ARBITRATION
AND
MEDIATION CENTER

WIPO
WORLD
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY
ORGANIZATION

ADMINISTRATIVE PANEL DECISION

CHRISTIAN DIOR COUTURE v. Richard Armstrong, Carlos Moore, Mike Frye, John Charles, Basil Mowery, Andrew Hartt, Micheal Sinclair, Kenneth Eastman, Charles Marshall, Mark Davis, Derrick Griswold, Danny Gillespie, Alexander Warren, John Rech, Daniel C. Johnston, Forest King, Elijah P. Garcia, Jimmy Mehta, Steve Baker, Keith A. Young
Case No. D2026-4013

1. The Parties

The Complainant is CHRISTIAN DIOR COUTURE, France, represented by Domainoo, France.

The Respondents are Richard Armstrong, Carlos Moore, Mike Frye, John Charles, Basil Mowery, Andrew Hartt, Micheal Sinclair, Kenneth Eastman, Charles Marshall, Mark Davis, Derrick Griswold, Danny Gillespie, Alexander Warren, John Rech, Daniel C. Johnston, Forest King, Elijah P. Garcia, Jimmy Mehta, Steve Baker, and Keith A. Young, United States of America.

2. The Domain Names and Registrar

The disputed domain names <christiandiorcareers.com>, <christiandiorexecutives.com>, <christiandiorheadhunt.com>, <christiandiorhiring.com>, <christiandiorjobs.com>, <christiandiorleadership.com>, <christiandiorrecruitment.com>, <christiandiormarketinghub.com>, <christiandiortalent.com>, <christiandiorcareerhub.com>, <christiandiordigitalstrategy.com>, <christiandiorelitecareers.com>, <christiandiorelitejobs.com>, <christiandiorleadcenter.com>, <christiandiortopcareers.com>, <christiandiorapplynow.com>, <christiandiorcreativeleaders.com>, <christiandiorgrowwithus.com>, <christiandiorjoinus.com>, <christiandioryourfuture.com>, <christiandiorfindtalent.com>, <christiandiorhiringnow.com>, <christiandiorjoinourteam.com>, <christiandiorleadwithus.com>, <christiandiorteamup.com>, <christiandiorcareercenter.com>, <christiandiorcareerportal.com>, <christiandiorhrhub.com>, <christiandiortopmarketers.com>, <christiandiorcareernetwork.com>, <christiandiorcareersite.com>, <christiandiortalenthub.com>, <christiandiorworkwithus.com>, <diorjobs.com>, <diordigitaldirectors.com>, <diordigitalhub.com>, <diordigitaltalent.com>, <diorcareercenter.com>, <diorcareernetwork.com>, <diorcareerportal.com>, <diorexecutivecareers.com>, <diortalenthub.com>, <diorcontentcareers.com>, <christiandiorcareerpath.com>, <christiandiorjobboard.com>, <christiandiorjobnetwork.com>, <christiandiorjobshub.com>, <christiandiorrecruit.com>, <christiandiortalentsearch.com>, <diorgrowthtalents.com>, <diorstrategicmarketing.com>, <christiandiorcareerbuilder.com>, <christiandiorcareerpathway.com>, <christiandiorhiretalent.com>, <christiandiorjobhunt.com>,

<christiandiorjobsphere.com>, <christiandiorjobwave.com>, <christiandiortalentconnects.com>, <christiandiortalentdrive.com>, <christiandiorworksphere.com>, <christiandiorcareergoals.com>, <christiandiorcareeropens.com>, <christiandiorjobaccess.com>, <christiandiorjobconnects.com>, <christiandiorjobopenings.com>, <christiandiorjobsource.com>, <christiandiorrecruiting.com>, <christiandiortalentjobs.com>, <dioradvancetalent.com>, <dioralternatecareerpaths.com>, <dioraccesscareers.com>, <diorstrategiccareers.com>, <diorrecruitmentinsights.com>, <diorfuturecareers.com> and <diorprofessionalreferrals.com> are registered with Dynadot Inc (the "Registrar").

