

SCCR/7/9 ORIGINAL:English DATE:May6,2002

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION GENEVA

WIPO

STANDINGCOMMITTEEO NCOPYRIGHT ANDRELATEDRIGHTS

SeventhSession Geneva,May13to17,2002

PROTECTIONOFTHERI GHTSOFBROADCASTING ORGANIZATI ONS

COMPARATIVETABLEOF PROPOSALSRECEIVED BYMAY6,2002

prepared by the Secretariat

TABLEOFCONTENTS

Page

INTR	RODUCTORYNOTE	.2
I.	TITLE	.3
II.	PREAMBLE	.4
III.	RELATIONTOOTHERCO NVENTIONSANDTREATI ES; RELATIONTOCOPYRIGH TANDOTHERCA TEGORIESOF RELATEDRIGHTSHOLDE RS	5
IV.	DEFINITIONS	.9
V.	BENEFICIARIESOFPRO TECTION	. 12
VI.	NATIONALTREATMENT	. 15
VII.	RIGHTSOFBROADCASTI NGORGANIZATIONS	.17
VIII.	LIMITATIONSANDEXCE PTIONS	. 25
IX.	TERMOFPROTECTION	. 28
X.	OBLIGATIONSCONCERN INGTECHNOLOGICALME ASURES	. 30
XI.	OBLIGATIONSCONCERNI NGRIGHTSMANAGEMENT INFORMATION	. 32
XII.	FORMALITIES	. 35
XIII.	RESERVATIONS	. 37
XIV.	APPLICATIONINTIME	. 38
XV.	PROVISIONSONENFORC EMENTOFRIGHTS	.40
XVI.	ADMINISTRATIVEANDF INALCLAUSES	.42

INTRODUCTORYNOTE

1. TheSecretariatoftheWorldIntellectualPropertyOrganization(WIPO)hasprepareda documentwhichcontainsacomparativetableoftheproposalsontheprotectionoftherights ofbroadcastingorganizationssubmittedbythe MemberStatesoftheWorldIntellectual PropertyOrganization(WIPO)andtheEuropeanCommunityasofOctober 9, 2001.Since then,moreproposalsfromtheMemberStateshavebeenreceived.Thepresentdocumenthas consolidatedtheproposalsreceivedbyM ay6,2002.

- 2. Thiscomparativetableisbasedonthefollowingdocuments:
 - SCCR/2/5:containingsubmissionsreceivedfromMemberStatesofWIPOand theEuropeanCommunitybyMarch31,1999(includingaproposalby Switzerland);
 - SCCR/2/7: containingasubmissionbyMexico;
 - SCCR/2/10Rev.:containingtheReportontheRegionalRoundtableforCentral EuropeanandBalticStatesontheProtectionoftheRightsofBroadcasting OrganizationsandontheProtectionofDatabases,heldinVilniu s,from April 20 to22,1999(referredtointhedocumentas"CertainCentralEuropean andBalticStates");
 - SCCR/2/12:containingasubmissionbyCameroon;
 - SCCR/3/2:containingtheReportoftheRegionalRoundtableforAfricanCountries ontheProt ectionofDatabasesandontheProtectionoftheRightsofBroadcasting Organizations,heldinCotonou,fromJune22to24,1999(referredtointhe documentas"CertainStatesofAfrica");
 - SCCR/3/4:containingaproposalbyArgentina;
 - SCCR/3/5:co ntainingasubmissionbytheUnitedRepublicofTanzania;
 - SCCR/3/6:containingtheStatementadoptedattheRegionalRoundtablefor CountriesofAsiaandthePacificontheProtectionofDatabasesandonthe ProtectionoftheRightsofBroadcastingOrg anizations,heldinManila,from June 29toJuly1,1999(referredtointhedocumentas"CertainStatesofAsiaand thePacific");
 - SCCR/5/4:containingaproposalbyJapan;
 - SCCR/6/2:containingaproposalbytheEuropeanCommunityanditsMember States;
 - SCCR/6/3:containingaproposalbyUkraine;and
 - SCCR/7/7:containingaproposalbytheEasternRepublicofUruguay.

I. TITLE

ARGENTINA

3. TheDelegationofArgentinahasproposedthefollowingwording:

 $WIPOProtocolon the P \quad rotection of the Broadcasts of Broadcasting Organizations.$

CAMEROON

4. TheDelegationofCameroonhasproposedthefollowingwording:

 $The new instruments hould be in the form of a {\it Protocollike the Berne Protocol.}$

CERTAINSTATESOFAFRICA

5. TheRepresentativeofCertainStatesofAfricahasproposedthefollowingwording:

The country representatives expressed themselves in favor of a treaty.

EUROPEANCOMMUNITYANDITSMEMBERSTATES

6. TheDelegationoftheEuropeanCo mmunityanditsMemberstateshasproposedthe followingwording:

WIPOT reaty on the Protection of Broadcasting Organizations.

JAPAN

7. TheDelegationofJapanhasproposedthefollowingwording:

WIPOBroadcastingOrganizationsTreaty.

MEXICO

8. TheDelegationofMexicohasproposedthefollowingwording:

Treaty on the Protection of the Rights of Broadcasting Organizations.

SWITZERLAND

9. TheDelegationofSwitzerlandhasproposedthefollowingwording:

 $\label{eq:protocolon} Protocolon\ the Protection of the Rights of Broad casting Organizations Under the WIPO\ Performances and Phonograms Treaty.$

UKRAINE

10. TheDelegationofUkrainehasproposedthefollowingwording:

WIPOTreatyonBroadcastingOrganizations.

SCCR/7/9

page 4

UNITEDREPUBLIC OFTANZANIA

 $11. \quad The Delegation of the United Republic of Tanzania has proposed the following wording:$

The envisage dimensional instrument for the protection of the rights of broadcasting organizations should be an independent treaty.

URUGUAY

12. TheDelegationofUruguayhasproposedthefollowingwording:

WIPOT reaty on the Protection of Broadcasting Organizations.

II. PREAMBLE

EUROPEANCOMMUNITYANDITSMEMBERSTATES

13. TheDelegationoftheEuropeanCommunityan ditsMemberstateshasproposedthe followingwording:

TheContractingParties,

Desiring to develop and maintain the protection of the rights of broadcasting organizations in a manner as effective and uniform as possible,

*Recognizing*theneedtointrod ucenewinternationalrulesinordertoprovideadequate solutionstothequestionsraisedbyeconomic,social,culturalandtechnological developments,

Recognizing theprofound impact of the development and convergence of information and communication te chnologies which have given rise to increasing possibilities and opportunities for unauthorized use of broadcasts both within and across frontiers,

Recognizing then edfor a balance between the rights of broadcasting organizations and the larger public interest, particularly education, research and access to information, as well as for broadcasting organizations to acknowledge the rights of authors and holders of related rights inworks and other protected subject matter contained in their broadcasts.

III. RELATIONTOOTH ERCONVENTIONSANDT REATIES; RELATIONTOCOPYRIGH TANDOTHERCATEGORI ES OFRELATEDRIGHTSHO LDERS

ARGENTINA

14. TheDelegationofArgentinahasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article1

RelationtoOtherConventions

(a) NothinginthisProtocolshallderogatefromexistingobligationsthatContracting PartieshavetoeachotherundertheInternationalConventionfortheProtectionof Performers,ProducersofPhonogramsandBroadcastingOrganizationsdoneatRomeon October26,1961(hereinafterreferredtoas"theRomeConvention").

(b) ProtectiongrantedunderthisProtocolshallleaveintactandshallinnowayaffectthe protectionofcopyrightinliteraryandartisticworks.Consequently,noprovisionofthis Protocolmaybeinterpretedasprejudicingsuchprotection.

 $(c) \quad This Protocol shall not affect the copyright of broad casting organizations and/or other owners of rights in relation to the works that are broad cast.$

(d) ThisProtocolshallnothaveanyconnection with,orprejudiceanyrightsorobligations under,anyothertreaties.

CERTAINCENTRALEUROPEANANDBALTICSTATES

15. TheRepresentativeofCertainCentralEuropeanandBalticStateshasproposedthe followingwording:

 $When updating broad cas\ ters'rights the proper balance between the various groups of rightholders should be taken into consideration.$

CERTAINSTATESOFAFRICA

16. TheRepresentativeofCertainStatesofAfricahasproposedthefollowingwording:

 $The country repres\ entatives, having carefully studied the proposal submitted by Switzerland (SCCR/2/5) and a group of broad casting organizations (SCCR/2/6), highlighted the following is sues for further study and discussion:$

- therelationshipofthenewinstrumenttoothe rinternationalinstrumentsforthe protectionofcopyrightandneighboringrights;

- thebalancing, also with reference to socio - cultural factors in the various regions, of the rights of all interested parties, including authors, broadcasting organizatio ns, performers and producers of phonograms.

CERTAINSTATESOFASIAANDTHEPACIFIC

 $17. \quad The Representative of Certain States of Asia and the Pacific has proposed the following wording:$

Itisimportanttostrikeabalancebetweentheinterests ofthedifferentstakeholders (i.e. thebigandsmallbroadcastingorganizations,theauthors,theperformers,theproducers andthepublic).

The reshould be node rogation from the rights and obligations conferred under other international treaties/agree ments.

EUROPEANCOMMUNITYANDITSMEMBERSTATES

18. TheDelegationoftheEuropeanCommunityanditsMemberstateshasproposedthe followingwording:

Article1 RelationtoOtherConventionsandTreaties

(a) Nothing in this Treaty shall derog a tefrom existing obligations that Contracting Parties have to each other under the International Convention for the Protection of Performers, Producers of Phonograms and Broadcasting Organizations done in Rome, October 26, 1961.

(b) Protectiongrantedund erthisTreatyshallleaveintactandshallinnowayaffectthe protectionofcopyrightorneighboringrightsinprogrammaterialincorporatedinbroadcasts. Consequently, noprovisionofthisTreatymaybeinterpretedasprejudicingsuchprotection.

(c) ThisTreatyshallnothaveanyconnectionwith,norshallitprejudiceanyrightsand obligationsunder,anyothertreaties.

JAPAN

19. TheDelegationofJapanhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article1 RelationtoOtherConventionsAndTre aties

(a) Nothing in this Treaty shall derogate from existing obligations that Contracting Parties have to each other under the International Convention for the Protection of Performers, Producers of Phonograms and Broadcasting Organizations done in Rome, October 26, 1961.

(b) ProtectiongrantedunderthisTreatyshallleaveintactandshallinnowayaffectthe protectionofcopyrightinliteraryandartisticworks.Consequently,noprovisionofthis Treatymaybeinterpretedasprejudicingsuchprotect ion.

