

WIPO



MM/A/XXVII/3

ORIGINAL: English

DATE: November 15, 1995

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
GENEVA

**SPECIAL UNION FOR THE INTERNATIONAL REGISTRATION OF MARKS
(MADRID UNION)**

ASSEMBLY

Twenty-Seventh Session (16th Extraordinary)
Geneva, January 15 to 18, 1996

**DRAFT SCHEDULE OF FEES UNDER THE MADRID AGREEMENT,
THE MADRID PROTOCOL AND THE COMMON REGULATIONS**

Document prepared by the International Bureau

Introduction

1. As mentioned in document MM/A/XXVII/2 (see paragraph 2, thereof), the present document contains (in Annex I) the draft of the Schedule of Fees which will be annexed to, and form part of, the Common Regulations under the Madrid Agreement and the Madrid Protocol.
2. Early drafts of the Schedule of Fees under the Agreement and the Protocol have been submitted to, and considered by, the Working Group on the Application of the Madrid Protocol of 1989 (hereinafter referred to as "the Working Group") at its second, third, fourth, fifth and sixth sessions (see documents GT/PM/II/2, GT/PM/III/2, GT/PM/IV/2, GT/PM/V/2 and 7, and GT/PM/VI/2 and 7). In each case, the Working Group was invited to consider the structure of the proposed Schedule of Fees rather than the amounts shown in the drafts submitted to it which, it was pointed out, were purely indicative and subject to further study.
3. The draft Schedule of Fees appearing in Annex I maintains the structure endorsed by the Working Group at its fifth session (see document GT/PM/V/6, paragraphs 100 to 109), subject to what is said in paragraph 28, below. In particular, the draft Schedule of Fees provides for common fees for international registrations governed by the Agreement, international registrations governed by the Protocol and international registrations governed by both--except of course as regards the "individual fees" that Contracting Parties of the Protocol may elect to receive in respect of international registrations and renewals in which they are designated, in lieu of their share in the distribution of complementary and supplementary fees. One will find below a detailed review of each of the proposed fees. Wherever reference is made, in this document, to the "current Schedule of Fees" or to the "current amount" or "current level" of a particular fee, such expressions are meant to refer to the Schedule of Fees included in Rule 32 of the Regulations under the Madrid Agreement as last amended by the Assembly at its twenty-sixth session (September-October 1995) with effect from April 1, 1996 (see Annex II).

Basic Fee Payable in Connection with the International Application or Renewal (Items 1.1, 2.1, 3.1 and 6.1 of the Draft Schedule of Fees)

4. A basic understanding, throughout the sessions of the Working Group, has been that the basic fee (and the complementary and supplementary fees) paid by applicants and holders for a 10-year registration or renewal period could not be the same as the fees that they currently pay for 20-year terms of protection.

5. Yet, dividing the basic fee by two, on account of the fact that the duration of the term of protection is divided by two, would lead to two types of problems: a resource problem and a cash flow problem.

6. A resource problem because it is estimated that only 50 to 55% of international registrations will be renewed (or maintained) after the first term of 10 years. If the basic fee were reduced to 50% of its current amount, the International Bureau would therefore receive, over two 10-year terms, only some 77.5% of the fees that it would have received for the same number of registrations made for a 20-year term (50% initially, plus 55% of 50% = 27.5% after 10 years). On that account only, the application and renewal fees could not be lowered to less than 65% of their current level if they are to generate the same amount of resources over a period of 20 years.

7. A cash flow problem because the cost for the International Bureau of processing an international application is the same whether the term of protection is 10 or 20 years. Assuming, therefore, that the 10-year term basic fees for international registration and renewal were fixed at a level such that they would, over a 20-year period, generate the same amount as the current 20-year term fees, the question still arises of how to finance the deficit during the first 10 years of operation under the new Schedule of Fees.

