# WIPO OMPI



#### **PCT/EF/PFC 09/005**

**STATUS AT:** February 5, 2010 **SITUATION AU:** 5 février 2010

# WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION ORGANISATION MONDIALE DE LA PROPRIÉTÉ INTELLECTUELLE

GENEVA/GENÈVE

## ADMINISTRATIVE INSTRUCTIONS UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

## STANDARD FOR THE ELECTRONIC FILING AND PROCESSING OF INTERNATIONAL APPLICATIONS

#### INSTRUCTIONS ADMINISTRATIVES DU TRAITÉ DE COOPÉRATION EN MATIÈRE DE BREVETS (PCT)

#### NORME CONCERNANT LE DÉPÔT ET LE TRAITEMENT ÉLECTRONIQUES DES DEMANDES INTERNATIONALES

## PROPOSAL FOR CHANGE FILE DOSSIER RELATIF À LA PROPOSITION DE MODIFICATION

Changes to Annex F. Annendix I. section 3.6 (Priority | DDODGED DX.

| SUBJECT:<br>SUJET:     | Document Digital Access<br>Modifications de la sectio |  | PROPOSÉE PAR :     | IB                         |  |
|------------------------|---|--|--------------------|----------------------------|--|
| HANDLING:<br>TRAITEMEN | 1 ,   | PROPOSED DATE OF ENT<br>DATE PROPOSÉE D'ENTE |                    | 1.04.2010                  |  |
| ANNEX/<br>ANNEXE       | CONTENT/  | CONTENU                                      | ORIGIN/<br>ORIGINE | DATE                       |  |
| 1                      | Updates to DTDs relating to Di filing processes       | gital Library usage in patent                | IB                 | 5.11.2009                  |  |
| 2                      | Comment   |  | EP                 | 17.11.2009                 |  |
| 3                      | Comment   |  | JP                 | 17.11.2009                 |  |
| 4                      | Comments  |  | ST.36 Task force   | 27.11.2009 –<br>23.12.2009 |  |
| 5                      | Comment   |  | SK 16.12.20        |                            |  |
| 6                      | Comment   |  | UZ                 | 18.12.2009                 |  |
| 7                      | Comment   |  | RU                 | 22.12.2009                 |  |
| 8                      | Comment   |  | IB                 | 5.02.2010                  |  |

[Annex follows/L'annexe suit]

| <b>NEXT ACTION:</b> | Entry into force  | BY:      | 1.4.2010 |
|---------------------|-------------------|----------|----------|
| PROCHAINE ACTION:   | Entrée en vigueur | POUR LE: | 1.4.2010 |

#### ANNEX I /ANNEXE I

## UPDATES TO DTD RELATING TO PRIORITY DOCUMENT DIGITAL ACCESS SERVICE

| 1-1) request   | <pre>Change:     <!--ELEMENT priority-claim (country , doc-number? , date , office-of- filing? , (priority-doc-requested   priority-doc-attached)? ,     restore-rights?)--></pre>              |
|----------------|---|
|                | To: ELEMENT priority-claim (country , doc-number? , date , office-of-filing? , (priority-doc-requested   priority-doc-attached   priority-doc-from-library)? , restore-rights?)                 |
|                | -library-identifier attribute intended as an identifying code<br for the library containing the document - to be used where offices<br>support the access of documents from many such libraries |
|                | action-by attribute would indicate the office requested to retrieve the document (for PCT this can be the RO or IB, default IB)>  |
|                | ELEMENT priority-doc-from-library EMPTY   |
|                | ATTLIST priority-doc-from-library library-identifier CDATA #IMPLIED</th   |
|                | action-by CDATA #IMPLIED >  |
| Items impacted | ST.36 element priority-claim, request.dtd, wo-bibliographic-data.dtd, wo-published-application.dtd, xx-patent-document.dtd  |
| Reason         | To enable applicants to take advantage of digital libraries for priority documents, the applicant must be able to express this request on the PCT request form for each priority claim.         |

