

ADMINISTRATIVE PANEL DECISION

Ferrari S.p.A. v. Lara Thone, TMT Fooof; dylan smith; Host Master, Njalla Okta LLC; Netlify Inc, Whois Agent; Lawrence Owen, Cp Company; Haaa Sris; Keith Miller; PHAM THAI HOANG; John Vans, Cp Company; Jack Smithrow; Krishna Patidar, scuderia ferrari; Johe Eick, 25 Gloucester Road; Guadalupe Glasser, Incluesiv; caterina jones; Eliseo Casper; Gary jones; Marco Acker; elisha hudson; Alexander Toledo; Deondre Okuneva; Ferra LLC, Olivia Ferra; Torres Nicole; Jane Smith; Waylon Jones; and dsadad, Giancarlo's projects
Case No. D2026-1814

1. The Parties

The Complainant is Ferrari S.p.A., Italy, represented by Studio Barbero S.p.A., Italy.

The Respondents are Lara Thone, TMT Fooof, United Kingdom; dylan smith, United States of America ("United States"); Host Master, Njalla Okta LLC, Saint Kitts and Nevis; Netlify Inc, Whois Agent, United States; Lawrence Owen, Cp Company, United States; Haaa Sris, United States; Keith Miller, United States; PHAM THAI HOANG, Viet Nam; John Vans, Cp Company, Australia; Jack Smithrow, United Kingdom; Krishna Patidar, scuderia ferrari, India; Johe Eick, 25 Gloucester Road, United Kingdom; Guadalupe Glasser, Incluesiv, United States; caterina jones, United States; Eliseo Casper, United States; Gary jones, United States; Marco Acker, United States; elisha hudson, United States; Alexander Toledo, United States; Deondre Okuneva, United States; Ferra LLC, Olivia Ferra, United States; Torres Nicole, United States; Jane Smith, United States; Waylon Jones, United States; and dsadad, Giancarlo's projects, United Kingdom.

2. The Domain Names and Registrars

The disputed domain name <careerferrari.com> is registered with NameCheap, Inc.

The disputed domain names <career-teamferrari.com>, <ferrariapplicant.com>, <ferrari-career-opportunity.com>, <ferrari-careershut.com>, <ferrari-careers-opportunity.com>, <ferrari-careers-team.com>, <ferrari-career.team>, <ferrari-globalhiring.com>, <ferrari-globaltalent.com>, <ferrari-job-opportunity.com>, <ferrari-jobportal.com>, <ferrari-jobs-opportunity.com>, <ferrari-jobsportal.com>, <ferrarininvite.com>, <ferrarinvotes.com>, <ferrariirecru.com>, <ferrariirecutitments.com>, <ferrari-talentshub.com>, <ferr-ari.team>, <recruferrari.com>, and <teamferrari-careers.com> are registered with Name.com, Inc.

The disputed domain names <ferrari-career-apply.com>, <ferrari-hr.com>, <ferrari-hr-team.com>, <ferrari-job-apply.com>, and <ferrari-jobs-apply.com> are registered with Tucows Domains Inc.

The disputed domain names <ferrari-career-jobs.com>, <ferrari-career-opportunities.com>, and <ferrari-job-opportunities.com> are registered with Dynadot Inc.

The disputed domain names <ferrarihiringteam.com>, <ferrari-job-career.com>, <ferrarirecruitmenthub.com>, <ferraritalentshub.com>, and <jobsferrari.com> are registered with Hostinger Operations, UAB.

The disputed domain name <ferrarijobs.com> is registered with Wix.com Ltd.

The disputed domain name <recruitmentcentre-ferrari.com> is registered with GMO Internet, Inc. d/b/a Discount-Domain.com and Onamae.com (the “Registrars”).

3. Procedural History

The Complaint was filed with the WIPO Arbitration and Mediation Center (the “Center”) on April 28, 2026. On April 29, 2026, the Center transmitted by email to the Registrars a request for registrar verification in connection with the disputed domain names. On April 29, April 30, and May 5, 2026, the Registrars transmitted by email to the Center their verification responses disclosing registrant and contact information for the disputed domain names which differed from the named Respondent (Redacted for Privacy, Privacy service provided by Withheld for Privacy ehf; Redacted for Privacy, Domain Protection Services, Inc.; REDACTED FOR PRIVACY; Redacted for Privacy, Netlify Inc; Redacted for Privacy, Super Privacy Service LTD c/o Dynadot; GMO-Z.com RUNSYSTEM JSC) and contact information in the Complaint.

