exclusions; ..)



# Actions during life cycle of application

#### Actions are performed by

- Applicant/representative
- Office officials
- (Third parties)

#### As defined by

- Law
- Regulations
- other (codified) legal instructions
- Habitual practice

#### Communicated usually in written form

- Paper copies
- Electronic copies in file wrapper/dossier
  - > 'examination work products'

Publicly accessible ('laid open') for published applications:

> 'file inspection'



# Types of examination work products


- Intermediary or pre-grant work products
  - Search reports
    - basic list of citations (cited by examiner, by applicant)
    - enriched search reports (citation category X, Y, ..; relevant claims;...)
  - Search strategies
  - Written opinions, examination reports
  - Communications from applicant to examiner
  - Protocols of hearings
  - Third party observations
- Final work products/results
  - Granted claims; claims after opposition
  - Rejections; withdrawals following substantive reports; abandoned claims
- Post-grant work products/results
  - Additional prior art from opposition/re-examination/invalidation
  - Restricted claims
  - Communications between involved parties (3+)



# Overview of differing terminology

- Search reports (basic; enriched)/Citations
  - USPTO: "List of references cited by examiner", "List of references cited by applicant and considered by examiner"
  - JPO: "Search Report by Registered Searching Organization"
  - EPO: "European Search Report"
- Written opinions, examination reports
  - PCT: "Written opinions" = examiner statements before IPRP issuance (sent to applicant)
  - PCT: IPRP = Written opinion sent to designated/elected offices at the end of the international phase
  - EPO: "European search opinion", "Communication from the examining division" (subsequent written opinions during examination)
  - USPTO: "Non-final rejections", "Final rejections"
  - JPO: "Notification of reasons for refusal"
  - CA: "Examiner requisition"





# Main products of international phase

#### Work products of authorities

- Publication of international application (bibliographic data, abstract, description, drawings, claims) [Rule 48]
- International Search Report (ISR) [Rule 43]
- Written Opinion of ISA (WO-ISA) [Rule 43bis]
  - prepared as complement to international search, but deals in substance with examination matters
- (Optional) Supplementary International Search Report (SISR) [Rule 45bis.7]
- International Preliminary Report on Patentability (IPRP)
  - IPRP (Chapter I) = WO-ISA plus cover sheet [Rule 44bis], or
  - IPRP (Chapter II) = International Preliminary Examination Report (IPER)

#### Other statements related to patentability

- (Optional) informal statements by applicant on WO-ISA and respective amendments of claims [Article 19(1); Rule 46.4]
- (Optional) third party observations [Sect. 801ff]



# International publications

- 18 month after filing/priority date: WO-A1 or WO-A2
- WO-A1: international application + international search report
- WO-**A2**: international application only if ISR not yet available
  - Front page, description, drawings, claims
  - Declaration that no ISR will be established (Article 17(2)(a))
- WO-A3: Later publication international search report + front page
- WO-**A4**: Later publication of amended claims and/or statement (Article 19)
- WO-**A8**: Republication front page with corrections
- WO-A9: Republication of full application or ISR with corrections, alterations or supplements

# International Search Report (ISR)


- Established by (selected) competent ISA
- Search based on claims as originally filed (Article 15)
  - > Limited utility of ISR for claims amended for national phase entry
- Search performed according to PCT Examination Guidelines
- Prior art is everything made available to the public (Rule 33)
  - in written disclosure (ISR may refer to oral disclosure, exhibition, though)
  - prior to the international filing date (i.e. priorities are not taken into account for the international search)
- Not any written disclosure is to be searched: **only PCT minimum documentation** (Rule 34)
  - Top-up search in national or further patent collections
- In case of lack of unity, only the "first" invention will be searched (Rule 40), unless additional fees are paid
- ISA can decline search of certain subject matter (Rule 39), namely subject matter that is excluded from patentability in national law (PCT does not define what is patentable!)



