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1 Basic information of the project

1.1 Research background

- The project background
  - April 2012, WIPO’s chief economist Mr. Fink visited SIPO to talk about the joint research project.
  - June 2012, Mr. Zhou Hao visited SIPO and confirmed the research issues in “what role does patent play in the business strategies in Chinese companies?”
  - 2012-10-03, SIPO and WIPO signed bilateral cooperation Memorandum, putting forward "to strengthen cooperation in the field of statistical data, to carry out joint research project"
  - 2013-02-01, SIPO started the cooperative research project, and 4 departments took part in the project, which included the general office, the Planning Department, International Department and Intellectual Property Development and Research Center(IPDRC).
1 Basic information of the project
1.1 Research background

- **Timing Background**: China has become the largest patent applications country in the world, and is on the mission of building an innovation-oriented country. Now we are gradually learning how to use the patent system. During the catch-up phase, China must improve the level of innovation and promote the quality of economic.

- **Theoretical background**: Many surveys to firms from high income countries have revealed that the importance of patent rights as an appropriation mechanism differs significantly in different industry. Evidence shows that companies’ strategies have much evolved in recent decades, including motivations of licensing, preempting litigation, blocking competitors, negotiating cross-licenses and others.

- **So, what about the situation in China?**
Objective 1:
- To understand the role of patents in Chinese enterprises’ business strategy; to learn how many patents are put into actual production, to reveal the status of patent implementation and industrialization, and to do some international comparison.

Objective 2:
- To find the basic characteristics in China and to analyze the influential and restrictive factors for providing basic research to optimize management.
1 Basic information of the project
1.3 Research Methods

- **Survey & statistics**
  - Since 2008, China has carried out the patents investigation work at national level and has accumulated a large number of data for the research (the Planning Department of SIPO).

- **Research of literature:**
  - whether or not patents are used for implementation and industrialization (Mansfield, Cohen, Mansfield, Harter, Harabi, Arundel), the basic condition of international patent implementation and industrialization, the influence of patent implementation and industrialization (Adam, Duguet, Blind, Arunde, Darroch, OECD)

- **Empirical analysis:**
  - modeling the factors affecting patent implementation and industrialization, the multiple regression method.

- **Forum, field research:**
  - to understand the characteristics and the real condition of development of patent implementation and industrialization of Chinese patents
2. Brief introduction to China’s patent survey

2.1 Survey Sampling Principle

- The survey has begun from 2008 and has been taken annually.

- The samples were based on the granted patents of the last year. Some special samples are: PCT & State-owned enterprises’ patent (2010); patent in emerging industry (2011).....

- More than 40,000 patents and 15,000 patentees every year, and the selected sample in 70 cities more or less.

- SIPO took full responsibility of the whole survey while the local bureau issued and recovered questionnaires.

- Annual Recovering Ratio all exceeds 80%. 10% samples were chosen to recheck.
**Stratification Sampling**

- Patent type: invention / utility model / design \( (3) \)
- Applicant type: non-service / enterprises / universities and colleges / scientific research institutes \( (4) \)
- The year of grant: for example 2011 \( (1) \)

\[
\text{Stratification} = 3 \times 4 \times 1 = 12 
\]

**PPS: Probability Proportionate to Size Sampling**

**Quota Control**

- Invention : Utility Model : Design = 2 : 1 : 1
- Non-service : Service = 1 : 20 \( (1:1 \rightarrow 1:5 \rightarrow 1:10 \rightarrow 1:20) \)
- Max chosen number = 30
- Specific enterprises are not included
2. Brief introduction to China’s patent survey

2. 2 Survey Sampling Method

- The choice of sample cities depends on a procedure of “Important cities pre-determined + PPS sampling”.

- First, big cities with large number of granted patents are picked out into the sample.

- Second, those cities with only limited number of granted patents are kicked out from the sample.

- Third, a random sampling procedure based on PPS sampling is implemented upon all other cities left.
2. Brief introduction to China’s patent survey

2.3 Survey Sampling Procedure

Sample Procedure

Survey Sample Begin

Step 1: Drawing a certain amount of cities all over the country.