| 1-2) request | <pre>Change:     <!--ELEMENT request ((file-reference-id? , request-petition ,     invention-title? , parties , designation-of-states? , priority-     claims? , search-authority? , declarations? , check-list? , figure-     to-publish? , language-of-filing? , signatories?, dtext* , office-     specific-data*)   doc-page+)--></pre> |
|--------------|---|
|              | To: ELEMENT request ((file-reference-id? , request-petition , invention-title? , parties , designation-of-states? , priority- claims? , search-authority? , declarations? , check-list? , figure- to-publish? , language-of-filing? , signatories? , store-in- digital-library? , dtext* , office-specific-data*)   doc-page+)              |
|              | <pre><!--    Indicator used to flag request to store this application in digital library for later use as a priority document.    In the case of PCT this will be the WIPO DAS for Priority documents    The Indicators presence implies the request and absence implies no request--> <!--ELEMENT store-in-digital-library EMPTY--></pre>  |

| NEXT ACTION:      | Entry into force  | BY:      | 1.4.2010 |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------|----------|
| PROCHAINE ACTION: | Entrée en vigueur | POUR LE: | 1.4.2010 |

## PCT/EF/PFC 09/005 Annex I /Annexe I, page 2

|                | ATTLIST store-in-digital-library id ID #IMPLIED  |
|----------------|--|
| Items impacted | Request element and request.dtd  |
| Reason         | To enable applicants to request the storage of the application itself, ready for later use, as a priority document, from the WIPO digital library.  Note package-data.dtd has not been modified to add an optional digital library request element as this is not one of the planned mechanisms. |

[Annex II follows / L'annexe II suit]

| NEXT ACTION:      | Entry into force  | BY:      | 1.04.2010 |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------|-----------|
| PROCHAINE ACTION: | Entrée en vigueur | POUR LE: | 1.04.2010 |

## ANNEX II /ANNEXE II

| In | 1-2 | 2). | what | is | the | pur | pose | of | the | id | attribute | in | the | element | store | -in- | digit | al- | library | <i>y</i> ? |
|----|-----|-----|------|----|-----|-----|------|----|-----|----|-----------|----|-----|---------|-------|------|-------|-----|---------|------------|
|    |     |     |      |    |     |     |      |    |     |    |           |    |     |         |       |      |       |     |         |            |

[Annex III follows / L'annexe III suit]

| NEXT ACTION:      | Entry into force  | BY:      | 1.4.2010 |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------|----------|
| PROCHAINE ACTION: | Entrée en vigueur | POUR LE: | 1.4.2010 |

#### ANNEX III /ANNEXE III

### Comment by the Japan Patent Office

#### 1-1) request

(a) How to use attribute "library-identifier"

The JPO would like to ask for further information on the functionality of the attribute "library-identifier."

The amendment to the Request form (PCT/RO/101), proposed in Circular C. PCT 1195, does not show necessity for "an identifying code for the library containing the priority document." In the proposed Request form, the applicants do not have to <u>identify a library</u> containing the priority documents, but have to <u>choose an office which accesses a library</u> containing the priority documents, either the IB or the RO.

We would like the IB to more specifically explain to us how to use the identifier and who identifies the library containing the priority documents among many libraries.

## (b) How to use attribute "action-by"

The JPO would like the IB to confirm that "action-by" is the attribute to indicate an office which the applicants have requested to obtain the priority documents from digital libraries, as is the case with the additional column and checkbox in the Request form proposed in Circular C. PCT 1195. If we have not understood the attribute correctly, we would like the IB to more specifically explain how to use it.

#### 1-2) request

How to use element "store-in-digital-library"

The JPO would like the IB to further explain to us the expected function of the element "store-in-digital-library" and the background of its addition to DTDs. Especially, the JPO would like the IB to clarify the following items. In the following items, the JPO uses the term: "WIPO digital library" as the digital library, which is maintained and operated by WIPO, and not as WIPO DAS.

