<u>Comments and/or Suggestions for Consultation Paper</u>

1. Issue 1
   - Should the law recognize partial ownership depending on the level of input by human inventor/machine?

2. Issue 2
   - Should Explanatory Notes be there to provide the right interpretation to laws/guidelines/amendments be introduced for the benefit of patent examiners?

3. Issue 3
   - Should there exist an amalgamation of person skilled in the art and AI to provide for a suitable standard in assessing inventive step/non-obviousness?

4. Issue 4
   - Should there be a step by step disclosure period and to what extent should disclosure be permitted to all for the public domain in becoming equally enriched?

5. Issue 5
   - Should initial monitoring be conducted to oversee the progression or trend in the development of AI-generated inventions?

6. Issue 6
   - In what ways can vesting be limited and/or extended to human creator/machine?

7. Issue 7
   - Secondary infringement or imminent infringement issues that may crop up as a result of data breach of this nature, to address or to exclude?

8. Issue 8
   - Should there be remedial/punitive measures imposed based on data use/breach?
   - If the copyright system takes cognizance of deep fakes, to what extent will it define and/or intersect other rights that correspond accordingly?

- What are the means of authorization allowed for use of deep fake technology?

9. Issues 9 and 10
   - Will the prevailing social structure be able to accept the acknowledgement of AI as the tool/means to enhance the copyright system?
   - If social policies are implemented to enhance the scope of copyright protection, will enforcement measures be tightened to accommodate the changes?
   - Will people look to AI as the first available means over human creation in generating innovation, thereby causing over- reliance on machines instead of looking at AI as a support mechanism instead?
   - Data inclusivity/exclusivity may cause disruption in data transference, management and security. How would the IP framework address flaws in the platforms that data can be sourced?

10. Issue 11
    - A separate body to hear disputes instead of the option of Judicial Review whereby judges may not have the relevant expertise to hear such disputes

11. Issues 12 and 13
    - The expertise, resources and firewalled framework that should be addressed especially pertaining to sensitive information in matters of IP administration must be strictly adhered to. Notwithstanding the digital divide that is present in many jurisdictions, the right task force to handle, monitor and fix technical issues that may result in some jurisdictions adopting and others adopting it unsuccessfully.

    - Assigning liability, responsibility, accountability and decision making power that is delegated to AI generated actions. Should the level of reliance and dependence on machine raise a policy concern to be addressed by stakeholders who are directly/indirectly affected by such decision making?