

Industrial Property

Published monthly
Annual subscription:
Sw.fr. 140.-
Each monthly issue:
Sw.fr. 14.-

23rd Year - No. 9
September 1984

Monthly Review of the
World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO)

Contents

NOTIFICATIONS	
WIPO Conventinn. Accession: Cyprus	271
Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT). Accession: Mali	271
Budapest Treaty (Microorganisms). Acquisitinn of the Status of Internatinnal Depositary Authority: Natinnal Collection of Animal Cell Cultures (NCACC)	271
PLANT VARIETIES	
Internatinnal Convention for the Protection of New Varieties nf Plants. Acceptance of the 1978 Act: Netherlands	273
WIPO MEETINGS	
WIPO Permanent Committee nn Patent Information (PCPI)	
I. Working Group on General Information	274
II. Wnrking Group on Special Questions	275
III. Working Group on Planning	275
IV. Working Group nn Search Informatinn	276
WIPO Permanent Program for Development Cooperation Related to Industrial Property. Group nf Consultants nn the Revision of the WIPO Licensing Guide for Developing Cnuntries	277
WIPO/UNDP. Regional Evaluatinn and Planning Meeting nn WIPO Development Cooperation Activities in the Field nf Industrial Property in Asia and the Pacific	278
GENERAL STUDIES	
The Functions nf Licensed Trademarks (A. Casado Cerviñn)	281
Comparison of United States Patent and Trademark Office, European Patent Office and Japanese Patent Office Patent Practice (M. Kalikow)	290
ACTIVITIES OF INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY OFFICES	
Swedish Patent and Trademark Centennial	300
NEWS FROM INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY OFFICES	
European Patent Office, Australia, India, Mauritius, Mexico	302
BOOK REVIEWS	303
CALENDAR OF MEETINGS	306
ANNEX	
Industrial Property Statistics for 1983 (Publication A)	

INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY LAWS AND TREATIES

Editor's Nnte

MEXICO

Agreement by Which a General Extension of One Year as from December 29, 1982, is Granted for Compliance with the Obligations Specified in Sections 127 and 128 nf the Law nn Inventinn and Marks (of November 23, 1982)	Text 1-009
Agreement by Which a General Extension of One Year as from December 29, 1983, is Granted (of December 16, 1983)	Text 1-010

SWEDEN

Decree on Patent Formalities (No. 838 of December 1, 1967, as last amended by Decree No. 435 of June 14, 1983)	Text 2-002
---	------------

© WIPO 1984

Any reproduction of official notes nr reports, articles and translations of laws nr agreements published in
this review is authorized nly with the prinr consent of WIPO.

ISSN 0019-8625

Notifications

WIPO Convention

Accession

CYPRUS

The Government of Cyprus deposited, on July 26, 1984, its instrument of accession to the Convention Establishing the World Intellectual Property Organization, signed at Stockholm on July 14, 1967.

The said Convention will enter into force, with respect to Cyprus, on October 26, 1984.

WIPO Notification No. 129, of July 27, 1984.

Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT)

Accession

MALI

The Government of Mali deposited, on July 19, 1984, its instrument of accession to the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT), done at Washington on June 19, 1970.

The said Treaty will enter into force, with respect to Mali, on October 19, 1984.

PCT Notification No. 45, of August 6, 1984.

Budapest Treaty (Microorganisms)

Acquisition of the Status of International Depositary Authority

NATIONAL COLLECTION OF ANIMAL CELL CULTURES (NCACC)

The following written communication addressed to the Director General of WIPO by the Government of the United Kingdom under Article 7 of the Budapest

Treaty on the International Recognition of the Deposit of Microorganisms for the Purposes of Patent Procedure was received on July 2, 1984, and is published by the International Bureau of WIPO pursuant to Article 7(2)(a) of the said Treaty:

"I have the honour to refer to the Budapest Treaty on the International Recognition of the Deposit of Microorganisms for the Purposes of Patent Procedure, opened for signature at Budapest from 28 April to 31 December 1977. In accordance with the provisions of Article 7 of the said Treaty, the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland nominate the National Collection of Animal Cell Cultures (NCACC) as an International Depositary Authority (IDA). The Government of the United Kingdom furnish their assurances that the NCACC complies and will continue to comply with the requirements specified in Article 6(2) of the Treaty for an IDA. The requisite information concerning this nominated Depositary Institution is set out below.

"Accordingly I have the further honour to request that you carry out the procedures established by the Treaty and Regulations made under it, in connection with the acquisition of the status of IDA by the Institution nominated herein. The NCACC will start accepting deposits on 24 July 1984, and in accordance with Treaty Article 7(1)(b) hereby requests that the NCACC assumes an IDA status as from 12 July 1984.*

"The NCACC is part of the Vaccine Research and Production Laboratory at the Public Health Laboratory Service (PHLS), Centre for Applied Microbiology and Research (CAMR), Porton Down, Salisbury, Wiltshire, SP4 0JG, England.

"The NCACC is the responsibility of the Public Health Laboratory Service Board (PHLSB), a corporate body established in the United Kingdom by Act of Parliament in 1961.**

"The NCACC occupies a suite of laboratories which have been specifically designed and built to operate an animal cell culture collection. These facilities are separate, but adjacent to, the CAMR main

* Note by the International Bureau of WIPO: in accordance with Article 7(2)(b) of the Treaty, the status of international depositary authority is acquired from the date of publication, in this instance, September 30, 1984, inasmuch as the date indicated (July 12, 1984) is not later than the date of publication.

** Public Health Laboratory Service Act, 1960, now incorporated into the National Health Service Act, 1977, which has been amended by Public Health Laboratory Service Act, 1979.

building. This allows the specialised technical and administrative support services in CAMR to be used without risking the biological integrity of the NCACC. A staff of five have been recruited to fulfil the various specialised scientific roles needed to operate the NCACC in a manner that ensures that deposits are kept viable and uncontaminated. There is an independent Scientific Advisory Committee which includes individuals prominent in the field of culture collections and cell biology. The NCACC performs its work in an impartial and objective way, and will be available for the purposes of Deposit under the Treaty to any Depositor under the same conditions.

"The NCACC has all the facilities necessary for the culturing, checking and long term preservation of animal cells. Cultures are stored routinely by freezing in liquid nitrogen. Patent cultures are stored in a locked refrigerator, and are subject to regular audit. Only authorised staff have access to them. To minimise the risk of loss, duplicate cultures and records are stored in a building separate from that housing the main collection.

"The NCACC is equipped and run in full accordance with the United Kingdom Health and Safety at Work, etc., Act, 1974, and in accordance with the advice contained in the 'Code of Practice for the Prevention of Infection in Clinical Laboratories and Post-Mortem Rooms' (HMSO 1978), so far as is reasonable and practicable.

"The NCACC will accept for deposit cell lines that can be preserved without significant change to or loss of their properties by freezing and long term storage. A statement on their possible pathogenicity to man and/or animals is required.

"In accordance with the Regulations under the Budapest Treaty, the NCACC will, in respect of cell lines referred to in paragraphs 6 and 8 above:

- (a) examine the viability of cell lines and store them;
- (b) issue receipt and viability statements as prescribed;
- (c) comply with the prescribed secrecy requirements; and
- (d) furnish samples under the conditions and in conformity with the prescribed procedures.

"On behalf of the nominated Depositary Institution, I have the honour to inform you that in accordance with Rule 6.3(a) of the Regulations referred to above, the NCACC requires before it will accept cell lines for deposit:

- (i) that a deposit of cell lines should be in an appropriate form and adequate quantity to enable NCACC to carry out properly its duties under the Regulations;
- (ii) that Accession Forms established by the NCACC for the purpose of administrative procedures (annexed hereto) be completed;
- (iii) that the written statement referred to in Rule 6.1(a) or 6.2(a) be drafted in English;
- (iv) that the fee for storage referred to in Rule 12.1(a)(i) be paid; and
- (v) that the depositor complete the Application Form of the NCACC (annexed hereto) in order to enter into a contract with the NCACC establishing terms and conditions on which deposit will be accepted.

