



PCT/R/WG/7/9
ORIGINAL:English
DATE:April5,2005

# WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION GENEVA

# INTERNATIONAL PATENT COOPERATIONUNION (PCTUNION)

# WORKINGGROUPONREF ORMOFTHEPATENT COOPERATIONTREATY( PCT)

# SeventhSession Geneva,Ma y25to31,2005

FURTHEROBSERVATIONS BYSWITZERLANDONI TSPROPOSALS
REGARDINGTHEDECLAR ATIONOFTHESOURCE OFGENETICRESOURCES
ANDTRADITIONALKNOW LEDGEINPATENTAPPL ICATIONS

 $Document prepared by the {\it International Bureau}$ 

#### **BACKGROUND**

- $1. \quad The further observations by Switzerland on its proposal stregarding the declaration of the source of genetic resources and traditional knowledge in patenta pplications appearing on the following pages were made by Switzerlandina submission to the International Bureau received on October 26,2004. \\$ 
  - 2. The Working Group is invited to consider the further observations contained in the Annex to this document.

[Annexfollows]

#### PCT/R/WG/7/9

#### **ANNEX**

# FURTHEROBSERVATIONSBYSWITZERLANDONITSPROPOSALS REGARDINGTHEDECLA RATIONOFTHESOURCEOFGENETICRESOURCES ANDTRADITIONALKNOWLEDGEINPATENTAPPLICATIONS

#### **TABLEOFCONTENTS**

I.	OVERVIEW	1
II.	FORMALVS.SUBSTANTI VEDISCLOSUREREQUIREMENT	2
III.	OPTIONALVS.MANDATORYINTRODUCTIONOFDISCLOSUREREQUIREMENT	3
IV.	THECONCEPTOFTHESOURCE	4
V.	CONCLUSIONS	5
	APPENDIX:PROPOSEDAMENDMENTSOFTHEPCTREGULATIONS	
	AFFENDIA.FROFOSEDAMENDMEN I SOFT MEYCT REGULATIONS	

#### I. OVERVIEW

- 3. AtthefourthsessionoftheWorkingGrouponReformofthePatentCooperationTreaty (PCT)oftheWorldIntellectualPropertyOrg anization(WIPO)heldinMay2003, Switzerlandsubmittedproposalsregardingtransparencymeasuresunderpatentlawinthearea ofgeneticresourcesandtraditionalknowledge. <sup>1</sup>Morespecifically,Switzerlandproposedto explicitlyenablethenationalpate ntlegislationtorequirethedeclarationofthesourceof geneticresourcesandtraditionalknowledgeinpatentapplications,ifaninventionisdirectly basedonsuchresourcesorknowledge.
- 4. Inordertofurtheradvancethediscussionsofthe WorkingGrouponPCT -Reform, Switzerlandsubmittedadditionalcommentsonitsproposalstothesixthsessionofthis WorkingGroupheldinMay2004. <sup>2</sup>Thesecommentsconcerntheuseofterms,theconceptof the "source" of genetic resources and traditiona lknowledge, the scope of the obligation to declare this source in patent applications, and the possible legals anctions for failure to disclose or the wrongful disclosure of the source.
- 5. InthediscussionsontheSwissproposalsheldatthesi xthsessionoftheWorkingGroup onPCT -Reform³, anumberofissueswereraisedrequiringfurtherclarification. The present submission, which complements the two previous submissions by Switzerland to this WorkingGroup, addresses (1) the formal vs. subst antivenature of the disclosure requirement, (2) the optional vs. the mandatory introduction of the disclosure requirement, and (3) the concept of the source.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> TheseproposalsarecontainedinPCT/R/WG/5/11Rev.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> These additional comments are contained in PCT/R/WG/6/11.

SeePCT/R/WG/6/12,paras.82 -107,inparticularparas.105 -107.

