



WIPO/ACE/1/4
ORIGINAL:English
DATE:April11,2003

#### WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION

**GENEVA** 

#### ADVISORY COMMITTEEONENFORCE MENT

## FirstSession Geneva,June11to13,2003

#### COORDINATION, TRAINI NGANDDEVELOPMENT OFENFORCEMENTSTRAT EGIES

**DocumentpreparedbytheSecretariat** 

#### I. Introduction

- 1. AtthesessionoftheWIPOGeneralAssembly,heldinGenevafromSeptember October1,2002,theGeneralAssemblydecidedtoestablishasingleAdvisoryCommitteeon Enforcement,inchargeofglobalenforcementissues,coveringbothindustrialpropertyand copyrightandrelatedrights.ThemandateoftheCommittee,whichexcludesnorm -setting activities,waslimitedtotechnicalassistanceandcoordination.TheCommitteehasth e followingobjectives:coordinatingwithcertainorganizationsandtheprivatesectortocombat counterfeitingandpiracy;publiceducation;assistance;coordinationtoundertakenational andregionaltrainingprogramsforallrelevantstakeholdersan dexchangeofinformationon enforcementissuesthroughtheestablishmentofanElectronicForum.
- 2. Itisrecalledthat duringthefirstsessionoftheAdvisoryCommitteeonthe EnforcementofIndustrialPropertyRights(ACE/IP),heldinGeneva onOctober19and20, 2000,theACE/IPproposedthattheSecretariatofWIPOinitiatefourstudies,assetforthin paragraph8(a)(i)to(iv)ofdocumentACE/IP/1/3( SummarybytheChair ).Pursuanttothat

proposal, the Secretaria tof WIPO submitted aquestion naire, entitled Request for Information, to members and observers of the above Committee.

- 3. The Secretaria tof WIPO received replies from 24 Member States, two intergovernmental and 11 non -governmental organizations in response to the sa idrequest. Document WIPO/CME/2 Rev. "Existing Needs for Training and for Development of Enforcement Strategies" was established by the Secretaria tof WIPO on the basis of these responses.
- 4. ThisdocumentwaspresentedfordiscussiontotheCo nsultationMeetingon Enforcement(CME)inGenevafromSeptember11to13,2002.TheMeetingwasattended byMemberStates,intergovernmentalorganizationsandnon -governmentalorganizations.
- 5. TheMeeting unanimouslyagreed thattheissueof enforcementofintellectualproperty rightswasofgreatimportanceandthatWIPOwasinaneminentlyappropriatepositionto providetechnicalassistanceandeducationandcontributetothecreationofawarenessinthis field.ItalsoencouragedWIPOto assist,andcoordinatewithrelevantorganizations,inthe settingupof,orimproving,adequateandeffectiveenforcementmechanisms. TheMeeting notedtheconcernsexpressedabouttheeffectsofcounterfeitingandpiracyondomestic industriesandstres sedthatthefightagainstthesephenomenawasaglobalconcern.Italso tooknoteoftheactivitiesoftheInternationalBureaureflectedinthetablesattachedto document WIPO/CME/2Rev.andexpresseditsgreatappreciationfortheconsiderableand high-qualityworkalreadyundertakenbytheRegionalBureausofCooperationfor DevelopmentandtheWIPOWorldWideAcademyinthefieldofenforcement.Itwas, however,observedthattherewasroomforimprovementindeterminingtherecipientsofthe WIPOte chnicalassistanceandthesubstanceofsuchassistance.

#### II. <u>EnforcementandSpecialProjectsDivision</u>

- 6. SubsequenttothesessionoftheGeneralAssembly,theDirectorGeneralestablished theEnforcementandSpecialProjectsDivisiontoserv easafocalpointforenforcement activitiesintheInternationalBureau.TheDivisionisactivelycooperatingwith intergovernmentalorganizationsintheareaofenforcementand incontact withthecompetent authoritiesinMemberStatesaswe llaswithnon -governmentalorganization.Italsoplaysa pivotalroleinthecoordinationofenforcementactivitieswithintheInternationalBureau.
- 7. TheDivisionhas, *interalia*, thefollowingresponsibilities:theconveningand supportingtheannualmeetingoftheAdvisoryCommitteeonEnforcementtoconsider intellectualpropertyenforcementissuesandstrategies;givingeffecttodecisionstakenbythe formerAdvisoryCommitteeonEnforcementofIndustrialPropertyRights,Advisory CommitteeonEnforcementofCopyrightandRelatedRightsinGlobalInformationNetworks andtheConsultationMeetingonEnforcementinthebroadercontextsofallintellectual propertyrights;preparationofadditionalworkingdocuments,studiesandsurveyso ntopical issuesrelatingtotheenforcementofintellectualpropertyrights;administeringtheElectronic ForumonIntellectualPropertyEnforcementIssuesandStrategies(IPEIS),asaforumwhere allrelevantstakeholderscanshareinformationonnation alexperiencespertainingtothe enforcementofintellectualpropertyrights;cooperationandcoordinationwithmultilateral

\_

WIPOCircularLetterNo.6562,datedJuly17,2001.

andregionalorganizationsandwithnon -governmentalorganizationsinordertoshare expertiseandexperiencesinthefieldofthe enforcementofintellectualpropertyrights, includingtheattendanceofseminars,workshopsandothermeetings;promotingthe understandingoftheinternationalobligationsandprinciplesrelatingtotheenforcementof intellectualpropertyrightsanda ddressingtheneedsofMemberStatesindevelopingand strengtheningtheirnationalandregionalsystemsfortheenforcementofintellectualproperty rights,includingcorrespondence,humanresourcedevelopment,participatinginseminarsand workshops,adv isingnationalgovernmentsontheimplementationofenforcementstrategies, providinglegislativeadviceandtechnicalassistance;anddevelopingprojectsand informationmaterialtoenhancepublicawarenessinthefightagainstcounterfeitingand piracy.

#### III. <u>CoordinationandTraining:ActivitiesfromJuly2002toApril2003</u>

8. InorderfortheCommitteetoreviewthesubsequentactivitiesofWIPOinthefieldof enforcement,theSecretariatprepared thefollowing overviewofactivitiesf romJuly2002to April2003. Theactivitiesmentionedinsub -paragraphs8.1to8.6below,whichwereorwill beundertakenby,orwiththeparticipationof,theInternationalBureau,alsoincludeactivities withen forcementcomponentswhicharenotreflectedinthetitlesoftherespectiveactivities.

#### 8.1 WIPOExpertMissions:

- WIPOMissionforthepromotionofintellectualpropertylegislation, Antiguaand Barbuda, November 13 to 15,2002;
- AdvisoryMissionon copyrightandrelatedrightsenforcement, Jakarta, January 30 and 31, 2003;
- Expertmissiontoadviseonthesettingupofabanderolesystem, Liberia, January 2003;
- Discussionsontheintroductionofenforcementintheircurriculumwithstaffo
   ÉcolerégionalesupérieuredelaMagistraturedel'Organisationpour
   l'harmonisationdesdroitsdesaffaires(OHADA)
   Benin,March18,2003.

#### 8.2 WIPOTrainingandStudyVisits:

- WIPOAcademyontheEnforcementofIntellectualPropertyRights,inc ooperation withtheMinistryofCommerceandIndustry,GovernmentofIndia,NewDelhi, July9to12,2002;
- PresentationintheWIPOSummerSchoolheldbytheWIPOAcademyon "EnforcementofIntellectualPropertyRights:InternationalPerspectives,"Gen eva, July10,2002;
- WIPOAsiaPacificOrientationandStudyProgramforCustomsandIPOfficialson theEnforcementofIPRs,Geneva,The Hague,WashingtonD.C.,November4 to15,2002;
- WIPOAsiaPacificProgramfortheJudiciaryontheEnforcementof WashingtonD.C.,July9to18,2003;
- TrainingCourseontheEnforcementofIntellectualPropertyRights,Tokyo, November 13 to29,2002;
- SpecialTrainingCourseontheEnforcementofCopyrightandRelatedRights, Tokyo,November18to29,2002;

 PresentationintheWIPOAcademyIntensiveSeminaronIntellectualPropertyfor LawStudentsonthe"EnforcementofIntellectualPropertyRights,"Geneva, March10to14,2003.