"The following fees will be payable to the Public Health Laboratory Service Board:

For the storage of the cell line in accordance with the Treaty £600.00

For the issue of a Viability Statement in those cases in which, in accordance with Rule 10.2, a fee may be charged . . . £30.00

For furnishing of a sample in accordance with Rule 11.2 or 11.3 £50.00

All charges paid within the United Kingdom are subject to Value Added Tax at the current rate.

"The official language of the NCACC is English."

[End of text of Communication]

Pursuant to Article 7(2)(b) of the Budapest Treaty, the NCACC acquires the status of international depositary authority as from September 30, 1984 (date of the present publication).

Budapest Communication No. 20 (this Communication is the subject of Budapest Notification No. 40, of July 17, 1984).

Plant Varieties

International Convention for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants

Acceptance of the 1978 Act

NETHERLANDS

The Government of the Netherlands deposited, on August 2, 1984, its instrument of acceptance, for the Kingdom in Europe, of the Act of October 23, 1978, of the International Convention for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants (UPOV) of December 2, 1961, as revised at Geneva on November 10, 1972.

The said International Convention as revised in 1978 entered into force, with respect to the Netherlands, on September 2, 1984.

UPOV Notification No. 31, of August 3, 1984.

WIPO Meetings

WIPO Permanent Committee on Patent Information (PCPI)

I. Working Group on General Information

Sixth Session
(Geneva, April 9 to 13, 1984)

NOTE*

The PCPI Working Group on General Information (hereinafter referred to as "the Working Group") held its sixth session in Geneva in April 1984. Seventeen States and one intergovernmental organization, members of the Working Group, were represented, with observers from four international non-governmental organizations. The list of participants follows this Note.

The Working Group discussed WIPO Standard ST.16—Standard Code for the Identification of Different Kinds of Patent Documents—and requested the International Bureau to prepare a revised updated Annex thereto, discussed a draft prepared by the International Bureau of a proposed Recommendation Concerning Name Indexes to Patent Documents and requested the International Bureau to prepare a further draft based upon the conclusions of that discussion, agreed upon (subject to adoption by the PCPI) a standard format for the Exchange in Machine-Readable Form of Bibliographic Data, Abstracts and the Full Texts of Patent Documents, and discussed and agreed upon, subject to a further round of comments, a draft standard for the Recording of International Patent Classification (IPC) Symbols on Machine-Readable Records which takes into account certain changes introduced into the fourth edition of the IPC, e.g., the introduction of hybrid symbols.

The Working Group discussed in detail, and approved, the proposals of the International Bureau for the improvement of the WIPO Industrial Property Statistics which would lead, in due course, to improved statistics useful for assessing the impact of international or regional agreements in the field of industrial property and discussed a proposal by the German Democratic Republic concerning amendments to WIPO Standard ST.7/E (Guidelines for Photo-Optically Generated Microfiches).

The Working Group finally agreed to recommend to the PCPI that WIPO Standard ST.3—Two-Letter Code for Countries, Organizations and the Like—should be amended to provide the code "AP" to represent the Industrial Property Organization for English-Speaking Africa (ESARIPO) and that INID Code 84 (Designated Contracting States under the European Patent Convention) provided in WIPO Standard ST.9—Recommendation concerning Bibliographic Data on and relating to Patent Documents—should be redefined so that ESARIPO could use that code to indicate designated contracting States on the front page of patent documents issued by ESARIPO following the entry into force on April 25, 1984, of the Protocol to the Lusaka Agreement establishing ESARIPO.

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS*

I. Member States

Austria: H. Erber. Canada: W. Berdnikoff; C. McDermott. Czechoslovakia: M. Kopča; M. Fortová. Denmark: I.-L. Frisenberg. Finland: J. Rainesalo. France: M. Verderosa; M. Monka. German Democratic Republic: H. Konrad. Germany (Federal Republic of): H. Hannus. Japan: S. Ono. Netherlands: S. De Vries. Norway: P.E. Lillejordet. Soviet Union: A. Alekseev. Spain: A. Gómez García. Sweden: J.-O. Hyllner. Switzerland: M. Leuthold; K. Grünig. United Kingdom: T. Saul. United States of America: G. King.

II. Member Organization

European Patent Office (EPO): C.J. Jonckheere; H. De Vries.

III. Observer Organizations

Patent Documentation Group (PDG): P. Ochsenbein. Commission of the European Communities (CEC): H. Bank. International Patent Documentation Center (INPADOC): G. Quarda. International Federation for Documentation (FID): F. Schweikhardt.

IV. Officers

Chairman: I.-L. Frisenberg (Denmark). Vice-Chairmen: M. Leuthold (Switzerland); H. Konrad (German Democratic Republic). Secretary: P. Higham (WIPO).

* Prepared by the International Bureau.

* A list containing the titles and functions of the participants may be obtained from the International Bureau.

V. International Bureau of WIPO

P. Claus (*Director, Patent Information and Classification Division*); B. Hansson (*Head, Patent Classification Section, Patent Information and Classification Division*); P. Higham (*Head, Patent Information Section, Patent Information and Classification Division*); R. Blumstengel (*Head, Developing Countries Section (Patent Information)*); G. Negulyaev (*Patent Information Officer, Patent Information Section*).

* * *

II. Working Group on Special Questions

Fifth Session
(Geneva, May 3 to 11, 1984)

NOTE*

The PCPI Working Group on Special Questions (hereinafter referred to as "the Working Group") held its fifth session in Geneva in May 1984. Fourteen States and one intergovernmental organization, members of the Working Group, were represented, together with, upon the special invitation of the Director General, observers from eight organizations who were present during discussions of an agenda item concerning computerized searching aids. The list of participants follows this Note.

The Working Group completed its study of computerized searching aids by noting demonstrations given by observers from three organizations which had not demonstrated at the second session of the Working Group held in September 1982, gave guidance to the International Bureau so as to complete the inventory of available computerized searching aids that are devoted wholly, or for a substantial part, to patent information, agreed to recommend to the PCPI that the International Bureau should discuss with the brokers and/or vendors of such aids ways and means whereby closer coordination and standardization of the on-line services available could be undertaken, and also agreed to recommend to the PCPI certain steps that should be taken so as to assist developing countries in their access to and use of on-line retrieval of patent information.

The Working Group discussed a study made by INPADOC concerning the extension of the CAPRI System (*viz.* the Computerized Administration of Patent Documents Reclassified According to the IPC) so as to cover patent documents issued from 1973 and asked the International Bureau to obtain a slightly revised study from INPADOC, agreed upon a revised text of the IPC Revision Instructions which will be published in the *WIPO Handbook on Patent Information and Documentation*, had a preliminary discussion of the replies received to a recent WIPO Circular concerning the continuation of the JOPAL (*WIPO Journal of Patent-Associated Literature*) project and agreed upon the final text of the Introductory Manual to the IPC.

* Prepared by the International Bureau.

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS**

I. Member States

Australia: N. Young. **Austria:** F. Sohs. **Brazil:** G.R. Coaracy; A.R. de Holanda Cavalcanti. **Canada:** J.H.A. Gariépy; G.K. Guzzo. **Denmark:** H.I. Rasmussen. **France:** I. Savignon; A. de Pastors. **Germany (Federal Republic of):** W. Weiss. **Japan:** K. Yokoi; S. Ono. **Soviet Union:** K. Kukolev; V. Morenko. **Spain:** A. Gómez García. **Sweden:** L.G. Björklund; K. Bergström. **Switzerland:** E. Caussignac; R. Egli. **United Kingdom:** V.S. Dodd. **United States of America:** W.S. Lawson; T.F. Lomont.

