

Industrial Property

Monthly Review of the United International Bureaux
for the Protection of Intellectual Property (BIRPI)
Geneva

8th Year

No. 6

June 1969

Contents

	Pages
INTERNATIONAL UNIONS	
Paris Union Committee for International Cooperation in Information Retrieval Among Patent Offices (ICIREPAT). Technical Coordination Committee. First Session (Geneva, April 17 and 18, 1969)	158
LEGISLATION	
United Kingdom. Public Health Act 1968. Extract Modifying the Patent Act 1949	161
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. Regulations for the Drafting of Applications in Respect of Inventions (July 28, 1966)	161
Italy. Decrees Concerning the Temporary Protection of Industrial Property Rights at Seven Exhibitions (of March 20, 24 and 29, and April 4 and 15)	169
LETTERS FROM CORRESPONDENTS	
Letter from France (<i>First Part</i>) (Paul Mathély)	170
Letter from the United States of America (<i>First Part</i>) (F. Browne)	176
NEWS ITEMS	
Colombia. Appointment of a New Head of Industrial Property	179
Turkey. Appointment of a New Director of Industrial Property	179
BOOK REVIEWS	179
CALENDAR	
BIRPI Meetings	180
Meetings of Other International Organizations Concerned with Intellectual Property	180

INTERNATIONAL UNIONS

ICIREPAT Technical Coordination Committee

First Session

(Geneva, April 17 and 18, 1969)

Note ¹

The First Session of the Technical Coordination Committee (hereinafter referred to as "the TCC") of the Paris Union Committee for International Cooperation in Information Retrieval Among Patent Offices (ICIREPAT) was held in Geneva on April 17 and 18, 1969.

The list of participants follows this note.

The Secretariat informed the Committee that the following 17 countries are now participating countries of ICIREPAT ²:

- | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1. Australia | 9. Israel |
| 2. Czechoslovakia | 10. Japan |
| 3. Denmark | 11. Netherlands |
| 4. Finland | 12. Norway |
| 5. France | 13. Soviet Union |
| 6. Germany
(Federal Republic) | 14. Spain |
| 7. Hungary | 15. Sweden |
| 8. Ireland | 16. United Kingdom |
| | 17. United States of America |

Austria and Canada have expressed the desire to become participating countries but have not yet made definite pledges.

The following are among the more important decisions made by the TCC:

1. Members of the TCC

The TCC co-opted the Netherlands and Sweden as its two further members according to Article 6(3) of the Organizational Rules of ICIREPAT.

2. Creation of Technical Committees

The TCC created the following six Technical Committees (TC) of ICIREPAT and elected their Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen:

- TC. I: *Retrieval Systems Design and Testing*
Chairman: Miss I. L. Schmidt, Danish Patent Office.
Vice-Chairman: Mr. F. De Laet, International Patent Institute
- TC. II: *Technical Fields: Forward Planning*
Chairman: Mr. A. Wittmann, German Patent Office
Vice-Chairman: Mr. Kjeldsen, Norwegian Patent Office

TC. III: *Advanced Computer Techniques*

Chairman: Mr. L. F. W. Knight, International Patent Institute
Vice-Chairman: Mr. W. W. Burns, United States Patent Office

TC. IV: *Microform*

Chairman: Mr. E. A. Hurd, United States Patent Office
Vice-Chairman: Mr. A. A. M. Mulder, Netherlands Patent Office

TC. V: *Patent Format and Printing*

Chairman: Mr. R. P. Vcherachny, Soviet Patent Office
Vice-Chairman: Mr. J. J. Hillen, Netherlands Patent Office

TC. VI: *Systems Implementation*

Chairman: Mr. D. G. Gay, United Kingdom Patent Office
Vice-Chairman: Mr. G. Putz, International Patent Institute.

The TCC adopted at the same time the detailed terms of reference of each of these Committees (see under "Mandates of Technical Committees" at the end of this note).

3. Advisory Board for Cooperative Systems (ABCS)

The TCC decided to retain the ABCS as a special working group independent of the newly created Technical Committees.

4. Assessment of ICIREPAT Activities Other Than the Shared Systems

On the basis of a document prepared by BIRPI and listing all ICIREPAT activities — other than the shared systems ³ — in respect of which substantial work has been done in the past, the TCC proceeded with an assessment of those activities. The assessment was carried out for the following fields of activity:

- (a) Standardization
(b) Microform
(c) System Design, Implementation and Testing.

For each of these fields of activity, the TCC decided that, with respect to all matters disposed of by the former ICIREPAT, it would reconfirm all recommendations already made, whether they had been adopted by the full body of the former ICIREPAT, the former Steering Committee, or the Enlarged Transitional Steering Committee (ETSC).

With respect to the matters pending, the TCC decided which of these matters were to be maintained on the program and reassigned them to the newly created Technical Committees.

¹ This note has been prepared by BIRPI on the basis of the official documents of the session.

² In the meantime, Switzerland has made a declaration of participation, which brings the number of participating countries to 18.

³ Where the assessment had already been made by the Enlarged Transitional Steering Committee of ICIREPAT (see note in *Industrial Property*, 1969, page 17).

5. Shared Systems

The TCC strongly urged all Offices having agreed to participate in the shared systems program to comply as rapidly as possible and within the arranged time schedule with the commitments they had made.

Following the proposal of the representative of the United Kingdom to program a decision on long-range planning for the shared systems program, the TCC invited all Offices of countries members of the TCC to study their possibilities as to the manpower available for system design and analysis within the ICIREPAT program and to inform the next TCC meeting what commitments they could make.

6. Derwent Services

The TCC discussed the US questionnaire on the possible interest in Derwent's present and planned patent services and the replies received from the participating countries. The TCC took note of the fact that a number of countries hesitated to base their documentation on any services of a commercial nature, since there was no guarantee that such services would continue or would not cease altogether. The TCC decided, therefore, not to take any action on this matter at present.

7. Program of ICIREPAT for 1970

The TCC decided that an Annual Technical Meeting of ICIREPAT should be held in 1970.

8. Next Meetings

The TCC approved the proposal to hold its Second Session on September 17, 1969, and the First Ordinary Session of ICIREPAT on September 18 and 19, 1969, in Geneva. It was noted that a further session of the TCC might be necessary in December 1969 to adopt a package program for shared systems for 1970. It was decided not to have an Annual Technical Meeting of ICIREPAT in 1969. All Technical Committees should meet as soon as possible in order to set themselves up and work out their programs. The TCC urged in particular that the Technical Committee on Microform (TC. IV) should reach agreement, within a short time, on the questions still unsolved in this field.⁴

Mandates of Technical Committees

TC. I: Retrieval Systems Design and Testing

Study of the design, development and testing of mechanized and manual information retrieval systems. This does not include design and development of classical classification systems (e. g., pigeon-holes). The initiation of investigations to be made in the member Offices concerning the criteria for analysis and indexing of documents and the minimum acceptable performance levels of systems, including (in respect of

both mechanized and manual systems) investigations into the effects upon retrieval which result from indexing (or classifying) abstracts or selected portions only of specifications instead of the full text and from the establishment of cut-off dates and the assessment of the results thereof.