#### 8.3 WIPO Seminars and Workshops:

- WIPOSeminarforAndeanCountri esonBorderMeasuresfortheEnforcementof IntellectualPropertyRights,Bogota,July10and11,2002;
- WIPOWorkshopforAndeanCountriesonBorderMeasuresfortheEnforcementof IntellectualPropertyRights,Bogota,July12,2002;
- Séminairenationa Isurlasituation desatteintes aux droits de propriété intellectuelle, Abidjan, Côted' Ivoire, July 17to 19,2002;
- WIPONationalSeminaronthePreservation,PromotionandProtectionofFolklore andTraditionalKnowledge,Florianopolis,Brazil,August 22and23,2002;
- WIPONationalSpecializedSymposiumonIntellectualPropertyfortheLebanese Judiciary,Beirut,July31toAugust2,2002;
- WIPONationalSeminarontheEnforcementofIntellectualPropertyRightsforthe Judiciary,PublicProsecutors ,PoliceOfficersandCustomsOfficials,Amman, September2and3,2002;
- VI<sup>th</sup>NationalWIPOSeminaronIntellectualPropertyRights,Cordoba,Argentina, September5to7,2002;
- WIPOSeminaronCopyrightandRelatedRightsandTheirProtectionAgainst the RealityoftheModernWorld,MexicoCity,September12to13,2002;
- WIPONationalSeminaronIntellectualPropertyfortheOmaniJudiciary,Muscat, October22and23,2002;
- WIPOSeminarontheImportanceofJudicialandAdministrativePrecedentsi
   IntellectualPropertyPractice,GuatemalaCity,November6,2002;
- NationalSeminaronEnforcementforCustomsOfficials,Tehran, November4to6,2002;
- WIPOIntellectualPropertySymposiumfortheJudiciary,Barbados, November16to18,2002;
- RegionalSeminaronIndustrialPropertyforJudgesandTaxInspectorsinLatin America,Madrid,November18to22,2002;
- WIPOSeminarontheEnforcementofIntellectualPropertyRightsforPoliceand Customs,Castries,St.Lucia,November21and22,2002;
- NationalWorkshopfortheStateIPInspectors, Kyiv, November 26, 2002;
- NationalSeminarontheRoleofCustoms,Police,AntimonopolyandStateIP InspectorsinEnforcementofIntellectualPropertyRights,Kyiv,November27 and28,2002;
- WIPOAsia -PacificRegionalPolicyForumontheRoleofIntellectualProperty OfficesforEconomicandTechnologicalDevelopment,Manila, December3to5,2002;
- RegionalSeminaronaConcertedStrategicApproachtoCertainQuestionsRelated toCollectiveManagement andtheFightAgainstPiracyinWestAfrica,Bamako, December3to6,2002;
- AsiaPacificRegionalSymposiumontheProtectionandEnforcementofCopyright andRelatedRights,Jakarta,January27to29,2003;
- WIPONationalSeminaronIntellectualPrope rty(co -organizedwithCairo University), Cairo, February 17to 19,2003;

- WIPONationalSeminaronCopyrightandRelatedRights,Cairo, February22and23,2003;
- WIPOAsia -PacificRegionalWorkshopontheEnforcementofIPRs,Bangkok, February25to 27,2003;
- WIPONationalSeminarontheEnforcementofCopyrightandRelatedRights, Kathmandu,February26and27,2003;
- WIPONationalSeminaronIntellectualProperty,Tripoli,April28to30,2003;
- NationalConferenceonEnforcementofIntellectual PropertyRights,Nigeria, April2003.
- 8.4. JointActivitieswithIntergovernmentalOrganizations,Non -GovernmentalOrganizations andNationalOffices:
  - XXII<sup>th</sup>WIPO/INPISeminaronIndustrialPropertyforLatinAmericanCountries, RiodeJaneiro,Braz il,September9to20,2002;
  - RegionalWIPO/SGAEAcademicCourseonCopyrightandRelatedRights,Panama City,
     October10to17,2002;
  - IV<sup>th</sup>IberoamericanCongressonCopyrightandRelatedRights,PanamaCity, October15to17,2002;
  - AcademyonEnfor cementofIntellectualPropertyRightsforJudgesofEastern
     EuropeanandCISCountries,organizedjointlywithINPI,Franceandwiththe
     assistanceofthe ÉcolenationaledelamagistraturedelaFrance(ENM)
     November21to22,andParis,Novembe r25to29,2002;
  - PresentationintheWIPO -EPO-OHIMTrainingSeminaronAdministrativeIssuesin PatentandTrademarkProcedureonthe"EnforcementofIntellectualPropertyRights," Geneva,February13and14,2003;
  - PresentationintheCommonMarketfo rEasternandSouthernAfrica(COMESA)12th
     TradeandCustomsCommitteeMeetingonthe"EnforcementofIntellectualProperty
     Rights,"Lusaka,February17to19,2003;
  - WIPO/AEPPIInternationalSymposiumonIntellectualPropertyProtection,Cairo, April1 4and15,2003;
  - Communautééconomiqueetmonétairedel'Afriquecentrale(CEMAC): Subregional SeminaronEnforcementofIntellectualPropertyRightsforCustomsOfficersand MagistratesfromCEMACMemberStates,organizedjointlywithWIPO,Republic ofC ongo,April23and24,2003.
- 8.5 Contributions by WIPO to Activities Organized by Non -Governmental Organizations:
  - ParticipationinthefirstInterpolExpertGrouponIntellectualPropertyRights, Lyon,France,July23,2002;
  - Presentationon"WIPO'sA ctivitiesintheAreaofEnforcement"inthefirst InterpolInternationalConferenceonFinancialCrime,Lyon,France, October2,2002;
  - ParticipationinthesecondInterpolAdvisoryGrouponIntellectualPropertyRights, Lyon,France,October3,2002;
  - Presentationon"WIPO's Activities in the Area of Enforcement" in the International Anti Counterfeiting Coalition 2002 Fall Conference, Miami, October 16 to 18, 2002;

- ParticipationintheWorkshoponEnforcementofIntellectualPropertyRights organizedbytheInternationalLawInstituteofItalyincollaborationwiththe EconomicandSocialActionResearchFoundationofTanzania(ESRF)andthe BusinessRegistrationandLicensingAgency(BRELA),DaresSalaam,December 2to6.2002;
- Participationint hethirdInterpolIPCrimeActionGroup,Lyon,France, January27and28,2003;
- MeetingwithCopyrightIndustries,International FederationofthePhonographic Industry(IFPI) Secretariat,London,March11,2003.

#### 8.6 Others:

- SixNationallyFocused ActionPlansforCambodia,theDemocraticPeople's RepublicofKorea,India,Liberia,Mongolia,PhilippinesandaRegionallyFocused ActionPlanfortheSouthPacificForumcountrieswithenforcementrelated componentsthroughpublicawarenessactivitiest hataimtopropagaterespectfor intellectualproperty;
- Apublicationentitled"PrinciplesofCopyright:CasesandMaterials,"which includedjudicialdecisionsfromcommonlaw,civillawandthelegislativesystems ofArabcountries,waspreparedand widelyusedasreferencematerialintraining activitiesinthefieldofenforcement.

#### IV. NeedsforFurtherTrainingandforDevelopmentofEnforcementStrategies

- 9 as the Consultation Meeting on Enforcementheldin2002 Itshouldbenotedthat concentrated predominantly on issues relating to the status and mandate of the futureAdvisoryCommitteeonEnforcement,documentWIPO/CME/2Rev.on "ExistingNeedsfor *TrainingandforDevelopmentofEnforcementStrategies*" wasnotdiscussedindetail that Meeting. There are several reasons for which it is considered to be important that the Committee, in addition to taking note of recent activities of the International Bureau in the field of the enforcement of intellectual property rights, exam inestheissuesreferredtoin documentWIPO/CME/2Rev.inmoredetail.First,theMeetinghadencouragedMember StatesandobserversthathadnotyetdonesotosubmittotheSecretariatofWIPO repliesand information<sup>2</sup> concerning the subject matter of t hisdocumentinordertohaveabroaderbasis fortheenvisageddiscussionsthereon. Second, the Meeting also agreed that it was important toensurethattheissuesdealtwithinthedocument, and during the discussion on the document, would be taken intaccount informulating the development cooperation activities of WIPO. Third, it is one of the current tasks of the Committee to decide on its future work onthebasisofalltheinformationwhichhasbeenprovided.
- 10. Pursuanttothe above proposalbytheCMEtoencourageallmembersandobservers tosubmitfurtherinformationpertainingtotheissuesraisedindocumentWIPO/CME/2Rev., theSecretariatofWIPOonceagaininvited <sup>3</sup> membersandobserverstorespondtothesaid

Paragraph6ofdocumentWIPO/CME/5 "SummarybytheChair" indicatesthatsuch informationmaybeputontheIPEISElectronicForum,toge therwiththerepliesalready submitted,whensorequested.

<sup>3</sup> Circulars6815and6816datedDecember17,2002 .Thedeadlineforsubmissionswas subsequentlyextendedto February21,2003. Therequestforinformationwassubsequently

questionnaireand toalsoprovide *,interalia* ,informationontheiractivitiesinthefieldof enforcement,suchasplannedseminars,workshops,on -the-jobtrainingandusefultraining material. The Secretariatof WIPO received 16 responses from 15 Member States <sup>4</sup> and seven non-governmental organizations <sup>5</sup> to its renewed and extended request for information. In view of the limited number of additional responses received at the time of preparation of this document, the information was not sufficient for a substantial revision of the working document prepared for the Consultation Meeting on Enforcement. Against this background, the Secretariated ecided not to incorporate amendments into document WIPO/CME/2 Rev. and not to make an attempt to present an update thereof as a work in gdocument for this Meeting. In stead, the responses to the renewed request for information are being made available on the Intellectual Property Enforcement Is sue sand Strategies (IPEIS) Electronic Forum.

11. Forthereasonssetoutabove, andfortheea seofreference, document WIPO/CME/2 Rev. "ExistingNeedsforTrainingandforDevelopment of EnforcementStrategies" <sup>6</sup> isattachedasannextothisdocumentforconsideration by the Committee.