Step 2: Setting the quota of the drawn cities in 36 stratifications.

Step 3: Drawing a certain amount of patents granted of the cities in the 36 stratifications.

Step 4: Confirm the patentees of the patents.
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2.4 Survey Questionnaires

- **Basic information:**
  - Scale, ownership types, qualification, R&D info, main financial indicators.

- **Management Survey:**
  - Intellectual property activities, applications prediction, Patent validity and maintenance, market competition, patent protection and infringement, Intellectual property services, patent quality, training and education, etc.

- **Patent Implementation Survey:**
  - Patent cost(R&D), patent implementation status, implementation stage, patent transfer and patent license, social benefit & economic benefit, patent implement difficulty.
Data Used: mainly from patent survey

- **History data:**
  - Patent Survey 2008-2012

- **Patent survey 2012 (most recent):**
  - 44850 Granted Patents in 2011, among which
    - Firms patent 30759, Universities patent 8642, Scientific Research Institutes patent 3234, Non-service patent 2215, Invention 23021, Utility Mode 12082, Design 9747
  - 17249 patentee, among whom
    - Firms 13744, Universities and Scientific Research Institutes 1468, non-service 2037
3. Major Conclusions
3.1 Motivations of Patents Application

- **Literature Review**
  - Patent is not always the best way to gain benefits from innovations:
    - 1. Mansfield (1981) pointed out that “easy to imitation” is the main reason why firms choose not to patent a new technology, Cohen (2002) found that 56% of European firms and 54% of Japanese firms admit being affected by “easy to imitation” when considering whether to apply a patent.
    - 2. Cohen (2002) found that 15% of European firms and 25% of American firms claim that the “high costs of protecting patents” discouraged them to apply for a patent.
    - 3. When alternatives are possible, lead time, learning curves, sales or service efforts may be more favorable than patents in terms of protecting benefits from innovations.
3. Major Conclusions
3.1 Motivations of Patents Application

- Literature Review


- FAN Yaofeng (2011) spotted a significant influence of market competitiveness and favorable policies on the patenting motivations.

- Jenny (2005) pointed out that firms will focus more on patent protection and transference in the context of globalization.
3. Major Conclusions
3.1 Motivations of Patents Application

- **Goals of Patent Applications**
  - The basic goals of patent applications are market-oriented, such as protecting new technology from imitation (62.4%), occupying the market to get benefits (60.8%).
  - Besides, technology reserve, marketing for better reputation and establishment of self-owned product standards account for 49.9%, 48.6% and 36.0% respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motivation</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Occupying or expanding market</td>
<td>60.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taking advantage in bargaining</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protecting new techs from imitation</td>
<td>62.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising for better recognition</td>
<td>48.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishing self-owned product standards</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Containing or blocking competitors</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology Pooling</td>
<td>49.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Goals of Patents Applications (continued)

- Why Chinese firms apply for much more utility model and design?
  - Basic goals: fast occupancy of the market and patent license (66.5%), combination of utility model, design and invention patent (41.4%), easier implementation in production under current situations (39.1%), reduction of patent application and maintenance costs (16.5%), limited capability in R&D (16.4%) and recommendation from patent agents (8.9%).

3. Major Conclusions
3.1 Motivations of Patents Application
3. Major Conclusions
3.1 Motivations of Patents Application

- **Goals of Patents Applications (Continued)**
  - Heterogeneity in motivations across firms of different sizes and types
    - Large firms tend to occupy 25% of all strategic motivations, but they account for only 18.6% in terms of firm numbers.
    - On the other hand, Small firms tend to focus more on molding product image (44.8%) and establishing self-owned product standards (46.4%)
    - Central-directed SOEs tend to have higher percentages in technology reserve
3. Major Conclusions
3.1 Motivations of Patents Application

- **Patent Pools and Patent Standards**

- 3116 corporate patents are included in the patent pool, accounting for 11.6% of the whole valid sample.

- 6474 corporate patents are included in related standards, accounting for 24.1%.