3. Procedural History

The Complaint was filed with the WIPO Arbitration and Mediation Center (the "Center") on September 8, 2026. On September 8, 2026, the Center transmitted by email to the Registrar a request for registrar verification in connection with the disputed domain names. On September 9, 2026, the Registrar transmitted by email to the Center its verification response disclosing registrant and contact information for the disputed domain names which differed from the named Respondent (REDACTED FOR PRIVACY, Super Privacy Service LTD c/o Dynadot) and contact information in the Complaint. The Center sent an email communication to the Complainant on September 9, 2026, with the registrant and contact information of nominally multiple underlying registrants revealed by the Registrar, requesting the Complainant to either file separate complaints for the disputed domain names associated with different underlying registrants or alternatively, demonstrate that the underlying registrants are in fact the same entity and/or that all domain names are under common control. The Complainant filed an amended Complaint on September 10, 2026.

The Center verified that the Complaint together with the amended Complaint satisfied the formal requirements of the Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (the "Policy" or "UDRP"), the Rules for Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (the "Rules"), and the WIPO Supplemental Rules for Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (the "Supplemental Rules").

In accordance with the Rules, paragraphs 2 and 4, the Center formally notified the Respondents of the Complaint, and the proceedings commenced on September 15, 2026. In accordance with the Rules, paragraph 5, the due date for Response was October 5, 2026. The Respondents did not submit any response. Accordingly, the Center notified the Respondents' default on October 12, 2026.

The Center appointed Rodrigo Azevedo as the sole panelist in this matter on October 16, 2026. The Panel finds that it was properly constituted. The Panel has submitted the Statement of Acceptance and Declaration of Impartiality and Independence, as required by the Center to ensure compliance with the Rules, paragraph 7.

4. Factual Background

The Complainant, CHRISTIAN DIOR COUTURE, is a French corporation founded in 1946, renowned for its haute couture, ready-to-wear clothing, leather goods, jewelry, watches, perfumes, and beauty products, which it markets and sells, inter alia, through its official websites at the domain names <dior.com> and <dior.cn>.

The Complainant is the owner of a portfolio of trademark registrations for DIOR and CHRISTIAN DIOR, including:

- International trademark registration No. 134476 for CHRISTIAN DIOR (figurative), registered on January 26, 1948; and
- International trademark registration No. 156609 for DIOR (figurative), registered on October 8, 1951.

The 75 disputed domain names were registered between November 13, 2025, and December 13, 2025, in four batches (November 13, November 14, December 6 and December 13, 2025):

- <christiandiorteamup.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <christiandiorjobs.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <christiandiorheadhunt.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <christiandiorapplynow.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <christiandiorworkwithus.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <christiandiorhrhub.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <christiandiortopcareers.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <christiandiorleadcenter.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <christiandiortopmarketers.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <christiandiorcareerportal.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <christiandiorhiring.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <christiandiorfindtalent.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <christiandiorcareers.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <christiandioryourfuture.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <christiandiorjoinourteam.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <christiandiorcareercenter.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <christiandiorrecruitment.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <christiandiorgrowwithus.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <christiandiorcareersite.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <christiandiortalenthub.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <christiandiorleadwithus.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <christiandiormarketinghub.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <christiandiorjoinus.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <christiandiorhiringnow.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <christiandiorelitejobs.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <christiandiorexecutives.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <christiandiorcareerhub.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <christiandiortalent.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <christiandiorleadership.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <christiandiorelitecareers.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <christiandiorcareernetwork.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <christiandiorcreativeleaders.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <diorcareercenter.com>, registered on November 14, 2025;
- <diorcareernetwork.com>, registered on November 14, 2025;
- <diorcareerportal.com>, registered on November 14, 2025;
- <diordigitaldirectors.com>, registered on November 14, 2025;
- <diordigitalhub.com>, registered on November 14, 2025;
- <diorexecutivecareers.com>, registered on November 14, 2025;
- <diortalenthub.com>, registered on November 14, 2025;
- <diordigitaltalent.com>, registered on November 14, 2025;
- <christiandiorcareeropens.com>, registered on December 6, 2025;
- <christiandiorcareerpath.com>, registered on December 6, 2025;
- <christiandiortalentjobs.com>, registered on December 6, 2025;
- <christiandiorjobsphere.com>, registered on December 6, 2025;
- <christiandiorjobhunt.com>, registered on December 6, 2025;
- <christiandiortalentdrive.com>, registered on December 6, 2025;
- <christiandiorjobwave.com>, registered on December 6, 2025;
- <christiandiorrecruit.com>, registered on December 6, 2025;
- <christiandiorjobaccess.com>, registered on December 6, 2025;
- <christiandiorjobshub.com>, registered on December 6, 2025;
- <christiandiorhiretalent.com>, registered on December 6, 2025;
- <christiandiorjobnetwork.com>, registered on December 6, 2025;

