



PCT/TCO/IV/6

ORIGINAL: English

DATE: August 23, 1974

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION GENEVA

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERIM COMMITTEE FOR TECHNICAL COOPERATION

Fourth Session: Geneva, November 14 to 19, 1974

PCT MINIMUM DOCUMENTATION (PATENT DOCUMENTS):
PATENT DOCUMENTS REFERRED TO IN PCT RULE 34.1(c)(vi)

Progress Report prepared by the International Bureau

Introduction

- 1. At its third session, held in Tokyo in October 1973, the PCT Interim Committee for Technical Cooperation (hereinafter referred to as "the Interim Committee) encouraged the International Bureau to continue its study regarding the possible inclusion in the PCT minimum documentation of patent documents in English, French or German which do not now form part of the PCT minimum documentation (PCT Rule 34.1(c)(vi)).
- 2. A number of Patent Offices issuing such English, French or German language patent documents have positively responded to earlier enquiries as to whether or not they are interested in having their patent documents included in the PCT minimum documentation. Several of these have started to accumulate sets of non-priority claiming patent documents, hereinafter referred to as "sorted sets," which could be distributed to the prospective International Searching and Preliminary Examining Authorities (hereinafter referred to as "the prospective PCT Authorities") for inclusion in their search files.

Recent Survey in the Prospective PCT Authorities

- 3. In response to an enquiry on the part of the Australian Patent Office as to the disposition of the ten sorted sets of Australian patent documents that that Office has been accumulating since 1972, the International Bureau in turn queried the ten prospective PCT Authorities on this matter. (Circular letter No. 1863; see Annex A of this document).
- 4. The survey, in addition to enquiring in particular as to the disposition of the Australian patent documents, also asked a number of general questions regarding Rule 34.1(c)(vi) documentation. Whereas heretofore the enquiries regarding this documentation had been directed to the prospective suppliers of this documentation, this was the first survey of the prospective recipients of this documentation.
- 5. As can be noted from the Circular letter No. 1863 in Annex A, several of the questions asked (see questions Nos. 1 and 3) concerned the patent documents of the sixteen Offices which have English, French or German patent documents but which do not now form part of the PCT minimum documentation. One question (see question No.2) was directed to the Australian patent documents in particular.

- 6. All ten Offices which are considered prospective PCT Authorities, responded. A table summarizing the substance of the responses of nine prospective PCT Authorities (the Netherlands Patent Office having indicated only that it did not consider itself a prospective International Searching Authority) is found in Annex B with the responses themselves set out in Annexes C through L to this document. It should be noted that eight of the nine responding Offices presently receive the patent documents of Belgium; seven receive those of Australia, Austria and the German Democratic Republic; six those of Canada; four those of India and Ireland; two those of South Africa; and individual Offices only receive those of New Zealand, Luxembourg, Monaco, Philippines, Pakistan, Sri Lanka, and OAMPI.
- 7. Six Offices expressed their interest in receiving the sorted sets of the Australian patent documents, the other three Offices already receive complete sets and eight Offices are interested in receiving sorted sets of other Offices.

Request by the Austrian Patent Office

8. In the letter of response of the Austrian Patent Office, it was indicated that ten sorted sets of Austrian patent documents were being saved by that Office and that the prospective PCT Authorities should soon indicate their plans with respect to these documents, since the Austrian Patent Office had serious storage problems with the said sets of documents (see Annex C). Consequently, the prospective PCT Authorities are asked to express their views on the offer of the Austrian Patent Office.