--- Patents of large firms are more easily to enter patent pools and standards
--- Patents of High-tech firms are more easily to enter patent pools.
--- In terms of technology fields, mechanical engineering, chemical engineering and electronic engineering take the Top 3 leading positions.
3. Major Conclusions
3.1 Motivations of Patents Application

- **Patents used in cross-licensing**

  - Cross-licensing showed an uprising tendency during 2006-2011.

  ![Graph showing cross-licensing trend]

  - Large firms are the main players in cross-licensing (57.4%); Small firms are more likely to conduct cross-licensing than middle-sized firms.

  - In terms of technology fields, electronic engineering leads more patents accounting for 54.3%; Mechanical engineering (45) and chemical engineering (29) follow.
3. Major Conclusions
3.1 Motivations of Patents Application

- **Patents used in advertising for better corporate image and recognition**
  - The survey in 2012 showed that 48.6% of all firms admit using patents as a way of advertising, which rises by 10% compared with two years ago.

- **Patents used as technology reserve**
  - 49.9% of firms with new patent licensed in 2011 admit technology reserve as a purpose of patent application.

- How Chinese patent-owners perceive technology reserve?
  - Invention only occupies 12.2% in technology reserve, which is relatively low.
  - More than 40% of firms don’t have a strategic patent plan when applying for a patent.
3. Major Conclusions
3.2 Patent Implementation and Industrialization

 Literature Review: International comparison

- Robert P. Morgan (2001): Patent commercialization rate of private firms in USA is roughly 48.9%

- EU-6 (2004): 50.5% of patent-holders employ their own patents for industrial and commercial purposes, 14% of patent holders transfer, cross-license or joint-use their patents with other parties.

- JPO (2009) Patent Utilization in Japan: Patents (51.5%), Design (63.4%), Brand (64.3%)

- KIPO (2011) For firms, patent utilization rate is as high as 82.4% and commercialization rate is 56.5%.
3. Major Conclusions
3.2 Patent Implementation and Industrialization

- The Relationship between patent implementation and industrialization

  - **Statistical Caliber**: In China, we take the broad definition of “patent implementation”, which means “The patentee volunteers or licenses others to make, use, offer to sell, sell or import the patented product; or use the patented process; or use, offer to sell, sell or import the product directly obtained by the patented process; or assign the patent right to others, for production or business purposes.”

  - China, Japan and South Korea focus more on patent implementation and utilization than USA. Japan and South Korea focus more on “defensive” and “reserve” patents than China.

  - **Problem to be solved**: more attention need to be paid on the level, size and profitability of patent industrialization
3. Major Conclusions

3.2 Patent Implementation and Industrialization

- Patent Implementation and Industrialization Rate in China
  - During 2005-2011, patent implementation rate fluctuated mildly between 66.2% and 71.4%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Implement</th>
<th>Non-Implement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
<td>70.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>71.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>32.8%</td>
<td>67.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>70.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>33.8%</td>
<td>66.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>70.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Invent</th>
<th>Utility Model</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enterprises</td>
<td>76.5%</td>
<td>86.2%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>82.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Universities and Colleges</td>
<td>27.4%</td>
<td>26.6%</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
<td>25.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific Research Institutes</td>
<td>46.8%</td>
<td>69.1%</td>
<td>70.6%</td>
<td>57.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-service</td>
<td>54.4%</td>
<td>44.1%</td>
<td>66.3%</td>
<td>56.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>total</strong></td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>68.6%</td>
<td>74.9%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Patent Implementation and Industrialization Rate**

- **Market Entities—firms**
  - Patent implementation rate in firms is significantly higher than that of average level. About 84.9% of total patents granted to firms have been implemented.

- **Firm Size**
  - Medium-sized firms have the highest patent implementation rate (86.8%), while the rate is roughly lower (80%) for large and small firms.
  - High-tech firms are more active in patent implementation (83.9%)
Patent Implementation and Industrialization Rate (Continued)

- **Self-implementation**
  - In all patents implemented in 2011, self-implementation accounts for 97.1% for firms, far higher than the rate of colleges (61.3%) or research institutions (87.7%).