TC. II: Technical Fields: Forward Planning

Studies and develops methods for the clear demarcation of fields of technology to provide a basis of selection of fields for development of search systems, either mechanized or manual. Collects basic data, such as size of document files and application activity by fields, and develops information necessary for cost/benefit decisions by potential participating Offices. Develops proposals for mechanized programs covering projects in several fields in order to permit evaluation and adoption of package programs for the development, under the control of the ABCS, of mechanized systems through cooperative efforts of the ICIREPAT members. Produces periodically, from among those systems notified to it by the ABCS as likely shortly to reach Stage 5, a proposed package of systems to be implemented, together with a draft timetable therefor, and submits this to the TCC for approval. Maintains close liaison with the Joint *ad hoc* Committee of the Council of Europe and BIRPI on the International Classification of Patents.

TC. III: Advanced Computer Techniques

Studies the long-range problems related to computer applications to information retrieval. This includes studies and investigations of machine assisted indexing or classification, full text searching, optical character recognition developments, advanced display techniques, etc. Plans a coordinated program for ICIREPAT in these areas to assure adequate coverage and to eliminate undesirable overlap. Coordinates investigations within ICIREPAT with related efforts of non-patent organizations.

TC. IV: Microform

Responsible for continuing the studies directed toward establishment of necessary additional standards for 8-up aperture card microforms considering the needs and contemplated uses of the various national Offices and the problems of international exchange. These studies will include resolution of the problems of photographic characteristics, generation and polarity of exchange copies, emulsion face, methods of quality control and quality assurance, feasibility of exchange of microform in lieu of paper copies, provision of aperture cards together with punched cards or other indexing information for shared use systems, etc. Also responsible for investigations directed toward the identification of needs for any other type of microform on an international basis and for establishing criteria and standards for any such identified needs. Conducts investigations into availability of equipment related to the creation and use of microforms and establishes needs for new equipment developments or modifications.

⁴ All six newly created Technical Committees of ICIREPAT met in Geneva between May 27 and June 12, 1969. ABCS held a meeting in London on May 22 and 23, 1969.

TC. V: Patent Format and Printing

Studies the problems of establishing uniform standards for patent document formats (including those of Official Gazettes) with a view to organizing the documents to maximize usefulness and efficiency in mechanized searching, exchanging, microforming, etc. Prime emphasis is on the format of the first page. Investigates developments in improved printing techniques such as computer composed photo composition which provide for flexibility and economical modification of the format of printed documents. Investigates the other benefits to be derived from having machine readable text for computer controlled composition such as the creation of a data base (e. g., for experimental and eventually operational full text (or abstract) machine assisted analysis or full text search; responsibility of TC. III), machine preparation of administrative forms and control information, ADP processing for management information systems, etc. Develops input standards for data base preparation and computer composed composition.

TC. VI: Systems Implementation

Responsible for all general problems of implementation of shared use systems including sharing of work load among participants; determination of minimum documentation, both patent and non-patent, required for shared use systems; exchanges of indexing data, coding sheets and the like; layout of coding sheets and punched cards; coding of bibliographic data, etc.; establishing correction methods and procedures for identifying documents belonging to a shared use system. Investigates problems and establishes criteria for compatibility of exchanged information such as punched cards, punched paper tape, magnetic tape, documents capable of being read by optical readers and the like and makes recommendations relative thereto, e. g. as to nature of carrier, coding, record layout. Investigates problems of computer program compatibility and exchange of programs, studies and coordinates the conversion of direct coded punched cards to magnetic cards or to magnetic tape or vice versa. Investigates computer based (or manual if deemed advisable) methods of and means for developing families of patent data to assist in the classification or indexing of corresponding patents, in distribution of indexing responsibilities for shared use systems, in identification of corresponding documents in different languages, or in establishing more efficient search files. Maintains contact with related efforts, such as the planned WPI, in order to minimise overlap. Studies methods of improving storage and retrieval and providing examiners with ready access to documents identified by a retrieval process as, for example, providing serial files in paper or microform (Microform per se, TC. IV), providing computer print out or display of abstracts, full text, etc.

General Statement

Each Technical Committee will keep in touch with outside activities relevant to its area of interest and will include among its recommendations the incorporation into the ICIRE-PAT program of such as seem to it to be desirable. It will establish uniform procedures and standards within its own area.

List of Participants**I. Participating Countries***France*

Mr. P. Rouliot, Engineer, Classification Office, National Institute of Industrial Property, Paris.

Germany (Federal Republic)

Mr. K. Haertel, President, German Patent Office, Munich.
Mr. R. Singer, Leitender Regierungsdirektor, German Patent Office, Munich.
Mr. W. E. A. Axhausen, Regierungsdirektor, German Patent Office, Munich.
Mr. A. Wittmann, Regierungsdirektor, German Patent Office, Munich.

Japan

Mr. K. Otani, Director, Industrial Property Training Institute, Japanese Patent Office, Tokyo.
Mr. N. Nakajima, Patent Examiner, Japanese Patent Office, Tokyo.
Mr. M. Kuroda, First Secretary, Permanent Delegation of Japan, Geneva.

Netherlands

Mr. G. J. Koelewijn, Member of Patent Board, Netherlands Patent Office, The Hague.

Sweden

Mr. G. R. Borggård, Director-General, National Patent and Registration Office, Stockholm.
Mr. T. Gustafson, Deputy Director, National Patent and Registration Office, Stockholm.

Union of Soviet Socialist Republics

Mr. I. Tcherviakov, Deputy Director, Central Patent Information Institute, Moscow.
Mr. V. Roslov, Senior Engineer, Department of External Affairs, Committee for Inventions and Discoveries attached to the Council of Ministers of the USSR, Moscow.

United Kingdom

Mr. D. G. Gay, Superintending Examiner, Patent Office, London.

United States of America

Mr. G. O'Brien, Assistant Commissioner of Patents, Patent Office, Department of Commerce, Washington D. C.
Mr. H. J. Winter, Assistant Chief, Business Practices Division, Bureau of Economic Affairs, Department of State, Washington D. C.
Mr. R. Spencer, Director, Office of Research, Development and Analysis, Patent Office, Washington D. C.

II. Observer Country*Switzerland*

Mr. J.-L. Comte, Head of Section Ia, Federal Bureau of Intellectual Property, Berne.
Mr. M. Leuthold, Head of Section II, Federal Bureau of Intellectual Property, Berne.

III. International Patent Institute

Mr. P. van Waasbergen, Technical Director, The Hague.
Mr. L. F. W. Knight, Consultant in Information Retrieval, The Hague.
Mr. R. Weber, Head of Division, The Hague.

IV. Officers of Former Standing Committees and ABCS

Miss I.-L. Schmidt, Chairman STAC I
Mr. P. van Waasbergen, Chairman STAC II
Mr. J. J. Hillen, Chairman STAC III
Mr. J. Dekker, Chairman ABCS

V. Officers of the Session

Chairman: Mr. K. Haertel (Germany (Federal Republic)).
 Vice-Chairman: Mr. P. van Waasbergen
 (International Patent Institute).
 Secretary: Dr. Arpad Bogsch (BIRPI).
 Deputy Secretary: Mr. Klaus Pfanner (BIRPI).

VI. United International Bureaux for the Protection of Intellectual Property (BIRPI)

Professor G. H. C. Bodenhausen, Director.
 Dr. Arpad Bogsch, First Deputy Director.
 Mr. Klaus Pfanner, Counsellor, Head of the Industrial Property Division.
 Mr. I. Morozov, Counsellor, Industrial Property Division.
 Mr. W. Weiss (German Patent Office).
 Mr. H. D. Hoinkes (US Patent Office).
 Mr. Y. Hashimoto (Patent Office of Japan).

