

PCT/EF/PFC 17/004
ORIGINAL: FRANÇAIS / ENGLISH
SITUATION AT: MARCH 13, 2017
SITUATION AU : 13 MARS 2017

Administrative Instructions Under the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) Standard for the Electronic Filing and Processing of International Applications

Instructions administratives du Traité de coopération en matière de brevets (PCT) Norme concernant le dépôt et le traitement électroniques

PROPOSAL FOR CHANGE FILE/
DOSSIER RELATIF A LA PROPOSITION DE MODIFICATION

SUBJECT: Changes to Annex F, Appendix I, section 3.6 (modification of the DTDs for the search report and written opinion) SUJET : Modifications de la section 3.6 de l'appendice I de l'annexe F (modifications des DTD du rapport de recherche et l'opinion écrite)		PROPOSED BY: IB PROPOSÉES PAR : IB	
HANDLING: Expedited cycle TRAITEMENT : Cycle accéléré		PROPOSED DATE OF ENTRY INTO FORCE: 01.07.2017 DATE PROPOSÉE D'ENTRÉE EN VIGUEUR :	
Annex/ Annexe	Content/Contenu	Origin/ Origine	Date
1	Modifications of the DTDs for the search report and written opinion to make the DTDs currently used in production official Modification des DTD du rapport de recherche et de l'opinion écrite pour officialiser les DTD actuellement utilisées dans la production	IB	10.3.2017
Next action: Comment Prochaine action : Commentaires		By: 30.4.2017 Pour le :	

[Annex I follows/
L'annexe I suit]

Item 1	
Location:	Annex F, Appendix I, section 3.6, search-report, written-opinion DTD
Change:	<p>FROM: search-report-v1-2.dtd (available at http://www.wipo.int/pct-safe/en/resources/1.11/search-report-v1-2.dtd)</p> <p>TO: search-report-v1-2-4.dtd, renamed with backwardly compatible changes described below to search-report-v2-0.dtd (available at http://www.wipo.int/export/sites/www/pct-safe/en/resources/1.13/search-report-v1-2-4.zip)</p> <p>And add</p> <p>Written-opinion-v0-96.dtd, renamed with backwardly compatible changes described below to written-opinion-v1-0.dtd (available at http://www.wipo.int/export/sites/www/pct-safe/en/resources/1.13/written-opinion-v0-96.zip)</p>
Reason:	<p>Search-report-v1-2.dtd has never entered live use. Search-report-v1-2-4.dtd and written-opinion-v0-96.dtd represent the results of development work by several International Searching Authorities seeking to deliver valid reports which can be used across the full range of possible business needs.</p> <p>The most fundamental change is that, while the original DTD required the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to be delivered together as a single unit, the proposal expects the search report and the written opinion in separate XML files. Preparing them in separate files overcomes some difficulties in the preparation of reports as a single XML file, when in exceptional cases one document needed to be established without the other; in particular a single XML file made it difficult for the documents to be separated, noting that in practice they are frequently translated at different times and published in different ways.</p> <p>A number of more detailed changes have been made to the structure, but this does not affect the definitions of the international common elements which are used in other DTDs.</p> <p>In principle, consequential changes should be made to the iprp-v1-2 DTD in order to maximize the ease of reuse of data between stages of processing. However, no International Preliminary Examining Authorities are yet ready to deliver Chapter II reports in XML format and it is proposed to wait and propose updates to that DTD based on the experience gained during preparations for this next phase of the move towards XML processing.</p>

Item 2	
Location:	Annex F, Appendix I, section 3.6, written-opinion, search-report DTD
Change:	<p>FROM: <!--application-info This tag provides a container for any general information concerning an application. --> <!ELEMENT application-info (file-reference-id?, application-reference, date-of-earliest-priority?, applicant-name, classifications-ipcr) ></p> <p>TO: <!--application-info This tag provides a container for any general information concerning an application. --> <!ELEMENT application-info (file-reference-id?, application-reference, date-of-earliest-priority?, applicant-name, classifications-ipcr, classification-national*) ></p> <p><!-- Domestic or national classification. (INID 52, ST.32:B520)</p> <p>@scheme-name: This attribute is used when it is necessary to distinguish between different classification schemes which may be in use at the same Office. In general, abbreviated forms should be used where they are widely recognized, for example scheme-name="CPC" for the Cooperative Patent Classification.</p> <p>--> <!ELEMENT classification-national (country , edition? , main-classification , further-classification* , (additional-info linked-indexing-code-group unlinked-indexing-code)* , text?)></p> <p><!ATTLIST classification-national id ID #IMPLIED scheme-name CDATA #IMPLIED></p> <p><!-- Main classification. (ST.32:B511/B521)</p> <p>--></p>