8. The approved budget for the Madrid Union for the 1996-97 biennium is the following (reflecting the 3% fee increase included in the current Schedule of Fees as of April 1, 1996):

	<u>(000 francs)</u>
Fee income	48,369
Other income	<u>6,250</u>
Total income	54,619
Expenditure	<u>55,611</u>
Deficit	-992

9. The above-mentioned fee income can be approximately sub-divided as shown in the following table, assuming (i) that one-quarter of the 1996 expected number of 23,000 international registrations and renewals (R+Rs) under the Madrid Agreement (i.e. 5,750 R+Rs) would be received in the period January to March 1996 (for which period the 3% fee increase included in the current Schedule of Fees does not apply) and noting that the balance of the said 23,000 R+Rs for 1996 (i.e. 17,250) and the expected 24,000 R+Rs for 1997 (totaling 41,250 R+Rs, all assumed to be under the Madrid Agreement) would be subject to the 3% fee increase included in the current Schedule of Fees; (ii) that (as estimated in making the budget) about 83% of the R+Rs would be registrations and that 17% would be renewals; and (iii) that 70% of the registrations (as is now the case) would pay the fee for the full period of 20 years (now 846 francs; 871 francs as of April 1, 1996), and 30% would pay the fee only for the first period of 10 years (now 556 francs; 573 francs as of April 1, 1996),

with the balance of the basic fee for the second period of 10 years (the "solde d'émolument," now 706 francs; 727 francs as of April 1, 1996) being counted as part of the "Other fees for the International Bureau."

	000 francs	
	January 1996 to March 1996	April 1996 to December 1997
Basic fees		
Registrations		
20 year fee		
(3,341 x 846 francs =)	2,826	
(23,966 x 871 francs =)		20,874
10 years initially		
(1,432 x 556 francs =)	796	
(10,271 x 573 francs =)		5,885
Renewals		
(977 x 846 francs =)	827	
(7,013 x 871 francs =)		6,108
Sub-total R+Rs basic fees	4,449	32,867
Other fees for the International Bureau	<u>1,318</u>	<u>9,735</u>
	5,767	42,602
	<hr/>	
	48,369	

This simplified presentation indicates an average basic fee income for the International Bureau of $(4,449,000/5,750 =) 774$ francs per R+R until March 31, 1996, with an average per R+R thereafter of $(32,867,000/41,250 =) 797$ francs. (The average for the first nine months of 1995 was actually 772 francs per R+R.) The said basic fee income for R+Rs constitutes the main part, now about 76%, of the total Madrid fee income for the International Bureau. The balance--"Other fees for the International Bureau"--includes the "solde d'émolument," surcharges for marks with a figurative element or a special form of writing or in color, classification fees, and the surcharge for using the period of grace (which constitute in total about 8% of the fee income), fees for various modifications, including subsequent territorial extensions (which constitute about 13% of the fee income), and fees for extracts (which constitute about 3% of the fee income).

10. If there were to be a 35% reduction (see paragraph 6, last sentence, above) of the basic fee for registrations and renewals, then income from basic fees for the period from April 1996 to December 1997 would be about $((23,966 + 10,271) \times 871 \times 0.65 =)$ 19.4 million francs for registrations and about $(7,013 \times 871 \times 0.65 =)$ 4 million francs for renewals, giving a total of about 23.4 million francs, i.e., about 9.5 million francs below the 32.9 million basic fee income shown in the above table. Considering the impact of other proposed modifications to the current Schedule of Fees (see below) and the fact that, thanks to the new computer system

being put in place in the International Trademark Registry, the cost of processing, notifying and publishing international registrations and other records in the International Register under the new Common Regulations--that is, for international registrations governed by the Madrid Agreement, by the Protocol or by both-- should be only slightly higher than the cost of processing, notifying and publishing international registrations and other records under the current Regulations under the Madrid Agreement, the estimated deficit for the 1996-97 biennium would be about 10 million francs. Such a deficit could be covered by the reserve fund of the Madrid Union which now stands at 26.2 million francs. However, at such a level, the reserve fund of the Madrid Union would be exhausted in about five years.