# PCT/R/WG/7/9 Annex,page 2

#### II. FORMALVS.SUBSTANTI VEDISCLOSUREREQUIREMENT

- 6. Whenconsideringtheintroductio nofthedisclosurerequirementinpatentlaw,itslegal nature(formalvs.substantive)needstobedetermined. This is decisive not only for the identification of the competent international for um to address and implement the requirement, but also with regard to the sanction simposed for failure to disclose or wrongful disclosure of the source.
- 7. Generally,therequirements with regard to patent applications can be categorized as follows: 4
  - *formalrequirements* whichareexaminedforthepurpos esofdeterminingifa completeapplicationhasbeenfiled;
  - formalrequirementsstronglylinkedtosubstance concerningthevariouspartsof thepatentapplicationforthepurposesofsearch,examinationandgrant,thatis, requirementswhichcouldaffect thescopeofasearchorresultintherejectionofthe claimsduringthesubstantiveexaminationofthepatentapplication; and
  - *substantiverequirements* ,underwhichtheclaimsareevaluatedforpatentability, namely,definitionofpriorart,disclosure oftheclaimedinvention,patentablesubject matter,novelty,inventivestepandindustrialutility.
- 8. ThepolicyobjectiveofthedisclosurerequirementproposedbySwitzerlandisto increasetransparencyinthecontextofaccesstogeneticre sourcesandtraditionalknowledge and the sharing of the benefits arising out of their utilization, in particular with regard to theobligations of the users of genetic resources and traditional knowledge.<sup>5</sup>Increased transparencywillallowtheproviders ofgeneticresourcesandtraditionalknowledgetoverify whether the inventor and/or patent applicant complied with the applicable rules and procedures on access to these resources or this knowledge, and whether provision for benefit sharinghasbeenmade. Thistransparencymeasurewillenhancethemutualsupportivenessof therelevantinternational agreements, namely the treaties administered by WIPO, the AgreementonTrade -RelatedAspectsofIntellectualPropertyRights(TRIPSAgreement),the  $Convention\ on Biological Diversity (CBD) and the Bonn Guidelines on Access to Genetic$ ResourcesandFairandEquitableSharingoftheBenefitsArisingOutofTheirUtilization (Bonn Guidelines), and the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and GenAgriculture(InternationalTreaty)oftheFoodandAgricultureOrganization(FAO).
- 9. Duetoitspolicyobjectiveoutlinedabove,thedisclosurerequirementisexaminedfor thepurposesofdeterminingifacompletepatentapplicationhasbeenfiled. Thedisclosure requirementisintheviewofSwitzerlandlinkedneithertothesearch,examinationorgrantof patents,nortotheevaluationoftheclaimsforpatentability.Accordingly,ithastobe consideredasaformalrequirement,notaformalreq uirementstronglylinkedtosubstanceor evenasubstantiverequirement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> SeegenerallySCP/5/6,para.51.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> SeePCT/R/ WG/5/11Rev.,para.7.

# PCT/R/WG/7/9 Annex, page 3

10. Toclarifythelegalsituationandtoprovideforlegalcertainty, Switzerlandproposesto amend the Regulation sunder the PCT to explicitly enable the national legislator and the regulation of the property of the ppatentapplicantstodisclosethesourceofgeneticresourcesandtraditionalknowledgein patent applications. At the sixths ession of the Working Group on the Reform of the PCT, the applications of the PCT is the patent applications of the PCT in the PCT in the patent applications of the PCT in thequestionwasraisedwhetherthisWorkingGroupisthecomp etentforumtodiscussthese proposals. The Working Group can discuss only matters related to the PCT, that is, matters related to form or contents of patent applications. Accordingly, since the disclosure requirementisinthenatureofaformalrequire ment,theWorkingGroupontheReformofthe PCTisthecompetentforumtoaddresstheproposalsbySwitzerland.