Proposed Further Action

- 9. In view of the interest of a number of the prospective PCT Authorities in the patent documents of several Offices which have not as yet responded to earlier enquiries, it is proposed that the International Bureau should make further enquiries with a view to obtaining a definitive answer from these Offices as to their desire to have their patent documents introduced into the PCT minimum documentation.
- 10. Furthermore, the PCT Minimum Documentation Study which has already been conducted (documents PCT/TCO/SS/I/2 and PCT/TCO/SS/III/4) should be extended to encompass the Rule 34.1(c)(vi) patent documents which are definitely going to be considered to be part of the PCT minimum documentation. This would entail adding, to the already established inventory of the patent documents which are part of the PCT minimum documentation, a listing of the documents involved or a broad indication of the range of numbers or dates together with an approximation of the number of documents involved.
 - 11. The Interim Committee is invited to take note of the progress achieved to date and to comment on the continuation of the project in general and in particular on:
 - (a) the disposition of the Austrian sorted sets (see paragraph 8 above);
 - (b) the making of further enquiries to Offices not having responded so far (see paragraph 9 above); and
 - the introduction of the particulars of the Rule 34.1(c)(vi) documentation which will be part of the PCT minimum documentation into the already established inventory of such documentation (see paragraph 10).

PCT/TCO/IV

ORGANISATION MONDIALE DE LA PROPRIÉTÉ INTELLECTUELLE

Bureaux internationaux réunis pour la protection de la propriété intellectuelle (BIRPI)



WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION

United International Bureaux for the Protection of Intellectual Property (BIRPI)

Circular No. 1863 - PCT 21

February 25, 1974.

Re: PCT Minimum Documentation

According to the program suggested by the PCT Interim Committee for Technical Cooperation (First Session, February 1971), "the International Bureau, under the guidance of the Interim Committee and with the assistance of the Standing Subcommittee of that Committee, should:

(i) prepare a detailed inventory of the patent documents to be included in the PCT minimum documentation (the inventory should comprise ... those English, French and German language documents which, under Rule 34.1(c)(vi) of the PCT Regulations, may be expected to be included in the minimum documentation; ...)" (document PCT/TCO/I/6, paragraph 25).

It is recalled that Rule 34.1(c)(vi) of the PCT Regulations provides for the inclusion in the "minimum documentation" of the International Searching Authorities of "such patents issued by, and such patent applications published in, any other country /other than France, Germany (Federal Republic of), Japan, the Soviet Union, Switzerland, the United Kingdom and the United States of America/ after 1920 as are in the English, French, or German language and in which no priority is claimed, provided that the national Office of the interested country sorts out these documents and places them at the disposal of each International Searching Authority."

./.

A series of circular letters were sent to some 16 industrial property Offices which publish English, French and German language patent documents of the kind referred to in Rule 34.1(c) (vi), inquiring whether they would wish to have their documents included as part of the PCT minimum documentation and if so whether they would be willing to store for the future use of the International Searching Authorities ten sets of these documents as they are published.

In response, a number of industrial property Offices indicated a wish to have their documents included in the PCT minimum documentation and agreed to store the suggested ten sets of documents. One of these industrial property Offices which has been saving the ten sets for the past several years, the Australian Patent Office, recently asked the International Bureau whether the communication of these documents to the interested Authorities could now begin, as the storing of the ten sets is presenting a space problem.

The International Bureau, in attempting to alleviate this problem of storage for this Office, stated that the members of the Standing Subcommittee of the PCT Interim Committee for Technical Cooperation would be queried as to the disposition of these documents.

In this context, a more general study of the problems of inclusion of the said documents in the search files of the prospective International Searching Authorities would appear to be necessary. One of the questions to be considered would be whether some of the industrial property Offices which are the prospective International Searching Authorities introduce already now into their search files (or have otherwise available for search purposes) all or at least the non-priority claiming documents of industrial property Offices whose documents fall under Rule 34.1(c)(vi). Should such be the case, some industrial property Offices presently saving the ten sets as well as Offices contemplating such a move may not have to store a set for such Authorities and may consequently reduce the number of sets which they have to save.