11. It is therefore proposed to fix the level of the basic fee at 75% of the current amount, that is at $(871 \times 0.75 =) 653$ francs, and that, as is currently the case, the same amount apply in respect of an international registration and a renewal. With that level of 75%, income from basic fees for the period from April 1996 to December 1997 would be about $((23,966 + 10,271) \times 871 \times 0.75 =) 22.4$ million francs for registrations and about $(7,013 \times 871 \times 0.75 =) 4.6$ million francs for renewals, giving a total of about 27 million francs, i.e., about 5.9 million francs below the 32.9 million basic fee income shown in the above table. Considering the impact of other proposed modifications to the current Schedule of Fees (see below) and the other considerations indicated in the preceding paragraph, the estimated deficit for the 1996-97 biennium would be about 6.4 million francs. The reserve fund of the Madrid Union could accommodate that level of deficit for about eight years.

12. The current Schedule of Fees provides for a surcharge to be paid by the applicant where the mark whose international registration is applied for includes a figurative element or is in a special form of writing. The amount of that surcharge is 72 francs when the mark is not published in color and 440 francs when the mark is published in color. In the draft Schedule of Fees any surcharge has been eliminated where the reproduction of the mark is in black and white and color is not claimed, and a (reduced) surcharge has been included in the basic fee where the reproduction of the mark is in color, or is in black and white and color is claimed (in both cases the color reproduction of the mark will (also) be notified and published). It is proposed that the amount of that surcharge be 250 francs (i.e. some 43% less than the current amount of the color surcharge).

13. It is acknowledged that, at the sixth session of the Working Group, the International Bureau stated that it was hoped that the (purely indicative) additional amount of 250 francs included in the draft Schedule of Fees contained in document GT/PM/VI/2 could, because of technological progress, be further lowered, or that publication in color could even be made without any surcharge (see document GT/PM/VI/6, paragraph 144). The actual cost of color reproduction does not however permit to propose a further reduction of the color surcharge at this stage. It is nevertheless to be noted that the overall reduction of the basic fee for an international registration involving publication in color will be as much as 31% (from $(871 + 440 =) 1,311$ francs under the current Schedule of Fees to 903 francs under the draft Schedule of Fees). Similarly, the overall reduction of the basic fee for a mark including a figurative element or a special form of writing published in black and white only will also be 31% (from $(871 + 72 =) 943$ francs to 653 francs).

Basic Fee Payable in Connection with Subsequent Designations (Item 5.1 of the Draft Schedule of Fees)

14. The proposed amount of this fee is 300 francs. The corresponding amount in the current Schedule of Fees is 177 francs. As explained in the Working Group (see document GT/PM/VI/3, paragraph 128), the increase in the level of this fee is explained by the fact that, with the entry into force of the Common Regulations, notifications of all subsequent designations will include all the data recorded in the International Register in respect of the international registration concerned (thus providing Offices of designated Contracting Parties with a complete "extract" of the International Register for the purposes of examination of the subsequent designations) and subsequent designations made under Rule 24(1)(b) will, under Rule 6(3)(c), involve, in addition to the publication, in English, of all the data recorded in the International Register in respect of the international registration concerned, republication of those data in French.

Complementary and Supplementary Fees (Items 1.2, 1.3, 2.2, 2.3, 3.2, 3.3, 5.2, 5.3, 6.2 and 6.3 of the Draft Schedule of Fees)

15. At the sixth session of the Working Group, the International Bureau indicated that the relationship between the complementary and supplementary fees, as well as the relationship between those fees and the basic fee, would be reviewed before the amounts of all the fees were fixed by the Assembly (see document GT/PM/VI/6, paragraph 144).

16. It is recalled that supplementary fees apply where the list of goods and services covered by the international registration encompasses more than three classes of the international classification and provided not all the designated Contracting Parties have elected to receive an "individual fee." Supplementary fees represent a very small proportion of the total amount of complementary and supplementary fees distributed by the International Bureau to members of the Madrid Union (4% in 1994) and it had been suggested that one should examine whether that fee could be abolished or merged with the complementary fee (see document GT/PM/IV/3, paragraph 132).