(c) ThisTreatyshallnothaveanyconnectionwith,norshallitprejudiceanyrightsand obligationsunder,anyothertreaties.

MEXICO

20. TheDelegationofMexicohasproposedthefollowingwording:

Itconsidersitimportantthatthe subsequentnegotiationsanddiscussionsleadingtoa TreatyontheProtectionoftheRightsofBroadcastingOrganizationsshouldtakeinto considerationthedraftsubmittedbythevariousunionsandassociationsofbroadcasting organizationsanddistributdatthemeetingoftheStandingCommitteeonCopyrightand RelatedRightsinNovember1998.

SWITZERLAND

 $21. \quad The Delegation of Switzer land has proposed the following wording:$

Article1² RelationtoOtherConventions

(a) Thistreatyconstitute saprotocolundertheWIPOPerformancesandPhonograms Treaty(WPPT).

(b) NothinginthisProtocolshallderogatefromexistingobligationsthatContracting PartieshavetoeachotherundertheInternationalConventionfortheProtectionof Performers,P roducersofPhonogramsandBroadcastingOrganizationsdoneatRomeon October26,1961(RomeConvention).

(c) ProtectiongrantedunderthisProtocolshallleaveintactandshallinnowayaffectthe protectionofcopyrightinliteraryandartisticworks. Consequently,noprovisionofthis Protocolmaybeinterpretedasprejudicingsuchprotection.

(d) ThisProtocolshallnotprejudiceanyrightsandobligationsunderanyothertreaties.

UKRAINE

22. TheDelegationofUkrainehasproposedthefo llowingwording:

Article1 RelationtoOtherConventionsandTreaties

(a) Nothing in this Treaty shall limit from existing obligations, that Contracting Parties have to each other under the International Convention for the Protection of Interests of Performers, Producers of Phonograms and Broadcasting Organizations done in Rome, on October 26, 1961 (here in after the "Rome Convention").

(b) ProtectiongrantedunderthisTreatyshallleaveintactandshallinnowayaffectthe protectionofcopyrightinlit eraryandartisticworks.Consequently,noprovisionofthis Treatymaybeinterpretedasprejudicingsuchprotection.

¹ SeeWIPOdocumentSCCR/2/6.

² [NoteonArticle1containedintheproposal:]"Thisproposalispresentedasaprotocolunder theWIPOPerformancesandPhonogramsTreaty(WPPT).Additionally,Article1 excludesany prejudiceoftheexistingtreatiesorofcopyrightprotection(seealsoArticle1oftheWPPT)."

(c) ThisTreatyshallnothaveanyconnectionwith,norshallitprejudiceanyrightsand obligationsunderanyothertreaties.

UNITED REPUBLICOFTANZANIA

 $\label{eq:23.1} 23. The Delegation of the United Republic of Tanzania has proposed the following wording:$

The proposed instrument should address clearly the following issues:

- thebalanceofrightsbetweenbroadcastersandtheownersof broadcastcontents, incableretransmission;

 $- \\ the balance of all rights owners involved, i.e. the broadcasters, authors, performers, producers of phonograms and cable operators$

URUGUAY

24. TheDelegationofUruguayhasproposedthefollowing wording:

Article1 RelationtoOtherConventionsandTreaties

(a) Nothing in this Treaty shall derogate from existing obligations that Contracting Parties have to each other under the International Convention for the Protection of Performers, Producers of Phonograms and Broad casting Organizations done in Rome, October 26, 1961 (here in after referred to as "the Rome Convention").

(b) ProtectiongrantedunderthisTreatyshallleaveintactandshallinnowayaffectthe protectionofcopyrightorneighborin grightsinprogrammaterialincorporatedinbroadcasts. Consequently,noprovisionofthisTreatymaybeinterpretedasprejudicingsuchprotection.

(c) ThisTreatyshallnothaveanyconnectionwith,norshallitprejudiceanyrightsand obligationsun der,anyothertreaties.

IV. DEFINITIONS

ARGENTINA

25. TheDelegationofArgentinahasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article2 Definitions

ForthepurposesofthisProtocol:

(a) "emission" or "transmission" meansthed is semination of so und sorimages, or of images with sound, by means of electromagnetic waves, cable, optic fiber or other comparable media;

(b) "broadcasting" means the wireless transmission for public reception of sounds or of images with sound, or representations thereof ; such transmission by satellite is also "broadcasting"; transmission of encrypted signals is "broadcasting" where the means of decrypting are made available to the public by the broadcasting organization or with its consent;

(c) "cabledistribution" me ansthedistribution by wire of sound sorimages, or of images with sound, or representations thereof, for public reception;

(d) "broadcastingorganization" meansthebodyauthorizedbyanyContractingPartythatis capableofemittingsoundorvisualsig nals,orboth,insuchawaythattheymaybeperceived byanumberofreceivingindividuals; the authorized entity that engages in cable distribution is also a "broadcasting organization";

(e) "retransmission" means the simultaneous emission by one broad casting organization of the broad cast of another broad cast ing organization;

(f) "communicationtothepublic" meansmaking the broadcast of a broadcasting organization, or a fix ation thereof, audible or visible in places accessible to the public;

(g) "fixation" means the embodiment of sounds or images, or of images with sound, or the representation thereof, from which they may be perceived, reproduced or communicated by means of a device.

CAMEROON

26. TheDelegationofCameroonhasproposedth efollowingwording:

Definitions

Certain expressions and concepts deriving from the progress of technology and deserving international protections hould be clearly defined, including:

- satellite;
- encryptedsatellitesignals;
- communicationtothe publicbysatellite;
- cableretransmission;

- terrestrialbroadcastingandsatellitebroadcasting;
- digitalnetworks;
- program-carryingsignals.

OrganizationsProtected

27. Theprotection of broadcasting organizations should extend not only to cable distribution organizations that distribute their own programs by cable, but also to signals transmitted by satellite.

CERTAINSTATESOFAFRICA

 $28. \quad The Representative of Certain States of Africa has proposed the following wording:$

The definitions of the terms of "broadcast," "broadcasting," "cable transmission," "communication to the public," "program output" and "rebroadcasting" should be further studied and discussed.

EUROPEANCOMMUNITYANDITSMEMBERSTATES

29. TheDelegat ionoftheEuropeanCommunityanditsMemberstateshasproposedthe followingwording:

Article1 *bis* Definitions³

Forthepurposes of this Treaty, "broadcasting" means the transmission by wire or over the air, including by cable or satellite, for public reception of sounds or of the representations thereof; transmission of encrypted signals is "broadcasting" where the means for decrypting are provided to the public by the broadcast ingorganization or with its consent. The merere transmission by cable of broadcasts of a broadcast ingorganization or the making available of fix ations of broadcast sasset out in Article 7 shall not constitute broadcasting.

JAPAN

30. TheDelegationofJapanhasproposedthefollowingwording :

Article2 Definitions

ForthepurposesofthisTreaty:

(a) "broadcasting" means the transmission by wireless means for public reception of sounds or of images and sounds or of the representations thereof; such

³ TheEuropeanCommunityanditsMemberStatesremainopentofurtherdiscussiononthe questionwhetherfurtherdefinitionsshouldbeaddedtot hisArticle,aswellasonthequestion whetherdefinitionsshouldbecontainedinaseparateArticleorintheprovisionsconcerningthe substantiverights.

transmissionbysatellitei salso"broadcasting";transmissionofencryptedsignalsis "broadcasting"wherethemeansfordecryptingareprovidedtothepublicbythebroadcasting organizationorwithitsconsent;

(b) "rebroadcasting" means the simultaneous or deferred broadcasting gby one broadcasting organization of the broadcast of another broadcast ing organization;

(c) "communicationtothepublic"ofabroadcastmeansthetransmissiontothepublic byanymedium,otherwisethanbybroadcasting,ofabroadcast;"communication tothe public"includesmakingabroadcastaudibleorvisibleoraudibleandvisibletothepublic.

MEXICO

31. TheDelegationofMexicohasproposedthefollowingwording:

It considersitimportant that the subsequent negotiations and discussi onsleading to a Treaty on the Protection of the Rights of Broad casting Organizations should take into consideration the draft submitted by the various unions and associations of broad casting organizations and distributed at the meeting of the Standing Com mittee on Copyright and Related Rights in November 1998.

UNITEDREPUBLICOFTANZANIA

 $\label{eq:2.1} 32. \quad The Delegation of the United Republic of Tanzania has proposed the following wording:$

Theinstrumentshouldclearlydefinethefollowingterms:

- broadcastingbysatellite,
- cableretransmission,
- terrestrialbroadcasting,
- encryptedsatellitesignals,
- program-carryingsignals,
- digitalnetworks.

URUGUAY

33. TheDelegationofUruguayhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article2

Definitions

Forthepurposes of this Treaty, "broadcasting" means the transmission by wireless means, for public reception, of sounds or of images and sounds or of the representations thereof; transmission of encrypted signals is "broadcasting" where the means for recrypting are supplied to the public; such transmission by satellites hall also be "broadcasting"; the transmission of encrypted signals shall be "broadcasting" where the means of decrypting are supplied to the public by the broadcasting organization or with its consent.

⁴ SeeWIPOdocumentSCCR/2/6.

The provisions of this Treaty shall apply to transmissions by wire, including by cable, and to any other similar form of transmission of sounds or of images and sounds, or of the representations thereof, whether encrypted or not.

V. BENEFICIARIESOF PROTECTION

ARGENTINA

34. TheDelegationofArgentinahasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article3 BeneficiariesofProtectionunderthisProtocol

ContractingPartiesshallaccordtheprotectionprovidedforinthisProtoco ltothe broadcastingorganizationsofotherContractingPartiesthatmeetthefollowingconditions:

(a) theheadquartersofthebroadcastingorganizationmustbelocatedontheterritoryof anotherContractingParty;

(b) thebroadcastmustbetransmitte dfromatransmitterortransmitterslocatedonthe territoryofanotherContractingParty.Inthecaseofsatellitebroadcasting,therelevantplace shallbethepointatwhichthesoundsorimages,orimageswithsound,ortherepresentations thereof,i ntendedfordirectreceptionbythepublicareintroduced,underthecontrolandon theresponsibilityofthebroadcastingorganization,intoanunbrokenchainofcommunication towardsthesatelliteandfromitdowntoearth.

CAMEROON

35. TheDe legationofCameroonhasproposedthefollowingwording:

OrganizationsProtected

Theprotection of broadcasting organizations should extend not only to cable distribution organizations that distribute their own programs by cable, but also to signals transmitted by satellite.

PointsofAttachment

Those written into Article 6 of the Rome Convention should apply.