II. Member Organization

European Patent Office (EPO): A. Vandecasteele; R. Baré; C. Jonckheere; H.J. Schrijvers.

III. Observer Organizations

Chemical Abstracts Service: A. Messmore; M.C. Jérôme. **Derwent Publications Ltd.:** A.M. Brooks; P. Dixon. **International Patent Documentation Center (INPADOC):** G.A. Rubitschka. **Japan Patent Information Center (JAPATIC):** H. Uchiyama; N. Tamai; J. Ochiai. **Japan Institute of Invention and Innovation (JIIC):** K. Obashi. **Mead Data Central Corporation:** A.F. Sharp. **Pergamon International Information Corporation:** P.J. Terragno. **Télésystèmes-Darc:** B. Drobycz.

IV. Officers

Chairman: W.S. Lawson (United States of America). **Vice-Chairmen:** F. Sohs (Austria); A. de Pastors (France). **Secretary:** P. Higham (WIPO).

V. International Bureau of WIPO

L.E. Kostikov (*Deputy Director General*); P. Claus (*Director, Patent Information and Classification Division*); B. Hansson (*Head, Patent Classification Section, Patent Information and Classification Division*); P. Higham (*Head, Patent Information Section, Patent Information and Classification Division*).

** A list containing the titles and functions of the participants may be obtained from the International Bureau.

* * *

III. Working Group on Planning

Thirteenth Session
(Geneva, May 3 to 11, 1984)

NOTE*

The PCPI Working Group on Planning (hereinafter referred to "the Planning Group") held its thirteenth session in Geneva from May 3 to 11, 1984. Thirteen States and one intergovernmental organization, members of the Planning Group were represented at the session. The list of participants follows this Note.

* Prepared by the International Bureau.

The Planning Group decided to recommend to the PCPI that the Working Group on General Information should deal with the task of studying, in two phases, the question of the reciprocal admittance of priority documents on microfiche: the said Working Group should first look at the various alternative forms (e.g., paper or microform) in which priority documents could be made available, and should study the cost elements involved in each of those alternatives; the findings of the said Working Group should then be considered by the Planning Group with a view to making recommendations on how this question should be further dealt with.

On the question of the filing of patent applications in machine-readable form, the Planning Group, in view of the ongoing efforts at the EPO, decided that work in this area by PCPI bodies should be suspended in order to wait for the results of those efforts.

The Planning Group discussed a proposal for the establishment of an internationally agreed upon program for the elaboration of hybrid systems—that is, search systems in which indexing terms are combined with classifying terms—and decided to recommend to the PCPI that one of the tasks of the 1984-1985 program be reworded in order to clarify that the said task would include part of the proposal. It also decided to recommend that a detailed proposal concerning means of reducing the bulkiness of search files be put on the program of the PCPI in the present biennium (1984-1985), and be dealt with by the Working Group on Special Questions. It invited its members to submit comments on a proposal concerning the development of hybrid systems.

The Planning Group recommended to the PCPI the adoption of revised working procedures; it also recommended revision of the PCPI program for the 1984-1985 biennium in respect of certain IPC revision requests and the addition to the said program of tasks concerning the standardization of official gazettes and other patent "announcement" journals, e.g., abstract journals, and guidelines for the publication of indexes issued periodically by industrial property offices.

Finally, the Planning Group began consideration of a proposal on the possible WIPO contribution to offices preparing translations of new editions of the IPC, in order to enable those offices expeditiously to prepare exact translations of the authentic versions, and made arrangements for further information and comments to be submitted.

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS*

I. Member States

Australia: N. Young. **Austria:** F. Sohs. **Brazil:** G.R. Coaracy; A.R. de Holanda Cavalcanti. **Canada:** J.H.A. Gariépy; G.K. Guzzo.

* A list containing the titles and functions of the participants may be obtained from the International Bureau.

France: A. de Pastors. **Germany (Federal Republic of):** W. Weiss. **Japan:** K. Yokoi; S. Ono. **Soviet Union:** V. Kukolev; V. Morenko. **Spain:** A. Gómez García. **Sweden:** L.G. Björklund; K. Bergström. **Switzerland:** E. Caussignac. **United Kingdom:** V.S. Dodd. **United States of America:** W.S. Lawson; T.F. Lomont.

II. Observer State

Denmark: H.I. Rasmussen.

III. Member Organization

European Patent Office (EPO): A. Vandecasteele; R. Baré.

IV. Officers

Chairman: W.S. Lawson (United States of America). **Vice-Chairmen:** F. Sohs (Austria); A. de Pastors (France). **Secretary:** P. Claus (WIPO).

IV. International Bureau of WIPO

L.E. Kostikov (*Deputy Director General*); P. Claus (*Director, Patent Information and Classification Division*); B. Hansson (*Head, Patent Classification Section, Patent Information and Classification Division*); P. Higham (*Head, Patent Information Section, Patent Information and Classification Division*).

* * *

IV. Working Group on Search Information

Twelfth Session
(Geneva, May 14 to 25, 1984)

NOTE*

The PCPI Working Group on Search Information (hereinafter referred to as "The Working Group") held its twelfth session in Geneva from May 14 to 25, 1984. Fourteen States and one intergovernmental organization, members of the Working Group, were represented at the session. The list of participants follows this Note.

The following items were discussed:

IPC Revision Projects Carried Over from the 1983 Program. The Working Group discussed 25 IPC

* Prepared by the International Bureau.

revision projects carried over from the 1983 program and completed 13 of them. It agreed to amendments, in one language version, to eight subclasses.

IPC Revision Projects on the 1984/1985 Program. The Working Group discussed 46 of the other IPC revision projects of the 1984/1985 program and completed 14 of them. It agreed to amendments, in one language version, to 38 subclasses. Among them was subclass B 41 J, dealing with "selective printing mechanisms," which was substantially revised.

Revision of the Guidelines on the Organization of Search Files Based on the IPC. The Working Group agreed on a revised text of the Guidelines on the Organization of Search Files Based on the IPC, which now will correspond to the fourth edition of the IPC which will enter into force on January 1, 1985.

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS*

I. Member States

Brazil: S.M. Fernandes Serpa; **C.R. da Costa.** **Denmark:** H.J. Petersen. **Finland:** H.T. Lommi. **France:** M. Lyon; P. Viala; L. Hornik; M. Lavé. **German Democratic Republic:** H. Konrad. **Germany (Federal Republic of):** K. Molewski; H. Brem; W. Höfer; E. Moritz; W. Anders. **Japan:** K. Yokoi; S. Ono. **Norway:** O. Os. **Soviet Union:** M. Makarov. **Spain:** J.D. Vila Robert. **Sweden:** J. von Döbeln. **Switzerland:** E. Caussignac; J. Borloz. **United Kingdom:** G. Lindsey; P. Redding. **United States of America:** P. Sullivan; R. Johnson.

II. Member Organization

European Patent Office (EPO): E. De Bundel; F. Borms; R.P. Espeel; J.F.C. Atkins; H. Schrijvers.

III. Officers

Chairman: E. De Bundel. **Vice-Chairman:** P. Sullivan. **Secretary:** B. Hansson (WIPO).

IV. International Bureau of WIPO

L.E. Kostikov (*Deputy Director General*); **B. Hansson** (*Head, Patent Classification Section, Patent Information and Classification Division*); **A. Sagarmnaga** (*Senior Patent Classification Officer, Patent Classification Section*); **A. Nakamura** (*Senior Patent Classification Officer, Patent Classification Section*).

* A list containing the titles and functions of the participants may be obtained from the International Bureau.

WIPO Permanent Program for Development Cooperation Related to Industrial Property

Group of Consultants on the Revision of the WIPO Licensing Guide for Developing Countries

(Geneva, June 18 to 22, 1984)

NOTE*

The Group of Consultants on the Revision of the WIPO Licensing Guide for Developing Countries (hereinafter referred to as "the Group of Consultants" and "the Guide," respectively) met in Geneva from June 18 to 22, 1984.