12. The Committee is invited to take note and comment on the contents of this document and its Annex.

[Annexfollows]

also made available on the Intellectual Property Issues and Strategies Electronic Forum and registered participants were informed that the deadline for submissions of information for inclusion in working documents was extended to February 21,2003 and that submissions would be equally welcomed at a later stage and made available on the Electronic Forum.

Burundi, Colombia, France, Gabon, Honduras, Hungary, India, Kazakhstan, Nigeria, Portugal, Republicof Korea, Spain, Switzerland, the Russian Federation, and the United Kingdom.

InternationalFederationofthePhonographicIndustry(IFPI),alsoonbehalfoftheBusiness SoftwareAlliance(BSA),InternationalFederationofFilmProducers'Associations(FIAPF), InternationalPublishersAssociation(IPA),InternationalVideo Federation(IVF)andMotion PictureAssociation(MPA).

ThetablesattachedtodocumentWIPO/CME/2Rev.alsocontaindetailsof theactivities undertakenbytheInternationalBureau fromJuly 2000toJune 2002,withregardtotraining, technicalassistan ceandawarenessbuildinginthefieldofenforcement.

**ANNEX** 



WIPO/CME/2Rev.
ORIGINAL:English
DATE:September10,2002

## WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION GENEVA

**WIPO** 

#### CONSULTATIONMEETING ONENFORCEMENT

Geneva, September 11 to 13,2002

EXISTINGNEEDSFORTRAININGANDFORDEVELOPMENTOF ENFORCEMENTSTRATEGIES

Document prepared by the Secretariat

E

#### TABLEOFCONTENTS

			<u>Paragraphs</u>
I.		INTRODUCTION	1to5
II.		IDENTIFYEXISTINGRE QUIREMENTSANDNEEDS FORTRAINING ANDDEVELOPMENTOFE NFORCEMENTSTRATEGIE SFOR INDUSTRIALP ROPERTYINMEMBERST ATES	6to 25
	A.	InternationalObligationsintheFieldofIntellectualProperty	6
	В.	CoordinationattheInternationalLevel	7to9
	C.	TheRoleoftheNationalIntellectualPropertyOffices	10and11
	D.	CoordinationattheNationalLevel	12to14
	E.	RoleofthePrivateSector	15
	F.	TargetGroupsforTraining	16
	G.	Trainingobjectives	17
	Η.	MethodsofTraining	18
	I.	The Creation of Specialized Courts	19and20
	J.	TraininginNewTechnologies	21and22
	K.	Awareness Campaigns for the Public	. 23to25
Ш	•	IDENTIFYEXTERNALRE SOURCESFORTRAINING AND COOPERATIONINENFOR CEMENTOFINDUSTRIAL PROPERTY, INCLUDINGPRIVATESE CTORRESOURCESANDO THER MULTILATERALANDREG IONALORGANIZATIONS	26to 35
	A.	InternationalCooperation	26to29
	В.	InternalCooperation	30
	C.	ContactsBetweenPublicandPrivateSectors	. 31to33
	D.	ProgramsbyNationalIntellectualPropertyOfficesandGovernment Agencies	34
	E.	TheRoleofUniversities	.35

IV.	REPORTONWIPOACTIV ITIESINFAVOROFDE VELOPING	
	COUNTRIESANDCOUNTR IESINTRANSITION,F ROM	
	JULY2000TOJUNE20 02,WITHREGARDTOT RAINING,	
	TECHNICALASSISTANCE AN DAWARENESSBUILDING	
	INTHEFIEL DOFENFO RCEMENT	TablesItoVII

#### I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. Duringthefirstsessionofthe *AdvisoryCommitteeonEnforcementofIndustrial PropertyRights(ACE/IP)* heldinGenevaonOctober19and20, 2000,theACE/IPproposed thattheInternationalBureauinitiatefourstudiesassetforthinparagraph8(e)(itoiv)of WIPOdocumentACE/IP/1/3(SummarybytheChair).
- 2. The Joint Meeting of the Advisory Committee on Enforcement of Industrial **Property** Rights(SecondSession)andofthe Advisory Committee on Management and Enforcement ofCopyrightandRelatedRightsinGlobalInformationNetworks (ThirdSession), heldin GenevafromDecember 18 to 20, 2001, was concluded with a Summary by the C hair, WIPO DocumentACE/IP -ACMEC/3. Inparagraph1oftheSummarybytheChair,adoptedbythe AdvisoryCommittees,itwasstatedthat"[T]heAdvisoryCommitteesunanimouslyagreed thattheissueofenforcementofintellectualpropertyrightswasofgreat importancetoall countries. The Committees also agreed that the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO)wasinaparticularlyappropriatepositiontogatherinformationconcerning enforcementofintellectualpropertyrightsandtocoordinateact ivitiesundertakenbythe Committeesjointlywithvariousintergovernmentalandnon -governmentalorganizations aimingatestablishingadequateandeffectiveenforcementsystems."
- 3. Pursuanttotheabove,theInternationalBureautransmitteda" Requestfor Information"¹toMemberStatesandOrganizationsinvitedasObserverstotheACE/IP.To date,responseswerereceivedfrom24MemberStates, ²twointergovernmental ³and 11non- governmentalorganizations. ⁴Itwasunderstood,followingtheSum marybythe Chair⁵subsequenttotheJointMeetingofbothAdvisoryCommitteesmentionedin paragraph 2,above,thattheresponseswouldrelate,horizontally,tothefieldofindustrial propertyaswellastocopyrightandrelatedrights.Thecurrentdocu mentispreparedin responsetoparagraph 1(b)oftheSummarybytheChair, <sup>6</sup>whichreadsasfollows:

WIPOCircular6562,datedJuly17,2001.

TheEuropeanCommunitiesandtheWorld HealthOrganization(WHO).

<sup>5</sup> WIPOdocumentACE/IP -ACMEC/3.

Australia, Austria, Barbados, Czech Republic, Colombia, Guatemala, Hungary, Ireland, Japan, Kyrgyzstan, Mexico, Netherlands, Pakistan, Russian Federation, Saint Lucia, Spain, Switzerland, The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Trinidad and Tobago, Turkey, United Kingdom, Ukraine, United States of America and Viet Nam.

BrazilianIntellectualPropertyAssociation(ABPI); Anti -CounterfeitingGroup(ACG) United Kingdom; AmericanIntellectualPropertyLawAssociation(AIPLA); Asociación LatinoamericanadeIntegración (ALADI), Uruguay; CEDIQ UIFA, Argentina; European Writers' Congress(EWC), Spain; FédérationInternationaledesConseilsenPropriété Industrielle (FICPI); InternationalAntiCounterfeitingCoalition(IACC), United States of America; InternationalChamberofCommerce(ICC), Fra nce, onbehalfof organizationsfromColombia, Peru, BogotaandMorocco; InternationalFederationofthe PhonographicIndustry(IFPI), UnitedKingdom, onbehalfofthefollowingorganizations: BusinessSoftwareAlliance(BSA), InternationalFederationo fFilmProducersAssociations (FIAPF), InternationalPublishersAssociation(IPA), InteractiveSoftwareFederationofEurope (ISFE), InternationalVideoFederation(IVF), MotionPictureAssociation(MPA) and InternationalIntellectualPropertySociety(IIP S), UnitedStatesofAmerica.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> WIPOdocumentACE/IP -ACMEC/3.

- "(b) theInternationalBureauisinvitedto:
- (i) identifytheexistingneedsfortrainingandfordevelopmentof enforcementstrategies;
- (ii) continueandintensifyWIPOactivitiesinfavorofdeveloping countriesandcountriesintransition,inparticulartheactivitiesoftheWIPOWorldwide Academyandoftheregionalbureaus,withregardtotraining,technicalassistanceand awarenessbuilding inthefieldofenforcement,takingintoaccountthecommentsmade inthisrespectbytheAdvisoryCommittees;
- $(iii) \quad report on the seactivities to the next WIPO meeting on enforcement issues."$
- 4. ThisdocumentisbasedontheresponsestoReq uest III "[I]dentifyexisting requirements and needs for training and development of enforcement strategies for industrial propertyinMemberStates" andRequest IV "[I]dentifyexternalresourcesfortrainingand cooperationinenforcementofindustrialpr operty, including private sector resources and othermultilateralandregionalorganizations" of the Request for Information, referred to in paragraph 3, above. The purpose of the Request for Information was to assist the ACE/IP-ACMECtoidentifyissues fordiscussionandareaswhereinternationalcooperation intheframeworkofWIPOappearstobebothnecessaryandrealisticallyachievable. The  $present document also makes reference to interventions maded uring the Joint Meeting of the {\it the present} and {\it the present} and {\it the present} are the {\it the present} and {\it the present} are the {\it the present} and {\it the present} are the {\it the present} and {\it the present} are the {\it t$ AdvisoryCommittee onEnforcementofIndustrialPropertyRights(SecondSession)andof the Advisory Committee on Management and Enforcement of Copyright and Related RightsinGlobalInformationNetworks(ThirdSession),heldinGenevafromDecember 18to 20. 2001. Aswas requested in the Summary by the Chair, the present document reports on the continuedWIPOactivities, from July 2000toJune 2002, with regard to training, technical assistanceandawareness building in the field of enforcement. The views and opinions contained in the present document reflects only those which were expressed during the ACE/IP-ACMECmeetingandintheresponsesreceivedonaccountofthe"Requestfor Information"; the Secretaria thas neither embellished the content of those responses, nor inserteditsownviewstherein.
- 5. Holdersofintellectualpropertyrightsoftenownandmanageaportfolioofrightsthat includesbothindustrialpropertyandcopyrightandrelatedrights. Moreover, mostofthe practicalproblemsarethesame inrespecttotheenforcementofindustrialpropertyrightsand inrespecttotheenforcementofcopyrightandrelatedrights. Therefore, inthepresent document, unless expressly excluded, the term "counterfeit goods" also includes "pirated copyright works" and *viceversa*. Similarly, references to "national intellectual property offices" should be understood to incorporate both industrial property and copyright offices.