LEGISLATION

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

Health Services and Public Health Act 1968

(Extract)

Part IV

MISCELLANEOUS MATTERS

Provision of General Application

59. — (1) The powers exercisable in relation to a patented invention under section 46 of the Patents Act 1949¹ by a government department or a person authorized by a government department shall include power to make, use, exercise and vend the invention for the production or supply of drugs and medicines required for the provision of pharmaceutical services, general medical services or general dental services, and prescribed for the purposes of this section by regulations made by the Minister of Health and the Secretary of State acting jointly; and any reference in that section or in section 47 or 48 of the Patents Act 1949¹ to the services of the Crown shall be construed accordingly.

(2) In the foregoing subsection references to pharmaceutical services, general medical services and general dental services shall be construed as referring to services of those respective kinds under Part IV of the National Health Service Act 1946, Part IV of the National Health Service (Scotland) Act 1947 or the corresponding provisions of the law in force in Northern Ireland or the Isle of Man.

(3) The power conferred by subsection (1) above to make regulations shall be exercisable by statutory instrument which shall be subject to annulment in pursuance of a resolution of either House of Parliament.

(4) This section shall extend to the Isle of Man.

¹ See: "Loi tendant à codifier certains textes législatifs concernant les brevets" (du 16 décembre 1949) (deuxième partie), *La Propriété industrielle*, 1950, p. 81 et 82.

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS *

Regulations

for the

Drafting of Applications in Respect of Inventions (EZ-1-67)
 Approved by the Committee for Inventions and Discoveries attached to the Council of Ministers of the USSR, on July 28, 1966, by Decree No. 80, and effective as from January 1, 1967

I. Introduction

For the purposes of the effective protection of USSR State interests in the field of scientific and technical achievements, inventions must be promptly educed and covered by applications for their registration.

The filing of applications enables the Soviet State more fully to utilize the inventions in the USSR and make arrangements for their commercialization abroad.

In the case of inventions made by workers in enterprises (organizations) in the course of the fulfilment of a task assignment (according to plans for the development and introduction of new techniques and plans for scientific research work, etc.), the directors of such enterprises (organizations) shall be obliged to ensure — before disclosure — the timely drafting and filing of the applications for the grant of certificates of authorship, on behalf of the enterprise (organization), indicating the name of the author (authors) of the invention.¹

Applications in the name of individual authors or groups of authors relating to inventions not made in the course of the fulfilment of a task assignment shall be filed through the intermediary of the enterprises (organizations) where they are employed.

Enterprises (organizations) having received such applications shall render to authors the necessary assistance with the proper drafting of their applications, shall append to each application their conclusions on the usefulness of the invention, its novelty as viewed by industry and the expediency and possible priority of its use, and shall transmit the applications, together with the conclusions, within one month from the date of receipt by the enterprise (organization), to the Committee for Inventions and Discoveries attached to the Council of Ministers of the USSR.

Persons not employed in enterprises (organizations) shall file their applications, as a rule, through the intermediary of the local organs of the All-Union Society of Inventors and Authors of Rationalization Proposals, which shall render them the necessary assistance with the proper drafting of their applications.

Authors of inventions and their heirs shall have the right to file their applications direct with the Committee for Inventions and Discoveries attached to the Council of Ministers of the USSR.

* BIRPI translation.

¹ If the director of an enterprise (organization), for some reason, considers it inappropriate to file an application on behalf of the enterprise (organization), the author of an alleged invention may file an application in his own name, indicating that the invention has been made in the course of the fulfilment of a task assignment.

Before drafting an application, it is advisable to consult and study the Statute on Discoveries, Inventions, and Rationalization Proposals.

The substance of an invention must be fully disclosed in the application. It must be remembered that, when analyzing an application, the examiner is in a position to evaluate the proposal only within the limits of what has been described in the application. It shall not be permitted to change the substance of an application after it has been filed, or to add new matter beyond the scope of the initial subject matter. In the application, therefore, an invention must be described in detail and characterized in all its aspects, and its workability must be fully reflected. The description may not be limited to disclosure only of the basic concept of the invention.

The proper drafting and filing of the application facilitates examination thereof, enables a correct decision to be reached, and ensures rapid and large-scale reduction to practice of the invention.

The data on an invention may not be published before the application has been filed with the Committee; after filing, publication may be effected according to established procedure.

Publication of data on the substance of the solution of a technical problem before filing the application shall lead to refusal to recognize the solution as an invention. In the USSR, neither a certificate of authorship nor a patent can be granted if, prior to filing the application, the substance of an invention has been disclosed in domestic or foreign literature, in reports by scientific research institutes and project and design establishments, in published information on the use of the invention, or in any other way that would make it possible to carry out the invention. The only exceptions to this rule shall be those cases where the author has filed his application not later than four months from the date of signing the document or report concerning the commencement of the introduction of his invention, or from the date of confirmation of the report on the scientific research, project and design or experimental work of the author containing data on the invention, or from the date of publication of the data on the invention in departmental documents for internal use.

These Regulations contain the compulsory requirements to be complied with; non-compliance shall entail the return of the application to the applicant, without examination, for further elaboration and re-drafting according to the prescribed requirements, the priority date being established as the date of receipt of the properly drafted application by the Committee.

II. General Provisions

1. By "invention" is understood any essentially new solution of a technical problem in the fields of national economy, culture, health, or national defense, whereby a positive result is achieved, and not merely the statement of a problem or the expression of an idea without proposing concrete means for putting it into practice.

2. For the proper evaluation of an invention on the basis of the application, and in order to create possibilities

for its widespread use, the invention must be described in the application with such precision, clarity, and completeness, as to enable persons skilled in the art to carry it out. The applicant must not only show in the description the intention or the main conception of the invention but must also provide a concrete solution to the technical problem involved.

3. Each application must relate to one invention only, that is to say, to one solution of a technical problem. An application should therefore describe only one device, or method (process), or substance.

Nor is it recommended to file a single application for a device and its variant, where the variant itself possesses substantial novelty and utility and therefore constitutes an independent or additional invention.

If, apart from the device as a whole, the various parts thereof (assemblies, details, etc.) may by themselves constitute separate inventions, separate applications shall be filed for such parts, independently of the application concerning the device as a whole.

4. An application must consist of a request, a description, and drawings if necessary. The description and drawings must be filed in triplicate. *The request shall preferably be filed also in triplicate.*

5. Applications in respect of inventions may relate to processes or methods (such as methods of manufacturing articles, or methods of producing substances, or methods of treating diseases, etc.), to devices (such as machines, instruments, apparatus, electric circuits, etc.), to substances (such as alloys, mixtures, blends, solutions, etc.), and to stock or strain producing substances, as well as to new applications of known devices, methods and substances to other fields of technology for other purposes, provided such application gives a positive result.

The above-mentioned applications form the subject of these Regulations.