The meeting was convened by the Director General of WIPO pursuant to a recommendation made in June 1976 by the Working Group on Guidelines for Industrial Property Licensing in Developing Countries and noted with approval by the WIPO Permanent Committee for Development Cooperation Related to Industrial Property at its session in 1976. The purpose of the meeting was to review and evaluate the Guide with a view to publishing a revised edition on the basis of the experience acquired in its use in developing countries and of developments in the preparation and negotiation of licenses and technology transfer agreements in the field of industrial property, particularly as concerns patents, trademarks and know-how.

The Group of Consultants consisted of 14 persons selected by the Director General of WIPO or designated, upon his invitation, by governments and international organizations. Ten of the participants came from Chile, China, Germany (Federal Republic of), Indonesia, Kuwait, the Soviet Union, the United States of America and Zambia, while four were designated by one intergovernmental organization (the Permanent Bureau of the Hague Conference on Private International Law) and by two international non-governmental organizations (the International Licensing, Innovation and Technology Consultants Association (LITCA) and the Licensing Executives Society (International) (LES)). The list of participants follows this Note.

The current, first edition of the Guide, was published by WIPO in 1977. It was based on a draft prepared by the International Bureau which was examined by the above-mentioned Working Group and revised with the further assistance of consultants. The aim of the Guide is to provide practical help with the legal aspects of the negotiation and preparation of industrial property licenses and technology transfer agreements appropriate to the needs of developing countries. It has been issued in Arabic, Chinese, English, French, Japanese, Portuguese and Spanish.

* Prepared by the International Bureau.

The Guide is intended primarily for use by potential licensees and technology recipients in developing countries. It strives to assist such licensees or technology recipients in identifying the legal problems which are likely to arise in the negotiation and preparation of an industrial property license or technology transfer agreement, to indicate the possible solutions, and to suggest courses of action that are most likely to be in their interest.

The Guide is also intended for use by government officials of developing countries whose responsibilities in the course of the administration of laws controlling the flow of technology, foreign investment and foreign exchange are to review industrial property licenses or technology transfer agreements and to give advice to licensees or technology recipients who are about to conclude industrial property licenses and technology transfer agreements. With the aid of the information provided in the Guide, such officials can draw the attention of a given licensee or technology recipient to the problems that may have been overlooked in the negotiation or preparation of the license or agreement or recommend a more appropriate solution than the one chosen by that licensee or technology recipient.

Since its publication in 1977, the Guide has been used, together with case studies of license contracts and simulated negotiation exercises, in some 12 training courses and workshops organized by WIPO at the national or regional level for the benefit of persons in developing countries.

The Group of Consultants had a discussion of a general nature concerning the purpose and use of the Guide before proceeding to a review of the contents of the Guide itself. During that discussion, the Group of Consultants congratulated the International Bureau for the high quality of the scholarship of the Guide, its logical presentation of the legal questions encountered by those in developing countries faced with the task of preparing and negotiating agreements concerning industrial property rights and technology transfer transactions, its balanced indication, on the whole, of the possible solutions to those questions, as well as its attention to the practical ways to give effect to those solutions and the implications that the choice of a given solution could have for the parties to the technology transfer arrangement.

The Group of Consultants was of the view that more extensive use of the Guide should be promoted by the International Bureau. To this end, the Group of Consultants suggested that the International Bureau organize more workshops on the subject of licensing and technology transfer and increase its efforts to make the Guide better known and available in developing countries.

The Group of Consultants engaged in an in-depth review of the contents of the Guide and made a number of suggestions for its improvement. Those suggestions, which were both of a general nature applicable to the whole of the Guide as well as of a more specific nature

applicable to certain parts or sections thereof, will be taken into consideration by the International Bureau in preparing the revised edition of the Guide. It is intended that the new edition will initially be issued by the International Bureau in one or two languages and will be followed thereafter by other language versions.

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS*

I. Consultants

A.J. Abboushi (*Kuwait*); O. Agüero Wood (*Chile*); A. Dyer (*Netherlands*); R. Ernst (*Federal Republic of Germany*); J. Wehr (*Federal Republic of Germany*); I. Gambirn (*Indonesia*); J.N. Hazelwood (*United States of America*); Liu Shaoshan (*China*); Wang Zhengfa (*China*); F. Pombo (*Spain*); E. Triana (*Spain*); V.N. Roslov (*Soviet Union*); A. Wochinger (*Austria*); A.R. Zikonda (*Zambia*).

II. International Bureau of WIPO

G.A. Ledakis (*Legal Counsel*); E. Rubio (*Program Officer, Development Cooperation and External Relations Bureau for Latin America and the Caribbean*); V. Yossifov (*Program Officer, Industrial Property Law Section, Industrial Property Division*).

* A list containing the titles and functions of the participants may be obtained from the International Bureau.

WIPO/UNDP

Regional Evaluation and Planning Meeting on WIPO Development Cooperation Activities in the Field of Industrial Property in Asia and the Pacific

(Pattaya, June 25 to 28, 1984)

NOTE*

The WIPO Regional Evaluation and Planning Meeting on Development Cooperation Activities in the Field of Industrial Property in Asia and the Pacific was convened from June 25 to 28, 1984, in Pattaya, Thailand, with the cooperation of the Ministry of Commerce of the Government of Thailand and the assistance of the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP).

Fifty-one participants took part in the meeting, representing 19 developing countries of the region, seven industrialized countries and three intergovernmental organizations. The developing countries were: Bhutan, China, Fiji, India, Indonesia, Iran, Laos, Malaysia, Nepal, Pakistan, Papua New Guinea, Philip-

* Prepared by the International Bureau of WIPO.

piners, Republic of Korea, Samoa, Sri Lanka, Thailand, Tonga, Vanuatu, Viet Nam; the industrialized countries were: Australia, France, Germany (Federal Republic of), Japan, Soviet Union, Sweden, United States of America; and the three intergovernmental organizations were: United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (ESCAP) and European Patent Office (EPO). The list of participants follows this Note.

At the opening of the meeting, addresses were delivered by H.E. Mr. Prayoon Chindasilpa, Deputy Minister of Commerce of Thailand, Dr. Arpad Bogsch, Director General of WIPO, and Mr. Winston Prattley, Regional Representative of UNDP in Thailand.

Mr. Chare Chutharatkul, Director General, Department of Commercial Registration, Ministry of Commerce of Thailand, was elected Chairman of the meeting.

The main purpose of the meeting was to evaluate, at mid-term, the activities carried out so far, and to plan, for the second half of the five-year term, and beyond, the activities to be carried out under WIPO's development cooperation program and under the regional project entitled "Establishment and Strengthening of Industrial Property Systems in Asia and the Pacific 1982 to 1986," financed by UNDP. Discussions were based on a document of more than a hundred pages, prepared by WIPO.

Representatives of industrialized countries and one industrial property organization informed the meeting about the achievements and plans of their industrial property offices in the field of the automation of their office procedures, gave additional information and made suggestions relevant to the subject matter of the meeting.

All representatives of the developing countries informed the meeting of the present state of industrial property protection in their respective countries. Many of them also made comments on the WIPO document and expressed wishes and proposals. They observed that the regional project had played an important catalytic role in stimulating national development in the field of industrial property and that the project should continue to supplement national efforts in the next inter-country program cycle, especially in view of the difficulties encountered in obtaining funds from National Indicative Planning Figures (IPF) for industrial property projects.

Most representatives expressed satisfaction with the accomplishments of the first two and a half years of the project and with plans for its continuation in the second half of that period and beyond.