The Center sent an email communication to the Complainant on June 14, 2026 with the registrant and contact information of nominally multiple underlying registrants revealed by the Registrars, requesting the Complainant to either file separate complaint(s) for the disputed domain names associated with different underlying registrants or alternatively, demonstrate that the underlying registrants are in fact the same entity and/or that all domain names are under common control. The Complainant filed an amended Complaint on June 17, 2026.

The Center verified that the Complaint together with amended Complaint satisfied the formal requirements of the Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (the “Policy” or “UDRP”), the Rules for Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (the “Rules”), and the WIPO Supplemental Rules for Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (the “Supplemental Rules”).

In accordance with the Rules, paragraphs 2 and 4, the Center formally notified the Respondents of the Complaint, and the proceedings commenced on June 24, 2026. In accordance with the Rules, paragraph 5, the due date for Response was July 14, 2026. The Respondents did not submit any response. Accordingly, the Center notified the Respondents’ default on July 17, 2026.

The Center appointed Tobias Malte Müller as the sole panelist in this matter on July 27, 2026. The Panel finds that it was properly constituted. The Panel has submitted the Statement of Acceptance and Declaration of Impartiality and Independence, as required by the Center to ensure compliance with the Rules, paragraph 7.

4. Factual Background

The Complainant is a manufacturer of sports vehicles and has been founded in Maranello, Italy, in 1947 by Enzo Ferrari. Since 1950, the Complainant has been at the peak of Formula1 racing. The Complainant commercializes its vehicles in over 100 countries through hundreds of official dealers. The trademark

FERRARI is currently also associated to a number of additional products such as apparel, watches, computers, toys, bicycles, shoes sold both in flagship stores and in other selected shops all over the world.

The Complainant's uncontested evidence establishes that InterBrand's Best Global Brands List 2025 values the trademark FERRARI 15.4 billion USD and ranks it as the 54th most valuable trademark in the world. The trademark FERRARI has been included in the list released annually by InterBrand between 2008 and 2013 and then again as of 2017 until nowadays.

It further results from the Complainant's documented allegations, which remained undisputed, that it is the registered owner of national and International trademark registrations worldwide incorporating the term FERRARI, including

- International Trademark Registration No. 429829 (word), registered on March 30, 1977 for goods in class 14;

International Trademark Registration No. 338985 (figurative) **Ferrari**, registered on October 23, 1967, for goods in class 12.

These marks have duly been renewed and are in force.

The Complainant operates its official website under its domain name <ferrari.com>. This website includes a section dedicated to job opportunities displaying a link in Italian which can be translated into English as "SUBMIT YOUR APPLICATION" which leads to a further internal page, where users can search for open positions within the Complainant's company.

According to the WhoIs extracts before this Panel and the information provided by the Registrar, the 37 disputed domain names were registered between July 3, 2025 to April 24, 2026 and the relevant data are the following:

Domain Name	Registration Date	Domain Holder Name
ferrari-jobportal.com	2025-10-16	Alexander Toledo
ferr-ari.team	2025-11-11	caterina jones
ferrari-jobs-opportunity.com	2025-11-27	Deondre Okuneva
career-teamferrari.com	2025-12-09	dylan smith
ferrari-career-opportunity.com	2025-11-26	Eliseo Casper
ferrari-careers-opportunity.com	2025-11-28	Eliseo Casper
ferrari-job-opportunity.com	2025-12-01	elisha hudson
teamferrari-careers.com	2025-09-30	dsadad, Giancarlo's projects
ferrari-recruitments.com	2025-12-03	Gary jones
ferrari-career.team	2025-12-08	Gary jones
ferrari-job-opportunities.com	2025-11-10	Guadalupe Glasser, Incluesiv
ferrari-hiringteam.com	2025-07-03	Haaa Sris
ferrari-career-apply.com	2025-10-14	Host Master, Njalla Okta LLC
ferrari-job-apply.com	2025-10-16	Host Master, Njalla Okta LLC
ferrari-jobs-apply.com	2025-10-28	Host Master, Njalla Okta LLC
ferrari-hr.com	2025-12-06	Host Master, Njalla Okta LLC
ferrari-hr-team.com	2025-12-17	Host Master, Njalla Okta LLC
ferrari-recruitment-hub.com	2026-02-11	Jack Smithrow
ferrari-careers-team.com	2025-12-10	Jane Smith
ferrari-career-opportunities.com	2025-10-30	Johe Eick, 25 Gloucester Road
ferrari-job-career.com	2025-12-15	John Vans, Cp Company
ferrari-jobs.com	2025-12-07	Keith Miller
jobsferrari.com	2026-03-03	Krishna Patidar, scuderia ferrari
careerferrari.com	2025-10-31	Lara Thone, TMT Fooof

