

ADMINISTRATIVE PANEL DECISION

EE Holding Group LLC v. Zohaib Jabbar, Happy Cart LLC
Case No. D2026-1177

1. The Parties

Complainant is EE Holding Group LLC, United States of America ("United States"), represented by The Sladkus Law Group, United States.

Respondent is Zohaib Jabbar, Happy Cart LLC, United States.

2. The Domain Name and Registrar

The disputed domain name <ericmanuelstoreus.com> is registered with Tucows Domains Inc. (the "Registrar").

3. Procedural History

The Complaint was filed with the WIPO Arbitration and Mediation Center (the "Center") on March 18, 2026. On March 19, 2026, the Center transmitted by email to the Registrar a request for registrar verification in connection with the disputed domain name. On March 19, 2026, the Registrar transmitted by email to the Center its verification response disclosing registrant and contact information for the disputed domain name which differed from the named Respondent (Contact Privacy Inc. Customer 0175749303) and contact information in the Complaint. The Center sent an email communication to Complainant on March 20, 2026, providing the registrant and contact information disclosed by the Registrar, and inviting Complainant to submit an amendment to the Complaint. Complainant filed an amendment to the Complaint on March 20, 2026.

The Center verified that the Complaint together with the amendment to the Complaint satisfied the formal requirements of the Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (the "Policy" or "UDRP"), the Rules for Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (the "Rules"), and the WIPO Supplemental Rules for Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (the "Supplemental Rules").

In accordance with the Rules, paragraphs 2 and 4, the Center formally notified Respondent of the Complaint, and the proceedings commenced on March 25, 2026. In accordance with the Rules, paragraph 5, the due date for Response was April 14, 2026. Respondent sent an email communication to the Center on April 6, 2026 in which it offered to settle the case. Complainant declined the opportunity to suspend the case for settlement purposes and no settlement was reached.

The Center appointed Jeffrey D. Steinhardt as sole panelist in this matter on May 5, 2026. The Panel finds that it was properly constituted. The Panel has submitted the Statement of Acceptance and Declaration of Impartiality and Independence, as required by the Center to ensure compliance with the Rules, paragraph 7.

4. Factual Background

Complainant owns several registrations worldwide for its ERIC EMANUEL marks, including for example United States Trademark Registration No. 6721224, registered in International Class 25 on May 24, 2022 with a first use in commerce date of February 13, 2014.

The disputed domain name was registered on July 27, 2025 and does not currently resolve to an active webpage. Complainant submitted evidence that the disputed domain name previously resolved to a website displaying Complainant's registered logo and appearing to offer for sale products of Complainant.

In Respondent's first communication to the Center noted above, Respondent indicated (1) that the disputed domain name was registered by a former manager without Respondent's authorization or knowledge, that (2) upon learning of the situation and the Complaint, Respondent sought to have the disputed domain name registration cancelled by the Registrar, and (3) Respondent was amenable to the remedy sought by Complainant.¹ In further communications of April 11 and 15, 2026, while the Complainant did not wish to suspend the case to discuss a settlement, but preferred a decision from the Panel, Respondent reiterated its willingness to transfer the disputed domain name.

5. Parties' Contentions

A. Complainant

Complainant contends that it has satisfied each of the elements required under the Policy for a transfer of the disputed domain name.

In light of the final disposition of this proceeding, as explained below, it is unnecessary to recount Complainant's further allegations.

B. Respondent

As noted above, Respondent has clearly communicated in writing its willingness to transfer the disputed domain name to Complainant.

6. Discussion and Findings

Rule 10(a) gives panels the discretion to conduct proceedings in such manner as they deem appropriate under the Policy and the Rules. Under Rule 10(c), the Panel must "ensure that the proceeding takes place with due expedition".

The Panel concludes that Respondent's consent provides a basis for an immediate order for transfer.

¹ The Registrar did not cancel the registration since it had already been notified that the disputed domain name was subject to this pending URDP proceeding.

Where the complainant has sought transfer of a disputed domain name, and the respondent consents to transfer, then pursuant to paragraph 10 of the Rules the Panel can order transfer and it is unnecessary for the Panel to determine whether Complainant has established its entitlement to transfer under paragraph 4(a) of the Rules. E.g., *The Cartoon Network LP, LLLP v. Mike Morgan*, WIPO Case No. [D2005-1132](#) (where complainant sought transfer of the disputed domain name, and respondent consented to transfer, paragraph 10 of the Rules permit a panel to proceed immediately to make order for transfer without determination of elements of paragraph 4(a)), citing *Williams-Sonoma, Inc. v. EZ-Port*, WIPO Case No. [D2000-0207](#).

This is clearly the most expeditious course.

7. Decision

For the foregoing reasons, in accordance with paragraphs 4(i) of the Policy and 15 of the Rules, the Panel orders that the disputed domain name <ericmanuelstoreus.com> be transferred to Complainant.

/Jeffrey D. Steinhardt/
Jeffrey D. Steinhardt
Sole Panelist
Date: May 19, 2026