It should be borne in mind that neither certificates of authorship nor patents shall be granted in respect of proposals:

- conflicting with humanitarian principles, Socialist morality, and public interest;
- contrary to the laws of nature, for example, perpetual motion;
- disclosing purely scientific discoveries, scientific principles, basic conceptions of science, but not solving any particular technical problem;
- relating to methods and systems of planning (including network schedules) and organization of national economy (or production) and supply, credit arrangements, book-keeping and accounting, and the like;
- consisting of the mere selection of geometric dimensions of articles and buildings; relating to the drawing of scales, diagrams, nomograms, symbols (such as road signs, itineraries, etc.), timetables, rules (for games, sports, traffic, and the like), calculation and calculation formulae, elaboration of codes, and also to logical schemes synthesized in accordance with established rules (mathematical correlation);

- relating to methods and systems of education, teaching and instruction, mathematical calculations for construction and transformation, as well as to programming, grammatical systems, methods of training animals, etc.;
- relating to the planning of the layout for buildings, streets and squares, parks and public gardens, settlements, towns, arable lands, and the like;
- concerning merely the external appearance of articles intended to satisfy mere aesthetic requirements, relating, for example, to colors and patterns, as distinguished from cases where a pattern has a technical (functional) importance, like the tread of tyres, for which a patent application may be filed since a new pattern for the tread of a tyre can produce a positive technical result, that is, a better road grip for the tyre.

III. Requirements in Respect of the Request

6. There shall be two kinds of requests, depending on the status of the applicant:

- (a) where the applicant is an enterprise (organization) in the name of which a certificate of authorship is requested;
- (b) where the applicant is an author or a group of authors, having made the invention outside the normal task assignment, requesting the issue of a certificate of authorship in his or their own name and filing the application through the intermediary of an enterprise (organization) together with the latter's conclusions, or where the applicant is an author or group of authors filing applications through the intermediary of the organs of the All-Union Society of Inventors and Authors of Rationalization Proposals (VOIR), or independently.

7. The request for the issue of a certificate of authorship on behalf of an enterprise (organization) shall be worded as follows:

To the Committee for Inventions and Discoveries
attached to the Council of Ministers of the USSR

Moscow, Center, M. Cherkassky per., 2/6

(name of the enterprise (organization) in whose name the grant of a certificate of authorship is requested)

(address and telephone) *

Request

In submitting the documents mentioned below, we request that a certificate of authorship be granted in the name of

(name of the enterprise)

(organization)

for the invention _____
(short title of the invention, without specifying its distinctive features)

The materials concerning the invention have been established in accordance with the Regulations for the Drafting of Applications In Respect of Inventions, approved by the Committee for Inventions and Discoveries attached to the Council of Ministers of the USSR, on July 28, 1966.

Prior to the filing of this application, the subject matter of the invention has not been published and it has not has been considered (delete where not applicable) _____

(state by whom, when and where, and the results of the consideration) *

On the basis of the invention there has been elaborated: a sketch plan, a detailed technical plan, working drawings (delete where not applicable).

An experimental model has been prepared by _____

(name of the enterprise (organization)) *

and tested _____

The test results are described in the enclosed

(report or other document)

The report on the usefulness of the invention, its novelty as viewed by industry, and the expediency and priority of its use, is appended hereto.

Note The report on the usefulness of the invention must be prepared in accordance with the Regulations for the Preparation of Reports on the Usefulness of Alleged Inventions, their Novelty as viewed by Industry, and the Expediency and Priority of their Use (EZ-3-65). In accordance with the above Regulations, the procedure for the preparation of reports may vary from case to case depending on specific circumstances and the working conditions of an enterprise, organization, ministry, committee, or central office, but it shall not be different from the procedure established therein for the consideration and approval of technical documentation.

It is recommended that draft reports on the usefulness of inventions in the most important or most doubtful cases should be considered by the Scientific and Technical Boards, Boards of Scientists, or sections thereof.

The author(s) of this invention is (are):

Surname, First Name and Patronymic	Place of Work	Designation	Education	Scientific Qualifications	Private Address, Teleph. No.
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

(in case of a large number of authors, all the data on each co-author should be furnished on a separate sheet appended to the request)

* Special enterprises (organizations) should furnish only such information as is allowed for non-secret correspondence.

* Special enterprises (organizations) should furnish only such information as is allowed for non-secret correspondence.

We hereby declare that all the authors of this invention, without exception, have been mentioned in the request. We are aware that disputes concerning the authorship of an invention are decided by the courts and we are familiar with the contents of Sections 9 and 17 of the Statute on Discoveries, Inventions, and Rationalization Proposals.

We declare that, in the opinion of the applying organization, ministry or central office (delete where not applicable), publication of this invention in the press may be allowed (may not be allowed) (delete where not applicable).

We are aware that disclosure of the subject matter of this invention is not permitted until due authorization has been given and that the correspondence relating thereto shall be exchanged according to established procedure.

If the Committee considers this invention secret, we undertake to observe the rules of secrecy, including those provided for by the Statute on Discoveries, Inventions, and Rationalization Proposals.

All correspondence in respect of this application should be addressed to _____

- Enclosures:**
1. Description of the invention, signed by the director of the enterprise and by all the authors on . . . sheets, in triplicate.
 2. Drawings, signed by the director of the enterprise and by all the authors on . . . sheets, in triplicate.
 3. Report on the usefulness of the invention on . . . sheets, in duplicate.
 4. Other documents (reports, comments, etc.) on . . . sheets, in triplicate.

Signatures

Seal of the enterprise

Director of the enterprise (organization)

Author(s)

“ _____ ” _____ 19 _____

Note: Models and samples must not be submitted at the time of filing the application. If necessary, the Committee will ask for them to be submitted later.

8. If, in the application, a certificate of authorship is requested in the name of an enterprise with an indication at the same time of the name(s) of the true author(s), the request, the description and the drawings shall be signed by the director of the enterprise (organization) and by the author(s) of the invention.

Where the application for a certificate of authorship is filed in the name of an enterprise and it is impossible to establish the authorship of particular persons, the request, the description and the drawings shall be signed by the director of the enterprise (organization) and the signature shall be certified by the seal of that enterprise (organization).

9. The request by the authors of an invention for the issue of a certificate of authorship or a patent in their own name shall be worded as follows:

To the Committee for Inventions and Discoveries attached to the Council of Ministers of the USSR

Moscow, Center, M. Cherkassky per., 2/6

Surname, First Name and Patronymic	Place of Work Telephone No.	Designation	Education	Scientific Qualifications	Private Address, Teleph. No.
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

(in case of a large number of authors, all the data on each co-author shall be furnished on a separate sheet appended to the request)

Request

In submitting the documents mentioned below, I (we) request that a certificate of authorship (patent) be issued to me (us) for the invention entitled _____

(short title of the invention, without specifying its distinctive features)

The materials concerning the invention have been established in accordance with the Regulations for the Drafting of Applications in Respect of Inventions, approved by the Committee for Inventions and Discoveries attached to the Council of Ministers of the USSR, on July 28, 1966.

Prior to the filing of this application, the subject matter of the invention has not been published and it ^{has not} _{has} been considered (delete where not applicable)

(state by whom, when and where, and the results of the consideration) *

On the basis of the invention there has been elaborated: a sketch plan, a detailed technical plan, working drawings (delete where not applicable).

An experimental model has been prepared by _____

(name of the enterprise (organization)) *

and tested _____

The test results are described in the enclosed

(report or other document)

I the undersigned _____
_____ declare that I am the sole author of this invention.

We the undersigned _____
_____ declare that all the authors of this invention without exception are mentioned in the application form.

We are aware that disputes concerning authorship are decided by the courts and we are familiar with the contents of Sections 9 and 17 of the Statute on Discoveries, Inventions, and Rationalization Proposals.

* Special enterprises (organizations) should furnish only such information as is allowed for non-secret correspondence.

I am (we are) aware that disclosure of the subject matter of this invention is not permitted until due authorization has been given, and that the correspondence relating thereto shall be exchanged according to established procedure.

