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ADMISSION OF NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS AS AD HOC OBSERVERS
prepared by the Secretariat

Awareness-building activities and strategic campaigns as a means for building respect for intellectual property
Contributions prepared by India, Norway, Oman, Peru and the Republic of Moldova

Keeping Pirates at Bay – India’s Anti-Piracy Campaign

Contribution prepared by Mr. Rajiv Aggarwal, Joint Secretary, Intellectual Property Division, Department for Promotion of Industry and Internal Trade (DPIIT), Ministry of Commerce and Industry, New Delhi, India

Abstract: In taking up the major challenge of piracy faced by India’s vibrant media and entertainment industry, the Cell for IPR Promotion and Management (CIPAM) under the Department for Promotion of Industry and Internal Trade (DPIIT) has conducted intellectual property (IP) enforcement training programs for the police and customs officials and has contributed to several legislative and administrative measures. A key activity has been raising awareness, particularly in young people, of the perils of piracy. Short videos by famous Bollywood stars and animated videos featuring comic characters have been produced and are being broadcast in cinemas and on television. India’s IP mascot, IP Nani, features in videos and presentations for schools, while college students participate in IP competitions.

Norwegian Awareness-Raising Initiatives on Counterfeiting – Avoid Buying Counterfeit Goods Online and on Holiday

Contribution prepared by Ms. Hedvig Bengston, Senior Legal Adviser, Norwegian Intellectual Property Office (NIPO), Oslo, Norway

Abstract: Since 2014, the Norwegian Industrial Property Office (NIPO) has carried out several initiatives to raise awareness of the consequences and risks of buying counterfeit products. The target groups have been Norwegians buying counterfeit goods online and Norwegians buying counterfeit goods on holiday abroad. All of the initiatives have been undertaken on a relatively low budget. However, NIPO has reached out to many people through a proactive approach to raise media attention. Many of the initiatives have been implemented together with other stakeholders, such as representatives from the private sector, customs and the Norwegian Consumer Authority.

The 2018/19 School Competition on Respect for Copyright in Oman

Contribution prepared by Dr. Maya Al ‘Azri, Educational Expert and Director of Innovation and Scientific Olympiad, Ministry of Education, Muscat, Oman

Abstract: The Ministry of Education of Oman has paid special attention to IP awareness raising and education in schools in the last three years, owing to the growing need for children to use a variety of information resources in the search for ideas through their own research, thus capitalizing on innovation at the school level. As it is easy for them to use available technologies to copy or download information and use it as their own work without
acknowledging the original work, a competition was held in the academic year 2018/19 in order to raise their awareness of copyright, enhance their understanding of the illegality of infringement and point the way to lawful access to the material that they need. The schoolchildren learnt about their right to benefit from copies of their works and to recognize how valuable this can be to their own and society’s future.

**I DECIDE, I RESPECT – I RESPECT INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND REJECT CONTRABAND – A RECENT EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM FOR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS IN PERU**

*Contribution prepared by Mr. Ray Augusto Meloni Garcia, Director, Directorate of Distinctive Signs, National Institute for the Defense of Competition and the Protection of Intellectual Property (INDECOPI), Lima, Peru*

Abstract: This contribution describes the activities carried out as part of the pilot educational project *I Decide, I Respect – I Respect Intellectual Property and Reject Contraband*. The objective of the project was to include issues related to piracy, counterfeiting and contraband in the development of the 2018 high school curriculum. The project was implemented by the Commission for the Fight against Customs Crimes and Piracy, with the intervention of the Ministry of Education, and was divided into two stages. The first stage consisted of training teachers using face-to-face workshops, virtual forums and supporting material. The second stage consisted in the effective accomplishment of courses for high school students. By 2018, 9,801 students from Lima and Callao had benefited from this initiative. During 2019 it is planned to extend this initiative to other administrative departments in Peru.

**THE PROGRAM FOR CULTURAL CHANGE IN THE REPUBLIC OF MOLDOVA**

*Contribution prepared by Ms. Liliana Vieru, Head, Communication and International Relations Department, State Agency for Intellectual Property (AGEPI), Chisinau, Republic of Moldova*

Abstract: In today’s world, IP plays an increasingly important role in our societies, contributing to economic growth, cultural development and wellbeing. The *Program for Cultural Change* is a widely-targeted outreach program involving information, education and awareness-raising activities, designed to promote and protect intellectual property rights (IPRs) and thus achieve cultural change in the IP environment of the Republic of Moldova. It was developed and implemented from January 2017 to December 2018. This contribution gives an overview of the program, its goals and objectives, implementation tools and methodology, main outputs and results achieved.
Abstract: The National Council on Combating Piracy and Intellectual Property Crimes (CNCP), the Brazilian entity responsible for coordinating the fight against piracy and IP crimes, was created in 2004. This contribution presents an overview of past and present CNCP activities, the CNCP's composition and its ongoing projects until 2022.