ferrari-career-jobs.com	2025-11-20	Lawrence Owen, Cp Company
ferrari-careershubs.com	2025-10-13	Marco Acker
ferrari-jobsportal.com	2025-10-22	Ferra LLC, Olivia Ferra
recruitmentcentre-ferrari.com	2026-04-06	PHAM THAI HOANG
ferrariapplicant.com	2026-04-07	Torres Nicole
recrutferrari.com	2026-04-07	Torres Nicole
ferrarininvite.com	2026-04-17	Torres Nicole
ferrarierecru.com	2026-04-23	Torres Nicole
ferrarinvites.com	2026-04-24	Waylon Jones
ferrari-globalhiring.com	2025-11-19	Netlify Inc, Whois Agent
ferrari-talentshub.com	2026-02-06	Netlify Inc, Whois Agent
ferrari-globaltalent.com	2026-02-09	Netlify Inc, Whois Agent
ferraritalentshub.com	2026-02-10	Jack Smithrow

As the disputed domain names became known to the Complainant over time, the Complainant sent cease-and-desist letters to the Respondents between August 19, 2025 and April 27, 2026, using the online contact forms available on the websites of the respective Registrars and/or emails of Hosting Providers. As a result of the actions taken by the Complainant, all of the disputed domain names resolved, as at the time of filing of the amended Complaint, to inactive or undeveloped websites.

Some of the disputed domain names (<careerferrari.com>, <ferr-ari.team>, <ferrarihiringteam.com>, <ferrari-hr.com>, <ferrari-hr-team.com>) had, and in some cases still have, active MX records in their DNS configuration.

Finally, the disputed domain name <ferrari-job-opportunities.com> was referenced in a job scam email, which was reported to the Complainant by a third party. The email purported to be sent by the Complainant's Chief Human Resources Officer, falsely advertised a Social Media Manager position at Ferrari S.p.A., and invited recipients to submit their application through the website associated with the disputed domain name.

5. Parties' Contentions

A. Complainant

The Complainant contends that it has satisfied each of the elements required under the Policy for a transfer of the disputed domain names.

Notably, the Complainant contends that:

- (1) the Complaint for the disputed domain names should be consolidated. The evidence suggests that the disputed domain names are under common control or, at least, reflective of a group of individuals acting in concert and the consolidation would be fair and equitable to all Parties;
- (2) the disputed domain names are confusingly similar to the Complainant's registered FERRARI trademark, as each incorporates the mark in full (with a hyphen inserted between the fourth and fifth letters in the case of <ferr-ari.team>), combined with generic or descriptive terms relating to employment or recruitment (such as "job", "career", "hiring", "talent" and similar terms), none of which is sufficient to distinguish the disputed domain names from the mark. The Complainant further submits that the generic Top-Level Domain ("gTLD") suffixes ".com" and ".team" are standard registration requirements to be disregarded in assessing confusing similarity;
- (3) the Respondents are not licensed or otherwise authorized to use the FERRARI mark, nor are they commonly known by the disputed domain names. In particular, the registrant name "scuderia ferrari" for <jobsferrari.com> reflects impersonation rather than that the Respondent is commonly known by the

disputed domain name. Furthermore, the disputed domain names were used to redirect to websites mirroring the Complainant's careers page or trademarks, soliciting users' personal information and Facebook credentials under false pretenses, including through a job scam email falsely attributed to the Complainant's Chief Human Resources Officer sent in connection with <ferrari-job-opportunities.com>. Such fraudulent and impersonating use cannot constitute a bona fide offering of goods or services or a legitimate noncommercial or fair use, and the remaining disputed domain names' passive holding likewise fails to establish rights or legitimate interests. Finally, considering the disputed domain names incorporate the well-known trademark FERRARI in combination with dictionary terms, mostly referring to job opportunities or to companies' online presence, the disputed domain names are inherently misleading as they carry a high risk of implied affiliation with the Complainant;