EUROPEANCOMMUNITYANDITSMEMBERSTATES

36. TheDelegationoftheEuropeanCommunityanditsMemberstateshasproposedthe followingwording:

Article2

BeneficiariesofProtection

(a) ContractingPartiesshallaccordtheprotectionprovidedunderthisTreatyto broadcastingorganizations, which meete ither of the following conditions:

 $(i) \quad the head quarters of the broad casting organ \quad iz at ion is situated in another Contracting Party, or$

(ii) thebroadcastsaretransmittedfromatransmittersituatedinanotherContracting Party.Inthecaseofsatellitebroadcasts,therelevantplaceshallbethatatwhich,underthe controlandrespo nsibilityofthebroadcastingorganization,theprogram -carryingsignals intendedforreceptionbythepublicareintroducedintoanuninterruptedchainof communicationleadingtothesatelliteanddowntowardstheearth.

(b) Bymeansofanotificationdeposited with the Director General of the World Intellectual Property Organization, any Contracting Party may declare that it will protect broad casts only if the head quarters of the broad casting organization is situated in another Contracting Party and the broad casts are transmitted from a transmitter situated in the same Contracting Party. Such notification may be deposited at the time of ratification, acceptance or accession, or at any time thereafter; in the last case, it shall be come effective six mon the safter it has been deposited.

JAPAN

37. TheDelegationofJapanhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article3 BeneficiariesofProtectionunderthisTreaty

(a) ContractingPartiesshallaccordtheprotectionprovidedunderthisTreatyto broadcastingorganizationswhicharenationalsofotherContractingParties.

(b) The national sofother Contracting Parties shall be understood to be those broadcasting organizations which meete ither of the following conditions:

 $(i) \quad the head quarters of the broad casting organization is situated in another Contracting Party;$

(ii) thebroadcastistransmittedfromatransmittersituatedinanotherContracting Party.Inthecaseofsatellitebroadcasting,atransmittershallbeconstruedtobesituated wherethesoundsorimages,orimagesandsounds,ortherepresentationsthereof,intendedfor directreceptionbythepublicareintroduced,underthecontrolandresponsibilityofthe broadcastingorganization,intoanuninterruptedchainofcommunicationl eadingtothe satelliteanddowntowardstheearth.

MEXICO

38. TheDelegationofMexicohasproposedthefollowingwording:

Itconsidersitimportantthatthesubsequentnegotiationsanddiscussionsleadingtoa TreatyontheProtectionofthe RightsofBroadcastingOrganizationsshouldtakeinto considerationthedraftsubmittedbythevariousunionsandassociationsofbroadcasting organizationsanddistributedatthemeetingoftheStandingCommitteeonCopyrightand RelatedRightsinNovemb er1998.⁵

⁵ SeeWIPOdocumentSCCR/2/6.

SWITZERLAND

39. TheDelegationofSwitzerlandhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article2⁶ BeneficiariesofProtectionUnderthisProtocol

(a) Contracting Parties shall accord the protection provided under this Protocol to the broadcasting organization shat are national so fother Contracting Parties.

(b) "NationalsofotherContractingParties" meansbroadcastingorganizations that satisfy either of the following conditions:

(i) theheadquartersofthebroadcastingorganizationa relocatedinanother ContractingPartyor

(ii) thebroadcastsaretransmittedfromatransmitterlocatedontheterritoryof anotherContractingParty.Inthecaseofsatellitebroadcasts,theeffectiveplaceshallbethat atwhichtheprogram -carrying signalsintendedforreceptionbythepublicareintroduced, underthecontrolandresponsibilityofthebroadcastingorganization,intoanuninterrupted chainofcommunicationleadingtothesatelliteanddowntowardstheearth.

URUGUAY

40. TheDelegationofUruguayhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article3 BeneficiariesofProtection

(a) ContractingPartiesshallaccordtheprotectionprovidedunderthisTreatyto broadcastingorganizations, which meete ither of the following conditions:

 $(i) \qquad the head quarters of the broad casting organization is situated in another Contracting Party, or$

(ii) thebroadcastsaretransmittedfromatransmittersituatedinanotherContracting Party.Inthecaseofsatellitebroadcasts,therelevantplacesha llbethatatwhich,underthe controlandresponsibilityofthebroadcastingorganization,theprogram -carryingsignals intendedforreceptionbythepublicareintroducedintoanuninterruptedchainof communicationleadingtothesatelliteanddowntowa rdstheearth.

(b) Bymeansofanotificationdeposited with the Director General of the World Intellectual Property Organization, any Contracting Party may declare that it will protect broad casts only if the head quarters of the broad casting organization is situated in another Contracting Party and the broad casts are transmitted from a transmitter situated in the same Contracting Party. Such notification may be deposited at the time of ratification, acceptance or accession, or at any time thereafter; in the last case, it shall be come effective six months after it has been deposited.

⁶ [NoteonArticle2containedinthe proposal:]"ThisArticlereproducesthecriteriaunderthe RomeConvention(Article6)andadaptsthemtotheacceptedprovisionsonsatellitetelevision."

VI. NATIONALTREATME NT

ARGENTINA

41. TheDelegationofArgentinahasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article4 NationalTreatment

(a) EveryContractingPartysha llaccordtothebroadcastingorganizationsofother ContractingParties,asdefinedinArticle3,thesametreatmentasitgrantstoitsown broadcastingorganizationswithrespecttotheexclusiverightsspecificallygrantedinthis Protocol.

(b) Paragraph(a)shallnotapplywheretheotherContractingPartyavailsitselfoftheright providedforinArticle11ofthisProtocol.

EUROPEANCOMMUNITYANDITSMEMBERSTATES

42. TheDelegationoftheEuropeanCommunityanditsMemberstateshaspro posedthe followingwording:

Article3

NationalTreatment

EachContractingPartyshallaccordtobroadcastingorganizationsofotherContracting Parties, assetoutinArticle2, national treatment with regard to the exclusive rights specifically granted in this Treaty.

JAPAN

43. TheDelegationofJapanhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article4 NationalTreatment

EachContractingPartyshallaccordtonationalsofotherContractingParties,asdefined inArticle3(b),thetreatmentitacc ordstoitsownnationalswithregardtotheexclusiverights specificallygranted inthisTreaty.

MEXICO

44. TheDelegationofMexicohasproposedthefollowingwording:

It considersitimportant that the subsequent negotiations and discussi onsleading to a Treaty on the Protection of the Rights of Broad casting Organizations should take into consideration the draft submitted by the various unions and associations of broad casting

organizationsanddistributedatthemeetingoftheStandingCom mitteeonCopyrightand RelatedRightsinNovember1998. ⁷ *SWITZERLAND*

45. TheDelegationofSwitzerlandhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article3⁸ NationalTreatment

EachContractingPartyshallaccordtonationalsofotherContractingPar ties,asdefined inArticle2(b),thetreatmentitaccordstoitsownnationalswithregardtotheexclusiverights specificallygranted inthisProtocol.

UKRAINE

46. TheDelegationofUkrainehasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article2

NationalTreatment

Each Contracting Party shall accord to nationals of other Contracting Parties, as defined in Article..., the treatment it accords to its own nationals with regard to the exclusive rights specifically granted in this Treaty.

URUGUAY

47. TheDelegationofUruguayhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article4

NationalTreatment

EachContractingPartyshallaccordtobroadcastingorganizationsofotherContracting Parties,assetoutinArticle2,nationaltreatmentwithregardtoth eexclusiverights specificallygrantedinthisTreaty.

⁷ SeeWIPOdocumentSCCR/2/6.

⁸ [NoteonArticle3containedintheproposal:]"ThedraftProtocol adoptstheprincipleof nationaltreatmentwithoutitbeingnecessarytoincludeanyrestrictionscomparablewiththose undertheWPPT(cf.Article4oftheWPPT)."

VII. RIGHTSOFBROADCASTINGORGANIZATIONS

ARGENTINA

48. TheDelegationofArgentinahasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article5 RightsofBroadcastingOrganizations

Broadcastingorga nizationsshallhavethefollowingexclusiverightsinrelationtotheir broadcasts:

- retransmission;
- deferredtransmission;
- cabledistribution;
- fixationinaphysicalmedium;
- reproductionoffixations;
- decryptingofencryptedbroadcasts;
- communicationtothepublic;
- makingfixationsofbroadcasts, available to the public, whether by wire or by

wireless means, in such a way that members of that public may access them from a place and a tatime individually chosen by them.

CAMEROON

49. TheDelegat ionofCameroonhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Cameroonendorsestheproposalsconcerningtheexclusiverightofbroadcasting organizationstoauthorizeorprohibittheactsspecifiedinparagraph59oftheInternational Bureaumemorandum(documentS CCR/1/3ofSeptember7,1998).

;

⁹ Paragraphs58and59ofthedocumentSCCR/1/3readasfollow:"58.FromApril28to30 1997,WIPOorganized,incooperationwiththeGovernmentofthePhilippinesandwiththe assistanceofthe *KapisananngmgaBrodkasterngPilipinas* (KBP)(NationalAssociationof BroadcastersofthePhilippines)theWIPOWorldSymposiumonBroadcasting, New CommunicationTechnologiesandIntellectualProperty,inManila.(Theproceedingsofthe SymposiumarepublishedinWIPOpublicationNo.757(E/F/S).)Atthissymposium, representativesofbroadcastingorganizationspointedoutanumberofissueswhi chthey proposedtobeaddressedattheinternationallevel.Someoftheseissuesarelistedinthe followingparagraph.

^{59.} According to these proposals, broadcasters should be granted exclusive rights to authorize or prohibit the following acts:

⁻ simultaneousordeferredrebroadcastingoftheirbroadcasts, whether these are transmitted via satelliteor by any other means;

⁻ simultaneousanddeferredretransmissionoftheirbroadcastsincablesystems;

themakingavailabletothepublicoftheirbro adcasts,byanymeans,includinginteractive transmissions;

thefixationoftheirbroadcastsonanymedia,existingorfuture,includingthemakingof photographsfromtelevisionsignals;

thetransmissiontothepublicofprograms,transmittedbycable

⁻ thedecodingofencryptedsignals;and

In the case of cable distribution organizations, we propose that those which distribute their own programs been titled to the right sgranted to broad casting organizations.

Program-carryingsignalsshouldalsobegivenprote ction. Theyshould not be received by broadcasting organizations for which they are not intended, on pain of civil or criminal sanctions or both, depending on the serious ness of the infringement.

Moreover, ageneral right of communication should be recoginated to cover communication by interactive transmission.

CERTAINCENTRALEUROPEANANDBALTICSTATES

50. TheRepresentativeofCertainCentralEuropeanandBalticStateshasproposedthe followingwording:

The country representatives consider edthat the rights of performers and phonogram producers have been updated through the WIPOPerformances and Phonograms Treaty (WPPT) and that the Rome Convention of 1961 needs updating also in respect of the rights of broadcasters, in order to cope with ewtechnological and market developments in the field of broadcasting. In particular, they take the view that an enhanced protection of related rights of broadcasters at the international level is needed in order to fight piracy of broadcast programs. When updating broadcasters' rights the proper balance between the various groups of right holders should be taken into consideration.