#### OPTIONALVS.MANDATORYINTRODUCTIONOFDISCLOSURE III. **REQUIREMENT**

- SwitzerlandproposestoamendthePCT -Regulationstoexplicitl yenablethenational 11. patentlegislationtorequirethedeclarationofthesourceofgeneticresourcesandtraditional knowledgeinpatentapplications. The proposal sthus leave it up to the national legislator to decidewhethersucharequirementistob eintroducedinthenationalpatentlegislation. This optionalnatureofthedisclosurerequirementwaschosenbecauseofthegreatdivergencein theviewsontransparencymeasures, and because at the international level the discussions on disclosurerequ irementshavenotbroughtanyfinalresults. Anoptional introduction of the disclosure requirement would enable those States interested in introducing such a requirementtodoso, but would not oblige States to take action. Additionally, it would allow thenational governmentsandtheinternationalcommunitytogainexperiencewiththedisclosure requirement, without prejudice to further international efforts.
- $In this context, the amendment proposed by Switzerland with regard to the internat {\tt Normal Switzerland} and {\tt Normal Switzer$ publicationisofrelevance: The proposed Rule 48.2(a)(xi) provides that the pamphle to fthe internationalpublicationshallcontainanydeclarationasreferredtointheproposedRule 4.17(vi). Accordingly, if one or several Contracting Parties of thePCTrequireintheir nationallegislationpatentapplicantstodeclarethesourceofgeneticresourcesandtraditional knowledge as provided for in the proposed Rule 51bis.1(g),thisdeclaration,ifalready includedintheinternationalpatentapplica tion, would form part of the international publication of this application. As a result, any declaration of the source of genetic resources ortraditionalknowledgecontainedinaninternationalpatentapplicationwouldgenerally becomeaccessibletothe publicaftertheexpiration of 18 months from the priority date of these applications by being included in the international publication. Thus, even though it is optional for the Contracting Parties of the PCT to implement the proposal sby Switzer land at thethenationallevel,theproposedRule48.2(a)(xi)wouldinpracticebringeffectswhichare verysimilartothoseofamandatoryapproach:Bybeingincludedintheinternational publication, the declaration of the source would be publicly accessible, and w ouldthus increasetransparencyinthecontextofaccessandbenefitsharingatthegloballevel, without it being necessary that it is mandatory for the Contracting Parties of the PCT to require patentapplicantstodeclarethesource. At the same time, theproposedRule48.2(a)(xi)combined withtheoptionalapproachasproposedbySwitzerlandwouldhavetheadvantagesdescribed intheprecedingparagraph.

ional

# PCT/R/WG/7/9 Annex,page 4

#### IV. THECONCEPTOFTHESOURCE

- 13. According to the CBD, the Bonn Guidelines and the International Treaty of FAO, a multitude of entities may be involved in access and benefits haring. To take into account this multitude of entities, Switzerland proposes to require patent applicants to declare the source of genetic resources and traditional knowledge in patent applications, the term "source" being understood in its broadest sense possible.
- 14. Basedonthementionedinternationalinstruments, theentity competent (1) togrant access to genetic resources and traditional knowledge, and/ or (2) to participate in the sharing of the benefits arising out of their utilization, is in the foreground to be declared as the source. Depending on the genetic resource or traditional knowledge in question, one can distinguish "primary" and "secondary" such sources: Primary sources are the Contracting Party providing genetic resources (see Arts. 15, 16 and 19 of the CBD), in digenous and local communities (see Art. 8 (j) of the CBD), and the Multilateral Systemestablished by the International Treaty (see its Arts. 10 -13), and secondary sources are exsitucal collections such as genebanks and botanical gardens as well as databases on genetic resources and traditional knowledge, and scientific literature.
- 15. Asaresult,accordingtotheproposals bySwitzerland,thereisa"cascade"ofprimary and secondary sources the patenta pplicant may be required to disclose in order to fulfill the disclosure requirement: If the patenta pplicant (or the inventor) has information at hand about:
  - theprimaryso urce, this primary source must be disclosed; thus, for example, if the patent applicant knows that the source of a genetic resource is the Contracting Party providing this resource, this Contracting Party must be disclosed as the source;
  - the primary and o neor several secondary sources, the primary source must be disclosed, whereas the disclosure of the secondary source is optional; thus, for example, if the patent applicant received the genetic resource from a botanical garden, but also knows the Contract ing Party providing the genetic resource, this Contracting Party must be disclosed, whereas the disclosure of the botanical garden is optional.
  - asecondarysource, but not about a primary source, this secondary source must be disclosed; thus, for example, if the patent applicant received the genetic resource from a botanical garden, but does not know the Contracting Party providing the genetic resource, the botanical garden must be disclosed as the source.
  - severalsecondarysources, but not about the prim ary source, the secondary source with the closest relationship to the primary source must be disclosed; the disclosure of the other secondary sources is optional; thus, for example, if the genetic resource was provided from one botanical gardentos everal others, the first botanical garden in this chain must be disclosed, whereas the disclosure of the other botanical gardens is optional.