(4) given FERRARI's long-standing, well-known reputation, it is inconceivable that the Respondents were unaware of the Complainant's rights at registration, an inference reinforced by several of the disputed domain names' use in connection with websites reproducing the Complainant's trademarks and official content. The redirection of numerous disputed domain names to sites impersonating the Complainant's careers page and soliciting personal and Facebook credentials, together with MX records configured on several disputed domain names capable of supporting deceptive emails, constitutes bad faith use. As to disputed domain names resolving to inactive or parking pages, passive holding does not preclude a finding of bad faith, given the mark's reputation, the Respondents' failure to respond to cease-and-desist letters or show good-faith use, their concealment of contact details, and the implausibility of any legitimate use given the disputed domain names' composition. Finally, certain Respondents or their associates appear linked to a prior UDRP proceeding involving the Complainant's mark and to other prior proceedings resulting in domain name transfers.

B. Respondent

The Respondents did not reply to the Complainant's contentions.

6. Discussion and Findings

Paragraph 15(a) of the Rules instructs this Panel to "decide a complaint on the basis of the statements and documents submitted and in accordance with the Policy, these Rules and any rules and principles of law that it deems applicable". Paragraph 4(a) of the Policy requires a complainant to prove each of the following three elements in order to obtain an order that disputed domain name be transferred or cancelled:

(i) the disputed domain name is identical or confusingly similar to a trademark or service mark in which the Complainant has rights; and

(ii) the Respondent has no rights or legitimate interests in respect of the disputed domain name; and

(iii) the disputed domain name has been registered and is being used in bad faith.

The Panel will therefore proceed to analyze whether the three elements of paragraph 4(a) of the Policy are satisfied. Before doing so, the Panel will address the consolidation.

Consolidation: Multiple Respondents

The amended Complaint was filed in relation to nominally different domain name registrants. The Complainant alleges that the domain name registrants are the same entity or mere alter egos of each other, or under common control. The Complainant requests the consolidation of the Complaint against the multiple disputed domain name registrants pursuant to paragraph 10(e) of the Rules.

The disputed domain name registrants did not comment on the Complainant's request.

Paragraph 3(c) of the Rules states that a complaint may relate to more than one domain name, provided that the domain names are registered by the same domain name holder.

In addressing the Complainant's request, the Panel will consider whether (i) the disputed domain names or corresponding websites are subject to common control; and (ii) the consolidation would be fair and equitable to all Parties. See WIPO Overview of WIPO Panel Views on Select UDRP Questions (["WIPO Overview 3.1"](#)), section 4.11.2.

As set forth in section 4.11.2 of [WIPO Overview 3.1](#): "Panels have considered a range of factors, typically present in some combination, as useful to determining whether such consolidation is appropriate, such as similarities in or relevant aspects of (i) the registrants' identity(ies) including pseudonyms, (ii) the registrants' contact information including email address(es), postal address(es), or phone number(s), including any pattern of irregularities, (iii) relevant IP addresses, name servers, or webhost(s), (iv) the content or layout of websites corresponding to the disputed domain names, (v) the nature of the marks at issue (e.g., where a registrant targets a specific sector), (vi) any naming patterns in the disputed domain names (e.g., <mark-country> or <mark-goods>), (vii) the relevant language/scripts of the disputed domain names particularly where they are the same as the mark(s) at issue, (viii) any changes by the respondent relating to any of the above items following communications regarding the disputed domain name(s), (ix) any evidence of respondent affiliation with respect to the ability to control the disputed domain name(s), (x) any (prior) pattern of similar respondent behavior, or (xi) other arguments made by the complainant and/or disclosures by the respondent(s)."

As regards common control, the Panel notes the following factors:

(1) The 37 disputed domain names follow the same naming pattern by identically reproducing the FERRARI trademark in its entirety and under the same gTLD (".com") for 35 out of the 37 disputed domain names. The disputed domain names combine the FERRARI trademark with the addition of either a hyphen within the term FERRARI or of dictionary terms in English language including a certain misspelling (<ferrarirecutitments.com>; likely intended to be <ferrarirecruitments.com>). These dictionary terms mostly refer to career and job opportunities:

Dictionary term	Number of disputed domain names	Disputed domain names
career/careers	12	careerferrari.com; career-teamferrari.com; ferrari-career.team; ferrari-career-apply.com; ferrari-career-jobs.com; ferrari-career-opportunities.com; ferrari-career-opportunity.com; ferrari-careershushub.com; ferrari-careers-opportunity.com; ferraricareers-team.com; ferrari-job-career.com; teamferraricareers.com
job/jobs	11	ferrari-career-jobs.com; ferrari-job-apply.com; ferrari-job-career.com; ferrari-job-opportunities.com; ferrari-job-opportunity.com; ferrari-jobportal.com; ferrarijobs.com; ferrari-jobs-apply.com; ferrari-jobs-opportunity.com; ferrari-jobsportal.com; jobsferrari.com
opportunity/opportunities	6	ferrari-career-opportunities.com; ferrari-job-opportunities.com; ferrari-career-opportunity.com; ferrari-careers-opportunity.com; ferrari-job-opportunity.com; ferrari-jobs-opportunity.com
team	5	career-teamferrari.com; ferrarihiringteam.com; ferrari-hr-team.com; ferraricareers-team.com; teamferraricareers.com
recruitment/recru	5	ferrarirecru.com; ferrarirecruitmenthub.com; ferrarirecutitments.com; recruferrari.com; recruitmentcentre-ferrari.com

apply	3	ferrari-career-apply.com; ferrari-job-apply.com; ferrari-jobs-apply.com
talent/talents	3	ferrari-globaltalent.com; ferraritalentshub.com; ferrari-talentshub.com
hub	4	ferrari-careershub.com; ferrarirecruitmentshub.com; ferraritalentshub.com; ferrari-talentshub.com
portal	2	ferrari-jobportal.com; ferrari-jobsportal.com
hiring	2	ferrari-globalhiring.com; ferrarihiringteam.com
invite/invites	2	ferrarinvite.com; ferrarinvides.com
hr	2	ferrari-hr.com; ferrari-hr-team.com
applicant	1	ferrariapplicant.com

Consequently, all of the disputed domain names seem to target the specific job and recruitment sector;

(2) The disputed domain names were registered within a relatively short period, the majority having been registered between July and December 2025, with eleven registered in 2026 (up to and including April 24, 2026);

(3) The disputed domain names present similarities in the Registrars' and registrants' identities and their contact information:

- 5 of the disputed domain names, i.e. <ferrari-career-apply.com>, <ferrari-hr.com>, <ferrari-hr-team.com>, <ferrari-job-apply.com> and <ferrari-jobs-apply.com> are registered with Tucows Domains Inc., and share the same registrant's name and contact details i.e. "Host Master, Njalla Okta LLC", and the indication of "KN" as registrant Country and "Charlestown" as registrant State/Province;
- 21 of the disputed domain names, i.e. <career-teamferrari.com>, <ferr-ari.team>, <ferrariapplicant.com>, <ferrari-career.team>, <ferrari-careershub.com>, <ferrari-career-opportunity.com>, <ferrari-careers-opportunity.com>, <ferrari-careers-team.com>, <ferrari-globalhiring.com>, <ferrari-globaltalent.com>, <ferrari-job-opportunity.com>, <ferrari-jobportal.com>, <ferrari-jobs-opportunity.com>, <ferrari-jobsportal.com>, <ferrarinvite.com>, <ferrarinvides.com>, <ferrarirecu.com>, <ferrarirecutitments.com>, <ferrari-talentshub.com>, <recruferrari.com> and <teamferrari-careers.com> are registered with Name.com, Inc., and among them, 3 of the disputed domain names are registered in the name of "Netlify Inc, Whois Agent"; 2 are registered in the name of "Eliseo Casper"; 2 are registered in the name of "Gary jones"; 4 are registered in the name of "Torres Nicole";
- 3 of the disputed domain names, i.e. <ferrari-career-jobs.com>, <ferrari-career-opportunities.com> and <ferrari-job-opportunities.com> are registered with Dynadot Inc.;
- 5 of the disputed domain names, i.e. <ferrarihiringteam.com>, <ferrari-job-career.com>, <ferrarirecruitmentshub.com>, <ferraritalentshub.com> and <jobsferrari.com> are registered with Hostinger Operations, UAB, and among them, 2 of the disputed domain names share the same registrant "Jack Smithrow";
- 18 of the disputed domain names, i.e. <career-teamferrari.com>, <ferr-ari.team>, <ferrari-career-opportunity.com>, <ferrari-career.team>, <ferrari-careers-opportunity.com>, <ferrari-careershub.com>, <ferrari-job-opportunity.com>, <ferrari-jobportal.com>, <ferrari-jobs-opportunity.com>, <ferrari-jobsportal.com>, <ferrariapplicant.com>, <ferrari-careers-team.com>, <ferrarinvite.com>, <ferrarinvides.com>, <ferrarirecu.com>, <ferrarirecutitments.com>, <recruferrari.com> and <teamferrari-careers.com>, all have the same administrative contact;
- 2 of the disputed domain names, i.e. <ferrari-job-career.com> and <ferrari-career-jobs.com> both show as registrant organization "Cp Company".