CERTAINSTATESOFAFRICA

51. TheRepresentativeofCertainStatesofAfricahasproposedthefollowingwording:

The country representatives, having carefully studied the proposal submitted by Switzerland (SCCR/2/5) and a group of broadcasting organizations (SCCR/2/6), highlighted the following issues for further study and discussion:

- thebalancing, also with ref erence to socio - cultural factors in the various regions, of the rights of all interested parties, including authors, broadcasting organizations, performers and producers of phonograms;

- thescopeofthenewinstrument, with special reference to:

 $\bullet \qquad the ex clusive rights granted to broad casting organizations, with specific reference to the nature of the rights required by broad casting organizations to protect their legitimate interests$

- theimportationanddistributionoffixationsorcopiesoffixationsofbroadcasts,made withoutauthorization.

[[]Footnotecontinuedfrompreviouspage]

Inaddition, broadcasters should be granted aright of remuneration for private copying, and itsh ould be clarified that the protection applies to not only the sounds and/or images of broadcasts, but also to (digital) representations of such sounds and/or images."

CERTAINSTATESOFASIAANDTHEPACIFIC

52. TheRepresentative ofCertainStatesofAsiaandthePacifichasproposedthefollowing wording:

The countries present agreed that there was an eed to study the possibility of updating the rights of broadcasting organizations, taking into account the technological changes that have occurred between the adoption of the Rome Convention in 1961 up to the present. In any such study, it is important to strike abalance between the interests of the different stakeholders (i.e. the big and small broad casting organizations, the au thors, the performers, the producers and the public). At the same time, the interests of the developing and least developed countries should be aprimary concern. In this context, the special circumstances of least developed countries should be keptinm ind.

EUROPEANCOMMUNITYANDITSMEMBERSTATES

53. TheDelegationoftheEuropeanCommunityanditsMemberstateshasproposedthe followingwording:

Article4 RightofFixation

Broadcastingorganizationsshallenjoytheexclusiverighttoauth orizeorprohibitthe fixationoftheirbroadcasts.

Article5 RightofReproduction

Broadcastingorganizationsshallenjoytheexclusiverighttoauthorizeorprohibitthe directorindirectreproduction, in any manner or form, offixations of their broadcasts.

Article6 RightofRetransmission

Broadcasting organizations shall enjoy the exclusive right to authorize or prohibit the retransmission, by wire or wireless means, whether simultaneous or based on fixations, of their broadcasts.

Article7

Right of Making Available of Fixed Broadcasts

Broadcastingorganizationsshallenjoytheexclusiverighttoauthorizeorprohibitthe makingavailabletothepublic,bywireorwirelessmeans,offixationsoftheirbroadcasts,in suchawaythatmembersofthe publicmayaccessthemfromaplaceandatatime individuallychosenbythem.

Article8

RightofCommunicationtothePublic

Broadcastingorganizationsshallenjoytheexclusiverighttoauthorizeorprohibitthe communicationtothepublicoftheirbr oadcasts,ifsuchcommunicationismadeinplaces accessibletothepublicagainstpaymentofanentrancefee.

Article9

RightofDistribution

(a) Broadcastingorganizationsshallenjoytheexclusiverighttoauthorizeorprohibitthe makingavailableto thepublicoftheoriginalandcopiesoffixationsoftheirbroadcasts, throughsaleorthetransferofownership.

(b) Nothing in this Treaty shall affect the freedom of Contracting Parties to determine the conditions, if any, under which the exhaustion of the right in paragraph (a) applies after the first sale or other transfer of ownership of the original or a copy of the fix ation with the authorization of the broad casting organization.

Article10 ProtectioninRelationtoSignalsPriortoBroadcasting

Broadcasting organizations shall enjoy a dequate legal protection against any acts referred to in Article 4 to 9 of this Treaty in relation to their signal sprior to broadcasting.

JAPAN

54. TheDelegationofJapanhasproposedthefollowingwor ding:

Article5 RightsofRebroadcasting,CommunicationtothePublicandFixation

Broadcastingorganizationsshallenjoytheexclusiverightofauthorizing, as regards their broadcasts:

- therebroad casting and communication to the public of their broad casts; it shall be a matter for the domestic law of the Contracting Party where protection of this right is claimed to determine the conditions under which it may be exercised; and

– thefixationoftheirbroadcasts;thefixationincludesthemakingofa nystill photographofatelevisionbroadcast.

Article6 RightofReproduction

Broad casting organizations shall enjoy the exclusive right of authorizing the director indirect reproduction of fix at ions of their broad casts, in any manner or form.

Article7 RightofMakingAvailable

Broad casting organizations shall enjoy the exclusive right of authorizing the making available to the public of their broad casts and fix at ions thereof, by wire or wireless means, in the state of the state of

yrequire

¹⁰ Theprecisenatureofthisprotectionandthecircumstancesinwhichitwouldapplyma yr furtherconsiderationinthelightoftheexclusiverightsitisdecidedtogranttobroadcasting organizationsandthemannerinwhichtheseareexpressed.

suchawaythatmembersofthepublicmayac individuallychosenbythem.

cessthemfromaplaceandatatime

MEXICO

55. TheDelegationofMexicohasproposedthefollowingwording:

Itconsidersitimportantthatthesubsequentnegotiationsanddiscussionsleadingtoa TreatyonthePro tectionoftheRightsofBroadcastingOrganizationsshouldtakeinto considerationthedraftsubmittedbythevariousunionsandassociationsofbroadcasting organizationsanddistributedatthemeetingoftheStandingCommitteeonCopyrightand RelatedRightsinNovember1998.¹¹

SWITZERLAND

56. TheDelegationofSwitzerlandhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article4¹² RightofRetransmission

Broadcastingorganizationsshallenjoytheexclusiverighttoauthorizethe retransmissionoftheirbr oadcastsinanymannerorformwhatsoever.

Article5¹³ RightofCommunicationtothePublic

Broad casting organizations shall enjoy the exclusive right to authorize the communication to the public of their broad cast sin any manner or form what so ever.

Article6¹⁴ RightofDecoding

Broad casting organizations shall enjoy the exclusive right to authorize the decoding of their encrypted broad casts.

¹¹ SeeWIPOdocumentSCCR/2/6.

¹² [NoteonArticle4containedintheproposal:]"ThisArt icleisdraftedinasufficientlybroad mannertoincludeatthesametime –inparticular –rebroadcasting,cabledistributionand distributionofcarriersignals.Moreover,itcoversbothsimultaneousandrecorded retransmission."

¹³ [NoteonArticle5conta inedintheproposal:]"ContrarytoArticle13(d)oftheRome Convention,theconceptofcommunicationtothepublicisdefinedhereinabroadsenseandis notrestrictedtothoseinstanceswhereanentrancefeeisrequired.Thecasesconcernedare,in particular,publicreceptionofbroadcastsinhotels,restaurantsandotherpublicpremisesoflike nature.Thisrightcorrespondstotheright"tomakebroadcastsperceivable"underArticle37(b) oftheSwissCopyrightLaw."

¹⁴ [NoteonArticle6containe dintheproposal:]"Facedwiththeadvanceoftechnology, broadcastingorganizationsmustbegiventherighttocombatthefraudulentdecodingoftheir broadcasts.Whatisbasicallyaimedatisthemakingavailabletoindividualsofthemeansof decodingencryptedbroadcasts.Decodingbyanindividualwouldnormallytakeplacewithin theprivatecircleofthatindividualandcouldthereforebepermittedbythenationalprovisions thatauthorizeprivateuse(seeArticle11ofthisdraftProtocolonlimit ationsandexceptions).

Article7¹⁵ RightofFixation

Broadcastingorganizationsshallenjoytheexclusiverighttoauthorizethefixation in wholeorinpart,directorindirect,oftheirbroadcastsonphonograms,videogramsorother datacarriers.

Article8¹⁶ RightofReproduction

Broad casting organizations shall enjoy the exclusive right to authorize the director indirect reproduction of fix ations of their broad cast sin any manner or form what so ever.

Article9¹⁷ RightofDistribution

(a) Broadcastingorganizationsshallenjoytheexclusiverighttoauthorizethemaking availabletothepublicoftheoriginalandcopiesoffixationsoft heirbroadcaststhroughsale orothertransferofownership.

(b) Nothing in this Protocol shall affect the freedom of Contracting Parties to determine the conditions, if any, under which the exhaust ion of the right in paragraph (a) applies after the first sale or other transfer of ownership of the original or a copy of the fix ation with the authorization of the author.

Article10¹⁸ RightofMakingAvailabletothePublic

Broadcastingorganizationsshallenjoytheexclusiverighttoauthorizethemaking availabletothepublic,bywireorwirelessmeans,offixationsoftheirbroadcastsinsucha waythatmembersofthepublicmayaccessthemfromaplaceandatatimeindividually chosenbythem.

¹⁵ [NoteonArticle7containedintheproposal:]"Byspecifyingthatfixationmaybeinwholeor inpart,thisArticlealsocoversthemakingofastillphotographfromanindividualimageina broadcast.Additionally,thepropose drightalsocoversboththedirectfixationofabroadcast andafixationonthebasisofasimultaneousrebroadcast."

¹⁶ [NoteonArticle8containedintheproposal:]"ThisArticlespecifiestherequirementtoobtain authorizationnotonlyforthedirec tfixationofabroadcast,butalsoforindirectfixation."

¹⁷ [NoteonArticle9containedintheproposal:]"ThisArticlecorrespondstoArticle6ofthe WCTandArticles8and12oftheWPPT."

¹⁸ [NoteonArticle10containedintheproposal:"ThisArti clecorrespondstotherightofmaking availabletothepubliccontainedattheendofArticle8oftheWCTandinArticles10and14of theWPPT.Toensureconcordancewiththoseprovisions,itthereforereproducesexactlythe sameformulation,particula rlytheterm"bywireorwirelessmeans."However,thereisno fundamentaldifferenceintendedwiththeterm"inanymannerorformwhatsoever"usedin Articles4and5ofthisdraftProtocolwithrespecttoretransmissionandcommunicationtothe public.

UNITEDREPUBLICOFTANZANIA

57. TheDelegationo ftheUnitedRepublicofTanzaniahasproposedthefollowing wording:

The proposed instrument should address clearly the following issues:

 $- \qquad the balance of rights between broad casters and the owners of broad cast contents, in cable retransmission;$

- thebalanceofallrightsownersinvolved, i.e. the broadcasters, authors, performers, producers of phonograms and cable operators;

- the nature of the rights accorded. It is proposed that they should not be absolute and should have clearly speltex cept ions and limitations.