Some of the suggestions for future activities made by participants were: that a similar evaluation and planning meeting be held in 1986; that the WIPO publication entitled *Industrial Property in Asia and the Pacific* should be continued; that experts should be employed from developing countries of the region on

advisory missions, that WIPO should formulate a three to five year individual and group training program, which would take account of national and common country needs, with training to be carried out within and outside the region; that more resources be devoted to encouraging inventive and innovative activities including training at the Philippine Asia and Pacific Invent School and institution building; that provision be made for strengthening patent information systems in the region, that Thailand would offer, through WIPO, its recently established computerized trademark system for possible use, with adaptations, by other developing countries of the region and that Australia and WIPO would cooperate with Thailand in its implementation in such countries; that assistance to the South Pacific and least developed countries be accelerated on aspects of industrial property suitable to their developmental needs; that more resources should be used for seminars on industrial property licensing and the payment of royalties; that, given the range and volume of activities and the number of countries involved in WIPO's development cooperation program in the region, WIPO staff dealing with that program should be increased.

The meeting concluded by, *inter alia*, stressing the need to maintain the project's flexibility so that both the common and individual needs of countries could and should be satisfied; urging WIPO and UNDP to maintain the vigorous tempo that had so far prevailed in the execution of the project; endorsing the program proposals for the period June 1984 to December 1986 and the plans for the period 1987 to 1991, subject to the continuing validity of the program assumptions; expressing the hope that WIPO, UNDP and interested industrialized countries would continue, if not increase, their contributions.

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS*

I. Government Officials from Developing Countries of the Region

Bhutan: T. Thinlay. **China:** Daole Xu; Qun Wu; Jei Li. **Fiji:** G.M. Fong; P.S.E. Taganekurukuru. **India:** P.R. Chandran; S. Kumar. **Indonesia:** S. Suradimadja; A.B. Rikin. **Iran:** A. Hashemi; S.M. Mostafavi Tafreshi. **Laos:** L. Phelsavan; B.L. Sisouvanh. **Malaysia:** Y.H. Chan; N. Abidin. **Nepal:** M.S. Shrestha; C. Thapa. **Pakistan:** G.M. Samdani; M. Zafar. **Papua New Guinea:** N. Mohanty; G. Araga. **Philippines:** F.A. Adriano; C.C. Sandiego. **Republic of Korea:** Tae Chang Choi. **Samoa:** T. Malifa; P. Asera. **Sri Lanka:** B.W. Senarath Dissanayake; K. Jayasinghe. **Thailand:** C. Chutharatkul; B. Simaskul; T. Boonkong; V. Areerasd. **Tonga:** W.A. Holo; E.U. Tuita. **Vanuatu:** V. Duffy; T. Tarip. **Viet Nam:** K. Ngô Din; Tran Dung Tien.

* A list containing the titles and functions of the participants may be obtained from the International Bureau.

II. Government Officials from Industrialized Countries

Australia: P.A. Smith. France: G. de Maistre. Germany (Federal Republic of): F.P. Goebel. Japan: M. Iwade. Soviet Union: B.B. Nikolayev. Sweden: I. Schalin. United States of America: D.J. Quigg.

III. Intergovernmental Organizations

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP): W. Prattley; D.M. Thorup; G. Mazzone. United Nations Economic and Social

Commission for Asia and the Pacific (ESCAP): P. Strunk. European Patent Office (EPO): H. Meylaerts.

IV. International Bureau of WIPO

A. Bogsch (*Director General*); L. Kadirgamar (*Director, Development Cooperation and External Relations Bureau for Asia and the Pacific*); M. Qayoom (*Senior Program Officer, Development Cooperation and External Relations Bureau for Asia and the Pacific*); G. Yu (*Senior Program Officer, Development Cooperation and External Relations Bureau for Asia and the Pacific*).

General Studies

The Functions of Licensed Trademarks

A. CASADO CERVIÑO*

**Comparison of United States Patent
and Trademark Office,
European Patent Office and
Japanese Patent Office Patent Practice***

M. KALIKOW**

Activities of Industrial Property Offices

Swedish Patent and Trademark Centennial

"The Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property was signed in 1883. Sweden was among the first States that adhered to the Convention. Patent and trademark legislation conforming to the Convention was adopted by the Swedish Parliament in 1884 and came into force on January 1, 1885. There were, of course, both patents and trademarks before this time, but the year 1884 marks the introduction in Sweden of a modern patent and trademark system."

* * *

The preceding paragraph is quoted from the preface of the invitation to the celebration, signed by Mr. Göran Borggård, Director General of the Royal Patent and Registration Office, in his capacity as Chairman of the Government Organizing Committee.

The Centennial was celebrated in Stockholm from June 13 to 15, 1984, by a symposium, held in the Parliament Building, and a ceremony and banquet, held at the Stockholm City Hall.

The symposium was an international one, with speakers from a dozen countries and from WIPO and the European Patent Office. It attracted some 400 participants.

The ceremony and the banquet were honored and graced by Their Royal Majesties, King Carl XVI Gustaf and Queen Silvia. Approximately 900 persons participated. The World Intellectual Property Organization was represented by its Director General, who had the honor to sit next to the Queen during the banquet and who made, during the brilliantly organized celebration, a speech whose text is reproduced below. That text reflects the significance of the event and the importance that WIPO attached to it.

"I came here to pay tribute to Sweden on the occasion of the hundredth anniversary of its patent and trademark system.

"I came here to pay that tribute on behalf of the world community of industrial property as incarnated by the World Intellectual Property Organization.

"Sweden has been and is a most active, most constructive and most efficient member of that world community and of the said World Organization.

"I shall recall, in a few words, the role that Sweden has played and is playing on the international scene to promote worldwide cooperation in the field of the protection of industrial property, that is, the protection of the rights of inventors and industrialists in inventions and the rights of all kinds of enterprises in their trademarks.

"The basic international instrument guaranteeing the protection of the patent and trademark rights of Swedes abroad, and the patent and trademark rights of foreigners in Sweden, is the Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property. That Convention is now more than a hundred years old. Sweden participated in the negotiations, among some 20 countries, that prepared the conclusion of the Paris Convention. Sweden's first delegates in those negotiations were a Secretary General of the Ministry of External Affairs, Lagerheim, and a professor and former minister, Broch.

"The Paris Convention was revised, in diplomatic conferences of the countries party to it, six times during its first hundred years of existence. The first delegate to such conferences whom I could identify was, at the end of the last century, a Director of the Swedish Patent and Registration Office, Count Hugo Eric Gustaf Hamilton. Sweden was represented in all those diplomatic conferences and, with the imagination and interventions of its delegations, contributed to the improvement of the Convention.

"As a matter of fact, Sweden was the host of that Diplomatic Conference which revised the Paris Convention the most recently. That Conference was held in Stockholm in 1967.

"The Stockholm Diplomatic Conference not only revised the Paris Convention and half a dozen other international treaties in the fields of industrial property and copyright, but also adopted a new treaty, namely, the treaty that established the World Intellectual Property Organization, an organization that started functioning in 1970, became one of the then 14 United Nations specialized agencies in 1974, and is today the worldwide organizational frame for international cooperation among more than 100 States for all forms of intellectual property.

"That Organization, as I have said, was created in Stockholm, and the international community of intellectual property owes lasting thanks to Sweden for the intellectual and material contributions—the most important contributions among the contributions of all countries—that Sweden has made for that epoch-making conference.

"The preparations were conducted by Ambassador Sture Petré, and the Swedish Delegation was led by Herman Kling, Minister for Justice, and Torwald Hesser, Justice of the Supreme Court. Three, at least, of the members of the Delegation of the host country are today in the room: Göran Borggård, Claës Uggla and Gunnar Karnell.

"But the quite exceptional, prominent role that Sweden has played in international contacts did not end with the 1967 Stockholm Conference. On the contrary, because of the brilliant success of Sweden in that Conference, the Member States of the World Intellectual Property Organization have somewhat developed the habit of turning to Swedes when particularly difficult and particularly delicate tasks have to be fulfilled.

