# QUESTIONNAIRE ON REPLACEMENT

# CONTRACTING PARTY

### BENELUX

T	APPI	$IC\Delta$	RI	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}$	FG	IZI.	$\Delta TI$	$\cap N$	ĺ
1.	$\Delta$ III	$A \cup D$	$\mathbf{L}$	ட்ட		$\mathbf{L}$	<b>¬</b> 1 1	くノレリ	

AP	PPLICABLE LEGISLATION		
1.	Are there provisions in your national $^1$ trademark legislation to implement Article $4bis(1)$ of the Madrid Agreement and/or of the Protocol?		
	☐ YES		
	NO, because the Agreement/Protocol is of direct application		
	☐ NO, there are no such provisions, although the Agreement/Protocol is not of direct application		
2.	Are there provisions in your national trademark legislation to implement Article $4bis(2)$ of the Madrid Agreement and/or of the Protocol?		
	☐ YES		
	NO, because the Agreement/Protocol is of direct application		
	NO, there are no such provisions, although the Agreement/Protocol is not of direct application		
	☐ NO, but there is a procedure		
	If NO, but there is a procedure, this procedure:		
	Consists of Office practice		
	☐ Is prescribed by Administrative Guidelines of Office		
	Other		
	If Other, please specify:		

Please note that the reference to "national" is intended to include also, where relevant, "regional".

3.	registratio	fice does have in place a procedure for 'taking note' of an international on in accordance with Article $4bis(2)$ of the Madrid Agreement and/or of col, do you require:
		Use of a specific form
		Payment of a fee
		Extract of the International Register
	$\boxtimes$	Other
		If Other, please specify: No specific requirements.
4.		provisions in your national trademark legislation to implement Rule 21 of non Regulations?
		YES
	$\boxtimes$	NO, because the Regulations are of direct application
		NO, there are no such provisions, although the Regulations are not of direct application
		NO, but there is a procedure
		If NO, but there is a procedure, this procedure:
		☐ Consists of Office practice
		☐ Is prescribed by Administrative Guidelines of Office
		Other
		If Other, please specify:

# II. EXPERIENCE OF THE OFFICE

1.	registration in accordance with Article 4bis(2) of the Madrid Agreement and/or of the Protocol?
	⊠ NO
	YES, but no more than 5 such requests
	YES, between 5 and 20 such requests
	YES, between 21 and 100 such requests
	YES, more than 100 such requests
2.	Does your Office operate an <i>ex officio</i> procedure for 'taking note' of an international registration – i.e., irrespective of the filing of a request to take note?
	⊠ NO
	☐ YES
	If YES, how many cases have there been?
	None, so far
	☐ No more than 5
	☐ Between 5 and 20
	☐ Between 21 and 100
	☐ More than 100

1. Assuming that your Office is presented with a request to take note of an international registration, on which criteria does it, or would it, carry out an examination to assess whether replacement should take place?

#### III. OFFICE PRACTICE OR ASSUMED OFFICE PRACTICE

		The protection resulting from the international registration extends to the territory of your country/region
		The national and international marks are in the name of the same holder
		All the goods and services listed in the national registration are also listed in the international registration, in respect of the territory of your country/region
		The extension of the international registration to the territory of your country/region takes effect after the date of the national registration
		Other
		If Other, please specify:
		There would be no examination
2.	listed in t internatio would, yo	rs that the goods and services listed in the national registration are <i>not</i> all the international registration, i.e., the list of goods and services in the nal registration is narrower than the list recorded nationally, does, or our Office nevertheless consider that a partial replacement takes place in the specification that is common to both the national and international ons?
		NO, replacement would not take place
		YES, with the remainder of the specification remaining unaffected in the national register
		YES, but the Office would <i>ex officio</i> cancel the remainder of the specification in the national register
		YES, but the holder would be required to request cancellation of the remainder of the specification in the national register