(4) the disputed domain names resolve to similar websites or error pages:

- 19 of the disputed domain names, i.e. <career-teamferrari.com>, <ferr-ari.team>, <ferrari-career-apply.com>, <ferrari-career-opportunities.com>, <ferrari-career-opportunity.com>, <ferrari-careers-opportunity.com>, <ferrari-hr.com>, <ferrari-job-apply.com>, <ferrari-job-career.com>, <ferrari-job-opportunities.com>, <ferrari-job-opportunity.com>, <ferrari-jobs-apply.com>, <ferrari-jobs-opportunity.com>, <ferrarininvite.com>, <ferrarinvotes.com>, <ferrari-recruitments.com>, <teamferrari-careers.com>, <ferrariapplicant.com> and <recruFerrari.com> were used in connection with nearly identical websites reproducing the content of an internal page of the Complainant's official website dedicated to career opportunities.
- 14 of the disputed domain names, i.e. <ferrari-job-opportunities.com>, <ferrari-global-talent.com>, <ferrari-hr-team.com>, <careerFerrari.com>, <ferrari-career-apply.com>, <ferrari-jobs.com>, <ferrari-jobs-apply.com>, <ferrari-recruitment-hub.com>, <ferrari-talent-shub.com>, <jobsFerrari.com>, <recruitment-centre-ferrari.com>, <ferrari-job-apply.com>, <ferrari-job-career.com> and <ferrari-career-jobs.com> currently resolve to inactive webpages;
- 6 of the disputed domain names, i.e. <ferrari-jobportal.com>, <career-teamferrari.com>, <ferrari-careers-hub.com>, <ferrari-careers-team.com>, <ferrari-jobsportal.com>, and <ferrarinvotes.com>, resolve to the same error page with the text "404: NOT_FOUND Code: DEPLOYMENT_NOT_FOUND";
- 9 of the disputed domain names, i.e. <ferr-ari.team>, <ferrariapplicant.com>, <ferrari-career.team>, <ferrarininvite.com>, <ferrari-recru.com>, <ferrari-recruitments.com>, <ferrari-talent-shub.com>, <recruFerrari.com> and <teamferrari-careers.com>, resolve to the same error page with the text "This deployment is unavailable";
- 5 of the disputed domain names, i.e. <ferrari-career-opportunity.com>, <ferrari-careers-opportunity.com>, <ferrari-job-opportunity.com>, <ferrari-hr.com>, <ferrari-jobs-opportunity.com>, resolve to the same error page with the text "Invalid SSL certificate".

In the light of these facts, the Panel finds that it is more likely than not that all the disputed domain names are subject to common control. Therefore, the Panel does not see any reasons why a consolidation should not be fair and equitable.

In addition, also as regards fairness and equity, the Panel sees no reason why consolidation of the disputes would be unfair or inequitable to any Party. In particular, the Respondents were given the opportunity to object to the consolidation and to respond to both the Complaint and the Complainant's request for consolidation; however, they opted not to respond.

Accordingly, the Panel decides to consolidate the disputes regarding the nominally different disputed domain name registrants (referred to below as "the Respondent") in a single proceeding.

A. Identical or Confusingly Similar

It is well accepted that the first element functions primarily as a standing requirement. The standing (or threshold) test for confusing similarity involves a reasoned but relatively straightforward comparison between the Complainant's trademark and the disputed domain name. [WIPO Overview 3.1](#), section 1.7.

The Complainant has shown rights in respect of trademarks or service marks FERRARI for the purposes of the Policy, [WIPO Overview 3.1](#), section 1.2.1.

The Panel finds that the FERRARI mark is identically incorporated in 36 of the disputed domain names. In the disputed domain name <ferr-ari.team>, the FERRARI mark is included with a hyphen inserted between its fourth and fifth letters; nonetheless, the mark remains, in the Panel's view, clearly recognizable.