#### (a) Request form (PCT/RO/101) in paper form

The Request form proposed in Circular C. PCT 1195 does not have any checkbox corresponding to this element. As a result, the applicants may not request the IB to store the international application in the WIPO digital library on the Request form in paper form.

| (b | ) The meaning | of t | he te | erm: ' | 'store" |
|----|---------------|------|-------|--------|---------|
|----|---------------|------|-------|--------|---------|

We would like the IB to explain what a "request to store this application in digital library for later use as a priority documents" means:

- A request to simply deposit with the WIPO digital library the copy of the international applications, which have already been certified by the RO? or
- A request to make available via the DAS the copy of the international applications, which have already been certified by the RO and then deposited with the WIPO digital library?
- (c) Scope of international applications to be stored in the WIPO digital library

| NEXT ACTION:      | Entry into force  | BY:      | 1.4.2010 |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------|----------|
| PROCHAINE ACTION: | Entrée en vigueur | POUR LE: | 1.4.2010 |

## PCT/EF/PFC 09/005 Annex III /Annexe III, page 2

We would like the IB to clarify whether the IB intends to store in the WIPO digital library the certified copy of the international applications filed with receiving Offices other than RO/IB.

#### (d) Certification by ROs

The JPO needs the IB's clarification on the issue of "certification" in relation to the proposed element: "store-in-digital-library."

The JPO would like the IB to clarify if the IB intends to build a mechanism under which the applicants can make the following two requests at one time (a request for certification of the international application in question under Rule 21.2 and a request for depositing that certified application with the WIPO digital library). If yes, then the JPO would like the IB to clarify if the RO is automatically required to certify that application under Rule 21.2 before transmittal of a record copy.

The JPO does not find any proposals to establish a legal basis in the proposed Request form or DTDs in Circular 1194 and 1195, which enables the applicants to request the RO to certify under Rule 21.2 the record copy of the international application. This implies that the record copy of the international application without any certification would be transmitted by the RO to the IB even if the element: "store-in-digital-library" is used.

In addition, the IB as a depositing Office is not expected to make available via DAS the record copy of international application which has NOT been certified by the RO. Any provisions concerning certification of the application simply provide that only the competent authority, which received the application in question, certifies that application (Please refer to the following provisions.)

- Paris Convention, Article 4, D(3)

  "The countries of the Union may require any person making a declaration of priority to produce a copy of the application (description, drawings, etc.) previously filed. The copy, certified as correct by the authority which received such application, shall not require any authentication, and .... They may require it to be accompanied by a certificate from the same authority showing the date of filing, and by a translation".

  Regulations under the PCT, Rule 21.2

  "Certified Copy for the Applicant
  - Against payment of a fee, the receiving Office shall furnish to the applicant, on request, certified copies of the international application as filed and of any corrections thereto".
- ☐ Regulations under the PCT, Rule 17.1
  - "(a) Where the priority of an earlier national or international application is claimed under Article 8, a copy of that earlier application, certified by the authority with which it was filed ("the priority document"),..."
  - Framework Provisions for the Digital Access Service for Priority Documents "11. The applicant may submit a <u>priority document</u> to the International Bureau, or to a Patent Office that is prepared to receive priority documents for the purpose, together with a request that it be deposited in a participating digital library and made available via the service"

[Annex IV follows / L'annexe IV suit]

| NEXT ACTION:      | Entry into force  | BY:      | 1.04.2010 |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------|-----------|
| PROCHAINE ACTION: | Entrée en vigueur | POUR LE: | 1.04.2010 |

#### ANNEX IV /ANNEXE IV

#### WIPO Standard ST.36 Task Force Comments

### Regarding the Original Proposal PFR ST.36-2009-005

Nov 27

Raul SUAREZ Y GONZALEZ says:

The EPO thinks that the purpose and use of the id attribute of store-in-digital-library needs clarification.

Dec 10

Tomohiro Hakamata says:

[Proposal 1 : modification of the priority-claim element]

Which item on PCT Request Form corresponds to the attribute "library-identifier" and "action-by"?

The JPO would like to know concretely how to set these attributes.