- II. IDENTIFYEXISTINGRE QUIREMENTSANDNEEDS FORTRAININGAND DEVELOPMENTOFENFOR CEMENTSTRATEGIESFO RINDUSTRIAL PROPERTY<sup>7</sup>INMEMBERSTATES
- $A. \quad International Obligations in the Field of Intellectual Property$
- 6. Theresponses indicated that due to new obligations in the field of intellectual property protection, arising from, interalia, the Agreement on Trade - Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS Agreement), the WIPO Copyright Treaty (WCT) and the WIPO Copyright Treaty (WCPerformances and Phonograms Treaty (WPPT), agreat number of Members States have alreadyenactedrevisedl egislationgivingeffecttotheobligationsarisingfromtheselegal instruments. This, it was claimed, resulted in a large -scaleneedfortrainingandstudy programs, targeting not only officials from national intellectual property of fices, but also fromotherrelatedministries, departments and enforcement agencies, as well as members of the legalprofession,ingovernmentserviceorprivatepractice,andthejudiciary.Itwasstated thatinlightofthenumberofstakeholdersinvolvedintheprocessof enforcingintellectual propertyrights, there was an increasingly pressing need for structured cooperation between all thoseinvolvedinthefieldofenforcement, at the national and international level, including alsotherightholdersandtheassociatio nsrepresentingtheirrights. Whereasitwas acknowledgedthatWIPOhadarecognizedcoordinatingroletoplayattheinternationallevel, nationalintellectualpropertyofficeswereviewedasbeingwellpositionedtoplayasimilar roleatthenationall evel.

#### B. CoordinationattheInternationalLevel

- 7. Anumberofresponsessuggestedthat, in order to better coordinate enforcement strategies and activities, there should be increased cooperation between international organizationsandregiona Igroupingsinvolvedinthefieldofenforcementofintellectual propertyrights, on the one hand, and increased coordination among Member States and internationalorganizations, on the other hand. This approach would avoid duplication of effortandfacil itatethesharingofexpertiseandexperience. Itwasrecognized that, in the past, the cooperation between intergovernmental organizations had been loosely structured andthattherewasacallforenhancedandtightercooperationbetweentheseorganizati ons.It was, interalia, suggestedthat WIPO could play arolein not only coordinating international endeavorstofightagainstcounterfeitingandpiracy, butthatitcould also beinstrumentalin settingupananti -counterfeitingandanti -piracyforuma ttheinternationallevel. The process would involve the identification of a reas which we rerelevant to effect ive or fair enforcement.The exercise would provide valuable guidance for all countries, and, in turn, would allow trainingandtechnicalassist ancetobemorefocused. Areaswhereinternational collaboration wouldbebeneficialmightalsobeidentified. It was also suggested that WIPO could setup a worldwideactivityforWorldIntellectualPropertyDayanddesign,produceandprovide informationmaterialstoMemberStates.
- 8. Itwasrecognized that, at the international level, one of the most important aspects of training is the assessment of needs, the results of which could serve as the basis for the level,

-ACMEC/3,theRequestfor horizontallywithissues

SubsequenttotheSummarybytheChair,WIPOdocumentACE/IP Informationwasnotlimitedtoissuesofindustrialproperty,butdealt relatingtocopyrightandrelatedrights.

contentandstyleofany technicalassistanceprovided. With reference to enforcement at the borders, the following were noted as deserving particular attention: a dequate legislation on enforcement; systems and legal procedures, such as the application process, communication with the private sector, the granting or taking of indemnities and bank guarantees, which tended to act as inhibiting factors; enforcement knowledge, experience and techniques available to customs authorities; and cooperation between all relevant bodies, agencies and parties.

9. TheresponsesunderscoredthatWIPOcouldplayaleadershiproleinthecoordination ofenforcementbetweenintergovernmentalorganizations, whereastheWorldCustoms Organization(WCO), forexample, wouldplayitspart by coordinating the efforts of customs administrations and by providing expertres our cesand information from its Member States. It could also coordinate the sharing of information among customs agencies about exports, imports and the trans -shipment of gods in order to identify their source and the creation of uniform intellectual property databases which could assist customs of ficers in identifying infringing goods and tracing down rightholders following exofficio action on their part.

#### C. TheRoleof theNationalIntellectualPropertyOffices

- 10. Theresponsesgenerallyagreedthatnationalintellectualpropertyofficeshaveavery strategicroletoplayinthedevelopmentofintellectualpropertylegislation,policiesand enforcementstrateg ies,aswellascoordinatingtrainingandawarenesscreatingactivities. Thatroleincludedactionaimedateffectivelyimplementinginternationalobligationsand legislationgivingeffecttheretoor,wherelegislationisnotasyetamendedaccordingto internationalstandards,totaketherequiredstepstoseekthetechnicalassistancetoactivate theprocessofmodernization.
- 11. Accordingtosomeoftheresponses, acountry -by countrytechnical assistance approach appeared feasible in light of the fact that it would be difficult, due to diverse national legal systems and traditions, to draft detailed model provisions with regard to the problem of implementing enforcement obligations. It was stressed that beyond coordinating training activities in line with formulated policies of the national government, of fices also had responsibilities in presenting training sessions sponsored by foreign governments or organizations, as well as intergovernmental, regional or non -governmental organizations. Of fices could also play an active role in defining the framework forteaching programs and the development of teaching tools, which could be done in cooperation with local universities, in stitutes of intellectual property lawyers, associations of right holde rs, and the like.

#### D. CoordinationattheNationalLevel

12. Theresponsesnotedthatgovernmentsandenforcementagenciesdidnotalwaysrealize theircriticalroleintheenforcementofintellectualpropertyrights. Inordertobeeffective, theenforcementsystemshouldbetransparentandfair, basedonlegislationandregulations, with coordination among national and local governmententities and the rightholders or other intellectual property constituents. Publica wareness of intellectual property rights and engagement in their protection was also recognized as crucial in ensuring over all successin the protection of intellectual property rights. It was suggested that governments could develop and delivereducation programs designed to help powners of intellectual property rights to understand what their rights entail and how to manage those rights, including the

developmentofenforcementstrategiesandpolicies. Rightholdersshouldknowhowand wheretoactagainstinfringementandthem ostexpeditious procedures to be followed.

- 13. Asnotedinsomeresponses, training programs may depend on the legalore conomic development of the country under going or organizing training and the duration and level of training may also be determined by the policies of the government on the combating of piracy and counterfeiting. It was proposed that some programs of a more specific nature might focus on ways and means beyond TRIPS obligations to ensure effective and efficient enforcement. According to a number of responses training with counterparts from countries where intellectual property systems are more developed or sophisticated proved to be useful for the training of, in particular, customs of ficials, prosecutors and the judiciary.
- 14. Theresponsesgenerallyagreedthatofficesalsohavearoletoplayintheharmonization of procedures and penalties for different forms of intellectual property; the use of formal agreements between state institutions to coordinate enforcement activities; the creation of task forces consisting of enforcement of ficials from all branches of government as a first level, and as a second level public bodies and private organizations and rightholders; methods of raising a wareness among different groups; and rightholders' responsibilities to minimize the risk of infringement and to take precautions, including the registration of rights and the use of technological measures.

#### E. RoleofthePrivateSector

15. Itwaspointedoutthatright holdershaveavestedinterestintheprotectionoftheir intellectualpropertyrightsandhave,particularlyinindustrializedcountries,thecapacityto assistfinanciallyandotherwiseinthetrainingprocessofgovernmentofficialsand enforcementagen ciesindevelopingcountriesandcountriesintransition. Theresponses stressedthatbysharingtheirknowledgeonproductidentificationandenforcement experiences,theymayassistinaddressingurgenttrainingneedsinagreatnumberofMember States.

