

First year implementation: WIPO ST.26

Emma Francis

IP Data Expert & WIPO Sequence Suite product
owner

Standards Section




Questionnaire 2023

During October, WIPO collected feedback from Sequence Listings Task Force members regarding their implementation of WIPO ST.26

- Purpose: *to try and capture the benefit of the transition to WIPO ST.26*
- 8 questions – details provided in later slides
- 23 Offices responded: IPO-RS, GGL, APO, JPO, IPOS, DKPTO, TURKPATENT, PRV, USPTO, IPA, AGEPI, IPO SR, UIBM, PRH, INPI FR, OCPI, DPMA, CIPO, ROSPATENT, KIPO, UK IPO, EPO, CNIPA


WIPO ST.26 sequence listings filed




Offices still receiving WIPO ST.25 sequence listings (34% of respondents)

Office	Number received
JPO	4797
USPTO	12161
AGEPI	19
OCPI	13
CIPO	6177
ROSPATENT	227
KIPO	4177
EPO	8772


What percentage of WIPO ST.26 vs ST.25 sequence listings have required a correction/resubmission (%)?



What was the major cause of these corrections/resubmissions?



Does your Office use WIPO Sequence or WIPO Sequence Validator to validate WIPO ST.26 submissions (%)?



Conclusions

- It is not clear at this stage that the introduction of WIPO ST.26 improved the number of ST.26 sequence listings that required resubmission
- The bug in WIPO Sequence resulting in missing feature tables might have impacted this result
- The majority of Offices are using the WIPO Sequence Validator but a few are using WIPO Sequence
- Many Offices still accept WIPO ST.25 sequence listings in the first year



Any questions?

© WIPO, 2023



Attribution 3.0 IGO
(CC BY 3.0 IGO)

The CC license does not apply to non-WIPO content in this presentation.

Photo credits:

Slide with a possible quote and background image.

To change the background image simply place your image, right click your mouse, and select "Send to back" from the menu to make sure your image sits behind the text and WIPO anchor.