If the Committee considers this invention secret, I (we) undertake to observe the rules of secrecy, including those provided for by the Statute on Discoveries, Inventions, and Rationalization Proposals.

Secret correspondence shall be addressed through the intermediary of _____

(name and address of the enterprise (organization))

I (we) entrust the correspondence in respect of this application to _____

(name of the person or enterprise (organization))

at the following address _____

- Enclosures:**
1. Description of the invention, signed by all the authors on sheets, in triplicate.
 2. Drawings, signed by all the authors on sheets, in triplicate.
 3. Other documents (reports, comments, etc.) on sheets, in triplicate.
 4. Receipt of the State Bank for payment of the filing fee (where a patent is applied for).

Signature(s)

Date _____ 19__

The application together with the enclosures mentioned above was received by _____

(name of the enterprise (organization))

(to be filled in when an application is filed through the intermediary of an enterprise (organization))

“ _____ ” _____ 19__

Seal Signature

Receipt of an application is certified by the signature of the head of the Division (Office) for Inventions and Rationalization Proposals, or of the head of the Patent Division (Office, Group) or by the Chairman of the local soviet of the All-Union Society of Inventors and Authors of Rationalization Proposals (VOIR).

Note: Models and samples must not be submitted at the time of filing the application. If necessary, the Committee will ask for them to be submitted later.

10. The form of the request shall be typewritten, or filled in by hand provided it is in ink and in legible characters.

11. If the application is in a foreign language or in one of the languages of the USSR, it must be accompanied by the full translation into Russian. In the case of foreign applicants, the translations must be certified by the All-Union Chamber of Commerce.

IV. Requirements in Respect of the Description

12. The description of the invention together with the brief formulation of the subject of the invention — the invention formula — shall constitute the main document of an application. The subject matter of the invention shall be dis-

closed in the description and the invention formula, as well as in the explanatory drawings appended thereto, and their proper understanding by the examiner will depend to a large extent on how precisely and clearly they have been drafted.

The description and the invention formula must give all the characteristics and features of an invented device, method (process), or substance.

13. The description must be drafted precisely and clearly so that the subject of the invention may be understood.

When drafting the description and the invention formula, it must be borne in mind that the certificate of authorship or patent is issued for the solution of a technical problem producing, through its use, a positive result, but not for the positive result itself.

After specifying in the invention formula the purpose achieved by the invention, there must be clearly and precisely formulated the distinguishing features of the subject of the invention (device, method, or substance), and its technological, constructional, design or formula features distinguishing it from the prototype (the nearest known solution of the problem), which, in the applicant's opinion, ensure the achievement of a positive result.

14. The description of the invention shall include:

- (a) the title of the invention;
- (b) an introduction;
- (c) the list of figures in the drawings;
- (d) a detailed description of the invention;
- (e) the invention formula.

15. The title of the invention shall be precise, brief, and specific. It shall correspond to the subject of the invention. It may not be generic or abstract in character.

The title of the invention as used in the description shall correspond to that mentioned in the application for a certificate of authorship or a patent. If an applicant requests that the invention be named after its author or that it be given a specific designation, the name or designation, shall appear in the description.

16. The introduction shall list consecutively the following data:

- (a) to what branches of technology the alleged invention relates and for what particular purposes of technology it is applicable;
- (b) what solutions of the technical problem aimed at the same (or a similar) result are known to the inventor;
- (c) what particular known device, method, or substance (mentioning the distinguishing features and the source of disclosure), is improved by this invention and what particular deficiencies or shortcomings of the known invention it is aimed to make good or to correct;
- (d) what purposes may be achieved by using the invention;
- (e) what is the substance of the invention, that is, what features of the invention ensure the achievement of the purposes aimed at.

17. In the introductory part of the description, reference must not be made to drawings, with the exception of the drawings of the prototype attached to the application which, in the applicant's opinion, are necessary.

18. After the introduction, there shall follow the list of figures appearing in the drawings. Each figure shall be separately explained. Brief references to figures shall merely state what is depicted in each of them. All the essential parts and assemblies mentioned in the description shall be indicated in the figures by Arabic numerals.

19. The detailed description of a device shall start with an explanation of its design or a diagram (electric block schematic diagram, steam or hydraulic flow sheet diagram, etc.) of the device taken statically; all the parts and assemblies necessary for understanding and carrying out the device which appear in the drawings shall be mentioned, and their functions and positioning explained.

20. The detailed description of a device shall be so drafted that constructional, design or diagrammatic features of its parts, blocks, assemblies, etc., would not give rise to supposition or conjecture.

Parts and assemblies mentioned in the description, as well as relations between known and new parts or assemblies of the device, shall be shown in the drawings or diagrams.

21. After describing the device in static conditions, it is essential to show how it works, that is, to describe its performance or how it is used, with reference to numerical and other indications in the drawings.

22. The detailed description of a method (technological process) shall include: an enumeration of the steps (operations) of the process, an indication of their sequence, and the régimes (temperature, pressure, and the like) to be employed in its use. In the description of a process, specific examples of its use must be given and also the data on the experimental test, if any.

23. The detailed description of a substance shall include its characteristics and the specification of its ingredients (composition of the substance), in particular, the percentage limits (from to) of their content in the composition. The ratio of ingredients may not be expressed in vague terms such as "about," "nearly," "roughly," and the like. Furthermore, the physical condition and quality of ingredients in their initial state must be specified.

24. The description of a substance shall be accompanied by documentary proof of its producibility and the data on the test, proving on the basis of specific varieties (specimens) of the proposed substance that it possesses the qualities ensuring the achievement of the purpose set forth in the description of the invention. The ratio of the ingredients of these particular specimens of the substance must be within the range of the percentage limits specified in the invention formula, including the extremes (minimum and maximum).

Note: No certificates of authorship or patents shall be issued for substances obtained chemically.

25. The description of inventions the essence of which is constituted by the use of known devices, methods, or substances, for new purposes in other branches of technology, shall include the definition of such new purposes of the device, method, or substance, the reason why it has become possible to use it for new purposes, the new branch of technology in which the invention is applicable, and the scope of the new positive result.

26. In the text of the detailed description, reference shall be made to the numerals indicating all parts and assemblies depicted in the drawings and, if necessary, the characteristic dimensions of the invention. The numerals indicating parts, assemblies, and details, shall be cited progressively in the order of their appearance, starting from the number 1. These parts, assemblies, and details, shall be denoted in the drawings by the same numerals.

27. The description shall end with the invention formula, that is to say, a succinct statement of the features of the invention made in accordance with established rules and defining the scope of the invention, its novelty, and its purpose.

In patent practice, the invention formula is known either in the form of a one-sentence paragraph (single-claim formula) or in the form of two or more paragraphs (multi-claim or chain formula).

The legal significance of the invention formula is that it constitutes the criterion of the scope of the rights of the inventor and the only means of establishing whether the invention is usable or not, whether independently or as part of an object, for example, a building, a machine, material, etc.

In view of the decisive legal importance of the invention formula, it shall be drafted in such a way that it (or the first claim of a multi-claim formula) shall include the main (essential) features ensuring protection of the inventor's rights within sufficiently wide limits and shall not leave any possibility of "circumventing" the said formula by substituting one feature for another, equivalent from the point of view of the purpose it serves, such main features being sufficient to enable the invention to be carried out without implying further inventive activity.

The main features shall be formulated in the first claim in such a way that they shall cover all the possible and foreseeable specific cases of exploitation or utilization of the invention, that is to say, they shall cover all subsequent claims, being at the same time independent thereof.