3.	At what t	ime does, or would, your Office consider that replacement takes place?
		On the date of international registration or subsequent designation
		On the date of expiry of the refusal period
		In the event that your Office issues statements of grant of protection, on the date of issuing of statement of grant of protection
		Other
		If Other, please specify: The BOIP does not have an opinion on this issue.
4.	the date of protection	ffice considers, or would, consider that replacement takes place either on of expiry of the refusal period, or of issuing of a statement of grant of it, is the effect of replacement considered to be retroactive to the date of ational registration or subsequent designation in question?
		YES
		NO
5.	When does Article 4bi	s, or would, your Office accept the filing of a request to take note under $s(2)$ ?
		After the date of notification by the International Bureau of the international registration or subsequent designation in question
		Only from the date of expiry of the refusal period
		In the event that your Office issues statements of grant of protection, only after the date of issuing of statement of grant of protection
		Other
		If Other, please specify: The BOIP does not have an opinion on this issue.

0.	international registration that has replaced it?
	YES, but only for the remainder of the current term of protection (i.e., the national registration may not be renewed)
	NO, the Office <i>ex officio</i> cancels the national registration
	NO, the holder needs to renounce the national registration
7.	If your Office does not, or would not, permit coexistence of a national registration and the international registration that has replaced it, does, or would, your Office nevertheless permit reinstatement of the national registration should the international registration cease to have effect within the five-year dependency period (Article 6 of the Agreement and/or the Protocol)?
	☐ YES
	□ NO
8.	( <b>For Offices of Members of the Protocol</b> ) Article 4 <i>bis</i> of the Agreement and of the Protocol provides that an international registration is deemed to replace a national registration without prejudice to any rights acquired by virtue of the latter. Thus, for example, replacement may include the benefit of a priority claim attached to the national registration.
	national registration.
	Assume that replacement, under Article 4bis(1) of the Protocol, has taken place and has included certain such rights acquired by virtue of the national registration, but subsequently there has been recorded a ceasing of effect due to failure of the basic mark within the five-year dependency period in accordance with Article 6 of the Protocol. Assume also that in such case, the holder wishes to exercise his rights, under Article 9quinquies of the Protocol, to transform the international registration into national application.
	Assume that replacement, under Article 4bis(1) of the Protocol, has taken place and has included certain such rights acquired by virtue of the national registration, but subsequently there has been recorded a ceasing of effect due to failure of the basic mark within the five-year dependency period in accordance with Article 6 of the Protocol. Assume also that in such case, the holder wishes to exercise his rights, under Article 9quinquies of the Protocol, to transform the international
	Assume that replacement, under Article 4bis(1) of the Protocol, has taken place and has included certain such rights acquired by virtue of the national registration, but subsequently there has been recorded a ceasing of effect due to failure of the basic mark within the five-year dependency period in accordance with Article 6 of the Protocol. Assume also that in such case, the holder wishes to exercise his rights, under Article 9quinquies of the Protocol, to transform the international registration into national application.  In your Office, does, or would, such transformation carry the benefit of those earlier
	Assume that replacement, under Article 4 <i>bis</i> (1) of the Protocol, has taken place and has included certain such rights acquired by virtue of the national registration, but subsequently there has been recorded a ceasing of effect due to failure of the basic mark within the five-year dependency period in accordance with Article 6 of the Protocol. Assume also that in such case, the holder wishes to exercise his rights, under Article 9 <i>quinquies</i> of the Protocol, to transform the international registration into national application.  In your Office, does, or would, such transformation carry the benefit of those earlier national rights, such as, for example, a priority date?

### IV. MISCELLANEOUS

1.	In the territory of your country/region is reliance on a replaced and non-renewed national mark permitted in legal and administrative proceedings?
	YES, even if the international registration has not been noted in the national register
	YES, but only if the international registration has been noted in the national register
	□ NO
	Do not know
2.	The International Bureau has made available model provisions with regard to the replacement procedure (see <a href="https://www.wipo.int/export/sites/www/madrid/en/contracting_parties/pdf/model_replacement.pdf">www.wipo.int/export/sites/www/madrid/en/contracting_parties/pdf/model_replacement.pdf</a> ). Have you found the model provisions to be of assistance?
	□ NO
	☐ Do not know