

Date: Feb 14 2020

Name: Eugenio José Berra Merino

Entity: Puebla, México

Title: The large international gap between the WIPO parties on AI and the lack of impulse of local IP authorities in the common and equitable development of AI as well as the implementation and application of AI through mandatory; internal and global policies for the States parties.

## Technology Gap and Capacity Building

### Issue 12: Capacity Building

(i) What policy measures in the field of IP policy might be envisaged that may contribute to the containment or the reduction in the technology gap in AI capacity? Are any such measures of a practical nature or a policy nature?

Comment:

First of all, it's important to create consciousness about the lack of significant impulse on the equitable development of AI through the IP authorities worldwide.

One of the biggest unknowns that exist on the subject; that many of the greatest scholars of the subject in México and around the world, question and impose, as one of his greatest exhibitors in México the Dr. Alfredo Jalife creates the great questioning; why there is no equivalent of "Silicon Valley" in México or anywhere else in the world (at that level)?

While it is true that the trifecta of world most power States in the world called the United States, China and Russia (in that order) boosts its development of internal technology allocating more than 50% of its GDP to the development of these technologies (of course not in books but in tangible practice), **it is a matter of regulation for the WIPO to extend mandatory policies with evolutionary standards that force the global authorities to promote, develop, finance and apply new technologies, specifically on the development of AI as an act of worldwide security and evolution.**

Only through the support of the local inventor of each State party, is it possible to explore in the light of international transparency the intellectual capacity of development that each State possesses. This, in a common forum where human action and intelligence prevails and therefore, its intelligence is applied as a

regulatory mantle of AI, the world population could be provided with certainty and security over the contained development of AI in a global framework.

Being the great gap in the technological capacity to develop and regulate AI, it is proposed:

- 1) Incorporate the issue into the respective government agendas as a priority in economic, labor and national security matters; naturally IP.
- 2) Create quarterly problem analysis forums where international participation with the WIPO as regulatory and evaluating authority of the same
- 3) Promote the analysis of solutions through academic, local and non-governmental governmental entities; quarterly or semiannual forums for the exhibition of technologies, networking of transnational companies, legal forums on ethical and moral matters, etc.
- 4) The concrete establishment of local public policies linked intrinsically with the WIPO that require the financing of AI and local technology.
- 5) Together as stated: create a base action plan for the execution of the analyzed public policy.
- 6) Delimit:
  - a. Decision
  - b. Legislation
  - c. Budgeting
  - d. Execution in government agencies
- 7) Ex post evaluation of the effects of public policy