

ADMINISTRATIVE PANEL DECISION

Fenix International Limited v. Ksenia Oshmarova
Case No. D2025-4071

1. The Parties

The Complainant is Fenix International Limited c/o Walters Law Group, United States of America (“United States”) or (“US”).

The Respondent is Ksenia Oshmarova, Kazakhstan.

2. The Domain Name And Registrar

The disputed domain name <onlyfappyfans.com> is registered with NameCheap, Inc. (the “Registrar”).

3. Procedural History

The Complaint was filed with the WIPO Arbitration and Mediation Center (the “Center”) on October 6, 2025. On October 6, 2025, the Center transmitted by email to the Registrar a request for registrar verification in connection with the disputed domain name. On October 7, 2025, the Registrar transmitted by email to the Center its verification response disclosing registrant and contact information for the disputed domain name which differed from the named Respondent (Redacted for Privacy Privacy service provided by Withheld for Privacy ehf) and contact information in the Complaint. The Center sent an email communication to the Complainant on October 16, 2025, providing the registrant and contact information disclosed by the Registrar, and inviting the Complainant to submit an amendment to the Complaint. The Complainant filed an amendment to the Complaint on October 16, 2025.

The Center verified that the Complaint together with the amendment to the Complaint satisfied the formal requirements of the Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (the “Policy” or “UDRP”), the Rules for Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (the “Rules”), and the WIPO Supplemental Rules for Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (the “Supplemental Rules”).

In accordance with the Rules, paragraphs 2 and 4, the Center formally notified the Respondent of the Complaint, and the proceedings commenced on October 20, 2025. In accordance with the Rules, paragraph 5, the due date for Response was November 9, 2025. The Respondent did not submit any response. Accordingly, the Center notified the Respondent’s default on November 13, 2025.

The Center appointed Adam Samuel as the sole panelist in this matter on November 25, 2025. The Panel finds that it was properly constituted. The Panel has submitted the Statement of Acceptance and Declaration of Impartiality and Independence, as required by the Center to ensure compliance with the Rules, paragraph 7.

4. Factual Background

The Complainant is a US corporation that owns and operates a website located at the domain <onlyfans.com>, registered on January 29, 2013, to provide a social media platform. This allows users to post and subscribe to audiovisual content. The Complainant owns a US trademark for ONLYFANS.COM, Registration no. 5769268, registered on June 4, 2019.

The disputed domain name was registered on May 12, 2025. Currently, the disputed domain name resolves to a page that reads: "Photos and clips of artists and models from OnlyFans, Fansly, and Candfans." Some of the images involve adult content.

5. Parties' Contentions

A. Complainant

The Complainant contends that it has satisfied each of the elements required under the Policy for a transfer of the disputed domain name.

Notably, the Complainant contends that the disputed domain name consists of the Complainant's trademark with the only difference being the insertion of the descriptive terms "fappy" in between "only" and "fans". This does nothing to avoid confusing similarity.

The Respondent has no connection or affiliation with the Complainant and has not received any authorization, license or consent to sue the Complainant's trademarks. The Respondent is not commonly known by the Complainant's trademark and does not hold any trademarks for the disputed domain name.

The website at the disputed domain name offers adult entertainment services (including content pirated from the Complainant's users) in direct competition with the Complainant's services, including "providing entertainment services in the nature of a website featuring non-downloadable video, photographs, images in the field of adult entertainment". The registration of a disputed domain name that is confusingly similar to a widely-known trademark creates a presumption of bad faith. The Complainant sent a cease and desist letter to the Respondent on July 20, 2025 to which the Respondent has never replied.

B. Respondent

The Respondent did not reply to the Complainant's contentions.

6. Discussion and Findings

To succeed, the Complainant must demonstrate that all of the elements listed in paragraph 4(a) of the Policy have been satisfied:

(i) the disputed domain name is identical or confusingly similar to a trademark or service mark in which the Complainant has rights;

(ii) the Respondent has no rights or legitimate interests in respect of the disputed domain name; and

(iii) the disputed domain name has been registered and is being used in bad faith.

A. Identical or Confusingly Similar

The disputed domain name consists of the Complainant's trademark ONLYFANS.COM in the middle of which between the "y" and the "f" has been inserted the word "fappy". Section 1.8 of the [WIPO Overview 3.0](#) says:

"Where the relevant trademark is recognizable within the disputed domain name, the addition of other terms (whether ... meaningless, or otherwise) would not prevent a finding of confusing similarity under the first element."

For this reason, the Panel finds the first element of the Policy has been established.

B. Rights or Legitimate Interests

The Respondent is not called "onlyfappyfans" or anything similar. There is no evidence that the Complainant has ever authorised the Respondent to use its trademarks.

For these reasons, the Panel concludes that the Complainant has met this element. See section 2.1 of the [WIPO Overview 3.0](#).

C. Registered and Used in Bad Faith

The disputed domain name consists of the Complainant's trademark and domain name with the word "fappy" inserted into it.

The Complainant alleges that some of the images on the Respondent's website have been taken from its own. It has not supplied any actual examples. However, the Respondent's website promises "Photos and clips of artists and models from OnlyFans, Fansly, and Candfans." This supports that allegation, as does the marking of some of the images on the front page of the Respondent's website as "onlyfans".

This all indicates that the Respondent knew of the Complainant's business when it registered the disputed domain name and did this either primarily for the purpose of disrupting the business of a competitor; or to attract, for commercial gain, Internet users to the Respondent's web site by creating a likelihood of confusion with the Complainant's mark as to the source, sponsorship, affiliation, or endorsement of the Respondent's web site or location or of a product or service there. This is all evidence of bad faith registration and use of the disputed domain name according to paragraph 4(b)(iii) and (iv) of the Policy.

For these reasons, the Panel concludes that the disputed domain name was registered and is being used in bad faith and that the third element of the Policy has been proved.

7. Decision

For the foregoing reasons, in accordance with paragraphs 4(i) of the Policy and 15 of the Rules, the Panel orders that the disputed domain name <onlyfappyfans.com> be transferred to the Complainant.

/Adam Samuel/

Adam Samuel

Sole Panelist

Date: December 3, 2025