THE COORDINATION OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ENFORCEMENT IN GREECE – THE COLLABORATION BETWEEN THE COORDINATING AUTHORITY FOR MARKET SUPERVISION AND THE FIGHT AGAINST ILLICIT TRADE AND THE GREEK ENFORCEMENT AUTHORITIES

Contribution prepared by Mr. Vasileios Mastrogiannis, Executive Director, Coordinating Authority for Market Supervision and the Fight Against Illicit Trade (SYKEAAP), Ministry of the Economy and Development, and Mr. Spyridon Peristeris, Representative of the General Secretariat of Trade and Consumer Protection of the Ministry of the Economy and Development, SYKEAAP, Athens, Greece

Abstract: The Coordinating Authority for Market Supervision and the Fight Against Illicit Trade (SYKEAAP), initially named Coordinating Authority Against Illicit Trade (SYKAP), was created in 2012. SYKEAAP consists of representatives from both the public and the private sector and operates under the supervision of the Greek Ministry of the Economy and Development. The main mission of SYKEAAP is to develop a common strategy to combat the phenomenon of illicit trade, and the battle against infringements of IPRs is one of its main actions. SYKEAAP coordinates and cooperates with all competent national authorities to efficiently implement joint action. The most important tool in SYKEAAP’s operating model is intelligence. As soon as relevant information concerning IPR infringements is brought to SYKEAAP’s attention, the representatives of all competent enforcement authorities draw up an action plan, which will be simultaneously implemented by the appropriate authorities without delay or bureaucratic barriers. The results of these actions are notable.

A NEW LABELLING SYSTEM FOR AUTO SPARE PARTS DISTRIBUTION CHANNELS IN MOROCCO: THE SALAMATOUNA CERTIFICATION MARK COMBATS COUNTERFEITING

Contribution prepared by Mr. Larbi Benrazzouk, Director General, and Ms. Nafissa Belcaid, Director, Distinctive Signs, Moroccan Office of Industrial and Commercial Property (OMPIC), Casablanca, Morocco

Abstract: The SALAMATOUNA label is a means of organizing the distribution channels for auto spare parts and of combatting the use of counterfeit spare parts. Founded in 2017 by the Ministry for Industry, Investment, Trade and the Digital Economy of Morocco, SALAMATOUNA is the result of a successful public-private partnership initiated by the National Board for Industrial Property and Anti-Counterfeiting (CONPIAC). This labelling system helps support auto spare parts sellers and ensure consumer safety. SALAMATOUNA is a collective certification mark that aims to certify, upon request, businesses active in the spare parts distribution network (manufacturers, importers, vendors, wholesalers and retailers) that meet a set of requirements (determining technical specifications including compliance of marketed spare parts with quality standards and traceability of spare parts distribution services). The right to use the label is granted by a labelling committee, chaired by the Ministry of Industry, Investment, Trade and the Digital Economy, after an initial audit to determine whether the above technical specifications are met. The labelling process is managed through the digital platform developed and administered by the Moroccan Office of Industrial and Commercial Property (OMPIC). This platform references certified businesses across Morocco and provides the geolocation of certified spare parts sales points.
RECENT LEGISLATIVE AMENDMENTS TO STRENGTHEN THE PROTECTION OF INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY IN THE REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Contribution prepared by Mr. Bonghyun Cho, Assistant Director, Multilateral Affairs Division, Korean Intellectual Property Office (KIPO), Daejeon, Republic of Korea

Abstract: The Republic of Korea has consistently ranked among the top five countries in the world in terms of the number of filed patent applications. Nevertheless, the view has been expressed that the level of IP protection should be further increased. The amount of compensation for infringement was considered relatively low and punishment was ineffectual in deterring infringement. Moreover, the recognition of trade secrets in lawsuits was difficult to establish due to their narrow legal definition. To address these issues, various amendments were recently made to relevant laws. Firstly, a system of punitive damages was introduced for intentional infringements of patents and trade secrets. The limited protection of trade secrets was mitigated by extending the scope of activities subject to criminal charges and increasing the severity of sentencing for violations. Furthermore, the authority of the Special Judicial Police of the Korean Intellectual Property Office (KIPO) was extended to allow KIPO officials to directly investigate suspected infringement activities not only in relation to trademarks but also to patents, designs, trade secrets.