#### F. TargetGroupsforTraining

- 16. Itwasnotedthatthevastmajorityofroleplayersintheenforcementprocesswas generallyinneedoftraining, albeitatthebasic, intermediaryoradvancedlevels. According totheresponses, the targetgr oupsinsomeormost of the Members States could include, *interalia*, the following:
- (a) stafffromintellectualpropertyoffices:responsesurgedthatthereisaperpetual needtotrainofficialsofnationalintellectualpropertyoffices. They have a oletoplay in the disseminating of knowledge too the rinvolved ministries or departments, to the future right holders, the legal profession and to industry. Due to the staffing policies of many governments and due to human resource movements to the priva tesector, there is normally a high level of personnel turnover innational intellectual property of fices, which also increases the demand for continuous training and development programs;
- (b) Stateattorneys: itwasrecognizedasimportanttoaddressth etrainingneedsof stateattorneysinvolvedinthedraftingofnewintellectualpropertylegislation;

- (c) publicprosecutors:inordertoachievepositiveresultsincriminalprosecutions,it wasviewedasimportanttoprovideprosecutorswithtraining intheareaofintellectual propertycrimesandtoassistthemindraftingchargesheetsandpresentingevidence.Itwas stressedthattheyplayapivotalroleindemandingordersforthedestructionofinfringing goodsandrelatedequipment,withoutwhic hconfiscatedgoodsmaybeexportedorinfact reachthechannelsofdistributionfollowingthefinalizationsofcriminalprosecutions. Accordingly,theyshouldalsobeawareofthedamagingimpactofcounterfeitingandpiracy ontheeconomy,inorderto pressfordeterrentpenaltiesfollowingconvictions.Onceaware oftheseriousnessofintellectualpropertycrimes,theycouldalsoplayaroleininvolvingthe presstoensurethatintellectualpropertycrimesarereportedinlocalnewspapers;
- (d) thep oliceforce:theresponsesurgedthatinvestigatingofficersinthepolice service, which is one of the main arms of enforcement, should be sensitized about the devastating economic implications of intellectual property crimes and the connection of intellectual property criminals with organized crime. They should be trained in effective methods of investigating economic and intellectual property crimes, including the preparation of evidence and the drafting of charges;
- (e) customs and excise officials: it was generally agreed that customs and excise officials have a critical role to play in the blocking of infringing goods at the border before entering the channels of commerce and that well -trained customs of ficials would go along way in the curtailing of infringement. In most Member States, they require in particular training in the identification of infringing goods;
- (f) thejudiciary:theresponseswereclearthatthejudiciary,includingmagistrates andjudges,shouldbefullyawareoftheseriousnessofintellectualpropertycrimesandhow todealnotonlywiththeoffenders,butalsowiththeinfringinggoodsandimplementsusedin themanufacturingthereof. Theirjudgements should have a deterrent effect and destruction orders will prevent infringinggoods finding their way back into the channels of commerce;
- (g) rightholders:itwaspointedoutthatrightholdersshouldbetrainedtounderstand theirrightsandhowtoenforcethem.Onceawareoftheirrightsandhowtomanagethem, rightholderscouldalsoplayanimportantroleinthetrainingprocess;
- (h) theconsumerpublic:mostresponsesunderscoredthatawarenessaboutthevalue of intellectual property rights and their protections hould be created among consumers. They should learn to appreciate the intellectual property rights of others, the economic importance of those rights not only for the holder, but also for the economy.

#### G. TrainingObjectives

17. Responsesgenerallyindicatedthatduetolegislativeamendmentsto intellectual property,tradeandconsumerprotectionlegislationandarapidgrowthintheinfringementof intellectualpropertyrights,aswellasthegrowingpoliticalimportanceofprotecting intellectualpropertyrights,therewasaconstantneedfort raininganddevelopmentatall levelsforstakeholdersinvolvedintheenforcementprocess. Thisnecessityfortrainingis enhancedbythestaffingpoliciesapplicableinsomecountries, whichincludetherotationof officialsingovernmentagencies, incl udingofficialsinvolvedintheprotectionofintellectual propertyrights. Itwassuggestedthatthetrainingstrategiesintherespective Member States

couldincludeongoingprograms, targeting the development of different levels of knowledge, including:

- (a) legalknowledge:withoutsufficientknowledgeonprovisionscontainedin implementinglegislation,internationallegalinstrumentsandapplicablecaselaw,those involvedintheenforcementprocesscouldnotfullyunderstandthelegalframeworkwit hin whichtheyhavetoperformtheirduties;
- (b) organizationalknowledge: enforcementofficialsandagenciesshouldhavethe requiredinsighttoknowwhothestakeholdersare,theirfunctionsandachievableresults,who hastoworktogetherandwhy;
- (c) practicalknowledge:inparticularforcustomsofficersandthoseinvolvedinthe investigationofeconomicrelatedcrimes,includingcriteriaforriskmanagement,elementsfor riskanalysissuchastheflowofgoods,countriesoforiginrelatedtogood s,producers, importers,countriesofdestinations,sensitivegoods,methodsofinfringementsandapplicable caselaw;
- (d) knowledgeofandinformationonrightholders:thiswouldgreatlyassistofficials involved in the enforcement of intellectual property infringements and to obtain assistanced uring, in particular, exofficio actions:
- (e) knowledgeofinfringinggoodsandthedifferentintellectualpropertyrightsthat maybeinvolved:su chknowledgewouldfacilitatetheactiontakenbyenforcementofficials andalsoassistthemintheidentificationofinfringinggoodsandwoulddeterminesubsequent actionsandinvestigations;and
- (f) exchangeprograms: which would allow for collaborat ion with counterparts in different jurisdictions, whereen forcement procedures might already be more developed and sophisticated.

#### H. MethodsofTraining

18. Itwassuggestedthattrainingcouldbeconductedbywayof, *interalia*, seminarsand workshopsatthenational,regionalorinternationallevel.Itcouldalsobeusefultoexpose officialsfromdevelopingcountriestomoresophisticatedsystemswheretheycanappreciate theimportanceofeffectiveimplementationofenforcementstrategies. Itwasfound,during seminarsandworkshops,thatthecasestudyapproach,asamethodoftraining,involving actualortheoreticalintellectualpropertyenforcementviolations,provedtobeeffectiveandit increasedthelevelofinteractionandshowedpr acticalwaystosolveactualintellectual propertyproblemsbyinteractingwiththemoderatorandwithotherparticipants.

#### I. The Creation of Specialized Courts

19. Agreatnumberofresponsespromotedtheestablishmentofspecializedcourtsdue to thecomplexnatureofintellectualpropertyinfringements, particularly patentinfringements. It was viewed as a possible solution to achieving cost -effective, efficient and consistent decision making. The effectiveness of specialized courts could a sobeen hanced by the creation of a moderninformation technology supportstructure and an ational reference library

dedicatedprimarilytointellectualpropertylaw. Thissystemwouldinvolvethetrainingofa relativelysmallgroupofjudgesandprosecu torstohandleincreasinglycomplexissues.

20. Itwaspointedoutthat,inthemajorityofMemberStates,theordinarycivilcourtshave difficultyindeterminingcompensationforinfringementsofpatentrightsandalsowiththe applicationoft hereversaloftheburdenofproofwherethereis primafacie evidenceofthe defendant'sinfringementofpatentrights,regardlessofwhetherornotprocesspatents resultinginanewproductareinvolvedandtheproblemsofprotectingdatainthemarket approvalfileonapharmaceuticalproduct.Itwasstatedthatitcouldalsobeusefultoadopta systemofinformationonthestatusofpatentsforactiveingredients,oralternatively,asystem wherebypatentsandmarketingapprovalarelinked,oragain aprocedurewherebytheowner oftheoriginalmedicinesisquitesimplyinformedofapplicationsforgenericmedicines,in orderthathemaytakethenecessaryaction.Itwasfurthermoreproposedthatfreeaccessbe giventothenon -confidentialdataint hefile.

#### J. TraininginNewTechnologies

- 21. Theresponsesunderscoredthatenforcementofficialscouldbenefitfromtrainingin productidentificationandthemaintenanceofdatabasescontaininginformationconcerning intellectualpropertyrigh ts. Trainingcoulddealwiththeconfiscationofpiratedand counterfeitgoodsandontechniquesandmethodsfordetectingsuchmerchandiseandthe eventualfateofconfiscatedgoods. Anumberofresponsesalsosuggestedthatitwouldbe beneficialtogi vethemaccesstodatabaseswithinformationonexistingintellectualproperty rights. If atallpossible, they should have connection with counterparts, within national bordersoronaregional basis, via an Intranet network or an Internet connection and seminars for operational officials.
- 22. Itwaspointedoutthatenforcementofficials should be fully aware of the risks (health, safety and sub-standard quality) attached to counterfeit goods and should be in a position to assess suchrisk, where possible, with the assistance of digital and other specialized equipment.

#### K. AwarenessCampaignsforthePublic

- 23. Inordertoachieveresultsinthefightagainstcounterfeitingandpiracy,itwasnoted thatthereoughttobe,ineac hMemberState,aperceptibleheighteningofawarenessamong thegeneralpublicandgovernmentagenciesastotheimportanceofadequateintellectual propertyrightsprotectionfortheeconomicdevelopmentandwellbeingofthecountry.For thispurpose, extensiveintellectualpropertyeducationandawarenessprogramswere suggested,toeducateandinformthepublicaboutthebenefitsthatastrongintellectual propertysystemcanhavefortheireconomy.Governmentscouldstrivetocultivatean understandingof,andrespectfor,intellectualpropertyrights.
- 24. Itwasalsoobservedthatthepubliccouldbetrainedtounderstandandappreciatethe importanceofintellectualpropertyprotectionandimportancetodevelopingnew,highvalue addedin dustries,whichwillsecureemploymentandpromotedomesticandforeign investmentandwhichwillpromotenationalcultureandstrengthenlocalresources.Itwas statedthatifthepubliccouldbeeducatedfromayoungagetobeawareofandunderstandth needforasoundsystemofprotectingandenforcingintellectualpropertyrights,someofthe

problems associated with the infringement of intellectual property rights might be avoided later.