[Proposal 2 : modification of the request element]

The JPO agrees with the EPO.

Which item on PCT Request Form corresponds to the element "store-in-digital-library"?

The JPO would like to know concretely how to set this element.

Dec 10

Peter WARING says:

Firstly, thank you for the comments submitted so far.

[Proposal 1 : modification of the priority-claim element]

action-by reflects the requirement in PCT for the applicant to choose whether it is the RO or the IB that retrieves the document from the library; thus we expect RO or IB; we did not specify a list as in other procedures the actors may be different.

library-identifier, we suggest, the normal format be a two letter country code indicating the operating Office, together with an optional discriminator of (for example) 1 to 10 non-case-sensitive alphanumeric characters separated by a hyphen to allow for the fact that some Office may operate more than one library.

WO-DAS (or possibly IB-DAS). Thus for PCT purposes, the default should be either DAS or "look through all possible libraries which we have access to until we find it, starting with WO-DAS"

[Proposal 2 : modification of the request element]

| NEXT ACTION:      | Entry into force  | BY:      | 1.4.2010 |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------|----------|
| PROCHAINE ACTION: | Entrée en vigueur | POUR LE: | 1.4.2010 |

## PCT/EF/PFC 09/005 Annex IV /Annexe IV, page 2

With regard to the question from EPO (agreed by JPO), I think that if the initial proposal is updated to replace "id ID" with "library-identifier CDATA" this will make the usage clear (matching proposal 1). It is possible that we could drop this attribute if it is believed that in storing the application in a library the recipient of the request will only store into one library

With regard to setting this element, the intended usage is that the filer would choose from a list of values that are recognised by the office accepting the filing; for PCT we would expect WO-DAS (noting it is optional, and for the current time need not be set).

Dec 14

Raul SUAREZ Y GONZALEZ says:

The EPO agrees with the PFR in view of the comments and alternatives provided by the PCT.

Dec 14

YoungWoo Yun says:

Dear all,

Thanks for the excellent comments which you provided above.

In relation to the action-by attribute, I should agree on what Peter proposed and supported by Raul even though I don't think the "action-by" is necessary. Responsibility of retrieving p-doc in digital library should be systematically defined instead of designation by applicant. However, I've learned that it is essential for PCT.

With regard to the library-identifier attribute, I am not sure that the proposed library-identifier attribute is a good idea for long-term solution. In my opinion, the way of uniquely identifying digital library should be given, e.g., using URL of digital library or selecting one from digital library list (enumeration list) in order to avoid any duplication with name. We will use digital libraries more and more in the future. In order to uniquely distinguish digital library, we should have one official URL for corresponding service or digital library. Or we should have list of digital libraries and update the list whenever it is requested. However, today we do not have any agreement on that. Thus the usage of the library-identifier attribute is practical solution for medium term. I hope we can find a good solution for XML4IP.

In summary, we found and agreed on practical solution and agreed to replace "id ID" with "library-identifier CDATA" for the element "store-in-digital-library" as follows:

<!ELEMENT store-in-digital-library EMPTY>

<!ATTLIST store-in-digital-library library-identifier CDATA id ID #IMPLIED>

The PFR has been revised to reflect the given comments including replacement of "id" by "library-identifier". Please find the revised PFR and comment on it by December 21, 2009

| NEXT ACTION:      | Entry into force  | BY:      | 1.04.2010 |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------|-----------|
| PROCHAINE ACTION: | Entrée en vigueur | POUR LE: | 1.04.2010 |

## PCT/EF/PFC 09/005 Annex IV /Annexe IV, page 3

#### Regarding the revised proposal PFR ST.36-2009-005 Rev.1:

Dec 21

Raul SUAREZ Y GONZALEZ says:

The EPO agrees with the above proposal. The EPO agrees with the above proposal.