In order to attempt to resolve the immediate storage problem of one Office and to assemble the information necessary for a more detailed study of the general problem of the future disposition of the documents under consideration, your Office as a prospective International Searching Authority is requested to answer the following three questions:

- 1. Do you presently receive on a regular basis the patent documents of Belgium, Canada, German India, Ireland, Israel, Luxembourg, Monaco, New Zealand, Pakistan, Philippines, Sri Lanka (Ceylon), South Africa and OAMPI, and if so, to what extent are such documents introduced into your search files?
- 2. Are you interested in receiving regularly a set of Australian patent documents as sorted out by the Australian Patent Office in accordance with PCT Rule 34.1(c) (vi)? If yes, should delivery start immediately or at a later date?
- 3. Are you interested in receiving regularly sets of patent documents of Offices other than the Australian Patent Office which are also being sorted out in accordance with PCT Rule 34.1(c)(vi)? If so, but not for all such Offices, please specify for which.

The International Bureau would appreciate receiving your answers to the above three questions by May 1, 1974, in order to be able to inform the Australian Patent Office accordingly and to facilitate the preparation of a document on the matter for the consideration of the October 1974 session of the PCT Interim Committee for Technical Cooperation.

Sincerely yours,

N. Pfanner Director

Industrial Property Division

PCT/TCO/IV/ Annex A

SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO CIRCULAR LETTER NO. 1863 REGARDING PCT RULE 34.1(c) (vi) DOCUMENTATION

Prospective Interna- tional Searching or Preliminary Examining Authority responding	The patent documents of Australia (AU), Austria (OE), Belgium (BE), Canada (CA), German Democratic Republic (DL), India (IN), Ireland (EI), Israel (IL), Luxembourg (LU), Monaco (MC), New Zealand (NZ), Pakistan (PK), Philippines (RP), Sri Lanka (Ceylon) (CL), South Afrika (ZA), OAMPI (AM) are		Interested in receiving	Interested in receiving sorted sets of the
	presently received on a regular basis	introduced into search files	patent documents of Australia	patent documents of other Offices
Austria (Annex C)	AU, OE, BE, CA, DL	OE, DL	yes	yes
Brazil (Annex D)	-	-	yes	AU, BE, CA, DL, IL, RP, ZA, AM
Germany (F.R.) (Annex E)	AU, OE, BE, CA, DL, IN	OE, BE, DL	already receives complete set	EI, IL, LU, MC, NZ, PK, RP, CL, ZA, AM
Japan (Annex F)	AU, OE, BE, CA, DL, IN, NZ, RP, CL, ZA, AM	-	yes	yes
Netherlands (Annex G)	(DOES NOT CONSIDER	ITSELF A PROSPECTIVE	INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING A	UTHORITY)
Soviet Union (Annex H)	AU, OE, BE, DL, IN, EI	-	already receives complete set	yes
Sweden (Annex I)	AU, OE, BE, CA, DL, EI	-	yes	yes, if part of PCT minimum documentation
United Kingdom (Annex J)	AU, OE, BE, CA, DL, IN, EI, PK	-	yes	yes
United States of America (Annex K)	AU, OE, BE, CA, DL, IN, EI, ZA (chem)	AU, OE, BE, CA, DL	already receives complete set	IN, EI, IL, LU, MC, NZ, PK, RP, CL, ZA, AM
International Patent Institute (IIB) (Annex L)	BE, LU, MC	BE, LU, MC	yes	not presently considering modifying country coverag of search documentation

Wien, um April 23, 1974

L. Kohlmarkt 8-10
Postanedriff: Postfach 95, A-1014 Wien

GR 155/74

M.
Dr.Klaus Pfannet
Directeur
WIPO

Geneva

Ref.: Circular No. 1863-PCT 21

Dear Dr. Pfanner,

With respect to Circ.No. 1863 of February 25, 1974 I have the honour to communicate the following information:

Question No.1:

The documents of Austria and German Democratic Republic are introduced into the search files of the Austrian Patent Office.

The following documents are stored in numerical order: Australia, Belgium, Canada.