URUGUAY

58. TheDelegationofUruguayhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article5 RightofFixation

Broad casting organizations shall enjoy the exclusive right to authorize or prohibit the fix ation of their broad casts.

Article6 RightofReproduction

Broad casting organizations shall enjoy the exclusive right to authorize or prohibit the direct or indirect reproduction, in any manner or form, of fix at ions of their broad casts.

Article7 RightofRetransmission

Broadcastingorganizationsshallenjoytheexclusiverighttoauthorizeorprohibitthe retransmission, by wire or wireless means, whether simultaneous or based on fixations, of their broadcasts.

Article8 RightofMakingAvailableofFixedBroadcasts

Broadcastingorganizationsshallenjoytheexclusiverighttoauthorizeorprohibitthe makingavailabletothepublic,bywireorwirelessmeans,offixationsoftheirbroadcasts,in suchawaythatmembersofthepublicmayaccessthemfromaplaceandatatime individuallychosenbythem.

Article9

RightofCommunicationtothePublic

Broadcastingorganizationsshallenjoytheexclusiverighttoauthorizeorprohibitthe communicationtothepublicoftheirbroadcasts, if such communication is made in places accessible to the public against payment of an entrance fee.

[Thisisthewording of the draft submitted by the European Community. The broadcasting organizations are advocating abroader formulation, which we regard as affording more suitable protection f or present uses.]

Article10 RightofDistribution

(a) Broadcastingorganizationsshallenjoytheexclusiverighttoauthorizeorprohibitthe makingavailabletothepublicoftheoriginalandcopiesoffixationsoftheirbroadcasts, throughsaleorthe transferofownership.

(b) Nothing in this Treaty shall affect the freedom of Contracting Parties to determine the conditions, if any, under which the exhaust ion of the right in paragraph (a) applies after the first sale or other transfer of ownership of the original or a copy of the fix ation with the authorization of the broad casting organization.

Article11

RightofDecrypting

Broad casting organizations shall have the exclusive right to authorize or prohibit the decrypting of their broad casts.

Article12 ProtectioninRelationtoSignalsPriortoBroadcasting

Broad casting organizations shallen joy a dequate legal protection against any acts referred to in Articles 4 to 9 of this Treaty in relation to their signal sprior to broad casting.

VIII. LIMITATIONSANDEXCEPTIO NS

ARGENTINA

59. TheDelegationofArgentinahasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article6 Limitationsandexceptions

(a) ContractingPartiesmay, in their national legislation, provide for the same kinds of limitation or xception with regard to the protection of broad casting organizations as that legislational ready contains with regard to the protection of the copyright in literary and artistic works.

(b) TheContractingPartiesmayunderstandthemeresupplyofthephys icalinstallations thatservetofacilitateormakeacommunicationasnot, initself, constituting communication to the public.

(c) ContractingPartiesshallconfineanylimitationsoforexceptionstorightsprovidedfor inthisProtocoltocertainspec ialcasesthatdonotconflictwiththenormalexploitationofthe broadcastorunreasonablyprejudicethelegitimateinterestsofthebroadcastingorganization.

(d) ContractingPartiesmayprovideintheirnationallegislationthatthesimultaneouscable distribution, without change, of a wireless broad cast of a broad cast ingorganization within the areaserviced by the latter does not constitute retransmission or communication to the public.

CAMEROON

60. TheDelegationofCameroonhasproposed thefollowingwording:

The ``permitted exceptions" of Article 15 of the Rome Convention should be retained in the new instrument.

CERTAINCENTRALEUROPEANANDBALTICSTATES

61. TheRepresentativeofCertainCentralEuropeanandBalticStateshas proposed the followingwording:

When updating broad casters' rights the proper balance between the various groups of rightholders should be taken into consideration.

CERTAINSTATESOFAFRICA

62. TheRepresentativeofCertainStatesofAfrica hasproposedthefollowingwording:

The country representatives highlighted the following issues for further study and discussion:

- thebalancing, also with reference to socio - cultural factors in the various regions, of the rights of all interested part ies, including authors, broadcasting organizations, performers and producers of phonograms;

- thescopeofthenewinstrument, with special reference to:
 - exceptionsandlimitations

CERTAINSTATESOFASIAANDTHEPACIFIC

63. TheRepresentative of Certain States of Asia and the Pacific has proposed the following wording:

Itisimportanttostrikeabalancebetweentheinterestsofthedifferentstakeholders (i.e. thebigandsmallbroadcastingorganizations,theauthors,theperformers,thepr andthepublic).Atthesametime,theinterestsofthedevelopingandleastdeveloped countriesshouldbeaprimaryconcern.Inthiscontext,thespecialcircumstancesofleast developedcountriesshouldbekeptinmind.

EUROPEANCOMMUNITYAND ITSMEMBERSTATES

64. TheDelegationoftheEuropeanCommunityanditsMemberstateshasproposedthe followingwording:

Article11 LimitationsandExceptions

(a) ContractingPartiesmay, in their national legislation, provide for the same kind sof limitations or exceptions with regard to the protection of broad casting organizations as they provide for, in the irnational legislation, in connection with the protection of copyright in literary and artistic works.

(b) ContractingPartiesshallcon fineanylimitationsoforexceptionstorightsprovidedfor inthisTreatytocertainspecialcaseswhichdonotconflictwithanormalexploitationofthe broadcastanddonotunreasonablyprejudicethelegitimateinterestsofthebroadcasting organization.

JAPAN

65. TheDelegationofJapanhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article8 LimitationsandExceptions

(a) ContractingPartiesmay,intheirnationallegislation,provideforthesamekindsof limitationsorexceptionswithregardto theprotectionofbroadcastingorganizationsasthey providefor,intheirnationallegislation,inconnectionwiththeprotectionofcopyrightin literaryandartisticworks.

(b) ContractingPartiesshallconfineanylimitationsoforexceptionstoright sprovidedfor inthisTreatytocertainspecialcaseswhichdonotconflictwithanormalexploitationofthe broadcastanddonotunreasonablyprejudicethelegitimateinterestsofthebroadcasting organization.

MEXICO

66. TheDelegationofM exicohasproposedthefollowingwording:

It considers it important that the subsequent negotiations and discussions leading to a Treaty on the Protection of the Rights of Broad casting Organizations should take into consideration the draft submitted by hevarious unions and associations of broad casting organizations and distributed at the meeting of the Standing Committee on Copyright and Related Rights in November 1998.

SWITZERLAND

67. TheDelegationofSwitzerlandhasproposedthefollowing wording:

Article11²⁰ LimitationsandExceptions

(a) ContractingPartiesmay,intheirnationallegislation,provideforthesamekindsof limitationsorexceptionswithregardtotheprotectionofbroadcastingorganizationsasthey providefor,intheir nationallegislation,inconnectionwiththeprotectionofcopyrightin literaryandartisticworks.

(b) ContractingPartiesshallconfineanylimitationsoforexceptionstorightsprovidedfor inthisProtocoltocertainspecialcaseswhichdonotconf lictwithanormalexploitationofthe broadcastanddonotunreasonablyprejudicethelegitimateinterestsofthebroadcasting organization.

UNITEDREPUBLICOFTANZANIA

68. TheDelegationoftheUnitedRepublicofTanzaniahasproposedthefoll owing wording:

It is proposed that the right saccorded should not be absolute and should have clearly speltex ceptions and limitations.

URUGUAY

69. TheDelegationofUruguayhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article13 LimitationsandExcept ions

(a) ContractingPartiesmay, in their national legislation, provide for the same kinds of limitations or exceptions with regard to the protection of broadcasting organizations as they provide for, in their national legislation, in connection with the protection of copyright in literary and artistic works.

¹⁹ SeeWIPOdocumentSCCR/2/6.

²⁰ [NoteonArticle11containedintheproposal:]"ThisArticlecorrespondstoArticle16ofthe WPPT."

(b) ContractingPartiesshallconfineanylimitationsoforexceptionstorightsprovidedfor inthisTreatytocertainspecialcaseswhichdonotconflictwithanormalexploitationofthe broadcastanddonotunreasonablyprejudicethelegitimateinterestsofthebroadcasting organization.

IX. TERMOFPROTECTI ON

ARGENTINA

70. TheDelegationofArgentinahasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article7 TermofProtection

 $The protection\ to be granted to broad casting organization sunder this Protocol shall\ have a term of not less than 50 years counted from the first of January of the year following\ that in which the broad cast was first transmitted.$

CAMEROON

71. TheDelegationof Cameroonhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Came roon proposes that the term of protection should be extended to 50 years counted from the date on which the program was broadcast.

CERTAINSTATESOFAFRICA

72. TheRepresentativeofCertainSt atesofAfricahasproposedthefollowingwording:

The term of protection, including the possible extension of such term by rebroad casting, should be further studied and discussed.

EUROPEANCOMMUNITYANDITSMEMBERSTATES

73. TheDelegation of theEuropeanCommunityanditsMemberstateshasproposed the followingwording:

Article12

TermofProtection

The term of protection to be granted to broad casting organization sunder this Treaty shall last, at least, until the end of a period of 50 yea rscomputed from the end of the year in which the broad cast took place for the first time.

JAPAN

74. TheDelegationofJapanhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article9 TermofProtection

The term of protection to be granted to broadcasting organization sunder this Treaty shall ast, at least, until the end of a period of 50 years computed from the end of the year in which the broadcasting to okplace.

MEXICO

75. TheDelegationofMexicohasproposedthefollowingwording:

Itcon sidersitimportantthatthesubsequentnegotiationsanddiscussionsleadingtoa TreatyontheProtectionoftheRightsofBroadcastingOrganizationsshouldtakeinto considerationthedraftsubmittedbythevariousunionsandassociationsofbroadcasting organizationsanddistributedatthemeetingoftheStandingCommitteeonCopyrightand RelatedRightsinNovember1998.²¹

SWITZERLAND

76. TheDelegationofSwitzerlandhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article12²² TermofProtection

The term of protection to be granted to broad casting organization sunder this Protocol shall last, at least, until the end of a period of 50 years computed from the end of the year in which the broad cast was broad cast for the first time.

UKRAINE

77. TheDelegationofUkrainehasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article3

TermofProtection

The term of protection to be granted to broad casting organizations under this Treaty shall ast, at least, until the end of a period of 50 years computed from Janua ry1 of the year following the year of the first broad cast of the broad cast ing program.

²¹ SeeWIPOdocumentSCCR/2/6.