- **Patent Transfer**
  - In firms, only 11.9% of their implemented patents are transferred, lower than universities and research Institutes.
  - Utility model and industry design patents are more likely to be transferred than invention.
  - In 2011, for 90% of all cases the licensing or transferring targets are domestic organizations or individuals. 59.9% choose large-to-medium-sized firms as targets and 51.8% choose small firms.

- **Different Patent Implementation Methods**
  - During 2005-2011, self-implemented patents tend to have decreasing share, compared to a significant increase of patents transferred or licensed to others (including pure transference and joint-use).
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**Patent Industrialization**

- The overall rate of granted patents (2011) which have been applied to large-scale production is:
  - Firms 48.9% (2010 is 51.1%)
  - Universities and Colleges 0.9% (2010 is 1.3%)
  - Scientific Research Institutes 7.7% (2010 is 8.7%)
  - Non-service 17.9% (2010 is 23.2%)

- Only 48.9% of all granted patents to firms are industrialized, which is lower than that in 2010 by 2.2%. The reason may relate to changes in motivations of patent application.
  - The percentage of “patents as technology reserve” increases by 17%
  - The percentage of “patents as advertisements to mold corporate image” increases by 10%
  - A relatively large decrease in the percentage of “patents used to occupy or expand the market”
3. Major Conclusions
3.3 Factors influencing implementation and industrialization of patents of Chinese enterprises

- Literature review
  - in Developed countries:
    - Factors like industry, regulation rules (law and patent policy), and strategic goal of the enterprise are all found influential. Mansfield (1991), e.g., figures out the length of patent commercial process differs between industries.
  - in developing countries:
    - Hemantkumar(2010) finds that in India, entrepreneurship and business knowledge of patent holders, and strength of support from government are prevailing success factors for the holders to self-commercialize their patents.
    - Chandran(2010), based on evidence from Malaysia, concludes that Crucial factors limiting commercialization success includes availability of industry and university linkages, commercialization funds, better internal structure and intellectual property management systems and effective institutional supports.
3. Major Conclusions

3.3 Factors influencing implementation and industrialization of patents of Chinese enterprises

 Factor Classification

In terms of sources, we can classify the effect factors into following categories: Subjective vs Objective, Theoretical vs Practical, Internal vs External, etc. One classification could be:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Internal factors</th>
<th>companies factors</th>
<th>patents factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Type of ownership, firm size, Technical qualification of companies, R&amp;D capability, R&amp;D spending, Market capacity and status, patent strategy, the recognition of patent implementation</td>
<td>Type of patent, Technical of patent, development cycle, R&amp;D cost, Strategy Position</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>External factors</th>
<th>economic factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Local GDP per capita, local economic growth rate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| market factors   | Industry growth rate, Industry maturity, The situation of supply and demand of corresponding product, Competition pressure, The patent market channel |
| social factors   | Patent protection law, Patent support policy, Regional patent strength, Regional talent supporting |
| Technological factors | The existing technical bottleneck, Related technology maturity, Alternative technology competition |
Variable Selection

To examine the effect factors for Chinese enterprises, we follow two principles in variable selection.

1) Universality:
   to include as many relevant variables discovered in existing researches as possible.