Question No.2:

The Austrian Patent Office would be ready and interested to receive Australian Patent documents arranged in accordance to Rule 34.1 (c) (vi) PCT immediately.

Question No.3:

[Annex D/Annexe

U

follows]

Austria is interested in receiving PCT-minimum documents on a regular basis from Offices intending to arrange their documents according to Rule 34.1 (c) (vi) PCT without any preference.

With respect to Austrian patent documents I should like to take the opportunity to inform you that the Austrian Patent Office is also ready to communicate documents sorted out in accordance with Rule 34.1 (c) (vi) PCT to interested Authorities. The Austrian Patent Office has been saving ten sets of documents up from July 26, 1971 (No. 314.950) and

- 2 -

intends to furnish all non-priority claiming documents. As there exist the same storage problems in the Austrian Patent Office we are very much interested in the initiative taken by WIPO. As document PCT/TCO/III/3 is concerned I should like to ask you to include the information about Austrian patent documents in the revised version of the document of the Interim Committee for Technical Cooperation.

Sincerely yours,

PCT/TCO/IV/6

SERVICO PÓBLICO PEDENAL

INSTITUTO NACIONAL DA PROPRIEDADE INDUSTRIAL SAS Q.2 - lote 3/A 70 000 Brasilia, Brasil.

Brasilia, March 21, 1974.

INT/4016

Mr. K. Pfanner, Director Industrial Property Division, World Intellectual Property Organization, 32, chemin des Colombettes, 1211 GENEVA 20 Switzerland.

> Re: Circular No. 1863 - PCT 21 PCT Minimum Documentation

Dear Sir,

Thank you for your above mentioned Circular of February 25, 1974, to which my answers are as follows:

Question	Answer
1	No
2	Yes, immediately
3	Yes, of the following countries:
	- Austria - Belgium - Canada - German Democratic Republic - Israel (only if in English or French - Philippines
2	- South Africa, and - OAMPI

Thomaz Thedim-Lobo, President.

[Annex E/Annexe E

Fernruf (08 11) 2 19 51 Fernrufdurchwahl (08 11) 21 95

Fernschreiber 5 23 534 Hausruf

Geschäfts-Nr.:

Bitte in der Antwort die vorstehende Geschäftsnummer angeben.

9330/10 - H 5 Bd III 1/74 9330/10(11) - 3.1.3.Bd XIII 8

Dr. Arpad Bogsch Director General World Intellectual Property Organisation 32, chemin des Colombettes CH 1211 Genf 20 - Schweiz -

Re.: PCT Minimum Documentation under Rule 34.1(c)(vi)

Ref.: Circular No. 1863 - PCT 21 of February 25, 1974

Dear Dr. Bogsch,

The questions raised in the Circular concerning the PCT minimum documentation under Rule 34.1(c)(vi), I answer as follows:

Re. 1: The German Patent Office at present regularly receives the patent documents of the following countries enumerated in your questionnaire: Australia, Belgium, German Democratic Republic, India, Canada and Austria. The patent specifications of Belgium, German Democratic Republic and Austria are also filed according to classification in the search file.

Re. 2: Since the German Patent Office already regularly receives two sets of Australian patent documents within the international exchange of literature, the set of documents stored by the Australian Patent Office is no longer needed according to PCT Rule 34.1(c)(vi).

> However, I am very much interested in a list with the numbers of these documents.

- 2 -

 $\underline{\text{Re.3}}$: The German Patent Office is interested in the patent documents of Ireland, Israel, Luxembourg, Monaco, New Zealand, Pakistan, Philippines, Sri Lanka (Ceylon) South Africa and OAMPI as sorted out in accordance with PCT Rule 34.1(c)(vi).