### **ISR**

- ISR is "enriched" search report as it includes
  - List of relevant prior art documents (citations) **plus** indications:
  - Claims for which a citation is relevant
  - Parts of the cited document which are relevant (e.g. 'line 5-6, page 7; drawing 6') for those claims
  - Why the document is relevant (challenging novelty, inventive step; describing background art)
  - IPCs of the claimed subject matter
  - Limited search strategy: technology areas (IPC) searched
- Includes observations regarding lack of unity (Box III), or whether no meaningful search could be performed (clarity of claims)

# Enriched prior art search reports



L: document cited for other reasons

& : member of the same patent family, corresponding

document of the same category

A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

ORGANIZATION

# Categories of citations

- X particularly relevant if taken alone (novelty)
- Y particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category (inventive step)
- A technological background
- O non written disclosure
- P intermediate document, i.e. published between the earliest priority date and the filing date
- E earlier document but published on or after the filing date
- T theory or principle underlying the invention
- D document cited in the application
- I (applied by EPO for limited period of time)

See 16.59ff of the PCT Examination Guidelines



# Written Opinion (WO), IPRP (Chapter I,II)

- WO is established together with ISR but not published with ISR
- Deals in substance with examination matters; presents a preliminary opinion on:
  - novelty (not anticipated)
  - inventive step (not obvious)
  - industrial applicability
- Priority dates are taken into account
- Sent to applicant with ISR
- WO is converted to IPRP (Chapter I) if no chapter II preliminary examination is requested, and communicated to DO (30 months) together with any informal comments of the applicant on WO-ISA
- Not binding for national phase examination
- Made publicly accessible (file inspection) after 30 months (Rule 44ter), e.g. in
  - Patentscope, EP-Register, Global Dossier
  - not yet in CCD



#### PCT - WO

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims No: Claims

s <u>3, 19</u> s <u>1, 2, 4-18, 20</u>

1-20

1-20

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims

: Claims

Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Form PCT/ISA/237 (April 2007)

Statements (Rule 70.6)

Explanations (Rule 70.8)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2010/020787

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- Reference is made to the following documents:
  - D1 US 2002/186921 A1 (SCHUMACHER LYNN C [CA] ET AL) 12 December 2002 (2002-12-12)
  - D2 WO 2007/136816 A2 (MASSACHUSETTS INST TECHNOLOGY [US]; BULOVIC VLADIMIR [YU]; KYMISSIS IO) 29 November 2007 (2007-11-29)
- The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1, 4-15, 17-18, 20 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 2.1 D1 discloses

an optical fiber capable of being diagnosed non-invasively comprising an optical fiber (12) for conveying a light beam; said optical fiber comprising a first end for receiving said light beam and a second end opposed thereto, a core (14) comprising an inner wall, and a cladding (16) surrounding said core,

said optical fiber further comprising at least one uncladded portion comprising a plurality of quantum dots (26) dispersed in a medium,

wherein said quantum dots become activated by evanescent wave coupling resulting from total internal reflection of said light beam contacting said inner wall of said optical fiber core and wherein said activation results in emittance of light from said quantum dots (Fig. 2c; paragraphs [0033], [0045]).

Therefore, the subject-matter of present claim 1 is not novel over the teachings of D1.

2.2 D1 also discloses

ORGANIZATION

### Access to Examination Work Products

- File inspection (dossier) gives access to all communications between applicant and office/examiner, i.e. examination work products, for published applications, in particular
  - Search reports (citations)
  - examination reports/written opinions
  - Original and amended claims
    - Opinions and search reports are based on (amended) claims
    - Claim sets may be different in different jurisdictions
      - May limit the utility of work products if claim set to be examined is different from that of other jurisdictions
  - Final rejection rulings, grant decisions



# Dossier Access (and Status Information)

- **Primary sources:** each jurisdiction defines how **authoritative (official)** patent information is published and the respective authority in charge
- National Patent Registers are authoritative sources for
  - national legal status: all do (many online)
  - national family relations (divisions, continuations)
  - national publications
  - online access to national dossiers (public file inspection): some do
- Secondary sources (dossier access platforms): one-stop shops to access information from several primary sources through a unified user interface (building on a table of the patent family); access with English user interface:
  - Espacenet Global Dossier (public)
  - **USPTO Global Dossier** (public)(Google Patents links to USPTO GD)
  - J-PlatPat One Portal Dossier (public)
  - CPQUERY (registration required)
  - WIPO CASE (non-public)
  - WIPO PATENTSCOPE (public)