The second and subsequent claims of a multi-claim formula shall develop and supplement features mentioned in the first claim, directly or indirectly, that is to say, through the subsequent claims dependent on the first claim of the invention formula.

Since the multi-claim formula characterizes an invention more completely, it shall be given preference. The single-claim formula may be used only when the main features of the subject of an invention exhaust its technical essence and do not require to be developed or supplemented by further claims.

Note: By features of an invention are understood indications included in the invention formula as to the use in the subject of the invention of certain elements, such as, parts or assemblies in a device, operations or steps in a method, ingredients or components in a substance; indications as to the particular form of the above elements or of their interconnection; indications as to the correlation of dimensions of the elements; indications as to parameters characterizing temperature, time limits, electrical and other régimes employed.

By essential features are understood those in the absence of which the invention cannot be carried out, which reflect the basic nature of the invention, and which, taken in the aggregate, are not identical with those features characterizing known solutions of the same problem.

In drafting the invention formula, the following inter alia shall be borne in mind:

- the purpose of the invention may not constitute one of its distinctive features;
- the distinctive features shall not be reflected in the title of the invention.

It shall be remembered also that under a single-claim formula the scope of the invention and thereby the limits of the inventor's rights are determined by the totality of all the features mentioned therein, whether known or new.

In the multi-claim formula, the above shall apply to the first claim, which has an independent legal significance, the other claims being dependent on the first and having no autonomous legal value, that is to say, each of them has value only when combined with the preceding (and consequently also with the first) claim on which it depends.

In the invention formula, references (in brackets) to numerals denoting elements (parts, assemblies, etc.) depicted in the drawings attached to the description shall be permitted.

28. A single-claim formula and each claim of a multi-claim formula shall be expressed in one sentence consisting of two parts — limiting and distinguishing — separated by the words "distinguished by."

The limiting part of the formula (in the case of a multi-claim formula, its first claim) shall begin with the title of the invention, repeating word for word the title mentioned in the description and the request, and followed by a statement of the features of the invention which are already known and which shall be the same as those of the prototype.

The distinguishing part of the formula shall begin with the words "distinguished by," followed by a statement of the purpose of the invention and thereafter an indication of the new (distinctive) features, that is to say, those features which have been created to achieve the purpose set forth.

To enable the formula to be more easily read, the statement of the purpose may, in certain cases, be placed at the end of the formula (after the distinguishing features).

29. In drafting the invention formula of a device, the design or diagrammatic features shall be mentioned, that is to say, the introduction of new parts or assemblies, new combinations, new arrangements, a new form of interaction, or a new configuration of known parts or assemblies.

Example 1. A threshing device incorporating a revolving drum and a latticed deck placed beneath it, *distinguished by* the fact that, in order to facilitate the separation of threshed grain through the latticed deck, the latter is suspended on hinges fixed to the shaft of the drum and connected to the vibrator, causing it to oscillate transversely to the shaft of the drum.

2. A device as claimed in claim 1, *distinguished by* the fact that, in order to limit the amplitude of oscillation, the latticed deck is spring-held in the direction of oscillation.

3. A device as claimed in claim 1, *distinguished by* the fact that the vibrator is fixed immovably on to the latticed deck.

In drafting the invention formula of a method (process), technological features shall be described — application of new operations or steps; new sequences of known operations or steps; new temperature, electrical, timing or other régimes; use, for such process, of new materials, tools and appliances.

Example 1. A process of continuous production of H-butanol by hydrogenating crotonic aldehyde at an elevated temperature in the presence of a copper catalyst, *distinguished by* the fact that, in order to increase the output of the product and reduce the operational temperature of the process, ethyl alcohol and oil aldehyde are added to crotonic aldehyde, the mixture thus obtained is evaporated and the vapors together with the hydrogen are passed over the catalyst of copper oxide on silica gel.

2. A process as claimed in claim 1, *distinguished by* the fact that the oil aldehyde is introduced into the mixture of crotonic aldehyde and ethyl alcohol up to a concentration of 18 to 49 percent of the weight of the resulting mixture.

3. A process as claimed in claims 1 and 2, *distinguished by* the fact that hydrogen is added to vapors of the mixture of crotonic aldehyde, ethyl alcohol, and oil aldehyde, up to a quantity of 0.3 to 0.7 litre/hour per litre of mixture.

4. A process as claimed in claims 1 to 3, *distinguished by* the fact that vapors of the mixture of crotonic aldehyde, ethyl alcohol, and oil aldehyde, are passed over the catalyst at a volumetric speed of 0.5 to 1.5 litre/hour per litre of catalyst.

In drafting the invention formula of a substance, the names of ingredients or the new ratio thereof shall be specified.

Example: a silicate enamel based on silica, boric anhydride, aluminium trioxide, titanium dioxide, lead oxide, zinc oxide, and sodium oxide, *distinguished by* the fact that, in order to increase its chemical stability and reduce refractoriness, the above-mentioned ingredients are used in the following proportions (in percentages by weight): silica dioxide SiO_2 - 34 to 35; boric anhydride B_2O_3 - 7.8 to 8.5; aluminium trioxide Al_2O_3 - 4.2 to 4.5; titanium dioxide TiO_2 - 9 to 9.5; lead oxide PbO - 34.8 to 35.2; zinc oxide ZnO - 5.7 to 5.9; sodium oxide Na_2O - 1.6 to 1.8, and an additional ingredient, namely, lithium oxide Li_2O in the proportion of 1.7 to 1.8% of the total weight of the said ingredients.

30. An author filing his application independently of any enterprise (organization) shall specify, after the invention formula, where and in what industries (enterprises or organizations) it is expedient to use the invention and what enterprises (organizations) are in a position to comment on its usefulness.

31. The precise and complete description of an invention may not be replaced in any part by a reference to a description of that part in any other document (for example, in an application filed earlier, in a patent specification or a description for a certificate of authorship already issued, or any other literature).

However, the complete description of an invention must be accompanied by a reference to the source (sources) where the known features of the invention are described.

32. The furnishing of clear and distinct drawings or diagrams does not relieve an applicant of the obligation of making a full textual description.

33. Names of parts, assemblies, methods, and ingredients, in the description of an invention shall correspond to those adopted in scientific and technical literature. Expressions used in the description shall be those commonly used by and familiar to persons skilled in the art. Slang expressions not adopted in the said literature shall not be permitted.

34. Names of parts, assemblies, methods, and ingredients, shall be the same in all parts of the description, that is to say, throughout the description and the invention formula there must be uniformity of terminology.

35. Drawings or diagrams shall not be permitted in the text or in the margins. In the description itself, only chemical, mathematical or similar formulae, if they are necessary for understanding the invention, shall be permitted. All the letters contained in mathematical formulae shall be explained.

36. Units of measure shall be in accordance with the State All-Union Standards (GOST). Preferably they should be in accordance with the international system of units (GOST 9867-61).

37. Abbreviations, except for those generally accepted: "i. e.," "etc.," "et al.," "and the like," shall not be permitted in the description.

38. Symbolic designations of grades, types, or series of articles or substances in the description shall not be permitted.

39. The description shall be typewritten on one side only of sheets of smooth white paper measuring 21×29 cm. A space of 0.5 to 0.7 cm. shall be left between lines. A margin of 3 to 4 cm. shall be left on the left-hand side of each page. The pages of the description must be numbered from first to last inclusive.