Dec 22

Peter WARING says:

The PCT IB agrees with the above proposal, and, noting that the IB has received ... The PCT IB agrees with the above proposal, and, noting that the IB has received additional feedback through the Annex F PFC process, would like to provide the following additional clarifications:

- (a) library-identifier the usage of this attribute has been clarified in the revised proposal above.
- (b) action-by the IB would like to confirm that this attribute corresponds to the additional column and checkbox on the request form (Box VI) proposed in Circular C.PCT 1195.
- (c) store-in-digital-library for applicants filing electronically, this facility may become available in PCT-SAFE in 2010.
- (d) 'store' the use of the term store in the context of 'request to store this application in digital library for later use as a priority document' means a request to simply deposit. In the specific context of an international application for use with DAS, it would mean to notify DAS of the availability of the document in a library and to ensure that a copy was placed in that library, if this was separate from the database where the Office's copy of the application was already stored.
- (e) scope of International Applications to be stored the IB intends that International Applications files through any receiving Office (i.e. not limited to RO/IB) will be stored in the digital library

The PCT IB believes that consultations regarding the 'store-in-digital-library' element should be extended until January 18, but for the other changes it appears that there is consensus such that they can be accepted with no need for further consultation.

Dec 23

YoungWoo Yun says:

Dear ST.36 T.F. Colleagues,

No controversial comment on the PFR ST.36/2009/005 Rev.1 has been provided by the deadline (December 21, 2009), and two explicit supports to the PFR were posted above. I am, therefore, pleased to announce that the ST.36 Task Force agreed on the PFR ST.36/2009/005 Rev.1 on December 22, 2009 as proposed. The modifications in the agreed PFR will be incooperated into the next version of Annex A (model DTD) and Annex C (ICEs) to WIPO Standard ST.36.The adopted PFR will be also available on the website of PFR already adopted (http://www.wipo.int/scit/en/taskfrce/st36/pfr-already-adopted.html.

Many thanks for your kind collaboration.

[Annex V follows / L'annexe V suit]

| NEXT ACTION:      | Entry into force  | BY:      | 1.04.2010 |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------|-----------|
| PROCHAINE ACTION: | Entrée en vigueur | POUR LE: | 1.04.2010 |

#### ANNEX V / ANNEXE V

## Comment by the Industrial Property Office of the Slovak Republic

The Industrial Property Office of the Slovak Republic in its capacity as a receiving Office under the Patent Cooperation Treaty has no comments concerning the proposals for change to the Standard for the Electronic Filing and Processing of International Applications under PCT which are mentioned in the Circular C.PCT 1194.

[Annex VI follows / L'annexe VI suit]

| NEXT ACTION:      | Entry into force  | BY:      | 1.4.2010 |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------|----------|
| PROCHAINE ACTION: | Entrée en vigueur | POUR LE: | 1.4.2010 |

#### ANNEX VI /ANNEXE VI

The State patent Office of the Republic of Uzbekistan has no comments or proposals regarding this circular.

[Annex VII follows / L'annexe VII suit]

| NEXT ACTION:      | Entry into force  | BY:      | 1.4.2010 |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------|----------|
| PROCHAINE ACTION: | Entrée en vigueur | POUR LE: | 1.4.2010 |

#### ANNEX VII /ANNEXE VII

<u>Comment by the Federal Service for Intellectual Property, Patents and Trademarks (ROSPATENT)</u>

Referring to the Circular letter C.PCT 1194 of November 5, 2009 we would like to communicate that the specialists of the Federal Service fir Intellectual Property, Patents and Trademarks 9ROSPATENT) have carefully considered the proposed modifications to Annex F of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT and have no objections to them.

[Annex VIII follows / L'annexe VIII suit]

| NEXT ACTION:      | Entry into force  | BY:      | 1.4.2010 |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------|----------|
| PROCHAINE ACTION: | Entrée en vigueur | POUR LE: | 1.4.2010 |

#### ANNEX VIII/ANNEXE VIII

#### Comment by the International Bureau

Clarifications regarding comments posted above are provided as required, and following review of the comments received, the International Bureau will modify Annex F of the administrative instructions as described in the modified proposal below.

Following the consultations the entry into force has been deferred until April 1, 2010.