"There is at least one eminent Swede whose name I should, and shall with pleasure, mention in this connection.

"He led the Swedish Delegation to some 100 international meetings of the World Intellectual Property Organization and was elected chairman of dozens of them.

"Recently, he has acquired particular merits by presiding over the negotiations that led to substantial improvements in the Patent Cooperation Treaty.

"He set an example on how to make a modern patent office particularly useful to inventors, industry and commerce in an advisory capacity, that is, even outside its role of granting or registering industrial property rights.

"He procures training and other technical assistance, through members of his staff and with the help of the Swedish International Development Agency, for nationals and industrial property institutions of developing countries in Africa, Asia and Latin America.

"This man, who is also the chief organizer of the present centenary celebrations, is the Director Geoeal of the Royal Patent and Registration Office, Mr. Göran Borggård.

* * *

"Your Royal Majesties, with your kind and gracious permission, I should like now to conclude by expressing a feeling that, I am sure, all of us in the ceremony have.

"It is a feeling of gratitude towards Your Majesties for your presence and participation in this commemorative and ceremonial event. It shows, in the most convincing and the most elegant way one can think of, the importance that the Kingdom of Sweden, its sovereign, its people and its Government, attach to the protection of the rights of the inventive genius of mankind.

"The protection of those worthy rights rests on a good patent system which Sweden has and has had for a hundred years.

"We congratulate Sweden on its brilliant past accomplishments and wish continued success to it, for its own benefit and for the benefit of all people in the world."

News from Industrial Property Offices

EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE

The Administrative Council of the European Patent Organisation, at its meeting from June 5 to 8, 1984, agreed that Mr. Paul Braendli, Director of the Swiss Patent Office and Head of the Swiss delegation on the Administrative Council, would succeed Mr. J.B. van Benthem as President of the European Patent Office with effect from May 1, 1985.

AUSTRALIA

Commissioner of Patents

We have been informed that Mr. Pat Smith has been appointed Commissioner of Patents.

INDIA

Controller-General of Patents, Designs and Trade Marks

We have been informed that Mr. R.A. Acharya has been appointed Controller-General of Patents, Designs and Trade Marks.

MAURITIUS

Comptroller of Customs

We have been informed that Mr. S. Gunnoo has been appointed Comptroller of Customs.

MEXICO

Director General, Directorate General of Inventions, Marks and Technological Development

We have been informed that Mr. Rubén Beltrán Guerrero has been appointed Director General of the Directorate General of Inventions, Marks and Technological Development.

Book Reviews

Trademarks and Unfair Competition (2nd edition), by J. Thomas McCarthy. The Lawyers Co-Operative Publishing Co. and Bancroft-Whitney Co., Rochester (N.Y.) and San Francisco, 1984.—2 volumes, 2,269 pages.

The first edition of *Trademarks and Unfair Competition* was published in 1973, and enjoys the well-deserved reputation of being one of the leading treatises on United States trademark and unfair competition law. It is an extraordinarily lucid work that has been relied upon as authority by federal and state courts in numerous reported trademark opinions, and was mentioned by the U.S. Supreme Court in its only recent trademark decision.

While maintaining the same logical organization and coherent style of the first edition, the author has, with the second edition, significantly updated, augmented, revised and improved his treatise. As he points out in his Preface, about 40 percent of the material is newly written. Most of the changes were required by new case law and statutory developments; some of the new text, however, results from the author's desire to explain traditional principles and concepts with even greater clarity and depth. A few of the many outstanding features of the new edition include expanded coverage of trademark and unfair competition law in the literary and entertainment fields, a revised analysis of trademark infringement and false advertising (Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act as well as the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution), inclusion of recent developments in trademark licensing and franchising law, and increased coverage of foreign trade problems, including importation of infringing and counterfeit goods, parallel imports and country of origin marking rules. In addition, the work contains some helpful illustrations and three valuable appendices that reproduce and annotate the text of the Lanham Act, provide detailed references to all other statutes relevant to trademarks and reprint and index the Trademark Rules of Practice.

In sum, the second edition of *Trademarks and Unfair Competition* is a remarkably well-conceived and well-written work that will no doubt prove to be an indispensable tool to any lawyer dealing with U.S. trademark or unfair competition law matters.

JE

Patents for Inventions (5th edition), by T.A. Blanco White. Stevens & Sons, London, 1983.—304 pages.

Since June 1, 1978, patent applications filed in the United Kingdom have been governed by the Patents Act 1977. All patents whose complete specifications were filed prior to that date, however, are governed by a complex hybrid system of law: in some instances the previous Act, the Patents Act 1949, applies; in others the 1977 Act is applicable; and in still others transitional provisions come into play.

The purpose of the fifth edition of this well-known and highly regarded text is to analyze and clarify that hybrid system of law. As the author explains in his Preface, the reason that he rightfully deems it essential to devote so much scrutiny to "old Act patents" is that "...by and large, patents become practically important, if at all, near the end of their lives. So, for the next decade and a half attention needs to be directed to the patents granted under the Act before...."

The author logically directs his analysis to the most relevant aspects of the law relating to old Act patents: the interpretation and permissible amendment of patent specifications; patent infringement; and patent validity. All three subjects are covered in depth and with great perspicuity. Moreover, the parts of the 1949 Act, and the Rules under it, that are still operative are reproduced in appendices.

This work is thus of vast utility to all practitioners who must make their way through the complexities of the British patent system and is an excellent complement to the author's comprehensive work on the new Act, the *Encyclopedia of United Kingdom and European Patent Law*.

JE

Selection of New Publications

AHRENS (H.-J.), *Wettbewerbs- Verfahrensrecht zum vorbeugenden Rechtsschutz durch einstweiligen Rechtsschutz*. Carl Heymanns Verlag KG, Cologne, 1983.—535 p.

ATRIP *Biographical Directory: Teachers and Researchers in Intellectual Property Law*, International Association for Advancement of Teaching and Research in Intellectual Property, 1983.—111 p.

BERCOVITZ (A.), *Las Patentes en la Empresa*, Fundación del Instituto Nacional de Industria, Madrid, 1982.—191 p.

BERTIN (C. & G.) & PINSON (M.), *Les Conditions de protection du logiciel en France*, AREPIT, Paris, 1983.—118 p.

BLANCO WHITE (T.A.) et al., *Kerly's Law of Trade Marks and Trade Names* (11th edition), Sweet & Maxwell, London, 1983.—753 p.

CATALDO (V. di), *L'Originalità dell'invenzione*, A. Giuffrè, Milan, 1983.—113 p.

CAVALIER (G.) (ed.), *Leyes Vigentes sobre Propiedad Industrial* (3rd edition), Siski, Bogota, 1983.—273 p.

Estudio Comparado de Legislación y Práctica Marcaria en América/Comparative Study of Trademark Legislation and Practice in America, Inter-American Association of Industrial Property, Buenos Aires, 1984.—188 p.

FRIGNANI (A.), *Disciplina della Concorrenza nella CEE* (3rd edition), Jovene, Naples, 1983.—611 p.

GAUL (D.), et al., *Handbuch des gewerblichen Rechtsschutzes: Praktische Rechtshilfe für die Patent-, Rechts- und Lizenzabteilung* (2nd edition), O. Schmidt, Cologne, 1983.—2 vols. (looseleaf).

HAMBURG (C.B.), *1983-84 Patent Law Handbook*, Clark Boardman, New York, 1983.—367 p.

HOLMES (W.C.), *Intellectual Property and Antitrust Law*, Clark Boardman, New York, 1983.—666 p.

Information on the Activities of the Council for Mutual Economic Assistance in the Field of Inventive Acts and Patenting, Council for Mutual Economic Assistance, Moscow, 1983.—16 p.