THE COMPREHENSIVE NATIONAL ACTION PLAN TO COMBAT THE SALE OF COUNTERFEIT GOODS AND ENFORCE INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY RIGHTS IN SPAIN

Contribution prepared by Ms. Marta Millán González, Head, Section for International Cooperation and Court Liaison, Ms. Águeda Fole Sanz, Head, WIPO and EUIPO Service, Ms. Regina Valenzuela Alcalá-Santaella, Senior Technical Advisor, and Ms. Sara Boy Carmona, Senior Technical Advisor, Department for Legal Coordination and International Relations, Spanish Patent and Trademark Office (OEPM), Madrid, Spain

Abstract: Counterfeit goods are harmful to national economies and businesses in highly important sectors such as clothing and footwear; medicines; cosmetic products; wine and spirits; smartphones; bags and luggage; tyres; toys and games; jewellery and watches; pesticides; batteries; sporting goods; and the music industry. They also have a social impact and are detrimental to the health and safety of consumers. A comprehensive national action plan is therefore necessary and should involve all stakeholders from the public and private sectors who are able to contribute to ending the problem of trademark counterfeiting.

ENFORCING INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS IN FOREIGN TRADE ZONES

Contribution prepared by Ms. Caridad Berdut, Attorney-Advisor Enforcement, Office of Policy and International Affairs, United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), Alexandria, Virginia, United States of America

Abstract: Foreign Trade Zones (FTZs), also known as Free Trade Zones, are beneficial economic zones that are typically designated areas outside of the customs jurisdiction of the host economy. Private entities are able to assemble, re-export, re-fuel or conduct other legitimate economic activity, avoiding costly tariffs, duties and other administrative fees. However, illegal activities also take place within FTZs, including money laundering, trade in counterfeit products and copyright piracy. Not only are IP right holders’ interests adversely affected, but health and safety are also endangered – both for those in the FTZs as well as consumers at large. Lessons learnt and experiences gained in relation to legal frameworks, customs and border enforcement and new technologies may combat these activities and safeguard the utility of FTZs.
NEW TECHNOLOGIES IN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ENFORCEMENT
Contributions prepared by Switzerland and the European Union

SWISS EXPERIENCES WITH INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ENFORCEMENT IN THE DIGITAL AGE

Contribution prepared by Dr. Daniel Kraus, Professor of Innovation Law and Director, Centre for Intellectual Property and Innovation, University of Neuchâtel, Switzerland

Abstract: This contribution offers a selective overview of Switzerland’s experiences in combating counterfeit goods and piracy in an ever-evolving digital age. The contribution intends to be very practical; it covers voluntary industry solutions within the legal framework of a non-European Union member state. Opportunities and limits of blockchain solutions are also considered.

NEW TECHNOLOGICAL OPPORTUNITIES FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS PROTECTION AND ENFORCEMENT: BLOCKATHON — FIGHTING COUNTERFEITS THROUGH BLOCKCHAIN TECHNOLOGY


Abstract: A recent study by the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development and the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) has shown that in 2016, counterfeit and pirated goods accounted for as much as 3.3 per cent of world trade and up to 6.8 per cent of European Union imports from third countries (in 2013, these figures were 2.5 per cent and 5 per cent respectively). These are alarming results. Enforcement officers have limited resources and technology, but blockchain could effectively support the fight against counterfeit and pirated products. In 2018, the EUIPO and the European Commission launched a Blockathon competition as a catalyst for innovation to tap the potential of blockchain, by uniting the blockchain community’s efforts to develop solutions that could easily track the provenance of products. The overall goal of the 2018 Blockathon was to provide enforcement authorities with tools to identify counterfeits and criminals quickly, assist legitimate companies in protecting their business assets and provide tools for consumers to make informed choices. The winning prototypes will now be tested.