2) Feasibility:
   attainable from data of China Patent Survey.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Value type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SSR</td>
<td>Dependent variables</td>
<td>Patent Implementation</td>
<td>0-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INR</td>
<td></td>
<td>Patent Industrialization</td>
<td>0-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>IVs-company related</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QYS</td>
<td>Trait</td>
<td>company scale</td>
<td>continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QYQ</td>
<td></td>
<td>company qualification</td>
<td>0-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDA</td>
<td>Capability</td>
<td>R&amp;D Efficiency</td>
<td>continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDIC</td>
<td></td>
<td>R&amp;D Intensity</td>
<td>continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Combination of IP assets</td>
<td>0-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATM</td>
<td></td>
<td>Motivation of patent application</td>
<td>0-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATC</td>
<td>Function evaluation</td>
<td>Evaluation on patent implementation</td>
<td>0-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDPM</td>
<td></td>
<td>HR input on patent management</td>
<td>continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATS</td>
<td>Maturity</td>
<td>IP management stage</td>
<td>0-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATE</td>
<td></td>
<td>IP management experience</td>
<td>0-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>IVs-patent related</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATSP</td>
<td>Positioning</td>
<td>Patent strategic positioning</td>
<td>0-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATI</td>
<td>Economical</td>
<td>R&amp;D cost of patent</td>
<td>0-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATTP</td>
<td>Trait</td>
<td>Invention</td>
<td>0-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>IVs-external</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATPR</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Infringement experience</td>
<td>0-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATP</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Supportive policy knowledge</td>
<td>0-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- It includes company traits (scale and qualification), capability, IP maturity of enterprises, as well as patent qualities and external factors in our model.

- In the regression results of patent implementation and patent industrialization, most influential factors are the same and perform unanimous effects. However, some differences of the factors cannot be ignored.
  - Consistency: company scale, R&D cost, etc.
  - Inconformity: IP management stage, Infringement experience, etc.
# Regression Result of Patent Implementation (SSR)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Model1</th>
<th>Model2</th>
<th>Model3</th>
<th>Model4-Logit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>1.7046*** (25.78)</td>
<td>1.5839*** (23.64)</td>
<td>1.5994*** (23.66)</td>
<td>2.8375*** (22.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QYS</td>
<td>company scale</td>
<td>-0.1686*** (-10.12)</td>
<td>-0.1401*** (-8.27)</td>
<td>-0.1418*** (-8.35)</td>
<td>-0.2663*** (-8.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QYQ</td>
<td>company qualification</td>
<td>-0.0468 (-1.59)</td>
<td>-0.0513* (-1.71)</td>
<td>-0.0177 (-0.57)</td>
<td>-0.0394 (-0.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDA</td>
<td>R&amp;D Efficiency</td>
<td>3.07E-07 (0.61)</td>
<td>2.95E-07 (0.55)</td>
<td>3.48E-07 (0.62)</td>
<td>7.88E-07 (0.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDIC</td>
<td>R&amp;D Intensity</td>
<td>-0.9319*** (-8.97)</td>
<td>-0.8539*** (-7.85)</td>
<td>-0.8601*** (-8.01)</td>
<td>-1.5599*** (-8.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAA</td>
<td>Combination of IP assets</td>
<td>0.1157*** (3.87)</td>
<td>0.1272*** (4.22)</td>
<td>0.1236*** (4.09)</td>
<td>0.2147*** (3.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATM</td>
<td>Motivation of application</td>
<td>-0.2087*** (-7.72)</td>
<td>-0.2023*** (-7.40)</td>
<td>-0.1712*** (-6.11)</td>
<td>-0.3105*** (-6.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATC</td>
<td>Evaluation on implementation</td>
<td>0.1754*** (5.20)</td>
<td>0.1635*** (4.78)</td>
<td>0.1489*** (4.35)</td>
<td>0.2578*** (4.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDPM</td>
<td>HR input on PM</td>
<td>-0.0871 (-1.49)</td>
<td>-0.1309** (-2.24)</td>
<td>-0.1160** (-1.98)</td>
<td>-0.1966* (-1.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATS</td>
<td>IP management stage</td>
<td>-0.0107 (-0.38)</td>
<td>-0.0184 (-0.65)</td>
<td>-0.0171 (-0.60)</td>
<td>-0.0329 (-0.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATE</td>
<td>IP management experience</td>
<td>-0.2019*** (-7.09)</td>
<td>-0.1782*** (-6.13)</td>
<td>-0.1617*** (-5.51)</td>
<td>-0.3020*** (-5.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATSP</td>
<td>Patent strategic positioning</td>
<td>0.1514*** (5.51)</td>
<td>0.1492*** (5.43)</td>
<td>0.2613*** (5.29)</td>
<td>0.3115*** (4.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATI</td>
<td>R&amp;D cost of patent</td>
<td>0.3899*** (10.86)</td>
<td>0.3968*** (11.05)</td>
<td>0.7482*** (10.84)</td>
<td>0.3154*** (10.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATTP</td>
<td>Invention</td>
<td>-0.3014*** (-11.62)</td>
<td>-0.2881*** (-11.06)</td>
<td>-0.5154*** (-10.95)</td>
<td>-0.1222*** (-4.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATPR</td>
<td>Infringement experience</td>
<td>0.1521*** (4.39)</td>
<td>0.1521*** (4.39)</td>
<td>0.3115*** (4.83)</td>
<td>0.2365*** (4.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATP</td>
<td>Supportive policy knowledge</td>
<td>-0.1222*** (-4.25)</td>
<td>-0.1222*** (-4.25)</td>
<td>-0.1222*** (-4.25)</td>
<td>-0.1222*** (-4.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prob(LR)</td>
<td>Model Significance</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBS</td>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>15851</td>
<td>15851</td>
<td>15851</td>
<td>15851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adj.R2</td>
<td>Adj.R square</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Specifically, regression result of patent implementation

