



**WIPO/BRIP/GE/17/INF/1**  
**ORIGINAL: ENGLISH**  
**DATE: SEPTEMBER 28, 2017**

## **Building Respect for IP (BRIP) Database Project – Stakeholder Meeting**

organized by  
the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO)

**WIPO Headquarters, Geneva, September 29, 2017**  
Room U. Uchtenhagen, AB Building\*

### PROGRAM

*prepared by the International Bureau of WIPO*

---

\* *Video-conference facilities will be provided for remote participants using WebEx. The meeting will be conducted in English and may be recorded solely for internal use by WIPO.*

Friday, September 29, 2017

- 11.00 – 11.15 Welcome and introduction  
Mr. Minelik Alemu Getahun, Assistant Director General, Global Issues Sector,  
World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO)
- 11.15 – 11.30 Project Description  
Mr. Thomas Dillon, Legal Counsellor, Building Respect for IP (BRIP) Division,  
World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO)
- 11.30 – 13.00 Roundtable discussion (public and private organizations) on national  
experiences

*Provisional timetable of interventions:*

- 11.30 Korea (Rep. of) (via WebEx) (18.30 KST)  
11.45 Viet Nam (via WebEx) (16.45 ICT)  
12.00 UK (via WebEx) (11.00 BST)  
12.15 India (via WebEx) (15.45 IST)  
12.30 Italy (AGCOM)  
12.45 Portugal (IGAC)
- 13.00 – 13.45 Lunch break
- 13.45 – 15.00 Roundtable Discussion (continued)
- 13.45 France  
14.00 Denmark (Rightholders' Alliance)  
14.15 South Africa  
15.00 Chile (via WebEx) (10.00 CLST)
- 15.00 – 15.15 Coffee break
- 15.15 – 16.45 Roundtable Discussion (public agencies only)
- 16.45 – 17.00 Summing-up and Closing

## Issues

- The proposed definition of a pirate site is: *an online location which deliberately infringes or facilitates the infringement of copyright, whether in its country of establishment or elsewhere.*
- What, if any, arrangements currently exist to prevent legitimate advertising from appearing on pirate web sites?
- In what form are existing lists of pirate web sites shared with stakeholders?
- What is the procedure for compiling the lists? Is any contact attempted with the operators of the site?
- Would there be interest in contributing data to the WIPO database?
- What governmental process would be required to secure the participation of the agency concerned?
- What would be a good name for the database? "Argus" is one suggestion.

[End of document]