Sincerely yours,

Dipl.-Ing. K.H.Köhne Vice-President

ANNEX E/ANNEXE

JAPANESE GOVERNMENT

4-3, Kasumigaseki 3-chome Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan

PATENT OFFICE

TOKU SO 532/49 May 9, 1974

Dr. A. Bogsch Director General World Intellectual Property Organization 32. chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Dear Sir:

Please find enclosed the answers of the Japanese Patent Office concerning PCT Minimum Documentation in reply to your Circular No. 1863 - PCT 21, dated Feb. 25, 1974.

Yours truly,

Hideo Baito Director General PCT Minimum Documentation (Circular No. 1863 - PCT 21)

1.

(a) The Japanese Patent Office receives regularly the patent documents of the countries mentioned below:

> Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, German Democratic Republic, India, New Zealand, Philippines, Sri Lanka (Ceylon), South Africa and OAMPI

Such documents are not, as a rule, included in the search files, but they are easily available to the examiners when necessary,

- (b) We do not receive the patent documents of the following countries: Ireland, Israel, Luxembourg, Monaco and Pakistan
- 2. We are willing to receive regularly a set of Australian patent documents as sorted out by the Australian Patent Office in accordance with FCT Rule 34.1 (c) (vi). Their delivery can start at any time.
- 3. We are interested to accept regularly sets of patent documents of Offices other than the Australian Patent Office which are sorted out in accordance with PCT Rule 34.1 (c) (vi).

ถ

Patentlaan 2 Rijswijk (Z-H) S 74/212 7th May 1974

World Intellectual Property
Organization
mr. K. Pfanner
Director Industrial Property
Division
32, chemin des Colombettes
1211 Genève 20

Dear Mr. Pfanner,

Re : PCT Minimum Documentation

Since the Netherlands Patent Office is not a prospective International Search Authority, no answer to circular no. 1863 is required from that office and none will be supplied.

Yours sincerely,

J.B. van Benthem.

[Annex H/Annexe H follo

ГОСУДАРСТВЕННЫЙ КОМИТЕТ СОВЕТА МИНИСТРОВ СССР ПО ДЕЛАМ ИЗОБРЕТЕНИЙ И ОТКРЫТИЙ

State Committee for Inventions and Discoveries of the USSR Council of Ministers

Comité d'Etat du Conseil des Ministres de l'URSS pour les Inventions et les découvertes

Staatliches Komitee des Ministerrates der UdSSR für Erfindungen und Entdeckungen

Address: USSR Moscow, Centre M. Cherkassky per. 2/6 Tel. 221-49-76 221-62-24 Telex: msk 7248

Г-ну К. ПФАННЕРУ

Директору отделя промышенной собственности Всемирной органи— ващии интеллектуельной собственности (ВСМС)

Женева Швейцария

Глубокоуважаемый господин Поаннер,

В ответ на Циркуляр ВОМС № 1863 от 25 февраля 1974 года, касающихся комплектования патентных фондов Международных поисковых органов в соответствии с требованиями "минимума документации" огласно правилу 34.1 (с) (УІ) сообщаем, что Советский Союз заинтересован в работе, предпринятой с целью облегчить процедуру комплектования.

По предложенным в Циркуляре вопросам сообщаем:

I. Комитет регулярно получает патентную документацию от патентных ведомств следующих стран:

Австралим (бюллетень, описания), Австран (бюллетень, описания), Бельгим (бюллетень, описания), Канады (бюллетень), ГДР (бюллетень, описания), Индии (бюллетень, описания), Ирландии (бюллетень, описания), Иррандии (бюллетень), Люксембурга (бюллетень), Монако (бюллетень), Нолой Заландии (бюллетень), Пакистень (бюллетень), Шри Ланка (обициальная газета), ОАМИ (бюллетень).

Получаемая документация используется для целей патентного поиска и экспертизи. Необходимо отметить, что такие источники, как натентные быластени (газеты) не раскрымог в необходимой мере патентуемое изобретение, поэтому желательно получение коний описаний. Патентние докупенти упомтнутил стран пома не вигочени в номековне поиборки экспертов, а находятся в общей комменции Всесованой натентно-технической библиотеки, которая по мера необморимости мопользуется экспертами.