40. For the purpose of the Committee, a space of 8 to 9 cm. shall be left blank at the top of the first page. Then, after the blank space at the top of the first page, there shall be stated the name of the applying enterprise (organization) or, if the application is filed by the true authors of the invention in their own names, the surname, first name and patronymic of each of the authors.

Note: Special enterprises (organizations) shall give only such information as is allowed for non-secret correspondence.

41. The description shall be written without erasures or amendments.

V. Requirements in Respect of Drawings

42. Drawings submitted together with the description of an invention shall be in strict conformity with the text of the description and shall give a clear picture of the invention.

43. The drawings shall show the subject of the invention in the planar projection (in different planes of delineation, views, sections).

They shall be executed to a linear scale, in accordance with the rules for preparing technical drawings.

A drawing may contain one or more figures. It may be executed on one or more sheets.

44. The drawings shall be executed in Indian ink or any durable black ink on tracing paper or on strong smooth white paper of good quality. The second and third copies of the drawings shall be in the form of photocopies or copies prepared by electrostatic processes, on a white background. The size of the sheets shall be 29.7×21 cm. Margins of 2.5 cm. shall be left on all sides of the sheet.

45. Figures of a drawing shall be arranged in such a way that the drawing may be read when the sheet is vertical, that is, the short sides of the sheet being at the top and bottom of the drawing. The arrangement of figures of a drawing lengthwise, that is, along the longer side of the sheet, shall be permitted only as an exception.

46. Drawings shall be executed in durable, black, uniformly thick lines and strokes without colors or color washes.

47. Cross-sections shall be indicated by oblique hatching with a space between hatches of not less than 2 mm. For reference letters in drawings, the Latin alphabet shall be used, and for lettering angles, the Greek alphabet.

48. The scale of the drawings shall enable them to be read without difficulty and permit photographic reproduction with a linear reduction in size to two-thirds, in such a way that all the details and reference numerals may be clearly distinguished.

49. The quantity of drawings shall be sufficient to clarify the substance of the proposal which is the subject of the application.

50. One of the figures shall present a general view of the device, or of the part or of an assembly thereof, which is the subject of the invention.

Separate projections of parts or assemblies of the device may be shown in the same or separate drawings.

The figures of the drawing shall be arranged in such a way as to avoid waste of space, and shall be clearly separated from one another.

51. A device may, if necessary, be represented in a drawing by means of an axonometric projection.

52. Electrical and radio-technical devices which are the subject of applications shall be explained by basic (block) diagrams and the elements thereof shall be designated, with a view to facilitating their understanding by the examiner, according to GOST. In the block diagrams, representations of the elements thereof (for instance, a rectangle) shall contain inside, besides their reference numerals, their functional designations, such as "generator," "amplifier," "memory," and the like.

53. All figures shall be numbered continuously, from the first to the last, in Arabic numerals. Numbering of figures separately for each sheet of drawings shall not be permitted.

54. Parts and assemblies depicted in the drawings shall be denoted by the same numerals as in the description. One and the same part or assembly in several drawings shall be denoted by the same numeral. Additional designations, numerical and otherwise, not mentioned in the text of the description shall not be permitted in the drawings.

55. The drawings shall bear no inscriptions, explanatory matter, or the like.

All data used to clarify the drawings must appear in the text of the description.

In order to facilitate the understanding of the drawings, an exception may be made only in respect of such terms as "water," "steam," "open," "closed," "section on A-A," and the like.

56. Numerals referring to parts and assemblies or letters referring to cross-sections and planes shall be placed outside the representation of the item referred to and shall be connected to the relevant parts by finer lines than those of the drawing. If a reference letter or numeral has to be put in a hatched part of the drawing, a blank space shall be left for that purpose in the hatching.

Reference numerals and letters shall be clear and distinct. The thickness of the lines of the numerals and letters shall correspond to that of the lines of the drawing, the size of numerals and letters being not less than 5 mm.

Reference numerals and letters shall not be put in circles, brackets, inverted commas, etc.

Note: The rule concerning the size and thickness of letters and numerals does not apply to inscriptions and signatures in the margins of the drawings. These inscriptions and signatures should simply be readable.

57. Dimensions shall not be marked on the drawings. If they are essential, they shall be given in the description.

58. Drawings shall be free from corrections, erasures or alterations which are detrimental to the distinctness of the lines and make the drawings impossible to photocopy.

59. Drawings shall be free from folds, creases or cracks. When mailed, drawings shall be so packed that they cannot be damaged. The sheets of the drawings shall be easily separable from one another.

60. In the top right-hand corner of the drawing, there shall be a short title of the invention and, in the bottom right-hand corner, the date and signatures of the applicant and the true author (authors) of the invention.

61. The submission of working drawings shall not be permitted.

VI. Models and Samples

62. Models and samples characterizing an invention shall be submitted only at the request of the Committee for Inventions and Discoveries attached to the Council of Ministers of the USSR.

Models may be required in order to prove certain advantages of an invention.

Samples may be required for the purposes of examination when an invention relates to the composition of substances.

63. Each model or sample shall bear a stick-on label or a tag indicating the application to which it relates.

64. Models and samples which may be easily damaged shall be strongly packed.

65. Models and samples may, at the request of the applicant, be returned when they are no longer needed for the purposes of examination.

VII. Additional Requirements

66. If the applicant considers it necessary to complement or amend a description or drawings accepted for examination by the Committee without changing the substance of the application, such complementary material or amendments may be submitted in triplicate, each copy being signed by the applicant (or applicants), within a period of one month from the date of accepting the application for examination. In cases where the applicant is an enterprise (organization), the complementary material shall be signed by a representative of the enterprise (organization) and by the author (all the authors). When filing such complementary material or amendments, reference shall be made to the number under which the complemented or amended application has been registered with the Committee.

67. Complementary material which changes the substance of the earlier filed application shall be filed by the author as an independent application.

ITALY

Decrees

Concerning the Temporary Protection of Industrial Property Rights at Seven Exhibitions

(of March 20, 24 and 29, and April 4 and 15, 1969)¹

Single Article

Industrial inventions, utility models, designs and trademarks relating to objects appearing at the following exhibitions:

VI^a MACEF — *Mostra mercato internazionale degli articoli casalinghi, cristallerie, ceramiche, ferramente, utensilerie* (Milan, September 5 to 9, 1969);

VI^o SMAU — *Salone internazionale macchine attrezzature ufficio* (Milan, September 20 to 28, 1969);

XIV^a Mostra internazionale del tessile, fibre naturali, artificiali e sintetiche, chimica, macchine ed apparecchiature tessili (Busto Arsizio, September 20 to 29, 1969);

I PACK-INA 69 — *Mostra internazionale imballaggio e confezionamento, trasporti industriali interni, macchine per l'industria alimentare* (Milan, October 4 to 10, 1969);

GEC 69 — *II^o Congresso e mostra internazionale grafica editoriale e cartaria* (Milan, October 4 to 12, 1969);

IV^a Fiera internazionale delle comunicazioni — INTER-COM — *III^o Salone internazionale del container* (Genoa, October 18 to 26, 1969);

LI^o Salone internazionale dell'automobile (Turin, October 29 to November 9, 1969)

shall enjoy the temporary protection provided by Laws No. 1127 of June 29, 1939², No. 1411 of August 25, 1940³, No. 929 of June 21, 1942⁴, and No. 514 of July 1, 1959⁵.

¹ Official communication from the Italian Administration.