- <christiandiorjobopenings.com>, registered on December 6, 2025;
- <christiandiorjobsource.com>, registered on December 6, 2025;
- <christiandiorrecruiting.com>, registered on December 6, 2025;
- <christiandiorjobboard.com>, registered on December 6, 2025;
- <christiandiorworksphere.com>, registered on December 6, 2025;
- <christiandiortalentsearch.com>, registered on December 6, 2025;
- <christiandiorcareergoals.com>, registered on December 6, 2025;
- <christiandiorjobconnects.com>, registered on December 6, 2025;
- <christiandiorcareerbuilder.com>, registered on December 6, 2025;
- <christiandiorcareerpathway.com>, registered on December 6, 2025;
- <dioraccesscareers.com>, registered on December 13, 2025;
- <dioradvancetalent.com>, registered on December 13, 2025;
- <dioralternatecareerpaths.com>, registered on December 13, 2025;
- <christiandiortalentconnects.com>, registered on December 6, 2025;
- <christiandiordigitalstrategy.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <diorcontentcareers.com>, registered on December 6, 2025;
- <diorjobs.com>, registered on November 13, 2025;
- <diorstrategicmarketing.com>, registered on December 6, 2025;
- <diorgrowthtalents.com>, registered on December 6, 2025;
- <diorrecruitmentinsights.com>, registered on December 13, 2025;
- <diorstrategiccareers.com>, registered on December 13, 2025;
- <diorprofessionalreferrals.com>, registered on December 13, 2025; and
- <diorfuturecareers.com>, registered on December 13, 2025.

The disputed domain names do not point to any active website. According to the evidence submitted by the Complainant, all disputed domain names use Cloudflare name servers, have been configured with mail exchanger ("MX") records pointing to "smtp.google.com" and with Sender Policy Framework ("SPF") records authorizing Google mail servers, and do not resolve to any active website (HTTP status 522). The Complainant's brand monitoring report classifies each of the disputed domain names as "Gênant" (potentially harmful activity, risk of phishing or confusion), noting "Entrées techniques mails – possible phishing". The disputed domain names <christiandiorgrowwithus.com>, <diorstrategiccareers.com>, <diorprofessionalreferrals.com>, <diordigitaltalent.com> and <diorfuturecareers.com> appear to have been used for fraudulent recruitment schemes.

5. Parties' Contentions

A. Complainant

The Complainant contends that it has satisfied each of the elements required under the Policy for a transfer of the disputed domain names.

Notably, the Complainant contends that:

(i) The disputed domain names are identical or confusingly similar to the Complainant's trademarks. The Complainant asserts that it owns numerous registrations for the DIOR and CHRISTIAN DIOR trademarks in several jurisdictions, dating back to 1951 and 1948, respectively. The Complainant further submits that its trademarks are internationally renowned and widely recognized in connection with luxury goods, including haute couture, ready-to-wear clothing, leather goods, footwear, and fashion accessories. All the disputed domain names incorporate either the DIOR or the CHRISTIAN DIOR trademark in its entirety, followed by generic or descriptive terms, predominantly related to employment, recruitment, careers, and professional opportunities, such as "jobs", "careers", "talent", "recruitment", and "join our team". The addition of these terms does not prevent a finding of confusing similarity, as its trademarks remain clearly recognizable within each of the disputed domain names.

(ii) The Respondents have no rights or legitimate interests in the disputed domain names. The Complainant states that it has not licensed, authorized, or otherwise permitted the Respondents to use its DIOR or CHRISTIAN DIOR trademarks or to register domain names incorporating them. The Respondents are not commonly known by the disputed domain names and have not acquired any rights or legitimate interests in the DIOR or CHRISTIAN DIOR trademarks. The combination of its well-known trademarks with employment- and recruitment-related terms is intended to impersonate its official recruitment channels and mislead Internet users into believing that the disputed domain names are associated with the Complainant. Such deceptive use cannot constitute a bona fide offering of goods or services or a legitimate noncommercial or fair use under the Policy.