STUDY ON INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ENFORCEMENT MEASURES, ESPECIALLY ANTI-PIRACY MEASURES IN THE DIGITAL ENVIRONMENT

Document prepared by Ms. Jane Lambert, Barrister, Gray’s Inn, London, and Dr. Frederick Mostert, Professor of Practice, Dickson Poon School of Law, King’s College, London, Research Fellow, Oxford Intellectual Property Research Centre, United Kingdom

Abstract: This study provides an overview of current approaches to online copyright infringements, focusing specifically on the responses to piracy in the digital sphere across the world. The study explores the global problem of online piracy, the different types of digital tools and measures used by right holders, online platforms, governments and the judiciary. The study also incorporates a discussion on the issues concerning anonymity and the “whack-a-
mole” problem and notes the challenge of balancing fundamental rights, such as artistic expression, free speech and data and privacy rights, and the protection of copyright. The study highlights the gaps within the legal measures used at present and the current discussion around a possible uniform approach in the form of global guidelines in response to the present dilemma.

ARRANGEMENTS TO ADDRESS ONLINE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY INFRINGEMENTS

Contributions prepared by China, Greece, the Russian Federation and the United Kingdom

CHINA’S EXPERIENCE IN ONLINE COPYRIGHT PROTECTION

Contribution prepared by Mr. Xin Kang, Deputy Consultant, Enforcement and Supervision Division, National Copyright Administration of the People’s Republic of China (NCAC), Beijing

Abstract: In recent years, China has been steadily improving its legal framework for copyright protection, strengthening the regulation of the copyright market, developing copyright-related industries and conducting increasing international exchanges on copyright protection. As a result, a law enforcement model for online copyright protection with distinctive Chinese characteristics has emerged.

THE GREEK COMMITTEE FOR THE NOTIFICATION OF COPYRIGHT AND RELATED RIGHTS INFRINGEMENT ON THE INTERNET

Contribution prepared by Dr. Maria-Daphne Papadopoulou, Head, Legal Department, Hellenic Copyright Organization (HCO), Athens, Greece

Abstract: Greece and the Hellenic Copyright Organization (HCO), as the competent body at the national level in respect of copyright protection, target the problem of piracy, primarily digital piracy, through a number of initiatives. The most recent and innovative measure taken at the national level consists of the establishment and operation of the Committee for the Notification of Copyright and Related Rights Infringement on the Internet. This Committee oversees an administrative procedure, available to copyright and related right holders whose rights have been infringed, to promptly and effectively remove or block access to illegal creative content on the Internet. This document presents the active role of the HCO in copyright enforcement and awareness raising, thereafter describing the rationale behind the Committee’s significant mandate and the objectives it is pursuing, as well as the conclusions reached after the first nine months of the functioning of the Committee.

IMPROVING THE MECHANISMS TO COUNTER THE ONLINE DISSEMINATION OF PIRATED CONTENT IN THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION

Contribution prepared by Mr. Vadim Subbotin, Deputy Head, Federal Service for the Supervision of Communications, Information Technology and Mass Media (Roskomnadzor), Moscow, Russian Federation

Abstract: This contribution details the Russian legal framework for the protection of copyright works online. It specifically focuses on three mechanisms introduced to fight the dissemination of copyright-infringing content online: the introduction of a legal provision to allow the restriction of access to infringing websites; the introduction of a permanent blocking procedure in cases of repeated infringement; and the introduction of an extra-judicial mechanism
targeting so-called mirror sites. The contribution also provides information on the impact of these mechanisms, outlining a vision for future developments in this area.

THE UNITED KINGDOM POLICE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CRIME UNIT

Contribution prepared by Ms. Elizabeth Jones, Senior IP Enforcement Policy Advisor, Copyright and IP Enforcement Directorate, Intellectual Property Office, Newport, United Kingdom

Abstract: Online counterfeiting and piracy is an ever-increasing threat to businesses and consumers. To address this problem, in 2013, the United Kingdom (UK) Government created the Police Intellectual Property Crime Unit (PIPCU), dedicated to tackling serious and organized online piracy and counterfeiting (affecting digital and physical goods) and to protecting legitimate UK businesses. PIPCU is one element of the UK IP enforcement landscape, which aims to ensure that businesses and individuals are able to protect and enforce their IPRs.