- (1) Factors show significant positive effect: Combination of IP assets, Evaluation on patent implementation, Patent strategic positioning, R&D cost of patent.

- (2) Factors show significant negative effect: company scale, R&D Intensity, Motivation of patent application, HR input on patent management, IP management experience, Invention, Supportive policy knowledge.

- (3) Factors with no significant effect: company qualification, R&D Efficiency, IP management stage.
## Regression Result of Patent Industrialization (INR)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Model1</th>
<th>Model2</th>
<th>Model3</th>
<th>Model4-Logit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>-0.6841*** (-11.96)</td>
<td>-0.8844*** (-15.00)</td>
<td>-0.8236*** (-13.84)</td>
<td>-1.3706*** (-13.58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QYS</td>
<td>company scale</td>
<td>-0.0762*** (-5.39)</td>
<td>-0.0476*** (-3.29)</td>
<td>-0.0516*** (-3.56)</td>
<td>-0.0867*** (-3.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QYQ</td>
<td>company qualification</td>
<td>0.1526*** (5.99)</td>
<td>0.1038*** (3.98)</td>
<td>0.1264*** (4.73)</td>
<td>0.2156*** (4.72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDA</td>
<td>R&amp;D Efficiency</td>
<td>6.18E-07* (1.81)</td>
<td>4.91E-07* (1.80)</td>
<td>5.20E-07* (1.83)</td>
<td>8.39E-07* (1.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDIC</td>
<td>R&amp;D Intensity</td>
<td>-0.8686*** (-8.18)</td>
<td>-0.9884*** (-8.98)</td>
<td>-0.9010*** (-8.18)</td>
<td>-1.5851*** (-7.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAA</td>
<td>Combination of IP assets</td>
<td>0.2521*** (9.31)</td>
<td>0.2699*** (9.81)</td>
<td>0.2835*** (10.28)</td>
<td>0.4846*** (10.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATM</td>
<td>Motivation of application</td>
<td>-0.1167*** (-5.12)</td>
<td>-0.1364*** (-5.90)</td>
<td>-0.1107*** (-4.64)</td>
<td>-0.1935*** (-4.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATC</td>
<td>Evaluation on implementation</td>
<td>0.1975*** (6.41)</td>
<td>0.1700*** (5.44)</td>
<td>0.1664*** (5.32)</td>
<td>0.2870*** (5.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDPM</td>
<td>HR input on PM</td>
<td>-0.1217** (-2.25)</td>
<td>-0.1198** (-2.19)</td>
<td>-0.1193** (-2.17)</td>
<td>-0.2038** (-2.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATS</td>
<td>IP management stage</td>
<td>0.1430*** (5.88)</td>
<td>0.1204*** (4.87)</td>
<td>0.1319*** (5.32)</td>
<td>0.2335*** (5.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATE</td>
<td>IP management experience</td>
<td>-0.0472** (-1.96)</td>
<td>-0.0288 (-1.17)</td>
<td>-0.0211 (-0.85)</td>
<td>-0.0314 (-0.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATSP</td>
<td>Patent strategic positioning</td>
<td>0.1130*** (4.61)</td>
<td>0.1131*** (4.62)</td>
<td>0.1954*** (4.70)</td>
<td>0.1935*** (4.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATI</td>
<td>R&amp;D cost of patent</td>
<td>0.6641*** (24.36)</td>
<td>0.6655*** (24.39)</td>
<td>0.6765*** (24.51)</td>
<td>1.0953*** (24.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATTP</td>
<td>Invention</td>
<td>-0.0731*** (-3.20)</td>
<td>-0.0683*** (-2.97)</td>
<td>-0.1149*** (-2.96)</td>
<td>-0.3393*** (-6.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATPR</td>
<td>Infringement experience</td>
<td>-0.2002*** (-6.62)</td>
<td>-0.2002*** (-6.62)</td>
<td>-0.2002*** (-6.62)</td>
<td>-0.3393*** (-6.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATP</td>
<td>Supportive policy knowledge</td>
<td>-0.1221*** (-5.01)</td>
<td>-0.1221*** (-5.01)</td>
<td>-0.1221*** (-5.01)</td>
<td>-0.2133*** (-5.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prob(LR)</td>
<td>Model Significance</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBS</td>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>15851</td>
<td>15851</td>
<td>15851</td>
<td>15851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adj.R2</td>
<td>Adj.R square</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prob(LR) = Model Significance