# PCT/R/WG/7/9 Annex,page 5

16. Onlyifthepatentapplicant(ortheinventor)hasnoinformationathandaboutthe primaryorthesecondar ysource,mayhedisclosethatsuchsourceisunknown.Considering thebroadunderstandingoftheterm"source,"caseswhereneitheraprimarynorasecondary sourceisknownarelikelytoberare.

#### V. CONCLUSIONS

- 17. Formalvs.substantivediscl osurerequirement: Thepolicyobjectiveofthedisclosure requirementistoincreasetransparencyinthecontextofaccesstogeneticresourcesand traditionalknowledgeandthesharingofthebenefitsarisingoutoftheirutilization. To achievethispo licyobjective, the disclosurerequirementh as to be examined for the purposes of determining if a complete patent application has been filed. However, this policyobjective neither requires nor justifies that the disclosurer equirement is linked to these earch, examination or grant of patents, or to the evaluation of the claims for patent ability. Accordingly, it has to be considered as a formal requirement. In the context of a mendment sto the Regulation sunder the PCT only formal requirements can be taken into consideration.
- 18. *Optionalvs.mandatoryintroductionofdisclosurerequirementinthePCT* :Inviewof thecleardivergenceofopinionsamongtheContractingPartiesofthePCTwithregardtothe introductionofaformaldisclosurerequire ment,Switzerlandhasproposedtomakeitoptional forthenationallegislatortointroducesucharequirement.

Theconceptof "source": Therelevantinternationalinstruments for esee amultitude of entities to be involved in access and benefits haring. In the foreground to be declared as the source is the entity competent (1) to grant access to genetic resources and traditional knowledge, and/or (2) to participate in the sharing of the benefits arising out of their utilization. Depending on the genetic resource or traditional knowledge in question, one can distinguish primary sources, including in particular Contracting Parties providing genetic resources, the Multilateral System of FAO's International Treaty, in digenous and local communities, and secon dary sources, including in particular exsitucol lections and scientific literature. Accordingly, there is a "cascade" of possible primary and secondary sources: Patentapplicants must disclose the primary source to fulfill the disclosure requirement, if have information about this primary source at hand. A secondary source may only be disclose difpatent applicants have no information at hand about the primary source.

[Appendixfollows]

## PCT/R/WG/7/9

## **APPENDIX**

# PROPOSEDAMENDMENTSOFTHEPCTREGULATIONS:

# ${\tt DECLARATIONOFTHESOURCEOFGENETICRESOURCESANDTRADITIONAL}\\ {\tt KNOWLEDGEINPATENTAPPLICATIONS}$

# TABLEOFCONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	2
Rule4TheRequest(Contents)	3
4.1 to 4.16 [Nochange]	3
4.17 DeclarationsRelatingtoNationalRequirementsReferredto inRule	
51bis. $I(a)(i)to(v)$ and $Rule 51bis. I(g)$	3
4.11to4.18 [Nochange]	4
Rule26 ter CorrectionorAdditionofDeclarationsUnderRule4.17	
26ter.1 CorrectionorAdditionofDeclarations	
26ter.2 ProcessingofDeclarations	5
Rule48Inter nationalPublication	7
48.1 [Nochange]	
48.2 Contents	7
48.3to48.6 [Nochange]	
Rule51 bis CertainNationalRequirementsAllowedUnderArticle27	9
51bis.1 CertainNationalRequirementsAllowed	9
51bis.2 CircumstancesinWhichDocumentsor EvidenceMayNotBeRequired	
51bis.3 OpportunitytoComplywithNationalRequirements	11