THE BUILDING RESPECT FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DATABASE PROJECT

Abstract: This document describes a project undertaken by the WIPO Secretariat to assist Member States in the coordination of voluntary measures undertaken in accordance with the “follow-the-money” approach to copyright infringement. It comprises a secure, access-controlled online platform, to which authorized agencies in WIPO Member States may upload lists of websites which deliberately facilitate the infringement of copyright. Legitimate players in the advertising industry are permitted as authorized users to employ the data contained in the lists to inform the placement of advertising on the Internet. By checking with the Building Respect for Intellectual Property Database (BRIP Database), advertisers can ensure that their advertising does not accidentally appear on copyright-infringing websites. The intention is to reduce the flow of money to illegal website operators, to protect brands from tarnishment and to reduce the risk that legitimate advertising may lend an appearance of legality to illegal web sites, to the confusion of consumers. The BRIP Database is now open for the acceptance of Authorized Contributors from WIPO Member States and Authorized Users from the advertising sector.

INITIATIVES TO PREVENT PAID ADVERTISING ON COPYRIGHT-INFRINGEMENT WEBSITES

Contributions prepared by Italy, the Republic of Korea and the European Commission

THE ROLE OF THE COMMUNICATIONS REGULATORY AUTHORITY OF ITALY IN ADDRESSING ONLINE ADVERTISEMENTS ON WEBSITES THAT INFRINGE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

Contribution prepared by Mr. Giorgio Greppi, Deputy Director, Digital Rights Unit, Audiovisual Content Directorate, Communications Regulatory Authority (AGCOM), Rome, Italy

Abstract: The Italian Communications Regulatory Authority (AGCOM) is in charge of online copyright enforcement. Since the beginning of its operations, 1,576 complaints have been filed by right holders, 49 per cent of which concerned audiovisual content on dedicated websites.
This contribution explains the different competences AGCOM has and illustrates the practical consequences of its activities by way of concrete figures. The final section includes observations that AGCOM has been able to make in its copyright enforcement work on the frequent use of advertising content on pirate websites relating to different brands, including popular trademarks. Two issues deserve particular attention in this respect, namely: (i) brand safety with regard to advertised products; and (ii) the need for increased awareness of the so-called “follow the money” strategy to stop brands from sponsoring pirate websites. In both cases, right holders and intermediaries should be directly involved either in the prevention of the appearance of advertising content on infringing websites or in the enforcement of the underlying IPRs. Indeed, as long as the business models of infringing websites that rely on revenue from online advertisements remain intact, any strategy formulated to tackle online piracy will be ineffective.

STUDY ON ADVERTISING ON COPYRIGHT-INFRINGEMENT WEBSITES AND ITS IMPACT ON THE VALUE OF THE ADVERTISED BRANDS IN THE REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Contribution prepared by Mr. Taejin Lee, Director, Overseas Cooperation Team, Online Protection Bureau, Korea Copyright Protection Agency (KCOPA), Seoul

Abstract: This contribution reports on a study currently being undertaken in the Republic of Korea with a view to investigating the impact of advertisements appearing on websites that distribute reproductions of copyrighted materials without the consent of copyright owners. The contribution describes the study methods, which include an analysis of a sample size of copyright-infringing websites and the advertisements they display and the use of a combination of an experimental consumer survey and in-depth expert interviews to measure the impact of advertisements on the value of the advertised brands. Once completed, the study could be useful to inform the regulation of copyright-infringing websites by means of removing legal advertisements from such websites, thus decreasing their profitability and sustainability. The study could further contribute to the improvement of self-regulation in the advertising industry based on the study results and to the promotion of legitimate sources of advertising revenue for copyright holders in the long term.

STAKEHOLDERS’ COOPERATION UNDER THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING ON ONLINE ADVERTISING AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS – AN UPDATE FROM THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION


Abstract: Internet websites and mobile applications that provide access to content, goods or services infringing IPRs on a commercial scale use the sale of advertising space as one of their revenue sources. In the complex environment of online advertising, the misplacement of advertising is an issue, with brands themselves often being unaware of where their advertisements end up. To address this challenge the European Commission facilitated the conclusion of a voluntary agreement between representatives of the advertising sector. The signatories of the Memorandum of Understanding on Online Advertising and Intellectual Property Rights committed to cooperate in order to limit misplacement of advertising online. This initiative is part of the European Commission’s “follow the money” approach to IPR enforcement, which consists of designing policy measures that identify and disrupt the money trail for commercial-scale IPR-infringing activities.
THE ROLE OF INTERMEDIARIES IN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ENFORCEMENT