- 2. Комитет заинтересован в получении копий отгосиий изобретений Австралии за перкод с 1920 по 1956 гг. по й 211776 включительно в удобный для патентного ведомства Австралии срок.
- 3. Комитет заинтересован также в получении патентной документации других стран, обеспечивающей раскрытие изобретения в той мере,
 которая необходима для проведения патентного поиска. Учитывая тот
 факт, что в некоторых странах полные описания изобретений не издавтся считаем необходимым провести работу по выявлению стран, могущих представить такого вида документацию и за какой период.

С уважением

И.МОРОЗОВ

Начальник Отдела внешних сношений

PCT/TCO/IV/6 ANNEX H/ANNEXE H As for the questions raised in the above Circular, I have the pleasure to inform you that:

- 1. The State Committee for Inventions and Discoveries regularly receives patent documentation from the Patent Offices of the following countries:
 - (i) Australia, Austria, Belgium, DDR, India, Irelandofficial gazettes and patent specifications;
 - (ii) Canada, Israel, Luxembourg, Monaco, New Zealand, Pakistan, Sri-Lanka, OAMPI--official gazettes.

The documentation received is used for patent search and examination.

It is important to note that such sources as official gazettes do not give sufficient disclosure of the inventions being patented, and consequently receipt of copies of specifications is highly desirable.

The patent documents of the above-mentioned countries are not yet included in the search files of examiners and are assembled in the general All-Union Patent Library collection, which is used by examiners when necessary.

- 2. The Committee is interested in receiving copies of Australian patent specifications for the period from 1920 to 1956, up to No. 211776 inclusive, at any time which is convenient for the Australian Patent Office.
- 3. The Committee is also interested in receiving other countries' patent documentation giving sufficient disclosure of inventions for the purpose of patent search. Taking into consideration the fact that in some countries full specifications of inventions are not published, we believe that it is necessary to find out which countries these are and also for what period of time these countries can supply their documentation.

 Sincerely,

I. Mor v
Head, External Relations Department



THE PATENT OFFICE

25 Southampton Buildings London WC2A 1AY

Telegrams Patoff London WC2

Telephone 01-405 8721 ext 3113

Mr. K. Pfanner

PCT.21 Your reference

Director Industrial Property Division

IPCD 40120 Our reference

WIPO

32 chemin des Colombettes Switzerland 1211 GENEVE 20.

April 1974

Dear Mr. Pfanner

Subject: PCT Minimum Documentation (Rule 34.1(c)(vi))

In response to the request in WIPO Circular No. 1863 I have pleasure in informing you that the answers of the United Kingdom Patent Office to the three questions posed are as follows:-

1. YES in respect of Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, German Democratic Republic, India, Ireland and Pakistan: NO in respect of Israel, Luxembourg, Monaco, New Zealand, Philippines, Sri Lanka (Ceylon), South Africa and OAMPI.

- 2. YES: delivery to start immediately.
- 3. YES, for all.

It would be greatly appreciated if each consignment of the documents in question could be addressed as follows:-

> "The Director The Science Reference Library (PCT Minimum Documentation) 25 Southampton Buildings London WC2A 1AW

as this would ensure correct routing of the packages within the Library.

Yours sincerely

D. G. Gay

Superintending Examiner

c.c. H. W. Hill, Esq. Director SRL

Industrial Property Division 32. Chemin des Colombettes 1211 Genève 20 Suisse

Dr. K. Pfanner Director

KUNGL, PATENT- OCH REGISTREI JSVERKET BOX 5055, STOCKHOLM 5 Telefon: 22 55 48

> Replying to your Circular No. 1863 - PCT 21, Feb. 25, 1974 re: PCT Minimum Documentation the Swedish Patent Office gives the following

To question 1: Of the countries mentiones we receive on a regular basis the patent documents of Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, German Democratic Republic and Ireland. They are not as a rule included in the search files.