#### **INTRODUCTION**

ThisAnnexcontainsthewordingoftheamendmentsofthePCTRegulationsproposed bySwitzerlandregardingthedeclarationofthesourceofgeneti cresourcesandtraditional knowledgeinpatentapplications. Proposedadditions and deletions are indicated, respectively, by underlining and striking through of the text concerned. Amendments are proposed to Rule 4.17 (addition to chapeau and new subparare variety), Rule 48.2 (a) (new subparare vi), Rule 51 bis.1 (new subparare), Rule 51 bis.2 (new subparare), and Rule 51 bis.3 (amendment of subparare). Rule 26 ter is not proposed to be amended, but is included in this Annex for ease of reference.

Totakeint oaccountthediscussionsoftheWorkingGroupontheReformofthePCT ontheproposalsbySwitzerland,thewordingoftheamendmentsofthePCTRegulations originallyproposedbySwitzerland <sup>6</sup>hasbeenslightlyadapted,without,however,modifying thesub stanceoftheproposals.Thisconcerns,inparticular,theuseoftheterm"traditional knowledgerelatedtogeneticresources"insteadoftheterm"knowledge,innovationsand practicesofindigenousandlocalcommunitiesrelevantfortheconservationand sustainable useofbiologicaldiversity."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> SeePCT/R/WG/5/11Rev.,paras.24and29.

#### Rule4

# **TheRequest(Contents)**

4.1 to 4.16 [Nochange]

4.17 DeclarationsRelatingtoNationalRequirementsReferredtoinRule51bis.1(a)(i)to(v)andRule51bis.1(g)

Therequestmay, for the purposes of the enational law applicable in one or more designated States, contain one or more of the following declarations, worded as prescribed by the Administrative Instructions:

- (i) to(iv) [Nochange]
- (v) adeclarationastonon -prejudicial disclosuresorexcep tionstolackofnovelty, as referred to in Rule 51 bis.1(a)(v):
- (vi) adeclarationastothesourceofaspecificgeneticresourceand/ortraditional knowledgerelatedtogeneticresources, as referred to in Rule 51 bis. 1(g).

[COMMENT:Rule4.17setsfor ththosemattersrelatingtowhichapplicantsmayincludea declarationintherequestpursuanttoRule4.1(c)(iii). Such matters include those astowhich designated Offices are entitled to require documents or evidence during the national phase of processing and which are expressly listed in Rule 51 bis.1(a). The proposed new subparagraph (vi) would give patent applicants the possibility of satisfying the declaration requirement undernational patent law in accordance with the proposed new Rule 51 bis.1(g) at the time of filing an international patent application or later during the international phase.

[Rule4.17,continued]

Thiswouldfurthersimplifyproceduresrelatedtothedeclarationofthesourceofgenetic resourcesand/ortraditionalknowledgere latedtogeneticresources,withregardto internationalpatentapplications. The Administrative Instructions will have to prescribe the standardizedwording of such declarations which may be included in the request pursuant to the proposed Rule 4.17(vi).]

4.11to4.18 [Nochange]

#### Rule26 ter

#### Correctionor Addition of Declarations Under Rule 4.17

# $26 ter. 1 \quad Correction or Addition of Declarations$

The applicant may correct or add to the request any declaration referred to in Rule 4.17 by anotice submitte dto the International Bureau within a time limit of 16 months from the priority date, provided that any notice which is received by the International Bureau after the expiration of that time limit is hall be considered to have been received on the last day of that time limit if it reaches it before the technical preparations for international publication have been completed.

#### 26ter.2 Processing of Declarations

- (a) WherethereceivingOfficeortheInternationalBureaufindsthatanydeclaration referredt oinRule4.17isnotwordedasrequiredor,inthecaseofthedeclarationof inventorshipreferredtoinRule4.17(iv),isnotsignedasrequired,thereceivingOfficeorthe InternationalBureau,asthecasemaybe,mayinvitetheapplicanttocorrectt hedeclaration withinatimelimitof16monthsfromtheprioritydate.
- (b) WheretheInternationalBureaureceivesanycorrectionoradditionofadeclaration underRule26 ter.1aftertheexpirationofthetimelimitunderRule26 ter.1,theInternationa l Bureaushallnotifytheapplicantaccordinglyandshallproceedasprovidedforinthe AdministrativeInstructions.