² See *La Propriété industrielle*, 1939, p. 124; 1940, p. 84.

³ *Ibid.*, 1940, p. 196.

⁴ *Ibid.*, 1942, p. 168.

⁵ *Ibid.*, 1960, p. 23.

LETTERS FROM CORRESPONDENTS

Letter from France *

By Paul MATHÉLY, Barrister at the Paris Court of Appeal

Part I

Letter from the United States

(First Part)

Francis C. BROWNE

NEWS ITEMS

COLOMBIA

Appointment of a New Head of Industrial Property

We have recently been informed that Mr. D. Enrique Muñoz Diaz has been appointed Head of Industrial Property of the "Ministerio de Fomento."

We take this opportunity to congratulate Mr. D. Enrique Muñoz Diaz on his appointment.

TURKEY

Appointment of a New Director of Industrial Property

We have recently been informed that Mr. Kemâl Efeoğlu has been appointed Director of Industrial Property in the Ministry for Industry of the Turkish Republic.

We take this opportunity to congratulate Mr. Kemâl Efeoğlu on his appointment.

BOOK REVIEWS

Manual Téorico-Práctico de Propiedad Industrial [Manual of the Theory and Practice of Industrial Property]. By *Hildegard Rondón de Sansó*. Published by Prensas venezolanas de editorial arte, 1968. — 160 pages. 2nd Edition. (In Spanish).

This second edition of Mrs. Rondón de Sansó's extremely useful commentary on the industrial property law and practice of Venezuela contains a new Section on the international protection of industrial property. In addition, annexes contain the texts of bilateral treaties on industrial property entered into by Venezuela, the text of the Stockholm Act of the Paris Convention, a bibliography of industrial law, and the text of the Venezuelan Industrial Property Law in force at present.

CALENDAR OF MEETINGS

BIRPI Meetings

- June 20 and 21, 1969 (Geneva) — Permanent Committee of the Berne Union (Extraordinary Session)
Object: Consideration of various questions concerning copyright — *Invitations:* Belgium, Brazil, Denmark, France, Germany (Fed. Rep.), India, Italy, Portugal, Rumania, Spain, Switzerland, United Kingdom — *Observers:* All other member States of the Berne Union; Unesco
- August 29, 1969 (Geneva) — Information Meeting of International Non-Governmental Organizations
Object: To appoint observers to the International Copyright Joint Study Group — *Invitations:* Interested Organizations — *Note:* Meeting convened jointly with Unesco
- September 17, 1969 (Geneva) — Paris Union Committee for International Cooperation in Information Retrieval Among Patent Offices (ICIREPAT) — Technical Coordination Committee (2nd Session)
- September 18 and 19, 1969 (Geneva) — Paris Union Committee for International Cooperation in Information Retrieval Among Patent Offices (ICIREPAT) — First Ordinary Session
- September 22 to 26, 1969 (Geneva) — Interunion Coordination Committee (7th Session)
Object: Program and Budget of BIRPI for 1970 — *Invitations:* Argentina, Australia, Austria, Belgium, Brazil, Cameroon, Denmark, France, Germany (Fed. Rep.), Hungary, India, Iran, Italy, Japan, Kenya, Morocco, Mexico, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Rumania, Soviet Union, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom, United States of America
- September 22 to 26, 1969 (Geneva) — Executive Committee of the Conference of Representatives of the Paris Union (5th Session)
Object: Program and Budget (Paris Union) for 1970 — *Invitations:* Argentina, Australia, Anstria, Cameroon, France, Germany (Fed. Rep.), Hungary, Iran, Japan, Kenya, Morocco, Mexico, Netherlands, Poland, Soviet Union, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom, United States of America — *Observers:* All the other member States of the Paris Union; United Nations; International Patent Institute
- September 22 to 26, 1969 (Geneva) — Council of the Lisbon Union for the Protection of Appellations of Origin and their International Registration (4th Session)
Object: Annual Meeting — *Invitations:* All member States of the Lisbon Union — *Observers:* All other member States of the Paris Union
- September 29 to October 3, 1969 (Washington) — International Copyright Joint Study Group
Object: To examine all questions concerning international copyright relations — *Invitations:* Argentina, Anstralia, Brazil, Canada, Ceylon, Czechoslovakia, France, Germany (Fed. Rep.), India, Italy, Ivory Coast, Japan, Kenya, Mexico, Netherlands, Nigeria, Pern, Philippines, Rumania, Senegal, Spain, Sweden, Tunisia, United Kingdom, United States of America, Yugoslavia — *Observers:* Organizations to be designated — *Note:* Meeting convened jointly with Unesco
- September 30 to October 2, 1969 (Geneva) — Committee of Experts on the Establishment of a "Priority Fee" (Paris Convention)
Object: Implementation of the Recommendation adopted by the Stockholm Conference — *Invitations:* Algeria, Argentina, Austria, France, Germany (Fed. Rep.), Iran, Italy, Japan, Kenya, Netherlands, Rumania, Soviet Union, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom, United States of America, Yugoslavia — *Observers:* Intergovernmental and international non-governmental Organizations concerned
- October 21 to 24, 1969 (Munich) — Joint Ad Hoc Committee on the International Classification of Patents (2nd Session)
Object: Practical application of the classification — *Invitations:* Czechoslovakia, France, Germany (Fed. Rep.), Japan, Netherlands, Soviet Union, Spain, Switzerland, United Kingdom, United States of America — *Observers:* International Patent Institute — *Note:* Meeting convened jointly with the Council of Europe
- October 27 to 31, 1969 (Geneva) — Committee of Experts on a Model Law for Developing Countries on Industrial Designs
Object: To study a Draft Model Law — *Invitations:* Developing countries members of the United Nations — *Observers:* Intergovernmental and international non-governmental Organizations concerned
- November 3 to 8, 1969 (Cairo) — Arab Seminar on Industrial Property
- December 10 to 12, 1969 (Paris) — Intergovernmental Committee Rome Convention (Neighboring Rights), convened jointly by BIRPI, ILO and Unesco (2nd Session)
- December 15 to 19, 1969 (Paris) — Permanent Committee of the Berne Union (14th Ordinary Session)
- March 9 to 20, 1970 (Geneva) — Preparatory Study Group on PTC Regulations
Object: Study of Draft PCT Regulations — *Invitations:* All member States of the Paris Union — *Observers:* Intergovernmental and international non-governmental Organizations concerned
- May 25 to June 19, 1970 — Diplomatic Conference for the adoption of the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT)
Invitations: All member States of the Paris Union — *Observers:* Other States; Intergovernmental and international non-governmental Organizations concerned — *Note:* The exact place of the Conference will be announced later

Meetings of Other International Organizations Concerned with Intellectual Property

- June 16 and 17, 1969 (Stockholm) — International Federation of Inventors' Associations (IFIA) — Annual meeting
- June 23 to 27, 1969 (Paris) — Unesco — Subcommittee of the Intergovernmental Copyright Committee
- June 23 to 28, 1969 (Caracas) — VIIth Interamerican Meeting on Copyright
- June 24 to 26, 1969 (The Hague) — International Patent Institute (IIB) — 101st Session of the Administrative Council
- July 1 to 5, 1969 (Moscow) — Moscow Jubilee Symposium 1969 (Industrial Property)
- July 2 to 7, 1969 (Moscow) — International Writers Guild (IWG) — 2nd Congress
- September 8 to 12, 1969 (Nuremberg) — International Federation of Musicians — 7th Ordinary Congress