17. To abolish the supplementary fee (or merge it with the complementary fee), however, does not seem possible, because that fee is provided for in the Madrid Agreement (Article 8(2)(b)) and in the Protocol (Article 8(2)(ii)) themselves. Fixing the supplementary fee at a level lower than the complementary fee, without affecting the overall return to members of the Madrid Union, would only result in transferring part of the burden of that fee to the complementary fee, i.e. to all applicants and holders, and in making the supplementary fee even less meaningful. Fixing it at a level higher than the complementary fee does not seem very practical either because, in order to produce a significant reduction in the complementary fee, a considerable increase of the supplementary fee would be required (a doubling of the supplementary fee would result in a reduction of the complementary fee by only about 4%). It is therefore proposed not to modify the present relationship between the complementary and the supplementary fees.

18. The question of the relationship between the complementary fee and the basic fee is of a different nature, because their destination is different and an increase in one cannot be compensated by a decrease in the other. The question here is therefore essentially that of the level of the complementary fee. In that respect, the same considerations as are developed in paragraphs 4 to 7, above, as regards the level of the basic fee, apply *mutatis mutandis*, namely: the expectations of users of the Madrid system, and the resource and cash flow problems referred to in the said paragraphs. For the same reasons, it is not proposed to maintain the complementary fee at its current level, neither is it proposed to reduce it by more than 25%.

19. It is therefore proposed to fix the amount of the complementary fee and of the supplementary fee at 75% of their current level, namely $(97 \times 0.75 =) 73$ francs.

Individual Fee (Items 2.4, 3.4, 5.3 and 6.4 of the Draft Schedule of Fees)

20. In accordance with Article 8(7)(a) of the Protocol, the individual fee payable in respect of a designated Contracting Party in connection with the international application, renewal or a subsequent designation, is fixed by the Contracting Party concerned. The applicable amounts of individual fees will be published in each issue of the International Trademark Gazette and in the Guide to the International Registration of Marks under the Madrid Agreement and the Madrid Protocol.

21. It is recalled that, under Article 8(7)(a) of the Protocol, the individual fee "may not be higher than the equivalent of the amount which the [concerned] Contracting Party's Office would be entitled to receive from an applicant for a ten-year registration, or from the holder of a registration for a ten-year renewal of that registration, of the mark in the register of the said Office, the said amount being diminished by the savings resulting from the international procedure."

22. Such savings should accrue because, through the international registration procedure, Offices of designated Contracting Parties are spared formality examination (with the expenses of the ensuing correction procedure as the case may be); they are spared the control of the proper classification and grouping of the list of goods and services (though it is acknowledged that the classification indicated by the International Bureau does not bind Contracting Parties with regard to the determination of the scope of protection); they may spare themselves the recording in their own register of most if not all the data contained in international registrations designating them (though it is understood that several Contracting Parties will want to record fairly complete data for search purposes); they may spare themselves the publication of international registrations designating them (and it is understood that, where Contracting Parties will want to republish all or part of the data contained in such international registrations, for opposition purposes, the cost of such republication should not, under Article 3(5) of the Agreement and Article 3(5) of the Protocol, be at the expense of the holder of the international registration); they will be spared the processing of renewals. This should hopefully allow the fixing of individual fees significantly lower than national (or regional) fees.

23. As regards the individual fee to be paid for a subsequent designation, it was suggested, at the fourth session of the Working Group, that the Contracting Parties which will choose the individual fee system should study the possibility of providing for reduced individual fees where the subsequent designation is recorded shortly before the expiration of the term of protection of the international registration; for instance, they could provide that only 50% of the individual fee is due if the subsequent designation is made during the last five years of the 10-year period (see document GT/PM/IV/3, paragraph 128).