[Rule26ter,continued]

[COMMENT:Rule26 terisunchanged.ItisincludedinthisAnnexforeaseofreference only.Rule26 terprovidesp roceduresfortheadditionorcorrectionofdeclarationsinthe requestwhicharereferredtoinRule4.17.Italsoappliesinthecontextoftheproposednew Rules4.17(vi)and51 bis.1(g).Rule26 terprovidestheapplicantwithamechanismfor providing orcorrectingadeclarationofthesourceofgeneticresourcesand/ortraditional knowledgerelatedtogeneticresourcespursuanttotheproposedRule4.17(vi)duringthe internationalphase.]

#### Rule48

#### InternationalPublication

48.1	[Nochange]
48.2	Contents
	(a) Thepamphletshallcontain:
	(i) to(ix) [Nochange]
Rule	(x) anydeclarationreferredtoinRule4.17(v),andanycorrectionunder 26ter.1,whichwasreceivedbytheInternationalBureaubeforetheexpirationofthetime
	underRule2 6ter.1,
Rule	(xi) anydeclarationreferredtoinRule4.17(vi),andanycorrectionunder  26ter.1,whichwasreceivedbytheInternationalBureaubeforetheexpirationofthetime
	underRule26 ter.1.

[COMMENT:TheproposedRule48.2(a)(xi)provides thatthepamphletshallcontainany declarationcontainedintherequestthatisreferredtointheproposedRule4.17(vi),thatis,a declarationregardingthesourceofaspecificgeneticresourceand/ortraditionalknowledge relatedtogeneticresource s,asreferredtointheproposedRule51 bis.1(g).Withtheproposed subpara.(xi),thedeclarationofthesourceofsucharesourceorsuchknowledgeinapatent applicationwouldgenerallybecomeaccessibletothepublicaftertheexpirationof18month s fromtheprioritydateofthatapplication.Accordingly,theproposedRule48.2(a)(xi)would furthersupportthepolicyobjectiveofthedeclarationofthesource,thatis,increasing transparencyinthecontextofaccesstogeneticresourcesandtradit ionalknowledgeandthe sharingofthebenefitsarisingoutoftheirutilization.]

[Rule48.2,continued]

(b)to(i) [Nochange]

48.3to48.6 [Nochange]

#### Rule51 bis

# Certain National Requirements Allowed Under Article 27

51bis.1 CertainNationalReq uirementsAllowed
(a)to(f) [Nochange]
(g) SubjecttoRule51 bis.2,thenationallawapplicablebythedesignatedOfficemay,
inaccordancewithArticle27,requiretheapplicanttofurnish:
(i) adeclarationastothesourceofaspecificgeneticr esourcetowhichthe inventorhashadaccess, if the inventoris directly based on such are source;
(ii) adeclarationastothesourceoftraditionalknowledgerelatedtogenetic
resources, if the inventor knows that the invention is directly based on such knowledge;
(iii) adeclarationthatthesourcereferredtoin(i)or(ii)isunknowntotheinventor
orapplicant, if this is the case.
[COMMENT:TheproposedRule51 bis.1(g)providesthatthenationallawapplicablebythe

designatedOfficemayrequ irepatentapplicantstofurnisheitheradeclarationastothesource ofaspecificgeneticresourceand/ortraditionalknowledgerelatedtogeneticresources,ora

-Reform

*bis*.1(g)

submitted

declarationthatthissourceisunknowntotheinventororapplicant. The proposed Rule 51 bis. 1(g) takes into account the discussions held in the Working Group on PCT

on the proposals by Switzerland. Accordingly, the wording of the proposed Rule 51

containsminoradaptationscompared with the wording of the proposal soriginally

[Rule51bis.1(g),continued]