INSTITUT DE RECHERCHE EN PROPRIÉTÉ INTELLECTUELLE HENRI DESBOIS, *L'avenir de la protection des désignations géographiques (à propos de la révision de la Convention de Paris)*, Cahiers IRPI—no 1, Paris, 1983.—81 p.

Intellectual Property Rights and Innovation, Her Majesty's Stationery Office, London, 1983.—45 p.

JAGER (M.F.), *1983 Trade Secrets Law Handbook*, Clark Boardman, New York, 1983.—461 p.

PACHÓN MUÑOZ (M.), *Las Preferencias en las Solicitudes de los Registros Marcarios*, Editorial Temis, Bogota, 1983.—98 p.

PACHÓN MUÑOZ (M.), *Manual de Propiedad Industrial* (2nd edition), Editorial Temis, Bogota, 1984.—175 p.

PEDRAZZINI (M.M.), *Patent- und Lizenzvertragsrecht*, Stämpfli, Berne, 1983.—195 p.

- Software Protection and Marketing (edited by M.D. Goldberg), Practising Law Institute, New York, 1983.—2 vols., 1,348 p.
- SHAW (L.), *The Practical Guide for People with a New Idea*, Butler & Associates, Birmingham, 1982.—98 p.
- SOMA (J.T.), *Computer Technology and the Law*, Shepard's/McGraw-Hill, Colorado Springs.—486 p.
- TROLLER (A.), *Immaterialgüterrecht: Patentrecht — Markenrecht — Muster- und Modellrecht — Urheberrecht — Wettbewerbsrecht* (3rd edition), Helbing & Lichtenhahn, Basel and Frankfurt, 1983.—540 p.

Selection of Recent Articles

- ARMITAGE (E.), "Interpretation of European Patents (Art. 69 EPC and the Protocol on Interpretation)," IIC, International Review of Industrial Property and Copyright Law, 1983, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 811-817.
- ASAHINA (S.), "Notes for Foreigners Filing Japanese Patent Applications," Journal of the Japanese Group of AIPPI, International Edition, 1983, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 53-64.
- ASSARSSON (L.O.), "Observations on European Patent Practice in the Chemical Field with Particular Regard to Swedish Practice and Tradition," NIR, Nordiskt Immaterialt Rättsskydd, 1983, no. 3, pp. 327-367.
- BARTELS (B.), "PCT: The Advantages for the Applicant in the United Kingdom," CIPA, 1983, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 3-15.
- BARTELS (B.), "The Advantages of the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) for American Applicants," Journal of the Patent Office Society, 1983, vol. 65, no. 7, pp. 387-403.
- BEIER (F.-K.), "One Hundred Years of International Cooperation: The Role of the Paris Convention in the Past, Present and Future," IIC, 1984, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 1-20.
- BERCOVITZ (A.), "Aspectos del proyecto de ley de patentes relevantes para la industria farmacéutica," Revista del derecho industrial, 1983, vol. 5, no. 14, pp. 275-295.
- BORGGÅRD (G.), "Das schwedische Patentamt und die Zweite Revolution des Patentrechts," Gewerblicher Rechtsschutz und Urheberrecht, Internationaler Teil (GRUR Int.), 1983, no. 8, pp. 627-633.
- BURST (J.-J.) & KOVAR (R.), "Droit de propriété industrielle et droit de la concurrence: d'utiles précisions données par la Cour de justice des Communautés européennes," Gazette du Palais, 1983, vol. 103, no. 292/293, pp. 2-9.
- CABANELLAS, Jr. (G.), "Applicable Law under International Transfer of Technology Regulations," IIC, 1984, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 39-67.
- CALMUSCHI (O.), "La coopération entre les pays membres du CAEM dans le domaine de la propriété industrielle," Revue roumaine des sciences sociales: sciences juridiques, 1983, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 127-132.
- CAMPBELL (R.S.), "Patent Trends as a Technological Forecasting Tool," World Patent Information, 1983, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 137-143.
- CLERC (P.), "La contrefaçon commerciale en matière de marques et son impact sur le commerce international," Droit et affaires, 1983, no. 421, pp. 41-53.
- COHEN JEHOAM (H.), "Protection of Industrial Designs between Copyright and Designs Laws: a Comparative Study," Copyright, 1983, vol. 19, no. 11, pp. 317-323.
- CRESPI (R.S.), "Biotechnology and Patents: Outstanding Issues," European Intellectual Property Review, 1983, vol. 5, no. 8, pp. 201-205.
- DIAMOND (S.A.), "Untangling the Confusion in Trademark Terminology," Trademark Reporter, 1983, vol. 73, no. 3, pp. 290-299.
- FAUST (K.) & SCHEDL (H.), "International Patent Data: Their Utilization for the Analysis of Technological Developments," World Patent Information, 1983, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 144-157.
- HAERTEL (K.), "European Patent Convention—Munich Diplomatic Conference, Ten Years On," Official Journal of the European Patent Office, 1983, vol. 6, no. 9, pp. 361-371.
- HAERTEL (K.), "The Harmonizing Effect of European Patent Law on National Patent Laws," IIC, 1983, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 719-732.
- KEDROVSKY (O.V.) & NEGULYAEV (G.A.), "Regional Cooperation of the CMEA Countries in the Patent Information Field," World Patent Information, 1983, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 219-225.
- KREYE (P.), "Intellectual Property Aspects of Plant Variety Genetic Engineering: View of a European Lawyer," Plant Variety Protection, 1983, no. 35, pp. 45-50.
- LANDRY (J.-N.), "La protection des dessins et modèles par droit d'auteur ou dessin industriel au Canada," Revue canadienne du droit d'auteur, 1983, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 29-57.
- MARTIN (J.-P.), "L'interprétation des revendications de brevet et la sécurité des tiers," Gazette du Palais, 1984, no. 32/33, pp. 5-7.
- MODIANO (G.), "Les contrats de transfert de technologie," Droit et pratique du commerce international, 1983, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 553-591 (with English résumé).
- MOSSINGHOFF (G.J.), "The Importance of Intellectual Property in International Trade," BNA's Patent, Trademark & Copyright Journal, 1983, vol. 26, no. 651, pp. 546-551.
- NOTARO (A.), "Patents and Secret Prior User Rights: a Comparative View," Patent and Trademark Review, 1983, vol. 81, no. 9, pp. 347-364.
- ODDI (A.S.), "Product Simulation and Contributory Trademark Infringement: A Right Suggests a Wrong," Arizona Law Review, 1983, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 601-653.
- PEROT-MOREL (M.-A.), "Les dessins et modèles entre la protection du droit d'auteur et de la propriété industrielle," Revue canadienne du droit d'auteur, 1983, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 59-73.
- PINGON (P. de) & DEPREZ (J.), "L'impôt sur les grandes fortunes et les droits de la propriété intellectuelle," Revue internationale de la propriété industrielle et artistique, 1983, no. 132/133, pp. 173-179.
- REICHMAN (J.H.), "Design Protection in Domestic and Foreign Copyright Law: From the Berne Revision of 1948 to the Copyright Act of 1976," Duke Law Journal, 1983, no. 6, pp. 1143-1264.
- REICHMAN (J.H.), "Design Protection after the Copyright Act of 1976: A Comparative View of the Emerging Interim Models," Journal of the Copyright Society of the U.S.A., 1984, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 267-386.
- SCHWARTZ (A.), "United States Trademark Use Requirements Revisited," BMM Bulletin, 1983, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 62-76.
- SCHWENDY (K.), "The Bundespatentgericht of the Federal Republic of Germany," European Intellectual Property Review, 1983, vol. 5, no. 9, pp. 243-249.
- STAUDER (D.), "The Practical Significance of Infringement and Revocation Proceedings in the Federal Republic of Germany, France, Italy and the United Kingdom: Results of a Statistical and Empirical Study," IIC, 1983, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 793-810.
- SZWAJA (J.), "La protection de l'inventeur en droit polonois," Rivista di Diritto Industriale, 1983, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 183-194.
- TESCHEMACHER (R.), "Anmeldetag und Priorität im europäischen Patentrecht," GRUR Int., 1983, no. 9, pp. 695-702.
- TSUR (Y.), "Registration of Designs in Israel," IIC, 1983, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 508-514.
- VISSERMAN (P.) & MORAN (J.C.), "Legal Protection of Computer Software," Patent and Trademark Review, 1983, vol. 81, no. 11, pp. 457-466.