Classification Fee (Item 4 of the Draft Schedule of Fees)

24. The proposed amounts of the fees payable in connection with irregularities with respect to the classification or grouping of the goods and services in the international application are the same as under the current Schedule of Fees. However, no fee will be payable if the total amount of fees due under this item of the draft Schedule of Fees is less than 150 francs. It is to be noted that, under the current Schedule of Fees, the minimum amount chargeable is 77 francs.

Surcharge for the Use of the Period of Grace in Connection with Renewal (Item 6.5 of the draft Schedule of Fees)

25. It is to be noted that the 50% surcharge applicable where the fees for the renewal of the international registration are paid within the six-month period of grace following the date of expiration of the international registration will, under the proposed Schedule of Fees, apply to the basic fee only and not, as under the current Schedule of Fees, also to the other fees payable in connection with renewal (complementary, supplementary and individual fees as applicable). Thus, the 50% surcharge for the renewal of an average international registration designating 10 countries and covering three classes of the international classification would be 326.50 francs compared to 920.50 francs under the current Schedule of Fees (a reduction of 65%).

Fees for Changes in the International Registration (Item 7 of the Draft Schedule of Fees)

26. Compared to the current Schedule of Fees, the only modification proposed as regards fees payable in connection with requests for the recordal of changes affecting an international registration concerns the fee for the change of the name and/or address of the holder. Under the current Schedule of Fees, a fee of 99 francs is payable in respect of one international registration and an additional fee of 10 francs is payable in respect of each international registration for which the same change is requested in the same request. Under the proposed Schedule of Fees, the fee is 150 francs, irrespective of the number of international registrations for which the same change is requested in the same request. Thus, the proposed Schedule of Fees will result in a lower fee where (as is usually the case) the change affects more than five international registrations of the same holder.

Fees for Information Concerning International Registrations (Item 8 of the Draft Schedule of Fees)

27. Compared to the current Schedule of Fees, the only modification proposed as regards fees for information concerning international registrations concerns the fee for the provision of oral information (currently 28 francs per international registration concerned) which it is proposed to abolish.

Fee for Access to the Electronic Data Base

28. Earlier drafts of the Schedule of Fees, submitted to the Working Group, included a fee for access by individuals or entities other than Offices of Contracting Parties to the electronic data base provided for under Rule 33 of the draft Common Regulations. This fee has been deleted. The reason for this deletion is that it is the intention of the International Bureau to provide access to its electronic data base through a combination of the monthly issue of the ROMARIN CD-ROM and daily updates of the data contained in the latest ROMARIN issue, made available to any interested third party on INTERNET and searchable by means of software included on the ROMARIN CD-ROMs. The fee for access to the electronic data base will therefore be included in the subscription fee of ROMARIN. The cost of access to INTERNET will of course be borne by the party using that service.

Fees for Special Services (Item 9 of the draft Schedule of Fees)

29. This item corresponds to Rule 32(2) of the current Regulations under the Madrid Agreement. It is understood that any fee so fixed will be reasonable under the circumstances.

30. The Assembly is invited to adopt the Schedule of Fees appearing in Annex I, to be annexed to, and part of, the Common Regulations under the Madrid Agreement and the Madrid Protocol appearing in the Annex of document MM/A/XXVII/2, and to decide that the amounts stated in the said Schedule of Fees shall take effect on the same date as the said Common Regulations.

[Annex I follows]

ANNEX I

DRAFT SCHEDULE OF FEES

*Swiss francs***1. International applications governed exclusively by the Agreement**

The following fees shall be payable and shall cover 10 years:

- | | |
|--|-----|
| 1.1 Basic fee (Article 8(2)(a) of the Agreement) | |
| 1.1.1 where no reproduction of the mark is in color | 653 |
| 1.1.2 where any reproduction of the mark is in color | 903 |
| 1.2 Supplementary fee for each class of goods and services beyond three classes (Article 8(2)(b) of the Agreement) | 73 |
| 1.3 Complementary fee for the designation of each designated Contracting State (Article 8(2)(c) of the Agreement) | 73 |

2. International applications governed exclusively by the Protocol

The following fees shall be payable and shall cover 10 years:

- | | |
|--|-----|
| 2.1 Basic fee (Article 8(2)(i) of the Protocol) | |
| 2.1.1 where no reproduction of the mark is in color | 653 |
| 2.1.2 where any reproduction of the mark is in color | 903 |
| 2.2 Supplementary fee for each class of goods and services beyond three classes (Article 8(2)(ii) of the Protocol), except if only Contracting Parties in respect of which individual fees (see 2.4, below) are payable are designated (see Article 8(7)(a)(i) of the Protocol) | 73 |
| 2.3 Complementary fee for the designation of each designated Contracting Party (Article 8(2)(iii) of the Protocol), except if the designated Contracting Party is a Contracting Party in respect of which an individual fee is payable (see 2.4 below) (see Article 8(7)(a)(ii) of the Protocol) | 73 |

Swiss francs

2.4 Individual fee for the designation of each designated Contracting Party in respect of which an individual fee (rather than a complementary fee) is payable (see Article 8(7)(a) of the Protocol): the amount of the individual fee is fixed by each Contracting Party concerned

3. International applications governed by both the Agreement and the Protocol

The following fees shall be payable and shall cover 10 years:

3.1 Basic fee

3.1.1 where no reproduction of the mark is in color 653

3.1.2 where any reproduction of the mark is in color 903

3.2 Supplementary fee for each class of goods and services beyond three classes 73

3.3 Complementary fee for the designation of each designated Contracting Party in respect of which no individual fee is payable 73

3.4 Individual fee for the designation of each designated Contracting Party in respect of which an individual fee is payable (see Article 8(7)(a) of the Protocol), except where the designated State is a State bound (also) by the Agreement and the Office of origin is the Office of a State bound (also) by the Agreement (in respect of such a State, a complementary fee is payable): the amount of the individual fee is fixed by each Contracting Party concerned

4. Irregularities with respect to the classification of goods and services

The following fees shall be payable (Rule 12(1)(b)):

4.1 Where the goods and services are not grouped in classes 77 plus 4 per term in excess of 20

Swiss francs

- 4.2 Where the classification, as appearing in the application, of one or more terms is incorrect 20 plus 4 per incorrectly classified term

provided that, where the total amount due under this item in respect of an international application is less than 150 Swiss francs, no fees shall be payable

5. Designation subsequent to international registration

The following fees shall be payable and shall cover the period between the effective date of the designation and the expiry of the then current term of the international registration:

- 5.1 Basic fee 300
- 5.2 Complementary fee for each designated Contracting Party indicated in the same request where an individual fee is not payable in respect of such designated Contracting Party (the fee covers the remainder of 10 years) 73
- 5.3 Individual fee for the designation of each designated Contracting Party in respect of which an individual fee (rather than a complementary fee) is payable (see Article 8(7)(a) of the Protocol): the amount of the individual fee is fixed by each Contracting Party concerned

6. Renewal

The following fees shall be payable and shall cover 10 years:

- 6.1 Basic fee 653
- 6.2 Supplementary fee, except if the renewal is made only for designated Contracting Parties in respect of which individual fees are payable 73
- 6.3 Complementary fee for each designated Contracting Party in respect of which an individual fee is not payable 73

Swiss francs

6.4 Individual fee for the designation of each designated Contracting Party in respect of which an individual fee (rather than a complementary fee) is payable (see Article 8(7)(a) of the Protocol): the amount of the individual fee is fixed by each Contracting Party concerned

6.5 Surcharge for the use of the period of grace

50% of the amount of the fee payable under item 6.1

7. Change

7.1 Total transfer of an international registration

177

7.2 Partial transfer (for some of the goods and services or for some of the Contracting Parties) of an international registration

177

7.3 Limitation requested by the holder subsequent to international registration, provided that, if the limitation affects more than one Contracting Party, it is the same for all

177

7.4 Change of name and/or address of the holder of one or more international registrations for which recordal of the same change is requested in the same request