Adj.R2 = Adj.R square
3. Major Conclusions
3.3 Factors influencing implementation and industrialization of patents of Chinese enterprises

Regression results of patent industrialization

■ (1) “Company qualification” shows positive significance from the simplest model.

■ (2) “IP management stage” also shows positive effect at 1% significance level.

■ (3) “HR input on patent management” demonstrates stronger negative significance: on the significance level and standardized coefficients.

■ Above results support that comparing with implementation, successful patent industrialization accords with stronger enterprise capacity and higher IP management level.
Factors of Company traits

- Smaller enterprises tend to implement more.
- Larger enterprises are more likely to apply for patent out of non-implementation motivations.
- A “High-tech” qualification helps little to push the company to implement its patent; Such a qualification only shows strong positive effect on patent industrialization.
3.3 Factors influencing implementation and industrialization of patents of Chinese enterprises

Regression Analysis on different types of factors

- **Factors of Company capability**
  - Strong R&D capacity (efficiency) has no significant contribution to improve patent implementation.
  - “Combination of IP assets” shows positive influences in both models.
  - R&D intensity shows significant negative influences on both DVs. It may be explained that higher the R&D intensity, higher the importance of IP to the company. Thus the company is usually more matured in terms of IP management, which indicates it has more considerations in using patents other than simple monetary implementation.
Factors influencing implementation and industrialization of patents of Chinese enterprises

Regression Analysis on different types of factors

Factors of IP management maturity

- More higher the level (stage) of patent management of the company, more lower the possibility to implement patent directly.

- Variables of “HR input on patent management” and “IP management experience” show significant negative effect both on implementation and industrialization.

- “IP management stage” shows no significance in the implementation model; while has significant positive effect in the industrialization model.
3.3 Factors influencing implementation and industrialization of patents of Chinese enterprises

Regression Analysis on different types of factors

Factors of Patent traits

- Patents of invention are less likely to be implemented, other things equal.

- R&D cost of patent, intuitively, shows significant positive effect on implementation: the more the firm has paid on the patent, the stronger the tendency to utilize it.
Factors of External ones

- Infringement experience shows positive effect on patent implementation but negative effect on industrialization.

- Above mixed influence may come from two contrasting effects: there is a pull effect caused by the tort, victim will tend to decrease implementation since it lower the company’s benefit from patent implementation. At the same time, infringement also causes a push effect since after being hurt, the company needs to implement new patent to compensate the loss and generate new income.