#### Clarifications

#### 1-1) request

Regarding the question from JPO 'How to use attribute "library-identifier"?'

During the PFR process the comment for the library-identifier attribute was augmented to read as follows: "The library-identifier attribute is intended as an identifying code for the library containing priority documents - to be used where offices support the access of the documents from many such libraries. The recommended format of library-identifier should be composed of a WIPO Standard ST.3 code of the operating Office of service or digital library, together with service (or digital library) name (optional) separated by a hyphen to allow for the fact that some Office may operate more than one library. For example, "IB-DAS" for Digital Access Service for Priority Documents run by WIPO."

While it has been anticipated that a number of Offices will establish digital libraries, the initial PCT usage proposed includes no usage of the library-identifier attribute as at the current time there is one possible Digital Library to be used for PCT by the International Bureau (DAS). If libraries become available to the IB other than through DAS, it is expected that excluding the attribute would continue to be interpreted in a way which is compatible with the current understanding; this could be either "DAS" or, more likely, "search all libraries which could theoretically contain this document, including DAS".

Regarding the question from JPO 'How to use attribute "action-by"?'
The comment for the action-by attribute in the PFC reads as follows: 'The action-by attribute would indicate offices requested to retrieve priority documents (for PCT this can be the RO or the IB, default the IB).'

The following XML fragments show the intended usage in the initial implementation of PCT-SAFE. Note that the element < priority-doc-requested> is already in use to show that the receiving office is requested to prepare and transmit the priority-document; and the element <pri>priority-doc-from-library> can, depending on the value of the action-by attribute request one of the IB or RO to retrieve the priority-document from the digital library.

The dtd will be modified to allow for the indication of the request for the IB to retrieve the priority document from a digital library\_ <pri>repriority-claim sequence="2" kind="national">

| NEXT ACTION:      | Entry into force  | BY:      | 1.4.2010 |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------|----------|
| PROCHAINE ACTION: | Entrée en vigueur | POUR LE: | 1.4.2010 |

## PCT/EF/PFC 09/005 Annex VIII/Annexe VIII, page 2

The dtd will be modified to allow for the indication of the request for the IB to retrieve the priority document from a digital library\_ <pri>claim sequence="2" kind="national">

#### 1-2) request

Regarding the comment from JPO, the <store-in-digital-library> element is intended to provide a means for applicants to request an Office to store a document in a digital library for use as a priority document. In the case of the PCT, this could be addressed to the receiving Office, in which case that Office would deposit a copy of the home copy in its own digital library (which would normally be held by that Office itself, though the International Bureau will host libraries for Offices on request, as it currently does for Australia, Spain and UK). The element does not imply any obligation for receiving Offices to provide this service if they do not wish to.

Any such copy needs to be certified before it is used as a priority document. In the case of copies which are prepared by the receiving Office of the International Bureau, this means that a cover sheet is included on the front of the document just as if a paper certified copy was being prepared. However, this is not strictly essential. According to the agreed understanding on the provision of priority documents under the Paris convention and the PCT (paragraph 9 of document PCT/A/40/6, confirmed as adopted in paragraph 173 of document PCT/A/40/7), it is up to each Office to decide what form such certification can take. This may include:

- the collective certification of multiple priority documents transmitted by an Office to another Office or to the International Bureau; and
- the collective certification of multiple priority documents contained in an Office database providing access to such documents to those entitled;

That is, the very fact of their being placed in a digital library or being transmitted to another Office for potential use as priority documents can count as certification if the originating Office decides that this should be the case.