150

8. Information concerning international registrations

8.1 Establishing a certified extract from the International Register consisting of an analysis of the situation of an international registration (detailed certified extract),

up to three pages

155

for each page after the third

10

8.2 Establishing a certified extract from the International Register consisting of a copy of all publications, and of all notifications of refusal, made with respect to an international registration (simple certified extract),

up to three pages

77

for each page after the third

2

Swiss francs

8.3 A single attestation or information in writing	
for a single international registration	77
for each additional international registration if the same information is requested in the same request	10
8.4 Reprint or photocopy of the publication of an international registration, per page	5

9. Special services

The International Bureau is authorized to collect a fee, whose amount it shall itself fix, for operations to be performed urgently and for services not covered by this Schedule of Fees.

[Annex II follows]

ANNEX II

SCHEDULE OF FEES ADOPTED BY THE ASSEMBLY OF THE MADRID UNION
AT ITS TWENTY-SIXTH SESSION WITH EFFECT FROM APRIL 1, 1996

Rule 32
The Required Fees

(1) The International Bureau shall collect the following fees,
payable in advance, in Swiss francs:

Swiss francs

(a) International registration or renewal fees	
(i) basic fee	
for 20 years (Rules 10(1) and 25(1))	871
for a first period of 10 years (Rule 10(1)).....	573
balance of the basic fee for the second period of 10 years (Rule 10(2))	727
(ii) supplementary fee for each class of goods and services after the third (Articles 7(1) and 8(2)(b) of the Agreement)	97
(iii) complementary fee for territorial extension to one country (Articles 3 ^{ter} , 7(1) and 8(2)(c) of the Agreement).....	97
(b) Surcharge	
(i) for a mark including a figurative element or for a word mark in a special form of writing, except when published in color (Rule 9(1)).....	72
(ii) for a mark published in color (Rule 9(2)(ii)).....	440
(c) Fee for classification of goods and services (Rule 12(2))	
(i) where the goods and services are not classified or grouped in classes.....	77
and per word after the twentieth	4
(ii) where the classification indicated is incorrect per word	4
(but no fee if the number of reclassified words is 19 or less)	
(d) Surcharge for the use of the period of grace (Rules 10(3) and 25(3)).....	
	50% of the fees payable under (a)

Swiss francs

(e) Fee for recording a change (Article 9(4) of the Agreement and Rule 20)	
(i) territorial extension requested subsequent to international registration (Article 3 ^{ter} (2) of the Agreement).....	177
(ii) total transfer of the international registration	177
(iii) partial assignment of the international registration, for some of the goods and services or for some of the countries ...	177
(iv) limitation of the list of goods and services requested subsequent to registration, for all or some of the countries, except in the case covered by Rule 33(iv)	177
(v) change of name and address of the owner for a single international registration.....	99
for each of the following international registrations for the same owner if the same change is requested at the same time	10
(f) Fee for communicating information concerning the contents of the International Register (Article 5^{ter}(1) of the Agreement)	
(i) establishing a certified extract from the International Register consisting of an analysis of the situation of an international registration (detailed certified extract), up to three pages	155
for each page after the third	10
(ii) establishing a certified extract from the International Register consisting of a copy of all publications, and of all notifications of refusal, made with respect to an international registration (simple certified extract), up to three pages	77
for each page after the third	2
(iii) a single attestation or information in writing for a single international registration.....	77
for each of the following international registrations if the same information is requested at the same time.....	10

Swiss francs

(iv) information given orally, per international registration.....	28
(v) reprint or photocopy of the publication of an international registration, per page	5

(2) The International Bureau is authorized to collect a fee, whose amount it shall itself fix, for operations to be performed urgently and for services not covered by this Rule.

(3) In the event of any change in the amount of fees, the new amount shall apply to international registrations bearing the date of the entry into force of the change or a later date, and to renewals of international registrations the current protection periods of which expire on that date or a later date. With respect to the balance of the fee due for the second ten-year period, the new amount shall be applicable if the balance of the fee is paid after the change enters into force.

[End of Annex II and of document]