- Which effect is the prevailing one may depend on the potential value of infringed patent: if it is considerably large, or large enough to be industrialized, pull effect dominates; so total effect is negative. In the opposite case, push effect will dominate to make aggregate effect positive.
3 Major Conclusions
3.4 Restrictive factors

- The existing problems for patent implementation in China—low realization of the market value and industrialization revenue

- Among the self-implemented patents of Chinese firms in 2011, almost two thirds may not gain market revenue, and the percentage of patents that gain over 1 million RMB market revenue is 8.4%, while the firms that gain over 50 million RMB account for only 0.1%.

- Proportion of the Chinese companies that received less than 500 thousand RMB for patent transfer and license in 2011 was 64.0%, while payment of patent transfer and licensing expense that over 3 million accounts for less than 1 percent.
3 Major Conclusions

3.4 Restrictive factors

- **R&D investment in patent and cycle for the implementation of the patent**
  - More than 70% Chinese firms invested less than 100,000 in R&D, less than 5% firms invested more than 1 million, only 1% invested more than 10 million.
  - 10% of Patent’s R&D cost more than 500,000 RMB. Before 2010, half of Patent’s R&D cost less than 50,000 RMB, and after that the proportion goes down.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50 thousand below</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>47.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-100 thousand</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-500 thousand</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500-1000 thousand</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000 thousand above</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>none</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>合计</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3 Major Conclusions

3.4 Restrictive factors

- R&D investment amount and cycle for the implementation of the patent

- From 2005 to 2011, the percentage of patent with long development cycle (3 years and above) shrinks.
3 Major Conclusions
3.4 Restrictive factors

- Lack of effectively support for firms’ patent industrialization from national institutional level.

- Before 2008, the proportion of using IP service is 1/4, but after that the proportion is more than 1/3.
3 Major Conclusions
3.4 Restrictive factors and data

- Lack of effectively support for firms’ patent industrialization from national institutional level.
  - Lack of effective institutional support: patent protection, investment and financing industrial patent system, the existing production tax.
  - Weak continuous innovating driving force: state-owned enterprises (SOE) and SME issues, inherent social thinking (performance-oriented government, consumer attitudes and research institution), the importance of intellectual property rights, Industry-Academy-Research cooperation and research management system.
  - IP services comes to be a bottleneck: institutional mechanisms and policy system development of IP services, demand cultivation and optimizing the supply chain, public service resources and market resources.
4. Summary and Further Studies
4.1 Summary

- **Willingness of patent implementation and industrialization are both high while the motivation of patent implementation dominates.**
  - Over 80% of firms’ patents are used in real production, and over 40% patents are applied in industrialization, which is quite similar to international standards. The main problem lies in the quality and benefits of patent.

- **In terms of contributing factors influencing motivations of patent application:**
  - Traditional factors still affect the market behavior of Chinese innovative firms, yet strategic factors such as promoting image, adding bargaining chip, and inhibiting opponents gradually dominate the motivations for patenting.
Many traits of firms’ patenting behavior are found
- for example, smaller firms pay more attention to patent implementation, Infringement experience shows positive effect on patent implementation but negative effect on industrialization.

Patent implementation and industrialization closely correlate with China’s innovation and economic development level.
- It is predictable that Chinese enterprises will pay more attention to the quality and market profits of patent as China’s economy and innovation abilities grow.
4. Summary and Further Studies
4.2 Further studies

- **What changes will take place** in the role that patent plays in the business strategies of Chinese firms after the ability of Chinese firms to use patent regime becomes stronger?
- **What’s the impact of the changes** in the motivation of Chinese firms’ patenting behavior on the world and on the patent regime?
- **How to improve the effectiveness** of patent survey from the perspective of methodology and questionnaire design so as to better justify the impact of patent survey on policy making?
- **Deeper research into patent implementation and patent industrialization**, and to participate more in international communication on the patent statistic and calculation issues.
- **Summarize the characteristics of Chinese firms’ patenting behaviors** and compare them with those of other developing countries so as to detect certain laws and further analyze the reasons behind those traits.
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