(iii) The disputed domain names were registered and are being used in bad faith. The Complainant submits that, given the worldwide reputation and distinctive character of its DIOR and CHRISTIAN DIOR trademarks, the Respondents could not reasonably have been unaware of the Complainant and its trademark rights when registering the disputed domain names in November and December 2025. Several of the disputed domain names, including <christiandiorgrowwithus.com>, <diorstrategiccareers.com>, <diorprofessionalreferrals.com>, <diordigitaltalent.com>, and <diorfuturecareers.com>, have been used to send phishing emails impersonating the Complainant and misleading recipients into believing that the communications originated from or were affiliated with the Complainant. All 75 disputed domain names resolve to inactive websites. However, all of them have been configured with MX records enabling email functionality, and the same configuration is present in the disputed domain names for which actual phishing activities have been documented. The combination of its internationally renowned trademarks, the incorporation of those trademarks into the disputed domain names alongside employment-related terms, the identical MX configuration across all 75 disputed domain names, and the documented phishing activities involving several of them demonstrates that the Respondents deliberately registered and configured the disputed domain names for deceptive email-related purposes. Although phishing activities have been documented in connection with only some of the disputed domain names, the circumstances surrounding the entire portfolio support a finding that all the disputed domain names were registered and are being used in bad faith.

B. Respondents

The Respondents did not reply to the Complainant's contentions.

6. Discussion and Findings

Consolidation: Multiple Respondents

The amended Complaint was filed in relation to nominally different domain name registrants. The Complainant alleges that the domain name registrants are the same entity or mere alter egos of each other, or under common control. The Complainant requests the consolidation of the Complaint against the multiple disputed domain name registrants pursuant to paragraph 10(e) of the Rules.

The disputed domain name registrants did not comment on the Complainant's request.

Paragraph 3(c) of the Rules states that a complaint may relate to more than one domain name, provided that the domain names are registered by the same domain name holder.

In addressing the Complainant's request, the Panel will consider whether (i) the disputed domain names or corresponding websites are subject to common control; and (ii) the consolidation would be fair and equitable to all Parties. See WIPO Overview of WIPO Panel Views on Select UDRP Questions ("WIPO Overview 3.1"), section 4.11.2.

As regards common control, the Panel notes that: (i) all 75 disputed domain names follow the same naming pattern, combining the Complainant's DIOR or CHRISTIAN DIOR trademark with English terms relating to employment, recruitment and careers; (ii) all of them are registered in the same generic Top-Level Domain ".com", with the same Registrar, and were originally shielded by the same privacy service; (iii) they were registered within a month, in batches on the same dates (November 13 and 14, December 6 and 13, 2025); (iv) all use Cloudflare name servers and share similar technical configuration, including MX records pointing to "smtp.google.com", SPF records authorizing Google mail servers and Google site-verification records; (v) none resolves to an active website; and (vi) all underlying registrants disclosed by the Registrar are reportedly located in the same country.

In the Panel's view, these circumstances, taken together, show that the disputed domain names are more likely than not subject to common control.

As regards fairness and equity, the Panel sees no reason why consolidation of the disputes would be unfair or inequitable to any Party.

Accordingly, the Panel decides to consolidate the disputes regarding the nominally different disputed domain name registrants (referred to below as "the Respondent") in a single proceeding.

A. Identical or Confusingly Similar

It is well accepted that the first element functions primarily as a standing requirement. The standing (or threshold) test for confusing similarity involves a reasoned but relatively straightforward comparison between the Complainant's trademark and the disputed domain name. WIPO Overview 3.1, section 1.7.

The Complainant has shown rights in respect of the DIOR and CHRISTIAN DIOR trademarks for the purposes of the Policy. WIPO Overview 3.1, section 1.2.1.

The entirety of the DIOR trademark (in respect of the 19 disputed domain names starting with "dior") and of the CHRISTIAN DIOR trademark (in respect of the 56 disputed domain names starting with "christiandior") is reproduced within the disputed domain names. The Panel finds the marks are recognizable within the disputed domain names. Accordingly, the disputed domain names are confusingly similar to the marks for the purposes of the Policy. WIPO Overview 3.1, section 1.7.

Although the addition of other terms (here, terms such as "careers", "jobs", "hiring", "recruitment", "recruiting", "talent", "headhunt", "applynow", "joinus", "joinourteam", "workwithus", "hrhub", "executives", "leadership", "digital", "marketing" and "professionalreferrals") may bear on assessment of the second and third elements, the Panel finds the addition of such terms does not prevent a finding of confusing similarity between the disputed domain names and the marks for the purposes of the Policy. WIPO Overview 3.1, section 1.8.