bySwitzerlandtotheWorkingGrouponReformofthePCTinMay2003. These adaptationsconcernthestructureandtheuseofterms,butdonotalterthesubstanceofthe proposedRule51 *bis*.1(g).Theseadaptations are:First,theproposedRule51 *bis*.1(g)usesthe term"traditionalknowledgerelatedtogeneticresources"insteadoftheterm"knowledge, innovationsandpracticesofindigenousandlocalcommunitiesrelevantfortheconservation andsustainableuseof biologicaldiversity."IntheviewofSwitzerland,bothtermsarefully synonymous, theterm"traditionalknowledgerelatedtogeneticresources"beingusedfor reasonsofsimplicityandbriefness.Second,asubpara.(iii)isaddedtotheproposed Rule 51*bis*.1(g),containingtheprovisionsofthelastpartoftheoriginallyproposed subparas. (i) and(ii),respectively,withregardtothecasewherethesourceisunknowntothe inventororapplicant.Andthird,theproposedsubpara.(iii)clarifiestha tthesourcemustbe unknowntotheinventororpatentapplicant.]

51bis.2 CircumstancesinWhichDocumentsorEvidenceMayNotBeRequired

(a)to(c) [Nochange]

(d) Wheretheapplicablenationallawrequirestheapplicanttofurnishadeclarationa s

tothesource(Rule51 bis.1(g)),thedesignatedOfficeshallnot,unlessitmayreasonably

doubttheveracityofthedeclarationconcerned,requireanydocumentorevidence:

(i) relatingtothe sourceofaspecificgeneticresource (Rule51 bis.1(g)(i)a nd(iii)) if,inaccordancewithRule4.17(vi),suchdeclarationiscontainedintherequestoris submitteddirectlytothedesignatedOffice;

SeePCT/R/WG/5/11Rev.,paras.24and29.

SeePCT/R/WG/6/11,para.11.

[Rule51bis.2(d),continued]

(ii) relatingtothe sourceoftraditionalknowledgerelatedtogeneticresources,

(Rule51 bis.1(g)(ii)and(iii))if,inaccordancewithRule4.17(vi),suchdeclarationis

containedintherequestorissubmitteddirectlytothedesignatedOffice.

[COMMENT:TheproposedRule51 bis.2(d)isintendedtolimitthecircumstancesinwhich designatedOfficesareentitledtorequiredocumentsorevidencefromapplicantsinthe nationalphaseinrelationtocertainmattersreferredtointheproposedRule51 bis.1(g).The limitationisconsistentwithdraftPLTArticle6(6).Accordingly,ifth erequest,inaccordance withRule4.17(vi),containsadeclarationastothesourceofageneticresourceortraditional knowledge,oradeclarationthatthissourceisunknowntotheinventororapplicant (Rule 51bis.1(g)),orifsuchadeclarationissu bmitteddirectlytothedesignatedOffice,the Officewouldnotbeentitledtorequiredocumentsorevidencerelatingtothisdeclaration, unlesstheOfficehasreasonabledoubtsastotheveracityofthedeclaration.]

51bis.3 OpportunitytoComplywith NationalRequirements

(a) WhereanyoftherequirementsreferredtoinRule51 bis.1(a)(i)to(iv) and(c)to (e) and(g) oranyotherrequirementofthenationallawapplicable by the designated Office which that Office may apply in accordance with Ar ticle 27(1) or (2), is not already fulfilled during the same period with in which there quirements under if Article 22 must be complied with, the designated Office circumstances, shall invite the applicant to comply with the requirement within a time limit which shall not be less than two months from the date of the invitation. Each designated Office may require that the applicant payafee for complying with national requirements in response to the invitation.

[Rule51bis.3(a),continued]

[COMMENT:Rule51 bis.3(a)providesthatdesignatedOfficesshallinvitetheapplicantto complywiththoserequirementsofnationallawwhichdesignatedOfficesmayapplyunder Rule51 bis.1(a)and(c)to(e)andArticle27(1)and(2),respectively,whichhavenotalready beenfulfilledbythetimeofentryoftheapplicationintothenationalphase.Itisproposedto applythisRulealsowithregardtorequirementsofnationallawwhichdesignatedOffices mayapplyunderRule51bis .1(g).]

(b)and(c) [Nochange]

[EndofA ppendix, Annex and document]