### **Global Dossier**

- Initially IP5 initiative (initially labelled One Portal Dossier)
- Access to IP5 Offices' file wrappers/dossiers
  - always up-to-date because it is retrieved on-the-fly from IP5 national registers
  - Machine translation for non-English documents
  - Accessible via Espacenet, USPTO-GD, J-PatPLat, CPQUERY, Google Patents
  - Same data, only different user interface
- Access to non-IP5 dossiers of 'providing' Offices of WIPO-CASE
  - partly operational
- Espacenet interface with additional information/tools
  - Different types of families viewable (USPTO GD only extended family)
  - Inpadoc legal status
  - integrated access to Common Citation Document (CCD):
    - viewing <u>and</u> comparing of citations from members of extended and simple families from AP, AU, CA, CN, DE, EA, EP, JP, KR, RU, TW, US, WO, ....
    - 'comparing': which examiners have seen a particular citation or an equivalent thereof


INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

ORGANIZATION

### **Global Dossier at USPTO**



### **Global Dossier at USPTO**



# One Portal Dossier (OPD) in J-PlatPat



Help desk

**\** (+81)3-3588-2751 (weekdays 9:00-21:00 JST) 

 helpdesk@j-platpat.inpit.go.ip

Site Map **Japanese** Help list National Center for Industrial Property Information and Training

► Help

Patents/Utility Models Designs Trademark Trials & Appeals


Home > Patent/Utility Model Number Search/OPD

#### Q Patent/Utility Model Number Search/OPD


If you select a document, you can retrieve various publications including patents/utility models, foreign documents, and non-patent documents (journals of technical disclosure).

If you select OPD retrieval, you can view application information and examination information (dossier information) at patent offices around the world.

Select an issued country/region/issued organization and type, and enter a number.




### One Portal Dossier in J-PlatPat



Expand Document List

### **Dossiers in CPQUERY**



# Dossier access platforms

#### WIPO-CASE (non-public) - Centralized Access to Search and Examination

- Accessible only for 'accessing' and 'providing' Offices
- 'Providing' offices share their dossiers with other participating offices:
  - IP5 dossiers obtained from GD/OPD (WIPO/EPO collaboration)
  - plus: AU, BN, CA, CL, GB, IL, IN, NZ, SG ...
- All ASEAN member offices are 'accessing' offices, only BN, SG are also 'providing'; others may become 'providing' in the near future
- Family information includes only so-called 'complex' families
  - Proprietary family building based on applications of 'providing' Offices recorded in CASE, and NPEs recorded in PATENTSCOPE
  - EPO INPADOC family data are not integrated
- No plans to open CASE to the public
- Majority of dossiers are also publicly accessible through PATENTSCOPE 'document' tab (labelled as 'Global Dossier')



IP Services

Policy

Cooperation

Resources

About IP

**About WIPO** 

Search WIPO

\_\_\_\_\_

On this page ▼

Home > IP Services > WIPO CASE

#### WIPO CASE - Centralized Access to Search and Examination

The WIPO CASE system enables patent offices to securely share search and examination documentation related to patent applications in order to facilitate work sharing programs.

Many patent applications are filed in multiple offices and patent examiners can increase the efficiency and quality of their work by sharing their examination results.

The system was initially developed by the International Bureau in response to a request from the Vancouver Group offices (the patent offices of Australia, Canada and the UK). Based on their requirements, an initial system was deployed in 2011. The system was further enhanced during 2012 and is now operational for exchange of documents between examiners in the three offices.

Since June 1, 2015, any patent office may join the system by notifying the International Bureau that it is willing to participate according to the new terms and conditions per for the system. The office will choose whether it wishes to be a providing office or only an accessing office and technical choices need to be made for the exchange of documents between the office and the system. During a transition period, some offices are still participating according to the previous


Access the WIPO CASE system

Terms and conditions PDF

Consultative Group WIKI




### CASE family table



PCTUS2014052705 <b>X</b>			
Time Line Tabular View Fa	amily Citations Discussion		
Application/Priority #	Filing/National Entry Date	Publications	PCT Application #
EA201690475 E	2014-08-26	EA 201690475.A1.2016-06-30	PCT/US2014/052705.2014-08-26
US61/870,089	2013-08-26		
CLAP2016000410 <sup>₽</sup>	2014-08-26	CL A/P/2016/000410.A.2016-10-28	PCT/US2014/052705.2014-08-26
IDP00201601115	2014-08-26	ID 2017/08000.A.2017-07-28	PCT/US2014/052705.2014-08-26
MA38846 <sup>₽</sup>	2014-08-26	MA 38846.A1.2017-07-31	PCT/US2014/052705.2014-08-26
US61/870,089	2013-08-26		
EP2014840412 <sup>™</sup>	2014-08-26	EP 3038726.A1.2016-07-06	PCT/US2014/052705.2014-08-26
US201361870089 (i)	2013-08-26		
MYPI2016700460	2014-08-26		PCT/US2014/052705.2014-08-26
US61/870,089	2013-08-26		
ARP140103202 <sup>₽</sup>	2014-08-26	AR 097461.A1.2016-03-16	
			5 5