- 25. Theresponsesrecognizedthatconsumerparticipa tionwasvitalinthefightagainst counterfeitingandpiracy. Aknowledge -basedsocietywillalsoresultinenterprisesbecoming morecreativeandinnovative, understandingthatstrongprotectionofintellectual property rightswillbringfinancial benef it. Toachievethisgoal, Members States could work with privatesector partners to create outreachen force ment programs, involving the media and using the Internet, street presentations, and the like. The value of legitimate in tellectual property rights should be underlined, whereas the known ties between piracy, counterfeiting and organized crimeshould be exposed. To sensitize consumers, it was suggested to have road shows or exhibitions with examples of infringing goods, including those with detrimental effects on health and safety, while explaining the dangers and economic disadvantages of supporting the illegal trade in infringing goods.
- III. IDENTIFYEXTERNALRE SOURCESFORTRAINING ANDCOOPERATIONIN ENFORCEMENTOFINDUS TRIALPROPERTY, <sup>8</sup>INCLUDING PRIVATE SECTORRESOURCESAND OTHERMULTILATERAL ANDREGIONAL ORGANIZATIONS

#### A. InternationalCooperation

- 26. WIPOwasregarded, in both the responses and the interventions made at the joint ACE/IP-ACMECmeeting,referredtoinparagraph2,above ,aswellplacedtocoordinate <sup>9</sup>WIPOhasundertaken traininganddevelopmentonaninternationallevel.Since1996, various activities in the area of enforcement, particularly within the Sector of Cooperation for Developmentanditsregionalbureauswhose variousprogramshaveincludedparticipationby intergovernmentalorganizationsandnon -governmentalorganizations. However, enhanced collaborationattheinternationallevelwouldavoidtheduplicationofefforts, facilitatethe sharingofexpertiseand informationandwould also streamline enforcement strategies. Such cooperationshouldnotbelimitedto, butincludeorganizationslikethe World Customs Organization(WCO), WorldTradeOrganization(WTO), InternationalCrimePolice Organization(INTERPO L), UnitedNationsEducational, ScientificandCulturalOrganization (UNESCO), WorldHealthOrganization(WHO) and the European Communities (EC).
- 27. ItwasclearfromsomeoftheresponsesthattheEuropeanCommunityanditsMember Stateswered evotingsubstantialresourcestotechnicalcooperationwithcountriesofother continents, whichwere either specific bilateral cooperation or actions fitting into amore general framework, such as preparation programs for WTO accession, general programs for developing business skills and PHARE (Polandand Hungary, Assistance for the Reconstruction of the Economy) and TACIS (Technical Assistance for the Common we although Independent States) programs. Depending on the need and requests of the country concerned d,

SubsequenttotheSummarybytheChair,WIPOdocumentACE/IP -ACMEC/3,theRequestfor Informationwasnotlimitedtoissuesofindustrialproperty,butdealthorizontallywithissues relatingtointellectualproperty,includingcopyrightandrelatedrights.

Followingtheenteringintoforce, on January 1, 1996, of the Agreement Between the World Intellectual Property Organization and the World Trade Organization (1995).

thetechnical cooperation proposed by the European Commission might focus on legislative advice, exchanges on how to organize the administrative infrastructure, awareness promotion in the private sector and civils ociety and human resources training.

- 28. Itwassuggestedthatfruitfulcoordinationwouldalsoresultfromenhancedcooperation withregionalgroupingssuchastheCouncilofEurope,theAsiaPacificEconomic CooperationCouncil'sIntellectualPropertyExpert'sGroup(APEC -IPEG),th eUnited NationsEconomicCommissionforEurope,AdvisoryGroupintheProtectionand ImplementationofIntellectualPropertyRights(UN/ECEIPAdvisoryGroup),the AssociationofSoutheastAsianNations(ASEAN),theANDEANCommunity,the Organizationfor EconomicCooperationandDevelopment(OECD)andotherregional organizations.
- WIPOhasintensivetrainingprogramswithanumberofnationalofficesincludingthe 29. UnitedStatesPatentandTrademarkOffice(USPTO),theUS CopyrightOffice,the Japanese PatentOffice(JPO),theEuropeanPatentOffice(EPO),theUK PatentOfficeandtheFrench InstituteofIndustrialProperty(INPI),tomentionbutafew.Beyondcooperationwith nationalintellectualpropertyofficesandotherenforcementagenci es, enhanced cooperation withinter -governmentalandnon -governmentalorganizationsisalsoofvitalimportance. Theaimistoestablishacoordinatedenforcementstrategyattheinternationalandnational levels,includingrenderingassistancetogovernme ntsinformulatingandgivingeffecttotheir internalenforcementpolicies. Inaddition, according to the responses, there is also an eed for thecompilation and distribution of landmark case law as useful training material and to enhancejudicialknowle dgeintheareaofenforcement. Finally, the creation of awareness among consumers is of critical importance in the fight against counterfeiting and piracy.

#### B. InternalCooperation

30. AgreatnumberofresponsesproposedthateveryMemberStat eshouldendeavorto coordinateenforcementstrategiesinternallybyestablishingintellectualpropertyenforcement unitsortaskforces,whichincludestakeholdersnotonlyfromthevariousinterested governmentbranches,butalsofromindustryorassocia tionsrepresentingrightholders.

#### C. ContactsBetweenPublicandPrivateSectors

- 31. Theresponsesnotedthat,totheextentthatintellectualpropertyrightholdershada vestedinterestinstoppinginfringement,suchholderscouldbecomeanin valuableresourcein training.Governments,ontheotherhand,alsohadvestedinterestsintheprotectionof intellectualpropertyrights,ascounterfeitingandpiracyresultinthelossofgovernment revenues,joblossesandadownsizingoflegaleconom icactivity.Finally,consumershad vestedinterestsinensuringthattheproductstheypurchase,includingpharmaceuticalsand foodproducts,arenotcounterfeit.Thereshould,accordingly,becooperationbetween enforcementauthoritieswithinthegover nmentandintellectualpropertyownersaswellas otherconstituents.
- 32. Theresponsesunderscoredthatcooperationbetweenthepublicandprivatesectors seemednecessarytopreservevestedinterestsandtomaintainandenhancepractical knowledgeonbothsides.Rightholderscouldhelpgovernmentalenforcementauthoritiesby participatingintrainingactivities,sharingexperiences,producing, *interalia*,product identificationmanuals,pamphlets,brochuresandsamples.Theycouldplayanact iverolein

teachingenforcementofficialshowtodistinguishbetweenrealandcounterfeitgoods. The responsespointedoutthattheycouldalsoprovideinformationonhowtoidentifysuspects engagedinactivitiesrelatingtothemanufacture, distributio nandsaleofinfringinggoods, or howtoidentifyharmfulormisleadingproducts. It was also suggested that they could be encouraged to undertake self -helpactivities, like simple investigations at the retaille veland to provide information to enforcement authorities regarding the availability of counterfeit and pirated goods for more detailed investigation by lawen forcement.

- 33. Theresponsesobservedthatthroughuseandfurtherdevelopmentofmoresophisticated anti-counterfeitandmarketi ngtechnologiesandprograms,rightholderscouldmake counterfeitingmoredifficult,orenableconsumersthemselvestomorereadilydistinguishreal productsfromfakes.Rightholderscouldalsopromotetheruleoflawandencouragethe formationofadeq uatefairtradeandconsumerprotectionlaws,whichcouldallprovide additionalremediestoenforceintellectualpropertyrights.Theresponsesgenerallyagreed thatgovernmentagenciescouldnotonlyinvolveindustryrepresentativesintrainingsessions butshouldalsohaveregularconsultationswiththemonpressingenforcementproblemsand possiblesolutionsthereto.Althoughprivatesectorparticipationwasseenaspivotalinthe fightagainstcounterfeitingandpiracy,privatesectorfunding,howev er,shouldbeaccepted withdiscretion,sincegovernmentsalsohadaresponsibilitytoguaranteetheindependenceof officers.
- D. ProgramsbyNationalIntellectualPropertyOfficesandGovernmentAgencies
- 34. Severalresponsessuggestedthatgov ernmentagenciesshouldprovideongoingtraining programs, dealing withintellectual property administration and examination, including the drafting and review of legislation as well as participation in national, regional and international meetings, worksh opsands eminars. It was clear from the responses that a number of national offices were very active in the field of training, not only within their national borders, but also financing training programs for Member States with less expertise and resources in the field of intellectual property rights.
- E. TheRoleofUniversities
- 35. Theresponsesnotedthatuniversitiesplayedanimportantroleintheteachingof intellectualpropertylawsandoftenpresentedprogramsofvariouslengthsfocussing protectionofintellectualpropertyrights.
- IV. REPORTONWIPOACTIV ITIESINFAVOROFDE VELOPINGCOUNTRIESA ND COUNTRIESINTRANSIT ION,FROMJULY2000 TOJUNE2002,WITH REGARDTOTRAINING, TECHNICALASSISTANCE ANDAWARENESS BUILDINGINTHEFIEL DOFENFORCEMENT

