

Dear Michael Keplinger,

Thank you for your email dated March 23,2007.And also thanks for Mr.Jukka Liedes and WIPO Secretariat for their excellent work.

For the Draft Non-Paper,we agree and support for the proposal to establish a narrower and specific protection against signal theft. We believe such kind of approach could gain more acceptance.

We would like to make some suggestions:

Firstly,as to the Article 6, we prefer to add an alternative, remaining a possibility for a Contracting Party, by notification, to set as a condition for protection that the headquarters of the broadcaster and the transmitter be situated in the same country.

Secondly, for Article 10, we prefer to amended item (i)as "decryption of an encrypted broadcast for the purpose of retransmitting the broadcast.

Thirdly, Article 11 is preferred to be deleted.

Lastly, we would like an answer to be given to the following questions about Article 8 (i). Can the wording "by any means" include retransmission by wire, by cable or over computer networks? Under the same item, does the wording "deferred retransssion" could be interpreted as to cover "communication to the public", "transmission following fixation" and "making available to the public"?

Thank you very much

Yours,

Zhao Xiuling
Director of Copyright Enforcement Division
Copyright Department