### CASE family table – lower part



#### IN dossier via CASE

WIPO IP PORTAL MENU WIPO CASE

HELP


Application Number \* pct/us2014/052705 Extended Simple e.g.: ( PCT/JP

To date, IN dossiers are not systematically updated in CASE and PATENTSCOPE;


Better to access via register inPASS



all tabs



#### AU dossier via CASE




# Dossier access platforms


#### **PATENTSCOPE**

- Public access to WIPO CASE dossiers through 'document' tab (labelled as 'Global Dossier', though)
- For jurisdictions which have authorized public sharing outside of CASE
- For some additional jurisdictions enabling deep-linking to their national registers
- Two distinct family tables
  - PCT family (National Phase Entries (NPE) reported to WIPO from Designated and Elected Offices)
    - only shown for WO publications
    - Not comprehensive because depending on reporting by DO and EO)
  - Additional proprietary family building based on simple family concept
  - EPO INPADOC family data are not integrated





# Dossiers in PATENTSCOPE (CASE data)



### Dossiers in PATENTSCO To date, IN dossiers are

WIPO IP PORTAL

MENU (

*PATENTSCOPE* 

National Biblio, Data Documents

To date, IN dossiers are not systematically updated in CASE and PATENTSCOPE;

CE C

Better to access via register inPASS

1. IN201627006520 - GAS TRANSPORT COMPOSIT

PermaLink **Global Dossier** Legal date Description Download 25.02.2016 DESCRIPTION **PDF** PDF 25.02.2016 DRAWING 25.02.2016 FORM 20 **PDF** 25.02.2016 PRIORITY DOCUMENT **PDF** 05.04.2016 CORRESPONDENCE **PDF** 05.04.2016 FORM 3 **PDF** 05.04.2016 FORM 5 **PDF** 05.04.2016 FORM PCT-IB-306 **PDF** 



# National phase entry tab PATENTSCOPE


WIPO **DEMOUSER GB OFFICE** MENU **PATENTSCOPE HELP** IP PORTAL Feedback Search ▼ Settings Browse ▼ Tools ▼ 1. W01999007319 - A MULTI-FUNCTIONAL FASTENER FOR DISPOSABLE ABSORBENT ARTICLES National application numbers reported by designated/elected PCT Biblio. Data Description Claims Drawings National Phase offices PermaLink Available information on National Phase entries (more information) Office **Entry Date** Nationa National Status Israel 29.07.1998 132595 Published: 19.03.2001 Granted: 11.02.2005 Canada 03.11.1999 2289029 1020007001251 Hyperlinked to national registers **Sharing NPE data** where possible mandatory as from PA/a/2000/001381 **July 2017** 

86022/98

07 03 2000

ertragen der Daten von www.google-analytics.com...

# Register access example: Canada



# Future of dossier access platforms

- Espacenet, USPTO-Global Dossier, J-PlatPat and WIPO-CASE & PATENTSCOPE are (at the present) complementary to each other
- Shall, in future, provide access to same set of dossiers
- Which one to use then?
  - Better user interface?
    - Searching, viewing, exporting, ...
  - Additional tools (comparing, translations, alerts, ..)
  - Additional information (citations, enriched citations, different types of families, ...)
- Many national registers already enable deep linking
- Do we still need secondary platforms then? Or just a 'federated register', i.e. a comprehensive family table linking to national registers

# Utility foreign examination work products

- Examination reports/opinions from family members
  - Claims need to have equal wording or at least equal scope
- Search reports/citations from family members
  - Claims of other family member should cover similar inventions or related technologies
    - Usually true even for extended family members
  - Top-up search required if relevant collections were not searched; or claims if subject matter of amended claims were not searched previously
- Claim sets granted
  - Description needs to support foreign claim set
    - Usually true for PCT family members
    - Not necessarily true for simple family
    - Not very likely for extended family
  - Awaiting final like claim sets granted results incurs delay
  - Using final results usually avoids assessing novelty and inventiveness; requires only assessing if claimed subject matter is exempt/excluded from patentability according to national law
    wipo

# Thank you

lutz.mailander@wipo.int