[TablesItoVIIfollow]

#### WIPO/ACE/1/4 Annex,TableI

## ReportonWIPOActivitiesinFavorofDevelopingCountriesandCountriesinTransition fromJuly2000toJune2002

with Regard to Training, Technical Assistance and Awareness Building in the Field of Enforcement

#### **AFRICA**

Year2000	Year2001	Year2002
1.WIPOSubregionalSeminarontheImplementationand EnforcementofCopyrightandRelatedRights, N'Djamena,Chad,September13to15	1.PanAfricanConferenceontheStatusofArtists, Yaoundé,Cameroon,Jul y3to5	1.WIPO/FIM <sup>10</sup> MeetingoftheNationalAntipiracy WorkingGroup,DaresSalaam, UnitedRepublicofTanzania,March14and15
2.WIPOSubregionalSeminarontheRoleofCopyright OfficesintheImplementationoftheBanderoleSystemas anEnforceme ntTool,Bamako,Mali,September18to21	2.WorkshoponIntellectualPropertyRights,Zanzibar, UnitedRepublicofTanzania,July6and7	2.WIPOMissionontheImplementationofanAntipiracy Scheme,andDraftingofAntipiracyRegulations, DaresSalaam, UnitedRepublicofTanzania, March14and15
	3.ExpertMeetingonDraftingofModelRegulationsfora SecurityDeviceSchemeforSoundandAudiovisual Recordings,DaresSalaam,UnitedRepublicofTanzania, July23and24	3.WIPO -SponsoredStudyVisits forRepresentativesof Kenya,UnitedRepublicofTanzaniaandMozambique,to Portugal,MalawiandGhana,ontheImplementationof anAnti -piracyScheme,July8to12
	4.DiscussionswiththeWorldCustomsOrganization (WCO)onTrainingforCustomsOfficia ls,Brussels, Belgium,September12	
	5.ParticipationofaWIPOConsultantintheIFPI InternationalConferenceonPiracy,CapeTown, SouthAfrica,October10to12	
	6.WIPOTrainingWorkshopforCustomsandPolice, Nairobi,Kenya,October29and30	
	7.StudyVisitforAfricanProducersofSoundand AudiovisualRecordings,Johannesburg,SouthAfrica, November25and26	

[TableIIfollows]

FIM:Intern ationalFederationofMusicians

<sup>11</sup> IFPI:InternationalFederationofthePhonographicIndustry

#### WIPO/ACE/1/4 Annex, Table II

#### ReportonWIPOActivitiesinFavorofDevelopingCountriesandCountriesinTransition fromJuly2000toJune 2002 with Regard to Training, Technical Assistance and Awareness Building in the Field of Enforcement

#### ARABSTATES

Year2000	Year2001	Year2002
1.RovingSeminaronIntellectualPropertyandtheTRIPS AgreementforJudges,Tunis,Tunisia,July4t o6	1.TrainingWorkshopforSudaneseLawyersonIntellectual PropertyandtheTRIPSAgreement,Khartoum,Sudan, February24and25	1.WIPOTrainingCourseonIntellectualProperty,Doha, Qatar,February11to13
2.NationalWorkshoponIntellectualPrope rtyforthe Judiciary,Sana'a,Yemen,October10and11	2.NationalSeminarontheTRIPSAgreement,Djibouti, Djibouti,April9and10	2.WIPO/ALUNationalSeminarforLawyersandJudges, KuwaitCity,Kuwait,March2and3
3.NationalSeminaronEnforceme ntofIntellectual PropertyRights,Muscat,Oman,October22to24	3.NationalWorkshoponIntellectualPropertyforthe Judiciary,Sana'a,Yemen,June12and13	3.WIPO/WTOArabRegionalConferenceonIntellectual PropertyandtheDohaMinisterialDeclara tion,Doha, Qatar,April28to30
4.StudyVisitbytheJudiciaryofSudantotheWIPO Headquarters,September14and15	4.NationalWorkshopforParliamentarians,Tunis,Tunisia, June14and15	4.Sub -RegionalSymposiumonIntellectualPropertyfor MembersoftheJudiciaryofCountriesoftheGCC <sup>12</sup> , AbuDhabi,UnitedArabEmirates,May13to15
	5.StudyVisitoftheJudiciaryofJordantotheWIPO Headquarters,Geneva,October8to12	5.NationalSeminarontheTRIPSAgreement,Algiers, Algeria,June10 and11
	6.WIPO/ALU <sup>13</sup> RovingSeminarsforLawyersandJudges, inEgypt,MoroccoandTunisia,October20to31	
	7.MeetingwithMembersofParliamentfromEgypt, Geneva,October22to26	
	8.WIPOArabRegionalConferenceonEnforcementof Intellectual PropertyRightsfortheJudiciary,Amman, Jordan,November5to7	
	9.WIPO/BSA <sup>14</sup> SubregionalSeminarontheTRIPS AgreementandCopyrightandRelatedRights, November8and9	

[TableIIIfollows]

GCC:GulfCooperationCouncil ALU:ArabLawyersUnion BSA:BusinessSoftwareAlliance

<sup>13</sup> 

#### WIPO/ACE/1/4 Annex,TableIII

## ReportonWIPOActivitiesinFavorofDevelopingCountri esandCountriesinTransition fromJuly2000toJune2002 withRegardtoTraining,TechnicalAssistanceandAwarenessBuildingintheFieldofEnforcement

#### ASIAANDTHEPACIFIC

Year2000	Year2001	Year2002
1.TrainingCourseonEnforcementofInd ustrialProperty, Tokyo,Japan,September11to22	1.RovingNationalWorkshopsonEnforcementofIPR, Karachi,Lahore,Islamabad,Pakistan,March12to20	1.WIPOAsiaPacificRegionalColloquiumon IntellectualPropertyfortheJudiciary,NewDelhi,Indi a, February6to8
2.WIPORegionalSymposiumontheTRIPSAgreement fortheJudiciaryandEnforcementAgencies,Tehran, IslamicRepublicofIran,September9to11	2.NationalWorkshoponEnforcementofIPRsfor CustomsOfficials,Tehran,IslamicRepubli cofIran, April24to26	2.NationalWorkshopontheProtectionandEnforcement ofCopyrightandRelatedRights,Suva,Fiji,February26
3.WIPO/USPTORegionalConferenceonIntellectual PropertyEnforcementintheDigitalEconomy, ChiangRai,Thailand ,September18and19	3.WIPORegionalSymposiumontheEnforcementofIPR inthe21stCentury,Auckland,NewZealand, May8to10	3. National Seminaron Emerging Issues of Enforcement in the Digital Age, Jakarta, Indonesia, April 25
4.WIPONationalRov ingSeminarsonEnforcementof IntellectualPropertyRights(IPR),Surabaya,Jakartaand Batam,Indonesia,October16to24	4.WIPOOrientationandStudyProgramforSenior CustomsandIPEnforcementOfficialsfromCountriesof AsiaandthePacificonthe EnforcementofIPR,Geneva,	
	Brussels,Belgium;Amsterdam,Netherlands;Hamburg, Germany,June11to22	
5.NationalSeminarontheEnforcementofIntellectual PropertyRightsfortheJudiciary,CustomsandPolice Officials,Bhutan,November16and17	5.NationalSeminaronEnforcementofIPR, Vientiane, LaoPeople'sDemocraticRepublic,September4and5	
	6.SpecialTrainingCourseontheProtectionand EnforcementofCopyrightandRelatedRights,Tokyo, Japan,November5to9	
	7.NationalSeminaron theEnforcementofIPR, Phnom Penh,Cambodia,November22and23	
	8.WIPONationalSeminarontheRoleofIPinPromoting InnovationandEnhancingEnterpriseCompetitiveness, Kathmandu,Nepal,December10to11	

[TableIVfollows]

#### WIPO/ACE/1/4 Annex,TableIV

# ReportonWIPOAc tivitiesinFavorofDevelopingCountriesandCountriesinTransition fromJuly2000toJune2002 withRegardtoTraining,TechnicalAssistanceandAwarenessBuildingintheFieldofEnforcement

#### CERTAINCOUNTRIESINEUROPEANDASIA

Year2001	Year20 02
1.WIPO/ISESCO <sup>15</sup> ConferenceonIntellectualProperty,Baku, Azerbaijan,May21to23	1.NationalWorkshopontheRoleofCustoms,Police,Antimonopolyand theJudiciaryinEnforcementofIntellectualPropertyRights,Moscow, RussianFederation,
2.SeminaronEnforcementofIntellectualPropertyRights,Mangalia, Romania, June6to8	February6and7  2.NationalSeminarfortheJudiciaryonEnforcementofIntellectual PropertyRights,incooperationwiththeCoalitionforIntellectual PropertyRights(C IPR),Astana,Kazakhstan,April 17and18
3.RegionalSeminaronIntellectualPropertyandInformation Technology,Moscow,RussianFederation,July 10to12	3.SeminarforJudgesandLawEnforcementOfficialsincooperationwithCIPRandthe CommercialLa wDevelopmentProgramoftheUnitedStatesDepartmentofCommerce, Kiev,Ukraine,April 22and23
4.NationalSeminaronEnforcementofIntellectualPropertyRightsfor theJudiciary,Minsk,Belarus,November28to29	