The generic Top-Level Domain ".com" is viewed as a standard registration requirement and may be disregarded under the first element. WIPO Overview 3.1, section 1.11.1.

The Panel finds the first element of the Policy has been established.

B. Rights or Legitimate Interests

Paragraph 4(c) of the Policy provides a list of circumstances in which the Respondent may demonstrate rights or legitimate interests in a disputed domain name.

Although the overall burden of proof in UDRP proceedings is on the complainant, panels have recognized that proving that a respondent lacks rights or legitimate interests in a domain name may result in the difficult task of "proving a negative", requiring information that is often primarily within the knowledge or control of the respondent. As such, where a complainant makes out a prima facie case that the respondent lacks rights or legitimate interests, the burden of production on this element shifts to the respondent to come forward with

relevant evidence demonstrating rights or legitimate interests in the domain name (although the burden of proof always remains on the complainant). If the respondent fails to come forward with such relevant evidence, the complainant is deemed to have satisfied the second element. WIPO Overview 3.1, section 2.1.

Having reviewed the available record, the Panel finds the Complainant has established a prima facie case that the Respondent lacks rights or legitimate interests in the disputed domain names. The Respondent has not rebutted the Complainant's prima facie showing and has not come forward with any relevant evidence demonstrating rights or legitimate interests in the disputed domain names such as those enumerated in the Policy or otherwise.

There is no evidence in the record that the Respondent has used, or made demonstrable preparations to use, the disputed domain names in connection with a bona fide offering of goods or services: the disputed domain names do not resolve to active websites, and their only apparent technical configuration is directed at email communications. Nor is there any indication that the Respondent is commonly known by the disputed domain names, or that it has been licensed or otherwise authorized by the Complainant to use the DIOR or CHRISTIAN DIOR trademarks.

Moreover, the composition of the disputed domain names, which combine the Complainant's well-known trademarks with terms referring to careers, recruitment and job opportunities, i.e., activities that Internet users would naturally associate with the Complainant itself as an employer, carries a risk of implied affiliation with the Complainant and cannot constitute fair use. WIPO Overview 3.1, section 2.5.1. See *The Commissioners for HM Revenue and Customs v. RRR Dasd*, WIPO Case No. D2025-0710..

Panels have held that the use of a domain name for illegal activity, here, claimed phishing and other types of fraud, such as fraudulent recruitment schemes in respect of the disputed domain names <christiandiorgrowwithus.com>, <diorstrategiccareers.com>, <diorprofessionalreferrals.com>, <diordigitaltalent.com> and <diorfuturecareers.com>, can never confer rights or legitimate interests on a respondent. WIPO Overview 3.1, section 2.13.1. In this respect, the Panel notes that the configuration of MX records for each of the 75 disputed domain names, coupled with their composition, points to an intended use for email communications impersonating the Complainant towards potential job seekers.

The Panel finds the second element of the Policy has been established.

C. Registered and Used in Bad Faith

The Panel notes that, for the purposes of paragraph 4(a)(iii) of the Policy, paragraph 4(b) of the Policy establishes circumstances, in particular, but without limitation, that, if found by the Panel to be present, shall be evidence of the registration and use of a domain name in bad faith.

In the present case, the Panel notes that the DIOR and CHRISTIAN DIOR trademarks were registered decades before the registration of the disputed domain names and enjoy a substantial reputation worldwide, as evidenced by the Complainant's official websites and the Google search results in the record.

Given such reputation, and the systematic combination of the Complainant's trademarks with terms relating to careers and recruitment, the Panel finds it inconceivable that the Respondent registered the disputed domain names without the Complainant and its trademarks in mind.

Panels have consistently found that the mere registration of a domain name that is confusingly similar to a famous or widely-known trademark (particularly domain names incorporating the mark plus a descriptive term) by an unaffiliated entity can by itself create a presumption of bad faith. WIPO Overview 3.1, section 3.1.4.

Paragraph 4(b) of the Policy sets out a list of non-exhaustive circumstances that may indicate that a domain name was registered and used in bad faith, but other circumstances may be relevant in assessing whether a respondent's registration and use of a domain name is in bad faith. WIPO Overview 3.1, section 3.2.1. In this case, the Panel also takes into account the Respondent's use of a privacy service, the batch registration of the disputed domain names within a short period of time, and the Respondent's failure to respond to the Complaint or to provide any evidence of actual or contemplated good-faith use.