	<pre> <!ELEMENT main-classification (#PCDATA)> <!-- Further/secondary classification (ST.32:B512/B522) --> <!ELEMENT further-classification (#PCDATA)> <ATTLIST further-classification id ID #IMPLIED sequence CDATA #IMPLIED > <!-- Linked indexing code. (ST.32:B514/B524) --> <!ELEMENT linked-indexing-code-group (main-linked-indexing- code , sub-linked-indexing-code+)> <!-- Sub/further Linked Indexing Code. --> <!ELEMENT sub-linked-indexing-code (#PCDATA)> <!-- Main Linked Indexing Code. --> <!ELEMENT main-linked-indexing-code (#PCDATA)> <!-- Unlinked indexing code. (ST.32:B515/B525) --> <!ELEMENT unlinked-indexing-code (#PCDATA)> </pre>
Reason:	<p>This change is proposed to make this element in the written opinion DTD consistent with the corresponding element in the search-report DTD</p> <p>This change is backwardly compatible. Note the current search-report and written-opinion DTDs have a slightly different starting point for application-info ; the section above highlights all the changes</p>

Item 3	

Location:	Annex F, Appendix I, section 3.6, search-report written-opinion DTD
Change:	<p>FROM:</p> <pre><!--***** CITATIONS ***** Grouping tag for patent literature (patcit) or non-patent literature (nplcit) plus data related to those citations. Recommended id='cit0001', 'cit0002', etc. For the SR: id='sr-cit0001', 'sr-cit0002' For the WO: id='wo-cit0001' reference Identification given by the party to the document, e.g. A1. exam-reference Identification given by the search or examining division to the document, e.g. D1. Removed: - classification-ipc - classification-national --> <!ELEMENT citation ((patcit nplcit),(rel- passage*,category*,rel-claims*)*, corresponding-docs*,classifications- ipcr?,doi?) > <!ATTLIST citation id ID #IMPLIED cited-phase (application search international-search-report supplementary-international-search-report international-type-search-report national- search-report supplementary-national-search-report examination international-examination national- examination opposition limitation) #IMPLIED cited-by (applicant examiner opponent third-party) #IMPLIED reference CDATA #IMPLIED exam-reference CDATA #IMPLIED ></pre> <p>TO:</p> <pre><!--***** CITATIONS *****</pre>

	<p>Grouping tag for patent literature (patcit) or non-patent literature (nplcit) plus data related to those citations.</p> <p>Recommended id='cit0001', 'cit0002', etc. For the SR: id='sr-cit0001', 'sr-cit0002' For the WO: id='wo-cit0001'</p> <p>@reference Identification given by the party to the document, e.g. A1.</p> <p>@exam-reference Identification given by the search or examining division to the document, e.g. D1.</p> <p>@has-equivalent : The expected usage of this attribute is that it will only be present in the circumstances where the examiner explicitly chooses to express the fact that the cited document has no equivalent document / patent family members in the rendered search report citation; in other words the attribute when present and having the value "no" will result in the text "No patent family" or "No equivalent documents" in the relevant language being displayed on the search report.</p> <p>--> <!ELEMENT citation ((patcit nplcit),(rel-passage*,category*,rel-claims*)*, corresponding-docs*, classification-ipc?, classifications-ipcr?, classification-national?, doi?) ></p> <p><!ATTLIST citation id ID #IMPLIED cited-phase (application search international-search-report supplementary-international-search-report international-type-search-report national- search-report supplementary-national-search-report examination international-examination national- examination opposition limitation) #IMPLIED cited-by (applicant examiner opponent third-party) #IMPLIED reference CDATA #IMPLIED exam-reference CDATA #IMPLIED has-equivalent (yes no) #IMPLIED ></p> <p><!-- International Patent Classification (IPC) data; (INID 51, ST.32:B510)</p>
--	--

	<pre>--> <!ELEMENT classification-ipc (edition , main-classification , further-classification* , (additional-info linked-indexing- code-group unlinked-indexing-code)* , text?)> <!ATTLIST classification-ipc id ID #IMPLIED ></pre>
Reason:	<p>This change is proposed to make this element in the written opinion DTD consistent with the corresponding element in the search-report DTD</p> <p>This change is backwardly compatible.</p> <p>Note the current search-report and written-opinion DTDs have a slightly different starting point for citation ; the section above highlights all the changes</p>

Item 4	
Location:	Annex F, Appendix I, section 3.6, written-opinion DTD
Change:	<p>FROM:</p> <pre><!ELEMENT nplcit (text article book online othercit) > <!ATTLIST nplcit id ID #IMPLIED num CDATA #IMPLIED lang CDATA #IMPLIED file CDATA #IMPLIED npl-type CDATA #IMPLIED medium CDATA #IMPLIED url CDATA #IMPLIED ></pre> <p>TO:</p> <pre><!ELEMENT nplcit ((text article book online othercit) , rel-passage*)> <!ATTLIST nplcit id ID #IMPLIED num CDATA #IMPLIED lang CDATA #IMPLIED file CDATA #IMPLIED npl-type CDATA #IMPLIED medium CDATA #IMPLIED url CDATA #IMPLIED ></pre>
Reason:	This change is proposed to make this element in the written opinion DTD consistent with the corresponding element in the search-report DTD