Accordingly, the disputed domain names are confusingly similar to the mark for the purposes of the Policy, [WIPO Overview 3.1](#), section 1.7.

Although the addition of other terms here, “jobs”, “career”, “hiring”, “talent”, and similar terms, may bear on assessment of the second and third elements, the Panel finds the addition of such terms does not prevent a finding of confusing similarity between the disputed domain names and the mark for the purposes of the Policy, [WIPO Overview 3.1](#), section 1.8.

The Panel finds the first element of the Policy has been established.

B. Rights or Legitimate Interests

Paragraph 4(c) of the Policy provides a list of circumstances in which the Respondent may demonstrate rights or legitimate interests in a disputed domain name.

Although the overall burden of proof in UDRP proceedings is on the complainant, panels have recognized that proving that a respondent lacks rights or legitimate interests in a domain name may result in the difficult task of “proving a negative”, requiring information that is often primarily within the knowledge or control of the respondent. As such, where a complainant makes out a prima facie case that the respondent lacks rights or legitimate interests, the burden of production on this element shifts to the respondent to come forward with relevant evidence demonstrating rights or legitimate interests in the domain name (although the burden of proof always remains on the complainant). If the respondent fails to come forward with such relevant evidence, the complainant is deemed to have satisfied the second element, [WIPO Overview 3.1](#), section 2.1.

Having reviewed the available record, the Panel finds the Complainant has established a prima facie case that the Respondent lacks rights or legitimate interests in the disputed domain names. The Respondent has not rebutted the Complainant’s prima facie showing and has not come forward with any relevant evidence demonstrating rights or legitimate interests in the disputed domain names such as those enumerated in the Policy or otherwise.

(1) First, there is no evidence that the Respondent is commonly known by names corresponding to the disputed domain names, paragraph 4(c)(ii) of the Policy; while <jobsferrari.com> was registered in the name “scuderia ferrari” (the name of the Complainant’s Formula One racing team), this indication was found to impersonate the Complainant rather than to demonstrate that the Respondent is genuinely commonly known by that name.

(2) Second, the Respondent before any notice of the dispute, made no use of, or demonstrable preparations to use, the disputed domain names in connection with a bona fide offering of goods or services, Paragraph 4(c)(i) of the Policy.

This is most clearly demonstrated by the disputed domain name <ferrari-job-opportunities.com>, which the record shows was used for fraudulent purposes incapable of conferring rights or legitimate interests, having been employed in connection with a job scam email falsely purporting to originate from the Complainant’s Chief Human Resources Officer. The Panel shares the view of prior panels holding that such use of a domain name for illegitimate or illegal activity (here: passing off/fraud) can never confer rights or legitimate interests on a respondent, [WIPO Overview 3.1](#), section 2.13.1.

Further, 19 of the disputed domain names resolved to websites mirroring the Complainant’s official careers page and soliciting users’ personal information, including email addresses and Facebook login credentials, while others resolved to the Complainant’s own website or to pages bearing the Complainant’s trademarks for the same fraudulent purpose. Such impersonation, intended to deceive users into believing they were dealing with the Complainant in order to harvest their personal data, does not constitute a bona fide offering of goods or services or a legitimate noncommercial or fair use.

Finally, the Respondent is not the licensee of, or otherwise authorized by, the Complainant to use the FERRARI mark, and the absence of any such authorization further supports the conclusion that no bona fide or legitimate use of the disputed domain names has been shown.

(3) Third, the Respondents are not making any legitimate noncommercial or fair use of the disputed domain names pursuant to Paragraph 4(c)(iii) of the Policy, given the same impersonating/fraudulent conduct and passive holding described above. In addition, the disputed domain names' combination of the undisputedly well-known FERRARI mark with job- and recruitment-related terms is inherently misleading and carries a risk of implied affiliation with the Complainant, precluding any fair use, [WIPO Overview 3.1](#), section 2.5.1.

The Panel finds the second element of the Policy has been established.