Panels have found that the non-use of a domain name would not by itself prevent a finding of bad faith under the doctrine of passive holding. To the contrary, in looking at the totality of circumstances in each case, panels have found that the registration and non-use of a domain name can still constitute bad faith for purposes of the Policy. WIPO Overview 3.1, section 3.3. Having reviewed the available record, the Panel notes the distinctiveness and reputation of the Complainant's trademarks, the composition of the disputed domain names, the Respondent's failure to submit a response, and the implausibility of any good faith use to which the disputed domain names may be put, and finds that in the circumstances of this case the passive holding of the disputed domain names does not prevent a finding of bad faith under the Policy.

Lastly, panels have held that the use of a domain name for illegal activity, here, claimed phishing and other types of fraud, such as fraudulent recruitment schemes in respect of the disputed domain names <christiandiorgrowwithus.com>, <diorstrategiccareers.com>, <diorprofessionalreferrals.com>, <diordigitaltalent.com> and <diorfuturecareers.com>, constitutes bad faith. WIPO Overview 3.1, section 3.4. Noting the composition of the disputed domain names, any email emanating from the Respondent using the disputed domain names could not be used for any good faith purpose, and the activation of MX records carries a significant risk that the disputed domain names may be used for possible phishing or other fraudulent purposes.

Having reviewed the record, the Panel finds the Respondent's registration and use of the disputed domain names constitutes bad faith under the Policy.

The Panel finds that the Complainant has established the third element of the Policy.

7. Decision

For the foregoing reasons, in accordance with paragraphs 4(i) of the Policy and 15 of the Rules, the Panel orders that the disputed domain names <christiandiorcareers.com>, <christiandiorexecutives.com>, <christiandiorheadhunt.com>, <christiandiorhiring.com>, <christiandiorjobs.com>, <christiandiorleadership.com>, <christiandiorrecruitment.com>, <christiandiormarketinghub.com>, <christiandiortalent.com>, <christiandiorcareerhub.com>, <christiandiordigitalstrategy.com>, <christiandiorelitecareers.com>, <christiandiorelitejobs.com>, <christiandiorleadcenter.com>, <christiandiortopcareers.com>, <christiandiorapplynow.com>, <christiandiorcreativeleaders.com>, <christiandiorgrowwithus.com>, <christiandiorjoinus.com>, <christiandioryourfuture.com>, <christiandiorfindtalent.com>, <christiandiorhiringnow.com>, <christiandiorjoinourteam.com>, <christiandiorleadwithus.com>, <christiandiorteamup.com>, <christiandiorcareercenter.com>, <christiandiorcareerportal.com>, <christiandiorhrhub.com>, <christiandiortopmarketers.com>, <christiandiorcareernetwork.com>, <christiandiorcareersite.com>, <christiandiortalenthub.com>, <christiandiorworkwithus.com>, <diorjobs.com>, <diordigitaldirectors.com>, <diordigitalhub.com>, <diordigitaltalent.com>, <diorcareercenter.com>, <diorcareernetwork.com>, <diorcareerportal.com>, <diorexecutivecareers.com>, <diortalenthub.com>, <diorcontentcareers.com>, <christiandiorcareerpath.com>, <christiandiorjobboard.com>, <christiandiorjobnetwork.com>, <christiandiorjobshub.com>, <christiandiorrecruit.com>, <christiandiortalentsearch.com>, <diorgrowthtalents.com>, <diorstrategicmarketing.com>, <christiandiorcareerbuilder.com>, <christiandiorcareerpathway.com>, <christiandiorhiretalent.com>, <christiandiorjobhunt.com>, <christiandiorjobsphere.com>, <christiandiorjobwave.com>, <christiandiortalentconnects.com>, <christiandiortalentdrive.com>, <christiandiorworksphere.com>, <christiandiorcareergoals.com>, <christiandiorcareeropens.com>, <christiandiorjobaccess.com>, <christiandiorjobconnects.com>,

<christiandiorjobopenings.com>, <christiandiorjobsource.com>, <christiandiorrecruiting.com>, <christiandiortalentjobs.com>, <dioradvancetalent.com>, <dioralternatecareerpaths.com>, <dioraccesscareers.com>, <diorstrategiccareers.com>, <diorrecruitmentinsights.com>, <diorfuturecareers.com> and <diorprofessionalreferrals.com> be transferred to the Complainant.

/Rodrigo Azevedo/
Rodrigo Azevedo
Sole Panelist
Date: October 30, 2026