²² [NoteonArticle12containedintheproposal:]"Itisproposedthatthetermofprotec tionbe alignedonthatundertheWPPT(Article17)forperformersandphonogramproducers.The fifty-yeartermofprotectionalsocorrespondstothetermlaiddownbytheSwissCopyrightLaw (Article39).ThedraftProtocolprovidesthatthetermshould runonceonlyasfromthefirst broadcasting."

URUGUAY

78. TheDelegationofUruguayhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article14 TermofProtection

The term of protection to be granted to broadcastin gorganizations under this Treaty shall ast, at least, until the end of a period of 50 years computed from the end of the year in which the broadcast took place for the first time.

X. OBLIGATIONSCONCRNINGTECHNOLOGICAL MEASURES

ARGENTINA

79. TheDelegationofArgentinahasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article8 ObligationsConcerningTechnologicalMeasures

ContractingPartiesshallprovideadequatelegalprotectionandeffectivelegalremedies against the circumvention of effective hological measures that are used by broadcasting organizations in connection with the exercise of their rights under this Protocol and that restrict acts, in respect of their broadcasts, that are not authorized by the broadcasting organizations concerned or permitted by law.

In particular, effective legal remedies shall be provided against those who:

(a) decryptanencryptedprogram -carryingsignal;

(b) receiveand distribute or communicate to the publicanencrypted program - carrying signal that has been decrypted without the express authorization of the broadcasting organization that emitted it;

(c) participateinthemanufacture, importation, saleoranyotheract that makes available adeviceor system capable of decrypting or helping to decrypt an encourt of the program-carrying signal.

EUROPEANCOMMUNITYANDITSMEMBERSTATES

 $80. \ \ \, {\rm The Delegation of the European Community and its Memberstates has proposed the following wording:}$

Article13 ObligationsConcerningTechnologicalMeasures

ContractingPartiesshallprovideadequatelegalprotectionandeffectivelegalremedies againstthecircumventionofeffectivetechnologicalmeasuresthatareusedbybroadcasting organizationsinconnectionwiththeexerciseoftheirrightsunderthisTreatyand thatrestrict acts,inrespectoftheirbroadcasts,whicharenotauthorizedbythebroadcastingorganizations concernedorpermittedbylaw.

JAPAN

81. TheDelegationofJapanhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article10 ObligationsConcernin gTechnologicalMeasures

ContractingPartiesshallprovideadequatelegalprotectionandeffectivelegalremedies against the circumvention of effective technological measures that are used by broadcasting organizations in connection with the exercise of their rights under this Treaty and that restrict acts, in respect of their broadcasts, which are not authorized by the broadcast ing organizations concerned or permitted by law.

MEXICO

82. TheDelegationofMexicohasproposedthefollowingword ing:

Itconsidersitimportantthatthesubsequentnegotiationsanddiscussionsleadingtoa TreatyontheProtectionoftheRightsofBroadcastingOrganizationsshouldtakeinto considerationthedraftsubmittedbythevariousunionsandassociationsof broadcasting organizationsanddistributedatthemeetingoftheStandingCommitteeonCopyrightand RelatedRightsinNovember1998.²³

SWITZERLAND

83. TheDelegationofSwitzerlandhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article13 ²⁴ ObligationsC oncerningTechnologicalMeasures

ContractingPartiesshallprovideadequatelegalprotectionandeffectivelegalremedies against the circumvention of effective technological measures that are used by broadcasting organizations in connection with the exer cise of their rights under this Protocol and that restrict acts, in respect of their broadcasts, which are not authorized by the broadcasting organizations or permitted by law.

Article14²⁵

 $Obligations Concerning the Manufacture and Marketing of Appliances \\for the Fraudulent Decoding of Encrypted Broadcasts$

Contracting Parties shall prohibit and provide effective legal remedies against the manufacture, import, export, transport, marketing or installation of appliances of which the manufacture is the state of the st

²³ SeeWIPOdocumentSCCR/2/6.

²⁴ [NoteonArticle13containedintheproposal:]"ThisArticlecorrespondstoArticle18ofthe WPPT."

²⁵ [NoteonArticle14containedintheproposal:]"Thefactthatabroad castingorganizationis giventherighttoopposethedecodingofitsbroadcastisnotenough.Itisalsonecessaryto prohibitthemanufactureandmarketingofapparatususedfordecodingencryptedbroadcasts. Thisprovisioncorrespondslargelytothat ofArticle150 *bis*oftheSwissPenalCode."

componentsordataproce ssingprogramsservetofraudulentlydecodeencryptedbroadcastsor areusedtothatend.

URUGUAY

84. TheDelegationofUruguayhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article15 ObligationsConcerningTechnologicalMeasures

 $Contracting Parties sh\ all provide a dequate legal protection and effective legal remedies against the circumvention of effective technological measures that are used by broadcasting organizations in connection with the exercise of their rights under this Treaty and that restrict acts, in respect of their broadcasts, which are not authorized by the broadcasting organizations concerned or permitted by law.$

XI. OBLIGATIONSCONC ERNINGRIGHTSMANAGE MENTINFORMATION

ARGENTINA

85. TheDelegationofArgentinahasproposedt hefollowingwording:

Article9 ObligationsConcerningRightsManagementInformation

ContractingPartiesshallprovideadequateandeffectivelegalremediesagainstany personwhoknowinglyperformsanyoneofthefollowingactsknowing,orwithrespect civilremedieshavingreasonablegroundstoknow,thatitwillinduce,enable,facilitateor concealaninfringementofanyoftherightsprovidedforinthisProtocol:

to

removingoralteringanyelectronicrightsmanagementinformationwithout authority;

- distributing, importing for distribution, transmitting, communicating or making available to the public, without authority, broadcasts or fix ations of broadcasts knowing that electronic rights management information has been removed or altered with out authority.

AsusedinthisArticle, "rightsmanagementinformation" means information that identifies the broad casting organization and/or the broad cast and/or the owner of any right in the broad cast, or information about the terms and conditions of use of the broad cast, and any numbers or codes that represent such information, when any of those items of information accompany the transmission, communication or making available to the public of the broad cast or a fix at ion thereof.

EUROPEANCOMMUNITY ANDITSMEMBERSTATES

86. TheDelegationoftheEuropeanCommunityanditsMemberstateshasproposedthe followingwording:

Article14

Obligations Concerning Rights Management Information

(a) ContractingPartiesshallprovideadequateandeff ectivelegalremediesagainstany personknowinglyperforminganyofthefollowingactsknowing,orwithrespecttocivil remedieshavingreasonablegroundstoknow,thatitwillinduce,enable,facilitateorconceal aninfringementofanyrightcoveredby thisTreaty:

(i) toremoveoralteranyelectronicrightsmanagementinformationwithout authority;

(ii) todistribute, import for distribution, retransmit, communicate or make available to the public, without authority, broadcasts or fixations of broadcasts knowing that electronic rights management information has been removed or altered without authority.

(b) AsusedinthisArticle, "rightsmanagementinformation" means information which identifies the broad casting organization, the broad cast, the owner of any right in the broad cast, or information about the terms and conditions of use of the broad cast, and any numbers or codes that represent such information, when any of these items of information accompany the retransmission, the communication or making available of a broad cast or a fix ation of a broad cast to the public.

JAPAN

87. TheDelegationofJapanhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article11 ObligationsConcerningRightsManagementInformation

(a) ContractingPartiesshall provide a dequate and effective legal remedies against any person knowingly performing any of the following acts knowing, or with respect to civil remedies having reasonable grounds to know, that it will induce, enable, facilitate, or conceal an infringement of any right covered by this Treaty:

(i) toremoveoralteranyelectronicrightsmanagementinformationwithout authority;

(ii) todistribute, importford is tribution, rebroadcast, communicate or make available to the public, without authority, broadcast sorfix ations of broadcast sknowing that electronic rights management information has been removed or altered without authority.

(b) AsusedinthisArticle, "rightsmanagementinformation" means information which identifies the broad casting organ ization, the broad cast, the owner of any right in the broad cast, or information about the terms and conditions of use of the broad cast, and any numbers or codes that represent such information, when any of these items of information is attached to abroad cast.

MEXICO

88. TheDelegationofMexicohasproposedthefollowingwording:

It considersitimport ant that the subsequent negotiations and discussions leading to a Treaty on the Protection of the Rights of Broad casting Organizations should ta kein to consideration the draft submitted by the various unions and associations of broad casting organizations and distributed at the meeting of the Standing Committee on Copyright and Related Rights in November 1998.

SWITZERLAND

89. TheDelega tionofSwitzerlandhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article15 ²⁷ ObligationsConcerningRightsManagementInformation

(a) ContractingPartiesshallprovideadequateandeffectivelegalremediesagainstany personknowinglyperforminganyoneofthefo llowingactsknowing,orwithrespecttocivil remedieshavingreasonablegroundstoknow,thatitwillinduce,enable,facilitateorconceal aninfringementofanyrightcoveredbythisProtocol:

(i) toremoveoralteranyelectronicrightsmanagementinformationwithout authority;

(ii) todistribute, importfordistribution, retransmit, communicate or make available to the public, without authority, broadcasts or fix ations of broadcasts knowing that electronic rights management information has been removed or altered without authority.

(b) AsusedinthisArticle, "rightsmanagementinformation" means information which identifies the broad casting organization, the broad cast, the owner of any right in the broad cast, or information about the terms and company the broad cast, and any numbers or codes that represent such information, when any of these items of information accompany the retransmission, the communication or making available of a broad cast or a fix ation of a broad cast to the public .

URUGUAY

90. TheDelegationofUruguayhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article16 ObligationsConcerningRightsManagementInformation

(a) ContractingPartiesshallprovideadequateandeffectivelegalremediesagainstany personknowing lyperforminganyofthefollowingactsknowing,orwithrespecttocivil remedieshavingreasonablegroundstoknow,thatitwillinduce,enable,facilitateorconceal aninfringementofanyrightcoveredbythisTreaty:

²⁶ SeeWIPOdocumentSCCR/2/6.

⁷ [NoteonArticle15containedintheproposal:]"ThisArticlecorrespondstoArticle19ofthe WPPT."

(i) toremoveoralteranyelect ronicrightsmanagementinformationwithout authority;

(ii) todistribute, importford is tribution, retransmit, communicate or make available to the public, without authority, broadcast sorfix ations of broadcast sknowing that electronic rights management information has been removed or altered without authority.

(b) AsusedinthisArticle, "rightsmanagementinformation" means information which identifies the broad casting organization, the broad cast, the owner of any right in the broad cast, or inform ation about the terms and conditions of use of the broad cast, and any numbers or codes that represent such information, when any of these items of information accompany the retransmission, the communication or making available of a broad cast or a fixation of a broad cast to the public.