WILLIAMS, Jr. (S.B.), "Intellectual Property Aspects of Plant Variety Genetic Engineering: View of an American Lawyer," *Plant Variety Protection*, 1983, no. 35, pp. 13-37.

WOOD (A.) & LLEWELYN (D.), "Merchandising and Trade Marks: Legality Reviewed," *European Intellectual Property Review*, 1983, vol. 5, no. 11, pp. 298-302.

Selection of New WIPO Industrial Property Publications

100 Years of Industrial Property Statistics, no. 876 (EF), September 1983 (Sw.fr. 90.-).

The Role of Industrial Property in the Protection of Consumers, no. 648 (EFS), October 1983 (Sw.fr. 25.-).

WIPO Worldwide Forum on the Piracy of Broadcasts and the Printed Word, no. 646 (EFS), October 1983 (Sw.fr. 20.-).

Industrial Property Statistics for 1982, Publication B, no. IP/STAT/1982/B (EF), January 1984 (Sw.fr. 75.-).

Joint Inventive Activity Guide, no. 650 (E), February 1984 (free of charge).

Guide on the Industrial Property Activities of Enterprises in Developing Countries, no. 649 (EFS), February 1984 (Sw.fr. 25.-).

International Classification of Goods and Services for the Purposes of the Registration of Marks (4th edition, bilingual), no. 500 (EF), April 1984 (Sw.fr. 110.-).

UPOV: Important Texts and Documents: Part I (Special Collection in Binders), no. 644 (FG), December 1983 (Sw.fr. 50.-); *Part II* (4 separate binders, trilingual), no. 645 (EFG), December 1983 (Sw.fr. 100.-).

Calendar of Meetings

WIPO Meetings

(Not all WIPO meetings are listed. Dates are subject to possible change.)

1984

- October 8 to 10 (Doha) — Regional Group of Experts on Means of Implementation in Arab States of Model Provisions on Intellectual Property Aspects of Protection of Expressions of Folklore (convened jointly with Unesco)
- October 15 to 19 (Geneva) — Nice Union: Preparatory Working Group
- November 5 to 9 (Geneva) — Committee of Experts on Biotechnological Inventions
- November 19 to 23 (Geneva) — Permanent Committee on Patent Information (PCPI): Working Groups on Special Questions and on Planning
- November 26 to 30 (Paris) — Group of Experts on Copyright Problems Related to the Rental of Phonograms and Videograms (convened jointly with Unesco)
- November 26 to December 7 (Geneva) — Permanent Committee on Patent Information (PCPI): Working Group on Search Information
- December 10 to 14 (Paris) — Group of Experts on the Intellectual Property Aspects of the Protection of Folklore at the International Level (convened jointly with Unesco)
- December 17 (Geneva) — Informal Meeting with International Non-Governmental Organizations Essentially Concerned with Industrial Property
- December 17 (Geneva) — Informal Meeting with International Non-Governmental Organizations Essentially Concerned with Copyright and Neighboring Rights

1985

- January 21 to 25 (Geneva) — International Patent Classification (IPC) Union: Committee of Experts
- February 4 to 8 (Geneva) — Permanent Committee for Development Cooperation Related to Copyright and Neighboring Rights
- February 25 to March 1 (Geneva) — Group of Experts on Copyright Protection of Computer Software (convened jointly with Unesco)
- March 11 to 15 (Geneva) — Permanent Committee on Patent Information (PCPI): Working Group on General Information
- March 18 to 22 (Paris) — Group of Experts on Copyright Problems in the Field of Direct Broadcasting Satellites (convened jointly with Unesco)
- April 22 to 26 (Paris) — Joint Unesco-WIPO Consultative Committee on the Access by Developing Countries to Works Protected by Copyright (convened jointly with Unesco)
- May 6 to 17 (Geneva) — Permanent Committee on Patent Information (PCPI): Working Group on Search Information
- June 6 to 14 (Geneva) — Permanent Committee on Patent Information (PCPI): Working Groups on Planning and on Special Questions
- June 17 to 25 (Paris) — Berne Union: Executive Committee (Extraordinary Session) (sitting together, for the discussion of certain items, with the Intergovernmental Committee of the Universal Copyright Convention)
- June 26 to 28 (Paris) — Rome Convention: Intergovernmental Committee (Ordinary Session) (convened jointly with ILO and Unesco)
- September 11 to 13 (Geneva) — Permanent Committee on Patent Information (PCPI): Working Group on Patent Information for Developing Countries
- September 16 to 20 (Geneva) — Permanent Committee on Patent Information (PCPI)
- September 23 to October 1 (Geneva) — Governing Bodies (WIPO General Assembly, Conference and Coordination Committee; Assemblies of the Paris, Madrid, Hague, Nice, Lisbon, Locarno, IPC, PCT, Budapest, TRT and Berne Unions; Conferences of Representatives of the Paris, Hague, Nice and Berne Unions; Executive Committees of the Paris and Berne Unions; Committee of Directors of the Madrid Union; Council of the Lisbon Union)
- October 7 to 11 (Geneva) — Permanent Committee on Patent Information (PCPI): Working Group on General Information
- November 18 to 22 (Geneva) — Permanent Committee on Patent Information (PCPI): Working Groups on Special Questions and on Planning
- November 25 to December 6 (Geneva) — Permanent Committee on Patent Information (PCPI): Working Group on Search Information

UPOV Meetings

1984

- October 8 to 11 (Valencia) — Technical Working Party for Fruit Crops, and Subgroups
- October 16 (Geneva) — Consultative Committee

October 17 to 19 (Geneva) — Council and Symposium
November 6 and 7 (Geneva) — Technical Committee
November 8 and 9 (Geneva) — Administrative and Legal Committee

Other Meetings Concerned with Industrial Property

1984

Center for the International Study of Industrial Property — October 3 (Strasbourg) — “Demi-journée d'études sur la propriété industrielle et vingtième anniversaire du CEIPI;” December 3 to 7 (Strasbourg) — Seminar on the Drafting of European Patent Claims and Notices of Opposition
European Patent Organisation — December 3 to 7 (Munich) — Administrative Council
Pacific Industrial Property Association — November 7 to 9 (Sendai) — 15th International Congress
Pharmaceutical Trade Marks Group — October 18 and 19 (Toulouse) — 29th Conference

1985

Center for the International Study of Industrial Property — January 28 to February 1 (Strasbourg) — Seminar on Legal Problems Concerning the European Patent Convention, the Paris Convention, the Patent Cooperation Treaty and the Community Patent Convention
European Patent Organisation — June 10 to 14 and December 4 to 7 (Munich) — Administrative Council
Hungarian Group of the International Association for the Protection of Industrial Property and the Hungarian Association for the Protection of Industrial Property — September 2 to 6 (Budapest) — Sixth International Conference on “New Technical Tendencies and Industrial Property Protection”
International Association for the Protection of Industrial Property — May 13 to 19 (Rio de Janeiro) — Executive Committee
International Federation of Industrial Property Attorneys — June 3 to 7 (Augsburg) — World Congress
Japanese Government — April 18 and 19 (Tokyo) — Celebration and Symposium Commemorating the Centenary of the Japanese Industrial Property System

1986

International Association for the Protection of Industrial Property — June 8 to 13 (London) — XXXIII Congress