It should be noted that even though no such box has been included in the current version of Form PCT/RO/101, the DTDs are part of the PCT Administrative Instructions and can create their own legal basis for inclusion of matters specific to electronic filing processes.

| NEXT ACTION:      | Entry into force  | BY:      | 1.04.2010 |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------|-----------|
| PROCHAINE ACTION: | Entrée en vigueur | POUR LE: | 1.04.2010 |

## PCT/EF/PFC 09/005 Annex VIII/Annexe VIII, page 3

## UPDATES TO DTD RELATING TO PRIORITY DOCUMENT DIGITAL ACCESS SERVICE (MODIFIED PROPOSAL)

| 1-1) request   | <pre>Change:     <!--ELEMENT priority-claim (country , doc-number? , date , office-of- filing? , (priority-doc-requested   priority-doc-attached)? ,     restore-rights?)-->  To:     <!--ELEMENT priority-claim (country , doc-number? , date , office-of- filing? , (priority-doc-requested   priority-doc-attached  </pre--></pre>   |
|----------------|---|
|                | <pre>riority-doc-from-library)? , restore-rights?)&gt; <!---library-identifier attribute intended as an identifying code for the library containing the document - to be used where offices support the access of documents from many such libraries. The recommended format of library-identifier should be composed of a WIPO Standard ST.3 code of the operating Office of service or digital library, together with service (or digital library) name (optional) separated by a hyphen to allow for the fact that some Office may operate more than one library. For example, "IB-DAS" for Digital Access Service for Priority Documents run by WIPO.</pre--></pre> |
|                | action-by attribute would indicate the office requested to retrieve the document (for PCT this can be the RO or IB, default IB)>  |
|                | <pre><!--ELEMENT priority-doc-from-library EMPTY--></pre>   |
|                | <pre><!--ATTLIST priority-doc-from-library library-identifier CDATA #IMPLIED</pre--></pre>  |
|                | #IMPLIED action-by CDATA #IMPLIED >   |
| Items impacted | ST.36 element priority-claim, request.dtd, wo-bibliographic-data.dtd, wo-published-application.dtd, xx-patent-document.dtd  |
| Reason         | To enable applicants to take advantage of digital libraries for priority documents, the applicant must be able to express this request on the PCT request form for each priority claim.   |

| 1-2) request | Change:   |
|--------------|---|
|              | ELEMENT request ((file-reference-id? , request-petition ,</th       |
|              | invention-title? , parties , designation-of-states? , priority-     |
|              | claims? , search-authority? , declarations? , check-list? , figure- |
|              | to-publish? , language-of-filing? , signatories?, dtext* , office-  |
|              | specific-data*)   doc-page+)>                                       |
|              | proceed and a financial form  |
|              | To:   |
|              | ELEMENT request ((file-reference-id? , request-petition ,</th       |
|              | invention-title? , parties , designation-of-states? , priority-     |
|              | claims? , search-authority? , declarations? , check-list? , figure- |
|              | to-publish? , language-of-filing? , signatories? , <b>store-in-</b> |
|              | digital-library? , dtext* , office-specific-data*)   doc-page+)>    |
|              | digital library. , deeke , office specific data /   doc page //     |
|              | </th  |
|              | Indicator used to flag request to store this application in         |
|              |   |
|              | digital library for later use as a priority document.               |

| NEXT ACTION:      | Entry into force  | BY:      | 1.04.2010 |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------|-----------|
| PROCHAINE ACTION: | Entrée en vigueur | POUR LE: | 1.04.2010 |

## PCT/EF/PFC 09/005 Annex VIII/Annexe VIII, page 4

|                | In the case of PCT this will be the WIPO DAS for Priority documents The Indicators presence implies the request and absence implies no request> ELEMENT store-in-digital-library EMPTY ATTLIST store-in-digital-library library-identifier CDATA #IMPLIED  |
|----------------|--|
| Items impacted | Request element and request.dtd  |
| Reason         | To enable applicants to request the storage of the application itself, ready for later use, as a priority document, from the WIPO digital library.  Note package-data.dtd has not been modified to add an optional digital library request element as this is not one of the planned mechanisms. |

[End of Annex and of file/ Fin de l'annexe et du dossier]

| <b>NEXT ACTION:</b>      | Entry into force  | BY:      | 1.04.2010 |
|--------------------------|-------------------|----------|-----------|
| <b>PROCHAINE ACTION:</b> | Entrée en vigueur | POUR LE: |           |