Contributions prepared by the Universal Postal Union and the Computer and Communications Industry Association; and by the Alibaba Group, Amazon, Facebook and Google

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY INFRINGEMENT AND MITIGATION STRATEGIES IN THE INTERNATIONAL POSTAL SUPPLY CHAIN

Contribution prepared by the International Bureau of the Universal Postal Union (UPU), Berne, Switzerland

Abstract: Since the inception of the Universal Postal Union (UPU) in 1874, the number of member countries (currently 192) and the overall reach of the international postal network have grown exponentially. This growth also increases the potential for misusing the fundamental UPU concept of a single postal territory as well as exploiting the confidence of the general public in postal services. One threat to the integrity of the international postal supply chain is the injection of counterfeit and pirated items. This contribution describes relevant trends in the international postal system as well as the mechanisms through which the UPU cooperates with other organizations and its own initiatives with the aims of, inter alia, eliminating counterfeit and pirated items and ensuring the integrity of the international postal supply chain.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY PROTECTION STRATEGIES OF ONLINE INTERMEDIARIES

Contribution prepared by Mr. Christian Borggreen, Vice President and Head of Office, Computer and Communications Industry Association (CCIA) Europe, Brussels, Belgium, and Ms. Ali Sternburg, Senior Policy Counsel, CCIA, Washington, D.C., United States of America

Abstract: Online intermediaries make significant efforts to enforce IPRs and prevent infringement. These efforts fall into two general categories: (a) compliance with regulatory regimes such as notice and action or notice and takedown; and (b) proactive voluntary efforts by industry to prevent uploading or remove allegedly infringing content, which exceed legal requirements.

ALIBABA GROUP’S ACHIEVEMENTS IN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY PROTECTION

Contribution prepared by Ms. Zheng Junfang, Chief Risk Officer, Alibaba Group, Hangzhou, China

Abstract: From the outset, the stated mission of the Alibaba Group (Alibaba) has been to make it easy to do business anywhere. Alibaba hopes that the ongoing technological revolution will ensure the healthy and orderly development of commercial activities, and in turn create a globalized world in which everyone has a role to play and a right to share in the outcome. However, technological change brings not only opportunities for knowledge creation and innovation, but also challenges for intellectual property protection (IPP). IPP is a universal concern that requires global collaboration. As a pioneer and promoter of new retail, new finance, new manufacturing, new technologies and new energy, Alibaba stands at the forefront of IP challenges. Alibaba’s experience and solutions provide insight into IP management in the new digital economy era.
AMAZON'S INITIATIVES TO PREVENT COUNTERFEITING

Contribution prepared by Mr. Christopher Oldknow, Senior Manager, Public Policy – IP, Customer and Brand Safety, Amazon, London, United Kingdom

Abstract: Amazon strives to be the world’s most customer-centered company, providing stores in which people can find and discover the widest possible selection of authentic goods. As it is a customer-focused company, its staff work hard to earn and maintain customer trust, and the company strictly prohibits the sale of counterfeit products. To maintain trust, Amazon invests tremendous resources as it constantly innovates and improves the ways in which it detects and prevents counterfeit products from reaching its customers. In this contribution, the company shares some of its latest innovations and collaborations to highlight its work to protect IPRs and build customer trust in its stores. As a result of the proactive and preventive systems in place, more than 99 per cent of all Amazon page views by customers landed on pages for which no notice of potential infringement had been received.

PROTECTING INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ON FACEBOOK AND INSTAGRAM

Contribution prepared by Mr. Mark Fiore, Director and Associate General Counsel, Intellectual Property, Facebook, Menlo Park, California, and Mr. Probir Mehta, Head, Global Intellectual Property and Trade Policy, Facebook, Washington, D.C., United States of America

Abstract: People use Facebook’s family of applications and services to stay connected with friends and family, to discover what is going on in the world and to share and express what matters to them. Facebook wants people to do so while having confidence that the content they are engaging with does not violate IPRs. To combat copyright and trademark infringements as well as counterfeit goods, Facebook has put in place numerous measures to assist right holders in protecting their IP. These include a global notice-and-takedown program and a comprehensive repeat infringer policy. Facebook has also built sophisticated tools like Rights Manager and the Commerce & Ads IP Tool, and has undertaken further proactive initiatives. Facebook’s tools were developed in close collaboration with right holders, taking into account their changing needs and new market solutions, while also ensuring that users’ lawful expression is protected. The company’s IP protection measures work hand-in-hand with its partnerships with right holders, as Facebook and Instagram are key avenues for sparking creativity and culture online.