C. Registered and Used in Bad Faith

The Panel notes that, for the purposes of paragraph 4(a)(iii) of the Policy, paragraph 4(b) of the Policy establishes circumstances, in particular, but without limitation, that, if found by the Panel to be present, shall be evidence of the registration and use of a domain name in bad faith. One of these circumstances is that the Respondent by using the disputed domain name, has intentionally attempted to attract, for commercial gain, Internet users to its website or other online location, by creating a likelihood of confusion with the Complainant's mark as to the source, sponsorship, affiliation, or endorsement of its website or location or of a product or service on its website or location (Paragraph 4(b)(iv) of the Policy). The Panel finds this circumstance to be present here:

In the present case, the Panel notes that numerous of the disputed domain names resolved to websites impersonating the Complainant's official careers page, and of others to the Complainant's own website or to pages soliciting Facebook login credentials under false pretenses. In the Panel's view, this use demonstrates that the Respondents intentionally attempted to attract Internet users, for commercial gain, by creating a likelihood of confusion with the Complainant's mark as to the source, sponsorship, affiliation, or endorsement of their websites. Such impersonating and fraudulent use is itself evidence of bad faith.

Beyond the non-exhaustive list of circumstances set out in paragraph 4(b) of the Policy that may evidence registration and use of a domain name in bad faith, other circumstances may also be relevant in assessing whether a respondent has registered and used a domain name in bad faith, see [WIPO Overview 3.1](#), section 3.2.1. In this regard, the Panel notes the extensive and well-known reputation of the FERRARI trademark, arising from its continuous use for decades and confirmed in numerous prior UDRP decisions. Given this worldwide reputation, which the Respondent has not contested, the Panel finds it inconceivable that the Respondent was unaware of the Complainant's rights at the time of registration and rather finds that it intended to target the Complainant and its trademark FERRARI. This inference is reinforced by the fact that the FERRARI trademark is identically incorporated in the disputed domain names (in <ferr-ari.team> with the addition of a hyphen), as well as by the Respondent's use of 19 of the disputed domain names in connection with content mimicking the Complainant's official website and trademarks.

The further circumstances surrounding the registration and use of the disputed domain names, which are documented in the record and have remained undisputed, support the Panel's finding that the Respondent registered and is using the disputed domain names in bad faith:

- (i) The Respondent's failure to respond to the Complainant's cease-and-desist letters or to demonstrate any good-faith use;
- (ii) The Respondent's original concealment of contact details through privacy services;
- (iii) The evidenced use of at least one of the disputed domain names (<ferrari-job-opportunities.com>) for fraudulent purposes in connection with a job scam;

- (iv) the configuration of MX records on several of the disputed domain names, which creates the potential for email communications that would misleadingly imply an affiliation with the Complainant, regardless of whether such communications have actually been sent, and
- (v) The implausibility of any good-faith use given the composition of the disputed domain names.

The Panel further notes that all of the disputed domain names currently resolve to inactive websites or error pages apparently as a result of the Complainant's pre-Complaint warning letters and notices. In the light of the circumstances listed above, the Panel finds, however, that such passive holding does not preclude a finding of bad faith use under the circumstances of this proceeding.

The Panel finds that the Complainant has established the third element of the Policy.

7. Decision

For the foregoing reasons, in accordance with Paragraphs 4(i) of the Policy and 15 of the Rules, the Panel orders that the disputed domain names <careerferrari.com>, <career-teamferrari.com>, <ferrariapplicant.com>, <ferrari-career-apply.com>, <ferrari-career-jobs.com>, <ferrari-career-opportunities.com>, <ferrari-career-opportunity.com>, <ferrari-careershub.com>, <ferrari-careers-opportunity.com>, <ferrari-careers-team.com>, <ferrari-career.team>, <ferrari-globalhiring.com>, <ferrari-globaltalent.com>, <ferrarihiringteam.com>, <ferrari-hr.com>, <ferrari-hr-team.com>, <ferrari-job-apply.com>, <ferrari-job-career.com>, <ferrari-job-opportunities.com>, <ferrari-job-opportunity.com>, <ferrari-jobportal.com>, <ferrari-jobs-apply.com>, <ferrarijobs.com>, <ferrari-jobs-opportunity.com>, <ferrari-jobsportal.com>, <ferrarinvite.com>, <ferrarinvides.com>, <ferrarirecu.com>, <ferrarirecruitmentshub.com>, <ferrarirecutitments.com>, <ferrari-talentshub.com>, <ferraritalentshub.com>, <ferr-ari.team>, <jobsferrari.com>, <recruferrari.com>, <recruitmentcentre-ferrari.com>, and <teamferrari-careers.com> be transferred to the Complainant.

/Tobias Malte Müller/

Tobias Malte Müller

Sole Panelist

Date: August 13, 2026