[TableVfollows]

<sup>15</sup> 

#### WIPO/ACE/1/4 Annex,TableV,page1

## ReportonWI POActivitiesinFavorofDevelopingCountriesandCountriesinTransition fromJuly2000toJune2002 withRegardtoTraining,TechnicalAssistanceandAwarenessBuildingintheFieldofEnforcement

#### LATINAMERICAANDTHECARIBBEAN

Year2000	Year20 01	Year2002
1.WIPONationalSeminaronIntellectualProperty:	1. WIPONationalSeminaronIntellectualProperty,	1.WIPONationalSeminaronCopyright andRelated
-Quito,Ecuador,July3and4	LaPaz,Bolivia,February21and22	RightsforJudgesandProsecutors,SantiagodeVeragua,
-Guayaquil,Ecuador,July6and7		Panama, March 6to 8
2.WIPONationalSeminaronSubstantiveandProcedural	2.ExpertMissionsontheEstablishmentof anAnti -piracy	2.StudyVisitbyaColombianCustomsOfficialtothe
AspectsofIntellectualProperty,Bogota,Colombia,	DeviceSystem	CustomsOfficesofSpain,Madrid,April22to26,
July13and14	-Barbados, February 26 and 27	andPanama,PanamaCity,May 20to31
	-Jamaica,March1and2	
	-TrinidadandTobago,March5to9	
3.VIIWIPORegionalAcademicCourseonCopyright	3.WIPONationalSeminaronEnforcementofIntellectual	3.TrainingCourseonEnforcementofCopyright,
andRelatedRightsforLatinAmericanCountries,	PropertyRightsforPoliceandCustomsOffi cials:	MexicoCity,Mexico,May20to31
SanJose, CostaRica, August 28 to September 5	-PortofSpain,TrinidadandTobago,March22and23; -Kingston,Jamaica,March26and27	
4.WIPONationalRovingSeminaronEnforcementof	4.WIPONationalSeminaronTechnologicalMeasuresof	4.NationalSeminarontheNewWIPOInternetTreaties
IntellectualPropertyRi ghtsforJudges,Prosecutors,	Protectioninthe1996WIPOCopyrightTreatiesandi nthe	andDigitalTechnology,SãoPaulo,Brazil,
CustomsandPoliceOfficers:	NewColombianPenalCode,Bogota,Colombia,	June12and13
-Asuncion,Paraguay,September18and19	April25to27	June 1 Zana 1 3
-CiudaddelEste,Paraguay,September21and22	1471123027	
5.WIPONationalSeminaronEnforcementof	5. WIPO/WorldCustomsOrganization(WCO)Training	
IntellectualPropertyRightsforJu dges,Managua,	SessionsonEnforcementofIntellectualPropertyRights,	
Nicaragua,November13and14	Bridgetown,Barbados,June5to7	
6.XXWIPOSeminaronIndustrialPropertyforLatin	6.IIIWIPONationalSeminaronIntellectualProperty	
AmericanCountri es,RiodeJaneiro,Brazil,	Rights, Mardel Plata, Argentina, June 7to 9	
November28toDecember1		
	16	
	7.WIPO/SIECA <sup>16</sup> NationalSeminaronEnforcementof	
	IntellectualPropertyRightsforJudgesandPros ecutors,	
	PanamaCity,Panama,June25to26	

<sup>. .</sup> 

#### WIPO/ACE/1/4 Annex,TableV,page2

#### Report on WIPO Activities in Favor of Developing Countries and Countries in TransitionfromJuly2000toJune2002 with Regard to Training, Technical Assistance and Awareness Building in the Field of Enforcement LATINAMERICAANDTHECARIBBEAN(continued) Year2000 Year2001 Year2002 8. WIPOIntellectualPropertySymposiumfortheJudiciary oftheEasternCaribbeanSupremeCourt,GrosIslet, St.Lucia.June28and29 9.WIPO/SIECANationalSeminar ontheEnforcementof Intellectual Property Rights for Judges and Prosecutors:-Tegucigalpa, Honduras, July 2 and 3 -SanPedroSula,July4 10.WIPO/SIECANationalSeminarontheEnforcementof Intellectual Property Rights for Judges and Prosecutors:-GuatemalaCity,Guatemala,August23and24; -SanSalvador, ElSalvador, August 27 and 28 11.WIPO/SIECANationalSeminarontheEnforcementof Intellectual Property Rights for Judges and Prosecutors:-SanJosé, CostaRica, September 3 and 4; -Manag ua, Nicaragua, September 6 and 7 12. WIPOIntroductoryCourseonCopyrightandRelated RightsforJudges,SantoDomingo,DominicanRepublic, September19to22 13.WIPONationalSeminaronEnforcementofIntellectual PropertyRightsforJudgesandPr osecutors,MexicoCity, Mexico,October25and26 14.WIPONationalSeminaronEnforcementofCopyright andRelatedRightsforJudgesandProsecutors, Montevideo, Uruguay, November 26 and 27

[TableVIfollows]

#### WIPO/ACE/1/4 Annex,TableVI

## ReportonWIPOActivitiesinFavorof DevelopingCountriesandCountriesinTransition fromJuly2000toJune2002 withRegardtoTraining,TechnicalAssistanceandAwarenessBuildingintheFieldofEnforcement

#### LEAST-DEVELOPEDCOUNTRIES

Year2000	Year2001	Year2002
1.WIPOArabWor kshoponIntellectualPropertyfor	1.WIPOHigh -LevelInterregionalRoundtableon	1.JointWIPO -WTOWorkshoponImplement ationofthe
Least-DevelopedCountries(LDCs),Khartoum,Sudan,	IntellectualPropertyforLDCs,Lisbon,Portugal,	TRIPSAgreementonLDCs <sup>17</sup> ,Dar es Salaam,
October 23to25	February 1 and 2	UnitedRepublicofTanzania,April 22to25
2.WIPOAfricanRegionalSeminaronModernizationof	2.InteractiveThematicS essiononIntellectualProperty	
theIntellectualPropertySystemforLDCs,Kampala,	andDevelopment,intheFrameworkoftheThirdUnited	
Uganda,December 18to20	NationsConferenceonLDCs,Brussels,Belgium,	
	May 14to20	

[TableVIIfollows]

<sup>17</sup> 

#### WIPO/ACE/1/4 Annex,TableVII

## ReportonWIPOActivitiesinFavorofDevelopingCountriesandCountriesinTransiti on fromJuly2000toJune2002 withRegardtoTraining,TechnicalAssistanceandAwarenessBuildingintheFieldofEnforcement

#### WIPOWORLDWIDEACADEMY

Year2000	Year2001	Year2002
1.AdvancedTrainingCourseonCopyrightandRelated	1.WIPOAcademyonIntellectualProperty,General	1.AcademyonIntellectualPropertyandDevelopment,
Rights,Gene va,Stockholm,Sweden,August17to29	Session, Geneva, March 26to 30	Khartoum,Sudan,January27to31
2.Post -GraduateCourseonIntellectualPropert y,Turin,	2.AcademyonEnforcementofIntellectualProperty	2.AcademyonEnforcementofIntellectualProperty
Italy,September4toNovember30	RightsforJudgesofContinentalLawJurisdiction,	Rights, Arlington, USA, May 20to24
	ParisandGeneva,May14to22	
3.AcademyforCountriesinTransition, GeneralSession,	3.InterregionalIntermediateSeminaronIntellectual	3.AcademyonIntellectualPropertyandDevelopment,
Geneva,October9to13	Property, Geneva, June 5 to 8	Singapore,June25to28
4.SymposiumonCopyrightandRelatedRights,	4.WIPOAcademyonEnforcementofIntellectualProperty	
Washington, USA, October 30 to November 3	Rights,BeijingandShanghai,China,June	
5.AcademyonEnforcementofIntellectualProperty	5.SummerSchool,Geneva,July2toAugust10	
Rights, Arlington, USA, November 13 and 14		
	6.TrainingCourseonCopyrightandRelatedRights,	
	Stockholm,Sweden,August20to30	
	7.Post -graduateSpecializationCourseonIntellectual	
	Property, Turin, Italy, September 3 to November 30	
	8.Legal,EconomicandAdministrativeAspectsof	
	IntellectualProperty,Madrid,Spain,October8to19	
	9.WIPO/SGAE <sup>18</sup> RegionalAcademicCourseon	
	CopyrightandRelatedRightsforLatinAmerican	
	Countries,	
	SantaCruz,Bolivia,October 8to16	
	10.CourseonLegal,EconomicandAdministrative	
	AspectsofIntellectualProperty,Strasbourg,France,	
	September17toOctober5	
	11.WIPO -USPTOAcademyonEnforcement,Arlington,	
	USA,October22to26	
	12.InterregionalIntermediateSemina ronCopyrightand	
	RelatedRights,Geneva,November21to23	

[EndofTableVIIandofdocument]