PROTECTING COPYRIGHT IN GOOGLE SEARCH

Contribution prepared by Mr. Cédric Manara, Head, Copyright, Google, Paris, France

Abstract: Google plays a key role in the growth and success of the creative industries by building platforms where people can legitimately purchase, consume and discover entertainment and culture as alternatives to infringing content as well as by continuously developing solutions to fight online piracy. This contribution focuses on Google Search and considers the various tools developed by Google, including streamlined notice submission procedures for right holders, trusted copyright removal partnerships and the use of the number of valid copyright removal notices as a demotion signal. It provides information on the impact that these tools have had on the search traffic to infringing sites and also details some of the company’s efforts to prevent abuses of its content removal tools.
JUDICIAL AND PROSECUTORIAL DISCRETION IN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY INFRINGEMENT PROCEEDINGS

Contributions prepared by the Russian Federation, Saint Kitts and Nevis and the United Kingdom

JUDICIAL DISCRETION IN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY INFRINGEMENT PROCEEDINGS: THE EXPERIENCE OF RUSSIAN COURTS

Contribution prepared by Mr. Vladimir Popov, Judge, Supreme Court of the Russian Federation, Moscow, Russia

Abstract: This contribution presents a brief overview of the structure of Russian courts with jurisdiction over IP infringement cases and describes the recent adoption of a Plenary Ruling of the Supreme Court of the Russian Federation, generalizing judicial practice in this sphere. The contribution considers in detail the notion of judicial discretion and its main principles. It also analyzes situations in which a judge is required to exercise discretion when considering IP infringement cases. Determining liability is one of the vital aspects of court discretion in the consideration of IP infringement cases. This contribution focuses on three examples: confiscation of counterfeit goods, publication of information on infringements and determination of the amount of compensation.

PROSECUTORIAL DISCRETION IN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY INFRINGEMENT CASES IN SAINT KITTS AND NEVIS

Contribution prepared by Mr. Valston Michael Graham, Director of Public Prosecutions, Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions, Basseterre, Saint Kitts and Nevis

Abstract: Determining whether a person who appears to have committed an IP crime should be prosecuted, requires a number of considerations and the balancing of competing interests. The use of prosecutorial discretion gives the prosecutor the freedom and authority to make judgments based on the law and on existing circumstances that he or she perceives. The discretion whether or not to prosecute IP crimes is subject to a number of constraints and challenges. This is in large part due to the cross-border nature of many IP infringements, which creates jurisdictional and legal challenges. Such challenges, however, must be duly weighed against the public interest considerations that may favor criminal prosecution.

EXERCISING THE DISCRETION TO GRANT ADDITIONAL DAMAGES UNDER SECTION 97(2) OF THE UNITED KINGDOM COPYRIGHT DESIGNS AND PATENTS ACT 1988

Contribution prepared by Ms. Charlotte Hart, District Judge of the Intellectual Property Enterprise Court, Business and Property Courts of England and Wales, High Court of Justice, London, United Kingdom

Abstract: In infringement of copyright proceedings, a right holder who is seeking damages may seek an award of additional damages under Section 97(2) of the United Kingdom Copyright Designs and Patents Act 1988. The courts have found it difficult to decide upon the nature of such damages. The Court of Appeal has now ruled that Section 97(2) allows the court a wide discretion, which is more flexible than the common law classifications of aggravated damages (which are compensatory) and exemplary damages (which are punitive). Additional damages may include an element of restitution. The award may also be solely punitive, provided it does not amount to an abuse of the infringer's rights. There is little
guidance on the quantification of additional damages awarded under Section 97(2), which may result in inconsistency and uncertainty for litigants. There appears to be no bar to an award being made by way of a percentage uplift on ordinary damages.
Abstract: In 2017, Mongolia received legislative assistance from WIPO in the area of patent and industrial design rights. Subsequently, Bills to revise the current IP laws, namely the Patent Law, the Law on Copyright and Neighboring Rights and the Law on Trademarks and Geographical indications have been drafted and are ready to be submitted to the Government of Mongolia for approval, with a view to presenting them to the Parliament of Mongolia in the course of 2019. The Bills have been discussed at a number of public hearings with relevant stakeholders, such as right holders, professionals and IP attorneys whose work relates to the IP industry.