To question 2: We would not mind receiving sorted out Australian patent documents and suggest that delivery would start Jan 1, 1975. We would much prefer if these documents were classified in accordance with the IPC down to sub-group level.

To question 3: No unless decided upon as a requisite for a Searching Authority.

Sincerely yours

ANNEX I/ANNEXE



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent Office

Address Only: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS Washington, D.C. 20231

APR 5 0 1974

Dr. Klaus Pfanner Director Industrial Property Division World Intellectual Property Organization Geneva, Switzerland

Dear Dr. Pfanner:

This letter is in response to your Circular NO. 1863 of February 25, 1974, requesting answers to three questions on PCT minimum documentation.

In response to your first question, we receive on a regular basis, either from the countries themselves or through other sources, the patent documents of Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, the German Democratic Republic, India and Ireland. We also receive patent documents from South Africa relating to chemistry in general. With the exception of India and Ireland, Derwent Publications Ltd. provides, or will provide us with English language abstracts of patent documents which were first published in these particular countries. Using these abstracts, the full text copies of the corresponding patent documents are selected and placed in our classified search files. In addition, complete sets in numerical order of all patent documents received from these countries are maintained in our Scientific Library and are also available for search purposes.

Regarding your second question, since we already receive complete sets of Australian patent documents, we see no immediate need to receive an additional set sorted out in accordance with PCT Rule 34.1(c)(vi).

With respect to your third question, we would have no objection to receive the sorted sets of patent documents from India, Ireland, Israel, Luxembourg, Monaco, New Zealand, Pakistan, the Philippines, Sri Lanka, South Africa, and OAMPI, if those countries desire to have their patent documents included in

our classified search files. It should be noted, however, that these sets may be of limited value since it is quite probable that many of the original, non-priority claiming patent documents form the basis for later filed applications in other minimum documentation countries.

I hope that this reply will facilitate your task of coordination in the rather difficult area of PCT minimum documentation

Sincerely.

C. Marshall Dann

Commissioner of Patents

PCT/TCO/IV/6

Yours sincerely.

A. Vandecasteele

if sufficient grounds should arise.

the Australian patent documents as sorted out in accordance

with PCT rule 34.1 (c) (VI). Delivry could start immediately, but should, however, be limited to the new patent documents published from now on. The I.I.B. prefers to consider the problem of the backlog at a later more appropriate date.

Moreover, we receive regularly from Canada, since about



INSTITUT INTERNATIONAL DES BRIJETS

BOITE POSTALE 5021 - LA HAYE (PAYS-BAS)

TÉLÉPHONE: 90 67 89 ADRESSE TÉLÉGRAPHIQUE BREVPATENT ADRESSE PATENTLAAN 2 RUSWUK 17 H (PAYS-BAS)

To the Director General of the World Intellectual Property Organization 32, Chemin des Colombettes 1211 GENEVE 20 Switzerland

Our ref.: VDC/191/mis

[End

document)

RIJSWIJK (Z.H.), le April 5, 1974.

Dear Director General,

With reference to circular No 1863 of February 25, 1974, I have the honor to inform you that, from the countries whose patent documents fall under rule 34.1 (c) (VI) of the PCT treaty, Belgium, Luxembourg and Monaco, as member states of the I.I.B., are already sending their documents to the I.I.B. for inclusion in the search documentation.

Moreover, during the PCT Washington Diplomatic Conference, the matter of rule 34.1 (c) (VI) was discussed in particular between Australian and Canadian autorities and the I.I.B. As a result of theses relations, our position with regard to the three questions put forward on page 3 of the circular, is as follows:

1) The search documentation at the disposal of the I.I.B. is regularly updated with the patent documents of the following countries listed under point 1:

> Belgium Luxembourg Monaco

./..