XII. FORMALITIES

ARGENTINA

91. TheDelegationofArgentinahasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article10 Formalities

 $The enjoyment and exercise of the right sprovided for in this {\it Protocol shall not be subject to any formality.}$

EUROPEANCOMMUNITYANDITSMEMBERSTATES

92. TheDelegationoftheEuropeanCommunityanditsMemberstateshasproposedthe followingwording:

Article15 Formalities

The enjoyment and exercise of the rights provided for in the is Treaty shall not be subject to any formality.

JAPAN

93. TheDelegationofJapanhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article12 Formalities

The enjoyment and exercise of the rights provided for in this Treaty shall not be subject to any formality.

MEXICO

94. TheDelegationofMexicohasproposedthefollowingwording:

It considers it important that the subsequent negotiations and discussions leading to a Treaty on the Protection of the Rights of Broad casting Organizations shou ldtake into consideration the draft submitted by the various unions and associations of broad casting organizations and distributed at the meeting of the Standing Committee on Copyright and Related Rights in November 1998.

SWITZERLAND

95. The DelegationofSwitzerlandhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article16²⁹ Formalities

 $The enjoyment and exercise of the right sprovided for in this {\it Protocol shall not be subject to any formality.}$

UKRAINE

96. TheDelegationofUkrainehasprop osedthefollowingwording:

Article4 Formalities

The enjoyment and exercise of rights provided for in this Treaty shall not be subject to any formality.

URUGUAY

97. TheDelegationofUruguayhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article17 Formalities

The enjoyment and exercise of the right sprovided for in this Treaty shall not be subject to any formality.

²⁸ SeeWIPOdocumentSCCR/2/6.

²⁹ [NoteonArticle16containedintheproposal WPPT."

^{:]&}quot;ThisArticlecorrespondstoArticle20ofthe

XIII. RESERVATIONS

EUROPEANCOMMUNITYANDITSMEMBERSTATES

98. TheDelegationoftheEuropeanCommunityanditsMemberst ateshasproposedthe followingwording:

Article16 Reservations

No reservation stoth is Treaty shall be permitted.

JAPAN

99. TheDelegationofJapanhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article13 Reservations

NoreservationstothisTreat yshallbepermitted.

MEXICO

100. TheDelegationofMexicohasproposedthefollowingwording:

It considers it important that the subsequent negotiations and discussions leading to a Treaty on the Protection of the Rights of Broad casting Organizations should take into consideration the draft submitted by the various unions and associations of broad casting organizations and distributed at the meeting of the Standing Committee on Copyright and Related Rights in November 1998.

SWITZERLAND

101. TheDelegationofSwitzerlandhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article17³¹ Reservations

 $No reservation to this {\it Protocol shall be permitted}.$

³⁰ SeeWIPOdocumentSCCR/2/6.

³¹ [NoteonArticle17containedintheproposal:]"ContrarytotheWPPT,thereisnoneedto provideforthepossibilityofreservationstotheProtocol."

UKRAINE

102. TheDelegationofUkrainehasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article5 Reservations

No reservations to this Treaty shall be permitted.

URUGUAY

103. TheDelegationofUruguayhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article18 Reservations

No reservations to this Treaty shall be permitted.

XIV. APPLICATIONIN TIME

ARGENTINA

104. TheDelegationofArgentinahasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article11 Applicationintime

ContractingPartiesshallapplytheprovisionsofArticle18oftheBerneConvention, *mutatismutandis*, totherightsofbroadcastingorganiz ationsprovidedforinthisProtocol.

This Protocol shall not detract from the rights acquired in any Contracting Party prior to the date of its entry into force for that Party.

EUROPEANCOMMUNITYANDITSMEMBERSTATES

105. TheDelegationofthe EuropeanCommunityanditsMemberstateshasproposedthe followingwording:

Article17 ApplicationinTime

ContractingPartiesshallapplytheprovisionsofArticle18oftheBerneConvention, *mutatismutandis*, totherightsofbroadcastingorganizati onsprovidedforinthisTreaty.

JAPAN

106. TheDelegationofJapanhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article14 ApplicationinTime

ContractingPartiesshallapply theprovisionsofArticle18oftheBerneConvention *mutatismutandis*, to therightsofbroadcastingorganizationsprovidedforinthisTreaty.

MEXICO

107. TheDelegationofMexicohasproposedthefollowingwording:

It considers it important that the subsequent negotiations and discussions leading to a Treaty on the Protection of the Rights of Broadcasting Organizations should take into consideration the draft submitted by the various unions and associations of broadcasting organizations and distributed at the meeting of the Standing Committee on Copyright and Related Rights in November 1998.³²

SWITZERLAND

108. TheDelegationofSwitzerlandhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article18 ³³ ApplicationinTime

ContractingPartiesshallapply theprovisionsofArticle18oftheBerneConvention *mutatismutan dis*, totherightsofbroadcastingorganizationsprovided for in this Protocol.

UKRAINE

109. TheDelegationofUkrainehasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article6 ApplicationinTime

ContractingPartiesshallapplytheprovisionsofArticle 18oftheBerneConvention, *mutatismutandis*, totherightsofbroadcastingorganizationsprovidedforinthisTreaty.

³² SeeWIPOd ocumentSCCR/2/6.

³³ [NoteonArticle18containedintheproposal:]"ThisArticlecorrespondstoArticle22(1)ofthe WPPTandArticle13oftheWCT.ThereisnoneedtoprovideintheProtocolforderogations totheprinciplelaiddowninArticle18of theBerneConvention."

URUGUAY

110. TheDelegationofUruguayhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article19 ApplicationinTime

ContractingParti esshallapplytheprovisionsofArticle18oftheBerneConvention, *mutatismutandis*, totherightsofbroadcastingorganizationsprovidedforinthisTreaty.

XV. PROVISIONSONEN FORCEMENTOFRIGHTS

ARGENTINA

111. TheDelegationofArgentina hasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article12 ProvisionsonEnforcementofRights

(a) Contracting Parties under take to adopt, in conformity with their legal systems, the measures necessary to ensure the application of this Protocol.

(b) ContractingPar tiesshallensurethatenforcementproceduresareavailableintheir legislationtopermiteffectiveactionagainstanyactofinfringementofrightsreferredtoin thisProtocol,includingexpeditiousremediestopreventinfringementsandremediesthat constituteadeterrenttofurtherinfringement.

CAMEROON

112. TheDelegationofCameroonhasproposedthefollowingwording:

SanctionsforViolationofRights

Cameroon proposes the inclusion in the instrument of strong criminal provisions to discourage the pirating of both broadcast and televised programs, or that of encrypted program-carrying satellitesignals.

Civilsanctionsshould also be contemplated.

EUROPEANCOMMUNITYANDITSMEMBERSTATES

113. TheDelegationoftheEuropeanComm unityanditsMemberstateshasproposedthe followingwording:

Article18 ProvisionsonEnforcementofRights

(a) ContractingPartiesundertaketoadopt,inaccordancewiththeirlegalsystems,the measuresnecessarytoensuretheapplicationofthisT reaty.

(b) ContractingPartiesshallensurethatenforcementproceduresareavailableundertheir lawsoastopermiteffectiveactionagainstanyactofinfringementofrightscoveredbythis Treaty,includingexpeditiousremediestopreventinfringemen tsandremedieswhich constituteadeterrenttofurtherinfringements.

JAPAN

114. TheDelegationofJapanhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article15 EnforcementofRights

(a) ContractingPartiesundertaketoadopt,inaccordancewiththeir legalsystems,the measuresnecessarytoensuretheapplicationofthisTreaty.

(b) ContractingPartiesshallensurethatenforcementproceduresareavailableundertheir lawsoastopermiteffectiveactionagainstanyactofinfringementofrightscove redbythis Treaty,includingexpeditiousremediestopreventinfringementsandremedieswhich constituteadeterrenttofurtherinfringements.

MEXICO

115. TheDelegationofMexicohasproposedthefollowingwording:

Itconsidersitimportantt hatthesubsequentnegotiationsanddiscussionsleadingtoa TreatyontheProtectionoftheRightsofBroadcastingOrganizationsshouldtakeinto considerationthedraftsubmittedbythevariousunionsandassociationsofbroadcasting organizationsanddistributedatthemeetingoftheStandingCommitteeonCopyrightand RelatedRightsinNovember1998.³⁴

SWITZERLAND

116. TheDelegationofSwitzerlandhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article19³⁵ ProvisionsonEnforcementofRights

(a) Contracting Parties under take to adopt, in conformity with their legal systems, the measures necessary to ensure the application of this Protocol.

(b) ContractingPartiesshallensurethatenforcementproceduresareavailableundertheir lawsoastopermiteffe ctiveactionagainstanyactofinfringementofrightsconferredbythis Protocol,includingexpeditiousremediestopreventinfringementsandremedieswhich constituteadeterrenttofurtherinfringements.

³⁴ SeeWIPOdocumentSCCR/2/6.

³⁵ [NoteonArticle19containedintheproposal:]"ThisArticlecorrespondstoArticle23ofthe WPPT."

UKRAINE

117. TheDelegationofUkraine hasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article7 ProvisionsonEnforcementofRights

(a) Contracting Parties under take to adopt, in accordance with their legal systems, the measures necessary to ensure the application of this Treaty.

(b) ContractingParti esshallensurethatenforcementproceduresareavailableundertheir lawsoastopermiteffectiveactionagainstanyactofinfringementofrightscoveredbythis Treaty,includingexpeditiousremediestopreventinfringementsandremedieswhich constituteadeterrenttofurtherinfringements.

URUGUAY

118. TheDelegationofUruguayhasproposedthefollowingwording:

Article20 ProvisionsonEnforcementofRights

(a) ContractingPartiesundertaketoadopt,inaccordancewiththeirlegalsyste ms,the measuresnecessarytoensuretheapplicationofthisTreaty.

(b) ContractingPartiesshallensurethatenforcementproceduresareavailableundertheir lawsoastopermiteffectiveactionagainstanyactofinfringementofrightscoveredbythis Treaty,includingexpeditiousremediestopreventinfringementsandremedieswhich constituteadeterrenttofurtherinfringements.

XVI. ADMINISTRATIVE ANDFINALCLAUSES

ARGENTINA

119. TheDelegationofArgentinahasproposedthefollowingwo rding:

Article13 Assembly

(a) (i) TheContractingPartiesshallhaveanAssembly.

 $(ii) \quad Each Contracting Party shall be represented by one delegate who may be assisted by alternate delegates, advisers and experts.$

(iii) The expenses of each delegati on shall be borne by the Contracting Party that has appointed it. The Assembly may ask WIPO to grant bilateral assistance to facilitate the participation of delegations of Contracting Parties that are regarded as developing countries in conformity with the stablished practice of the General Assembly of the United Nations or that are countries in transition to a market economy.