--	--

Item 5	
Location:	Annex F, Appendix I, section 3.6, written-opinion DTD
Change:	<p>FROM:</p> <pre><!ELEMENT patcit (text (document-id))> <!ATTLIST patcit id ID #IMPLIED num CDATA #IMPLIED dnum CDATA #IMPLIED dnum-type CDATA #IMPLIED file CDATA #IMPLIED url CDATA #IMPLIED ></pre> <p>TO:</p> <pre><!ELEMENT patcit (text (document-id , rel-passage*))> <!ATTLIST patcit id ID #IMPLIED num CDATA #IMPLIED dnum CDATA #IMPLIED dnum-type CDATA #IMPLIED file CDATA #IMPLIED url CDATA #IMPLIED ></pre>
Reason:	<p>This change is proposed to make this element in the written opinion DTD consistent with the corresponding element in the search-report DTD</p> <p>This change is backwardly compatible.</p>

Item 6	
Location:	Annex F, Appendix I, section 3.6, search-report DTD
Change:	<p>FROM:</p> <pre><!-- Patent family. (ST.32:B345) --> <!ELEMENT family-member (document-id)></pre> <p>TO:</p>

	<pre> <!-- Patent family. (ST.32:B345) --> <!ELEMENT family-member (document-id, rel-passage?)> </pre>
Reason:	<p>This change is proposed to make this element in the written opinion DTD consistent with the corresponding element in the search-report DTD</p> <p>This change is backwardly compatible.</p>

Item 7	
Location:	Annex F, Appendix I, section 3.6, search-report DTD
Change:	<p>FROM:</p> <pre> <!-- Priority application number. (INID 31, ST.32:B310) --> <!ELEMENT priority-application (document-id)> </pre> <p>TO:</p> <pre> <!-- Priority application number. (INID 31, ST.32:B310) </pre> <p>This element normally represents a priority application. However, under <patent-family> this element may be used to represent a patent document which is cited in the search report, which may not necessarily be a priority application which links the family members.</p> <pre> --> <!ELEMENT priority-application (document-id)> </pre>
Reason:	<p>This change is proposed to make this element in the written opinion DTD consistent with the corresponding element in the search-report DTD</p> <p>This change is backwardly compatible.</p>

Item 8	
Location:	Annex F, Appendix I, section 3.6, package-data DTD

This change is backwardly compatible.

<!ATTLIST package-data	lang	CDATA	#REQUIRED
	dtd-version	CDATA	#IMPLIED
	file	CDATA	#IMPLIED
	produced-by		(applicant
		RO	
		ISA	
		IPEA	
		IB	
		DO	
		EO	
			national-office

	<pre> regional-office) #REQUIRED date-produced CDATA #IMPLIED country CDATA #IMPLIED status CDATA #IMPLIED > TO: <!ELEMENT package-data (transmittal-info , signatories , dtext? , (application-request application-body-doc table- external chapter2-demand fee-calculation-sheet declaration-doc lack-of-signature biological-material separate-power-of-attorney general-power-of-attorney copy-general-power-of-attorney bib-changes notification amendment priority-document translation-of-application search-report-doc written-opinion trans-search-report preliminary-examination-report trans-preliminary- examination-report published-application sequence-list correspondence other-documents wrapped-document restore-rights-reason xmit-receipt-doc ro-request- receiving-info-doc pre-conversion-files-package)+)> <!ATTLIST package-data lang CDATA #REQUIRED dtd-version CDATA #IMPLIED file CDATA #IMPLIED produced-by (applicant RO ISA IPEA IB DO EO national-office regional-office) #REQUIRED date-produced CDATA #IMPLIED country CDATA #IMPLIED status CDATA #IMPLIED > </pre>
Reason:	<p>This new element required to support written opinion in package-data</p> <p>This change is backwardly compatible.</p>

Item 10	
Location:	Annex F, Appendix I, section 3.6, package-data DTD
Change:	<p>FROM:</p> <p><!ELEMENT copy-general-power-of-attorney EMPTY></p>

	<pre> <!ATTLIST copy-general-power-of-attorney id ID #IMPLIED file CDATA #REQUIRED lang CDATA #IMPLIED carriers (internal-electronic external-electronic paper) #IMPLIED ref-number CDATA #REQUIRED status CDATA #IMPLIED > </pre> <p>TO:</p> <pre> <!ELEMENT copy-general-power-of-attorney EMPTY> <!ATTLIST copy-general-power-of-attorney id ID #IMPLIED file CDATA #REQUIRED lang CDATA #IMPLIED carriers (internal-electronic external-electronic paper) #IMPLIED ref-number CDATA #IMPLIED status CDATA #IMPLIED > </pre>
Reason:	<p>This new element required to support written opinion in package-data</p> <p>This change is backwardly compatible.</p>

[End of Annex I and of document/
Fin de l'annexe I et du document]