(b) (i) TheAssemblyshalldealwithmattersconcerningthemaintenanceand developmentofthisProtocolanditsapplicationadoperation.

(ii) TheAssemblyshallperform the functional located to it under Article 15(b) regarding the admission of certain intergovernmental organizations to be come party to this Protocol.

(iii) TheAssemblyshalldecideontheconvocationofa nyDiplomaticConferencefor therevisionofthisProtocolandgivethenecessaryinstructionstotheDirectorGeneralof WIPOforthepreparationofsuchDiplomaticConference.

(c) (i) EveryContractingPartythatisaStateshallhaveonevoteandsha llvoteonlyin itsownname.

(ii) AnyContractingPartythatisanintergovernmentalorganizationmayparticipate inthevote,inplaceofitsmemberStates,withanumberofvotesequaltothenumberofits memberStatesthatarepartytothisProtoco l.Nosuchintergovernmentalorganizationshall participateinthevoteifanyofitsmemberStatesexercisesitsrighttovote,andviceversa.

(d) TheAssemblyshallmeetinordinarysessioneverytwoyearsonconvocationbythe DirectorGeneralofWIP O.

(e) The Assembly shallest ablishits own rules of procedure, including the convocation of extraordinary sessions, there quirements of a quorum and, subject to the provisions of this Protocol, there quired majority for various kinds of decision.

Article14

InternationalBureau

The International Bureau of WIPO shall perform the administrative tasks concerning this Protocol.

Article15

Eligibility to Become Party to the Protocol

(a) AnyMemberStateofWIPOmaybecomepartytothisProtocol.

(b) The Assemblymaydecidetoadmitanyintergovernmentalorganizationtobecomeparty tothisProtocolthatdeclaresthatitiscompetentinrespectof, and has its ownlegislation binding on all its member States concerning, matters covered by this Protocol and that it has been duly authorized, in accordance with its internal procedures, to be comeparty to this Protocol.

(c) TheEuropeanCommunity,whichmadethedeclarationreferredtointhepreceding paragraphattheDiplomaticConferencethatadoptedthisP rotocol,maybecomepartytothis Protocol.

SCCR/7/9

page 44

Article16

Rights and Obligations Under the Protocol

SubjecttoanyspecificrequirementstothecontraryinthisProtocol,everyContracting PartyshallenjoyalltherightsandassumealltheobligationsprovidedforinthisProtocol.

Article17

SignatureoftheProtocol

This Protocol shall remain open until..., for signature by any Member State of WIPO and by the European Community.

Article18 EntryintoForceoftheProtocol

This Protocol shall enterint of or cethree months after 30 instruments of ratification or accession by States have been deposited with the Director General of WIPO.

Article19 EffectiveDateofBecomingPartytotheProtocol

ThisProtocolshallbind:

(a) the30StatesreferredtoinArticle18fromthedateonwhichthisProtocolenters intoforce;

(b) anyotherStatefromtheexpiryofthreemonthsfromthedateonwhichtheState depositsitsinstrumentwiththeDirectorGeneralofWIPO;

(c) the Europea nCommunity from the expiration of three months after the deposit of its instrument of ratification or accession if its deposited after the entry into force of this Protocol according to Article 18, or three months after the entry into force of this Protocol; ocolifit is deposited before the entry into force of this Protocol;

(d) any other intergovernmental organization that is admitted to be come party to this Protocol from the expiration of three months after the deposit of its instrument of accession.

Article20

DenunciationoftheProtocol

This Protocol may be denounced by any Contracting Party by notification addressed to the Director General of WIPO. Any denunciation shall take effect one year from the date on which the Director General of WIPO receiven esthenotification.

Article21 LanguagesoftheProtocol

(a) This Protocol shall be signed in a single original in English, Arabic, Chinese, French, Russian and Spanish, the version sinall those languages being equally authentic.

(b) An official text in any language other than those referred to in paragraph (a) shall be established by the Director General of WIPO at the request of an interested party after the standard standa

SCCR/7/9

page 45

consultation with all the interested parties. For this purposes of this paragraph "interested party" means any Member State of WIPO whose official language or one of whose official languages is involved, or the European Community or any other intergovernmental organization that may be come party to this Treaty if one of its official languages is involved.

ved.

Article22

Depositary

The Director General of WIPO is the depositary of this Protocol.

EUROPEANCOMMUNITYANDITSMEMBERSTATES

120. TheDelegationoftheEuropeanCommunityanditsMemberstateshasproposedthe followingwording:

Article19 Assembly

(a) (i) TheContractingPartiesshallhaveanAssembly.

 $(ii) \quad Each Contracting Party shall be represented by one delegate who may be assisted by alternate delegates, advisors and experts.$

(iii) The expenses of each delegation shall be borne by the Contracting Party that has appointed the delegation. The Assembly may ask WIPO to grant financial assistance to facilitate the participation of delegations of Contracting Parties that are regarded as developing countries in conformity with the established practice of the General Assembly of the United Nations or that are countries in transition to a market economy.

(b) (i) TheAssemblyshalldealwithmattersconcerningthemaintenanceand developmentofthisTreatyandtheapplicationand operationofthisTreaty.

(ii) The Assembly shall perform the functional located to it under Article 21 (b) in respect of the admission of certain intergovernmental organization stobe come party to this Treaty.

(iii) The Assembly shall decide the conv ocation of any diplomatic conference for the revision of this Treaty and give the necessary instructions to the Director General of WIPO for the preparation of such diplomatic conference.

(c) (i) EachContractingPartythatisaStateshallhaveonevo teandshallvoteonlyinits ownname.

(ii) AnyContractingPartythatisanintergovernmentalorganizationmayparticipate inthevote,inplaceofitsMemberStates,withanumberofvotesequaltothenumberofits MemberStateswhicharepartytot hisTreaty.Nosuchintergovernmentalorganizationshall participateinthevoteifanyoneofitsMemberStatesexercisesitsrighttovoteandvice versa.

(d) TheAssemblyshallmeetinordinarysessiononceeverytwoyearsuponconvocationby theDir ectorGeneralofWIPO.

(e) TheAssemblyshallestablishitsownrulesofprocedure,includingtheconvocation of extraordinarysessions,therequirementsofaquorumand,subjecttotheprovisionsofthis Treaty,therequiredmajorityforvariouskindso fdecisions.

Article20

InternationalBureau

The International Bureau of WIPO shall perform the administrative tasks concerning the Treaty.

Article21

EligibilityforBecomingPartytotheTreaty

(a) AnyMemberStateofWIPOmaybecomepartytothisT reaty.³⁶

(b) TheAssemblymaydecidetoadmitanyintergovernmentalorganizationtobecomeparty tothisTreatywhichdeclaresthatitiscompetentinrespectof,andhasitsownlegislation bindingonitsMemberStates,onmatterscoveredbythisTreatya ndthatithasbeenduly authorized,inaccordancewithitsinternalprocedures,tobecomepartytothisTreaty.

(c) TheEuropeanCommunity,havingmadethedeclarationreferredtointhepreceding paragraphintheDiplomaticConferencethathasadoptedt hisTreaty,maybecomepartyto thisTreaty.

Article22 RightsandObligationsundertheTreaty

Subjecttoanyspecific provision stothe contrary in this Treaty, each Contracting Party shallen joyallof the rights and assume all of the obligations unde rthis Treaty.

Article23

SignatureoftheTreaty

This Treaty shall be open for signature untilby any Member State of WIPO and by the European Community.

Article24

EntryintoForceoftheTreaty

This Treaty shall enterint of or cethreemonths after....instruments of ratification or accession by Stateshave been deposited with the Director General of WIPO.

Article25 EffectiveDateofBecomingPartytotheTreaty

ThisTreatyshallbind:

³⁶ IntheeventofitbeingdecidedthatthisinstrumentshouldbeaprotocoltotheWPPT, Article 21(b)wouldread:"AnyMemberStateofWIPOmaybecomepartytothisprotocolifit hasdepositeditsinstrumentsofratificationoftheBerneConvention,theWCTandtheWPPT."

(a) the.....StatesreferredtoinArticle 24,fromthedateonwhichthisTreatyhas enteredintoforce;

(b) each other State from the expiration of three months from the date on which the State has deposited its instrument with the Director General of WIPO;

(c) the European Community, from the expiration of three months after the deposit of its instrument of ratification or accession if such instrument has been deposited after the entry into force of this Treaty according to Article 24, or, three months after the entry into force of this Treaty is used by the set of the entry into force of this Treaty is the entry into force of the entry into force of the entry into force of the entry is the entr

(d) anyotherintergovernmentalorganizationthatisadmittedtobecomepartytothis Treaty,fromtheexpirationofthreemonthsafterthedepositofitsinstrument ofaccession.

Article26 DenunciationoftheTreaty

This Treaty may be denounced by any Contracting Party by notification addressed to the Director General of WIPO. Any denunciation shall take effect one year from the date on which the Director General of WIPO received the notification.

Article27 LanguagesoftheTreaty

(a) This Treaty is signed in a single original in English, Arabic, Chinese, French, Russian and Spanish languages, the version sinall these languages being equally authentic.

(b) Anofficialtextinanylanguageotherthanthosereferredtoinparagraph(a)shallbe establishedbytheDirectorGeneralofWIPOontherequestofaninterestedparty,after consultationwithalltheinterestedparties.Forthepurposesofthispara graph,"interested party"meansanyMemberStateofWIPOwhoseofficiallanguage,oroneofwhoseofficial languages,isinvolvedandtheEuropeanCommunity,andanyotherintergovernmental organizationthatmaybecomepartytothisTreaty,ifoneofits officiallanguagesisinvolved.

Article28 Depositary

The Director General of WIPO is the depositary of this Treaty.

MEXICO

 $121. \ The Delegation of Mexico has proposed the following wording:$

Itconsidersitimportantthatthesubsequentnego tiationsanddiscussionsleadingtoa TreatyontheProtectionoftheRightsofBroadcastingOrganizationsshouldtakeinto considerationthedraftsubmittedbythevariousunionsandassociationsofbroadcasting organizationsanddistributedatthemeetin goftheStandingCommitteeonCopyrightand RelatedRightsinNovember1998.³⁷

³⁷ SeeWIPOdocumentSCCR/2/6.

SWITZERLAND

122. TheDelegationofSwitzerlandhasproposedthefollowingwording:

AdministrativeandFinalClauses

InaccordancewiththeprovisionsundertheWPPT.

URUGUAY"

123. TheDelegationofUruguayhasproposedthefollowingwording:

AdministrativeandFinalClauses

As in the proposal by the European Community.

124. TheStandingCommitteeon CopyrightandRelatedRightsisinvited tonotachecontentsofthedocument.

[Endofdocument]